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#### Abstract

The following statement was conjectured by Faudree, Rousseau, Schelp and Schuster: if a graph $G$ is a non-star graph without cycles of length $m \leqslant 4$ then $G$ is a subgraph of its complement. So far the best result concerning this conjecture is that every non-star graph $G$ without cycles of length $m \leqslant 6$ is a subgraph of its complement. In this note we show that $m \leqslant 6$ can be replaced by $m \leqslant 5$.


## 1 Introduction

We deal with finite, simple graphs without loops and multiple edges. We use standard graph theory notation. Let $G$ be a graph with the vertex set $V(G)$ and the edge set $E(G)$. The order of $G$ is denoted by $|G|$ and the size is denoted by $\|G\|$. We say that $G$ is packable in its complement ( $G$ is packable, in short) if there is a permutation $\sigma$ on $V(G)$ such that if $x y$ is an edge in $G$ then $\sigma(x) \sigma(y)$ is not an edge in $G$. Thus, $G$ is packable if and only if $G$ is a subgraph of its complement. In [2] the authors stated the following conjecture:

Conjecture 1 Every non-star graph $G$ without cycles of length $m \leqslant 4$ is packable.
In [2] they proved that the above conjecture holds if $\|G\| \leqslant \frac{6}{5}|G|-2$. Woźniak proved that a graph $G$ without cycles of length $m \leqslant 7$ is packable [6]. His result was improved by Brandt [1] who showed that a graph $G$ without cycles of length $m \leqslant 6$ is packable. Another, relatively short proof of Brandt's result was given in [3]. In this note we prove the following statement.

[^0]Theorem 2 If a graph $G$ is a non-star graph without cycles of length $m \leqslant 5$ then $G$ is packable.

The basic ingredient for the proof of our theorem is the lemma presented below. This lemma is both a modification and an extension of Lemma 2 in [4].

Lemma 3 Let $G$ be a graph and $k \geqslant 1, l \geqslant 1$ be any positive integers. If there is a set $U=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right\} \subset V(G)$ of $k+l$ independent vertices of $G$ such that

1. $k$ vertices of $U$ have degree at most $l$ and $l$ vertices of $U$ have degree at most $k$;
2. vertices of $U$ have mutually disjoint sets of neighbors, i.e. $N\left(v_{i}\right) \cap N\left(v_{j}\right)=\emptyset$ for $i \neq j$;
3. $G-U$ is packable
then there exists a packing $\sigma$ of $G$ such that $U$ is an invariant set of $\sigma$, i.e. $\sigma(U)=U$.
Proof. Let $G^{\prime}:=G-U$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ be a packing of $G^{\prime}$. Below we show that we can find an appropriate packing $\sigma$ of $G$.
For any $v \in V\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ we define $\sigma(v):=\sigma^{\prime}(v)$. Then let us consider a bipartite graph $B$ with partition sets $X:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right\} \times\{0\}$ and $Y:=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k+l}\right\} \times\{1\}$. For $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, k+l\}$ the vertices $\left(v_{i}, 0\right),\left(v_{j}, 1\right)$ are joined by an edge in $B$ if and only if $\sigma^{\prime}\left(N\left(v_{i}\right)\right) \cap N\left(v_{j}\right)=\emptyset$. So, if $\left(v_{i}, 0\right),\left(v_{j}, 1\right)$ are joined by an edge in $B$ we can put $\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{j}$.
Without loss of generality we can assume that $k \leqslant l$. Note that if $\operatorname{deg} v_{i} \leqslant l$ in $G$ then $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}, 0\right) \geqslant k$ in $B$. Furthermore, if $\operatorname{deg} v_{i} \leqslant k$ in $G$ then $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{i}, 0\right) \geqslant l$ in $B$. Thus $X$ contains $k$ vertices of degree $\geqslant k$ and $l$ vertices of degree $\geqslant l$. In the similar manner we can see that $Y$ contains $k$ vertices of degree $\geqslant k$ and $l$ vertices of degree $\geqslant l$. In particular, every vertex in $Y$ has degree $\geqslant k$. Let $S \subset X$. If $|S| \leqslant k$ then obviously $|N(S)| \geqslant|S|$. Suppose that $k<|S| \leqslant l$. Then there is at least one vertex of degree $l$ in $S$ thus $|N(S)| \geqslant$ $l \geqslant|S|$. Finally, we show that if $|S|>l$, then $N(S)=Y$. Indeed, otherwise let $\left(v_{j}, 1\right) \in Y$ be a vertex which has no neighbor in $S$. Thus $\operatorname{deg}\left(v_{j}, 1\right) \leqslant|X|-|S|<k+l-l=k$, a contradiction. Hence, for any $S \subset X$ we get $|S| \leqslant|N(S)|$. Therefore, by the famous Hall's theorem [5], there is a matching $M$ in $B$. We define $\sigma\left(v_{i}\right)=v_{j}$ for $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, k+l\}$ such that $\left(v_{i}, 0\right),\left(v_{j}, 1\right)$ are incident with the same edge in $M$.

## 2 Proof of Theorem 2

Proof. Assume that $G$ is a counterexample of Theorem 2 with minimal order. Without loss of generality we may assume that $G$ is connected. We choose an edge $x y \in E(G)$ with the maximal sum $\operatorname{deg} x+\operatorname{deg} y$ of degrees of its endvertices among all edges of $G$. Since $G$ is not a star $\operatorname{deg} x \geqslant 2$ and $\operatorname{deg} y \geqslant 2$. Let $U$ be the union of the sets of neighbors of $x$ and $y$ different from $x, y$. Define $k:=\operatorname{deg} x-1, l:=\operatorname{deg} y-1$. We may assume that $k \leqslant l$. Consider graph $G^{\prime}:=G-\{x, y\}$. Note that because of the choice of the edge $x y$, $U$ contains $k$ vertices of degree $\leqslant l$ and $l$ vertices of degree $\leqslant k$ in $G^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $G$
has no cycles of length $\leqslant 5$, the vertices of $U$ are independent in $G^{\prime}$ and have mutually disjoint sets of neighbors in $G^{\prime}$. By our assumption $G^{\prime}-U$ is packable or it is a star.

Assume that $G^{\prime}-U$ is packable. Thus, by Lemma 3, there is a packing $\sigma^{\prime}$ of $G^{\prime}$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(U)=U$. This packing can be easily modified in order to obtain a packing of $G$. Namely, note that there are vertices $v, w \in U$ where $v$ is a neighbor of $x$ and $w$ is a neighbor of $y$ such that $\sigma^{\prime}(v)$ is a neighbor of $x$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(w)$ is a neighbor of $y$, or $\sigma^{\prime}(v)$ is a neighbor of $y$ and $\sigma^{\prime}(w)$ is a neighbor of $x$. In the former case $\left(x \sigma^{\prime}(v) y \sigma^{\prime}(w)\right) \sigma^{\prime}$ is a packing of $G$ and in the latter case $\left(x \sigma^{\prime}(v)\right)\left(y \sigma^{\prime}(w)\right) \sigma^{\prime}$ is a packing of $G$. Thus we get a contradiction.

Assume now that $G^{\prime}-U$ is a star (with at least one edge). Note that since $G$ has no cycles of lengths up to five, every vertex from $U$ has degree $\leqslant 2$ in $G$. Moreover, $G$ has a vertex which is at distance at least 3 from $y$. Let $z$ denote a vertex which is not in $U$ and is at distance 2 from $x$, or if such a vertex does not exist let $z$ be any vertex which is at distance at least 3 from $y$. Furthermore, let $W$ denote the set of neighbours of $y$. Consider a graph $G^{\prime \prime}:=G-\{y, z\}$. Thus $W$ consists of $l$ vertices of degree $\leqslant 1$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$ and one vertex of degree $k \leqslant l$ in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Note that $G^{\prime \prime}-W$ has an isolated vertex, namely a neighbour of $x$. Thus $G^{\prime \prime}-W$ is not a star, hence it is packable. Moreover vertices from $W$ are independent and have mutually disjoint sets of neighbours in $G^{\prime \prime}$. Thus by Lemma 3 there is a packing $\sigma^{\prime \prime}$ of $G^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\sigma^{\prime \prime}(W)=W$. Then $(y z) \sigma^{\prime \prime}$ is a packing of $G$. Therefore, we get a contradiction again, so the proof is completed.
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