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#### Abstract

This paper deals with the enumeration of Grand-Dyck paths according to the statistic "number of occurrences of $\tau$ " for every string $\tau$ of length 3, taking into account the number of flaws of the path. Consequently, some new refinements of the Chung-Feller theorem are obtained.


## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, a path is considered to be a lattice path on the integer plane, consisting of steps $u=(1,1)$ (called rises) and $d=(1,-1)$ (called falls). Since the sequence of steps of a path is encoded by a word in $\{u, d\}^{*}$, we will make no distinction between these two notions. The length of a path is the number of its steps.

A Grand-Dyck path is a path that starts and ends at the same height. It is convenient to consider that the starting point of a Grand-Dyck path is the origin of a pair of axes. Obviously, every Grand-Dyck path ends at a point $(0,2 n)$ where $n$ is referred to as the semilength of the path.

The set of Grand-Dyck paths of semilength $n$ is denoted by $\mathcal{G}_{n}$, and we set $\mathcal{G}=\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_{n}$, where $\mathcal{G}_{0}=\{\varepsilon\}$ and $\varepsilon$ is the empty path.

Every rise of a path $\alpha$ which lies below (resp. above) the $x$-axis is called a flaw (resp. a non-flaw) of $\alpha$. The number of flaws of $\alpha$ is denoted by $p(\alpha)$.

The set of Grand-Dyck paths of semilength $n$ having $m$ flaws is denoted $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$. In particular, we set $\mathcal{D}_{n}=\mathcal{G}_{n, 0}$ (resp. $\overline{\mathcal{D}}_{n}=\mathcal{G}_{n, n}$ ) the set of Dyck paths (resp. inverted Dyck paths). It is well known that the set $\mathcal{D}_{n}$ is counted by the Catalan number $C_{n}=\frac{1}{n+1}\binom{2 n}{n}$ (see A000108 in [22]). Furthermore, the classical Chung-Feller theorem [5,12] states that $\left|\mathcal{G}_{n, m}\right|=C_{n}$, for all $m \in[0, n]$.

Every $\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ can be uniquely decomposed in the form $a=\beta u \gamma d \delta$ where $\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}$ and $\delta \in \mathcal{G}$ (see Figure 1 i); this decomposition will be referred to as the first nonflaw decomposition and will be used in the sequel extensively. Similarly, the decomposition $\alpha=\delta d \beta u \gamma$ of $\mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ will be referred to as the last flaw decomposition (see Figure 1 ii).

A path $\tau \in\{u, d\}^{*}$, called in this context string, occurs in a path $\alpha$ if $\alpha=\beta \tau \gamma$, for some $\beta, \gamma \in\{u, d\}^{*}$. The number of occurrences of the string $\tau$ in $\alpha$, is denoted by $|\alpha|_{\tau}$. Given a string $\tau$, the symmetric string of $\tau$ with respect to a horizontal (resp. vertical) axis is denoted by $\bar{\tau}$ (resp. $\tau^{\prime}$ ).

A wide range of articles dealing with the occurrence of strings in Dyck paths appear frequently in the literature $[1-4,6-10,13-21,23]$. For the occurrences of strings in GrandDyck paths it is interesting to take also into account the number of flaws of the paths. In this direction Ma and Yeh [11] have studied the statistic "number of occurrences of $\tau$ " in Grand-Dyck paths for all strings $\tau$ of length 2. In this work, the same subject is studied for all strings $\tau$ of length 3 obtaining some new Chung-Feller type results.

For this we use the generating function

$$
F_{\tau}(x, y, z)=\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{G}} x^{|\alpha|_{\tau}} y^{p(\alpha)} z^{|\alpha|_{u}} .
$$

Clearly, using the two classical bijections of $\mathcal{G}$ according to which every $a \in \mathcal{G}$ is mapped to $\bar{\alpha}$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$, we obtain that

$$
\left[x^{k} y^{m} z^{n}\right] F_{\tau}=\left[x^{k} y^{n-m} z^{n}\right] F_{\bar{\tau}}=\left[x^{k} y^{m} z^{n}\right] F_{\tau^{\prime}}, \text { where } m \leqslant n .
$$

Thus, among the eight strings of length 3 it is enough to restrict ourselves to the following three: uuu, udu, duu.

In [11], it has been proved, both analytically and bijectively, that the number of GrandDyck paths with semilength $n$, having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string $\tau=u^{2}$, is independent of $m$, thus satisfying the Chung-Feller property. In fact, the following generalization holds.

Proposition 1.1. The number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength n, having $m$ flaws and $k_{i}$ occurrences of the string $u^{i}$, for every $i \geqslant 2$, is independent of $m$.

Although the previous proposition can be proved analytically, it is easier to be justified by using the simple mapping $\phi: \mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ with $\phi(\beta u \gamma d \delta)=\delta d \beta u \gamma$, where $\beta \in \bar{D}$, $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}$, and $\delta \in \mathcal{G}$, which is a length-preserving bijection that increases the number of flaws by one and preserves the number of occurrences of the strings $u^{i}$; (see Figure 1).

In particular, the number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength $n$, having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string $u^{3}$ is counted by the sequence A092107 in [22] and it is given by the complex formula [19]

$$
\frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{j=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-j}\binom{n+j}{n}\binom{n+1}{k-j} \sum_{i=j}^{[(n+j) / 2]}\binom{n+j+1-k}{i+1}\binom{n-i}{i-j} .
$$

In the following two sections we will study the strings $u d u$ and $d u u$.

i The first non-flaw decomposition of $\mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}}$

ii The last flaw decomposition of $\mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{D}$

Figure 1: The bijection $\phi$

## 2 The string $\tau=u d u$

Let $F(x, y, z)=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{G}} x^{|a|_{u d u}} y^{p(a)} z^{|a|_{u}}=\sum_{m \geqslant 0} f_{m}(x, z) y^{m}$, where $f_{m}(x, z)$ is the generating function of the set of Grand-Dyck paths with $m$ flaws, with respect to the number of occurrences of $\tau=u d u$ and to the semilength.

The Dyck path statistic "number of occurrences of $u d u$ " has been studied independently by Sun [23] and Merlini, Sprungoli and Verri [17] where it is stated that the corresponding generating function $f_{0}$ satisfies the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
z f_{0}^{2}(x, z)=(1-(x-1) z)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has coefficients

$$
\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{0}= \begin{cases}1, & k=n=0  \tag{2.2}\\ \binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1}, & k \in[0, n-1],\end{cases}
$$

where $M_{n}$ is the $n$-th Motzkin number (see A001006 in [22]).

## Theorem 2.1.

$$
f_{m}(x, z)=(1-(x-1) z) \sum_{n \geqslant m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1} x^{k} z^{n}, \quad m \geqslant 1 .
$$

Proof. We will first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y, z)=\frac{(1-(x-1) z) f_{0}(x, y z)}{1-(x-1) z-z f_{0}(x, y z) f_{0}(x, z)} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \bar{D}$ with $a=\beta u \gamma d \delta, \beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that

$$
|\alpha|_{\tau}=|\beta|_{\tau}+|\gamma|_{\tau}+|\delta|_{\tau}+[\gamma=\varepsilon][\delta \in \mathcal{A}]
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\{a \in \mathcal{G}: a$ starts with $u\}$, and $[P]$ is the Iverson notation: $[P]=1$ if $P$ is true and $[P]=0$ if $P$ is false.

From the previous equality, using the first non-flaw decomposition of $\mathcal{G} \backslash \bar{D}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x, y, z) \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} x^{|\beta|_{\tau}} y^{p(\beta)} z^{|\beta|_{u}}+z \sum_{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} x^{|\beta|_{\tau}} y^{p(\beta)} z^{|\beta|_{u}} \sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{D} \\
\delta \in \mathcal{G}}} x^{|\gamma|_{\tau+}|\delta|_{\tau}+[\gamma=\varepsilon][\delta \in \mathcal{A}]} y^{p(\delta)} z^{|\gamma|_{u}+|\delta|_{u}} \\
& =\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}} x^{|\beta| \bar{\tau}}(y z)^{|\beta|_{u}}+z \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{D}} x^{|\beta|_{\bar{\tau}}}(y z)^{|\beta|_{u}}\left(\sum_{\substack{\gamma \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{\varepsilon\} \\
\delta \in \mathcal{G}}} x^{|\gamma|_{\tau}+|\delta|_{\tau}} y^{p(\delta)} z^{|\gamma|_{u}+|\delta|_{u}}+\sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{G}} x^{\left.|\delta|\right|_{\tau}+[\delta \in A]} y^{p(\delta)} z^{|\delta|_{u}}\right) \\
& =f_{0}(x, y z)\left(1+z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) F(x, y, z)+z(F(x, y, z)+(x-1) A(x, y, z))\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A(x, y, z)$ is the generating function of the set $\mathcal{A}$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, z, y)=f_{0}(x, y z)\left(1+z f_{0}(x, z) F(x, y, z)+z(x-1) A(x, y, z)\right) . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written uniquely in the form $a=u \gamma d \delta$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{D}, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$, so that $|a|_{\tau}=|\gamma|_{\tau}+|\delta|_{\tau}+[\gamma=\varepsilon][\delta \in \mathcal{A}]$, giving

$$
A(x, y, z)=z\left(\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) F(x, y, z)+F(x, y, z)+(x-1) A(x, y, z)\right)
$$

Hence,

$$
A(x, y, z)=\frac{z f_{0}(x, z) F(x, y, z)}{1-(x-1) z}
$$

By substituting the previous expression of $A(x, y, z)$ in relation (2.4), we obtain the required relation (2.3).

From relation (2.3), using relation (2.1), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F(x, y, z)-f_{0}(x, z) \\
& =\frac{(1-(x-1) z)\left(f_{0}(x, y z)-f_{0}(x, z)+f_{0}(x, y z)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right)}{1-(x-1) z-z f_{0}(x, y z) f_{0}(x, z)} \\
& =\frac{\left(f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)\right)}{\left.y(1-(x-1) z)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)\right)-y(x) z f_{0}(x, z)(x)(x)(x, y z)-1\right)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) f_{0}(x, y z)+(x-(x-1) y z)\left(f_{0}(x, y z)-1\right) f_{0}(x, z)} \\
& =\frac{y(1-(x-1) z) f_{0}(x, z)\left(f_{0}(x, y z)-1\right)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)\right)}{(1-y) f_{0}(x, z)\left(f_{0}(x, y z)-1\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y, z)=f_{0}(x, z)+(1-(x-1) z)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)\right) \frac{y}{1-y} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

From relation (2.2), we have that

$$
\left[y^{m}\right]\left(f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)\right)= \begin{cases}f_{0}(x, z)-1, & m=0 \\ -\sum_{k=0}^{m-1}\binom{m-1}{k} M_{m-k-1} x^{k} z^{m}, & m \geqslant 1 .\end{cases}
$$

Hence, from relation (2.5), we obtain that, for $m \geqslant 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m}(x, z) & =(1-(x-1) z)\left[y^{m-1}\right] \frac{f_{0}(x, z)-f_{0}(x, y z)}{1-y} \\
& =(1-(x-1) z)\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1-\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1} x^{k} z^{n}\right) \\
& =(1-(x-1) z) \sum_{n \geqslant m} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}\binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1} x^{k} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 2.2. The number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength n, having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string udu is equal to

$$
\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{m}= \begin{cases}\binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1}, & m=0, n \\ \binom{n-2}{k}\left(M_{n-k-1}+M_{n-k-2}\right), & m \in[1, n-1] .\end{cases}
$$

Proof. By Theorem 2.1, for $m \in[1, n-1]$, we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{m} } & =\binom{n-1}{k} M_{n-k-1}-\binom{n-2}{k-1} M_{n-k-1}+\binom{n-2}{k} M_{n-k-2} \\
& =\binom{n-2}{k} M_{n-k-1}+\binom{n-2}{k} M_{n-k-2} \\
& =\binom{n-2}{k}\left(M_{n-k-1}+M_{n-k-2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The cases where $m=0, n$ are obvious and are omitted.
Corollary 2.3. The number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength $n$, having $k$ occurrences of the string udu is equal to

$$
\binom{n-1}{k}\left((n-k+1) M_{n-k-1}+(n-k-1) M_{n-k-2}\right) .
$$

For further information concerning the double sequence described in the previous corollary, see A097692 in [22].
Remark. Corollary 2.2 is a Chung-Feller type theorem, since it shows that the number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength $n$ having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string $u d u$ is independent of $m$, for $m \in[1, n-1]$.

We end this section by giving a combinatorial proof of this result. For this purpose it is enough to construct a bijection from $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$ to $\mathcal{G}_{n, m+1}$ which preserves the number of occurrences of the string $u d u$, for every $m \in[1, n-2]$.

We observe that every $a \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ (resp. $a \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ ) can be written uniquely in the form $\alpha=\beta_{1} u \gamma_{1} d \beta_{2} \delta \gamma_{2}$ (resp. $\alpha=\beta_{1} \delta \gamma_{1} d \beta_{2} u \gamma_{2}$ ) where $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2} \in \mathcal{D}, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\delta=\varepsilon$ or $\delta$ starts and ends with $u$.

The mapping $\psi: \mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \backslash \mathcal{D}$ with

$$
\psi\left(\beta_{1} u \gamma_{1} d \beta_{2} \delta \gamma_{2}\right)=\beta_{1} \delta \gamma_{1} d \beta_{2} u \gamma_{2}
$$

(see Figure 2) is a length-preserving bijection that increases the number of flaws by one.


Figure 2: The bijection $\psi$
Furthermore, $|\psi(\alpha)|_{u d u}=|\alpha|_{u d u}$ for every $\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \overline{\mathcal{D}}$ with $1 \leqslant p(\alpha) \leqslant|a|_{u}-2$.
Indeed, every occurrence of $\tau$ in $\alpha$ (resp. in $\psi(\alpha)$ ) that does not lie entirely in one of $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}$ exists if and only if $\gamma_{1}=\beta_{2}=\varepsilon$ and $\delta \gamma_{2} \neq \varepsilon$ (resp. $\gamma_{1}=\beta_{2}=\varepsilon$ and $\beta_{1} \delta \neq \varepsilon$ ).

Then, if $\delta \neq \varepsilon$, the required equality is obviously true. On the other hand, if $\delta=\varepsilon$, since $|\alpha|_{u}-p(\alpha) \geqslant 2$ (resp. $p(\alpha) \geqslant 1$ ), it follows that, if $\gamma_{1}=\varepsilon$ (resp. $\beta_{2}=\varepsilon$ ), then $\gamma_{2} \neq \varepsilon$ (resp. $\beta_{1} \neq \varepsilon$ ). Hence, the required equality holds in this case, too.

Thus, the restriction of $\psi$ on the set $\mathcal{G}_{n, m}$ gives the required bijection.

## 3 The string $\tau=d u u$

Let $F(x, y, z)=\sum_{a \in \mathcal{G}} x^{|a|_{d u u}} y^{p(a)} z^{|a|_{u}}=\sum_{m \geqslant 0} f_{m}(x, z) y^{m}$, where $f_{m}(x, z)$ is the generating function of the set of Grand-Dyck paths with $m$ flaws, with respect to the number of occurrences of $\tau=d u u$ and to the semilength.

Let $g_{0}(x, z)$ be the generating function of $\mathcal{D}$ with respect to the number of occurrences of $\bar{\tau}=u d d$ and to the semilength. The Dyck path statistics "number of occurrences of $d u u$ " and "number of occurences of $u d d$ " have been studied by Deutsch [9] and Sapounakis, Tasoulas, Tsikouras [19] respectively, where it is stated that the corresponding generating functions $f_{0}, g_{0}$ satisfy the equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x z f_{0}^{2}(x, z)-(1+2(x-1) z) f_{0}(x, z)+1+(x-1) z=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
z(1+(x-1) z) g_{0}^{2}(x, z)-g_{0}(x, z)+1=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with coefficients

$$
a_{n, k}=\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{0}= \begin{cases}1, & k=n=0  \tag{3.3}\\ 2^{n-2 k-1} C_{k}\binom{n-1}{2 k}, & n \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
b_{n, k}=\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] g_{0}= \begin{cases}1, & k=0  \tag{3.4}\\ \frac{1}{n+1}\binom{n+1}{k} \sum_{j=2 k}^{n}\binom{j-k-1}{k-1}\binom{n+1-k}{n-j}, & k \geqslant 1 .\end{cases}
$$

From relations (3.1), (3.2) it follows that

$$
f_{0}(x, z)=\frac{1+2(x-1) z-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2 x z} \text { and } g_{0}(x, z)=\frac{1-\sqrt{\Delta}}{2 z(1+(x-1) z)},
$$

where $\Delta=1-4 z-4 z^{2}(x-1)$.
From the previous two equalities it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{0}(x, z)=\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right) g_{0}(x, z)=\frac{1}{1-z g_{0}(x, z)} . \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Theorem 3.1.

$$
f_{m}(x, z)=\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right) \sum_{n \geqslant m} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} b_{n, k} x^{k} z^{n}, \quad m \geqslant 0 .
$$

Proof. We will first show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y, z)=\frac{g_{0}(x, y z)}{1-z(1+(x-1) y z) g_{0}(x, z) g_{0}(x, y z)} . \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{G} \backslash \bar{D}$ with $a=\beta u \gamma d \delta, \beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}, \gamma \in \mathcal{D}, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$ we have that

$$
|\alpha|_{\tau}=|\beta|_{\tau}+|\gamma|_{\tau}+|\delta|_{\tau}+[\beta \text { ends with } d u]+[\delta \in \mathcal{A}]
$$

where $\mathcal{A}=\left\{a \in \mathcal{G}: a\right.$ starts with $\left.u^{2}\right\}$.
From the previous equality, using the first non-flaw decomposition of $\mathcal{G} \backslash \bar{D}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, y, z)= & \sum_{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} x^{|\beta|_{\tau}} y^{p(\beta)} z^{|\beta|_{u}} \\
& +z \sum_{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}}} x^{|\beta|_{\tau}+[\beta \text { ends with } d u]} y^{p(\beta)} z^{|\beta|_{u}} \sum_{\gamma \in \mathcal{D}} x^{|\gamma|_{\tau}} z^{|\gamma|_{u}} \sum_{\delta \in \mathcal{G}} x^{|\delta|_{\tau}+[\delta \in \mathcal{A}]} y^{p(\delta)} z^{|\delta|_{u}} \\
= & g_{0}(x, y z)+z\left(g_{0}(x, y z)+(x-1) \sum_{\substack{\beta \in \overline{\mathcal{D}} \\
\beta \text { ends with } d u}} x^{|\beta|_{\tau}}(y z)^{|\beta|_{u}}\right) f_{0}(x, z)(F(x, y, z) \\
& \quad+(x-1) A(x, y, z)) \quad
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A(x, y, z)$ is the generating function of the set $\mathcal{A}$.
It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x, y, z)=g_{0}(x, y z)\left(1+z(1+(x-1) y z) f_{0}(x, z)(F(x, y, z)+(x-1) A(x, y, z))\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Every $a \in \mathcal{A}$ can be written uniquely in the form $a=u \gamma d \delta$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{D} \backslash\{\varepsilon\}, \delta \in \mathcal{G}$, so that $|a|_{\tau}=|\gamma|_{\tau}+|\delta|_{\tau}+[\delta \in \mathcal{A}]$, giving

$$
A(x, y, z)=z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)(F(x, y, z)+(x-1) A(x, y, z)) .
$$

Hence,

$$
A(x, y, z)=\frac{z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) F(x, y, z)}{1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)} .
$$

By substituting the previous expression of $A(x, y, z)$ in relation (3.7), and using relation (3.5), we obtain the required relation (3.6).

From relation (3.6), and using relations (3.2) and (3.5), we have that

$$
\begin{aligned}
F(x, y, z) & =\frac{g_{0}(x, y z)\left(g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)\right)}{g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)-z(1+(x-1) y z) g_{0}^{2}(x, z) g_{0}(x, y z)+g_{0}(x, z)\left(g_{0}(x, y z)-1\right)} \\
& =\frac{g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)}{g_{0}(x, z)-z g_{0}^{2}(x, z)-y\left(1+(x-1) z^{2} g_{0}^{2}(x, z)\right)} \\
& =\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right) \frac{g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)}{1-y} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since

$$
\left[y^{m}\right]\left(g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)\right)= \begin{cases}g_{0}(x, z), & m=0 \\ -\sum_{k \geqslant 0} b_{m-1, k} x^{k} z^{m-1}, & m \geqslant 1\end{cases}
$$

it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
f_{m}(x, z) & =\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right)\left[y^{m}\right]\left(\frac{g_{0}(x, z)-y g_{0}(x, y z)}{1-y}\right) \\
& =\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right)\left(g_{0}(x, z)-\sum_{n=1}^{m} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} b_{n-1, k} x^{k} z^{n-1}\right) \\
& =\left(1-(x-1) z\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right)\right) \sum_{n \geqslant m} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} b_{n, k} x^{k} z^{n} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.2. The number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength n, having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string duu is equal to

$$
\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{m}=b_{n, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{i, j}\left(b_{n-i-1, k-j}-b_{n-i-1, k-j-1}\right) .
$$

Proof. We first observe that

$$
\left(f_{0}(x, z)-1\right) \sum_{n \geqslant m} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} b_{n, k} x^{k} z^{n}=\sum_{n \geqslant m+1} \sum_{k \geqslant 0} \sum_{j=1}^{n-m} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{i, j} b_{n-i, k-j} x^{k} z^{n}
$$

and hence, by Theorem 3.1 it follows that

$$
\left[x^{k} z^{n}\right] f_{m}=b_{n, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{i, j}\left(b_{n-i-1, k-j}-b_{n-i-1, k-j-1}\right) .
$$

Remark From the previous corollary, it follows that the number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength $n$ having $m$ flaws and $k$ occurrences of the string $\tau=u d d$ is equal to

$$
b_{n, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{i, j}\left(b_{n-i-1, k-j}-b_{n-i-1, k-j-1}\right) .
$$

Corollary 3.3. The number of Grand-Dyck paths with semilength $n$, having $k$ occurrences of the string duu is equal to

$$
(n+1) b_{n, k}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(n-i) \sum_{j=0}^{k} a_{i, j}\left(b_{n-i-1, k-j}-b_{n-i-1, k-j-1}\right) .
$$

For further information concerning the double sequence described in the previous corollary, see A051288 in [22].
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