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#### Abstract

A graph is vertex-transitive if its automorphism group acts transitively on its vertices. A vertex-transitive graph is a Cayley graph if its automorphism group contains a subgroup acting regularly on its vertices. In this paper, the cubic vertextransitive non-Cayley graphs of order $8 p$ are classified for each prime $p$. It follows from this classification that there are two sporadic and two infinite families of such graphs, of which the sporadic ones have order 56 , one infinite family exists for every prime $p>3$ and the other family exists if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. For each family there is a unique graph for a given order.
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## 1 Introduction

For a finite, simple and undirected graph $X$, we use $V(X), E(X), A(X)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ to denote its vertex set, edge set, arc set and full automorphism group, respectively. For $u, v \in V(X), u \sim v$ means that $u$ is adjacent to $v$ and denote by $\{u, v\}$ the edge incident to $u$ and $v$ in $X$. A graph $X$ is said to be vertex-transitive, and arc-transitive (or symmetric) if $\operatorname{Aut}(X)$ acts transitively on $V(X)$ and $A(X)$, respectively. Given a finite group $G$ and an inverse closed subset $S \subseteq G \backslash\{1\}$, the Cayley graph Cay $(G, S)$ on $G$ with respect to $S$ is defined to have vertex set $G$ and edge set $\{\{g, s g\} \mid g \in G, s \in S\}$.

It is well known that a vertex-transitive graph is a Cayley graph if and only if its automorphism group contains a subgroup acting regularly on its vertex set (see, for example, [25, Lemma 4]). There are vertex-transitive graphs which are not Cayley graphs

[^0]and the smallest one is the well-known Petersen graph. Such a graph will be called a vertex-transitive non-Cayley graph, or a VNC-graph for short. Many publications have been put into investigation of VNC-graphs from different perspectives. For example, in [13], Marušič asked for a determination of the set $N C$ of non-Cayley numbers, that is, those numbers for which there exists a VNC-graph of order $n$, and to settle this question, a lot of VNC-graphs were constructed in $[9,11,14,19,15,16,17,20,22,26]$. In [6], Feng considered the question to determine the smallest valency for VNC-graphs of a given order and it was solved for the graphs of odd prime power order. By [19, Table 1], the total number of vertex-transitive graphs of order $n$ and the number of VNC-graphs of order $n$ were listed for each $n \leq 26$. It seems that, for small orders at least, the great majority of vertex-transitive graphs are Cayley graphs. This is true particularly for small valent vertex-transitive graphs (see [21]). This suggests the problem of classifying small valent VNC-graphs. From $[3,12]$ all VNC-graphs of order $2 p$ are known for each prime $p$. Recently, in [30] all tetravalent VNC-graphs of order $4 p$ were classified, and in [28, 29, 31], all cubic VNC-graphs of order $2 p q$ were classified, where $p$ and $q$ are primes. In this paper we shall classify all cubic VNC-graphs of order $8 p$ for each prime $p$. As a result, there are two sporadic and two infinite families of such graphs, of which the sporadic ones have order 56 , one infinite family exists for every prime $p>3$ and the other family exists if and only if $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. For each family there is a unique graph for a given order.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section, we introduce some notations and definitions as well as some preliminary results which will be used later in the paper.

For a regular graph $X$, use $d(X)$ to represent the valency of $X$, and for any subset $B$ of $V(X)$, the subgraph of $X$ induced by $B$ will be denoted by $X[B]$. Let $X$ be a connected vertex-transitive graph, and let $G \leq \operatorname{Aut}(X)$ be vertex-transitive on $X$. For a $G$-invariant partition $\mathcal{B}$ of $V(X)$, the quotient graph $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $\mathcal{B}$ such that, for any two vertices $B, C \in \mathcal{B}, B$ is adjacent to $C$ if and only if there exist $u \in B$ and $v \in C$ which are adjacent in $X$. Let $N$ be a normal subgroup of $G$. Then the set $\mathcal{B}$ of orbits of $N$ in $V(X)$ is a $G$-invariant partition of $V(X)$. In this case, the symbol $X_{\mathcal{B}}$ will be replaced by $X_{N}$.

For a positive integer $n$, denote by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ the cyclic group of order $n$ as well as the ring of integers modulo $n$, by $\mathbb{Z}_{n}^{*}$ the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ consisting of numbers coprime to $n$, by $D_{2 n}$ the dihedral group of order $2 n$, and by $C_{n}$ and $K_{n}$ the cycle and the complete graph of order $n$, respectively. We call $C_{n}$ a $n$-cycle.

For two groups $M$ and $N, N \rtimes M$ denotes a semidirect product of $N$ by $M$. For a subgroup $H$ of a group $G$, denote by $C_{G}(H)$ the centralizer of $H$ in $G$ and by $N_{G}(H)$ the normalizer of $H$ in $G$. Then $C_{G}(H)$ is normal in $N_{G}(H)$.

Proposition 1. [10, Chapter I, Theorem 4.5] The quotient group $N_{G}(H) / C_{G}(H)$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ of $H$.

Let $G$ be a permutation group on a set $\Omega$ and $\alpha \in \Omega$. Denote by $G_{\alpha}$ the stabilizer of $\alpha$ in $G$, that is, the subgroup of $G$ fixing the point $\alpha$. We say that $G$ is semiregular on $\Omega$ if $G_{\alpha}=1$ for every $\alpha \in \Omega$ and regular if $G$ is transitive and semiregular. For any $g \in G, g$ is said to be semiregular if $\langle g\rangle$ is semiregular. The following proposition gives a characterization for Cayley graphs in terms of their automorphism groups.

Proposition 2. [25, Lemma 4] A graph $X$ is isomorphic to a Cayley graph on a group $G$ if and only if its automorphism group has a subgroup isomorphic to $G$, acting regularly on the vertex set of $X$.

## 3 Double generalized Petersen graphs

In [28, 29, 31], the generalized Petersen graphs (see [27]) were used to construct cubic VNC-graphs with special orders. Let $n \geq 3$ and $1 \leq t<n / 2$. The generalized Petersen graph $P(n, t)$ (GPG for short) is the graph with vertex set $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and edge set the union of the out edges $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$, the inner edges $\left\{\left\{y_{i}, y_{i+t}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and the spokes $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$. Note that the subgraph of $P(n, t)$ induced by the out edges is an $n$-cycle. In this section, we modify the generalized Petersen graph construction slightly so that the subgraph induced by the out edges is a union of two $n$-cycles.

Definition 3. Let $n \geq 3$ and $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}-\{0\}$. The double generalized Petersen graph $D P(n, t)$ (DGPG for short) is defined to have vertex set $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}, u_{i}, v_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and edge set the union of the out edges $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$, the inner edges $\left\{\left\{u_{i}, v_{i+t}\right\}\right.$, $\left.\left\{v_{i}, u_{i+t}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and the spokes $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, u_{i}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, v_{i}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ (See Fig. 1 for $\operatorname{DP}(10,2)$ ).


Figure 1: The graph $\operatorname{DP}(10,2)$
Note that the complete classification of the automorphism groups of GPGs has been worked out in [8], and Nedela and Skoviera [23] have determined all Cayley graphs among GPGs. It is natural to consider the problem of determining all vertex-transitive graphs and all VNC-graphs among DGPGs. The complete solution of this problem may be a topic for our future effort. Here, we just give a sufficient condition for a DGPG being vertex-transitive non-Cayley. To do this, we introduce some notations.

In the remainder of this section, we always use $p$ to represent a prime congruent to 1 modulo 4 . It is easy to see that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is a solution of the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

if and only if $\lambda$ has order 4 in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$. Since $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4), \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ has exactly two elements, say $\lambda, p-\lambda$, of order 4 . So, in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$, Eq. (1) has exactly two solutions that are $\lambda$ and $p-\lambda$. Note that every solution of Eq. (1) in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$ is also a solution of Eq. (1) in $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$. This implies that in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$, Eq. (1) has exactly four pairwise different solutions that are $\lambda, 2 p-\lambda, p-\lambda$ and $p+\lambda$.

Lemma 4. For any $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2} \in\{\lambda, 2 p-\lambda, p-\lambda, p+\lambda\}$, we have $D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{1}\right) \cong D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{2}\right)$.
Proof. By Definition 3, it is easy to see that if either $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}=\{\lambda, 2 p-\lambda\}$ or $\left\{\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right\}=$ $\{p-\lambda, p+\lambda\}$, then $D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{1}\right)=D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{2}\right)$. To complete the proof, it suffices to show $D P(2 p, \lambda) \cong D P(2 p, p+\lambda)$.

Define a map from $V(D P(2 p, \lambda))$ to $V(D P(2 p, p+\lambda))$ as following:

$$
f: x_{i} \mapsto x_{i}, y_{i} \mapsto y_{i+p}, u_{i} \mapsto u_{i}, v_{i} \mapsto v_{i+p}, \forall i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}
$$

It is easy to see that $f$ is a bijection. Furthermore, $f$ maps each of the out edges and the spokes of $D P(2 p, \lambda)$ to an edge of $D P(2 p, p+\lambda)$. For the inner edges, $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i+\lambda}\right\}^{f}=$ $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i+\lambda+p}\right\} \in E\left(D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{2}\right)\right)$. Similarly, $\left\{v_{i}, u_{i+\lambda}\right\}^{f}=\left\{v_{i+p}, u_{i+\lambda}\right\}=\left\{v_{i+p}, u_{i+p+p+\lambda}\right\}$ $\in E\left(D P\left(2 p, \lambda_{2}\right)\right)$. Thus, $f$ is an isomorphism from $D P(2 p, \lambda)$ to $D P(2 p, p+\lambda)$.
Theorem 5. Suppose that $V N C_{8 p}^{1}:=D P(2 p, \lambda)$, where $\lambda$ is a solution of Eq. (1) in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Then $V N C_{8 p}^{1}$ is a connected cubic VNC-graph of order $8 p$.
Proof. Let $X=V N C_{8 p}^{1}$ and $A=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. By the definition, it is easy to see that $X$ is connected and has order $8 p$. Since $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, one has $p \geq 5$. If $p=5$, with the help of MAGMA [1], $X$ is a cubic VNC-graph. In what follows, assume $p>5$. Remember that Eq. (1) has exactly four solutions, namely, $\lambda, 2 p-\lambda, p-\lambda$ and $p+\lambda$, in $\mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. By Lemma 4, we may assume that $\lambda$ is even.

One can easily see that the following maps are permutations on the vertex set of $X$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\alpha: & x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+1}, y_{i} \mapsto y_{i+1}, u_{i} \mapsto u_{i+1}, v_{i} \mapsto v_{i+1}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, \\
\beta: & x_{i} \mapsto y_{i}, y_{i} \mapsto x_{i}, u_{i} \mapsto v_{i}, v_{i} \mapsto u_{i}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, \\
\gamma: & x_{2 i+1} \mapsto v_{(2 i+1) \lambda}, x_{2 i} \mapsto u_{(2 i) \lambda}, y_{2 i+1} \mapsto v_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}, y_{2 i} \mapsto u_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, \\
& u_{2 i} \mapsto x_{(2 i) \lambda}, v_{2 i} \mapsto x_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, u_{2 i+1} \mapsto y_{(2 i+1) \lambda}, v_{2 i+1} \mapsto y_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}, i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, one may easily see that $\alpha$ and $\beta$ map each edge of $X$ to an edge. So, $\alpha, \beta \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Since $\lambda$ is an even solution of Eq. (1), one has $p+\lambda^{2}+1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2 p)$. For each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{x_{2 i}, x_{2 i+1}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{u_{(2 i) \lambda}, v_{(2 i+1) \lambda}\right\},\left\{y_{2 i}, y_{2 i+1}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{u_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, v_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}\right\}, \\
& \left\{u_{2 i}, v_{2 i+\lambda}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{x_{(2 i) \lambda}, x_{(2 i+\lambda) \lambda, p}\right\}=\left\{x_{(2 i) \lambda}, x_{(2 i) \lambda-1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{v_{2 i}, u_{2 i+\lambda}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{x_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, x_{(2 i+\lambda) \lambda}\right\}=\left\{x_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, x_{(2 i) \lambda+p-1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{u_{2 i+1}, v_{2 i+1+\lambda}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{y_{(2 i+1) \lambda}, y_{(2 i+1+\lambda) \lambda+p}\right\}=\left\{y_{(2 i+1) \lambda}, y_{(2 i+1) \lambda-1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{v_{2 i+1}, u_{2 i+1+\lambda}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{y_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}, y_{(2 i+1+\lambda) \lambda}\right\}=\left\{y_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}, y_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p-1}\right\}, \\
& \left\{x_{2 i}, u_{2 i}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{u_{(2 i) \lambda}, x_{(2 i) \lambda}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}, u_{2 i+1}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{v_{(2 i+1) \lambda}, y_{(2 i+1) \lambda}\right\}, \\
& \left\{y_{2 i}, v_{2 i}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{u_{(2 i) \lambda+p}, x_{(2 i) \lambda+p}\right\},\left\{y_{2 i+1}, v_{2 i+1}\right\}^{\gamma}=\left\{v_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}, y_{(2 i+1) \lambda+p}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies that $\gamma \in \operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Clearly, $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ and $\left\{u_{i}, v_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ are two orbits of $\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle$ on $V(X)$, and $\gamma$ interchanges these two orbits. Hence, $\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma\rangle$ is transitive on $V(X)$. We shall show that $A=\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma\rangle$. Since $X$ has valency 3, the vertex-stabilizer $A_{v}$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group. So, $|A| \mid 2^{3+i} 3^{j} p$ for some integers $i, j$. As $p>5$, the group $P=\left\langle\alpha^{2}\right\rangle$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$.

We claim that $P$ is normal in $A$. By [7, Theorem 5.1 and Corollary 3.8], this is true for the case when $X$ is symmetric. Suppose $X$ is non-symmetric. Then, $3 \nmid\left|A_{v}\right|$, and so $A$ is a $\{2, p\}$-group. By Burnside's $\{p, q\}$-theorem [24, 8.5.3], $A$ is solvable. So, we can take a maximal normal 2-subgroup, say $N$, of $A$. Then $P N / N \unlhd A / N$, namely, $P N \unlhd A$. To show $P \unlhd A$, it suffices to prove $P \unlhd P N$ because then $P$ is characteristic in $P N$ and hence it is normal in $A$.

Consider the quotient graph $X_{N}$ of $X$ relative to the orbit set of $N$, and let $K$ be the kernel of $A$ acting on $V\left(X_{N}\right)$. Then $N \leq K$ and so $K=N K_{v}$ is a 2-group. The maximality of $N$ gives that $K=N$. So, $A / N \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{N}\right)$. Clearly, $X_{N}$ has valency 2 or 3 , namely, $d\left(X_{N}\right)=2$ or 3 . Let $B \in V\left(X_{N}\right)$. If either $d\left(X_{N}\right)=3$ or $d\left(X_{N}\right)=2$ and $d(X[B])=1$, then the stabilizer $N_{v}$ of $v \in V(X)$ fixes each neighbor of $v$. By the connectivity of $X, N_{v}$ fixes all vertices of $X$. It follows that $N_{v}=1$, and hence $N$ is semiregular on $V(X)$. Consequently, $|N| \mid 8$. Since $p \geq 13$, by Sylow theorem, one has $P \unlhd P N$, as required. Suppose $d\left(X_{N}\right)=2$ and $d(X[B])=0$. Let $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ be two orbits adjacent to $B$. Since $X$ is cubic, one may assume that $d\left(X\left[B \cup B_{0}\right]\right)=1$ and $d\left(X\left[B \cup B_{1}\right]\right)=2$. Since $p$ is odd, it follows that $|B|=2$ or 4 . If $|B|=2$ then $X\left[B \cup B_{1}\right] \cong C_{4}$. However, since the set of vertices of $X$ at distance 2 from $x_{0}$ is $N_{2}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{x_{2}, u_{1}, v_{\lambda}, v_{-\lambda}, x_{2 p-2}, u_{2 p-1}\right\}$ which has cardinality 6 , passing through $x_{0}$ there is no cycles of less than 5 in $X$. This implies that $X$ has girth greater than 4 because it is vertex-transitive. A contradiction occurs. If $|B|=4$, then $X\left[B \cup B_{1}\right] \cong C_{8}$ since $X$ has girth greater than 4. So, the subgroup $N^{*}$ of $N$ fixing $B$ pointwise also fixes $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ pointwise. By the connectivity of $X, N^{*}$ fixes all vertices of $X$, forcing $N^{*}=1$. It follows that $N \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X\left[B \cup B_{1}\right]\right) \cong D_{16}$. Since $p>5$ and $p \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$, by Sylow Theorem, one has $P \unlhd P N$, as required.

Now we know the claim is true, that is, $P \unlhd A$. Consider the quotient graph $X_{P}$ of $X$ relative to the orbit set of $P$, and let $K$ be the kernel of $A$ acting on $V\left(X_{P}\right)$. From the construction of $X, X_{P} \cong C_{8}$ and the subgraph of $X$ induced by any two adjacent orbits of $P$ is either $p K_{2}$ or $C_{2 p}$. This implies that $K$ acts faithfully on each orbit of $P$, and hence $K \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{2 p}\right) \cong D_{4 p}$. Since $K$ fixes each orbit of $P$, one has $K \leq D_{2 p}$. Clearly, $A / K$ is not edge-transitive on $X_{P}$. It follows that $A / K \cong D_{8}$, and hence $|A| \leq 16 p$. This implies that $A=\langle\alpha, \beta\rangle \rtimes\langle\gamma\rangle \cong\left(\mathbb{Z}_{2 p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{4}$.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. Suppose that $X$ is a Cayley graph. By Proposition 2, $A$ has a regular subgroup, say $G$. Clearly, $|A: G|=2$, and so $G$ is maximal in $A$. Let $Q=\left\langle\alpha^{p}, \beta, \gamma\right\rangle$. Then $Q$ is a Sylow 2-subgroup of $A$. So, $Q \varsubsetneqq G$, and hence $A=G Q$. From $|A|=\frac{|G||Q|}{|Q \cap G|}$ we get that $|Q \cap G|=8$, and hence $Q /(Q \cap G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. It follows that $\gamma^{2} \in Q \cap G$. However, since $\gamma^{2}$ fixes $x_{0}$, one has $\gamma^{2} \notin G$, a contradiction.

## 4 Graphs associated with lexicographic products

Let $n$ be a positive integer. The lexicographic product $C_{n}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$ is defined as the graph with vertex set $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right\},\left\{x_{i}, y_{i+1}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$. In this section, we introduce a class of cubic vertex-transitive graphs which can be constructed from the lexicographic product $C_{n}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$. Note that these graphs belong to a large family of graphs constructed in [5, Section 3].

Definition 6. For integer $n \geq 2$, let $X(n, 2)$ be the graph of order $4 n$ and valency 3 with vertex set $V_{0} \cup V_{1} \cup \ldots V_{2 n-2} \cup V_{2 n-1}$, where $V_{i}=\left\{x_{i}^{0}, x_{i}^{1}\right\}$, and adjacencies $x_{2 i}^{r} \sim x_{2 i+1}^{r}(i \in$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{n}, r \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and $x_{2 i+1}^{r} \sim x_{2 i+2}^{s}\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n} ; r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

Note that $X(n, 2)$ is obtained from $C_{n}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$ by expending each vertex into an edge, in a natural way, so that each of the two blown-up endvertices inherits half of the neighbors of the original vertex.

Definition 7. Let $E X(n, 2)$ be the graph obtained from $X(n, 2)$ by blowing up each vertex $x_{i}^{r}$ into two vertices $x_{i}^{r, 0}$ and $x_{i}^{r, 1}$. The adjacencies are as the following: $x_{2 i}^{r, s} \sim x_{2 i+1}^{r, t}$ and $x_{2 i+1}^{r, s} \sim x_{2 i+2}^{s, r}$, where $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}$ and $r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ (see Fig. 2 for $\operatorname{EX}(5,2)$ ).


Figure 2: The graph $E X(5,2)$
Note that $E X(n, 2)$ is vertex-transitive for each $n \geq 2$ (see [5, Proposition 3.3]). However, $E X(n, 2)$ is not necessarily a Cayley graph. Below, we shall give a sufficient condition for the graph $E X(n, 2)$ to be vertex-transitive non-Cayley. To do this, we need a lemma.

Lemma 8. If $p>7$ is a prime, then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]\right)$ has no subgroups of order $8 p$.
Proof. Set $A=\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]\right)$. It is easily known that $A \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{p} \rtimes D_{2 p}$. Recall that $C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$ has vertex set $\left\{x_{i}, y_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{n}\right\}$ and edge set $\left\{\left\{x_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, y_{i+1}\right\},\left\{x_{i}, y_{i+1}\right\},\left\{y_{i}, x_{i+1}\right\} \mid i \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$. Let $K$ be the maximal normal 2-subgroup of $A$. Then $K=\left\langle k_{0}\right\rangle \times\left\langle k_{1}\right\rangle \times \ldots \times\left\langle k_{p-1}\right\rangle$, where $k_{i}=\left(x_{i} y_{i}\right)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $\alpha=\left(x_{0} x_{1} \ldots x_{p-1}\right)\left(y_{0} y_{1} \ldots y_{p-1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\alpha$ is an automorphism of $C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$ of order $p$. Set $P=\langle\alpha\rangle$.

Suppose to the contrary that $A$ has a subgroup, say $G$, of order $8 p$. By Sylow Theorem, one may assume that $P \leq G$. Since $p>7$, Sylow Theorem gives $P \unlhd G$. Noting that
$G K \leq A$, one has $|A: G K|=1$ or 2 . Consequently, $G \cap K$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{2}$ or $\mathbb{Z}_{2}^{3}$ and is normal in $G$. It follows that $G \cap K \leq C_{A}(P)$. However, it is easy to see that $C_{A}(P) \cap K=\left\langle k_{0} k_{1} \ldots k_{p-1}\right\rangle \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, a contradiction.

Theorem 9. Let $p>3$ be a prime. Then the graph $V N C_{8 p}^{2}:=E X(p, 2)$ is a connected cubic VNC-graph of order $8 p$.

Proof. Let $X=V N C_{8 p}^{2}=E X(p, 2)$ and $A=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. If $p=5$ or 7 then by MAGMA [1], $X$ is a connected cubic VNC-graph of order $8 p$. In what follows, assume that $p>7$. By [5, Proposition 3.3], $X$ is vertex-transitive.

Clearly, for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}, C_{j}^{0}=\left(x_{2 j}^{0,0}, x_{2 j+1}^{0,0}, x_{2 j}^{0,1}, x_{2 j+1}^{0,1}\right)$ and $C_{j}^{1}=\left(x_{2 j}^{1,1}, x_{2 j+1}^{1,1}, x_{2 j}^{1,0}, x_{2 j+1}^{1,0}\right)$ are two 4 -cycles. Set $\digamma=\left\{C_{j}^{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$. From the construction of $X$, it is easy to see that in $X$ passing each vertex there is exactly one 4 -cycle, which belongs to $\digamma$. Clearly, any two distinct 4 -cycles in $\digamma$ are vertex-disjoint. This implies that $\Delta=\left\{V\left(C_{j}^{i}\right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right\}$ is an $A$-invariant partition of $V(X)$. Consider the quotient graph $X_{\Delta}$, and let $K$ be the kernel of $A$ acting on $\Delta$. Then $X_{\Delta} \cong C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]$, and hence $A / K \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{p} \rtimes D_{2 p}$. Noting that between any two adjacent vertices of $X_{\Delta}$ there is exactly one edge of $X, K$ fixes each vertex of $X$ and hence $K=1$. If $X$ is a Cayley graph, then $A=A / K$ has a regular subgroup of order $8 p$, and hence $\operatorname{Aut}\left(C_{p}\left[2 K_{1}\right]\right)$ would contain a subgroup of order $8 p$. However, this is impossible by Lemma 8 .

## 5 Classification

In this section, we classify all connected cubic VNC-graphs of order $8 p$ for each prime $p$. Throughout this section, the notations FnA, FnB, etc. will refer to the corresponding graphs of order $n$ in the Foster census of all cubic symmetric graphs [2, 4]. The following is the main result of this paper.

Theorem 10. A connected cubic graph of order $8 p$ for a prime $p$ is a VNC-graph if and only if it is isomorphic to $\mathrm{F} 56 \mathrm{~B}, \mathrm{~F} 56 \mathrm{C}, V N C_{8 p}^{1}$ or $V N C_{8 p}^{2}$.

Proof. By [4], F56B and F56C are cubic symmetric graphs. By MAGMA [1], Aut(F56B) and $\operatorname{Aut}(\mathrm{F} 56 \mathrm{C})$ have no subgroups of order 56. It follows from Proposition 2 that F56B and F56C are non-Cayley graphs. By Theorems 5 and 9 , the graphs $V N C_{8 p}^{1}$ and $V N C_{8 p}^{2}$ are connected cubic VNC-graphs of order $8 p$.

To complete the proof, we only need to show necessity. Assume that $X$ is a connected cubic VNC-graph of order $8 p$. By McKay [18, pp.1114] and [21], all connected cubic vertex-transitive graphs of order 16 or 24 are Cayley graphs. If $X$ is symmetric then by [7, Theorem 5.1], $X \cong$ F40A, F56B or F56C. By MAMGA [1], F40A $\cong V N C_{8.5}^{1}$.

In what follows, assume that $p>3$ and $X$ is non-symmetric. Let $A=\operatorname{Aut}(X)$. Since $X$ is non-Cayley, $A$ has no subgroups acting regularly on $V(X)$ by Proposition 2. For each $v \in V(X)$, we have $\left|A_{v}\right|=2^{m}$ and $|A|=2^{m+3} p$ for some positive integer $m$. By Burnside's $p^{a} q^{b}$-theorem [24, 8.5.3], $A$ is solvable. For notational convenience, in the remainder of the proof, we always use the following notations.

Assumption For each $q \in\{2, p\}$, use $M_{q}$ to denote the maximal normal $q$-subgroup of $A$. Let $X_{M_{q}}$ be the quotient graph of $X$ relative to the orbit set of $M_{q}$, and let $\operatorname{Ker}_{q}$ be the kernel of $A$ acting on $V\left(X_{M_{q}}\right)$.

We first prove the following claims.
Claim 1 Suppose $M_{2}>1$. Then for any orbit $B$ of $M_{2}$, we have $X[B]$ is the null graph.
Since $p>2$, one has $|B|=8$, 4 or 2 , and hence $p\left|\left|X_{M_{2}}\right|\right.$. This implies that $d\left(X_{M_{2}}\right) \geq 2$. If $X[B]$ is not a null graph, then it has valency 1 . For each $v \in B$, one neighbor of $v$ is in $B$, and the other two are in the two different orbits of $M_{2}$ adjacent to $B$, respectively. Because $\operatorname{Ker}_{2}$ fixes each orbit of $M_{2},\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{2}\right)_{v}$ fixes each neighbor of $v$. By the connectivity of $X,\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{2}\right)_{v}$ fixes all vertices of $X$, and hence $\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{2}\right)_{v}=1$. This shows that $\operatorname{Ker}_{2}=M_{2}$ is semiregular. Clearly, $X_{M_{2}}$ must be a cycle of length $\ell=8 p /|B|$. The vertex-transitivity of $A / M_{2}$ on $X_{M_{2}}$ implies that $A / M_{2}$ contains a subgroup, say $G / M_{2}$, acting regularly on $V\left(X_{M_{2}}\right)$. As a result, $G$ is regular on $V(X)$, a contradiction.
Claim 2 Suppose $M_{p}>1$. Then $X_{M_{p}} \cong C_{8}, \operatorname{Ker}_{p}=M_{p} \rtimes A_{v} \cong D_{2 p}$ and $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong D_{8}$. Furthermore, for any two adjacent orbits, say $B, B^{\prime}$ of $M_{p}$, we have $X[B] \cong p K_{1}$ and $X\left[B \cup B^{\prime}\right] \cong C_{2 p}$ or $p K_{2}$.

Since $|A|=2^{m+3} p, M_{p}$ is a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$, and $\left|X_{M_{p}}\right|=8$. So, $d\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)=3$ or 2. Suppose $d\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)=3$. Then the stabilizer $\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{p}\right)_{v}$ fixes the neighborhood of $v$ in $X$ pointwise because $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}$ fixes each orbit of $M_{p}$ setwise. By the connectivity of $X,\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{p}\right)_{v}$ fixes each vertex in $V(X)$, forcing $\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{p}\right)_{v}=1$. Hence, $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}=M_{p}$. By [21], $X_{M_{p}}$ is a Cayley graph, and furthermore, either $X_{M_{p}} \cong Q_{3}$, the three dimensional hypercube, or $\left|\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)\right| \leq 16$. Note that if $X_{M_{p}} \cong Q_{3}$ then $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{p}}\right) \cong S_{4} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Since $\left|A / M_{p}\right|=2^{m+3}>8, A / M_{p}$ is always a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)$. As $X_{M_{p}}$ is a Cayley graph of order $8, \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)$ has a regular subgroup, say $\bar{G}$. By a Sylow Theorem, one may assume that $\bar{G}=G / M_{p} \leq A / M_{p}$. This forces that $G$ is regular on $V(X)$, a contradiction.

Now we know that $d\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)=2$, namely, $X_{M_{p}} \cong C_{8}$. Then $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p} \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{p}}\right) \cong D_{16}$. Let $V\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)=\left\{B_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{8}\right\}$ with $B_{i} \sim B_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{8}$. If some $B_{i}$ contains an edge of $X$, then the connectivity of $X_{M_{p}}$ implies that $d\left(X\left[B_{i}\right]\right)=1$. This forces that $\left|B_{i}\right|=p$ is even, a contradiction. Thus, $X\left[B_{i}\right] \cong p K_{1}$ for every $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{8}$. Since $X$ is cubic, for any two adjacent orbits $B, B^{\prime}$ of $M_{p}$, we have $X\left[B \cup B^{\prime}\right] \cong C_{2 p}$ or $p K_{2}$. Without loss of generality, assume that $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{7}\right] \cong p K_{2}$ and $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right] \cong C_{2 p}$. Then $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p}$ is not edge-transitive on $X_{M_{p}}$, and hence $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong D_{8}$. Since $p>3$, the subgroup $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}^{*}$ of $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}$ fixing $B_{0}$ pointwise also fixes $B_{1}$ and $B_{7}$ pointwise. The connectivity of $X$ gives $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}^{*}=1$, and consequently, $\operatorname{Ker}_{p} \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right) \cong D_{4 p}$. Since $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}$ fixes $B_{0}$, one has $\operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$ or $D_{2 p}$. Since $|A|>8 p$, it follows that $\operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong D_{2 p}$ and hence $|A|=16 p$. Since $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p}$ is regular on $V\left(X_{M_{p}}\right)$, one has $A_{v}=\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{p}\right)_{v} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}=M_{p} \rtimes A_{v}$.

Now we are ready to finish the proof. We distinguish two different cases.
Case $1 M_{p}>1$.
Since $|A|=2^{m+3} p$, one has $M_{p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p}$. Let $C=C_{A}\left(M_{p}\right)$. Then $M_{p} \leq C$ and by Proposition 1, $A / C \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{p}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$. By Claim 2, $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong D_{8}$ and $\operatorname{Ker}_{p}=M_{p} \rtimes A_{v} \cong$
$D_{2 p}$. This means that $C_{v}=1$, and hence $C$ is semiregular on $V(X)$. So, $|C|=2 p$ or $4 p$. If $|C|=2 p$, then $C / P \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is in the center of $A / P$. Since $(A / P) /(C / P) \cong A / P \leq \mathbb{Z}_{p-1}$, $A / P$ is abelian. It follows that $A / \operatorname{Ker}_{p} \cong(A / P) /\left(\operatorname{Ker}_{p} / P\right)$ is abelian, a contradiction. So, the only possible is $|C|=4 p$.

Clearly, $C$ has two orbits, say $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$ on $V(X)$, and the action of $C$ on each of these two orbits is regular. It follows that $\Delta=\left\{u^{h} \mid h \in C\right\}$ and $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{v^{h} \mid h \in C\right\}$ for some fixed $u \in \Delta$ and $v \in \Delta^{\prime}$, and furthermore, $u^{h_{1}} \neq u^{h_{2}}$ and $v^{h_{1}} \neq v^{h_{2}}$ for any two distinct $h_{1}, h_{2} \in C$. Since $\Delta$ is an orbit of $C, X[\Delta]$ has valency 0,1 or 2 .

First, suppose $d(X[\Delta])=0$. Then $X$ is bipartite. Let the neighbors of $u$ be $v^{h_{1}}, v^{h_{2}}$ and $v^{h_{3}}$ where $h_{1}, h_{2}, h_{3} \in C$. Note that $C$ is abelian. For any $h \in C$, the neighbors of $u^{h}$ are $v^{h h_{1}}, v^{h h_{2}}$ and $v^{h h_{3}}$, and furthermore, the neighbors of $v^{h}$ are $u^{h h_{1}^{-1}}, u^{h h_{2}^{-1}}$ and $u^{h h_{3}^{-1}}$. Now it is easy to see that the map $\alpha$ defined by $v^{h} \mapsto u^{h^{-1}}, u^{h} \mapsto v^{h^{-1}}, \forall h \in C$, is an automorphism of $X$ of order 2. Since $C \unlhd A,\langle C, \alpha\rangle=C \rtimes\langle\alpha\rangle$ has order $8 p$, implying that $\langle C, \alpha\rangle$ is regular on $V(X)$, a contradiction.

Next, suppose $d(X[\Delta])=1$. Let $Q$ be a Sylow 2-subgroup of $C$. As $C$ is abelian and normal in $A, Q$ is characteristic in $C$, and hence it is normal in $A$. Clearly, every orbit of $Q$ has cardinality 4 and is contained in $\Delta$ or $\Delta^{\prime}$. Let $u^{h}$ be a neighbor of $u$, where $h \in C$. Clearly, $\left\{u, u^{h}\right\}^{h}=\left\{u^{h}, u^{h^{2}}\right\}$. Since $d(X[\Delta])=1$, one has $u^{h^{2}}=u$, implying that $h$ is an involution. It follows that each orbit of $Q$ of $C$ consists of two pairs of adjacent vertices. This is impossible by Claim 1 because $Q \leq M_{2}$.

Now, suppose $d(X[\Delta])=2$. Since $M_{p} \leq C$, each orbit of $M_{p}$ is contained in $\Delta$ or $\Delta^{\prime}$. By Claim 2, for any two adjacent orbits $B, B^{\prime}$ of $M_{p}, X[B] \cong p K_{1}$ and $X\left[B \cup B^{\prime}\right] \cong p K_{2}$ or $C_{2 p}$. Since $d(X[\Delta])=2$, we must have $X[\Delta] \cong X\left[\Delta^{\prime}\right] \cong 2 C_{2 p}$. Let $\left\{x_{0}, x_{1}\right\}$ be an edge of $X[\Delta]$. Then there exists $a \in C$ such that $x_{1}=x_{0}^{a}$. From Claim 1 we get $a$ is not an involution. Let $a$ have order $s$ and let $x_{i}=x_{0}^{a^{i}}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{s}$. Then $C_{1}=\left(x_{0}, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{s-1}, x_{0}\right)$ is an $s$-cycle. Since $X[\Delta] \cong 2 C_{2 p}$, one has $s=2 p$.

Suppose $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. Let $w \in \Delta^{\prime}$ be adjacent to $x_{0}$, and let $\left\{w^{b}, w\right\} \in E\left(X\left[\Delta^{\prime}\right]\right)$ for some $b \in C$. Similar to an argument as above, we get that $b$ has order $2 p$, and $\left(w, w^{b}, w^{b^{2}}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w^{b^{2 p-1}}, w\right)$ is a $2 p$-cycle. Since $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$, one has $\langle a\rangle=\langle b\rangle$, and so $a=b^{k}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}^{*}$. This implies that for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, x_{i}=x_{0}^{a^{i}} \sim w^{a^{i}}=w^{b^{i k}}$. Consequently, the subgraph induced by $\left\{x_{i}, w^{b^{i}} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ has valency 3 , contrary to the connectivity of $X$.

Now we know that $C \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2 p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, and hence there is an involution $c \in C \backslash\langle a\rangle$. Let $y_{i}=x_{i}^{c}$ with $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Since $C$ is abelian, $C_{2}=\left(y_{0}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{s-1}, y_{0}\right)$ is also a $2 p$ cycle. Clearly, $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ are vertex-disjoint, so $X[\Delta]=C_{1} \cup C_{2}$. Note that the edges with one endpoint in $\Delta$ and the other endpoint in $\Delta^{\prime}$ are independent. Assume that $\Delta^{\prime}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ so that $u_{i} \sim x_{i}$ and $v_{i} \sim y_{i}$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Since $X\left[\Delta^{\prime}\right] \cong 2 C_{2 p}$, we may assume that $u_{0} \sim u_{\lambda}$ or $u_{0} \sim v_{\lambda}$ for some $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}-\{0\}$. If $u_{0} \sim u_{\lambda}$, then the subgraph induced by $\left\{x_{i}, u_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ has valency 3 , contrary to the connectivity of $X$. Thus, $u_{0} \sim v_{\lambda}$. Since $x_{i}^{c}=y_{i}$, one has $\left\{x_{i}, u_{i}\right\}^{c}=\left\{y_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$, and hence $u_{i}^{c}=v_{i}$. Since $c$ is an involution, one has $\left\{u_{0}, v_{\lambda}\right\}^{c}=\left\{v_{0}, u_{\lambda}\right\}$. By Definition $3, X \cong D P(2 p, \lambda)$.

It is easy to see that $C \rtimes A_{u}$ is the kernel of $A$ acting on $\left\{\Delta, \Delta^{\prime}\right\}$, and $A /\left(C \rtimes A_{u}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Let $\beta \in A$ be a 2-element interchanging $\Delta$ and $\Delta^{\prime}$. Then $\beta^{2} \in C \rtimes A_{u}$. If $\beta^{2} \in C$ then $\langle C, \beta\rangle$ is regular on $V(X)$, a contradiction. Thus, $\beta^{2}=g d$ where $g \in C$ and $A_{v}=\langle d\rangle$.

Recalling Ker $=P \rtimes A_{v} \cong D_{2 p}$, one has $\beta^{-2} a^{2} \beta^{2}=a^{-2}$. It follows that $\beta^{-1} a^{2} \beta=a^{2 t}$ for some $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}^{*}$ satisfying $t^{2} \equiv-1(\bmod p)$. Without loss of generality, assume $x_{0}^{\beta}=u_{i}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Then $x_{2}^{\beta}=\left(x_{0}\right)^{a^{2} \beta}=u_{i}^{\beta^{-1} a^{2} \beta}=u_{i}^{a^{2 t}}=u_{i+2 t}$. Since the distance between $x_{0}$ and $x_{2}$ is 2 , one has $u_{i+2 t}=u_{i+2 \lambda}$ or $u_{i-2 \lambda}$. It follows that $2 t \equiv \pm 2 \lambda(\bmod 2 p)$, and hence $\lambda \equiv \pm t(\bmod p)$. This shows that $\lambda \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$ is a solution of Eq. (1). By Lemma 4 and Theorem 5, we have $X \cong V N C_{8 p}^{1}$.
Case $2 M_{p}=1$
By the solvability of $A$, we have $M_{2}>1$. Let $P$ be a Sylow $p$-subgroup of $A$. Then $P \nexists A$ but $P M_{2} / M_{2} \unlhd A / M_{2}$, namely, $P M_{2} \unlhd A$. If $P \unlhd P M_{2}$, then $P$ is characteristic in $P M_{2}$, and hence it is normal in $A$, a contradiction. Thus, $P$ is not normal in $P M_{2}$. Let $B$ be an orbit of $M_{2}$. Since $p>2$, one has $|B|=8,4$ or 2 , and hence $p\left|\left|X_{M_{2}}\right|\right.$. This implies that $X_{M_{2}}$ has valency greater than 1. If $d\left(X_{M_{2}}\right)=3$, then $|B|=2$ or 4 , and it is easily seen that $M_{2}$ is semiregular, and so $\left|M_{2}\right|=|B|$. Since $p>3$, Sylow Theorem implies that $P \unlhd P M_{2}$, a contradiction. Thus, $d\left(X_{M_{2}}\right)=2$. Also, since $A / \mathrm{Ker}_{2}$ is transitive on $V\left(X_{M_{2}}\right), \operatorname{Ker}_{2}$ is a 2-group. The maximality of $M_{2}$ gives $\operatorname{Ker}_{2}=M_{2}$.

If $|B|=8$, then $X_{M_{2}} \cong C_{p}$. By Claim 2, $X[B]$ is a null graph. So, the subgraph induced by any two adjacent orbits is of valency 1 or 2 . This forces that $\left|X_{M_{2}}\right|=p$ is even, a contradiction. If $|B|=2$, then $X_{M_{2}} \cong C_{4 p}$, and hence $A / M_{2} \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X_{M_{2}}\right) \cong D_{8 p}$. Since $A / M_{2}$ is transitive on $V\left(X_{M_{2}}\right), A / M_{2} \cong D_{4 p}, \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$ or $D_{8 p}$. This implies that $A / M_{2}$ always has a normal subgroup of order 2, contrary to the maximality of $M_{2}$.

It now only remains to deal with the case when $|B|=4$. In this case, $X_{M_{2}} \cong C_{2 p}$ and by Claim 2, $X[B] \cong 4 K_{1}$. Let $V\left(X_{M_{2}}\right)=\left\{B_{i} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$ with $B_{i} \sim B_{i+1}$. Since $X$ is cubic, one may assume that $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right] \cong C_{8}$ or $2 C_{4}$ and $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{2 p-1}\right] \cong 4 K_{2}$. Suppose $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right] \cong C_{8}$. The subgroup $M_{2}^{*}$ of $M_{2}$ fixing $B_{0}$ pointwise also fixes $B_{1}$ and $B_{2 p-1}$ pointwise. The connectivity of $X$ and the transitivity of $A / M_{2}$ on $V\left(X_{M_{2}}\right)$ imply that $M_{2}^{*}=1$, and consequently, $M_{2} \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right]\right) \cong D_{16}$. Hence, Aut $\left(M_{2}\right)$ is a $\{2,3\}$-group. By Proposition $1, P M_{2} / C_{P M_{2}}\left(M_{2}\right) \leq \operatorname{Aut}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Since $p \geq 5$, one has $P \leq C_{P M_{2}}\left(M_{2}\right)$, forcing $P \unlhd P M_{2}$, a contradiction.

We now know that $X\left[B_{0} \cup B_{1}\right]$ is a union of two 4-cycles, say $\left(x_{0}^{0,0}, x_{1}^{0,0}, x_{0}^{0,1}, x_{1}^{0,1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{0}^{1,1}, x_{1}^{1,1}, x_{0}^{1,0}, x_{1}^{1,0}\right)$, where $B_{i}=\left\{x_{i}^{0,0}, x_{i}^{0,1}, x_{i}^{1,0}, x_{i}^{1,1}\right\}$ with $i=0$ or 1 . Remember that $X_{N}=\left(B_{0}, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{2 p-1}\right)$ is a $2 p$-cycle. Hence, $A$ has an element, say $\sigma$, of order $p$ such that $B_{i}^{\sigma}=B_{i+2}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Without loss of generality, assume

$$
\sigma=\prod_{(r, s) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}}\left(x_{0}^{r, s} x_{2}^{r, s} \ldots x_{2}^{r, s}\right)\left(x_{1}^{r, s} x_{3}^{r, s} \ldots x_{2 p-1}^{r, s}\right) .
$$

Then for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, B_{i}=\left\{x_{i}^{0,0}, x_{i}^{0,1}, x_{i}^{1,0}, x_{i}^{1,1}\right\}$, and $\left(x_{2 j}^{0,0}, x_{2 j+1}^{0,0}, x_{2 j}^{0,1}, x_{2 j+1}^{0,1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2 j}^{1,1}\right.$, $\left.x_{2 j+1}^{1,1}, x_{2 j}^{1,0}, x_{2 j+1}^{1,0}\right)$ are the two 4 -cycles of $X\left[B_{2 j} \cup B_{2 j+1}\right]$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$.

Note that $\sigma$ is an automorphism of $X$. Once the edges between $B_{2 j+1}$ and $B_{2 j+2}$ are given, the graph $X$ will be determined. Let $u, v$ be the neighbors of $x_{2 i+1}^{0,0}$ and $x_{2 i+1}^{0,1}$ in $B_{2 j+2}$, respectively.

If $u, v$ are in the same 4-cycle of $X\left[B_{2 j+2} \cup B_{2 j+3}\right]$, then by the connectivity of $X$, we


Figure 3: Two possible cases
get $\{u, v\}=\left\{x_{2 i+2}^{1,0}, x_{2 i+2}^{1,1}\right\}$. This gives rise to four graphs $X_{i}(0 \leq i \leq 4)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& E\left(X_{0}\right)=\left\{\left\{x_{2 i}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+1}^{r, t}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+s}^{r+1, s}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\} ; \\
& E\left(X_{1}\right)=\left\{\left\{x_{2 i}^{r, s},,_{2 i+1}^{r, t}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+2}^{r+1, s+1}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\} ; \\
& E\left(X_{2}\right)=\left\{\left\{x_{2 i}^{r, s},,_{2 i+1}^{r, t}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+s}^{0, s}, x_{2 i+1}^{1, s+1}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{1, s} x_{2 i+\infty}^{0, s}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\} ; \\
& E\left(X_{3}\right)=\left\{\left\{x_{2 i}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+1}^{r, t}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{0, s}, x_{2 i+2}^{1, s+2}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{1, s}, x_{2 i+2}^{0, s+1}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\delta=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}}\left(x_{2 i+2}^{0,0}, x_{2 i+2}^{0,1}\right)\left(x_{2 i+2}^{1,0}, x_{2 i+2}^{1,1}\right)$ and $\gamma=\prod_{i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}}\left(x_{2 i+2}^{0,0}, x_{2 i+2}^{0,1}\right)$. It is easy to see that $\delta$ is an isomorphism from $X_{k}$ to $X_{k+1}$ with $k=0,2$, and $\gamma$ is an isomorphism from $X_{0}$ to $X_{3}$. So, we may assume $X=X_{0}$. In this case, $X\left[B_{2 j} \cup B_{2 j+1} \cup B_{2 j+2} \cup B_{2 j+3}\right]$ is the first graph in Fig. 3. Since $p>3$, it is easy to check that passing through each vertex of $X$ there is one and only one 4-cycle. Set $\Omega=\left\{\left\{x_{i}^{0,0}, x_{i}^{0,1}\right\},\left\{x_{i}^{1,0}, x_{i}^{1,1}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}\right\}$. Take an arbitrary $\Delta \in \Omega$. Without loss of generality, let $\Delta=\left\{x_{i}^{0,0}, x_{i}^{0,1}\right\}$ for some $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. For any $g \in A, \Delta^{g} \subset B_{i}^{g}=B^{j}$ for some $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. Since there is a 4 -cycle in $X$ passing through $\left(x_{i}^{0,0}\right)^{g}$ and $\left(x_{i}^{0,1}\right)^{g}$, one has $\Delta^{g}=\left\{x_{j}^{0,0}, x_{j}^{0,1}\right\}$ or $\left\{x_{j}^{1,0}, x_{j}^{1,1}\right\}$. It follows that $\Delta^{g} \in \Omega$. Clearly, any two distinct subsets in $\Omega$ are disjoint. Then $\Omega$ is an $A$-invariant partition of $V(X)$. From the structure of $X$ we obtain that $X_{\Omega} \cong C_{4 p}$ and $X[\Delta] \cong 2 K_{1}$ for each $\Delta \in \Omega$. For notational convenience, let $V\left(X_{\Omega}\right)=\left\{\Delta_{0}, \Delta_{1}, \ldots, \Delta_{4 p-1}\right\}$ such that $\Delta_{i} \in \Omega$ and $\Delta_{i} \sim \Delta_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. Since $X$ has valency 3 , assume that $X\left[\Delta_{0} \cup \Delta_{1}\right] \cong C_{4}$ and $X\left[\Delta_{4 p-1} \cup \Delta_{0}\right] \cong 2 K_{2}$. By the transitivity of $A$ on $V(X), X\left[\Delta_{2 j} \cup \Delta_{2 j+1}\right] \cong C_{4}$ and $X\left[\Delta_{2 j-1} \cup \Delta_{2 j}\right] \cong 2 K_{2}$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}$. Let $\Delta_{i}=\left\{x_{i}, y_{i}\right\}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. From the above analysis we may assume that $x_{i} \sim x_{i+1}, y_{i} \sim y_{i+1}, x_{2 i} \sim y_{2 i+1}$ and $y_{2 i} \sim x_{2 i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}$. Let $\alpha: x_{i} \mapsto x_{i+2}, y_{i} \mapsto y_{i+2}\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}\right), \beta: x_{i} \mapsto y_{i}, y_{i} \mapsto x_{i}\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}\right)$, and $\gamma: x_{i} \mapsto x_{4 p+1-i}, y_{i} \mapsto y_{4 p+1-i}\left(i \in \mathbb{Z}_{4 p}\right)$ be the three permutations on $V(X)$. It is easy to check that $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma$ are automorphisms of $X$. Furthermore, $\langle\alpha, \beta, \gamma\rangle \cong D_{4 p} \times \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is regular on $V(X)$, a contradiction.

Now suppose that $u, v$ are in different 4 -cycles of $X\left[B_{2 j+2} \cup B_{2 j+3}\right]$. By [5, Proposition 3.1], we may assume that $X\left[B_{2 j} \cup B_{2 j+1} \cup B_{2 j+2} \cup B_{2 j+3}\right]$ is the second graph in Fig. 3 In this case,

$$
E(X)=\left\{\left\{x_{2 i}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+1}^{r, t}\right\},\left\{x_{2 i+1}^{r, s}, x_{2 i+2}^{s, r}\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}_{2 p}, r, s, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right\}
$$

From Definition 7 and Theorem 9, we know that $X=V N C_{8 p}^{2}$.
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