Which Chessboards have a Closed Knight’s Tour within the Rectangular Prism?
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Abstract

A closed knight’s tour of a chessboard uses legal moves of the knight to visit every square exactly once and return to its starting position. In 1991 Schwenk completely classified the $m \times n$ rectangular chessboards that admit a closed knight’s tour. In honor of the upcoming twentieth anniversary of the publication of Schwenk’s paper, this article extends his result by classifying the $i \times j \times k$ rectangular prisms that admit a closed knight’s tour.

1 Introduction

The closed knight’s tour of a chessboard is a classic problem in mathematics. Can the knight use legal moves to visit every square on the board and return to its starting position? The two dimensional movement of the knight makes its tour an intriguing problem which is trivial for other chess pieces. Euler presents solutions for the $8 \times 8$ board in a 1759 paper [4]. Martin Gardner discusses the knight’s tour on rectangular boards and other mathematical problems involving the knight in his October 1967 column in Scientific American [5]. Papers exist analyzing the closed knight’s tour on variant chessboards such as the cylinder [12], the torus [13], the sphere [1], the exterior of the cube [9] and the interior of the cube [3]. Donald Knuth generalizes the study of the $\{1, 2\}$-knight on a rectangular board to the $\{r, s\}$-leaper on a rectangular board [8]. Across the Board: The Mathematics of Chessboard Problems by John Watkins is an indispensable collection of knight’s tour results as well as many other mathematically themed chessboard problems [11].

Generalizing away from the chessboard, the closed knight’s tour is a subset of the well known problem of the existence of Hamiltonian cycles in graphs. Despite the prior
appearance of a paper [7] by Thomas Kirkman posing the general question, this cycle’s name originates from mathematician William Rowen Hamilton and his Icosian game of the late 1850’s. Photographs of the actual game can be viewed at http://puzzlemuseum.com/month/picm02/200207icosian.htm, as hosted by The Puzzle Museum. Hamilton’s Icosian game challenged players to visit every city on the board exactly once and return home.

Many results about closed knight’s tours for rectangular boards had appeared in the literature throughout the years but no complete characterization of the solution was known until 1991. It was then that Schwenk completely answered the question: Which rectangular chessboards have a closed knight’s tour [10]?

**Theorem 1 (Schwenk)** An $m \times n$ chessboard with $m \leq n$ has a closed knight’s tour unless one or more of the following three conditions hold:

(a) $m$ and $n$ are both odd;
(b) $m \in \{1, 2, 4\}$;
(c) $m = 3$ and $n \in \{4, 6, 8\}$.

To honor the twentieth anniversary of Schwenk’s Theorem, we extend the result to $i \times j \times k$ rectangular prisms for integers $i, j, k \geq 2$.

**Theorem 2** An $i \times j \times k$ chessboard for integers $i, j, k \geq 2$ has a closed knight’s tour unless, without loss of generality, one or more of the following three conditions hold:

(a) $i, j$ and $k$ are all odd;
(b) $i = j = 2$;
(c) $i = 2$ and $j = k = 3$.

To begin, consider two views of a closed knight’s tour on the $2 \times 5 \times 6$ board. When presenting a board for the first time, we will always display the slices as in Figure 1. Note that this three dimensional tour is not just a combination of two copies of a closed knight’s tour of the $5 \times 6$ board.

![Figure 1: Slices of the $2 \times 5 \times 6$ board](image1.png)

![Figure 2: The 3-D view of the $2 \times 5 \times 6$ board](image2.png)
For the two-dimensional case the existence or non-existence of the $m \times n$ board clearly settles the question for the $n \times m$ board after a 90-degree rotation either clockwise or counterclockwise. The same holds true in three dimensions, although more options for rotations exist.

2 Boards without Tours

We first proceed by showing that the boards that satisfy at least one of the conditions of Theorem 2 do not contain a closed knight’s tour. Parity conditions on $i$, $j$ and $k$ immediately dictate a necessary condition. A closed knight’s tour does not exist on the $i \times j \times k$ rectangular prism for $i, j, k \equiv 1 \mod 2$. The moves of the knight alternate color on the chessboard as shown in Figure 3 by the $a - b$, $c - d$ and $e - f$ moves. Thus, the knight’s graph is bipartite. A closed knight’s tour is an alternating cycle of black and white cells. Clearly, the number of white cells must equal the number of black cells. However, if $i$, $j$ and $k$ are all odd then the number of cells on the board is odd and the number of black cells cannot equal the number of white cells. Thus, no closed knight’s tour exists on the $i \times j \times k$ chessboard when $i$, $j$ and $k$ are all odd.

![Figure 3: Knight moves on the 4 × 5 × 5 board](image)

It is a necessary condition that at least one of $i$, $j$ and $k$ be even. It is almost a sufficient condition as well. Almost, but not quite. A closed knight’s tour does not exist on the $2 \times j \times 2$ board. The labeling of the cells in the $2 \times j \times 2$ board of Figure 4 shows that the knight’s moves on the board are constrained. A knight can only move to and from cells of the same label. The knight’s graph on the $2 \times j \times 2$ board is a disconnected graph. For the $3 \times 3 \times 2$ board, isolated vertices exist in the knight’s graph as shown by the shaded cells in Figure 5. Naturally, a Hamiltonian cycle cannot exist in a disconnected graph or one with isolated vertices.
3 General Method to Create Tours

We now prove the existence of a closed knight’s tour for all other boards by constructing a tour for each board. Proof of the existence of a closed knight’s tour for all other boards will be constructive and use the strong form of induction. As a gentle introduction to the reader we’ll begin with examples of the three types of constructions we employ for the $i \times j \times k$ boards. We will use multiple copies of a closed knight’s tour on a $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board to illustrate the process. Figure 6 shows the two layers of the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board while Figure 7 illustrates the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board in three dimensions.

The constructions in our proof begin with two closed knight’s tours on two boards sharing at least two common parameters. We place the boards adjacent to each other to create a larger board. By selectively deleting key edges and creating new edges, we create a single closed knight’s tour that traverses the new larger board. We use three methods to extend boards that share a common parameter: vertical stacking, horizontal stacking and front stacking. In vertical stacking, we place copies of the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board on top of each other as shown in Figure 8 to create a $2 \times 4 \times 8$ board. We now want to combine the two disjoint closed knight’s tours into one tour that tours every cell of the new $2 \times 4 \times 8$
board exactly once. We achieve this by deleting the $3 - 4$ edge on the top $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board and the $8 - 9$ edge on the bottom $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board and then creating the $3 - 8$ and $4 - 9$ edges to connect the previously disjoint tours into one single closed knight's tour for the $2 \times 4 \times 8$ board.

Next we proceed with horizontal stacking of two copies of the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board to create a $2 \times 8 \times 4$ board as illustrated in Figure 9. Delete the $25 - 26$ edge of the left $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board and the $27 - 28$ edge of the right $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board. Now create the $25 - 28$ and $26 - 27$ edges.

Finally we front stack two copies of the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board to create a $4 \times 4 \times 4$ board. Delete the $10 - 11$ edge of the front $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board and the $14 - 15$ edge of the back $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board. Now create the $10 - 15$ and $11 - 14$ edges.

Using strong induction and the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board, it is possible to construct a closed knight's tour on the $i \times j \times k$ for $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $j, k \equiv 0 \mod 4$. If only a closed knight's tour existed for the $2 \times 2 \times 2$ board, our task would be much simpler! This clean and relatively simple example using only the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board encompasses the range of techniques that constitute our entire proof. Many different boards will be required for the complete proof for all the possible values of $i, j$ and $k$.

4 Boards with Tours

Using the technique demonstrated in the previous section, we need to show how to construct a tour for all other boards not forbidden by Theorem 2. This forthcoming process will be very detailed but conceptually no harder than what we have already done. Since not all three values for $i, j$ and $k$ can be odd we will without loss of generality assume that $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$. We will continue to utilize the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board and introduce new boards as needed. We have already created a tour for any $i \times j \times k$ board where $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $j, k \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Now we construct a tour for the other three values of $k \mod 4$ while
fixing $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$ and $j \equiv 0 \mod 4$. Figure 11 presents the $2 \times 4 \times 5$ base board for constructing the tours where $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$. From here on out, we provide the details of the three stacking methods via tables to conserve space.

For $k \equiv 2 \mod 4$, Figure 14 provides the $2 \times 4 \times 6$ base board.
And finally, a $2 \times 4 \times 3$ base board for $k \equiv 3 \mod 4$. 

At this point we have constructed a closed knight’s tour for any $i \times j \times k$ board for $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 0 \mod 4$ and $k > 2$. Next we cover the case of $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $k > 2$. We will extend these boards in three dimensions using our usual techniques. Previously we’ve used the $2 \times 4 \times 4$ board of Figure 6 in the inductive step. Now we use the $2 \times 4 \times 5$ board from Figure 11 in the inductive step. We begin with a $2 \times 5 \times 5$ base board.
Next, we create the $2 \times 5 \times 6$ base board and its extensions.

Next, we create the $2 \times 5 \times 6$ base board and its extensions.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Delete edges</th>
<th>Create edges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td>11 – 12 top board, 2 – 3 bottom board</td>
<td>2 – 11, 3 – 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td>37 – 38 left board, 33 – 34 right board</td>
<td>33 – 38, 34 – 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td>57 – 58 front board, 59 – 60 back board</td>
<td>57 – 60, 58 – 59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once more for the $2 \times 5 \times 3$ board.

There is no need for a $2 \times 5 \times 4$ board for the case of $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 1 \mod 4$ and $k \equiv 0 \mod 4$ as that case is covered by a rotation of the board created for the $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 0 \mod 4$ and $k \equiv 1 \mod 4$ case.

Continuing with our strategy, we proceed to create a closed knight’s tour on all $i \times j \times k$ boards for $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 2 \mod 4$ and $k > 2$. We begin with a $2 \times 6 \times 6$ base board (since no $2 \times 2 \times k$ tour exists) and use the $2 \times 4 \times 6$ board of Figure 14 to extend.
Figure 29: A $2 \times 6 \times 6$ closed knight’s tour

Figure 30: Vertical stacking of the $2 \times 6 \times 6$ board below the rotated $2 \times 4 \times 6$ board of Figure 14

Figure 31: Horizontal stacking of the $2 \times 6 \times 6$ board and the $2 \times 4 \times 6$ board of Figure 14

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delete edges</th>
<th>Create edges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43 – 44 top board, 15 – 16 bottom board</td>
<td>15 – 43, 16 – 44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29 – 30 left board, 4 – 5 right board</td>
<td>4 – 29, 5 – 30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 – 23 front board, 32 – 33 back board</td>
<td>22 – 33, 23 – 32</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Once again for the $2 \times 6 \times 3$ board.

Figure 32: A $2 \times 6 \times 3$ closed knight’s tour

Figure 33: Vertical stacking of the $2 \times 6 \times 3$ board below the $2 \times 6 \times 4$ board of Figure 14

Figure 34: Horizontal stacking of the $2 \times 6 \times 3$ board and the $2 \times 4 \times 3$ board of Figure 17

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delete edges</th>
<th>Create edges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vertical</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9 – 10 top board, 11 – 12 bottom board</td>
<td>9 – 12, 10 – 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Horizontal</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26 – 27 left board, 20 – 21 right board</td>
<td>20 – 26, 21 – 27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Front</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 – 23 front board, 18 – 19 back board</td>
<td>18 – 23, 19 – 22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Now on to the $i \times j \times k$ boards for $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$, $j \equiv 3 \mod 4$ and $k > 2$. The non-existence of a $2 \times 3 \times 3$ board forces us to use a $2 \times 7 \times 3$ closed knight’s tour as a base case. To extend it in three dimensions, we vertically stack it with a $2 \times 7 \times 4$ board. This extension is a 90-degree rotation of the $2 \times 4 \times 7$ board which appeared in Figure 18. For clarity, we renumber the cells of the board from Figure 18 with labels 1 through 56 to create the board in Figure 36.

We have almost demonstrated how to create all $2 \times j \times k$ boards that permit a closed knight’s tour according to Theorem 2. At first glance it seems that we have covered all permitted combinations of $i$, $j$ and $k$. However, the non-existence of the $2 \times 3 \times 3$ board prevented us from creating one particular case of boards; all $i \times 3 \times 3$ where $i \equiv 0 \mod 2$. Constructing the $4 \times 3 \times 3$ and $6 \times 3 \times 3$ base boards and presenting the method to let $i$ assume any even value allows us to complete the proof of Theorem 2. We can create all $i \times 3 \times 3$ boards where $i \equiv 0 \mod 4$ with Figure 39.
Figure 39: Slices of a $4 \times 3 \times 3$ closed knight’s tour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delete edges</th>
<th>Create edges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front $9 - 10$ front board, $28 - 29$ back board</td>
<td>$9 - 28, 10 - 29$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For $i \times 3 \times 3$ boards where $i \equiv 2 \mod 4$ we begin with Figure 40 and continually front stack Figure 39.

Figure 40: Slices of a $6 \times 3 \times 3$ closed knight’s tour

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Delete edges</th>
<th>Create edges</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Front $21 - 22$ front board, $28 - 29$ back board</td>
<td>$21 - 29, 22 - 28$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5 Future Work

One could pursue an increase in the number of dimensions with a $1 - 2$ knight by searching for closed knight’s tours in four dimensions. Once we move to $n \geq 4$ dimensions we lose the ability to easily visualize the geometry of a closed knight’s tour. One approach would use vectors of length $n$ to represent the cells of the board. A legal move of the knight from one square to another square would change two of the vector coordinates from the initial square. One coordinate would change by $\pm 1$ and the other by $\pm 2$. A very ambitious project would be to find a general classification for the existence of a closed knight’s tour in the $n$ dimensional cube where Theorems 1 and 2 in this paper are just the specific cases for $n = 2, 3$.

Another option explores the nature of the move of the knight [9]. On the two dimensional board, the knight’s move incorporates both directions in the $x - y$ plane. One could argue that the move of the knight on the three dimensional board should incorporate all three directions in the $x - y - z$ plane. How should the knight move in the three dimensional board? Perhaps, the obvious variant piece is a $1 - 2 - 3$ knight. Unfortunately, the move of the $1 - 2 - 3$ knight in the three-dimensional board is not bipartite. Such a move
would leave the knight locked into one color like the bishop. See [2] for an example of a
tour of the cube of side \( n = 6 \) with two \( 1 - 2 - 3 \) knights, one for the black cells and one
for the white cells.

Instead of a linear change in the number of cells the knight moves, let’s consider an
exponential change and use a \( 1 - 2 - 4 \) knight in a three dimensional board. This move
has an advantage over the \( 1 - 2 - 3 \) knight since the graph of the \( 1 - 2 - 4 \) knight is
bipartite. As a teaser, in Figure 41, we leave you with a \( 1 - 2 - 4 \) closed knight’s tour of
the cube of side 8, the smallest cube that admits such a tour.

![Figure 41: A 1 - 2 - 4 closed knight’s tour of the cube of side 8](image)
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