A [k, k+1]-Factor Containing A Given Hamiltonian Cycle

Cai Mao-cheng * Yanjun Li

Institute of Systems Science

Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100080, P.R. China

caimc@bamboo.iss.ac.cn cogt@bamboo.iss.ac.cn

Mikio Kano

Department of Computer and Information Sciences Ibaraki University, Hitachi 316, Japan

kano@cis.ibaraki.ac.jp

Abstract

We prove the following best possible result. Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer and G be a graph of order n with minimum degree at least k. Assume $n \ge 8k - 16$ for even n and $n \ge 6k - 13$ for odd n. If the degree sum of each pair of nonadjacent vertices of G is at least n, then for any given Hamiltonian cycle C of G, G has a [k, k + 1]-factor containing C.

Submitted: December 15, 1997; Accepted: November 27, 1998.

MR Subject Number: 05C75 Keywords: [k, k+1]-factor, Hamiltonian cycle, degree condition

1 Introduction

All graphs under consideration are undirected, finite and simple. A graph G consists of a non-empty set V(G) of vertices and a set E(G) of edges. For two vertices x and y of G, let xy and yx denote an edge joining x to y. Let X be a subset of V(G).

^{*}Research supported partially by the exchange program between Chinese Academy of Sciences and Japan Society for Promotion of Sciences and by National Natural Science Foundation of China.

We write G[X] for the subgraph of G induced by X, and define $\overline{X} := V(G) \setminus X$. The subset X is said to be *independent* if no two vertices of X are adjacent in G. Sometimes x is used for a singleton $\{x\}$. For a vertex x of G, we denote by $d_G(x)$ the degree of x in G, that is, the number of edges of G incident with x. We denote by $\delta(G)$ the minimum degree of G. For integers a and b, $0 \leq a \leq b$, an [a, b]-factor of G is defined to be a spanning subgraph F of G such that

$$a \leq d_F(x) \leq b$$
 for all $x \in V(G)$,

and an [a, a]-factor is abbreviated to an *a*-factor. A subset M of E(G) is called a matching if no two edges of M are adjacent in G. For two graphs H and K, the union $H \cup K$ is the graph with vertex set $V(H) \cup V(K)$ and edge set $E(H) \cup E(K)$, and the join H + K is the graph with vertex set $V(H) \cup V(K)$ and edge set $E(H) \cup E(K) \cup \{xy \mid x \in V(H) \text{ and } y \in V(K)\}$. Other notation and definitions not defined here can be found in [1].

We first mention some known results concerning our theorem.

Theorem A ([9]) Let G be a graph of order $n \ge 3$. If the degree sum of each pair of nonadjacent vertices is at least n, then G has a Hamilton cycle.

Theorem B ([3]) Let k be a positive integer and G be a graph of order n with $n \ge 4k - 5$, kn even, and $\delta(G) \ge k$. If the degree sum of each pair of nonadjacent vertices is at least n, then G has a k-factor.

Combining the above two theorems, we can say that if a graph G satisfies the conditions in Theorem B, then G has a Hamilton cycle C together with a connected [k, k+2]-factor containing C, which is the union of C and a k-factor of G [4].

Theorem C ([8]) Let $k \ge 3$ be an integer and G be a connected graph of order n with $n \ge 4k - 3$, kn even, and $\delta(G) \ge k$. If for each pair (x, y) of nonadjacent vertices of V(G),

$$\max\{d_G(x), \, d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{n}{2},$$

then G has a k-factor.

Theorem D ([2]) Let $k \ge 3$ be an odd integer and G be a connected graph of odd order n with $n \ge 4k-3$, and $\delta(G) \ge k$. If for each pair (x, y) of nonadjacent vertices of G,

$$\max\{d_G(x), \ d_G(y)\} \ge \frac{n}{2},$$

then G has a connected [k, k+1]-factor.

Theorem E ([5]) Let G be a connected graph of order n, let f and g be two positive integer functions defined on V(G) which satisfy $2 \leq f(v) \leq g(v)$ for each vertex $v \in V(G)$. Let G have an [f, g]-factor F and put $\mu = \min\{f(v) : v \in V(G)\}$. Suppose that among any three independent vertices of G there are (at least) two vertices with degree sum at least $n - \mu$. Then G has a matching M such that M and F are edgedisjoint and M + F is a connected [f, g + 1]-factor of G. The purpose of this paper is to extend "connected [k, k+1]-factor" in some of the above theorems to "[k, k+1]-factor containing a given Hamiltonian cycle", which is obviously a 2-connected [k, k+1]-factor.

Our main result is the following

Theorem 1 Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer and G be a graph of order $n \ge 3$ with $\delta(G) \ge k$. Assume $n \ge 8k - 16$ for even n and $n \ge 6k - 13$ for odd n. If for each pair (x, y) of nonadjacent vertices of G,

$$d_G(x) + d_G(y) \ge n,\tag{1}$$

then for any given Hamiltonian cycle C, G has a [k, k+1]-factor containing C.

Now we conclude this section with a new result concerning our theorem.

Theorem F [11] Let $k \ge 2$ be an integer and G be a connected graph of order n such that $n \ge 8k - 4$, kn is even and $\delta(G) \ge n/2$. Then G has a k-factor containing a Hamiltonian cycle.

For a graph G of order n, the condition $\delta(G) \ge n/2$ does not guarantee the existence of a k-factor which contains a given Hamiltonian cycle of G. Let $n \ge 5$ and $k \ge 3$ be integers, and set

$$m = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} + 2 & \text{for even } n, \\ \frac{n+3}{2} & \text{for odd } n. \end{cases}$$

Let $C_m = (v_1v_2...v_m)$ be a cycle of order m and $P_{n-m} = (v_{m+1}v_{m+2}...v_n)$ a path of order n-m. Then the join $G := C_m + P_{n-m}$ has no k-factor containing the Hamiltonian cycle $(v_1v_2...v_n)$ but satisfies $\delta(G) \ge n/2$.

2 Proof

Our proof depends on the following theorem, which is a special case of Lovász's (g, f)-factor theorem [7]([10]).

Theorem 2 Let G be a graph and a and b be integers such that $1 \le a < b$. Then G has an [a, b]-factor if and only if

$$\gamma(S,T) := b|S| - a|T| + \sum_{x \in T} d_{G-S}(x) \ge 0$$

for all disjoint subsets $S, T \subseteq V(G)$.

Proof of Theorem 1 We may assume $k \ge 3$ since G has C for k = 2. Let

$$H := G - E(C), \quad U := \{ x \in V(G) \mid d_G(x) \ge \frac{n}{2} \}, \quad W := V(G) \setminus U, \quad \rho := k - 2.$$

Then $V(H) = V(G), \rho \ge 1$,

$$d_H(x) = d_G(x) - 2 \ge \rho$$
 for all $x \in V(H)$,

 $n \ge 8\rho$ for even n and $n \ge 6\rho - 1$ for odd n. Moreover the induced subgraph G[W] is a complete graph since $d_G(x) + d_G(y) < n$ for any two vertices x and y of W.

Obviously, G has a required factor if and only if H has a $[\rho, \rho+1]$ -factor. Suppose, to the contrary, that H has no such factor. Then, by Theorem 2, there exist disjoint subsets S and T of V(H) such that

$$\gamma(S,T) = (\rho+1)s - \rho t + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) < 0.$$
(2)

where t = |T| and s = |S|.

If $d_{H-S}(v) \ge \rho$ for some $v \in T$, then $\gamma(S,T) \ge \gamma(S,T \setminus \{v\})$, and thus (2) is still holds for S and $T \setminus \{v\}$. Thus we may assume that

$$d_{H-S}(x) \le \rho - 1$$
 for all $x \in T$. (3)

If $S = \emptyset$, then $\gamma(\emptyset, T) = -\rho t + \sum_{x \in T} d_H(x) \ge 0$ as $d_H(x) \ge \rho$ for all $x \in V(H)$. Thus

$$s \ge 1. \tag{4}$$

If $t \leq \rho + 1$, then we have

$$\gamma(S,T) \geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + \sum_{x \in T} (d_H(x) - s)$$

$$\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + t(\rho - s)$$

$$= s(\rho+1-t) \geq 0.$$

This contradicts (2). Hence

$$t \ge \rho + 2. \tag{5}$$

We now prove the next Claim:

Claim 1. $s \leq \frac{n}{2} - 3$ if n is even, and $s \leq \frac{n-5}{2}$ if n is odd.

Assume that n is even and $s \ge (n/2) - 2$. Let $q := s - (n/2) + 2 \ge 0$ and $r := n - s - t \ge 0$. Then it follows from $\rho \ge 1$ and $n \ge 8\rho$ that

$$\gamma(S,T) = (\rho+1)q + \rho(r+q) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) + \frac{n}{2} - 4\rho - 2$$

$$\geq 2q + r + q + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) - 2.$$

Hence we may assume q = 0 and $r \leq 1$ since otherwise $\gamma(S,T) \geq 0$. If r = 1and $\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) \geq 1$, then $\gamma(S,T) \geq 0$. If r = 0 and $\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) \geq 1$, then $V(H) = S \cup T$ and

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) = \sum_{x \in T} d_{H[T]}(x) = 2|E(H[T])| \equiv 0 \pmod{2},$$

and so $\gamma(S,T) \ge 0$. Therefore it suffices to show that $\sum_{x\in T} d_{H-S}(x) \ge 1$ under the assumption that q = 0 and $0 \le r \le 1$.

Suppose that $\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) = 0$, q = 0 and $0 \le r \le 1$. Let $\overline{S} := V(G) \setminus S \supseteq T$, $X := \{x \in \overline{S} \mid d_G(x) \ge n/2\}$ and $Y := \overline{S} \setminus X$. Then a complete graph G[Y] is contained in C, and it follows from s = (n/2) - 2 that for each vertex $x \in X$, there exist two edges of C which join x to two vertices in \overline{S} . Hence we have

$$|X| + |Y| - 1 = |\overline{S}| - 1 \ge |E(G[\overline{S}]) \cap E(C)| \ge |X| + 1 + |E(G[Y])| = |X| + 1 + \frac{|Y|(|Y| - 1)}{2}$$

which implies $|Y| \ge 2 + |Y|(|Y| - 1)/2$. Now we get a contradiction, because it is obvious that there is no nonnegative integral solution of |Y| to this quadratic inequality. Therefore Claim 1 holds for even n.

We next assume that n is odd and $s \ge (n-3)/2$. Let $q := s - (n-3)/2 \ge 0$ and $r := n - s - t \ge 0$. Then it follows from $\rho \ge 1$ and $n \ge 6\rho - 1$ that

$$\gamma(S,T) = (\rho+1)q + \rho(r+q) + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) + \frac{n}{2} - 3\rho - \frac{3}{2}$$

$$\geq 2q + r + q + \sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) - 2.$$

Hence, by the same argument as above, we may assume that $q = 0, 0 \le r \le 1$ and $\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) = 0$. Let $X := \{x \in \overline{S} \mid d_G(x) \ge (n+1)/2\}$ and $Y := \overline{S} \setminus X$. Then we similarly obtain $|Y| \ge 2 + |Y|(|Y| - 1)/2$, and derive a contradiction. Consequently Claim 1 also holds for odd n.

Claim 2. $T \cap U \neq \emptyset$.

Indeed, assume $T \subseteq W$. Then G[T] is a complete graph and |E(G[T])| = t(t-1)/2. Since C is a Hamiltonian cycle, $|E(G[T]) \cap E(C)| \leq t-1$. Hence

$$\sum_{x \in T} d_{H-S}(x) \ge 2|E(G[T]) \setminus E(C)| \ge t(t-1) - 2(t-1) = (t-1)(t-2).$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma(S,T) &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + (t-1)(t-2) \\ &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + (t-1)\rho \\ &= (\rho+1)s - \rho > 0. \end{aligned} \tag{by (5)}$$

This contradicts (2).

Claim 3. $T \cap W \neq \emptyset$.

Suppose $T \subseteq U$ and n is even. Then for every $x \in T$, we have by (3)

$$\frac{n}{2} \le d_G(x) \le d_{H-S}(x) + s + 2 \le \rho + s + 1,$$

-

which implies $d_{H-S}(x) \ge (n/2) - s - 2$ and $\rho + s + 2 - n/2 \ge 1$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \gamma(S,T) &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + t (\frac{n}{2} - s - 2) \\ &= (\rho+1)s - t (\rho + s + 2 - \frac{n}{2}) \\ &\geq (\rho+1)s - (n-s)(\rho + s + 2 - \frac{n}{2}) \\ &= (\rho+1)s + (\frac{n}{2} - s - 3 + \frac{n}{2} + 3)(\frac{n}{2} - s - 3 - 2\rho + \rho + 1) \\ &= (\frac{n}{2} - s - 3)^2 + (\frac{n}{2} - s - 3)(\frac{n}{2} + 3 - 2\rho) + n - 6\rho \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$
 (by $n \geq 8\rho$ and Claim 1)

This contradicts (2).

Next assume $T \subseteq U$ and n is odd. Then for every $x \in T$, we have

$$\frac{n+1}{2} \le d_G(x) \le d_{H-S}(x) + s + 2 \le \rho + s + 1,$$

which implies $d_{H-S}(x) \ge (n/2) - s - (3/2)$ and $\rho + s + (3/2) - (n/2) \ge 1$. Hence

$$\begin{split} \gamma(S,T) &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t + t(\frac{n}{2} - s - \frac{3}{2}) \\ &= (\rho+1)s - t(\rho + s + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{2}) \\ &\geq (\rho+1)s - (n-s)(\rho + s + \frac{3}{2} - \frac{n}{2}) \\ &= (\frac{n}{2} - s - \frac{5}{2})^2 + (\frac{n}{2} - s - \frac{5}{2})(\frac{n}{2} + \frac{5}{2} - 2\rho) + n - 5\rho \\ &\geq 0. \end{split}$$
 (by $n \geq 6\rho - 1$ and Claim 1)

This contradicts (2). Therefore Claim 2 is proved.

Now put

$$T_1 := T \cap U, \quad T_2 := T \cap W, \quad t_1 = |T_1|, \quad t_2 := |T_2|.$$

By Claims 2 and 3, we have $t_1 \ge 1$ and $t_2 \ge 1$. It is clear that $d_{H-S}(x) \ge d_G(x) - s - 2$ for all $x \in T$, in particular, for every $y \in T_1$,

$$d_{H-S}(y) \ge \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2} - s - 2 & \text{if } n \text{ is even} \\ \frac{n}{2} - s - \frac{3}{2} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(6)

It follows from (3) that

$$\frac{n}{2} - \rho - s - 2 \le -1 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is even, and} \quad \frac{n}{2} - \rho - s - \frac{3}{2} \le -1 \quad \text{if } n \text{ is odd.}$$
(7)

By Claim 1 and by the above inequalities, we have

$$\rho \ge 2. \tag{8}$$

For every $x \in T_2$, we have $d_{H-S}(x) \ge t_2 - 3$ by the fact that G[W] is a complete graph, and obtain the following inequality from (3).

$$t_2 \le \rho + 2. \tag{9}$$

In order to complete the proof, we consider two cases. Assume first n is even. By making use of $n \ge 8\rho$, (6), (7), (8), (9) and Claim 1, we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma(S,T) &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho(t_1+t_2) + t_1(\frac{n}{2}-s-2) \\ &= (\rho+1)s - \rho t_2 + t_1(\frac{n}{2}-s-2-\rho) \\ &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho t_2 + (n-s-t_2)(\frac{n}{2}-\rho-s-2) \\ &= (\frac{n}{2}-s-3)^2 + (\frac{n}{2}-s-3)(\frac{n}{2}+3-2\rho-t_2) \\ &\quad + n-6\rho-t_2 \\ &\geq 2\rho-t_2 \geq \rho+2-t_2 \geq 0. \end{split}$$

This contradicts (2).

We next assume n is odd. Let r := n - s - t. It is easy to see that

$$\sum_{x \in T_2} d_{H-S}(x) \ge 2|E(G[T_2]) \setminus E(C)| \ge t_2(t_2 - 1) - 2(t_2 - 1) = (t_2 - 1)(t_2 - 2).$$
(10)

By using $n \ge 6\rho - 1$, (6), (7), (8) (9) and (10), we have

$$\begin{split} \gamma(S,T) &\geq (\rho+1)s - \rho(t_1+t_2) + t_1(\frac{n}{2}-s-\frac{3}{2}) + (t_2-1)(t_2-2) \\ &= (\rho+1)s + t_1(\frac{n}{2}-\rho-s-\frac{3}{2}) - \rho t_2 + (t_2-1)(t_2-2) \\ &\geq (\rho+1)s + (n-s-t_2-r)(\frac{n}{2}-\rho-s-\frac{3}{2}) - \rho t_2 + (t_2-1)(t_2-2) \\ &= (\frac{n}{2}-s-\frac{5}{2})^2 + (\frac{n}{2}-s-\frac{5}{2})(\frac{n}{2}+\frac{5}{2}-t_2-2\rho) \\ &\quad + n-5\rho + (t_2-1)(t_2-2) - t_2 + r(\rho+s+\frac{3}{2}-\frac{n}{2}) \\ &= (\frac{n}{2}-s-\frac{5}{2})^2 + \rho - 1 + (t_2-1)(t_2-2) - t_2 + r. \end{split}$$

Since $(t_2 - 1)(t_2 - 2) - t_2 \ge -2$ with equality only when $t_2 = 2$, we have $\rho - 1 + (t_2 - 1)(t_2 - 2) - t_2 + r \ge \rho - 1 - 2 + r = \rho - 2 + r - 1 \ge r - 1$ and thus $\gamma(S, T) \ge 0$ unless $s = (n - 5)/2, t_2 = 2, r = 0, \rho = 2$ and (10) holds with equality. Since $t_2 = 2$ and (10) holds with equality,

$$|E(G[T_2])| = |E(G[T_2]) \cap E(C)| = 1$$

Since s = (n+1)/2 - 3 and $\rho = 2$, it follows from (3) and (6) that

$$d_{H-S}(x) = 1$$
 and $d_G(x) = \frac{n+1}{2}$ for all $x \in T_1$.

This implies that all the edges of C incident with vertices in T_1 are contained in $E(G[T]) \setminus E(G[T_2])$, and thus the number of such edges is at least $t_1 + 1$. Therefore $|E(G[T]) \cap C| \ge t_1 + 1 + 1 = t$, contradicting the fact that C is a Hamiltonian cycle of G. Consequently the theorem is proved.

Remark. The condition that $n \ge 8k - 16$ for even n and $n \ge 6k - 13$ for odd nin Theorem 1 are best possible. To see this, either let n be an even integer such that $2k \le n < 8k - 16$ and put m = (n/2) + 2, or let n be an odd integer such that $2k - 1 \le n < 6k - 13$ and put m = (n + 3)/2. Let $C_m = (v_1v_2...v_m)$ be a cycle of order m and $P_{n-m} = (v_{m+1}v_{m+2}...v_n)$ a path of order n - m. Then the join $G := C_m + P_{n-m}$ has no [k, k + 1]-factor containing Hamiltonian cycle $(v_1v_2...v_n)$ but satisfies $\delta(G) \ge k$ and $d_G(x) + d_G(y) \ge n$ for all nonadjacent vertices x and y of G.

We explain why G has no such factor when n is even. By setting $S = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ and $T = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ in (2), we obtain $\gamma(S, T) = (k-1)(n/2-2)-(k-2)(n/2+2)+2 < 0$, which implies G has no such factor.

References

- [1] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications, American Elsevier, New York (1976).
- [2] Cai Mao-cheng, Connected [k, k+1]-factors of graphs, submitted.
- [3] T. Iida and T. Nishimura, An Ore-type condition for the existence of k-factors in graphs, Graphs and Combinat. 7 (1991) 353-361.
- [4] M. Kano, Some current results and problems on factors of graphs, Proc. 3rd China-USA Internat. Conf. on Graph Theory and Its Application, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, (1994) 93-98.
- [5] Yanjun Li and Cai Mao-cheng, A degree condition for the existence of connected factors, Australasian Journal of Combinatorics 14 (1995) 77-83.
- [6] Yanjun Li and Cai Mao-cheng, A degree condition for graphs to have [a, b]factors, J. Graph Theory 27 (1998) 1-6.
- [7] L. Lovász, Subgraphs with prescribed valencies, J. Combin. Theory 8 (1970) 391-416.
- [8] T. Nishimura, A degree condition for the existence of k-factors, J. Graph Theory 16 (1992) 141-151.
- [9] O. Ore, A note on Hamilton circuit, Amer. Math. Soc. 4 (1947) 107-111.
- [10] W.T. Tutte, Graph factors, Combinatorica 1 (1981) 79-97.
- [11] B. Wei and Y. Zhu, Hamiltonian k-factors in graphs, submitted.