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Abstract. A vertex labeling of a graph $G$ is an assignment $f$ of labels to the vertices of $G$ that induces for each edge $xy$ a label depending on the vertex labels $f(x)$ and $f(y)$. The two best known labeling methods are called graceful and harmonious labelings. A function $f$ is called a graceful labeling of a graph $G$ with $q$ edges if $f$ is an injection from the vertices of $G$ to the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ such that, when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $|f(x) - f(y)|$, the resulting edge labels are distinct. A function $f$ is called harmonious if it is an injection from the vertices of $G$ to the group of integers modulo $q$ such that when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $f(x) + f(y) \pmod{q}$, the resulting edge labels are distinct. When $G$ is a tree, exactly one label may be used on two vertices. Over the past three decades many variations of graceful and harmonious labelings have evolved and about 300 papers have been on the subject of graph labeling. In this article we survey what about known the various methods.

1. Introduction

Most graph labeling methods trace their origin to one introduced by Rosa [Ro1] in 1967, or one given by Graham and Sloane [GS] in 1980. Rosa [Ro1] called a function $f$ a $\beta$-valuation of a graph $G$ with $q$ edges if $f$ is an injection from the vertices of $G$ to the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ such that, when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $|f(x) - f(y)|$, the resulting edge labels are distinct. Golomb [Go] subsequently called such labelings graceful and this is now the popular term. Rosa introduced $\beta$-valuations as well as a number of other valuations as tools for decomposing the complete graph into isomorphic subgraphs. In particular, $\beta$-valuations originated as a means of attacking the conjecture of Ringel [Ri] that $K_{2n+1}$ can be decomposed into $2n+1$ subgraphs that are all isomorphic to a given tree with $n$ edges. Although an unpublished result of Erdős says that most graphs are not graceful (cf. [GS]), most graphs that have some sort of regularity of structure are graceful. Sheppard [Sh] has shown that there are exactly $e!$ gracefully labeled graphs with $e$ edges. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar [BS] have shown that every graph is a subgraph of a graceful graph. Rosa [Ro2] has identified essentially three reasons why a graph fails to be graceful: (1) $G$ has “too many vertices” and “not enough edges”, (2) $G$ “has too many edges”, and (3) $G$ “has the wrong parity”. An infinite class of graphs that are not graceful for the second reason is given in [BG]. As an example of the third condition Rosa [Ro1] has shown that if every vertex has even degree and the number of edges is congruent to 1 or 2
(mod 4) then the graph is not graceful. In particular, the cycles $C_{4n+1}$ and $C_{4n+2}$ are not graceful.

Harmonious graphs naturally arose in the study by Graham and Sloane [GS] of modular versions of additive bases problems stemming from error-correcting codes. They defined a graph $G$ with $q$ edges to be harmonious if there is an injection $f$ from the vertices of $G$ to the group of integers modulo $q$ such that when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $f(x) + f(y)$ (mod $q$), the resulting edge labels are distinct. When $G$ is a tree, exactly one label may be used on two vertices. Analogous to the “parity” necessity condition for graceful graphs, Graham and Sloane proved that if a harmonious graph has an even number $q$ of edges and the degree of every vertex is divisible by $2^k$ then $q$ is divisible by $2^{k+1}$. Thus, for example, a book with seven pages (i.e., the cartesian product of the complete bipartite graph $K_{1,7}$ and a path of length 1) is not harmonious. Liu and Zhang [LZ2] have generalized this condition as follows: if a harmonious graph with $q$ edges has degree sequence $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p$ then $\gcd(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p, q)$ divides $q(q - 1)/2$. They have also proved that every graph is a subgraph of a harmonious graph.

Over the past three decades approximately 300 papers have spawned a bewildering array of graph labeling methods. Despite the unabated procession of papers, there are few general results on graph labelings. Indeed, the papers focus on particular classes of graphs and methods, and feature ad hoc arguments. In part because many of the papers have appeared in journals not widely available, frequently the same classes have been done by several authors. In this article, we survey what is known about numerous graph labeling methods. The author requests that he be sent preprints and reprints as well as corrections for inclusion in the updated versions of the survey.

Earlier surveys, restricted to one or two methods, include [Be], [Bl], [KRT2], [Ga2], and [Ga4]. The extension of graceful labelings to directed graphs arose in the characterization of finite neofields by Hsu and Keedwell [HK1], [HK2]. The relationship between graceful digraphs and a variety of algebraic structures including cyclic difference sets, sequenceable groups, generalized complete mappings, near-complete mappings and neofields is discussed in [BH1], [BH2]. The connection between graceful labelings and perfect systems of difference sets is given in [BKT]. Labeled graphs serve as useful models for a broad range of applications such as: coding theory, x-ray crystallography, radar, astronomy, circuit design and communication network addressing—see [BG1] and [BG2] for details. Terms and notation not defined below follow that used in [CL] and [Ga2].

2. Graceful and Harmonious Labelings

2.1. Trees. The Ringel-Kotzig conjecture that all trees are graceful has been the focus of many papers. Among the trees known to be graceful are: caterpillars [Ro1] (a caterpillar is a tree with the property that the removal of its endpoints leaves a path); trees with at most 4 end-vertices [HKR], [Zha] and [JMWG]; trees with at most 27 vertices [AIM]; trees with diameter at most 4 [HKR]; symmetrical trees (i.e., a rooted tree in which every level contains vertices of the same degree) [BS]; and olive trees [PR] (a rooted tree consisting of $k$ branches, where the $i$th branch is a path of length $i$). Stanton and Zarnike [SZ] and Koh, Rogers and Tan [KRT3] gave methods
for combining graceful trees to yield larger graceful trees. Burzio and Ferrarese [BF] have shown that the graph obtained from any graceful tree by subdividing every edge is also graceful. Aldred and McKay [AIM] used a computer to show that all trees with at most 26 vertices are harmonious. That caterpillars are harmonious has been shown by Graham and Sloane [GS]. In 1979 Bermond [Be] conjectured that lobsters are graceful (a lobster is a tree with the property that the removal of the endpoints leaves a caterpillar). Special cases of this conjecture have been done by Ng [N1] and by Wang, Jin, Lu and Zhang [WJLZ]. Whether or not lobsters are harmonious seems to attracted no attention thus far. Chen, Liu and Yeh [CLY] define a firecracker as a graph obtained from the concatenation of stars by linking one leaf from each. They also define a banana tree as a graph obtained by connecting a vertex $v$ to one leaf of each of any number of stars ($v$ is not in any of the stars). They proved that firecrackers are graceful and conjecture that banana trees are graceful. Some bananas trees have been shown to be graceful by Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [BD2]. Despite the efforts of many, the graceful tree conjecture remains open even for trees with maximum degree 3. More specialized results about trees are contained in [Be], [Bl], [KRT2], [LL], [C4] and [JLLLLZ].

2.2. Cycle-Related Graphs. Cycle-related graphs have been the major focus of attention. Rosa [Ro1] showed that the $n$-cycle $C_n$ is graceful if and only if $n \equiv 0$ or $3 \pmod{4}$ and Graham and Sloane [GS] proved that $C_n$ is harmonious if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{4}$. Wheels $W_n = C_n + K_1$ are both graceful and harmonious – [F1], [HK] and [GS]. Notice that a subgraph of a graceful (harmonious) graph need not be graceful (harmonious). The helm $H_n$ is the graph obtained from a wheel by attaching a pendant edge at each vertex of the $n$-cycle. Helms have been shown to be graceful [AF] and harmonious [Gn], [LiuY3], [LiuY4] (see also [LZ2], [SY1], [LiuB2] and [RP1]). Koh, et al. [KRTY] define a web graph as one obtained by joining the pendant points of a helm to form a cycle and then adding a single pendant edge to each vertex of this outer cycle. They ask whether such graphs are graceful. This was proved by Kang, Liang, Gao and Yang [KLYG]. Yang has extended the notion of a web by iterating the process of adding pendant points and joining them to form a cycle and then adding pendant points to the new cycle. In his notation, $W(2,n)$ is the web graph whereas $W(t,n)$ is the generalized web with $t$ $n$-cycles. Yang has shown that $W(3,n)$ and $W(4,n)$ are graceful (see [KLYG]). Gnanajothi [Gn] has shown that webs with odd cycles are harmonious. Seoud and Youssef [SY1] define a closed helm as the graph obtained from a helm by joining each pendant vertex to form a cycle and a flower as the graph obtained from a helm by joining each pendant vertex to the central vertex of the helm. They prove that closed helms and flowers are harmonious when the cycles are odd. A gear graph is obtained from a wheel by adding a vertex between every pair of adjacent vertices of the $n$-cycle. Ma and Feng [MF2] have proved all gears are graceful. Liu [LiuY3] has shown that if two or more vertices are added between every pair of vertices of the $n$-cycle of a wheel, the resulting graph is graceful. Liu [LiuY1] has also proved that the graph obtain from a gear graph by attaching one or more pendant points to each vertex between the cycle vertices is graceful.

Delorme, et al. [DMTKT] and Ma and Feng [MF1] showed that any cycle with a chord is graceful. This was first conjectured by Bodendieck, Schumacher and Wegner.
[BSW2], who proved various special cases. Koh and Yap [KY] generalized this by defining a cycle with a \( P_k \)-chord to be a cycle with the path \( P_k \) joining two non-consecutive vertices of the cycle. They proved that these graphs are graceful when \( k = 3 \) and conjectured that all cycles with a \( P_k \)-chord are graceful. This was proved for \( k \geq 4 \) by Punnim and Pabhapote in 1987 [PP]. Chen [CheZ2], [CheZ3] obtained the same result except for three cases which were then handled by Gao [Gu2]. Xu [X2] proved that all cycles with a chord are harmonious except for \( C_6 \) in the case where the distance in \( C_6 \) between the endpoints of the chord is 2. The gracefulness of cycles with consecutive chords have also been investigated. For \( 3 \leq p \leq n - r \), let \( C_n(p, r) \) denote the \( n \)-cycle with consecutive vertices \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) to which the \( r \) chords \( v_1v_p, v_1v_{p+1}, \ldots, v_1v_{p+r-1} \) have been added. Koh and others, [KRTY] and [KP], have handled the cases \( r = 2, 3 \) and \( n - 3 \) where \( n \) is the length of the cycle. Since then, Ma [Ma] has shown that \( C_n(p, n - p) \) is graceful when \( p \equiv 0, 3 \) (mod 4). Ma, Liu and Liu [MLL] have proved other special cases of these graphs are graceful. Ma also proved that if one adds to the graph \( C_n(3, n - 3) \) any number \( k \) of paths of length 2 from the vertex \( v_1 \) to the vertex \( v_i \) for \( i = 2, \ldots, n \), the resulting graph is graceful. Chen [CheZ1] has shown that apart from four exceptional cases, a graph consisting of three independent paths joining two vertices of a cycle is graceful. This generalizes the result that a cycle plus a chord is graceful. Liu [LiuR] has shown that the \( n \)-cycle with consecutive vertices \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) to which the chords \( v_1v_k \) and \( v_1v_{k+2} \) \( (2 \leq k \leq n - 3) \) are adjoined is graceful.

Truszczyński [T] studied unicyclic graphs (i.e., graphs with a unique cycle) and proved several classes of such graphs are graceful. Among these are what he calls dragons. A dragon is formed by joining the end point of a path to a cycle (Koh, et al. [KRTY] call these tadpoles). This work led Truszczyński to conjecture that all unicyclic graphs except \( C_n \), where \( n \equiv 1 \) or 2 (mod 4), are graceful. Guo [Gu] has shown that dragons are graceful when the length of the cycle is congruent to 1 or 2 (mod 4). In his Master’s thesis, Doma [Do] investigates the gracefulness of various unicyclic graphs where the cycle has up to 9 vertices. Because of the immense diversity of unicyclic graphs, a proof of Truszczyński’s conjecture seems out of reach in the near future.

Cycles that share a vertex have received some attention. Let \( C_n(t) \) denote the one-point union of \( t \) cycles of length \( n \). Bermond and others ([BBG] and [BKT]) proved that \( C_3(t) \) (that is, the friendship graph or Dutch \( t \)-windmill) is graceful if and only if \( t \equiv 0 \) or 1 (mod 4) while Graham and Sloane [GS] proved \( C_3(t) \) is harmonious if and only if \( t \not\equiv 2 \) (mod 4). Koh, et al. [KRLT] conjecture that \( C_n(t) \) is graceful if and only if \( nt \equiv 0 \) or 3 (mod 4). Qian [Q] verifies this conjecture for the case that \( t = 2 \) and \( n \) is even. Bodendiek, Schumacher and Wegner [BSW1] proved that the one-point union of any two cycles is graceful when the number of edges is congruent to 0 or 3 modulo 4. (The other cases violate the necessary parity condition.) Shee [S2] has proved that \( C_4(t) \) is graceful for all \( t \).

Another class of cycle-related graphs is that of triangular cacti. A triangular cactus is a connected graph all of whose blocks are triangles. A triangular snake is a triangular cactus whose block-cutpoint-graph is a path (a triangular snake is obtained from a path \( v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n \) by joining \( v_i \) and \( v_{i+1} \) to a new vertex \( w_i \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, n - 1 \)). Rosa [Ro2] conjectured that all triangular cacti with \( t \equiv 0 \) or 1 (mod 4) blocks are
graceful (the cases where \( t \equiv 2 \) or 3 (mod 4) fail to be graceful because of the parity condition.) Moulton [Mo] proved the conjecture for all triangular snakes. A proof of the general case (i.e., all triangular cacti) seems hopelessly difficult. Liu and Zhang [LZ2] have shown triangular snakes with an odd number of triangles are harmonious while triangular snakes with \( n \equiv 2 \) (mod 4) triangles are not harmonious. Xu [X2] subsequently proved that triangular snakes are harmonious if and only if the number of triangles is not congruent to 2 (mod 4).

Defining \( K_4 \)-snakes analogous to triangular snakes, Grace [Gr3] showed that these are harmonious. Rosa [Ro2] has also considered analogously defined quadrilateral and pentagonal cacti and examined small cases. Gnanajothi [Gn, pp 25-31] has shown that quadrilateral snakes are graceful.

Several people have studied cycles with pendant edges attached. Frucht [F1] proved that any cycle with a pendant edge attached at each vertex (i.e., a “crown”) is graceful. Bu, Zhang and He [BZH] have shown that any cycle with a fixed number of pendant edges adjoined to each vertex is graceful. Grace [Gr2] show that an odd cycle with one or more pendant edges at each vertex is harmonious and conjectured that an even cycle with one pendant edge attached at each vertex is harmonious. This has been proved by Liu and Zhang [LZ1], Liu [LiuY3] and [LiuY4], Huang [Hua] and Bu [Bu2]. For any \( n \geq 3 \) and any \( t \) with \( 1 \leq t \leq n \), let \( C_n^{+t} \) denote the class of graphs formed by adding a single pendant edge to \( t \) vertices of a cycle of length \( n \). Ropp [Rop] proved that for every \( n \) and \( t \) the class \( C_n^{+t} \) contains a graceful graph. Gallian and Ropp [Ga2] conjecture that for all \( n \) and \( t \), all members of \( C_n^{+t} \) are graceful. This was proved by Qian [Q] and by Kang, Liang, Gao and Yang [KLGY]. Of course, this is just a special case of the aforementioned conjecture of Truszczynski that all unicyclic graphs except \( C_n \) for \( n \equiv 1 \) or 2 (mod 4) are graceful.

2.3. Product Related Graphs. Graphs that are cartesian products and related graphs have been the subject of many papers. That planar grids, \( P_m \times P_n \), are graceful was proved by Acharya and Gill [AG] in 1978 although the much simpler labeling scheme given by Maheo [Mah] in 1980 for \( P_m \times P_2 \) readily extends to all grids. In 1980 Graham and Sloane [GS] proved ladders, \( P_m \times P_2 \), are harmonious when \( m > 2 \) and in 1992 Jungreis and Reid [JR] showed that the grids \( P_m \times P_n \) are harmonious when \( (m,n) \neq (2,2) \). A few people have looked at graphs obtained from planar grids in various ways. Kathiresan [Kat1] has shown that graphs obtained from ladders by subdividing each step exactly once are graceful and that graphs obtained by appending an edge to each vertex of a ladder are graceful [Kat2]. Acharya [A2] has shown that certain subgraphs of grid graphs are graceful. Lee [L1] defines a Mongolian tent as a graph obtained from \( P_m \times P_n \), \( n \) odd, by adding one extra vertex above the grid and joining every other vertex of the top row of \( P_m \times P_n \) to the new vertex. A Mongolian village is a graph formed by successively amalgamating copies of Mongolian tents with the same number of rows so that adjacent tents share a column. Lee proves that Mongolian tents and villages are graceful. A Young tableau is a subgraph of \( P_m \times P_n \) obtained by retaining the first two rows of \( P_m \times P_n \) and deleting vertices from the right hand end of other rows in such a way that the lengths of the successive rows form a nonincreasing sequence. Lee and K. C. Ng [LNK] have proved that all Young tableaus are graceful. Lee [L1] has also defined a variation of Mongolian tents by adding an extra vertex above the top row of a Young tableau and
joining every other vertex of that row to the extra vertex. He proves these graphs are graceful.

Prisms are graphs of the form $C_m \times P_n$. These can be viewed as grids on cylinders. In 1977 Bodendiek, Schumacher and Wegner [BSW2] proved that $C_m \times P_2$ is graceful when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ According to the survey by Bermond [Be], T. Gangopadhyay and S. P. Rao Hebbare did the case that $m$ is even about the same time. In a 1979 paper, Frucht [F1] stated without proof that he had done all $m$. A complete proof of all cases and some related results were given by Frucht and Gallian [FG] in 1988. In 1992 Jungreis and Reid [JR] proved that all $C_m \times P_n$ are graceful when $m$ and $n$ are even or when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Yang and Wang [YW1] have shown that the prisms $C_{4m+2} \times P_{4m+3}$ are graceful. Singh [Sin1] proved that $C_3 \times P_n$ is graceful for all $n$. In their 1980 paper Graham and Sloane [GS] proved that $C_m \times P_n$ is harmonious when $n$ is odd and they used a computer to show $C_4 \times P_2$, the cube, is not harmonious. In 1992 Gallian, Prout and Winters [GPW] proved that $C_m \times P_2$ is harmonious when $m \neq 4$. In 1992, Jungreis and Reid [JR] showed that $C_4 \times P_n$ is harmonious when $n \geq 3$. Huang and Skiena [HuS] have shown that $C_m \times P_n$ is graceful for all $n$ when $m$ is even and for all $n$ with $3 \leq n \leq 12$ when $m$ is odd.

Torus grids are graphs of the form $C_m \times C_n$ ($m > 2, n > 2$). Very little success has been achieved with these graphs. The graceful parity condition is violated for $C_m \times C_n$ when $m$ and $n$ are odd and the harmonious parity condition [GS, Theorem 11] is violated for $C_m \times C_n$ when $m \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n$ is odd. The only result I’m aware of was done in 1992 by Jungreis and Reid [JR] who showed that $C_m \times C_n$ is graceful when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n$ is even. A complete solution to both the graceful and harmonious torus grid problems will most likely involve a large number of cases.

There has been some work done on prism-related graphs. Gallian, Prout and Winters [GPW] proved that all prisms $C_m \times P_2$ with a single vertex deleted or single edge deleted are graceful and harmonious. The Möbius ladder $M_n$ is the graph obtained from the ladder $P_n \times P_2$ by joining the opposite end points of the two copies of $P_n$. In 1989 Gallian [Ga1] showed that all Möbius ladders are graceful and all but $M_3$ are harmonious. Ropp [Rop] has examined two classes of prisms with pendant edges attached. He proved that all $C_m \times P_2$ with a single pendant edge at each vertex are graceful and all $C_m \times P_2$ with a single pendant edge at each vertex of one of the cycles are graceful.

Another class of cartesian products that has been studied is that of books and “stacked” books. The book $B_m$ is the graph $S_m \times P_2$ where $S_m$ is the star with $m + 1$ vertices. In 1980 Maheo [Mah] proved that the books of the form $B_{2m}$ are graceful and conjectured that the books $B_{4m+1}$ were also graceful. (The books $B_{4m+3}$ do not satisfy the graceful parity condition.) This conjecture was verified by Delorme [D] in 1980. Maheo [Mah] also proved that $L_m \times P_2$ and $B_{2m} \times P_2$ are graceful. Both Grace [Gr1] and Reid (see [GJ]) have given harmonious labelings for $B_{2m}$. The books $B_{4m+3}$ do not satisfy the harmonious parity condition [GS, Theorem 11]. Gallian and Jungreis [GJ] conjectured that the books $B_{4m+1}$ are harmonious. Gnanajothi [Gn] has verified this conjecture by showing $B_{4m+1}$ has an even stronger form of labeling – see Section 4.1. Liang [Li] also proved the conjecture. In their 1988 paper Gallian and Jungreis [GJ] defined a stacked book as a graph of the form $S_m \times P_n$. They proved that the stacked books of the form $S_{2m} \times P_n$ are graceful and posed the case $S_{2m+1} \times P_n$ as...
an open question. The n-cube \(K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2\) (n copies) was shown to be graceful by Kotzig [K1]—see also [Meh]. In 1986 Reid [Re] found a harmonious labeling for \(K_4 \times P_n\).

The symmetric product \(G_1 \oplus G_2\) of graphs \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) is the graph with vertex set \(V(G_1) \times V(G_2)\) and edge set \(\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\mid x_1 x_2 \in E(G_1)\text{ or } y_1 y_2 \in E(G_2)\text{ but not both}\}.\) The composition \(G_1[G_2]\) is the graph having vertex set \(V(G_1) \times V(G_2)\) and edge set \(\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)\mid x_1 x_2 \in E(G_1)\text{ or } x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } y_1 y_2 \in E(G_2)\}\). Seoud and Youssef [SY4] have proved that \(P_n \oplus K_2\) is graceful when \(n > 1\) and \(P_n[P_2]\) is harmonious for all \(n\). They also observe that the graphs \(C_m \oplus C_n\) and \(C_m[C_n]\) violates parity conditions for graceful and harmonious graphs when \(m\) and \(n\) are odd.

### 2.4. Complete Graphs.

The questions of the gracefulfulness and harmoniousness of the complete graphs \(K_n\) have been answered. In each case the answer is positive if and only if \(n \leq 4\) ([Go], [Si], [GS]). Both Rosa [Ro1] and Golomb [Go] proved that the complete bipartite graphs \(K_{m,n}\) are graceful while Graham and Sloane [GS] showed they are harmonious if and only if \(m = 1\) or \(n = 1\). Aravamudhan and Murugan [AM] have shown that the complete tripartite graph \(K_{1,m,n}\) is both graceful and harmonious while Gnanajothi [Gn, pp.25-31] has shown that \(K_{1,1,m,n}\) is both graceful and harmonious and \(K_{2,m,n}\) is graceful. Some of the same results have been obtained by Seoud and Youssef [SY3]. They also observed that when \(m\), \(n\), and \(p\) are congruent to 2 (mod 4), \(K_{m,n,p}\) violates the parity conditions for harmonious graphs.

Define the windmill graphs \(K_n^{(m)}\) (\(n > 3\)) to be the family of graphs consisting of \(m\) copies of \(K_n\) with a vertex in common. A necessary condition for \(K_n^{(m)}\) to be graceful is that \(n \leq 5\) — see [KRTY]. Bermond [Be] has conjectured that \(K_4^{(m)}\) is graceful for all \(m \geq 4\). This is known to be true for \(m \leq 22\) [HuS]. Bermond, Kotzig and Turgeon [BKT] proved that \(K_n^{(m)}\) is not graceful when \(n = 4\) and \(m = 2\) or 3 and when \(m = 2\) and \(n = 5\). In 1982 Hsu [Hs] proved that \(K_4^{(m)}\) is harmonious for all \(m\). Graham and Sloane [GS] conjectured that \(K_2^{(2)}\) is harmonious if and only if \(n = 4\). They verified this conjecture for the cases that \(n\) is odd or \(n = 6\). Liu [LiuB2] has shown that \(K_n^{(2)}\) is not harmonious if \(n = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s}\) where \(a, a_1, \ldots, a_s\) are positive integers and \(p_1, \ldots, p_s\) are distinct odd primes and there is a \(j\) for which \(p_j \equiv 3\) (mod 4) and \(a_j\) is odd. He also shows that \(K_n^{(3)}\) is not harmonious when \(n \equiv 0\) (mod 4) and \(3n = 4^r(8k + 7)\) or \(n \equiv 5\) (mod 8). Koh et al. [KRLT] and Rajasingh and Pushpam [RP2] have shown that \(K_n^{(d)}\) the one-point union of \(t\) copies of \(K_{m,n}\), is graceful.

Koh et al. [KRTY] introduced the notation \(B(n,r,m)\) for the graph consisting of \(m\) copies of \(K_n\) with a \(K_r\) in common. (Guo [Gu2] has used the notation \(B(n,r,m)\) to denote three independent paths of lengths \(n\), \(r\) and \(m\) joining two vertices.) Bermond [Be] raised the question: “For which \(m\), \(n\), and \(r\) is \(B(n,r,m)\) graceful?” Of course, the case \(r = 1\) is the same as \(K_n^{(m)}\). For \(r > 1\), \(B(n,r,m)\) is graceful in the following cases: \(n = 3, r = 2, m \geq 1\) [KRL]; \(n = 4, r = 2, m \geq 1\) [B]; \(n = 4, r = 3, m \geq 1\) (see [Be]), [KRL]. Seoud and Youssef [SY3] have proved \(B(3, 2, m)\) and \(B(4, 3, m)\) are harmonious. Liu [LiuB1] has shown that if there is a prime \(p\) such that \(p \equiv 3\) (mod 4) and \(p\) divides both \(n\) and \(n - 2\) and the highest power of \(p\) that divides \(n\) and \(n - 2\) is odd, then \(B(n, 2, 2)\) is not graceful. More generally, Bermond and Farhi [BF] have considered the class of graphs consisting of \(m\) copies of \(K_n\) having exactly \(a\) copies
of $K_r$ in common. They proved such graphs are not graceful for $n$ sufficiently large compared to $r$.

2.5. **Disconnected Graphs.** There have been many papers dealing with graphs that are not connected. In 1975 Kotzig [K2] considered graphs that are the disjoint union of $r$ cycles of length $s$, denoted by $rC_s$. When $rs \equiv 1 \text{ or } 2 \pmod{4}$, these graphs violate the parity condition and so are not graceful. Kotzig proved that when $r = 3$ and $s = 4k > 4$, then $rC_s$ has a stronger form of graceful labeling called $\alpha$-labeling (see Section 3.1) whereas when $r \geq 2$ and $s = 3$ or 5, $rC_s$ is not graceful. In 1984 Kotzig [K4] once again investigated the gracefulfulness of $rC_s$ as well as graphs that are the disjoint union of odd cycles. For graphs of the latter kind he gives several necessary conditions. His paper concludes with an elaborate table that summarizes what was then known about the gracefulfulness of $rC_s$. He [He] has shown that graphs of the form $2C_{2m}$ and graphs obtained by connecting two copies of $C_{2m}$ with an edge are graceful. Cahit [C7] has shown that $rC_s$ is harmonious when $r$ and $s$ are odd and Seoud, Abdel el Maqsoud and Sheen [SAS1] noted that when $r$ or $s$ is even, $rC_s$ is not harmonious. Seoud, Abdel el Maqsoud and Sheen [SAS1] proved that $C_n \cup C_{n+1}$ is harmonious if and only if $n \geq 4$. They conjecture that $C_3 \cup C_{2n}$ is harmonious when $k \geq 3$. In 1978 Kotzig and Turgeon [KT] proved that $mK_n$ (i.e., the union of $m$ disjoint copies of $K_n$) is graceful if and only if $m = 1$ and $n \leq 4$. Liu and Zhang [LZ2] have shown that $mK_n$ is not harmonious for $n$ odd and $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and is harmonious for $n = 3$ and $m$ odd. They conjecture that $mK_3$ is not harmonious when $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Bu and Cao [BC1] give some sufficient conditions for the gracefulfulness of graphs of the form $K_{m,n} \cup G$ and that prove $K_{m,n} \cup P_t$ and the disjoint union of complete bipartite graphs are graceful under some conditions.

A **Skolem sequence** of order $n$ is a sequence $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2n}$ of $2n$ terms such that, for each $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$, there exist exactly two subscripts $i(k)$ and $j(k)$ with $s_{i(k)} = s_{j(k)} = k$ and $|i(k) - j(k)| = k$. A Skolem sequence of order $n$ exists if and only if it exists for $n = 1$ or $n = 1 \pmod{4}$. Ahram [Ab2] has proved that any graceful 2-regular graph of order $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ in which all the component cycles are even or of order $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, with exactly one component an odd cycle, can be used to construct a Skolem sequence of order $n+1$. Also, he showed that certain special Skolem sequences of order $n$ can be used to generate graceful labelings on certain 2-regular graphs.

In 1985 Frucht and Salinas [FS] conjectured that $C_s \cup P_n$ is graceful if and only if $s + n \geq 7$ and they proved the conjecture for the case that $s = 4$. Frucht [F3] did the case $s = 3$ and the case $s = 2n + 1$. Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [BD5] also did the case $s = 3$ and they have done the cases of the form $C_{2x+1} \cup P_{x-2\theta}$ where $1 \leq \theta \leq \lfloor(x-2)/2\rfloor$ [BD1]. Choudum and Kishore [CK2] have done the cases where $s \geq 5$ and $n \geq (s+5)/2$ and Kishore [Kis] did the case $s = 5$. Gao and Liang [GL] have done the following cases: $s > 4, n = 2$ (see also [Gao]); $s = 4k, n = k+2, n = k+3, n = 2k+2; s = 4k+1, n = 2k, n = 3k-1, n = 4k-1; s = 4k+2, n = 3k, n = 3k+1, n = 4k+1; s = 4k+3, n = 2k+1, n = 3k, n = 4k$. Seoud, Abd el Maqsoud and Sheehan [SAS2] did the case that $s = 2k (k \geq 3)$ and $n \geq k+1$. Seoud and Youssef [SY2] have shown that $K_5 \cup K_{m,n}, K_{m,n} \cup K_{p,q} (m, n, p, q \geq 2), K_{m,n} \cup K_{p,q} \cup K_{r,s} (m, n, p, q, r, s \geq 2, (p, q) \neq (2, 2)),$ and $pK_{m,n} (m, n \geq 2, (m, n) \neq (2, 2))$ are graceful. They also prove that $C_4 \cup K_{1,n} (n \neq 2)$ is not graceful whereas Choudum and Kishore [CK4], [Kis] have proved that $C_s \cup K_{1,n}$ is graceful for every $s \geq 7$ and $n \geq 1$. Lee, Quach
and Wang [LQW] established the gracefulfulness of $P_s \cup K_{1,n}$. Seoud and Wilson [SW] have shown that $C_5 \cup K_4, C_3 \cup C_3 \cup K_4$ and certain graphs of the form $C_3 \cup P_n$ and $C_3 \cup C_3 \cup P_n$ are not graceful. Abram and Kotzig [AK4] proved that $C_p \cup C_q$ is graceful if and only if $p + q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Zhou [Zh] proved that $K_m \cup K_n$ is graceful if and only if $\{m, n\} = \{4, 2\}$ or $\{5, 2\}$. Shee [S1] has shown that graphs of the form $P_3 \cup C_{2k+1}$ $(k > 1)$, $P_3 \cup C_{2k-1}$, $P_n \cup C_3$ and $S_n \cup C_{2k+1}$ all satisfy a condition that is a bit weaker than harmonious. Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [BD3] have shown that $C_{4t} \cup K_{1,4t-1}$ and $C_{4t+3} \cup K_{1,4t+2}$ are graceful. Yang and Wang [YW2] proved that $S_m \cup S_n$ is graceful if and only if $m$ or $n$ is odd and that $S_m \cup S_n \cup S_k$ is graceful if and only if at least one of $m, n$ or $k$ is odd $(m > 1, n > 1, k > 1)$.

2.6. Joins of Graphs. A few classes of graphs that are the join of graphs have been shown to be graceful and harmonious. Among these are fans $P_n + K_1$ [GS] and double fans $P_n + K_2$ [GS]. More generally, Reid [Re] proved that $P_n + K_t$ is harmonious and Grace showed [Gr2] that if $T$ is any graceful tree, then $T + K_t$ is also graceful. Fu and Wu [FW] proved that if $T$ is a graceful tree, then $T + S_k$ is graceful. Of course, wheels are of the form $C_n + K_1$ and are graceful and harmonious. Hebbare [H] showed that $S_m + K_1$ is graceful for all $m$. Shee [S] has proved $K_{m,n} + K_1$ is harmonious and observed that various cases of $K_{m,n} + K_t$ violate the parity condition in [GS]. Liu and Zhang [LZ2] have proved that $K_2 + K_2 + \cdots + K_2$ is harmonious. Yuan and Zhu [YZ] proved that $K_{m,n} + K_2$ is graceful. Gnanajothi [Ga, pp.80-127] obtained the following: $C_n + K_2$ is harmonious when $n$ is odd and not harmonious when $n \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{8}$; $S_n + K_1$ is harmonious; $P_n + K_t$ is harmonious. Yuan and Zhu [YZ] proved that $K_{m,n} + K_2$ is harmonious. Balakrishnan and Kumar [BK2] have proved that the join of $K_n$ and two disjoint copies of $K_2$ is harmonious if and only if $n$ is even. Bu [Bu2] obtained partial results for the gracefulness of $K_n + K_m$.

Seoud and Youssef [SY4] have proved: the join of any two stars is graceful and harmonious; the join of any path and any star is graceful; and $C_n + K_p$ is harmonious for every $p$ when $n$ is odd. They also prove that if any edge is added to $K_{m,n}$ the resulting graph is harmonious if $m$ or $n$ is at least 2. Deng [De] has shown certain cases of $C_n + K_p$ are harmonious.

2.7. Miscellaneous Results. It is easy to see that $P^2_n$ is harmonious [Gr2] while a proof that $P^2_n$ is graceful has been given by Kang, Liang, Gao and Yang [KLGY]. ($P^k_n$, the $k$th power of $P_n$, is the graph obtained from $P_n$ by adding edges that join all vertices $u$ and $v$ with $d(u, v) = k$.) This latter result proved a conjecture of Grace [Gr2]. Seoud, Abd el Maqsoud and Sheeham [SAS1] proved that $P^3_n$ is harmonious and conjecture that $P^k_n$ is not harmonious when $k > 3$. However, Youssef [Yo] has observed that $P^3_8$ is harmonious. Gnanajothi [Gn, p.50] has shown that the graph that consists of $n$ copies of $C_6$ that have exactly $P_4$ in common is graceful if and only if $n$ is even. For a fixed $n$, let $v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3}$ and $v_{i4}$ $(1 \leq i \leq n)$ be consecutive vertices of a 4-cycle. Gnanajothi [Gn, p. 35] also proves: the graph obtained by joining each $v_{i1}$ to $v_{i+1}$ is graceful for all $n$; the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$ is harmonious in all cases (see also [LSS]). $(P(n, k)$, where $n \geq 5$ and $1 \leq k \leq n$, has vertex set \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\} and edge set \{a_ia_{i+1} \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\} \cup \{a_ib_i \mid i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1\}$ where all subscripts are taken modulo $n$.) The gracefulness of the generalized Petersen graphs appears to be an open problem.
Yuan and Zhu [YZ] define a generalization of $P^2_n$ as follows: $P_n(2k)$ is the graph obtained from the path $P_n$ by adding edges that join all vertices $x$ and $y$ with $d(x,y) = 2k$. They proved that $P_n(2k)$ is harmonious when $1 \leq k \leq \frac{n-1}{2}$ and that $P_n(2k)$ has a stronger form of harmonious labeling (see Section 4.1) when $2k - 1 \leq n \leq 4k - 1$. Cahit [C7] defines a $p$-star as the graph obtained by joining $p$ disjoint paths of length $k$ to single vertex. He proves all such graphs are harmonious when $p$ is odd and when $k = 2$ and $p$ is even.

The total graph $T(P_n)$ has vertex set $V(P_n) \cup E(P_n)$ with two vertices adjacent whenever they are neighbors in $P_n$. Balakrishnan, Selvam and Yegnanarayanan [BSY1] have proved that $T(P_n)$ is harmonious.

For any graph $G$ with vertices $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ and a vector $m = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$ of positive integers the corresponding replicated graph, $R_m(G)$, of $G$ is defined as follows. For each $v_i$ form a stable set $S_i$ consisting of $m_i$ new vertices $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$ (recall a stable set $S$ consists of a set of vertices such that there is not an edge $v_iv_j$ for all pairs $v_i, v_j$ in $S$); two stable sets $S_i, S_j, i \neq j,$ form a complete bipartite graph if each $v_iv_j$ is an edge in $G$ and otherwise there are no edges between $S_i$ and $S_j$. Ramírez-Alfonsín [Ra] has proved that $R_m(P_n)$ is graceful for all $m$ and all $n > 1$ and that $R_{(2,2,\ldots,2)}(C_{2n})$ is graceful.

For any permutation $f$ on $1, \ldots, n$, the $f$-permutation graph on a graph $G, P(G,f)$, consists of two disjoint copies of $G, G_1$ and $G_2$, each of which has vertices labeled $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ with $n$ edges obtained by joining each $v_i$ in $G_1$ to $v_{f(i)}$ in $G_2$. In 1983 Lee (see [LWK]) conjectured that for all $n > 1$ and all permutations on $1, 2, \ldots, n$, the permutation graph $P(P_n, f)$ is graceful. Lee, Wang and Kiang [LWK] proved that $P(P_{2k}, f)$ is graceful when $f = (12)(34) \cdots (k, k+1) \cdots (2k-1, 2k)$. They conjectured that if $G$ is a graceful nonbipartite graph with $n$ vertices then for any permutation $f$ on $1, 2, \ldots, n$, the permutation graph $P(G,f)$ is graceful. Some families of graceful permutation graphs are given in [LLWK].

Gnanajothi [Gn, p.51] calls a graph $G$ bigraceful if both $G$ and its line graph are graceful. She shows the following are bigraceful: $P_m$; $P_m \times P_n$; $C_n$ if and only if $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$; $S_n$; $K_n$ if and only if $n \leq 3$; $B_n$ if and only if $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. She also shows that $K_{m,n}$ is not bigraceful when $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$. (Gangopadhyay and Hebbare [GH] used the term bigraceful to mean a bipartite graceful graph.)

Several well-known isolated graphs have been examined. Graceful labelings of the Petersen graph, the cube, the icosaedron and the dodecahedron can be found in [Go] and [Gar]. On the other hand, Graham and Sloane [GS] showed that all of these except the cube are harmonious. Winters [Wi] verified that the Grötzsch graph (see [BM], p. 118), the Heawood graph (see [BM], p. 236) and the Herschel graph (see [BM], p. 53) are graceful.

2.8. Summary. The results and conjectures discussed above are summarized in the tables following. The letter G after a class of graphs indicates that the graphs in that class are known to be graceful; a question mark indicates that the gracefulness of the graphs in the class is an open problem; we put a “G” next to a question mark if the graphs have been conjectured to be graceful. The analogous notation with the letter H is used to indicate the status of the graphs with regard to being harmonious. The tables impart at a glimpse what has been done and what needs to be done to close out a particular class of graphs. Of course, there is an unlimited number of graphs
one could consider. One wishes for some general results that would handle several broad classes at once but the experience of many people suggests that this is unlikely to occur soon. The Graceful Tree Conjecture alone has withstood the efforts of scores of people over the past three decades. Analogous sweeping conjectures are probably true but appear hopelessly difficult to prove.
**Table 1. Summary of Graceful Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Graceful</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Trees                          | G if ≤ 27 vertices [AIM]  
G if diameter at most 4 [HKR]  
G if symmetrical [BS]  
G if at most 4 end-vertices [HKR]  
?G Ringel-Kotzig                |
| Cycles $C_n$                   | G iff $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [Ro1]                                     |
| Wheels $W_n$                   | G [F1], [HK]                                                            |
| Helms (see §2.2)               | G [AF]                                                                  |
| Webs (see §2.2)                | G [KLGY]                                                                |
| Gears (see §2.2)               | G [MF2]                                                                 |
| Cycles with $P_k$-chord (see §2.2) | G [DMTKT], [MF1], [KY], [PP]                                           |
| $C_n$ with $k$ consecutive chords (see §2.2) | G if $k = 2, 3, n - 3$ [KP], [KRTY]                                    |
| Unicyclic graphs               | ?G iff $G \neq C_n$, $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ [T]                      |
| $C_n^{(t)}$ (see §2.2)         | $n = 3$ G iff $t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  
[BBG], [BKT]  
?G if $nt \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [KRLT]  
G if $n = 6, t$ even [KRLT]  
G if $n = 4, t > 1$ [S2]  
G if $t = 2, n$ even [Q]  
G if $t = 2, n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [BSW1]  
not G if $t = 2, n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ (parity conditions) |
<p>| Triangular snakes (see §2.2)   | G iff number of blocks $\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ [Mo]                      |
| $K_4$-snakes (see §2.2)        | ?                                                                       |
| Quadilateral snakes (see §2.2) | G [Gn], [Q]                                                            |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Graceful</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crowns $C_n \odot K_1$</td>
<td>G [F1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grids $P_m \times P_n$</td>
<td>G [AG]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Prisms $C_m \times P_n$     | G if $n = 2$ [FG]  
G if $m$ even [HuS], G if $m$ odd,  
$3 \leq n \leq 12$ [HuS]  
G if $m = 3$ [Sin1] |
| Torus grids $C_m \times C_n$| G if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $n$ even [JR]  
not G if $m, n$ odd (parity condition) |
| Vertex-deleted $C_m \times P_n$ | G if $n = 2$ [GPW] |
| Edge-deleted $C_m \times P_n$ | G if $n = 2$ [GP] |
| Möbius ladders $M_n$ (see §2.3) | G [Ga1] |
| Stacked books $S_m \times P_n$ (see §2.3) | $n = 2$, G iff $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ [Mah], [D], [GJ]  
G if $m$ even [GJ] |
| $n$-cube $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$ | G [K1] |
| $K_4 \times P_n$            | ?        |
| $K_n$                       | G iff $n \leq 4$ [Go], [Si] |
| $K_{m,n}$                   | G [Ro1], [Go] |
| $K_{1,m,n}$                 | G [AM]   |
| $K_{1,1,m,n}$               | G [Gn]   |
| Windmills $K_n^{(m)}(n > 3)$ (see §2.4) | G if $n = 4, m \leq 22$ [HuS]  
?G if $n = 4, m \geq 4$ [Be]  
G if $n = 4, 4 \leq m \leq 22$ [HuS]  
not G if $n = 4, m = 2, 3$ [Be]  
not G if $(m, n) = (2, 5)$ [BKT]  
not G if $n > 5$ [KRTY] |
Table 1. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Graceful</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$B(n, r, m)$ $r &gt; 1$ (see §2.4)</td>
<td>G if $(n, r) = (3, 2), (4, 3)$ [KRL], $(4, 2)$ [D]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$mK_n$ (see §2.5)</td>
<td>G iff $m = 1$, $n \leq 4$ [KT]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_s \cup P_n$</td>
<td>? G iff $s + n \geq 7$ [FS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G if $s = 3$ [F3], $s = 4$ [FS], $s = 5$ [Kis]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G if $s &gt; 4$, $n = 2$ [GL]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G if $s = 2n + 1$ [F3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G if $s = 2k$, $n \geq k + 1$ [SAS2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_p \cup C_q$</td>
<td>? G iff $p + q \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [FS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G if $s = 2n + 1$ [F3], $s \geq 5$ and $n \geq (s + 5)/2$ [CK2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fans $F_n = P_n + K_1$</td>
<td>G [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double fans $P_n + \overline{K_2}$</td>
<td>G [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$-point suspension $P_n + \overline{K_t}$ of $P_n$</td>
<td>G [Gr2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_m + K_1$</td>
<td>G [H]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double cone $C_n + \overline{K_2}$</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P^2_n$ (see §2.7)</td>
<td>G [LK]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen $P(n, k)$ (see §2.7)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillars</td>
<td>G [Ro1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobsters</td>
<td>?G [Be]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. **Summary of Harmonious Results**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Harmonious</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trees</td>
<td>H if ≤ 26 vertices [AlM] ?H [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles $C_n$</td>
<td>H iff $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4}$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wheels $W_n$</td>
<td>H [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helms (see §2.2)</td>
<td>H [Gn], [LiuY]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Webs (see §2.2)</td>
<td>H if cycle is odd</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gears (see §2.2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cycles with $P_k$-chord (see §2.2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_n$ with $k$ consecutive chords (see §2.2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unicyclic graphs</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_n^{(t)}$ (see §2.2)</td>
<td>$n = 3$ H iff $t \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H if $n = 4$, $t &gt; 1$ [S2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triangular snakes (see §2.2)</td>
<td>H if number of blocks is odd [LZ2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H if number of blocks $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [LZ2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_4$-snakes (see §2.2)</td>
<td>H [Gr3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quadrilateral snakes (see §2.2)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crowns $C_n \odot K_1$</td>
<td>H [Gr2], [LZ1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grids $P_m \times P_n$</td>
<td>H iff $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$ [JR]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prisms $C_m \times P_n$</td>
<td>H if $n = 2, m \neq 4$ [GPW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H if $n$ odd [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H if $m = 4$ and $n \geq 3$ [JR]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 2. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Harmonious</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Torus grids $C_m \times C_n$,</td>
<td>H if $m = 4$, $n &gt; 1$ [JR]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H if $m \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ and $n$ odd [JR]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vertex-deleted $C_m \times P_n$</td>
<td>H if $n = 2$ [GPW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge-deleted $C_m \times P_n$</td>
<td>H if $n = 2$ [GPW]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Möbius ladders $M_n$ (see §2.3)</td>
<td>H iff $n \neq 3$ [Ga]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stacked books $S_m \times P_n$ (see §2.3)</td>
<td>$n = 2$, H if $m$ even [Gr1], [Re]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H if $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$, $n = 2$, (parity condition)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>H if $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$, $n = 2$ [Gn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$-cube $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$</td>
<td>not H if $n = 2, 3$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_4 \times P_n$</td>
<td>H [Re]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_n$</td>
<td>H iff $n \leq 4$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{m,n}$</td>
<td>H iff $m$ or $n = 1$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{1,m,n}$</td>
<td>H [AM]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$K_{1,1,m,n}$</td>
<td>H [Gn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Windmills $K_n^{(m)}$ ($n &gt; 3$) (see §2.4)</td>
<td>H if $n = 4$ [Hs]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$m = 2$, ?H if $n = 4$ [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H if $m = 2$, $n$ odd or 6 [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H for some cases $m = 3$ [LiuB2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$B(n, r, m)$ $r &gt; 1$ (see §2.4)</td>
<td>$(n, r) = (3, 2), (4, 3)$ [SY3]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$mK_n$ (see §2.5)</td>
<td>H $n = 3$, $m$ odd [LZ2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>not H for $n$ odd, $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [LZ2]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_n \cup P_n$</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fans $F_n = P_n + K_1$</td>
<td>H [GS]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2. continued

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>Harmonious</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Double fans $P_n + K_2$</td>
<td>H [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$-point suspension $P_n + K_t$</td>
<td>H [Re]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_m + K_1$</td>
<td>H [Gn], [CHR]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double cone $C_n + K_2$</td>
<td>H if $n$ odd [Re], [Gn] not H if $n \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{8}$ [Gn]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$P^2_n$ (see §2.7)</td>
<td>H [Gr2], [LZ1]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petersen $P(n, k)$ (see §2.7)</td>
<td>H [Gn], [LSS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caterpillars</td>
<td>H [GS]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lobsters</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Variations of Graceful Labelings

3.1. $\alpha$-labelings. In [Ro1] Rosa defined an $\alpha$-labeling to be a graceful labeling with the additional property that there exists an integer $k$ so that for each edge $xy$ either $f(x) \leq k < f(y)$ or $f(y) \leq k < f(x)$. (Other names for such labelings are balanced and interlaced.) It follows that such a $k$ must be the smaller of the two vertex labels that yield the edge labeled 1. Also, a graph with an $\alpha$-labeling is necessarily bipartite and therefore can not contain a cycle of odd length.

A common theme in graph labeling papers is to build up graphs that have desired labelings from pieces with particular properties. In these situations, starting with a graph that possesses an $\alpha$-labeling is a typical approach. (See [CHR], [Gr2], [CLY] and [JR].) Moreover, Jungreis and Reid [JR] showed how sequential labelings of graphs (see Section 4.1) can often be obtained by modifying $\alpha$-labelings of the graphs.

Graphs with $\alpha$-labelings have proved useful in the development of the theory of graph decompositions. Rosa [Ro1], for instance, has shown that if $G$ is a graph with $q$ edges and has an $\alpha$-labeling, then for every natural number $p$, the complete graph $K_{2qp+1}$ can be decomposed into copies of $G$ in such a way that the automorphism group of the decomposition itself contains $Z_n$, the cyclic group of order $n$. Although a proof of Ringel’s conjecture that every tree has a graceful labeling has withstood many attempts, examples of trees that do not have $\alpha$-labelings are easy to construct (see [Ro1]).

As to which graphs have $\alpha$-labelings, Rosa [Ro1] observed that the $n$-cycle has an $\alpha$-labeling if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ while $P_n$ always has an $\alpha$-labeling. Other familiar graphs that have $\alpha$-labelings include caterpillars [Ro1], the $n$-cube [Ro1],
Given two bipartite graphs $G_1 = (H_1, L_1, E)$ and $G_2 = (H_2, L_2, E)$, Snevily [Sn1] defines their weak tensor product $G_1 \boxtimes G_2$ as the bipartite graph with vertex set $(H_1 \times H_2, L_1 \times L_2)$ and with edge $(h_1, h_2)(l_1, l_2)$ if $h_1l_1 \in E(G_1)$ and $h_2l_2 \in E(G_2)$. He proves that if $G_1$ and $G_2$ have $\alpha$-labelings then so does $G_1 \boxtimes G_2$. This result considerably enlarges the class of graphs known to have $\alpha$-labelings.

The sequential join of graphs $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$ is formed from $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_n$ by adding edges joining each vertex of $G_i$ with each vertex of $G_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Lee and Wang [LW1] have shown that for all $n \geq 2$ and any positive integers $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$ the sequential join of the graphs $K_{a_1}, K_{a_2}, \ldots, K_{a_n}$ has an $\alpha$-labeling.

In [Ga2] Gallian and Ropp conjectured that every graph obtained by adding a single pendant edge to one or more vertices of a cycle is graceful. Qian [Q] has proved this conjecture and in the case that the cycle is even he shows the graphs have an
α-labeling. He further proves that for n even any graph obtained from an n-cycle by adding one or more pendant edges at some vertices has an α-labeling as long as at least one vertex has degree 3 and one vertex has degree 2.

For any tree T(V,E) whose vertices are properly 2-colored Rosa and Širán [RS] define a bipartite labeling of T as a bijection $f : V \to \{0,1,2,\ldots,|E|\}$ for which there is a $k$ such that whenever $f(u) \leq k \leq f(v)$, then $u$ and $v$ have different colors. They define the $\alpha$-size of a tree T as the maximum number of distinct values of the induced edge labels $|f(u) - f(v)|$, $uv \in E$, taken over all bipartite labelings $f$ of $T$. They prove that the $\alpha$-size of any tree with $n$ edges is at least $5(n+1)/7$ and that there exist trees whose $\alpha$-size is at most $(5n+9)/6$. They conjectured that minimum of the $\alpha$-sizes over all trees with $n$ edges is asymptotically $5n/6$. This conjecture has been proved for trees of maximum degree 3 by Bonnington and Širán [BoS]. Heinrich and Hell [HeH] defined the grace-size of a graph $G$ with $n$ vertices as the maximum, over all bijections $f : V(G) \to \{1,2,\ldots,n\}$, of the number of distinct values $|f(u) - f(v)|$ over all edges $uv$ of $G$. So, from Rosa and Širán’s result, the grace-size of any tree with $n$ edges is at least $5(n+1)/7$.

In [GPW] Gallian weakened the condition for an α-labeling somewhat by defining a weakly α-labeling as a graceful labeling for which there is an integer $k$ so that for each edge $xy$ either $f(x) \leq k \leq f(y)$ or $f(y) \leq k \leq f(x)$. This condition allows the graph to have an odd cycle, but still places a severe restriction on the structure of the graph. Namely, that the vertex with the label $k$ must be on every odd cycle. Gallian, Prout and Winters [GPW] showed that the prisms $C_n \times P_2$ with a vertex deleted have α-labelings. The same paper reveals that $C_n \times P_2$ with an edge deleted from a cycle has an α-labeling when $n$ is even and a weakly α-labeling when $n > 3$.

A special case of α-labelings called strongly graceful was introduced by Maheo [Mah] in 1980. A graceful labeling $f$ of a graph $G$ is called strongly graceful if $G$ is bipartite with two partite sets $A$ and $B$ of the same order $s$, the number of edges is $2t + s$, there is an integer $k$ with $t - s \leq k \leq t + s - 1$ such that if $a \in A$, $f(a) \leq k$, and if $b \in B$, $f(b) > k$, and there is an involution $\pi$ which is an automorphism of $G$ such that: $\pi$ exchanges $A$ and $B$ and the $s$ edges $a\pi(a)$ where $a \in A$ have as labels the integers between $t + 1$ and $t + s$. Maheo’s main result is that if $G$ is strongly graceful then so is $G \times Q_n$. In particular, she proved that $(P_n \times Q_n) \times K_2$, $B_{2n}$ and $B_{2n} \times Q_n$ have strongly graceful labelings. El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden [EV] call a strongly graceful labeling a strong α-valuation. El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden proved that $K_{m,2} \times Q_n$ has a strong α-valuation and that $K_{m,2} \times P_n$ has an α-labeling for all $n$. They also prove that if $G$ is a connected bipartite graph with partite sets of odd order and such that in each partite set each vertex has the same degree, then $G \times K_2$ does not have a strong α-valuation. As a corollary they have that $K_{m,n} \times K_2$ does not have a strong α-valuation when $m$ and $n$ are odd.

Another special case of α-labelings for trees was introduced by Ringel, Llado and Serra [RLS] in 1995 in an approach to proving their conjecture $K_{n,n}$ is edge-decomposable into copies of any given tree with $n$ edges. If $T$ is a tree with $n$ edges and partite sets $A$ and $B$, they define a labeling $f$ from the set of vertices to $\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ to be a bigraceful labeling of $T$ if $f$ restricted to $A$ is injective, $f$ restricted to $B$ is injective and the edge labels given by $f(y) - f(x)$ where $yx$ is an edge with $y$ in $B$ and $x$ in $A$ is the set $\{0,1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$. (Notice that this terminology conflicts
with that given in Section 2.7). Among the graphs that they show are bigraceful are: lobsters, trees of diameter at most 5, stars $S_{k,m}$ with $k$ spokes of paths of length $m$, and complete $d$-ary trees for $d$ odd. They also prove that if $T$ is a tree then there is a vertex $v$ and a nonnegative integer $m$ such that the addition of $m$ leaves to $v$ results in a bigraceful tree. They conjecture that all trees are bigraceful.

3.2. $k$-graceful Labelings. A natural generalization of graceful graphs is the notion of $k$-graceful graphs introduced independently by Slater [Sl2] in 1982 and by Maheo and Thuillier [MT] in 1982. A graph $G$ with $q$ edges is $k$-graceful if there is labeling $f$ from the vertices of $G$ to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q + k - 1\} such that the set of edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the labels of adjacent vertices is \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q + k - 1\}. Obviously, 1-graceful is graceful and it is readily shown that any graph that has an $\alpha$-labeling is $k$-graceful for all $k$. Graphs that are $k$-graceful for all $k$ are sometimes called arbitrarily graceful. Ng [N2] has shown that there are graphs that are $k$-graceful for all $k$ but do not have an $\alpha$-labeling.

Results of Maheo and Thuillier [MT] together with those of Slater [Sl2] show that: $C_n$ is $k$-graceful if and only if either $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ with $k$ even and $k \leq (n-1)/2$, or $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ with $k$ odd and $k \leq (n^2 - 1)/2$. Maheo and Thuillier [MT] also proved that the wheel $W_{2k+1}$ is $k$-graceful and conjectured that $W_{2k}$ is $k$-graceful when $k \neq 3$ or $k \neq 4$. This conjecture was proved by Liang, Sun and Xu [LSX]. Kang [Ka] proved that $P_n \times C_m$ is $k$-graceful for all $k$. Lee and Wang [LW2] showed that all pyramids, lotuses and diamonds are $k$-graceful and Liang and Liu [LL] have shown that $K_{m,n}$ is $k$-graceful. Bu, Gao and Zhang [BGZ] have proved that $P_n \times P_2$ and $(P_n \times P_2) \cup (P_n \times P_2)$ are $k$-graceful for all $k$. Acharya (see [A2]) has shown that a $k$-graceful Eulerian graph with $q$ edges must satisfy one of the following conditions: $q \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $q \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ if $k$ is even, or $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ if $k$ is odd. Bu, Zhang and He [BZH] have shown that an even cycle with a fixed number of pendant edges adjoined to each vertex is $k$-graceful.

Several authors have investigated the $k$-gracefulness of various classes of subgraphs of grid graphs. Acharya [A1] proved that all 2-dimensional polyominoes that are convex and Eulerian are $k$-graceful for all $k$; Lee [L1] showed that Mongolian tents and Mongolian villages are $k$-graceful for all $k$ (see Section 2.3 for definitions); Lee and K. C. Ng [LNK] proved that all Young tableaux are $k$-graceful for all $k$ (see Section 2.3 for definitions). Lee and H. K. Ng [LNH] subsequently generalized these results on Young tableaux to a wider class of planar graphs.

Let $c, m, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$ be positive integers. For $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$, let $S_i$ be a set of $p_i + 1$ integers and let $D_i$ be the set of positive differences of the pairs of elements of $S_i$. If all these differences are difference then the system $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$ is called a perfect system of difference sets starting at $c$ if the union of all the sets $D_i$ is $c, c + 1, \ldots, c + m - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \left( p_i + 1 \right)/2$. There is a relationship between $k$-graceful graphs and perfect systems of difference sets. A perfect system of difference sets starting with $c$ describes a $c$-graceful labeling of a graph which is decomposable into complete subgraphs. A survey of perfect systems of difference sets is given in [Ab1].

Acharya and Hegde [AH2] generalized $k$-graceful to $(k, d)$-graceful labelings by permitting the vertex labels to belong to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k \times (q-1)d\} and requiring the set of edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of labels of adjacent vertices...
to be \{k, k + d, k + 2d, \ldots, k + (q - 1)d\}. They also introduce an analog of \( \alpha \)-labelings in the obvious way. Notice that a \((1,1)\)-graceful labeling is a graceful labeling and a \((k,1)\)-graceful labeling is a \(k\)-graceful labeling. Bu and Zhang [BZ] have shown that \( K_{m,n} \) is \((k,d)\)-graceful for all \( k \) and \( d \); for \( n > 2 \), \( K_n \) is \((k,d)\)-graceful if and only if \( k = d \) and \( n \leq 4 \); if \( m_i, n_i \geq 2 \) and max\(\{m_i, n_i\}\) \( \geq 3 \), then \( K_{m_1,n_1} \cup K_{m_2,n_2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{m_r,n_r} \) is \((k,d)\)-graceful for all \( k \), and \( r \); if \( G \) has an \( \alpha \)-labeling, then \( G \) is \((k,d)\)-graceful for all \( k \) and \( d \); a \( k \)-graceful graph is a \((kd,d)\)-graceful graph; a \((kd,d)\)-graceful connected graph is \(k\)-graceful; and a \((k,d)\)-graceful graph with \( q \) edges that is not bipartite has \( k \leq (q - 2)d \).

Slater [Sl5] has extended the definition of \( k \)-graceful graphs to countable infinite graphs in a natural way. He proved that all countably infinite trees, the complete graph with countably many vertices and the countably infinite Dutch windmill is \(k\)-graceful for all \( k \).

More specialized results on \( k \)-graceful labelings can be found in [L1], [LNK], [LNH], [Sl2], [BF], [BH], [BGZ] and [CJ].

### 3.3. Skolem-Graceful

A number of authors have invented analogues of graceful graphs by modifying the permissible vertex labels. For instance, Lee (see [LSh]) calls a graph \( G \) with \( p \) vertices and \( q \) edges Skolem-graceful if there is an injection from the set of vertices of \( G \) to \( \{1,2,\ldots,p\} \) such that the edge labels induced by \( |f(x) - f(y)| \) for each edge \( xy \) are \( 1,2,\ldots,q \). A necessary condition for a graph to be Skolem-graceful is that \( p \geq q + 1 \). Lee and Wui [LWu] have shown that a connected graph is Skolem-graceful if and only if it is a graceful tree. They also prove that the disjoint union of 2 or 3 stars is Skolem-graceful if and only if at least one star has an even size. Denham, Leu and Liu [DLL] proved that the disjoint union of any four stars is Skolem-graceful. Choudum and Kishore [CK1] proved that all 5-stars are Skolem graceful. In [CK3] Choudum and Kishore show that the disjoint union of \( k \) copies of the star \( K_{1,2n} \) is Skolem graceful if \( k \leq 4p + 1 \) and the disjoint union of any number of copies of \( K_{1,2} \) is Skolem graceful. Lee, Quach and Wang [LQW] showed that the disjoint union of the path \( P_n \) and the star of size \( m \) is Skolem-graceful if and only if \( n = 2 \) and \( m \) is even or \( n \geq 3 \) and \( m \geq 1 \). It follows from the work of Skolem [Sk] that \( nP_2 \), the disjoint union of \( n \) copies of \( P_2 \), is Skolem-graceful if and only if \( n \equiv 0 \) or \( 1 \pmod{4} \). Harary and Hsu [HH] studied Skolem-graceful graphs under the name node-graceful. Frucht [F3] has shown that \( P_m \cup P_n \) is Skolem-graceful when \( m + n \geq 5 \). Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [BD4] have shown that \( P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3} \) is Skolem-graceful when \( n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 \), \( n_2 = t(n_1 + 2) + 1 \) and \( n_1 \) is even and \( n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3 \), \( n_2 = t(n_1 + 3) + 1 \) and \( n_1 \) is odd. They also prove that the graphs of the form \( P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n_i} \) where \( i \geq 4 \) are Skolem-graceful under certain conditions. Kishore [Kis] has shown that a necessary condition for the disjoint union of graphs of the form \( K_{1,n_1}, K_{1,n_2}, \ldots, K_{1,n_k} \) to be Skolem graceful is that some \( n_i \) is even or \( k \equiv 0 \) or \( 1 \pmod{4} \). He conjectures that each one of these conditions is sufficient.

### 3.4. Odd Graceful Labelings

Gnanajothi [Gn, p. 182] defined a graph \( G \) with \( q \) edges to be odd graceful if there is an injection \( f \) from \( V(G) \) to \( \{0,1,2,\ldots,2q-1\} \) such that, when each edge \( xy \) is assigned the label \( |f(x) - f(y)| \), the resulting edge labels are \( \{1,3,\ldots,2q-1\} \). She proved that the class of odd graceful graphs lies between the class of graphs with \( \alpha \)-labelings and the class of bipartite graphs by showing
that every graph with an $\alpha$-labeling has an odd graceful labeling and every graph with an odd cycle is not odd graceful. She also proved the following graphs are odd graceful: $P_3$; $C_n$ if and only if $n$ is even; $K_{m,n}$; combs $P_n \ominus K_1$ (graphs obtained by joining a single pendant edge to each vertex of $P_n$); books; crowns $C_n \ominus K_1$ (graphs obtained by joining a single pendant edge to each vertex of $C_n$); if and only if $n$ is even; the disjoint union of $m$ copies of $C_4$; the one-point union of $m$ copies of $C_4$; $C_n \times K_2$ if and only if $n$ is even; caterpillars; rooted trees of height 2; the graphs obtained from $P_n$ ($n \geq 3$) by adding exactly two leaves at each vertex of degree 2 of $P_n$; the graphs consisting of vertices $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ with edges $a_i, a_{i+1}, b_i, b_{i+1}$ for $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$ and $a_i b_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$; the graphs obtained from a star $P_3$ or by adjoining to each end vertex the path $P_3$ or by adjoining to each end vertex the path $P_4$. She conjectures that all trees are odd graceful and proves the conjecture for all trees with order up to 10. Eldergill [E] generalized Gnanajothi’s result on stars by showing that the graphs obtained by joining one end point from each of any odd number of paths of equal length is odd graceful. He also proved that the one-point union of any number of copies of $C_6$ is odd graceful. Kathiresan [Kat3] has shown that ladders and graphs obtained from them by subdividing each step exactly once are odd graceful.

3.5. Graceful-like Labelings. As a means of attacking graph decomposition problems, Rosa [Ro2] invented another analogue of graceful labelings by permitting the vertices of a graph with $q$ edges to assume labels from the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, q + 1\}$, while the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels are $\{1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q\}$ or $\{1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q + 1\}$. He calls these nearly graceful labelings, or $\rho$-labelings. Frucht [Fr3] has shown that the following graphs have nearly graceful labelings with edge labels from $\{1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q + 1\}$: $P_m \cup P_n$; $S_m \cup S_n$; $S_m \cup P_n$; $G \cup K_2$ where $G$ is graceful; and $C_3 \cup K_2 \cup S_m$, where $m$ is even or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{14}$.

Rosa [Ro2] has conjectured that triangular snakes with $t \equiv 0$ or 1 (mod 4) blocks are graceful and those with $t \equiv 2$ or 3 (mod 4) blocks are nearly graceful (a parity condition ensures that the graphs in the latter case cannot be graceful). Moulton [Mo] proved Rosa’s conjecture while introducing the slightly stronger concept of almost graceful by permitting the vertex labels to come from $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q + 1\}$ while the edge labels are $\{1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q\}$, or $\{1, 2, \ldots, q - 1, q + 1\}$.

Yet another kind of labeling introduced by Rosa in his 1967 paper [Ro2] is a $\rho$-valuation. A $\rho$-valuation of a graph is an injection from the vertices of the graph with $q$ edges to the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, 2q\}$, where if the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels are $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q$, then $a_i = i$ or $a_i = 2q + 1 - i$. Rosa [Ro2] proved that a cyclic decomposition of the edge set of the complete graph $K_{2q+1}$ into subsets inducing edge subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph $G$ with $q$ edges exists if and only if $G$ has a $\rho$-valuation. (A decomposition of $K_n$ into copies of $G$ is called cyclic if the automorphism group of the decomposition itself contains $Z_m$.)

Dufour [Du] and Eldergill [E] have some results on the decomposition of the complete graph using labeling methods. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar [BS] showed that for each positive integer $n$ the graph $K_n + 2K_2$ admits a $\rho$-valuation. Balakrishnan [Ba] asks if it is true that $K_n + mK_2$ admits a $\rho$-valuation for all $n$ and $m$. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar ask for which $m \geq 3$ is the graph $K_n + mK_2$ graceful for all $n$. Bhat-Nayak and Gokhale [BG] have proved that $K_n + 2K_2$ is not graceful.
For graphs with the property $p = q + 1$ (i.e., graphs that are trees or the disjoint union of a tree and unicyclic graphs), Frucht [F3] has introduced a stronger version of almost graceful graphs by permitting as vertex labels \{0, 1, \ldots, q − 1, q + 1\} and as edge labels \{1, 2, \ldots, q\}. He calls such a labeling pseudograceful. Frucht proved that $P_n$ ($n \geq 3$), combs, sparklers (i.e., graphs obtained by joining an end vertex of a path to the center of a star), $C_3 \cup P_n$ ($n \neq 3$), and $C_4 \cup P_n$ ($n \neq 1$) are pseudograceful while $K_{3,n}$ ($n \geq 3$) is not. Kishore [Kis] proved that $C_n \cup P_n$ is pseudograceful when $s \geq 5$ and $n \geq (s + 7)/2$ and that $C_s \cup S_n$ is pseudograceful when $s = 3, s = 4$, and $s \geq 7$.

Seoud and Youssef [SY2] extended the definition of pseudograceful to all graphs with $p \leq q + 1$. They proved that $K_{m,n}$ ($n \geq 2$) and $P_n + K_m$ are pseudograceful. They also proved that if $G$ is pseudograceful, then $G \cup K_{m,n}$ ($m \geq 2, n \geq 2$) is graceful.

McTavish [Mc] has investigated labelings where the vertex and edge labels are from \{0, \ldots, q, q + 1\}. She calls these $\bar{\rho}$-labelings. Graphs that have $\bar{\rho}$-labelings include cycles and the disjoint union of $P_n$ or $S_n$ with any graceful graph.

Frucht [F3] has made an observation about graceful labelings that yields nearly graceful analogs of $\alpha$-labelings and weakly $\alpha$-labelings in a natural way. Suppose $G(V, E)$ is a graceful graph with the vertex labeling $f$. For each edge $xy$ in $E$, let $[f(x), f(y)]$ (where $f(x) \leq f(y)$) denote the interval of real numbers $r$ with $f(x) \leq r \leq f(y)$. Then the intersection $\cap[f(x), f(y)]\{\text{over all edges } xy \in E\}$ is a unit interval, a single point, or empty. Indeed, if $f$ is an $\alpha$-labeling of $G$ then the intersection is a unit interval; if $f$ is a weakly $\alpha$-labeling, but not an $\alpha$-labeling, then the intersection is a point; and, if $G$ is graceful but not a weakly $\alpha$-labeling, then the intersection is empty. For nearly graceful labelings, the intersection also gives three distinct classes.

### 3.6. Cordial Labelings

Cahit [C2] has introduced a variation of both graceful and harmonious labelings. Let $f$ be a function from the vertices of $G$ to \{0, 1\} and for each edge $xy$ assign the label $|f(x) - f(y)|$. Call $f$ a cordial labeling of $G$ if the number of vertices labeled 0 and the number of vertices labeled 1 differ by at most 1, and the number of edges labeled 0 and the number of edges labeled 1 differ at most by 1. Cahit [C3] proved the following: every tree is cordial; $K_n$ is cordial if and only if $n \leq 3$; $K_{m,n}$ is cordial for all $m$ and $n$; the friendship graph $C_3^{(t)}$ is cordial if and only if $t \not\equiv 2$ (mod 4); all fans are cordial; the wheel $W_n$ is cordial if and only if $n \neq 3$ (mod 4); maximal outerplanar graphs are cordial; and an Eulerian graph is not cordial if its size is congruent to 2 (mod 4). Kuo, Chang and Kwong [KCK] determine all $m$ and $n$ for which $mK_n$ is cordial. A $k$-angular cactus is a connected graph all of whose blocks are cycles with $k$ vertices. In [C3] Cahit proved that a $k$-angular cactus with $t$ cycles is cordial if and only if $kt \not\equiv 2$ (mod 4). This was improved by Kirchherr [Ki1] who showed any cactus whose blocks are cycles is cordial if and only if the size of the graph is not congruent to 2 (mod 4). Kirchherr [Ki2] also gave a characterization of cordial graphs in terms of their adjacency matrices and conjectures that determining the set of cordial graphs is NP-complete. Ho, Lee and Shee [HLS2] proved: $P_n \times C_{4m}$ is cordial for all $m$ and all odd $n$; the composition $G[H]$ is cordial if $G$ is cordial and $H$ is cordial and has odd order and even size; for $n \geq 4$ the composition $C_n[K_2]$ is cordial if and only if $n \not\equiv 2$ (mod 4); the cartesian product of two cordial graphs of even sizes is cordial. The same authors [HLS1] showed that a unicyclic graph is cordial unless it is $C_{4k+2}$ and that the generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$ is cordial if and only if $n \not\equiv 2$ (mod 4).
Lee, Lee and Chang [LLC] prove the following graphs are cordial: the complete \( n \)-partite graph if and only if at most three of its partite sets have odd cardinality; the Cartesian product of an arbitrary number of paths; the Cartesian product of two cycles if and only if at least one of them is even; and the Cartesian product of an arbitrary number of cycles if at least one of them has length a multiple of 4 or at least two of them are even.

Shee and Ho [SH2] call a graph obtained by adding an edge from \( G \) to \( F \) to an extra vertex \( m \) to \( n \) the flag \( F \) a graph called the \( \text{root} \) and all copies of the common vertex \( m \) or \( n \) is cordial if and only if \( n \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \) is not cordial for all odd \( m \) if and only if \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \). They also show that there exist path-unions of various graphs. For \( C_m(n) \), the one-point union of \( n \) copies of \( C_m \), they proved:

(i) If \( m \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \), then \( C_m(n) \) is cordial for all \( n \);
(ii) If \( m \equiv 1 \) or \( 3 \pmod{4} \), then \( C_m(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \);
(iii) If \( m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \), then \( C_m(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n \) is even.

For \( K_m(n) \), the one-point union of \( n \) copies of \( K_m \), Shee and Ho [SH1] prove:

(i) If \( m \equiv 0 \pmod{8} \), then \( K_m(n) \) is not cordial for \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \);
(ii) If \( m \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \), then \( K_m(n) \) is not cordial for \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \);
(iii) If \( m \equiv 5 \pmod{8} \), then \( K_m(n) \) is not cordial for all odd \( n \);
(iv) \( K_4(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \);
(v) \( K_5(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n \) is even;
(vi) \( K_6(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n > 2 \);
(vii) \( K_7(n) \) is cordial if and only if \( n \equiv 2 \pmod{4} \);
(viii) \( K_8(n)^{(2)} \) is cordial if and only if \( n \) has the form \( p^2 \) or \( p^2 + 1 \).

Benson and Lee [BL] have investigated the regular windmill graphs \( K_m(n) \) and determined precisely which ones are cordial for \( m < 14 \).

For \( W_m(n) \), the one-point union of \( n \) copies of the wheel \( W_m \) with the common vertex being the center, Shee and Ho [SH1] show:

(i) If \( m \equiv 0 \) or \( 2 \pmod{4} \), then \( W_m(n) \) is cordial for all \( n \);
(ii) If \( m \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \), then \( W_m(n) \) is cordial if \( n \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \);
(iii) If \( m \equiv 1 \pmod{4} \), then \( W_m(n) \) is cordial if \( n \equiv 3 \pmod{4} \).

For all \( n \) and all \( m > 1 \) Shee and Ho [SH1] prove \( F_m(n) \), the one-point union of \( n \) copies of the fan \( F_m = P_m + K_1 \) with the common point of the fans being the center, is cordial. The flag \( F_m(n) \) is obtained by joining one vertex of \( C_m \) to an extra vertex called the \( \text{root} \). Shee and Ho [SH1] show all \( F_m(n) \), the one-point union of \( n \) copies of \( F_m(n) \) with the common point being the root, are cordial.

For graphs \( G_1, \ldots, G_n \) \((n \geq 2)\) which are all copies of a fixed graph, \( G \) Shee and Ho [SH2] call a graph obtained by adding an edge from \( G_i \) to \( G_{i+1} \) for \( i = 1, \ldots, n-1 \) a \( \text{path-union} \) of \( G \) (the resulting graph may depend on how the edges are chosen). Among their results they show the following graphs are cordial: path-unions of cycles; path-unions of \( n \) copies of \( K_m \) when \( m = 4, 6 \) or 7; path-unions of three or more copies of \( K_6 \); path-unions of two copies of \( K_m \) if and only if \( m \neq 2, m \) or \( m + 2 \) is a perfect square. They also show that there exist cordial path-unions of wheels, fans, unicyclic graphs, Petersen graphs, trees and various compositions.

Lee and Liu [LeL] give the following general construction for the forming of cordial graphs from smaller cordial graphs. Let \( H \) be a graph with an even number of
edges and a cordial labeling such that the vertices of $H$ can be divided into $t$ parts $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$ each consisting of an equal number of vertices labeled 0 and vertices labeled 1. Let $G$ be any graph and $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t$ be any $t$ subsets of the vertices of $G$. Let $(G, H)$ be the graph which is the disjoint union of $G$ and $H$ augmented by edges joining every vertex in $G_i$ to every vertex in $H_i$ for all $i$. Then $G$ is cordial if and only if $(G, H)$ is. From this it follows that: all generalized fans $F_{m,n} = K_m + P_n$ are cordial; the generalized bundle $B_{m,n}$ is cordial if and only if $m$ is even or $n \neq 2$ (mod 4) ($B_{m,n}$ consists of $2n$ vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$ with an edge from $v_i$ to $u_i$ and $2m$ vertices $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m$ with $x_i$ joined to $v_i$ and $y_i$ joined to $u_i$); if $m$ is odd a generalized wheel $W_{m,n} = K_m + C_n$ is cordial if and only if $n \neq 3$ (mod 4). If $m$ is even, $W_{m,n}$ is cordial if and only if $n \neq 2$ (mod 4); a complete $k$-partite graph is cordial if and only if the number of parts with an odd number of vertices is at most 3.

Cahit [C8] calls a graph $H$-cordial if it is possible to label the edges with the numbers from the set $\{+1, -1\}$ in such a way that, for some $K$, at each vertex $v$ the algebraic sum of the labels on the edges incident with $v$ is either $+K$ or $-K$ and the inequalities $|v_f(K) - v_f(-K)| \leq 1$ and $|e_f(+1) - e_f(-1)| \leq 1$ are also satisfied, where $v_f(i)$ and $e_f(j)$ are, respectively, the number of vertices labeled with $i$ and the number of edges labeled with $j$. He proves: $K_n$ is $H$-cordial if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$; $K_{n,n}$ is $H$-cordial if and only if $n > 2$ and $n$ is even; $K_{n,m}, n \neq m$, is $H$-cordial if and only if $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$, $m$ is even and $n > 2, m > 2$; $W_n$ is $H$-cordial if and only if $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$. By allowing 0 as the possible induced vertex label of an $H$-cordial labeling he studies semi-$H$-cordiality of trees. He also generalizes $H$-cordial labelings.

Hovey [Ho] has introduced a simultaneous generalization of harmonious and cordial labelings. For any Abelian group $A$ (under addition) and graph $G(V,E)$ he defines $G$ to be $A$-cordial if there is a labeling of $V$ with elements of $A$ so that for all $a$ and $b$ in $A$ when the edge $ab$ is labeled with $f(a) + f(b)$, the number of vertices labeled with $a$ and the number of vertices labeled $b$ differ by at most one and the number of edges labeled with $a$ and the number labeled with $b$ differ by at most one. In the case where $A = Z_k$, the labeling is called $k$-cordial. With this definition we have: $G(V,E)$ is harmonious if and only if $G$ is $[E]$-cordial; $G$ is cordial if and only if $G$ is 2-cordial.

Hovey has obtained the following: caterpillars are $k$-cordial for all $k$; all trees are $k$-cordial for $k = 3, 4$ and 5; odd cycles with pendant edges attached are $k$-cordial for all $k$; cycles are $k$-cordial for all odd $k$; for $k$ even, $C_{2mk+j}$ is $k$-cordial when $0 \leq j \leq k/2 + 2$ and when $k < j < 2k$; $C_{(2m+1)k}$ is not $k$-cordial; $K_m$ is 3-cordial; and, for $k$ even, $K_{mk}$ is $k$-cordial if and only if $m = 1$.

Hovey advances the following conjectures: all trees are $k$-cordial for all $k$; all connected graphs are 3-cordial; and $C_{2mk+j}$ is $k$-cordial if and only if $j \neq k$, where $k$ and $j$ are even and $0 \leq j < 2k$. The last conjecture was verified by Tao, Tong and Wang [TTW]. This result combined with those of Hovey show that for all positive integers $k$ the $n$-cycle is $k$-cordial with the exception that $k$ is even and $n = 2mk + k$. Tao, Tong and Wang also proved that the crown with $2mk + j$ vertices is $k$-cordial unless $j = k$ is even and for $4 \leq n \leq k$ and the wheel $W_n$ is $k$-cordial unless $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ and $n = (k + 1)/2$.

3.7. $k$-equitable Labelings. In 1990 Cahit [C4] proposed the idea of distributing the vertex and edge labels among $\{0, 1, \ldots, k - 1\}$ as evenly as possible to obtain
a generalization of graceful labelings as follows. For any graph $G(V,E)$ and any positive integer $k$, assign vertex labels from $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$ so that when the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels, the number of vertices labeled with $i$ and the number of vertices labeled with $j$ differ by at most one and the number of edges labeled with $i$ and the number of edges labeled with $j$ differ by at most one. Cahit has called a graph with such an assignment of labels $k$-equitable. Note that $G(V,E)$ is graceful if and only if it is $|E| + 1$-equitable and $G(V,E)$ is cordial if and only if it is 2-equitable. Cahit [C3] has shown the following: $C_n$ is 3-equitable if and only if $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$; a triangular snake with $n$ blocks is 3-equitable if and only if $n$ is even; the friendship graph $C_3(n)$ is 3-equitable if and only if $n$ is even; $W_n$ is 3-equitable if and only if $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$; an Eulerian graph with $q \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ edges is not 3-equitable; and all caterpillars are 3-equitable [C3]. He conjectures [C3] that a triangular cactus with $n$ blocks is 3-equitable if and only if $n$ is even. In [C4] Cahit proves that every tree with fewer than five end vertices has a 3-equitable labeling. He conjectures that all trees are $k$-equitable [C5].

Szaniáló [Sz] has proved the following: $P_n$ is $k$-equitable for all $k$; $K_n$ is 2-equitable if and only if $n = 1, 2$ or 3; $K_n$ is not $k$-equitable for $3 \leq k < n$; $S_n$ is $k$-equitable for all $k$; $K_{2,n}$ is $k$-equitable if and only if $n \equiv k - 1 \pmod{k}$, or $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1 \pmod{k}$, or $n = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ and $k$ is odd. She also proves that $C_n$ is $k$-equitable if and only if $k$ meets all of the following conditions: $n \neq k$; if $k \equiv 0, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$, then $n \neq k - 1$; if $k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ then $n \neq k \pmod{2k}$.

Bloom has used the term $k$-equitable to describe another kind of labeling (see [W1], [W2] and [BR]). He calls a graph $k$-equitable if the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels have the property that every edge label induced occurs exactly $k$ times. A graph of order $n$ is called minimally $k$-equitable if the vertex labels are 1, 2, \ldots, $n$ and it is $k$-equitable. Both Bloom and Wojciechowski [W1], [W2] proved that $C_n$ is minimally $k$-equitable if and only if $k$ is a proper divisor of $n$. Barrientos, Dejter and Hevia [BDH] have shown that forests of even size are 2-equitable (in the sense of Bloom). They also prove that for $k = 3$ or $k = 4$ a forest $F$ of size $kw$ is $k$-equitable if and only if the degree of $F$ is at most $2w$ and that if 3 divides the size of the double star $S_{m,n}$ ($1 \leq m \leq n$), then $S_{m,n}$ is 3-equitable if and only if $q/3 \leq m \leq \lfloor(q-1)/2\rfloor$. ($S_{m,n}$ is $K_2$ with $m$ pendant edges at one end and $n$ pendant edges attached at the other end.) They discuss the $k$-equitability of forests for $k \geq 5$ and characterize all caterpillars of diameter 2 that are $k$-equitable for all possible values of $k$.

3.8. Hamming-graceful Labelings. Mollard, Payan and Shixin [MPS] introduced a generalization of graceful graphs called Hamming-graceful. A graph $G = (V,E)$ is called Hamming-graceful if there exists an injective labeling $g$ from $V$ to the set of binary $|E|$-tuples such that $\{d(g(v), g(u)) \mid uv \in E\} = \{1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$. Shixin and Yu [ShY] have shown that all graceful graphs are Hamming-graceful; all trees are Hamming-graceful; $C_n$ is Hamming-graceful if and only if $n \equiv 0$ or 3 (mod 4); if $K_n$ is Hamming-graceful, then $n$ has the form $k^2$ or $k^2 + 2$; $K_n$ is Hamming-graceful for $n = 2, 3, 4, 6, 9, 11, 16, \text{and } 18$. They conjecture that $K_n$ is Hamming-graceful for $n$ of the form $k^2$ and $k^2 + 2$ for $k \geq 5$. 
4. Variations of Harmonious Labelings

4.1. Sequential and Strongly c-harmonious Labelings. Chang, Hsu and Rogers [CHR] and Grace [Gr1, Gr2] have investigated subclasses of harmonious graphs. Chang et al. define an injective labeling \( f \) of a graph \( G \) with \( q \) vertices to be strongly \( c \)-harmonious if the vertex labels are from \( \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\} \) and the edge labels induced by \( f(x) + f(y) \) for each edge \( xy \) are \( c, \ldots, c + q - 1 \). Grace called such a labeling \( \text{sequential} \). In the case of a tree, Chang et al. modify the definition to permit exactly one vertex label to be assigned to two vertices while Grace allows the vertex labels to range from 0 to \( q \) with no vertex label used twice. By taking the edge labels of a sequentially labeled graph with \( q \) edges modulo \( q \), we obviously obtain a harmoniously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled but not sequentially labeled. Grace [Gr2] proved that caterpillars, caterpillars with a labeling \( \text{c-harmonious} \) if the vertex labels are from \( \{0, 1, \ldots, m\} \) labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled with no vertex label used twice. By taking the edge labels of a sequentially labeled graph with \( q \) edges modulo \( q \), we obviously obtain a harmoniously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled but not sequentially labeled.

Grace [Gr1, Gr2] and Grace [Gr1, Gr2] have investigated subclasses of harmonious graphs. Chang et al. define an injective labeling \( f \) of a graph \( G \) with \( q \) vertices to be strongly \( c \)-harmonious if the vertex labels are from \( \{0, 1, \ldots, q-1\} \) and the edge labels induced by \( f(x) + f(y) \) for each edge \( xy \) are \( c, \ldots, c + q - 1 \). Grace called such a labeling \( \text{sequential} \). In the case of a tree, Chang et al. modify the definition to permit exactly one vertex label to be assigned to two vertices while Grace allows the vertex labels to range from 0 to \( q \) with no vertex label used twice. By taking the edge labels of a sequentially labeled graph with \( q \) edges modulo \( q \), we obviously obtain a harmoniously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled but not sequentially labeled. Grace [Gr2] proved that caterpillars, caterpillars with a labeling \( \text{c-harmonious} \) if the vertex labels are from \( \{0, 1, \ldots, m\} \) labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled with no vertex label used twice. By taking the edge labels of a sequentially labeled graph with \( q \) edges modulo \( q \), we obviously obtain a harmoniously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled but not sequentially labeled.
Acharya and Hegde [AH2] have generalized sequential labelings as follows. Let $G$ be a graph with $q$ edges and let $k$ and $d$ be positive integers. A labeling $f$ of $G$ is said to be $(k, d)$-arithmetic if the vertex labels are distinct nonnegative integers and the edge labels induced by $f(x) + f(y)$ for each edge $xy$ are $k, k+d, k+2d, \ldots, k+(q-1)d$. They obtained a number of necessary conditions for various kinds of graphs to have a $(k, d)$-arithmetic labeling. The case where $k = 1$ and $d = 1$ was called additively graceful by Hegde [Heg1]. Hegde showed that $K_n$ is additively graceful if and only if $n = 2, 3$ or $4$; every additively graceful graph except $K_2$ or $K_{1,2}$ contains a triangle; and a unicyclic graph is additively graceful if and only if it is a 3-cycle or a 3-cycle with a single pendant edge attached. Jinnah and Singh [JS] noted that $P_n$ is additively graceful. Bu and Shi [BS] proved that $K_n$ is not $(k, d)$-arithmetic for $n \geq 5$ and that $K_{m,n}$ is $(k, d)$-arithmetic when $k$ is not of the form $id$ for $1 \leq i \leq n - 1$. Yu [Yu] proved that a necessary condition for $C_{4t+1}$ to be $(k, d)$-arithmetic is that $k = 2dt + r$ for some $r \geq 0$ and a necessary condition for $C_{4t+3}$ to be $(k, d)$-arithmetic is that $k = (2t + 1)d + 2r$ for some $r \geq 0$. These conditions were conjectured by Acharya and Hegde [AH2].

A graph is called arithmetic if it is $(k, d)$-arithmetic for some $k$ and $d$. Singh has proved that $P_m \times C_n$ is arithmetic for odd $n$ [Sin5] and that both ladders and subdivisions of ladders are arithmetic [Sin3]. Jinnah and Singh [JS] ask if the disjoint union of two arithmetic graphs is arithmetic.

Acharya and Hegde [AH2] introduced a stronger form of sequential labeling by calling a $(p, q)$ graph $(V, E)$ strongly $k$-indexable if there is an injective function from $V$ to $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1\}$ such that the set of edge labels induced by adding the vertex labels is $\{k, k + 1, k + 2, \ldots, k + q - 1\}$. Strongly 1-indexable graphs are simply called strongly indexable. Notice that for trees and unicyclic graphs the notions of sequential labelings and strongly $k$-indexable labelings coincide. Acharya and Hegde prove that the only nontrivial regular graphs that are strongly indexable are $K_2, K_3$ and $K_2 \times K_3$ and that every strongly indexable graph has exactly one nontrivial component that is either a star or has a triangle. Acharya and Hegde [AH2] call a graph with $p$ vertices indexable if there is an injective labeling of the vertices with labels from $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1\}$ such that the edge labels induced by addition of the vertex labels are distinct. They conjecture that all unicyclic graphs are indexable. This conjecture was proved by Arumugam and Germina [ArG] who also proved that all trees are indexable. Bu and Shi [BS2] also proved that all trees are indexable and that all uncyclic graphs with the cycle $C_3$ are indexable.

4.2. Elegant Labelings. An elegant labeling $f$ of a graph $G$ with $q$ edges is an injective function from the vertices of $G$ to the set $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ such that when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $f(x) + f(y)$ (mod $q + 1$) the resulting edge labels are distinct and nonzero. This notion was introduced by Chang, Hsu and Rogers in 1981 [CHR]. Note that in contrast to the definition of a harmonious labeling, it is not necessary to make an exception for trees. While the cycle $C_n$ is harmonious if and only if $n$ is odd, Chang et al. [CHR] proved that $C_n$ is elegant when $n \equiv 0$ or $3$ (mod 4) and not elegant when $n \equiv 1$ (mod 4). Chang et al. further showed that all fans are elegant and the paths $P_n$ are elegant for $n \neq 0$ (mod 4). Cahit [C1] then showed that $P_4$ is the only path that is not elegant. Balakrishnan, Selvam and Yegnanarayanan [BSY2] have proved numerous graphs are elegant. Among them are: $K_{m,n}$ and the
mth-subdivision graph of $K_{1,2n}$. They prove that the bistar $B_{n,n}$ ($K_2$ with $n$ pendant edges at each endpoint) is elegant if and only if $n$ is even and that every simple graph is a subgraph of an elegant graph. They also prove several families of graphs are not elegant.

Gallian extended the notion of harmoniousness to arbitrary finite Abelian groups as follows. Let $G$ be a graph with $q$ edges and $H$ a finite Abelian group (under addition) of order $q$. Define $G$ to be $H$-harmonious if there is an injection $f$ from the vertices of $G$ to $H$ such that when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $f(x)+f(y)$ the resulting edge labels are distinct. When $G$ is a tree, one label may be used on exactly two vertices. Beals, Gallian, Headley and Jungreis [BGHJ] have shown that if $H$ is a finite Abelian group of order $n > 1$ then $C_n$ is $H$-harmonious if and only if $H$ has a non-cyclic or trivial Sylow 2-subgroup and $H$ is not of the form $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times \cdots \times Z_2$. Thus, for example, $C_{12}$ is not $Z_{12}$-harmonious but is $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3)$-harmonious. Analogously, the notion of an elegant graph can be extended to arbitrary finite Abelian groups. Let $G$ be a graph with $q$ edges and $H$ a finite Abelian group (under addition) with $q+1$ elements. We say $G$ is $H$-elegant if there is an injection $f$ from the vertices of $G$ to $H$ such that when each edge $xy$ is assigned the label $f(x)+f(y)$ the resulting set of edge labels is the non-identity elements of $H$. Beals et al. [BGHJ] proved that if $H$ is a finite Abelian group of order $n$ with $n \neq 1$ and $n \neq 3$, then $C_{n-1}$ is $H$-elegant using only the non-identity elements of $H$ as vertex labels if and only if $H$ has either a non-cyclic or trivial Sylow 2-subgroup. This result completed a partial characterization of elegant cycles given by Chang, Hsu and Rogers [CHR] by showing that $C_n$ is elegant when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Mollard and Payan [MP] also proved that $C_n$ is elegant when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and gave another proof that $P_n$ is elegant when $n \neq 4$.

4.3. Felicitous Labelings. Another generalization of harmonious labelings are felicitous labelings. An injective function $f$ from the vertices of a graph $G$ with $q$ edges to the set $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$ is called felicitous if the edge labels induced by $f(x)+f(y)$ (mod $q$) for each edge $xy$ are distinct. This definition first appeared in a paper by Lee, Schmeichel and Shee in [LSS] and is attributed to E. Choo. Balakrishnan and Kumar [BK2] proved the conjecture of Lee, Schmeichel and Shee [LSS] that every graph is a subgraph of a felicitous graph by showing the stronger result that every graph is a subgraph of a sequential graph. Among the graphs known to be felicitous are: $C_n$ except when $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [LSS]; $K_{m,n}$ when $m,n > 1$ [LSS]; $P_3 \cup C_{n+1}$ [LSS]; $P_2 \cup C_{2n+1}$ [LSS]; $S_m \cup C_{2n+1}$ [LSS]; the friendship graph $C_3(n)$ for $n$ odd [LSS]; $P_n \cup C_3$ [SL]; and the one-point union of an odd cycle and a caterpillar [SL]. Shee [S1] conjectured that $P_n \cup C_n$ is felicitous when $n > 2$ and $m > 3$. Lee, Schmeichel and Shee [SS] ask for which $m$ and $n$ is the one-point union of $n$ copies of $C_n$ felicitous. They showed that the case where $mn$ is twice an odd integer is not felicitous. In contrast to the situation for felicitous labelings, we remark that $C_{4k}$ and $K_{m,n}$ where $m,n > 1$ are not harmonious and the one-point union of an odd cycle and a caterpillar is not always harmonious. Lee, Schmeichel and Shee [LSS] conjecture that the $n$-cube is felicitous. This is known to be true for $n = 2, 3$ and 4 ([LSS] and [BK2]).

Balakrishnan, Selvam and Yegnanarayanan [BSY1] obtained numerous results on felicitous labelings. The wreath product, $G \ast H$, of graphs $G$ and $H$ has vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(g_1,h_1)$ is adjacent to $(g_2,h_2)$ whenever $g_1g_2 \in E(G)$ or $g_1 = g_2$ and $h_1 = h_2$. The wreath product is felicitous if and only if $G$ and $H$ are felicitous.
$h_1h_2 \in E(H)$. They define $H_{n,n}$ as the graph with vertex set \{u_1, \ldots, u_n; v_1, \ldots, v_n\} and edge set \{u_iv_j | 1 \leq i \leq j \leq n\}. They let $\langle K_{1,n} : m \rangle$ denote the graph obtained by taking $m$ disjoint copies of $K_{1,n}$, and joining a new vertex to the roots of the $m$ copies of $K_{1,n}$. They prove the following are felicitous: $H_{n,n}; P_n + \overline{K}_2; \langle K_{1,m} : m \rangle; \langle K_{1,2} : m \rangle$ when $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ or $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ or $m \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$; $\langle K_{1,2n} : m \rangle$ for all $m$ and $n \geq 2$; $\langle K_{1,2n+1} : 2n + 1 \rangle$ when $n \geq t; P_n^k$ when $k = n - 1$ and $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or $k = 2t$ and $n \geq 3$ and $k < n - 1$; the join of a star and $\overline{K}_n$; and graphs obtained by joining two end vertices or two central vertices of stars with an edge. Yegnanarayanan [Y] conjectures that the graphs obtained from an even cycle by attaching $n$ new vertices to each vertex of the cycle is felicitous.

Chang, Hsu and Rogers [CHR] have given a sequential counterpart to feletigious labelings. They call a graph strongly $c$-elegant if the vertex labels are from $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$ and the edge labels induced by addition are $\{c, c + 1, \ldots, c + q - 1\}$. (A strongly 1-elegant labeling has also been called a consecutive labeling.) Notice that every strongly $c$-elegant graph is felicitious and that strongly $c$-elegant is the same as ($c, 1$)-arithmetic in the case where the vertex labels are from $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$. Results on strongly $c$-elegant graphs are meager. Chang et al. [CHR] have shown: $K_n$ is strongly 1-elegant if and only if $n \equiv 2, 3, 4; C_n$ is strongly 1-elegant if and only if $n \equiv 3$; and a bipartite graph is strongly 1-elegant if and only if it is a star. Shee [S2] has proved that $K_{m,n}$ is strongly c-elegant for a particular value of $c$ and obtained several more specialized results pertaining to graphs formed from complete bipartite graphs.

5. Total Labelings

In contrast to the labeling methods discussed thus far in which there is a function from the vertices of a graph to some set of labels, there are numerous methods that involve a function from the vertices and edges to some set of labels.

5.1. $k$-sequential Labelings. In 1981 Bange, Barkauskas and Slater [BBS1] defined a $k$-sequential labeling $f$ of a graph $G(V,E)$ as one for which $f$ is a bijection from $V \cup E$ to $\{k, k + 1, \ldots, |V \cup E| + k - 1\}$ such that for each edge $xy$ in $E$, one has $f(xy) = |f(x) - f(y)|$. This generalized the notion of simply sequential where $k = 1$ introduced by Slater. Bange, Barkauskas and Slater showed that cycles are 1-sequential and if $G$ is 1-sequential then the join of $G$ and a point is graceful. In [Si1], Slater proved: $K_n$ is 1-sequential if and only if $n \leq 3$; for $n \geq 2$, $K_n$ is not $k$-sequential for all $k \geq 2$; and $K_{1,n}$ is $k$-sequential if and only if $k$ divides $n$. Acharya and Hegde [AH1] proved: $P_n$ is $\frac{n}{2}$-sequential if $n$ is even; $P_n$ is both $\frac{n+1}{2}$-sequential and $\frac{n+1}{2}$-sequential if $n$ is odd; $K_{m,n}$ is $k$-sequential for $k = 1, m, n$; $K_{m,n,1}$ is 1-sequential; and the join of any caterpillar and $\overline{K}_t$ is 1-sequential. Acharya [A1] showed that if $G(E,V)$ is an odd graph with $|E| + |V| \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$ when $k$ is odd or $|E| + |V| \equiv 2 \pmod{2}$ when $k$ is even, then $G$ is not $k$-sequential. Acharya also observed that as a consequence of results of Bermond, Kotzig and Turgeon [BKT] we have: $mK_4$ is not $k$-sequential for any $k$ when $m$ is odd and $mK_2$ is not $k$-sequential for any odd $k$ when $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ or for any even $k$ when $m \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$. He further noted that $K_{m,n}$ is not $k$-sequential when $k$ is even and $m$ and $n$ are odd, while $K_{m,k}$ is $k$-sequential for all $k$. Acharya [A1] points out that the following result of Slater’s [Si2] for $k = 1$ linking $k$-graceful graphs and $k$-sequential graphs holds in general: A
graph is $k$-sequential if and only if $G + v$ has a $k$-graceful labeling $f$ with $f(v) = 0$. Slater [S1] also proved the $k$-sequential graph with $p$ vertices and $q > 0$ edges must satisfy $k \leq p - 1$.

5.2. Sequentially Additive Graphs. Bange, Barkauskas and Slater [BBS2] defined a $k$-sequentially additive labeling $f$ of a graph $G(V, E)$ to be a bijection from $V \cup E$ to $\{k, \ldots, k + |V \cup E| - 1\}$ such that for each edge $xy$, $f(xy) = f(x) + f(y)$. They proved: $K_n$ is $1$-sequentially additive if and only if $n \leq 3$; $C_{3n+1}$ is not $k$-sequentially additive for $k \equiv 0, 2 \pmod{3}$; $C_{3n+2}$ is not $k$-sequentially additive for $k \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{3}$; $C_n$ is $1$-sequentially additive if and only if $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{3}$; and $P_n$ is $1$-sequentially additive. They conjecture that all trees are $1$-sequentially additive.

Acharya and Hegde [AH2] have generalized $k$-sequentially additive labelings by allowing the image of the bijection to be $\{k, k + d, \ldots, (k + |V \cup E| - 1)d\}$. They call such a labeling additively $(k, d)$-sequential.

5.3. Magic, Edge-magic and Antimagic Labelings. Motivated by the notion of magic squares in number theory, magic labelings were introduced by Sedláček [Se] in 1963. Responding to a problem raised by Sedláček, Stewart [St1] and [St2] studied various ways to label the edges of a graph in the mid 60s. Stewart calls a connected semi-magic graph if there is a labeling of the edges with integers such that for each vertex $v$ the sum of the labels of all edges incident with $v$ is the same for all $v$. A semi-magic labeling where the edges are labeled with distinct positive integers is called a magic labeling. Stewart calls a magic labeling supermagic if the set of edge labels consists of consecutive integers. The classic concept of an $n \times n$ magic square in number theory corresponds to a supermagic labeling of $K_{n,n}$. Stewart [St1] proved the following: $K_n$ is magic for $n = 2$ and all $n \geq 5$; $K_{n,n}$ is magic for all $n \geq 3$; fans $F_n$ are magic if and only if $n$ is odd and $n \geq 3$; wheels $W_n$ are magic for $n \geq 4$; $W_n$ with one spoke deleted is magic for $n = 4$ and for $n \geq 6$. Stewart [St1] also proved that $K_{m,n}$ is semi-magic with if and only if $m = n$. In [St2] Stewart proved that $K_n$ is supermagic for $n \geq 5$ if and only if $n > 5$ and $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$. Sedláček [Se2] showed that Möbius ladders $M_n$ are supermagic when $n \geq 3$ and $n$ is odd and that $C_n \times P_2$ is magic, but not supermagic, when $n \geq 4$ and $n$ is even. Sedláček defines a connected graph with at least two edges to be pseudo-magic if there exists a real-valued function on the edges with the property that distinct edges have distinct values and the sum of the values assigned to all the edges incident to any vertex is the same for all vertices. Sedláček proved that when $n \geq 4$ and $n$ is even, $M_n$ is not pseudo-magic and when $m \geq 3$ and $m$ is odd, $C_m \times P_2$ is not pseudo-magic. Sedláček also proves that graphs obtained from an odd cycle with at least 5 vertices in which every vertex $v$ of the cycle has two chords joining $v$ to the two vertices at greatest distance from $v$ are magic. (He also calls these Möbius ladders.) Characterizations of regular magic graphs were given by Dood [Do] and necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to be magic were given in [Je] and [JT]. Two classes of supermagic quartic graphs are given in [BHL].

In 1970 Kotzig and Rosa [KR1] defined a magic labeling of a graph $G(V, E)$ as a bijection $f$ from $V \cup E$ to $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V \cup E|\}$ such that for all edges $xy$, $f(x) + f(y) + f(xy)$ is constant. To distinguish between this usage and that of Stewart we will call this labeling an edge-magic labeling. Kotiz and Rosa proved: $K_{m,n}$ has an edge-magic
labeling for all $m$ and $n$; $C_n$ has an edge-magic labeling for all $n \geq 3$; and the disjoint union of $n$ copies of $P_2$ has an edge-magic labeling if and only if $n$ is odd. They further state that $K_m$ has an edge-magic labeling if and only if $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ or 6 (see [KR2] and [CT]) and ask whether all trees have edge-magic labelings. Balakrishnan and Kumar [BK2] proved that the join of $K_n$ and two disjoint copies of $K_2$ is edge-magic if and only if $n = 3$. Ringel and Llado [RL] prove that a $(p, q)$ graph is not edge-magic if $q$ is even and $p + q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and each vertex has odd degree. They conjecture that trees are edge-magic. In 1993 Lee (see [LPC]) conjectured that a cubic graph with $p$ edges is edge-magic if and only if $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Figueroa-Centeno, Muntaner and Ichishima [FMI] have proved the following graphs are edge-magic: $nK_2$ if and only if $n$ is odd; $P_4 \cup nK_2$ for $n$ odd; $P_3 \cup nK_2$; $P_5 \cup nK_2$; $nP_i$ for $n$ odd and $i = 3, 4, 5$; $2P_n$; $P_i \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$; $mK_{1,n}$; $K_{1,n} \cup K_{1,n+1}$; $C_m \odot nK_1$; $K_1 \odot nK_2$ for $n$ even; $W_{2n}$; $K_2 \times K_n, nK_3$ for $n$ odd; binary trees, generalized Petersen graphs, ladders, books, fans, and odd cycles with pendant edges attached to one vertex. Figueroa-Centeno et al. call an edge-magic labeling strong edge-magic if the set of vertex labels is $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V|\}$. They prove that a graph is strong edge-magic if and only if it is strongly $1$-hamonious and that a strong edge-magic graph is cordial. They also prove that $P_2^n$ and $K_2 \times C_{2n+1}$ are strong edge-magic.

Hartsfield and Ringel [HR] introduced antimagic graphs in 1990. A graph with $q$ edges is called antimagic if its edges can be labeled with $1, 2, \ldots, q$ so that the sums of the labels of the edges incident to each vertex are distinct. Among the antimagic graphs are [HR]: $P_n$ $(n \geq 3)$, cycles, wheels, and $K_n$ $(n \geq 3)$. Hartsfield and Ringel conjecture that every tree except $P_2$ is antimagic and, moreover, every connected graph except $P_2$ is antimagic.

The concept of an $(a, d)$-antimagic labeling was introduced by Wagner and Bodendiek [WB] in 1993. A connected graph $G = (V, E)$ is said to be $(a, d)$-antimagic if there exist positive integers $a$, $d$ and a bijection $f: E \rightarrow \{1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$ such that the induced mapping $g_f: V \rightarrow N$, defined by $g_f(v) = \sum\{f(u, v) : (u, v) \in E(G)\}$, is injective and $g_f(V) = \{a, a+d, \ldots, a+(|V|-1)d\}$. They prove ([BW1] and [BW2]) the Herschel graph is not $(a, d)$-antimagic and certain cases of graphs called parachutes $P_{g,b}$ are antimagic. $(P_{g,b}$ is the graph obtained from the wheel $W_{g+p}$ by deleting $p$ consecutive spokes.)

6. Miscellaneous Labelings

6.1. Prime and Vertex Prime Labelings. The notion of a prime labeling originated with Entringer and was introduced in a paper by Tout, Dabboucy and Howalla (see [LWY]). A graph with vertex set $V$ is said to have a prime labeling if its vertices are labeled with distinct integers $1, 2, \ldots, |V|$ such that for each edge $xy$ the labels assigned to $x$ and $y$ are relatively prime. Around 1980, Entringer conjectured that all trees have a prime labeling. So far, there has been little progress towards proving this conjecture. Among the classes of trees known to have prime labelings are: paths, stars, caterpillars, complete binary trees, spiders (i.e., trees with a one vertex of degree at least 3 and with all other vertices with degree at most 2) and all trees of order less than 16 (see [FH]). Other graphs with prime labelings include all cycles and the disjoint union of $C_{2k}$ and $C_n$ [DLM]. The complete graph $K_n$ does not have a prime labeling for $n \geq 4$ and $W_n$ is prime if and only if $n$ is even (see [LWY]).
Given a collection of graphs $G_1, \ldots, G_n$ and some fixed vertex $v_i$ from each $G_i$, Lee, Wui and Yeh [LWY] define $Amal\{(G_i, v_i)\}$, the amalgamation of $\{(G_i, v_i)|i = 1, \ldots, n\}$, as the graph obtained by taking the union of the $G_i$ and identifying $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$. Lee et al. [LWY] have shown that $Amal\{(G_i, v_i)\}$ has a prime labeling when $G_i$ are paths and when $G_i$ are cycles. They also showed that the amalgamation of any number of copies of $W_n$, $n$ odd, with a common vertex is not prime. They conjecture that for any tree $T$ and vertex $v$ from $T$, the amalgamation of two or more copies of $T$ with $v$ in common is prime. They further conjecture that the amalgamation of two or more copies of $W_n$ that share a common point is prime when $n$ is even ($n \neq 4$).

A dual of prime labelings has been introduced by Deretsky, Lee and Mitchem [DLM]. They say a graph with edge set $E$ has a vertex prime labeling if its edges can be labeled with distinct integers $1, \ldots, |E|$ such that for each vertex of degree at least 2 the greatest common divisor of the labels on its incident edges is 1. Deretsky, Lee and Mitchem show the following graphs have vertex prime labelings: forests, all least 2 the greatest common divisor of the labels on its incident edges is 1. Deretsky, E, two or more copies of $W$ $T$ of $T$ conjecture that for any tree $T$ and with any number of copies of $W_n$, $n$ odd, with a common vertex is not prime. They conjecture that for any tree $T$ and vertex $v$ from $T$, the amalgamation of two or more copies of $T$ with $v$ in common is prime. They further conjecture that the amalgamation of two or more copies of $W_n$ that share a common point is prime when $n$ is even ($n \neq 4$).

6.2. Edge-graceful Labelings. In 1985, Lo [Lo] introduced the notion of edge-graceful graphs. A graph $G(V, E)$ is said to be edge-graceful if there exists a bijection $f$ from $E$ to $\{1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$ so that the induced mapping $f^+$ from $V$ to $\{0, 1, \ldots, |V| - 1\}$ given by $f^+(x) = \sum\{f(xy)|xy \in E\} \pmod{|V|}$ is a bijection. Lee [L2] has conjectured that all trees of odd order are edge-graceful. Small [Sm] has proved that spiders of odd degree with the property that the distance from the vertex of degree greater than 2 to each end vertex is the same are edge-graceful. Keene and Simoson [KS] proved that all spiders of odd order and exactly three end vertices are edge-graceful. Cabaniss, Low and Mitchem [CLM] have shown that regular spiders of odd order are edge-graceful. Lee and Seah [LSe2] have shown that $K_n,n,n,n$ is edge-graceful if and only if $n$ is odd and the number of partite sets is either odd or a multiple of 4. Lee and Seah [LSe1] have also proved that $C_k^n$ (the $k$th power of $C_n$) is edge-graceful for $k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ if and only if $n$ is odd and for $k \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ if and only if $n$ is a multiple of 4 or $n$ is odd (see also [CLM]). Lee, Seah and Wang [LSW] gave a complete characterization of edge-graceful $P_n^k$ graphs. Lee and Seah [LSe3] have investigated edge-gracefulness of multigraphs.

In 1997 Yilmaz and Cahit [YC] introduced a weaker version of edge-graceful called $E$-cordial. Let $G$ be a graph with vertex set $V$ and edge set $E$ and let $f$ a function from $E$ to $\{0, 1\}$. Define $f$ on $V$ by $f(v) = \sum\{f(u, v)|uv \in E\} \pmod{2}$. The function $f$ is called an $E$-cordial labeling of $G$ if the the number of vertices labeled 0 and the number of vertices labeled 1 differ by at most 1 and the the number of edges labeled 0 and the number of edges labeled 1 differ by at most 1. A graph that admits an $E$-cordial labeling is called $E$-cordial. Yilmaz and Cahit prove the following graphs are $E$-cordial: trees with $n$ vertices if and only if $n \neq 2$ (mod 4); $K_n$ if and only if $n \neq 2$ (mod 4); $K_{m,n}$ if and only if $m + n \neq 2$ (mod 4); $C_n$ if and only if $n \neq 2$ (mod 4); regular graphs of degree 1 on $2n$ vertices if and only if $n \neq 1$ (mod 2); friendship graphs $C_3^{(n)}$ for all $n$; fans $F_n$ if and only if $n \neq 1$ (mod 4); and
wheels $W_n$ if and only if $n \neq 1 \pmod{4}$. They observe that graphs with $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ vertices cannot be $E$-cordial. They generalize $E$-cordial labelings to $E_k$-cordial ($k > 1$) labelings by replacing $\{0,1\}$ by $\{0,1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$. Of course, $E_2$-cordial is the same as $E$-cordial.

6.3. Line-graceful Labelings. Gnanajothi [Gn] has defined a concept similar to edge-graceful. She calls a graph with $n$ vertices line-graceful if it is possible to label its edges with $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ so that when each vertex is assigned the sum modulo $n$ of all the edge labels incident with that vertex the resulting vertex labels are $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. A necessary condition for the line-gracefulness of a graph is that its order is not congruent to 2 ($\pmod{4}$). Among line-graceful graphs are (see [Gn, pp. 132-181]) $P_n$ if and only if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; $C_n$ if and only if $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$; $K_{1,n}$ if and only if $n \neq 1 \pmod{4}$; $P_n \odot K_1$ (combs) if and only if $n$ is even; $(P_n \odot K_1) \odot K_1$ if and only if $n \neq 2 \pmod{4}$; (in general, if $G$ has order $n$, $G \odot H$ is the graph obtained by taking one copy of $G$ and $n$ copies of $H$ and joining the $i$th vertex of $G$ with an edge to every vertex in the $i$th copy of $H$), $mC_n$ when $mn$ is odd; $C_n \odot K_1$ (crowns) if and only if $n$ is even; $mC_4$ for all $m$; complete $n$-ary trees when $n$ is even; $K_{1,n} \cup K_{1,n}$ if and only if $n$ is odd; odd cycles with a chord; even cycles with a tail; even cycles with a tail of length 1 and a chord; graphs consisting of two triangles having a common vertex and tails of equal length attached to a vertex other than the common one; the complete $n$-ary tree when $n$ is even; trees for which exactly one vertex has even degree. She conjectures that all trees with $p \neq 2 \pmod{4}$ vertices are line-graceful and proved this for $p \leq 9$.

Gnanajothi [Gn] has investigated the line-gracefulness of several graphs obtained from stars. In particular, the graph obtained from $K_{1,4}$ by subdividing one spoke to form a path of even order (counting the center of the star) is line-graceful; the graph obtained from a star by inserting one vertex in a single spoke is line-graceful if and only if the star has $p \neq 2 \pmod{4}$ vertices; the graph obtained from $K_{1,n}$ by replacing each spoke with a path of length $m$ (counting the center vertex) is line-graceful in the following cases: $n = 2$; $n = 3$ and $m \neq 3 \pmod{4}$; $m$ is even and $mn + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$.

Gnanajothi studied graphs obtained by joining disjoint graphs $G$ and $H$ with an edge. She proved such graphs are line-graceful in the following circumstances: $G = H$; $G = P_n$, $H = P_m$ and $m+n \neq 0 \pmod{4}$; $G = P_n \odot K_1$, $H = P_m \odot K_1$ and $m+n \neq 0 \pmod{4}$.

6.4. Sum Graphs. In 1990, Harary [Ha1] introduced the notion of a sum graph. A graph $G(V,E)$ is called a sum graph if there is a bijective labeling $f$ from $V$ to a set of positive integers $S$ such that $xy \in E$ if and only if $f(x) + f(y) \in S$. Since the vertex with the highest label in a sum graph cannot be adjacent to any other vertex, every sum graph must contain isolated vertices. For a connected graph $G$, let $s(G)$, the sum number of $G$, denote the minimum number of isolated vertices that must be added to $G$ so that the resulting graph is a sum graph. Ellingham [El] proved the conjecture of Harary [Ha1] that $s(T) = 1$ for every tree $T \neq K_1$. Bergstand et al. [BHHJKW] proved that $s(K_n) = 2n - 3$. Hartsfield and Smyth [HaS] showed that $s(K_{m,n}) = [3m+n-3]/2$ when $m \geq n$ but Yan and Liu [YL1] found counterexamples to this result when $m \neq n$. Miller et al. [MRSS] proved that $s(W_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 2$ for $n$ even.
and \( s(W_n) = n \) for \( n \geq 5 \) and \( n \) odd. Miller, Ryan and Smyth [MRS] prove that the complete \( n \)-partite graph on \( n \) sets of 2 nonadjacent vertices has sum number \( 4n - 5 \) and obtain upper and lower bounds on the complete \( n \)-partite graph on \( n \) sets of \( m \) nonadjacent vertices. Gould and Rödl [GR] investigated bounds on the number of isolated points in a sum graph. A group of six undergraduate students [GBGGGJ] proved that \( s(K_n - \text{edge}) \leq 2n - 4 \). The same group of six students also investigated the difference between the largest and smallest labels in a sum graph, which they called the \( \text{spum} \). They proved spum of \( K_n \) is \( 4n - 6 \) and the spum of \( C_n \) is at most \( 4n - 10 \).

In 1994 Harary [Ha2] generalized sum graphs by permitting \( S \) to be any set of integers. He calls these graphs \emph{integral sum graphs}. Unlike sum graphs, integral sum graphs need not have isolated vertices. Sharary [Sha] has shown that \( C_n \) and \( W_n \) are integral sum graphs for all \( n \neq 4 \). Chen [Che2] proved that trees obtained from a star by extending each edge to a path and trees all of whose vertices of degree not 2 are at least distance 4 apart are integral sum graphs. Chen also gives methods for constructing new connected integral sum graphs from given integral sum graphs by identification. The \emph{integral sum number}, \( \zeta(G) \), of \( G \), is the minimum number of isolated vertices that must be added to \( G \) so that the resulting graph is an integral sum graph. Harary [Ha2] conjectured that for \( n \geq 4 \) the integral sum number \( \zeta(K_n) = 2n - 3 \). This conjecture was verified by Chen [Che] and by Sharary [Sha]. Yan and Liu proved: \( \zeta(K_n, \text{edge}) = 2n - 4 \) when \( n \geq 5 \) [YL1]; \( \zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = n - 1 \) when \( n \geq 6, n \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \) and \( r = 2n/3 - 1 \) [YL2]; \( \zeta(K_{m,n}) = 2m - 1 \) for \( m \geq 2 \) [YL2]; \( \zeta(K_n - E) = 2n - 4 \) for \( n \geq 5 \) [YL2]; if \( n \geq 5 \) and \( n - 3 \geq r \), then \( \zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) \geq n - 1 \) [YL2]; if \( 2n/3 - 1 < r \geq 2 \), then \( \zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) \geq 2n - r - 2 \) [YL2]; and if \( 2 \leq m < n \), and \( n = (i + 1)(im - i + 2)/2 \), then \( s(K_{m,n}) = \zeta(K_{m,n}) = (m - 1)(i + 1) + 1 \) while if \( (i + 1)(im - i + 2)/2 < n < (i + 2)(i + 1)m - i + 1)/2 \), then \( s(K_{m,n}) = \zeta(K_{m,n}) = [(m - 1)(i + 1)(i + 2) + 2n]/(2i + 2) \) [YL2].

Alon and Scheinerman [AS] generalized sum graphs by replacing the condition \( f(x) + f(y) \in S \) with \( g(f(x), f(y)) \in S \) where \( g \) is an arbitrary symmetric polynomial. They called a graph with this property a \emph{\( g \)-graph} and proved that for a given symmetric polynomial \( g \) not all graphs are \( g \)-graphs. On the other hand, for every symmetric polynomial \( g \) and every graph \( G \) there is some vertex labeling so that \( G \) together with at most \( |E(G)| \) isolated vertices is a \( g \)-graph.

Boland, Laskar, Turner, and Domke [BLTD] investigated a modular version of sum graphs. They call a graph \( G(V, E) \) a \emph{mod sum graph} (MSG) if there exists a positive integer \( n \) and an injective labeling from \( V \) to \( \{1, 2, \ldots, n - 1\} \) such that \( xy \in E \) if and only if \( f(x) + f(y) \pmod{n} = f(z) \) for some vertex \( z \). Obviously, all sum graphs are mod sum graphs. However, not all mod sum graphs are sum graphs. Boland et al. [BLTD] have shown the following graph are MSG: all trees on 3 or more vertices; all cycles on 4 or more vertices; and all \( K_{2,n} \). They also proved that \( K_p \) (\( p \geq 2 \)) is not MSG and conjecture that \( W_p \) is MSG for \( p \geq 4 \).

Grimaldi [Gr] has investigated labeling the vertices of a graph \( G(V, E) \) with \( n \) vertices with distinct elements of the ring \( Z_n \) so that \( xy \in E \) whenever \( (x+y)^{-1} \in Z_n \).
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