# On regular factors in regular graphs with small radius

Arne Hoffmann

Lehrstuhl C für Mathematik RWTH-Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany hoffmann@mathc.rwth-aachen.de Lutz Volkmann\*

Lehrstuhl II für Mathematik RWTH-Aachen, 52056 Aachen, Germany volkm@math2.rwth-aachen.de

Submitted: Aug 21, 2001; Accepted: Nov 5, 2003; Published: Jan 2, 2004 MR Subject Classifications: 05C70, 05C35

#### Abstract

In this note we examine the connection between vertices of high eccentricity and the existence of k-factors in regular graphs. This leads to new results in the case that the radius of the graph is small ( $\leq 3$ ), namely that a d-regular graph G has all k-factors, for k|V(G)| even and  $k \leq d$ , if it has at most 2d+2 vertices of eccentricity > 3. In particular, each regular graph G of diameter  $\leq 3$  has every k-factor, for k|V(G)| even and  $k \leq d$ .

### 1 Introduction

All graphs considered are finite and simple. We use standard graph terminology. For vertices  $u, v \in V(G)$  let d(u, v) be the number of edges in a shortest path from u to v, called the distance between u and v. Let further  $e(v) := \max\{d(v, x) : x \in V(G)\}$  denote the eccentricity of x. The radius r(G) and the diameter  $\dim(G)$  of a graph G are the minimum and maximum eccentricity, respectively. If a graph G is disconnected, then  $e(v) := \infty$  for all vertices v in G.

The complete graph with n vertices is denoted by  $K_n$ . For a set  $S \subseteq V(G)$  let G[S] be the subgraph induced by S. In an r-almost regular graph the degrees of any two vertices differ by at most r. For  $b \ge a > 0$  we call a subgraph F of G an [a, b]-factor, if V(F) = V(G) and the degrees of all vertices in F are between a and b. We call a [k, k]-factor simply a k-factor. If we do not say otherwise, we quietly assume that k < d if G is a d-regular graph.

Many sufficient conditions for the existence of a k-factor in a regular graph are known today. Good surveys can be found in Akiyama and Kano [1] as well as Volkmann [8]. As far as we know, none of these conditions have taken the eccentricity of vertices into

<sup>\*</sup>corresponding author

The electronic journal of combinatorics 11 (2004), #R7

account. It is an easy exercise to show that every regular graph G with dm(G) = 1 has a k-factor if k|V(G)| is even. For  $dm(G) \ge 2$  the case becomes more involved. The main result of this note is the following theorem, which provides a connection between vertices x with e(x) > 3 and the existence of a k-factor.

**Theorem 1.1** For  $d \ge 3$  let G be a connected d-regular graph. For an integer  $1 \le k < d$  with k|V(G)| even G has a k-factor if

- d and k are even;
- d is even, k is odd and G has at most  $(d+1) \cdot \min\{k+1, d-k+1\}$  vertices of eccentricity  $\geq 4$ ;
- d and k are odd and G has at most 1 + (d+2)(k+1) vertices of eccentricity  $\geq 4$ ;
- d is odd and k is even and G has at most 1 + (d+2)(d-k+1) vertices of eccentricity  $\geq 4$ .

Theorem 1.1 implies the following two results as corollaries.

**Theorem 1.2** A connected d-regular graph,  $d \ge 2$ , with at most 2d + 2 vertices of eccentricity  $\ge 4$  has every k-factor for k|V(G)| even.

**Theorem 1.3** A connected d-regular graph,  $d \ge 2$ , with diameter  $\le 3$  has every k-factor for k|V(G)| even.

Theorem 1.1 is in the following way best possible: Let d be even and let k be odd with  $d \ge 2k + 4$ . Take k + 1 copies of  $K_{d+1} - uv$  and a copy of  $K_{d+1} - M$ , where M denotes a matching of cardinality  $\frac{d-2(k+1)}{2}$ , as well as a vertex x. Connect x to all vertices u, v of degree d - 1. The resulting graph G is d-regular and has

$$(k+1)(d-1) + 2k + 3 = (d+1)(k+1) + 1$$

vertices of eccentricity 4. It further has no k-factor since  $\Theta_G(\{x\}, \emptyset, k) = -2$  (see Theorem 2.1). Now let d and k be odd with  $d \ge 3k + 6$ . For an odd integer 0 define $<math>K_{d+2}(p) := K_{d+2} - F(p)$ , where F(p) denotes a [1, 2]-factor such that p vertices of  $K^p$  are of degree d - 1 and the remaining vertices are of degree d. Take k + 1 copies of  $K_{d+2}(3)$ , one copy of  $K_{d+2}(d-3(k+1))$  as well as a vertex x. Connect x with all vertices of degree d-1. The resulting graph H is d-regular and has 2 + (k+1)(d+2) vertices of eccentricity 4. It further has no k-factor since  $\Theta_H(\{x\}, \emptyset, k\} = -2$ .

Quite some results on factors in regular graphs have been generalized to almost regular graphs (cf. [1], [8]). Theorem 1.1, however, cannot be easily generalized to r-almost regular graphs:

The complete bipartite graph  $K_{p,p+r}$ , r > 0, is r-almost regular and of diameter 2 but obviously has no k-factor.

For complete multipartite graphs, which are r-almost regular and of diameter 2, a result of Hoffman and Rodger [4] shows, that a k-factor only exists, if certain necessary and sufficient conditions are met.

The conditions in Theorem 1.1 are closely related to those given in the following result of Niessen and Randerath [5] on regular graphs.

**Theorem 1.4** Let n, d and k be integers with  $n > d > k \ge 1$  such that nd and nk are even. A d-regular graph of order n has a k-factor in the following cases:

- d and k are even;
- d is even and k is odd and n < 2(d+1);
- d and k are odd and n < 1 + (k+2)(d+2);
- *d* is odd and *k* is even and n < 1 + (d k + 2)(d + 2).

In all other cases there exists a d-regular graph of order n without a k-factor.

For a regular graph with radius  $\leq 3$ , Theorem 1.1 provides conditions for the existence of a k-factor, which allow for a higher order than Theorem 1.4.

#### 2 Proof of the Main Theorem

The proof of Theorem 1.1 uses the k-factor Theorem of Belck [2] and Tutte [7], which we cite in its version for regular graphs.

**Theorem 2.1** The d-regular graph G has a k-factor if and only if

$$\Theta_G(D, S, k) := k|D| - k|S| + d|S| - e_G(D, S) - q_G(D, S, k) \ge 0$$
(1)

for all disjoint subsets D, S of V(G). Here  $q_G(D, S, k)$  denotes the number of components C of  $G - (D \cup S)$  satisfying

$$e_G(S, V(C)) + k|V(C)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

We simply call these components odd.

It always holds  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \equiv k|V(G)| \pmod{2}$  for all disjoint subsets D, S of V(G), whether G has a k-factor or not.

In 1985, Enomoto, Jackson, Katerinis and Saito [3] proved the following result.

**Lemma 2.2** Let G be a graph and k a positive integer with k|V(G)| even. If  $D, S \subset V(G)$  such that  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \leq -2$  with |S| minimum over all such pairs, then  $S = \emptyset$  or  $\Delta(G[S]) \leq k-2$ .

For regular graphs without a k-factor, for odd k, we can give the following result on the subsets D and S.

**Lemma 2.3** Let n, k, d be integers such that n is even and k is odd with n > d > k > 0. Let further  $2k \le d$  if d is even. If a connected d-regular graph G of order n has no k-factor, then for all disjoint subsets D, S of V(G) with  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \le -2$  it holds |D| > |S|.

*Proof.* If G does not have a k-factor, then, since kn is even, there exist disjoint subsets D, S of V(G) with  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \leq -2$ . Since G is connected,  $D \cup S \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $q := q_G(D, S, k)$  and  $W := G - (D \cup S)$ .

Case 1: Let d be even. The graph G is connected and of even degree d, thus at least 2-edge-connected, and we get

$$e_G(D \cup S, V(W)) \ge 2q. \tag{2}$$

Since  $e_G(D, S) \le \min\{d|D| - e_G(D, V(W)), d|S| - e_G(S, V(W))\}$ , we have

$$2e_G(D,S) \le d(|D| + |S|) - e_G(D \cup S, V(W)), \tag{3}$$

which together with (2) results in  $2q \leq d(|D| + |S|) - 2e_G(D, S)$ . Taking (1) into account leads to  $(d - 2k)(|D| - |S|) \geq 4$ , giving us the desired result.

Case 2: Let d be odd. We get for every odd component C of W

$$e_G(D, V(C)) = d|V(C)| - e_G(S, V(C)) - 2|E(C)| \\ \equiv k|V(C)| + e_G(S, V(C)) - 2|E(C)| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}.$$

Thus  $e_G(D, S) \leq d|D| - q$  which gives us in (1)

$$k(|D| - |S|) + d|S| - q + 2 \le e_G(D, S) \le d|D| - q,$$

leading to

$$(d-k)(|D|-|S|) \ge 2. \ \Box$$

**Proof of Theorem 1.1.** The first case follows from the well-known Theorem of Petersen [6].

In the remaining cases let, without loss of generality, k be odd and furthermore  $2k \leq d$ if d is even, as the graph G has a k-factor if and only if G has a (d - k)-factor. We are only going to prove the case that d and k are both odd. The proof to the case d even and k odd only differs in the number of vertices of eccentricity  $\geq 4$  and uses analogous argumentation.

Assume that G does not have a k-factor. With Theorem 2.1 there exist disjoint subsets D, S of V(G) such that  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \leq -2$ . From Lemma 2.3 we know that |D| > |S| and  $q \geq k(|D| - |S|) + 2 \geq k + 2$ .

Let  $X := \{v \in V(G) : e(v) \ge 4\}$  and  $C^X := V(C) \cap X$  for every odd component C of W. By the hypothesis we have  $r := |X| \le 1 + (d+2)(k+1)$ . Call an odd component C an A-component, if  $|C| \le d$  and let a denote the number of A-components. For every A-component C it holds  $e_G(D \cup S, V(C)) \ge d$ .

**Case 1:** There exist at most two odd components which have a vertex x such that  $e_G(x, D \cup S) = 0$ . Let  $l, 0 \le l \le 2$ , be the number of such odd components of W. Then these are not A-components, giving us  $a \le q - l$ , and it holds  $e_G(V(C), D \cup S) \ge |V(C)|$  for all other odd components. This results in

$$e_G(V(W), D \cup S) \geq ad + (q - a - l)(d + 1) + l$$
  
=  $q(d + 1) - a - ld$   
 $\geq q(d + 1) - (q - l) - ld$   
=  $d(q - l) + l > d(q - 2).$ 

This together with (3) results in

$$d(|D| + |S|) - 2e_G(D, S) > d(q - 2).$$
(4)

Inequality (4) and  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \leq -2$  lead to

$$(d-2k)(|D|-|S|) > (d-2)q - 2d + 4.$$

If we now use  $q \ge 2 + k(|D| - |S|)$ , we get

$$(d-2k)(|D|-|S|) > (d-2)(2+k(|D|-|S|)) - 2d + 4,$$

giving us the contradiction

$$0 \ge d(1-k)(|D|-|S|) > 2(d-2) + 4 - 2d = 0.$$
(5)

**Case 2:** There exist at least three odd components having a vertex x such that  $e_G(x, D \cup S) = 0$ . Assume that one of these vertices is not a member of X. Then  $e(x) \leq 3$  for this vertex and we have  $e_G(V(C), D \cup S) \geq |V(C)|$  for all other odd components. Analogously to l = 1 in Case 1 we can then show  $e_G(V(W), D \cup S) > (q-2)d$  and arrive at the contradiction (5). Thus each vertex x with  $e_G(x, D \cup S) = 0$  is a member of X. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  denote the set of all odd components of W which are not A-components. Then  $|\mathcal{B}| \geq 3$  and  $a \leq q-3$  and it holds

$$e_G(V(W), D \cup S) \geq ad + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{B}} (|V(C)| - |C^X|)$$
  
$$\geq ad - r + \sum_{C \in \mathcal{B}} |V(C)|$$
  
$$\geq ad - r + (q - a)(d + 1)$$
  
$$= q(d + 1) - a - r.$$

This combined with (3) and  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \leq -2$  leads to

$$(d-2k)(|D|-|S|) \ge q(d-1) + 4 - a - r.$$
(6)

Since  $a \leq q-3$ ,  $q \geq k(|D|-|S|)+2$  and  $r \leq 1+(d+2)(k+1)$ , we can deduce the inequality

$$d(1-k)(|D|-|S|) \ge 2d+2 - (d+2)(k+1), \tag{7}$$

which does not give us any information in the case k = 1. Let us first consider  $k \ge 3$ . Then inequality (7) can be rewritten as

$$|D| - |S| \le \frac{(d+1)(k+1) - 2d - 3}{d(k-1)} = 1 + \frac{k-2}{d(k-1)} < 2.$$

By Lemma 2.3 it follows that |D| = |S| + 1. Let now  $q = k + 2 + \eta$  with a non-negative integer  $\eta$ . With (6) and |D| = |S| + 1 we get

$$a \geq (k+2+\eta)(d-1) - d + 2k + 4 - 1 - (d+2)(k+1)$$
  
=  $\eta(d-1) - k - 1.$  (8)

Since  $q \ge a + 3$  we get  $k + \eta - 1 \ge \eta(d - 1) - k - 1$ , or  $2k \ge \eta(d - 2)$ . Thus  $\eta \le 2$  with equality if and only if k = d - 2. Since  $q \le k + 4$ , the inequality  $\Theta_G(D, S, k) \le -2$  yields  $d|S| - e_G(D, S) \le 2$  and thus  $e_G(V(W), D \cup S) \le d + 2$ . For  $a \ge 1$  there are at most 2 edges leading to non-A-components, which together with  $q \ge a + 3$  and the connectivity of G yields a contradiction.

For  $\eta \geq 1$ , we have  $a \geq 1$ , so it remains the case  $\eta = 0$  and a = 0, giving us |S| = 0 or  $e_G(D, S) = d|S|$  and hence  $e_G(V(W), D) \leq d$ . Since a = 0 and from the definition of the odd components in Theorem 2.1, every odd component of  $G - (D \cup S)$  has at least d + 2 vertices. Thus W has at least (k+2)(d+2) vertices, of whom at most  $r \leq 1 + (d+2)(k+1)$  are not connected to D with an edge. This means

$$e_G(V(W), D) \ge (k+2)(d+2) - 1 - (d+2)(k+1) = d+1,$$

which yields a contradiction.

It remains the case that k = 1. According to Lemma 2.2, we have |S| = 0, if we take D and S such that S is of minimum order. Thus  $q \ge |D| + 2$ . From the definition of odd components we have  $|V(C)| \ge d + 2$  for every non-A-component C. This gives us

$$e_G(V(W), D) \geq ad + (q - a)(d + 2) - r$$
  

$$\geq q(d + 2) - 2a - 1 - 2(d + 2)$$
  

$$\geq qd - 2d + 1$$
  

$$\geq (|D| + 2)d - 2d + 1$$
  

$$\geq d|D| + 1,$$

which contradicts  $e_G(V(W), D) \leq d|D|$ .  $\Box$ 

## References

- J. Akiyama and M. Kano, Factors and factorizations of graphs a survey, J. Graph Theory 9 (1985) 1–42.
- [2] H.B. Belck, Reguläre Faktoren von Graphen, J. Reine Angew. Math. 188 (1950) 228–252.
- [3] H. Enomoto, B. Jackson, P. Katerinis and A. Saito, Toughness and the existence of k-factors, J. Graph Theory 9 (1985) 87–95.
- [4] D.G. Hoffman, C.A. Rodger, On the number of edge-disjoint one factors and the existence of k-factors in complete multipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.* 160 (1996) 177–187.
- [5] T. Niessen and B. Randerath, Regular factors of simple regular graphs and factorspectra, *Discrete Math.* 185 (1998) 89–103.
- [6] J. Petersen, Die Theorie der regulären graphs, Acta Math. 15 (1891) 193–220.
- [7] W.T. Tutte, The factors of graphs, *Canad. J. Math.* 4 (1952) 314–328.
- [8] L. Volkmann, Regular graphs, regular factors, and the impact of Petersen's Theorems, Jahresber. Deutsch. Math.-Verein. 97 (1995) 19–42.