

# A Combinatorial Proof of the Log-concavity of a famous sequence counting permutations

Miklós Bóna \*

Submitted: Nov 24, 2004; Accepted: Jan 11, 2005; Published: Jan 24, 2005

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A15

*To Richard Stanley, who introduced me to the area of log-concave sequences.*

## Abstract

We provide a combinatorial proof for the fact that for any fixed  $n$ , the sequence  $\{i(n, k)\}_{0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}}$  of the numbers of permutations of length  $n$  having  $k$  inversions is log-concave.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$  be a permutation of length  $n$ , or, in what follows, an  $n$ -permutation. An *inversion* of  $p$  is a pair  $(i, j)$  of indices so that  $i < j$ , but  $p_i > p_j$ . The enumeration of  $n$ -permutations according to their number  $i(p)$  of inversions, and the study of numbers  $i(n, k)$  of  $n$ -permutations having  $k$  inversions, is a classic area of combinatorics. The best-known result is the following [4].

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $n \geq 2$ . Then we have*

$$\sum_{p \in S_n} x^{i(p)} = \sum_{k=0}^{\binom{n}{2}} i(n, k) x^k = (1+x)(1+x+x^2) \cdots (1+x+x^2+\cdots+x^{n-1}).$$

Another classic result [3] is that the numbers  $i(n, k)$  also count  $n$ -permutations having *major index*  $k$ . Details about this result, and other related results can be found in [1].

---

\*University of Florida, Gainesville FL 32611-8105. Partially supported by an NSA Young Investigator Award. Email: bona@math.ufl.edu.

A somewhat less explored property of the numbers  $i(n, k)$  is log-concavity. The sequence  $(a_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$  is called *log-concave* if  $a_k a_{k+2} \leq a_{k+1}^2$  for all  $k$ . See [5] for a classic survey of log-concave sequences, and see [2] for an update on that survey. A *polynomial* is called log-concave if its coefficients form a log-concave sequence. It is a classic result (see for instance [1] for a proof) that the product of log-concave polynomials is log-concave. Therefore, Theorem 1.1 immediately implies that the polynomial  $\sum_{k=0}^{\binom{n}{2}} i(n, k) x^k$  is log-concave, that is, the sequence  $i(n, 0), i(n, 1), \dots, i(n, \binom{n}{2})$  is log-concave. We could not find any previous proof of this fact that does not use generating functions. In this paper, we will provide such a proof. It is also the first non-generating function proof we know of in which a sequence whose length is quadratic in terms of the length of the input objects is shown to be log-concave.

## 2 The proof of our claim

### 2.1 The outline of the proof

It is easy to see that the sequence  $(a_k)_{0 \leq k \leq m}$  is log-concave if and only if  $a_k a_l \leq a_{k+1} a_{l-1}$  for all  $k \leq l-2$ . One implication is trivial, and the other becomes obvious if we note that log-concavity is equivalent to the sequence  $a_{k+1}/a_k$  being weakly decreasing.

Let  $p$  be an  $n$ -permutation, and set  $p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ . Define  $I_{n,k}$  to be the set of all  $n$ -permutations with exactly  $k$  inversions. When there is no danger of confusion about what  $n$  is, we will just write  $I_k$  instead of  $I_{n,k}$ .

The structure of our proof will be as follows. We want to prove the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** *For all integers  $n, k$  and  $l$  satisfying  $0 \leq k \leq l-2 \leq \binom{n}{2} - 2$ , there exists an injection  $f_{n,k,l} : I_k \times I_l \rightarrow I_{k+1} \times I_{l+1}$ .*

Theorem 2.1 is clearly equivalent to what we want to prove. We will prove our claim by induction on  $n$ . That is, first, we will construct the injections  $f_{n,k,l}$  for the smallest meaningful value of  $n$ , which is  $n = 3$ . Then, in the induction step, we will use the assumption that the maps  $f_{n-1,k,l}$  exist for *all* allowed values of  $k$  and  $l$  to create the maps  $f_{n,k,k+2}$ . We will not create the maps  $f_{n,k,l}$  for  $k < l-2$ , but we do not have to, since the existence of the maps  $f_{n,k,k+2}$  in itself implies the log-concavity of the sequence  $\{i(n, k)\}_{0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}}$ , and therefore, it implies the existence of the maps  $f_{n,k,l}$  for  $k < l-2$ . That will complete the induction step of our proof.

### 2.2 The details of the proof

It is time that we carried out the strategy to prove Theorem 2.1 that we discussed in the previous subsection.

The smallest value of  $n$  for which the domains of the maps  $f_{n,k,l}$  are not all empty is  $n = 3$ . In this case,  $f_{n,k,l}$  is defined for the  $(k, l)$ -pairs  $(0,2)$ ,  $(0,3)$  and  $(1,3)$ . In those cases, we define  $f_{3,0,2}(123, 231) = (213, 132)$ ,  $f_{3,0,2}(123, 312) = (213, 213)$ , and  $f_{3,0,3}(123, 321) = (213, 231)$ , as well as  $f_{3,1,3}(132, 321) = (231, 231)$ , and  $f_{3,1,3}(213, 321) = (312, 231)$ . It will soon become obvious why we define  $f$  this way.

Now let  $n \geq 4$ , and assume we have defined  $f_{n-1,k,l}$  for all allowed values of  $k$  and  $l$ .

Let  $(p, q) \in I_k \times I_{k+2}$ , with  $p = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$  and  $q = q_1 q_2 \cdots q_n$ . Proceed as follows.

- (Rule 1) If  $p_1 < n$  and  $q_1 > 1$ , increase  $p_1$  by one, and decrease the entry of  $p$  that was one larger than  $p_1$  by one. Let the obtained permutation be  $p'$ . Similarly, decrease  $q_1$  by 1, and increase the entry of  $q$  that was one larger than  $q_1$  by 1. Let the obtained permutation be  $q'$ . Set  $f_{n,k,k+2}(p, q) = (p', q')$ .

Note that  $p'$  starts with an entry larger than 1, and  $q'$  starts with an entry less than  $n$ .

**Example 2.2** If  $p = 2134$  and  $q = 3142$ , then we have  $f_{4,1,3}(p, q) = (3124, 2143)$ .

- (Rule 2) If  $p_1 = n$ , or  $q_1 = 1$ , then remove these entries, to get the permutations  $p^*$  and  $q^*$ . (After natural relabeling, these are both permutations of length  $n - 1$ .) Because of the extreme values of at least one of the omitted elements, we have  $i(q^*) - i(p^*) \geq i(q) - i(p) = 2$ . Therefore, there exist positive integers  $r$  and  $s$ , with  $r \leq s - 2$ , so that  $(p^*, q^*)$  is in the domain of  $f_{n-1,r,s}$ .

Take  $f_{n-1,r,s}(p^*, q^*) = (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) \in I(n-1, r+1) \times I(n-1, s-1)$ . Now prepend  $\bar{p}$  by  $p_1$ , and prepend  $\bar{q}$  by  $q_1$ . In both cases, entries larger than or equal to the prepended entry have to be increased by 1. Call this new pair of  $n$ -permutations  $(p_1 \bar{p}, q_1 \bar{q})$ . Finally, set  $f_{n,k,k+2}(p, q) = (q_1 \bar{q}, p_1 \bar{p})$ . We point out that we swapped  $p$  and  $q$ .

Note that either  $q_1 \bar{q}$  starts in 1 or  $p_1 \bar{p}$  starts in  $n$ .

**Example 2.3** If  $p = 1324$  and  $q = 1432$ , then we have  $(p^*, q^*) = (213, 321)$ , therefore, recalling that we have already defined  $f_{3,1,3}$  for 3-permutations,  $f_{3,1,3}(p^*, q^*) = (\bar{p}, \bar{q}) = (312, 231)$ . Reinserting the removed first entries, we get  $(p_1 \bar{p}, q_1 \bar{q}) = (1423, 1342)$ . Finally, after swapping the two permutations of the last pair, we get  $f_{4,1,3}(p, q) = (1342, 1423)$ .

**Lemma 2.4** The map  $f_{n,k,k+2} : I_k \times I_{k+2} \rightarrow I_{k+1} \times I_{k+1}$  is an injection.

**Proof:** First, it is clear that  $f_{n,k,k+2}$  maps into  $I_{k+1} \times I_{k+1}$  since both rules increase the number of inversions of the first permutation by one, and decrease the number of inversions of the second permutation by one.

Now we prove that  $f_{n,k,k+2}$  is one-to-one. We achieve this by induction on  $n$ , the initial case of  $n = 3$  being obvious. Assume now that the statement is true for  $n - 1$ .

Let  $(t, u) \in I_{k+1} \times I_{k+1}$ , with  $t = t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n$ , and  $u = u_1 u_2 \cdots u_n$ . We show that  $(t, u)$  can have at most one preimage under  $f_{n,k,k+2}$ . There are two cases.

1. If  $t_1 > 1$  and  $u_n < n$ , then  $(t, u)$  could only be obtained as a result of applying  $f_{n,k,k+2}$  if Rule 1 was used. In that case, we have  $f_{n,k,k+2}^{-1}(t, u) = ((t_1 - 1)t_2 \cdots t_n, (u_1 + 1)u_2 \cdots u_n)$ .
2. If  $t_1 = 1$ , or  $u_1 = n$ , then  $(t, u)$  could only be obtained as a result of applying  $f_{n,k,k+2}$  if Rule 2 was used. In that case, to get the preimage of  $(t, u)$ , we need to remove the first entry of  $t$  and the first entry of  $u$ , swap the permutations, and find the preimage of the resulting pair  $(\bar{u}, \bar{t})$  under the appropriate map  $f_{n-1,r,s}$ .

However, the preimage of  $(\bar{u}, \bar{t})$  under  $f_{n-1,r,s}$  is unique by the induction hypothesis, therefore so is  $f_{n,k,k+2}^{-1}(t, u)$ .

This completes our proof.  $\diamond$

Consequently, the sequence  $\{i(n, k)\}_{0 \leq k \leq \binom{n}{2}}$  is log-concave, and the injections  $f_{n,k,l}$  exist for all values  $k$  and  $l$  satisfying  $0 \leq k \leq l - 2 \leq \binom{n}{2} - 2$ .

### Acknowledgement

I am grateful to the anonymous referee for a careful reading of the manuscript.

## References

- [1] M. Bóna, **Combinatorics of Permutations**, CRC Press, 2004.
- [2] F. Brenti, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry: an update, *Jerusalem Combinatorics '93, Contemp. Math.* **178** (1994), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 71–89.
- [3] P. A. MacMahon, The indices of permutations, and the derivation therefrom of functions of a single variable associated with the permutations of any assemblage of objects, *Amer. J. Math.* **35** (1913), 281–322.
- [4] G. Rodrigues, Note sur les inversions, ou dérangements produits dans les permutations, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **4** (1839), 236–240.
- [5] R. Stanley, Log-concave and unimodal sequences in algebra, combinatorics, and geometry. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* **576** (1989), 500–535.