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#### Abstract

This paper deals with new infinite families of small dense sets in desarguesian projective planes $P G(2, q)$. A general construction of dense sets of size about $3 q^{2 / 3}$ is presented. Better results are obtained for specific values of $q$. In several cases, an improvement on the best known upper bound on the size of the smallest dense set in $P G(2, q)$ is obtained.


## 1 Introduction

A dense set $\mathcal{K}$ in $P G(2, q)$, the projective plane coordinatized over the finite field with $q$ elements $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, is a point-set whose secants cover $P G(2, q)$, that is, any point of $P G(2, q)$ belongs to a line joining two distinct points of $\mathcal{K}$. As well as being a natural geometrical problem, the construction of small dense sets in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ is relevant in other areas of Combinatorics, as dense sets are related to covering codes, see Section 4, and defining sets of block designs, see [2]; also, it has been recently pointed out in [13] that small dense sets are connected to the degree/diameter problem in Graph Theory [17].

A straightforward counting argument shows that a trivial lower bound for the size $k$ of a dense set in $P G(2, q)$ is $k \geq \sqrt{2 q}$, see e.g. [19]. On the other hand, for $q$ square there is a nice example of a dense set of size $3 \sqrt{q}$, namely the union of three non-concurrent lines of a subplane of $P G(2, q)$ of order $\sqrt{q}$.

If $q$ is not a square, however, the trivial lower bound is far away from the size of the known examples. The existence of dense sets of size $\lfloor 5 \sqrt{q \log q}\rfloor$ was shown by means of probabilistic methods, see $[2,14]$. The smallest dense sets explicitly constructed so far have size approximately $c q^{\frac{3}{4}}$, with $c$ a constant independent on $q$, see $[1,9,18]$; for

[^0]a survey see $[2$, Sections 3,4]. A construction by Davydov and Östergård [6, Thm. 3] provides dense sets of size $2 q / p+p$, where $p$ is the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$; note that in the special case where $q=p^{3}, p \geq 17$, the size of these dense sets is less than $q^{\frac{3}{4}}$.

The main result of the present paper is a general explicit construction of dense sets in $P G(2, q)$ of size about $3 q^{\frac{2}{3}}$, see Theorem 3.2. For large non-square $q, q \neq p^{3}$, these are the smallest explicitly constructed dense sets, whereas for $q=p^{3}$ the size is the same as that of the example by Davydov and Östergård.

Using the same technique, smaller dense sets are provided for specific values of $q$, see Theorem 3.7 and Corollary 3.8; in some cases they even provide an improvement on the probabilistic bound, see Table 1.

Our constructions are essentially algebraic, and use linearized polynomials over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For properties of linearized polynomials see [15, Chapter 3]. In the affine line $A G(1, q)$, take a subset $A$ whose points are coordinatized by an additive subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then $H$ consists of the roots of a linearized polynomial $L_{H}(X)$. Let $D_{1}$ be the union of two copies of $A$, embedded in two parallel lines in $A G(2, q)$, namely the lines with equation $Y=0$ and $Y=1$. The condition for a point $P=(u, v)$ in $A G(2, q)$ to belong to some secant of $D_{1}$ is that the equation

$$
L_{H}(X)-v L_{H}(Y)+u=0
$$

has at least one solution in $\mathbb{F}_{q^{2}}$. This certainly occurs when the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H}(X)-v L_{H}(Y)=0 \quad \text { has precisely } q \text { solutions in } \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This leads to the purely algebraic problem of determining the values of $v$ for which (1) holds. A complete solution is given in Section 2, see Proposition 2.5, by showing that this occurs if and only if $-v$ belongs to the set $\mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash \mathcal{M}_{H}$, with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{H}:=\left\{\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}}\right\} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here, $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ range over all subgroups of $H$ of index $p$, that is $|H| /\left|H_{i}\right|=p$, while $\beta_{i} \in H \backslash H_{i}$.

This shows that the points which are not covered by the secants of $D_{1}$ are the points $P=(u, v)$ with $-v \in \mathcal{M}_{H}$. The final step of our construction consists in adding a possibly small number of points $Q_{1}, \ldots, Q_{t}$ to $D_{1}$ to obtain a dense set. For the general case, this is done by just ensuring that the secants $Q_{i} Q_{j}$ cover all points uncovered by the secants of $D_{1}$. For special cases, the above construction can give better results when more than two copies of $A$ are used.

It should be noted that sometimes in the literature dense sets are referred to as 1saturating sets as well.

## 2 On the number of solutions of certain equations over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$

Let $q=p^{\ell}$ with $p$ prime, and let $H$ be an additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of size $p^{s}$ with $2 s \leq \ell$. Also, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H}(X)=\prod_{h \in H}(X-h) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[X] . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $L_{H}$ is a linearized polynomial, that is, there exist $\beta_{0}, \ldots, \beta_{s} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that $L_{H}(X)=$ $\sum_{i=0}^{s} \beta_{i} X^{p^{i}}$, see e.g. [15, Theorem 3.52].

For $m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(X, Y)=L_{H}(X)-m L_{H}(Y) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

As the evaluation map $(x, y) \mapsto F_{m}(x, y)$ is an additive map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, the equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ has at least $q$ solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$. The aim of this section is to determine for what $m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ the number of solutions of $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ is precisely $q$, see Proposition 2.5.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ denote the prime subfield of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Lemma 2.1. If $m \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$, then the number of solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ of the equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ is $q p^{s}$.

Proof. Note that as $m \in \mathbb{F}_{p}, m L_{H}(Y)=L_{H}(m Y)$ holds. Then,

$$
F_{m}(X, Y)=L_{H}(X-m Y)=\prod_{h \in H}(X-m Y-h)
$$

As the equation $X-m Y-h=0$ has $q$ solutions in $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$, the claim follows.
Lemma 2.2. For any $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$,

$$
X^{p}-\alpha^{p-1} X=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}(X-i \alpha)
$$

Proof. The assertion is trivial for $\alpha=0$. For $\alpha \neq 0$, the claim follows from

$$
\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}(X-i \alpha)=\alpha^{p} \prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(\frac{X}{\alpha}-i\right)=\alpha^{p}\left(\left(\frac{X}{\alpha}\right)^{p}-\frac{X}{\alpha}\right) .
$$

For any subgroup $H^{\prime}$ of $H$ of size $p^{s-1}$, pick an element $\beta \in H \backslash H^{\prime}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{H^{\prime}}=L_{H^{\prime}}(\beta)^{p-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $a_{H^{\prime}}$ does not depend on $\beta$. In fact,

$$
\prod_{h \in H}(X-h)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} \prod_{h^{\prime} \in H^{\prime}}\left(X-h^{\prime}-i \beta\right)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}} L_{H^{\prime}}(X-i \beta)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(L_{H^{\prime}}(X)-i L_{H^{\prime}}(\beta)\right)
$$

and then, by Lemma 2.2,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{H}(X)=L_{H^{\prime}}(X)^{p}-a_{H^{\prime}} L_{H^{\prime}}(X) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, if $a_{H_{1}}=a_{H_{2}}$ holds for two subgroups $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ of $H$, then by (6) it follows that

$$
\left(L_{H_{1}}(X)-L_{H_{2}}(X)\right)^{p}=a_{H_{1}}\left(L_{H_{1}}(X)-L_{H_{2}}(X)\right) ;
$$

this yields $L_{H_{1}}(X)=L_{H_{2}}(X)$, whence $H_{1}=H_{2}$.
Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{M}_{H}:=\left\{\left.\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}} \right\rvert\, H_{1}, H_{2} \text { subgroups of } H \text { of size } p^{s-1}, \beta_{i} \in H \backslash H_{i}\right\} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}$,

$$
\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\lambda \beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}}=\lambda \frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}},
$$

whence $\lambda \mathcal{M}_{H}=\mathcal{M}_{H}$ holds provided that $\lambda \neq 0$. In particular,

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\mathcal{M}_{H}=\mathcal{M}_{H} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $H_{1}=H_{2}$ is allowed in (7), we also have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} \subseteq \mathcal{M}_{H} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.3. For any $m \in \mathcal{M}_{H}$, the equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ has at least pq solutions.
Proof. Fix $H_{1}, H_{2}$ subgroups of $H$ of size $p^{s-1}, \beta_{1} \in H \backslash H_{1}$, and $\beta_{2} \in H \backslash H_{2}$, in such a way that $m=\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}}$. Let $\alpha=\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)}$. We claim that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{m}(X, Y)=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(L_{H_{1}}\left(X-i \beta_{1}\right)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (10), note first that by Lemma 2.2

$$
\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(L_{H_{1}}\left(X-i \beta_{1}\right)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right)=\left(L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right)^{p}-a_{H_{1}}\left(L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right) .
$$

Then, Equation (6) for $H^{\prime}=H_{1}$ gives

$$
\prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}}\left(L_{H_{1}}\left(X-i \beta_{1}\right)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right)=L_{H}(X)-\alpha^{p} L_{H_{2}}(Y)^{p}+a_{H_{1}} \alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)
$$

As $a_{H_{1}} \alpha=\alpha^{p} a_{H_{2}}$ and $m=\alpha^{p}$, Equation (6) for $H^{\prime}=H_{2}$ implies (10).
Now, the set of solutions of $L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)=0$ has size at least $q$, as it is the nucleus of an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear map from $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ to $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. As the solutions of $L_{H_{1}}\left(X-i \beta_{1}\right)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)=0$ are obtained from those of $L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)=0$ by the substitution $X \mapsto X+i \beta_{1}$, (10) yields that $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ has at least $p q$ solutions.

Lemma 2.4. The size of $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ is at most $\left(p^{s}-1\right)^{2} /(p-1)$.
Proof. Note that for each pair $H_{1}, H_{2}$ of subgroups of $H$ of size $p^{s-1}$ there are precisely $p-1$ elements in $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ of type $L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p} / L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}$. In fact,

$$
\left(\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}}\right)^{p-1}=\frac{a_{H_{1}}^{p}}{a_{H_{2}}^{p}} .
$$

As $a_{H_{1}} / a_{H_{2}}$ only depends on $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$, the claim follows.
Now, the number of additive subgroups of $H$ of size $p^{s-1}$ is $\left(p^{s}-1\right) /(p-1)$. Therefore $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ consists of at most

$$
(p-1) \cdot\left(\frac{p^{s}-1}{p-1}\right)^{2}
$$

elements.
We are now in a position to prove the main result of the section.
Proposition 2.5. Let $F_{m}(X, Y)$ be as in (4). The equation $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$ has more than $q$ solutions if and only if either $m \in \mathcal{M}_{H}$ or $m=0$.

Proof. The claim for $m=0$ follows from Lemma 2.1. Assume then that $m \neq 0$. Denote $\nu_{m}$ the number of solutions of $F_{m}(X, Y)=0$. Also, denote $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$ the factor group of the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ by $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. Consider the map

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Phi:\left\{\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \mid H_{1}, H_{2} \text { subgroups of } H \text { of size } p^{s-1}, H_{1} \neq H_{2}\right\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*} / \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} \\
\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right) \mapsto \frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)^{p}}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)^{p}} \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*},
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\beta_{i} \in H \backslash H_{i}$. Note that $\Phi$ is well defined: for any $\beta_{i}, \beta_{i}^{\prime} \in H \backslash H_{i}, L_{H_{i}}\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{p}=\lambda L_{H_{i}}\left(\beta_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{p}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, as

$$
L_{H_{i}}\left(\beta_{i}\right)^{p-1}=L_{H_{i}}\left(\beta_{i}^{\prime}\right)^{p-1}=a_{H_{i}}
$$

(see (5)).
For any $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{H}$, the size of $\Phi^{-1}\left(\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right)$ is related to $\nu_{\mu}$. More precisely,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# \Phi^{-1}\left(\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{\frac{\nu_{\mu}}{q}-1}{p-1} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In order to prove (11), write the unique factorization of $F_{\mu}$ as follows:

$$
F_{\mu}(X, Y)=P_{1}(X, Y) \cdot P_{2}(X, Y) \cdot \ldots \cdot P_{r}(X, Y)
$$

Note that the multiplicity of each factor is 1 . In fact, all the roots of $L_{H}(X)$ are simple, whence both the partial derivatives of $F_{\mu}$ are non-zero constants. Assume that $\Phi\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$. Let $\alpha=\frac{L_{H_{1}}\left(\beta_{1}\right)}{L_{H_{2}}\left(\beta_{2}\right)}$, and note that, by Equation (10),

$$
F_{\mu}(X, Y)=\left(L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right) \prod_{i \in \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}}\left(L_{H_{1}}\left(X-i \beta_{1}\right)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)\right)
$$

Assume without loss of generality that $P_{1}(0,0)=0$, so that $P_{1}(X, Y)$ divides $L_{H_{1}}(X)-$ $\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)$. We consider two actions of the group $H$ on the set of irreducible factors of $F_{\mu}$. For each $h \in H$, let $\left(P_{i}(X, Y)\right)^{\sigma_{1}(h)}=P_{i}(X+h, Y)$, and $\left(P_{i}(X, Y)\right)^{\sigma_{2}(h)}=P_{i}(X, Y+h)$. Assume that the stabilizer $S_{1}$ of $P_{1}(X, Y)$ with respect to the action $\sigma_{1}$ has order $p^{t}$. Then the $X$-degree of $P_{1}(X, Y)$ is at least $p^{t}$. Note also that the orbit of $P_{1}(X, Y)$ with respect to $\sigma_{1}$ consists of $p^{s-t}$ factors, each of which has $X$-degree not smaller than $p^{t}$. As the $X$-degree of $F_{\mu}$ is $p^{s}$, we have that $r=p^{s-t}$, and that the $X$-degree of $P_{1}(X, Y)$ is precisely $p^{t}$. Taking into account that $S_{1}$ stabilizes $P_{1}(X, Y)$, we have that for any $h \in S_{1}$ the polynomial $X+h$ divides $P_{1}(X, Y)-P_{1}(0, Y)$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(X, Y)-P_{1}(0, Y)=Q(Y) L_{S_{1}}(X) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $Q$. Now, let $S_{2}$ be the stabilizer of $P_{1}(X, Y)$ under the action $\sigma_{2}$, and let $p^{t^{\prime}}$ be the order of $S_{2}$. The above argument yields that $r=p^{s-t^{\prime}}$, and therefore $t=t^{\prime}$. Also,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}(X, Y)-P_{1}(X, 0)=\bar{Q}(X) L_{S_{2}}(Y) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some polynomial $\bar{Q}$. As the degrees of $P_{1}(X, Y), L_{S_{1}}(X), L_{S_{2}}(Y)$ are all equal to $p^{t}$, Equation (12) together with (13) imply that

$$
P_{1}(X, Y)=\gamma L_{S_{1}}(X)-\gamma^{\prime} L_{S_{2}}(Y)
$$

for some $\gamma^{\prime}, \gamma \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$. Therefore,

$$
\nu_{\mu} \geq q r=q p^{s-t}
$$

As $P_{1}(X, Y)$ divides $L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)$, and as $H_{1}$ is the stabilizer of the set of factors of $L_{H_{1}}(X)-\alpha L_{H_{2}}(Y)$ with respect to the action $\sigma_{1}$, the group $S_{1}$ is a subgroup of $H_{1}$. The number of possibilities for subgroups $H_{1}$ is then less than or equal to the number of subgroups of $H$ of size $p^{s-1}$ containing $S_{1}$, which is $\frac{p^{s-t}-1}{p-1}$. Also, for a fixed $H_{1}$, there is at most one possibility for $H_{2}$; in fact, $\Phi\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)=\Phi\left(H_{1}, H_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ yields $a_{H_{2}}=a_{H_{2}^{\prime}}$, which has already been noticed to imply $H_{2}=H_{2}^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\# \Phi^{-1}\left(\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right) \leq \frac{p^{s-t}-1}{p-1}
$$

and therefore (11) is fulfilled.
Now, let $M$ be the size of $\mathcal{M}_{H} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$. By counting the number of pairs $(x, y) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{2}$ such that $L_{H}(x) \neq 0$ and $L_{H}(y) \neq 0$, we obtain

$$
\left(q-p^{s}\right)^{2}=\sum_{m \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}}\left(\nu_{m}-p^{2 s}\right) .
$$

Then, taking into account Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(q-p^{s}\right)^{2} \geq(p-1)\left(q p^{s}-p^{2 s}\right)+(q-p-M)\left(q-p^{2 s}\right)-M p^{2 s}+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{H} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}} \nu_{\mu} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that if equality holds in (14), then the proposition is proved. Straightforward computation yields that (14) is equivalent to

$$
-M+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{H} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}} \frac{\nu_{\mu}}{q} \leq\left(p^{s}-p\right)\left(p^{s}-1\right)
$$

Let $M_{v}$ be the number of elements $\mu$ in $\mathcal{M}_{H} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$ such that $\nu_{\mu}=q p^{v}$. Then

$$
-M+\sum_{\mu \in \mathcal{M}_{H} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}} \frac{\nu_{\mu}}{q}=\sum_{v} M_{v}\left(p^{v}-1\right) .
$$

On the other hand, taking into account (11), we obtain that

$$
\sum_{v} M_{v}\left(p^{v}-1\right) \geq \sum_{\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*} \in \operatorname{Im}(\Phi)}(p-1)^{2} \# \Phi^{-1}\left(\mu \mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}\right)=(p-1)^{2} \frac{p^{s}-1}{p-1} \frac{p^{s}-p}{p-1}=\left(p^{s}-p\right)\left(p^{s}-1\right)
$$

Therefore equality must hold in (14), and the claim is proved.

## 3 Dense sets in $P G(2, q)$

Let $q=p^{\ell}$. For an additive subgroup $H$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of size $p^{s}$ with $2 s \leq \ell$, let $L_{H}(X)$ be as in (3), and $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ be as in (7). For an element $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{H, \alpha}=\left\{\left(L_{H}(a): \alpha: 1\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \subset P G(2, q) . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a corollary to Proposition 2.5, the following result is obtained.
Proposition 3.1. Let $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ be distinct elements in $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. Then a point $P=(u: v: 1)$ belongs to a line joining two points of $D_{H, \alpha_{1}} \cup D_{H, \alpha_{2}}$ provided that $v \notin\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \mathcal{M}_{H}+\alpha_{2}$.

Proof. Assume that $v \notin\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1}\right) \mathcal{M}_{H}+\alpha_{2}$ and that $v \neq \alpha_{2}$. Then by Proposition 2.5, the equation

$$
L_{H}(X)+\frac{v-\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} L_{H}(Y)=0
$$

has precisely $q$ solutions, or, equivalently, the additive map

$$
(x, y) \mapsto L_{H}(x)+\frac{v-\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} L_{H}(y)
$$

is surjective. This yields that there exists $b, b^{\prime} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ such that

$$
L_{H}(b)+\frac{v-\alpha_{2}}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{2}} L_{H}\left(b^{\prime}\right)=u
$$

which is precisely the condition for the point $P=(u: v: 1)$ to belong to the line joining $\left(L_{H}\left(b^{\prime}+b\right): \alpha_{1}: 1\right) \in D_{H, \alpha_{1}}$ and $\left(L_{H}(b): \alpha_{2}: 1\right) \in D_{H, \alpha_{2}}$.

If $v=\alpha_{2}$, then clearly $P$ is collinear with two points in $\left\{\left(L_{H}(a): \alpha_{2}: 1\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $q=p^{\ell}$, and let $H$ be any additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of size $p^{s}$, with $2 s \leq \ell$. Let $L_{H}(X)$ be as in (3), and $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ be as in (7). Then the set

$$
\begin{aligned}
D= & \left\{\left(L_{H}(a): 1: 1\right),\left(L_{H}(a): 0: 1\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\} \cup\left\{(0: m: 1) \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_{H}\right\} \\
& \cup\{(0: 1: 0),(1: 0: 0)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

is a dense set of size at most

$$
\frac{2 q}{p^{s}}+\frac{\left(p^{s}-1\right)^{2}}{p-1}+1
$$

Proof. Let $P=(u: v: 1)$ be a point in $P G(2, q)$. If $v \notin \mathcal{M}_{H}$, then $P$ belongs to the line joining two points of $D$ by Proposition 3.1, together with (8). If $v \in \mathcal{M}_{H}$, then $P$ is collinear with $(0: v: 1) \in D$ and $(1: 0: 0) \in D$. Clearly the points $P=(u: v: 0)$ are covered by $D$ as they are collinear with $(1: 0: 0)$ and $(0: 1: 0)$. Then $D$ is a dense set.

The set $\left\{L_{H}(a) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ is the image of an $\mathbb{F}_{p}$-linear map on $\mathbb{F}_{q} \cong \mathbb{F}_{p}^{\ell}$ whose kernel has dimension $s$, therefore its size is $p^{\ell-s}$. Note that the point $(0: 1: 1)$ belongs to both $\left\{\left(L_{H}(a): 1: 1\right) \mid a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}\right\}$ and $\left\{(0: m: 1) \mid m \in \mathcal{M}_{H}\right\}$. Then the upper bound on the size of $D$ follows from Lemma 2.4.

The order of magnitude of the size of $D$ of Theorem 3.2 is $p^{\max \{\ell-s, 2 s-1\}}$. If $s$ is chosen as $\lceil\ell / 3\rceil$, then the size of $D$ satisfies

$$
\# D \leq\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
2 q^{\frac{2}{3}}+1+\frac{q^{\frac{2}{3}}-2 q^{\frac{1}{3}}+1}{p-1}, & \text { if } \ell \equiv 0 \quad(\bmod 3) \\
2\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}+1+\frac{p^{2}\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{2}{3}}-2 p\left(\frac{q}{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}+1}{p-1}, & \text { if } \ell \equiv 1 \quad(\bmod 3) \\
2 \frac{1}{p}(q p)^{\frac{2}{3}}+1+\frac{(q p)^{\frac{2}{3}}-2(q p)^{\frac{1}{3}}+1}{p-1}, & \text { if } \ell \equiv 2(\bmod 3)
\end{array} .\right.
$$

Note that when $s=1$, then $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ coincides with $\mathbb{F}_{p}^{*}$, and then the size of $D$ is $2 \frac{q}{p}+p$. A dense set of the same size and contained in three non-concurrent lines was constructed in [6, Thm. 3]. It can be proved by straightforward computation that it is not projectively equivalent to any dense set $D$ constructed here.

In order to obtain a new upper bound on the size of the smallest dense set in $P G(2, q)$, a generalization of Theorem 3.2 is useful. Let $A=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\}$ be any subset of $k$ elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(A)=\bigcup_{i=1, \ldots, k} D_{H, \alpha_{i}}, \quad \mathcal{M}(A)=\bigcap_{i, j=1, \ldots, k, i \neq j}\left(\alpha_{j}-\alpha_{i}\right) \mathcal{M}_{H}+\alpha_{j} . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Arguing as in the proof of Theorem 3.2, the following result can be easily obtained from Proposition 3.1.

Theorem 3.3. The set

$$
D(H, A)=D(A) \cup\{(0: m: 1) \mid m \in \mathcal{M}(A)\} \cup\{(0: 1: 0),(1: 0: 0)\}
$$

is dense in $P G(2, q)$.

Computing the size of $D(H, A)$ is difficult in the general case, as we do not have enough information on the set $\mathcal{M}(A)$. However, by using some counting argument it is possible to prove the existence of sets $A$ for which a useful upper bound on the size of $\mathcal{M}(A)$ can be established.

Proposition 3.4. For any $v>1$, there exists a set $A \subset \mathbb{F}_{q}$ of size $v+1$ such that

$$
\# \mathcal{M}(A) \leq \frac{\left(\# \mathcal{M}_{H}\right)^{v}}{(q-1)^{v-1}}
$$

In order to prove Proposition 3.4, the following two lemmas are needed.
Lemma 3.5. Let $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ be any two subsets of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Then there exists some $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that

$$
\#\left(E_{1} \cap \alpha E_{2}\right) \leq \frac{\# E_{1} \# E_{2}}{q-1}
$$

Proof. For any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, let $E^{(\beta)}$ be the subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ consisting of those $\alpha$ for which $\beta \in \alpha E_{2}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \# E^{(\beta)}=\#\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \mid \beta \in \alpha E_{2}\right\}=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \# \alpha E_{2}=(q-1) \# E_{2} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the size of $E^{(\beta)}$ does not depend on $\beta$, since $E^{\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)}=\frac{\beta^{\prime}}{\beta} E^{(\beta)}$. Therefore, (17) yields that $\# E^{(\beta)}=\# E_{2}$ for any $\beta \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$. Then

$$
\# E_{1} \# E_{2}=\sum_{\beta \in E_{1}} \# E^{(\beta)}=\#\left\{(\alpha, \beta) \in\left(\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}\right)^{2} \mid \beta \in E_{1} \cap \alpha E_{2}\right\}=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}} \#\left(E_{1} \cap \alpha E_{2}\right),
$$

whence the claim follows.
Lemma 3.6. Let $E$ be a subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$, and let $v$ be an integer greater than 1 . Then there exist $\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that

$$
\# \bigcap_{i:=1, \ldots, v} \alpha_{i} E \leq(\# E)^{v}(q-1)^{1-v}
$$

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on $v$. For $v=2$ the claim is just Lemma 3.5 for $E_{1}=E_{2}=E$. Assume that the assertion holds for any $v^{\prime} \leq v$. Then there exist $\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{v-1} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that

$$
\# \bigcap_{i:=1, \ldots, v-1} \alpha_{i} E \leq(\# E)^{v-1}(q-1)^{2-v}
$$

Lemma 3.5 for $E_{1}=\cap_{i:=1, \ldots, v-1} \alpha_{i} E, E_{2}=E$, yields the assertion.

Proof of Proposition 3.4. According to Lemma 3.6, there exist $\alpha_{1}=1, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{v} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}^{*}$ such that

$$
\# \bigcap_{i:=1, \ldots, v}-\alpha_{i} \mathcal{M}_{H} \leq\left(\# \mathcal{M}_{H}\right)^{v}(q-1)^{1-v}
$$

Let $A=\left\{0, \alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right\}$, and let $\mathcal{M}(A)$ be as in (16). As

$$
\mathcal{M}(A) \subseteq \bigcap_{i:=1, \ldots, v}-\alpha_{i} \mathcal{M}_{H}
$$

the claim follows.
As a straightforward corollary to Theorems 3.3 and 3.2, and Proposition 3.4, the following result is then obtained.

Theorem 3.7. Let $q=p^{\ell}$, with $\ell$ odd. Let $H$ be any additive subgroup of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ of size $p^{s}$, with $2 s+1=\ell$. Let $L_{H}(X)$ be as in (3), and $\mathcal{M}_{H}$ be as in (7). Then for any integer $v \geq 1$ there exists a dense set $D$ in $\operatorname{PG}(2, q)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\# D \leq(v+1) p^{s+1}+\left(\# \mathcal{M}_{H}\right)^{v}(q-1)^{1-v}+2 . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 3.8. Let $q=p^{2 s+1}$. Then there exists a dense set in $P G(2, q)$ of size less than or equal to

$$
\min _{v=1, \ldots, 2 s+1}\left\{(v+1) p^{s+1}+\frac{\left(p^{s}-1\right)^{2 v}}{(p-1)^{v}\left(p^{(2 s+1)}-1\right)^{(v-1)}}+2\right\}
$$

Proof. The claim follows from Theorem 3.7, together with Lemma 2.4.
For several values of $s$ and $p$, Corollary 3.8 improves the probabilistic bound on the size of the smallest dense set in $P G(2, q)$, namely, there exists some integer $v$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(v+1) p^{s+1}+\frac{\left(p^{s}-1\right)^{2 v}}{(p-1)^{v}\left(p^{(2 s+1)}-1\right)^{(v-1)}}+2<5 \sqrt{q \log q} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Table 1.

Table 1 - Values of $p, s, v$ for which (19) holds

| $s$ | $p$ | $v$ | $s$ | $p$ | $v$ | $s$ | $p$ | $v$ | $s$ | $p$ | $v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $p \in[3,79]$ | 1 | 14 | $p \in[5,29]$ | 8 | 26 | $p=3$ | 16 | 36 | $p=3$ | 22 |
| 2 | $p \in[3,53]$ | 2 | 15 | $p=3$ | 10 | 26 | $p \in[5,13]$ | 14 | 36 | $p=5$ | 20 |
| 3 | $p \in[2,83]$ | 2 | 15 | $p=5$ | 9 | 27 | $p=3$ | 17 | 36 | $p=7$ | 19 |
| 4 | $p \in[2,53]$ | 3 | 15 | $p \in[7,31]$ | 8 | 27 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 15 | 37 | $p=3$ | 23 |
| 5 | $p=2$ | 4 | 16 | $p=3$ | 10 | 27 | $p \in[11,17]$ | 14 | 37 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 20 |
| 5 | $p \in[3,73]$ | 3 | 16 | $p \in[5,23]$ | 9 | 28 | $p=3$ | 18 | 38 | $p=3$ | 24 |
| 6 | $p=2$ | 5 | 17 | $p=3$ | 11 | 28 | $p=5$ | 16 | 38 | $p=5$ | 21 |
| 6 | $p \in[3,47]$ | 4 | 17 | $p=5$ | 10 | 28 | $p \in[7,13]$ | 15 | 38 | $p=7$ | 20 |
| 7 | $p=2$ | 6 | 17 | $p \in[7,29]$ | 9 | 29 | $p=3$ | 18 | 39 | $p=3$ | 24 |
| 7 | $p=3$ | 5 | 18 | $p=3$ | 11 | 29 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 16 | 39 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 21 |
| 7 | $p \in[5,61]$ | 4 | 18 | $p \in[5,23]$ | 10 | 29 | $p \in[11,13]$ | 15 | 40 | $p=3$ | 25 |
| 8 | $p=2$ | 7 | 19 | $p=3$ | 12 | 30 | $p=3$ | 19 | 40 | $p=5$ | 22 |
| 8 | $p \in[3,43]$ | 5 | 19 | $p=5$ | 11 | 30 | $p=5$ | 17 | 40 | $p=7$ | 21 |
| 9 | $p=2$ | 8 | 19 | $p \in[7,23]$ | 10 | 30 | $p \in[7,13]$ | 16 | 41 | $p=3$ | 26 |
| 9 | $p=3$ | 6 | 20 | $p=3$ | 13 | 31 | $p=3$ | 19 | 41 | $p=5$ | 23 |
| 9 | $p \in[5,47]$ | 5 | 20 | $p \in[5,19]$ | 11 | 31 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 17 | 41 | $p=7$ | 22 |
| 10 | $p=2$ | 9 | 21 | $p=3$ | 13 | 31 | $p \in[11,13]$ | 16 | 42 | $p=3$ | 26 |
| 10 | $p=3$ | 7 | 21 | $p=5$ | 12 | 32 | $p=3$ | 20 | 42 | $p=5$ | 23 |
| 10 | $p \in[5,37]$ | 6 | 21 | $p \in[7,23]$ | 11 | 32 | $p=5$ | 18 | 42 | $p=7$ | 22 |
| 11 | $p=2$ | 10 | 22 | $p=3$ | 14 | 32 | $p \in[7,11]$ | 17 | 43 | $p=5$ | 24 |
| 11 | $p=3$ | 7 | 22 | $p \in[5,19]$ | 12 | 33 | $p=3$ | 21 | 43 | $p=7$ | 23 |
| 11 | $p \in[5,43]$ | 6 | 23 | $p=3$ | 15 | 33 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 18 | 44 | $p=5$ | 24 |
| 12 | $p=2$ | 11 | 23 | $p=5$ | 13 | 33 | $p=11$ | 17 | 44 | $p=7$ | 23 |
| 12 | $p=3$ | 8 | 23 | $p \in[7,19]$ | 12 | 34 | $p=3$ | 21 | 45 | $p=5$ | 25 |
| 12 | $p \in[5,31]$ | 7 | 24 | $p=3$ | 15 | 34 | $p=5$ | 19 | 45 | $p=7$ | 24 |
| 13 | $p=2$ | 12 | 24 | $p \in[5,17]$ | 13 | 34 | $p \in[7,11]$ | 18 | 46 | $p=5$ | 25 |
| 13 | $p=3$ | 8 | 25 | $p=3$ | 16 | 35 | $p=3$ | 22 | 47 | $p=5$ | 26 |
| 13 | $p \in[5,37]$ | 7 | 25 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 14 | 35 | $p \in[5,7]$ | 19 | 48 | $p=5$ | 26 |
| 14 | $p=3$ | 9 | 25 | $p \in[11,17]$ | 13 | 35 | $p=11$ | 18 | 49 | $p=5$ | 27 |

In order to produce concrete examples of small dense sets of type $D=D(H, A)$, with $\ell=2 s+1$, for which the strict inequality holds in (18), a computer search has been carried out. The sizes of the resulting dense sets are described in Table 2 below. Taking into account that for $q \leq 859$ dense sets of size smaller than $4 p^{s+\frac{1}{2}}$ have been obtained by computer in [7, 8], only values of $q>859$ are considered in Table 2.

Table 2 - Sizes of some dense sets in $P G(2, q)$ of type $D(H, A)$ with $\ell=2 s+1$

| $q$ | $\# A$ | $\# D(H, A)$ | $q$ | $\# A$ | $\# D(H, A)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2^{11}$ | 4 | 258 | $5^{9}$ | 3 | 9609 |
| $2^{13}$ | 4 | 532 | $7^{5}$ | 2 | 1030 |
| $2^{15}$ | 4 | 1162 | $7^{7}$ | 3 | 7205 |
| $2^{17}$ | 5 | 2576 | $7^{9}$ | 3 | 50947 |
| $2^{19}$ | 5 | 5210 | $11^{5}$ | 2 | 3994 |
| $3^{7}$ | 3 | 245 | $11^{7}$ | 3 | 43947 |
| $3^{9}$ | 3 | 764 | $13^{5}$ | 2 | 6592 |
| $3^{11}$ | 3 | 2771 | $13^{7}$ | 3 | 85712 |
| $3^{13}$ | 4 | 8788 | $17^{5}$ | 2 | 14740 |
| $5^{5}$ | 2 | 376 | $17^{7}$ | 3 | 250599 |
| $5^{7}$ | 3 | 1877 | $19^{5}$ | 2 | 20578 |

## 4 Applications to covering codes

A code with covering radius $R$ is a code such that every word is at distance at most $R$ from a codeword. For linear covering codes over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, it is relevant to investigate the so-called length function $l(m, R)_{q}$, that is the minimum length of a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with covering radius $R$ and codimension $m$, see the monography [3]. It is well known that the minimum size of a dense set in $P G(2, q)$ coincides with $l(3,2)_{q}$, see e.g. [4]. From our Corollary 3.8 , we then obtain the following result.

Theorem 4.1. Let $q=p^{\ell}$, with $\ell=2 s+1$. Then

$$
l(3,2)_{q} \leq \min _{v=1, \ldots, 2 s+1}\left\{(v+1) p^{s+1}+\frac{\left(p^{s}-1\right)^{2 v}}{(p-1)^{v}\left(p^{(2 s+1)}-1\right)^{(v-1)}}+2\right\}
$$

It should also be noted that upper bounds on $l(m, 2)_{q}, m \geq 5$ odd, can be obtained from small dense sets. In fact, from a dense set of size $k$ in $P G(2, q)$ it can be constructed a linear code over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ with covering radius 2 , codimension $3+2 m$, and length about $q^{m} k$, see [5, Theorem 1].
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