

# Regular character tables of symmetric groups

Jørn B. Olsson

Matematisk Afdeling  
Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark  
olsson@math.ku.dk

Submitted: Apr 17, 2002; Accepted: Apr 15, 2003; Published: Apr 23, 2003

MR Subject Classification: 20C30

## Abstract

We generalize a well-known result on the determinant of the character tables of finite symmetric groups.

It is a well-known fact that if  $X_n$  is the character table of the symmetric group  $S_n$ , then the absolute value of the determinant of  $X_n$  equals  $a_n$ , which is defined as the product of all parts of all partitions of  $n$ . It also equals  $b_n$ , which is defined as the product of all factorials of all multiplicities of parts in partitions of  $n$ . Proofs of this may be found in [6], [5]. We sketch a proof below.

In this brief note we present generalizations of this to certain submatrices of  $X_n$  (called regular/singular character tables). We get such character tables for each choice of an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ . This is a perhaps slightly surprising consequence of results in [4]. The above result is obtained when we choose  $\ell \geq n$ .

If  $\mu$  is a partition of  $n$  we write  $\mu \vdash n$  and then  $z_\mu$  denotes the order of the centralizer of an element of (conjugacy) type  $\mu$  in  $S_n$ . Suppose  $\mu = (1^{m_1}, 2^{m_2}, \dots)$ , is written in exponential notation. Then we may factor  $z_\mu = a_\mu b_\mu$ , where

$$a_\mu = \prod_{i \geq 1} i^{m_i}, \quad b_\mu = \prod_{i \geq 1} m_i!$$

We define

$$a_n = \prod_{\mu \vdash n} a_\mu, \quad b_n = \prod_{\mu \vdash n} b_\mu.$$

**Proposition 1:** *We have that  $|\det(X_n)| = a_n = b_n$ .*

*Proof:* (See also [6].) By column orthogonality for the irreducible characters of  $S_n$ ,  $X_n^t X_n$  is a diagonal matrix with the integers  $z_\mu, \mu \vdash n$  on the diagonal. It follows that in the

above notation  $\det(X_n)^2 = \prod_{\mu \vdash n} z_\mu = a_n b_n$ . By [2], Corollary 6.5 we have  $|\det(X_n)| = a_n$ . The result follows.

Another proof of the fact that  $a_n = b_n$  for all  $n$  may be found in [3].

We choose an integer  $\ell \geq 2$ , which is fixed from now on. Several concepts below, like regular, singular, defect etc. refer to the integer  $\ell$ .

A partition is called *regular* if no part is repeated  $\ell$  or more times and is called *class regular*, if no part is divisible by  $\ell$ . A partition which is not regular (class regular) is called *singular* (*class singular*). We let  $p(n)$  be the number of partitions of  $n$ . The number  $p^*(n)$  of regular partitions of  $n$  equals the number of class regular partitions of  $n$  and then  $p'(n) = p(n) - p^*(n)$  is the number of (class)singular partitions of  $n$ . The irreducible characters and the conjugacy classes of  $X_n$  are labelled canonically by the partitions of  $n$ . An irreducible character is called *regular* (*singular*), if the partition labelling it is regular (singular). A conjugacy class is called *regular* (*singular*), if the partition labelling it is class regular (class singular). The *regular character table*  $X_n^{\text{reg}}$  contains the values of regular characters on regular classes and the *singular character table*  $X_n^{\text{sing}}$  is defined analogously.

Let

$$a_n^{\text{creg}} = \prod_{\mu \text{ class regular}} a_\mu, \quad b_n^{\text{creg}} = \prod_{\mu \text{ class regular}} b_\mu$$

and define  $a_n^{\text{csing}}$  and  $b_n^{\text{csing}}$  correspondingly such that  $a_n$  and  $b_n$  are factored into a “regular” and a “singular” component,  $a_n = a_n^{\text{creg}} a_n^{\text{csing}}$ ,  $b_n = b_n^{\text{creg}} b_n^{\text{csing}}$ .

Our main results are:

**Theorem 2:** *The regular character table satisfies:  $|\det(X_n^{\text{reg}})| = a_n^{\text{creg}}$ .*

**Theorem 3:** *The singular character table satisfies:  $|\det(X_n^{\text{sing}})| = b_n^{\text{csing}}$ .*

**Remark:** In the case where  $\ell = p$  is a prime number, we have that the absolute value of the determinant of the *Brauer character table* of  $S_n$  in characteristic  $p$  is also  $a_n^{\text{creg}}$ .

When  $\mu \vdash n$ , say  $\mu = (i^{m_i(\mu)})$  we define the *defect* of  $\mu$  by

$$d_\mu = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \left\lfloor \frac{m_i(\mu)}{\ell^j} \right\rfloor,$$

where  $\lfloor \cdot \rfloor$  means “integral part of.”

We start the proof of Theorems 2 and 3 with a key result which may be of independent interest. It generalizes the identity  $a_n = b_n$  above and is obtained by modifying an idea implicit in [6], see also [7], Exercise 26, p.48 and p.59. An unpublished note of John Graham communicated to the author by Gordon James has been useful. The case where  $\ell$  is a prime is implicit in [5], where proofs are based on modular representation theory.

**Theorem 4:** *We have that  $b_n^{\text{creg}}/a_n^{\text{creg}} = \ell^{c_n}$ , where*

$$c_n = \sum_{\mu \text{ class regular}} d_\mu.$$

*Proof:* Consider the set  $\mathcal{T}$  of triples

$$\mathcal{T} = \{(\mu, i, j) \mid \mu \text{ class regular, } i, j \geq 1, m_i(\mu) \geq j\}.$$

We claim that

$$a_n^{\text{creg}} = \prod_{(\mu, i, j) \in \mathcal{T}} i, \quad b_n^{\text{creg}} = \prod_{(\mu, i, j) \in \mathcal{T}} j.$$

Indeed, for a fixed class regular  $\mu$  and a fixed non-zero block  $i^{m_i(\mu)}$  in  $\mu$ , the elements  $(\mu, i, 1), (\mu, i, 2), \dots, (\mu, i, m_i(\mu))$  are precisely the ones in  $\mathcal{T}$  starting with  $\mu$  and  $i$ . These elements give a contribution  $i^{m_i(\mu)}$  to  $a_n^{\text{creg}}$  and a contribution  $m_i(\mu)!$  to  $b_n^{\text{creg}}$ .

We define an involution  $\iota$  on  $\mathcal{T}$  as follows. If  $(\mu, i, j) \in \mathcal{T}$  then  $\ell$  does not divide  $i$ , since  $\mu$  is class regular. Also note that  $\mu$  contains at least  $j$  parts equal to  $i$ . Write  $j = \ell^v j'$ , where  $v$  is a non-negative integer and  $\ell \nmid j'$ . We refer then to  $j'$  as the  $\ell'$ -part of  $j$ . Let  $\mu_{(i, j)}$  be obtained from  $\mu$  by replacing  $j$  parts equal to  $i$  in  $\mu$  by  $\ell^v i$  parts equal to  $j'$ . Then  $\iota(\mu, i, j)$  is defined as  $(\mu_{(i, j)}, j', \ell^v i)$ , an element of  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is easily checked that  $\iota^2$  is the identity.

This shows that

$$a_n^{\text{creg}} = \prod_{(\mu, i, j) \in \mathcal{T}} i = \prod_{(\mu, i, j) \in \mathcal{T}} j',$$

where as above  $j'$  is the  $\ell'$ -part of  $j$ . Thus  $b_n^{\text{creg}}/a_n^{\text{creg}} = \ell^c$ , where  $c$  is the sum of the exponents of the powers  $\ell^v$  of  $\ell$ , occurring as factors in the integers of the product  $\prod_{\mu \text{ class}, i \geq 1} m_i(\mu)!$ . If  $m$  is a positive integer, then there are  $\lfloor m/\ell \rfloor$  numbers among  $1, \dots, m$  which are divisible by  $\ell$ ,  $\lfloor m/\ell^2 \rfloor$  numbers divisible by  $\ell^2$ , etc., giving a total exponent  $\sum_{j \geq 1} \lfloor m/\ell^j \rfloor$  of  $\ell$  in  $m!$ . Applying this fact to each  $m_i(\mu)$ , we get our result.

Let  $\chi_\lambda$  denote the irreducible character of  $S_n$ , labelled by the partition  $\lambda \vdash n$ , and  $\chi_\lambda^0$  the restriction of  $\chi_\lambda$  to the regular classes of  $S_n$ . In [4], Section 4, it was shown that there exist integers  $d_{\lambda\rho}$  such that for each irreducible character  $\chi_\lambda$  we have

$$\chi_\lambda^0 = \sum_{\rho \text{ regular}} d_{\lambda\rho} \chi_\rho^0. \quad (1)$$

It follows from (1) that for any  $\lambda$  the character

$$\psi_\lambda = \chi_\lambda - \sum_{\rho \text{ regular}} d_{\lambda\rho} \chi_\rho \quad (2)$$

vanishes on all regular classes.

*Proof of Theorem 2:* The matrix form of (1) above may be stated as

$$Y_n = D_n X_n^{\text{reg}},$$

where  $Y_n$  is the  $p(n) \times p^*(n)$ -submatrix of  $X_n$  containing the values of all irreducible characters on regular classes, and  $D_n = (d_{\lambda\rho})$  is the ‘‘decomposition matrix’’. Consider

the corresponding ‘‘Cartan matrix’’  $C_n = (D_n)^t D_n$ . (For an explanation of the terms decomposition matrix and Cartan matrix we refer to [4].)

Column orthogonality shows that

$$(Y_n)^t Y_n = (X_n^{\text{reg}})^t C_n X_n^{\text{reg}} = \Delta(z_\mu).$$

Here  $\Delta$  is a diagonal matrix. Taking determinants we see that

$$\det(X_n^{\text{reg}})^2 \det(C_n) = \prod_{\mu \text{ class regular}} z_\mu = a_n^{\text{creg}} b_n^{\text{creg}} \quad (3).$$

By Proposition 6.11 in [4] (see also [1], Theorem 3.3) we have that  $\det(C_n) = \ell^{c_n}$ . It follows then from Theorem 4 that

$$\det(C_n) = b_n^{\text{creg}} / a_n^{\text{creg}}. \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4) we conclude  $|\det(X_n^{\text{reg}})| = a_n^{\text{creg}}$ , which proves the theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 3:* We assume that the rows and columns of  $X_n$  are ordered such that the regular characters and classes are the first. Then the submatrix consisting of the intersection of the first  $p^*(n)$  rows and the first  $p^*(n)$  columns in  $X_n$  is exactly  $X_n^{\text{reg}}$ . In fact  $X_n$  has a block form

$$X_n = \begin{bmatrix} X_n^{\text{reg}} & A_n \\ B_n & X_n^{\text{sing}} \end{bmatrix}.$$

We do some row operations on  $X_n$  to get a new matrix  $\bar{X}_n$  as follows: For each *singular* partition  $\lambda'$  and each *regular* partition  $\rho$ , subtract  $d_{\lambda'\rho}$  times the row labelled by  $\rho$  from the row labelled by  $\lambda'$ . Thus in  $\bar{X}_n$  the row labelled by the singular partition  $\lambda'$  contains the values of the character  $\psi_{\lambda'}$  on all conjugacy classes. Since  $\psi_{\lambda'}$  vanishes on regular classes  $\bar{X}_n$  looks like this:

$$\bar{X}_n = \begin{bmatrix} X_n^{\text{reg}} & A_n \\ 0 & Q_n \end{bmatrix}$$

for a suitable square  $p'(n)$ -matrix  $Q_n$ . We have then  $\det(X_n) = \det(\bar{X}_n) = \det(X_n^{\text{reg}}) \det(Q_n)$ , whence by Theorem 2

$$\det(Q_n) = a_n^{\text{csing}}. \quad (5)$$

We now have that if  $\lambda', \lambda''$  are singular partitions, then since  $\psi_{\lambda'}$  vanishes on regular classes

$$\langle \psi_{\lambda'}, \chi_{\lambda''} \rangle = \sum_{\mu} \frac{1}{z_{\mu}} \psi_{\lambda'}(x_{\mu}) \chi_{\lambda''}(x_{\mu}) = \sum_{\mu' \text{ class singular}} \frac{1}{z_{\mu'}} \psi_{\lambda'}(x_{\mu'}) \chi_{\lambda''}(x_{\mu'}).$$

Here  $x_{\mu}$  is an element in the conjugacy class labelled by  $\mu$ . On the other hand by (2)  $\langle \psi_{\lambda'}, \chi_{\lambda''} \rangle = \delta_{\lambda'\lambda''}$ . Translating these equations in terms of matrices

$$Q_n \Delta \left( \frac{1}{z_{\mu'}} \right) (X_n^{\text{sing}})^t = E.$$

Here again  $\Delta$  is a diagonal matrix and  $E$  is a  $p'(n)$ -square identity matrix. Taking determinants

$$\det(X_n^{\text{sing}}) \det(Q_n) = \prod_{\mu' \text{ class singular}} z_{\mu'} = a_n^{\text{csing}} b_n^{\text{csing}} \quad (6)$$

Now Theorem 3 follows from (5) and (6).

It should be remarked that Theorems 2 and 3 also hold, if we replace the irreducible characters  $\chi_\lambda$  by the Young characters  $\eta_\lambda$ .

**Acknowledgements:** The author thanks C. Bessenrodt and M. Schocker for discussions. This research was supported by the Danish Natural Science Foundation.

## References

- [1] C. Bessenrodt, J.B.Olsson, A note on Cartan matrices for symmetric groups, preprint 2001. To appear in Arch. Math.
- [2] G. James, The representation theory of the symmetric groups, Lecture notes in mathematics 682, Springer-Verlag 1978.
- [3] M.S. Kirdar, T.H.R. Skyrme, On an identity relating to partitions and repetitions of parts. Canad. J. Math. 34 (1982), 194-195.
- [4] B. Külshammer, J.B. Olsson, G.R. Robinson, Generalized blocks for symmetric groups. Invent. Math. 151 (2003), 513-552.
- [5] J. Müller, On a remarkable combinatorial property, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 101 (2003), 271-280.
- [6] F.W. Schmidt, R. Simion, On a partition identity. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 36 (1984), 249-252.
- [7] R.P. Stanley, Enumerative combinatorics. Vol. 1. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, 49. Cambridge University Press, 1997.