Crossings and nestings in colored set partitions

Eric Marberg

Department of Mathematics Stanford University California, U.S.A.

emarberg@stanford.edu

Submitted: Feb 27, 2013; Accepted: Oct 11, 2013; Published: Oct 21, 2013 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A18, 05A19, 05A16

Abstract

Chen, Deng, Du, Stanley, and Yan introduced the notion of k-crossings and k-nestings for set partitions, and proved that the sizes of the largest k-crossings and k-nestings in the partitions of an n-set possess a symmetric joint distribution. This work considers a generalization of these results to set partitions whose arcs are labeled by an r-element set (which we call r-colored set partitions). In this context, a k-crossing or k-nesting is a sequence of arcs, all with the same color, which form a k-crossing or k-nesting in the usual sense. After showing that the sizes of the largest crossings and nestings in colored set partitions likewise have a symmetric joint distribution, we consider several related enumeration problems. We prove that r-colored set partitions with no crossing arcs of the same color are in bijection with certain paths in \mathbb{N}^r , generalizing the correspondence between noncrossing (uncolored) set partitions and 2-Motzkin paths. Combining this with recent work of Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna affords a proof that the sequence counting noncrossing 2-colored set partitions is P-recursive. We also discuss how our methods extend to several variations of colored set partitions with analogous notions of crossings and nestings.

1 Introduction and statement of results

1.1 Introduction

A partition of a set S is a set of disjoint nonempty sets (here called *blocks*) whose union is S. Given a partition P of the set $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, we write $\operatorname{Arc}(P)$ for the set of pairs of integers (i, j) which occur in the same block of P such that j is the least element of the block greater than *i*. One often depicts set partitions by drawing the graph whose vertex set is [n] and whose edge set is Arc(P); e.g.,

$$P = \{\{1, 3, 4, 7\}, \{2, 6\}, \{5\}\} \text{ is represented by } \underbrace{\bullet}_{1 \ 2 \ 3 \ 4 \ 5 \ 6 \ 7}$$

Call this graph the standard representation of P.

Such pictures motivate the following terminology, introduced in [10]. A *k*-crossing or *k*-nesting of a set partition P is a sequence of arcs $\{(i_t, j_t)\}_{t \in [k]} \subseteq \operatorname{Arc}(P)$ satisfying respectively

$$i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$$
 or $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k < j_k < \dots < j_2 < j_1$. (1)

In the standard representation of a set partition, k-crossings and k-nestings appear as follows:



A partition P of [n] with no k-crossing (respectively, k-nesting) is k-noncrossing (respectively, k-nonnesting), and following [10] we let cr(P) and ne(P) denote the largest integers k such that P has a k-crossing or k-nesting, respectively.

It is well-known that the noncrossing (i.e., 2-noncrossing) partitions of [n] and the nonnesting (i.e., 2-nonnesting) partitions of [n] are both counted by the Catalan numbers $C_n := \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. In [10], Chen et al. generalized this fact considerably, proving the following; here min(P) and max(P) denote the sets of minimum and maximum elements of the blocks of a set partition P.

Theorem 1.1. Fix a positive integer n and subsets $S, T \subseteq [n]$. The statistics cr(P) and ne(P) have a symmetric joint distribution over all partitions P of [n] with min(P) = S and max(P) = T.

In other words, the number of partitions of [n] which are *j*-noncrossing and *k*nonnesting is equal to the number of those which are *k*-noncrossing and *j*-nonnesting [10, Theorem 1.1]. The results of [10] have been reinterpreted and extended in a number of ways; we mention without hope of being comprehensive the papers [5, 6, 8, 12, 17, 18, 20, 21, 33, 34, 37]. Most recently, Chen and Guo [7] have generalized the equidistribution of crossings and nestings to colored complete matchings. This paper begins with some enumerative problems which one encounters on extending Chen and Guo's findings to all colored set partitions.

If r is a fixed positive integer, then an r-colored partition of [n] is a pair $\Lambda = (P, \varphi)$, consisting of a partition P of [n] together with a map $\varphi : \operatorname{Arc}(P) \to [r]$ labeling its arcs. This fairly natural set partition analogue has appeared most prominently in recent years in the study of the representation theory of the group of unipotent upper triangular $n \times n$ matrices over a finite field; see [35] for a concise overview of this connection. On the other hand, this notion of a colored set partition dates at least back to [32], where it is studied by Rogers under the name of a "colored rhyming scheme." We also mention that the polynomials counting the *r*-colored partitions of [n] (a variant of the Touchard polynomials) define several sequences noted in [2].

Following [7], we say that an r-colored set partition $\Lambda = (P, \varphi)$ has a k-crossing (respectively, k-nesting) if P has a k-crossing (respectively, k-nesting) involving arcs all of the same color with respect to φ . Define cr(Λ) and ne(Λ) as the maximum integers k such that Λ has a k-crossing or k-nesting, respectively. As in the uncolored case we say that Λ of [n] is k-noncrossing if cr(Λ) < k and k-nonnesting if ne(Λ) < k.

It follows as a straightforward corollary of the results in [10] that the joint distribution of the numbers $cr(\Lambda)$ and $ne(\Lambda)$ over *r*-colored set partitions is also symmetric. We present the derivation of this result here in the form of two short lemmas. First, we note that an *r*-colored set partition may be viewed as an *r*-tuple of uncolored set partitions satisfying a certain condition:

Lemma 1.2. Given an r-colored partition Λ of [n], let Λ_t for each $t \in [r]$ be the uncolored partition of [n] for which $\operatorname{Arc}(\Lambda_t)$ is the set of t-colored arcs of Λ . The map

$$\Lambda \mapsto (\Lambda_1, \Lambda_2, \ldots, \Lambda_r)$$

is then a bijection from r-colored partitions of [n] to r-tuples (P_1, \ldots, P_r) of uncolored partitions of [n] with the property that $\min(P_i) \cup \min(P_j) = \max(P_i) \cup \max(P_j) = [n]$ for any distinct $i, j \in [r]$.

Proof. It is easy to see that *r*-colored set partitions are in bijection with *r*-tuples of set partitions whose arc sets have pairwise disjoint left/right endpoints. The lemma follows as the sets of right and left endpoints of the arcs of a set partition P are respectively $[n] \setminus \min(P)$ and $[n] \setminus \max(P)$.

Next, we state a general corollary of Theorem 1.1. Here we let $\mathcal{P}([n])$ denote the set of all subsets of [n] and write Π_n for the set of uncolored partitions of [n].

Lemma 1.3. Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a set with an injective map $\phi : \mathfrak{X} \to (\Pi_n)^r$. Let f be any function with domain \mathbb{N}^r and define for $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ with $\phi(x) = (P_1, \ldots, P_r) \in (\Pi_n)^r$

 $\operatorname{cr}_{\phi,f}(x) := f(\operatorname{cr}(P_1), \dots, \operatorname{cr}(P_r)) \quad and \quad \operatorname{ne}_{\phi,f}(x) := f(\operatorname{ne}(P_1), \dots, \operatorname{ne}(P_r)).$

If the image of \mathfrak{X} under ϕ is equal to the inverse image in $(\Pi_n)^r$ of some subset of $(\mathcal{P}([n]) \times \mathcal{P}([n]))^r$ under the map $(P_t)_{t \in [r]} \mapsto (\min(P_t), \max(P_t))_{t \in [r]}$, then the statistics $\operatorname{cr}_{\phi,f}(x)$ and $\operatorname{ne}_{\phi,f}(x)$ for $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ possess a symmetric joint distribution.

Proof. Theorem 1.1 shows that there exists an involution of $(\Pi_n)^r$ interchanging the crossing and nesting numbers of an *r*-tuple (P_1, \ldots, P_r) . The lemma follows since our condition on ϕ ensures that \mathfrak{X} may be identified with a subset of $(\Pi_n)^r$ which is invariant under this involution.

The point of these lemmas is the following extension of [10, Theorem 1.1]. Here, given integers j, k and subsets $S, T \subseteq [n]$, we write $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r)$ for the number of r-colored partitions $\Lambda = (P, \varphi)$ of [n] with $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda) < j$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda) < k$ and $\min(\Lambda) = S$ and $\max(\Lambda) = T$, where we define $\min(\Lambda) := \min(P)$ and $\max(\Lambda) := \max(P)$.

Theorem 1.4. $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r) = \operatorname{NCN}_{k,j}^{S,T}(n,r)$ for all integers j,k and subsets $S,T \subseteq [n]$.

Proof. In the notation of Lemma 1.2, we have $\min(\Lambda) = \bigcap_{t \in [r]} \min(\Lambda_t)$ and $\max(\Lambda) = \bigcap_{t \in [r]} \max(\Lambda_t)$. Hence, the theorem follows by applying Lemma 1.3 with ϕ the map in Lemma 1.2 and $f(x_1, \ldots, x_r) := \max\{x_1, \ldots, x_r\}$. In particular, the image of ϕ on r-colored partitions Λ with $\min(\Lambda) = S$ and $\max(\Lambda) = T$ is completely characterized by conditions involving only $\min(\cdot)$ and $\max(\cdot)$.

Let $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r)$ denote the number of all *r*-colored *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting partitions of [n]. Summing the previous result over all $S, T \subseteq [n]$ gives the following generalization of [10, Corollaries 1.2 and 1.3]. Here we also write $\operatorname{NC}_k(n,r)$ and $\operatorname{NN}_k(n,r)$ for the number of *r*-colored partitions of [n] which are respectively *k*-noncrossing and *k*-nonnesting.

Corollary 1.5. $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r) = \operatorname{NCN}_{k,j}(n,r)$ and $\operatorname{NC}_k(n,r) = \operatorname{NN}_k(n,r)$ for all j, k, n, r.

We are thus left with this motivating question: what are the numbers which appear on either side of the equalities in this corollary? There is an established industry (see, e.g., [3, 19, 24, 25]) dedicated to producing formulas and generating functions for NCN_{j,k}(n, 1) and NC_k(n, 1) and their variants. From among a plenitude of interesting facts, we mention that {NCN_{2,2}(n, 1)}_{n=0}^{∞} gives the sequence odd-indexed Fibonacci numbers [27, A001519] while {NC₂(n, 1)}_{n=0}^{∞} gives the sequence of Catalan numbers [27, A000108]. Less is known about these numbers for values of $r \neq 1$, and this work represents an attempt to begin filling this gap in our understanding.

1.2 Results

Our main results appear in Sections 3, 4, and 5, and are summarized as follows. In Section 3 we adapt the results of [10] to describe a correspondence between *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting *r*-colored set partitions and walks on a certain multigraph (see Theorem 3.5). Using this we are able to show that for each fixed j, k, r, the ordinary generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} \text{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r)x^n$ is a rational power series (see Corollary 4.1). Moreover, define

$$C_n(r) := \mathrm{NC}_2(n, r) = \mathrm{NN}_2(n, r)$$

as the number of r-colored noncrossing (or nonnesting) partitions of [n], so that $C_n(1) = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n}$. After Theorem 3.5 below, we prove the following result, which one can view as a generalization of the well-known fact that the Catalan number C_n is equal to the number of 2-Motzkin paths of length n-1. Note here that \mathbb{N}^r denotes the set of vectors in \mathbb{R}^r with nonnegative integer coordinates.

Theorem 1.6. Fix positive integers n and r and let e_i denote the *i*th unit coordinate vector in \mathbb{R}^r . Then $C_n(r)$ is equal to the number of (n-1)-step walks in \mathbb{N}^r from the origin to itself using the $(r+1)^2$ steps given by $\pm e_i$ for $i \in [r]$ (contributing 2r steps), $e_i - e_j$ for $i \neq j$ (contributing $r^2 - r$ steps), and r + 1 distinct zero steps.

Using this theorem, we can prove a more explicit result concerning the enumeration of noncrossing 2-colored set partitions. The sequence of values of $C_n(2)$ begins as follows (and appears now as [27, A216947]):

 $\{C_n(2)\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = (1, 1, 3, 11, 47, 225, 1173, 6529, 38265, 233795, \ldots).$

While these numbers seem not to have a simple closed formula, we can at least establish the following statement. There is an interesting parallel between this result and [3, Proposition 1], which asserts something similar for the number of 3-noncrossing (uncolored) partitions of [n].

Theorem 1.7. If n is a positive integer then $C_n(2)$ is equal to the constant coefficient of

$$\left(1 - x^2y^{-1} + x^3 - x^2y^2 + y^3 - x^{-1}y^2\right)\left(3 + x + y + x^{-1} + y^{-1} + xy^{-1} + x^{-1}y\right)^{n-1},$$

and the following polynomial recurrence holds for all nonnegative integers n:

$$9n(n+3) \cdot C_n(2) - 2(5n^2 + 26n + 30) \cdot C_{n+1}(2) + (n+4)(n+5) \cdot C_{n+2}(2) = 0.$$

Thus the generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n(2)x^n$ is D-finite.

Our proof of this result appears at the end of Section 4, and combines work of Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna on walks in the quarter plane [4] with Zeilberger's algorithm for creative telescoping [26, Chapter 6].

Remark 1.8. Standard techniques [36] for determining the asymptotics of solutions to linear recurrence equations with polynomial coefficients show that

$$C_n(2) \sim \kappa \cdot 9^n / n^4$$
 as $n \to \infty$

for some positive real constant κ . (We used Zeilberger's MAPLE package ASYREC [38] to derive this growth rate automatically.) Empirical estimates (using the AsyC command in [38]) indicate that $\kappa = \frac{3^5}{2^4} \frac{\sqrt{3}}{\pi}$, an equality one can establish rigorously using arguments similar to those in [3, §2.6]. Interestingly, these results show precisely that $C_n(2) \sim 3v_n$ as $n \to \infty$, where v_n is the number of 1234-avoiding permutations of [n] [27, A005802].

Of course the generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n(1)x^n$ is also D-finite, since the Catalan numbers satisfy the polynomial recurrence $2(2n+1)C_n = (n+2)C_{n+1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. One naturally asks whether $\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n(r)x^n$ is likewise D-finite for any integers r > 2. Empirical evidence suggests a negative answer to this question, at least for r = 3. One can efficiently compute values of $C_n(r)$ using Proposition 1.6 with a standard lattice path counting algorithm (which stores intermediate data to avoid repetitious calculations). In this way we have computed $C_n(3)$ for $n \leq 200$, which gives enough values to detect a polynomial recurrence of order ≤ 12 with coefficients of degree ≤ 12 ; however, no such recurrence exists.

This mirrors the situation for k-noncrossing (uncolored) partitions of [n]. In [3], Bousquet-Mélou and Xin prove that the generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{NC}_3(n, 1)x^n$ is D-finite, but identify several reasons (see [3, §4]) why it seems improbable that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{NC}_k(n, 1)x^n$ is D-finite for any integers $k \geq 4$. Following their lead, we make this conjecture:

Conjecture 1.9. The generating function $\sum_{n \ge 0} C_n(r) x^n$ is not D-finite for integers $r \ge 3$.

Several variations of the results in [10] have appeared for other objects besides set partitions for which natural concepts of crossings and nestings exists (see, e.g., [6, 7, 8, 12, 20, 21, 37]). In Section 5, we briefly survey several colored variations of these results. Our main finding is that many colored objects—such as matchings [7], permutations [6, 37], and tangled diagrams [8]—can be realized as colored set partitions Λ satisfying certain conditions on min(Λ) and max(Λ); see Propositions 5.6, 5.12, and 5.17. The techniques we used to prove Theorem 1.4 can therefore also be used to easily derive the symmetric joint distribution of crossing and nesting numbers in these cases. Using this idea we are able to generalize and simplify the proofs of some related results on crossings and nestings in the literature.

Acknowledgements

I am grateful to Cyril Banderier, Joel Brewster Lewis, Alejandro Morales, Alexander Postnikov, Steven V Sam, and Richard P. Stanley for helpful discussions and suggestions.

2 Preliminaries

Here we briefly recollect some of the main results and notation from [10], to be adapted to colored set partitions in the next section. Throughout, we let \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{P} denote the sets of nonnegative and positive integers. For us, a *partition* of an integer n is a weakly decreasing sequence of positive integers $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_\ell)$ with $|\lambda| := \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \lambda_i = n$. Define λ_i to be 0 for all $i \in \mathbb{P}$ exceeding ℓ ; the Young diagram of λ is then the set $\{(i, j) \in \mathbb{P} \times \mathbb{P} : j \leq \lambda_i\}$, which we represent as a left-justified array of boxes with λ_i boxes in row i, as in the following example:

$$\lambda = (4, 2, 1)$$
 has Young diagram

To "add a box" to a partition λ means to produce a partition μ whose Young diagram is obtained by adding a single box to that of λ . Deletion of boxes is defined similarly. Let \mathbb{Y} denote the set of partitions of nonnegative integers; this set is partially ordered by inclusion of Young diagrams.

In the spirit of [10], we adopt the following terminology:

Definition 2.1. A semi-oscillating tableau (of length n) is a sequence of partitions $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ with $\lambda^0 = \lambda^n = \emptyset$ such that λ^i is obtained from λ^{i-1} for each $i \in [n]$ by either adding a box, deleting a box, or doing nothing (so that $\lambda^i = \lambda^{i-1}$).

For example, $(\emptyset, \neg, \exists, \exists, \Box, \neg, \neg, \emptyset)$ is a semi-oscillating tableau of length n = 8. Remark 2.2. Here we insist on the convention $\lambda^n = \emptyset$; note however that the definitions in [10] do not make this requirement when defining various kinds of analogous tableaux.

For us, a matching is a set partition whose blocks each have either one or two elements. (Sometimes this term refers to set partitions whose blocks all have size two, which we refer to as complete matchings.) Given a matching M of [n], let a_j for $j \in [n]$ be the unique number such that $\{j, a_j\}$ is a block of M. (Note it is possible to have $a_j = j$.) Define π_M^j for $0 \leq j \leq n$ as the subsequence of $a_1 a_2 \cdots a_j$ with all letters $\leq j$ removed, and let λ_M^j be the integer partition which is the common shape of the pair of SYT's assigned to π_M^j by the RSK correspondence, as defined in [29, §7.11].

Example 2.3. For the matching

we have

$$\begin{split} \{\pi_M^j\}_{0\leqslant j\leqslant 8} &= (\emptyset, 8, 85, 85, 856, 86, 8, 8, \emptyset)\\ \{\lambda_M^j\}_{0\leqslant j\leqslant 8} &= (\varnothing, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \square, \emptyset) \,. \end{split}$$

The following simple statement includes several main results in [10] specialized to the case of matchings. We will generalize this theorem to colored set partitions by a sequence of brief lemmas, and so have sketched a proof to make everything done here more self-contained.

Theorem 2.4 (See Chen *et al.* [10]). The map $M \mapsto (\lambda_M^0, \lambda_M^1, \ldots, \lambda_M^n)$ defines a bijection from the set of matchings of [n] to the set of semi-oscillating tableaux of length n, such that:

(a) $\operatorname{cr}(M)$ is the maximum number of columns occurring in any of the partitions λ_M^i .

- (b) ne(M) is the maximum number of rows occurring in any of the partitions λ_M^i .
- (c) min(M) is the set of $i \in [n]$ with $\lambda_M^{i-1} \subseteq \lambda_M^i$.
- (d) max(M) is the set of $i \in [n]$ with $\lambda_M^{i-1} \supseteq \lambda_M^i$.

Proof Sketch. The given map is a bijection by arguments similar to (and easier than) the proofs of [10, Theorems 2.4 and 3.2]. Properties (c) and (d) are immediate, while properties (a) and (b) hold because M has a k-crossing (respectively, a k-nesting) if and only if some sequence π_M^j has an increasing (respectively, a decreasing) subsequence of length k, which occurs, by Schensted's theorem [30], if and only if the partition λ_M^j has k columns (respectively, k rows).

The preceding theorem extends from matchings to arbitrary set partitions by the following.

Lemma 2.5. The map sending a partition P of [n] to the unique matching M of [2n] with

$$Arc(M) = \{(2i, 2j - 1) : (i, j) \in Arc(P)\}\$$

is a bijection from the set of partitions of [n] to the set of matchings M of [2n] such that $2i \in \min(M)$ and $2i - 1 \in \max(M)$ for all $i \in [n]$. Furthermore, $\operatorname{cr}(P) = \operatorname{cr}(M)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(P) = \operatorname{ne}(M)$.

Proof Sketch. The lemma is intuitively clear since our map is defined by applying the local rules



to the standard representation of a set partition P of [n]. The details are left to the reader.

Composing the maps in the preceding theorem and lemma gives a bijection from set partitions of [n] to semi-oscillating tableaux $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$ which are *vacillating* in the following sense.

Definition 2.6. A vacillating tableau is a semi-oscillating tableau $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ which has $\lambda^{i-1} \subseteq \lambda^i$ when *i* is even and $\lambda^{i-1} \supseteq \lambda^i$ when *i* is odd.

A vacillating tableau with length n = 11 is $(\emptyset, \emptyset, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \Box, \emptyset)$. The following theorem from [10] is immediate from combining Theorem 2.4 and Lemma 2.5.

Theorem 2.7 (See Chen *et al.* [10]). There is a bijection from the set of *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting partitions *P* of [*n*] to the set of vacillating tableaux $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$ for which every λ^i has fewer than *j* columns and fewer than *k* rows. Further, this bijection is such that $i \in \min(P)$ if and only if $\lambda^{2i-2} = \lambda^{2i-1}$ and $i \in \max(P)$ if and only if $\lambda^{2i-1} = \lambda^{2i}$.

Theorem 1.1 follows as a corollary of this result on noting that the component-wise transpose of integer partitions defines an involution of the set of vacillating tableaux, which interchanges the maximum numbers of rows and columns and which preserves the indices where $\lambda^{i-1} = \lambda^i$.

3 Colored set partitions and *r*-partite tableaux

We now describe one generalization of the results in the previous section which will prove useful in enumerating certain classes of colored set partitions. (Chen and Guo investigate another generalization in [7]; the relationship between our statements and those in Chen and Guo's work will be discussed in Section 5.1.) To begin we note the following definition:

Definition 3.1. Let r be a positive integer. An r-partite partition of n is a sequence $\lambda = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^r)$ of integer partitions such that $\sum_{i=1}^r |\lambda^i| = n$.

Remark 3.2. These sequences provide a common indexing set for the conjugacy classes and irreducible characters of the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_n$ of a cyclic group by a symmetric group; see for example [1, Section 2]. We will typically use boldface symbols to indicate *r*-partite partitions.

The Young diagram of an *r*-partite partition is just the sequence of Young diagrams of its components, and with respect to this convention, the addition and deletion of boxes is defined exactly as for ordinary integer partitions. We therefore define *semi-oscillating* and *vacillating r-partite tableaux* exactly as in Definitions 2.1 and 2.6, only now as sequences of *r*-partite partitions instead of integer partitions. Let us define also an *oscillating r-partite tableaux* to be a semi-oscillating tableaux $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ such that $\lambda^{i-1} \neq \lambda^i$ for all *i*.

We now have this statement extending Theorem 2.7.

Theorem 3.3. For any positive integers n and r, there are bijections

r-colored partitions of $[n]$	\leftrightarrow	vacillating r-partite tableaux of length $2n$
r-colored matchings of $[n]$	\leftrightarrow	semi-oscillating r-partite tableaux of length n
r-colored complete matchings of $[2n]$	\leftrightarrow	oscillating r-partite tableaux of length $2n$.

With respect to each bijection, if $\Lambda \mapsto (\lambda^0, \lambda^1, ...)$ then $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda) < j$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda) < k$ if and only if the r components of each λ^i all have fewer than j columns and fewer than k rows.

Proof. Fix an *r*-colored set partition Λ of [n]. If we first apply the map in Lemma 1.2 to split Λ into *r* uncolored set partitions $\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_r$, and then apply to each of these components the map in Theorem 2.7, we obtain a matrix of integer partitions $(\lambda^{i,t})$, where $i = 0, 1, \ldots, 2n$ and $t = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, such that

- (i) each column $(\lambda^{0,t}, \lambda^{1,t}, \dots, \lambda^{2n,t})$ is a vacillating tableaux;
- (ii) in each row at most one $\lambda^{i,t}$ for $t \in [r]$ differs from its predecessor $\lambda^{i-1,t}$.

Indeed, since $(\Lambda_1, \ldots, \Lambda_r)$ if an arbitrary *r*-tuple of uncolored set partitions such that for any distinct $t, t' \in [r]$ each number $i \in [n]$ belongs to $\min(\Lambda_t)$ or $\min(\Lambda_{t'})$ and also to $\max(\Lambda_t)$ or $\max(\Lambda_{t'})$, it follows from Theorem 2.7 that for each $i \in [2n]$ either $\lambda^{i-1,t} = \lambda^{i,t}$ or $\lambda^{i-1,t'} = \lambda^{i,t'}$. Thus at most one of $\lambda^{i,t}$ or $\lambda^{i,t'}$ can differ from $\lambda^{i-1,t}$ or $\lambda^{i-1,t'}$. The matrix $(\lambda^{i,t})$ consequently represents the same data as the vacillating *r*-partite tableaux $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$ where $\lambda^i := (\lambda^{i,1}, \ldots, \lambda^{i,r})$, and the correspondence

$$\Lambda \mapsto (\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2n})$$

gives the first bijection in the theorem. It is clear from Theorem 2.7 that $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda) < j$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda) < k$ if and only if the *r* components of each λ^i all have fewer than *j* columns and fewer than *k* rows. Moreover, it follows that $i \in \min(\Lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda^{2i-2} = \lambda^{2i-1}$ and $i \in \max(\Lambda)$ if and only if $\lambda^{2i-1} = \lambda^{2i}$.

This last property implies that *r*-colored matchings of [n] (i.e., set partitions Λ with $\min(\Lambda) \cup \max(\Lambda) = [n]$) are in bijection with vacillating *r*-partite tableaux $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$ such that $\lambda^{2i-2} = \lambda^{2i-1}$ or $\lambda^{2i-1} = \lambda^{2i}$ for each $i \in [n]$. We obtain the second bijection in the theorem by noting that such tableaux are in bijection with semi-oscillating *r*-partite tableaux of length *n* via the map $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n}) \mapsto (\lambda^0, \lambda^2, \lambda^4, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$.

The construction of last bijection follows similarly on noting that *r*-colored complete matchings of [2n] are in bijection with vacillating *r*-partite tableaux $(\boldsymbol{\lambda}^0, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^1, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{4n})$ such that exactly one of the equalities $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2i-2} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2i-1}$ or $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2i-1} = \boldsymbol{\lambda}^{2i}$ holds for each $i \in [2n]$. \Box

Tracing through the details of the preceding discussion affords an explicit description of the bijections in Theorem 3.3. One way of stating this (for colored set partitions) goes as follows. Fix an *r*-colored partition Λ of [n], and for each $t \in [r]$ and $k \in [2n]$, let

$$a_k^t = \begin{cases} j & \text{if } k = 2i \text{ is even and } (i, j, t) \in \operatorname{Arc}(\Lambda) \text{ for some } j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Define $\pi_{\Lambda}^{k,t}$ as the subsequence of $a_1^t a_2^t \cdots a_k^t$ with all letters $\leq \frac{k+1}{2}$ (and in particular all zeros) removed, so that $\pi_{\Lambda}^{0,t} = \pi_{\Lambda}^{2n,t} = \emptyset$ where \emptyset denotes the empty sequence. Let $\lambda_{\Lambda}^k = (\lambda_{\Lambda}^{k,1}, \lambda_{\Lambda}^{k,2}, \dots, \lambda_{\Lambda}^{k,r})$ be the *r*-partite partition whose t^{th} component is the common shape $\lambda_{\Lambda}^{k,t}$ of the pair of SYT's assigned to $\pi_{\Lambda}^{k,t}$ by the RSK correspondence. It is a straightforward exercise to check that the rule

$$\Lambda \mapsto \left(\boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\Lambda}^{0}, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\Lambda}^{1}, \dots, \boldsymbol{\lambda}_{\Lambda}^{2n}\right)$$

$$\tag{2}$$

coincides with the composition of the maps in Lemma 1.2, Theorem 2.4, and Lemma 2.5 and so has the properties described in Theorem 3.3.

Example 3.4. For the 2-colored (2-noncrossing 3-nonnesting) partition

the sequences $\pi^{k,t}_{\Lambda}$ and $\boldsymbol{\lambda}^k_{\Lambda} = (\lambda^{k,1}_{\Lambda}, \lambda^{k,2}_{\Lambda})$ are given by

The electronic journal of combinatorics 20(4) (2013), #P6

k	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16
$\lfloor \frac{k+1}{2} \rfloor$	0	1	1	2	2	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8
$\pi^{k,1}_{\Lambda} \pi^{k,2}_{\Lambda}$	Ø Ø	Ø Ø	$\overset{4}{\emptyset}$	$\overset{4}{\emptyset}$	4 6	4 6	4 6	\emptyset 6	\emptyset 65	Ø 6	8 6	8 Ø	87 Ø	8 Ø	8 Ø	Ø Ø	Ø Ø
$\lambda^{k,1}_{\Lambda}$	Ø	Ø						Ø	Ø	Ø			Η			Ø	Ø
$\lambda^{k,2}_{\Lambda}$	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø					Η			Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø	Ø

We may prove the rationality of some power series by translating Theorem 3.3 into a statement concerning a bijection between colored set partitions and walks on a certain multigraph (i.e., an undirected graph with multiple edges and loops allowed). Fix positive integers j, k, r. Since only the trivial partition of [n] into n blocks is 1-noncrossing or 1nonnesting, let us assume $j, k \ge 2$. Now let $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ denote the multigraph whose vertices consist of all $r \times (k-1)$ integer matrices $A = (A_{i\ell})$ with

$$j > A_{i,1} \ge A_{i,2} \ge \cdots \ge A_{i,k-1} \ge 0$$
 for each $i \in [r]$,

and which has e(A, A') undirected edges connecting any matrices A and A', where

- e(A, A') = 1 if $A A' = \pm E_{i\ell}$ or $A A' = E_{i\ell} E_{i'\ell'}$ for some $(i, \ell) \neq (i', \ell')$, where $E_{i\ell}$ denotes the $r \times (k-1)$ matrix with 1 in position (i, ℓ) and 0 in all other positions.
- $e(A, A') = 1 + d_1 + d_2 + \dots + d_r$ if A = A', where d_i is the number of distinct entries in the *i*th row of A which are less than j 1;
- e(A, A') = 0 in all other cases.

The following statement generalizes [10, Theorem 3.6].

Theorem 3.5. For any positive integers j, k, r, n with $j, k \ge 2$, the number $\text{NCN}_{j,k}(n, r)$ of *r*-colored *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting partitions of [n] is equal to the number of (n-1)-step walks on the multigraph $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ which begin and end at the zero matrix.

Proof. First, observe that we can identify the set of *r*-partite partitions $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda^1, \lambda^2, \dots, \lambda^r)$ involving only integer partitions with fewer than *j* columns and fewer than *k* rows with the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ by viewing $\boldsymbol{\lambda}$ as the $r \times (k-1)$ integer matrix whose *i*th row list the parts of λ^i , possibly extended by zeros.

Now, given a vacillating *r*-partite tableau $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^{2n})$ for which each λ^i is a vertex of $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$, consider the sequence of *n* vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ given by $\lambda^1, \lambda^3, \lambda^5, \ldots, \lambda^{2n-1}$. Since *n* is positive this sequence begins and ends at the *r*-partite empty shape, and for each $i \in [n-1], \lambda^{2i+1}$ is obtained from λ^{2i-1} by either (1) adding one box, (2) deleting one box, (3) adding one box then deleting one box, or (4) doing nothing. Cases (1) and (2) correspond in $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ to adding or subtracting an elementary matrix, and if $\lambda^{2i+1} \neq \lambda^{2i-1}$ then case (3) corresponds in $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ to adding the difference of two distinct elementary matrices. Thus if $\lambda^{2i+1} \neq \lambda^{2i-1}$ then there is exactly one edge between the pair of associated vertices in $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$, and it follows by the definition of a vacillating tableaux that λ^{2i} is uniquely determined. On the other hand, the number of ways in which one could add a box to λ^{2i-1} without introducing a *j*th column or *k*th row and then delete the same box to obtain $\lambda^{2i+1} = \lambda^{2i-1}$ is precisely the sum of the numbers of distinct entries less than k-1 in the rows of the $r \times (k-1)$ matrix associated to λ^{2i-1} . It follows that if $\lambda^{2i+1} = \lambda^{2i-1}$ then the number of allowable choices for λ^{2i} is the number of self-loops at the associated vertex in $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$, which suffices to complete the proof of the theorem.

Example 3.6. The multigraphs $\mathcal{G}_{j,k,r}$ for $(j,k,r) \in \{(2,2,1), (2,2,2)\}$ are shown below, with the vertex corresponding to the zero matrix marked by \bullet :



We may explain these pictures by noting that the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{2,2,1}$ are the matrices $A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and $A' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$ and we have e(A, A) = 2 and e(A, A') = e(A', A') = 1. Similarly, the vertices of $\mathcal{G}_{2,2,2}$ are the matrices

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad B'' = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{and} \quad B''' = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

One checks that e(B, B) = 3 and e(B', B') = e(B''', B''') = 2 and e(B'', B'') = 1, and that all pairs of distinct matrices are adjacent except B and B''.

We now have a short proof of Theorem 1.6 from the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.6. Fix n. For sufficiently large j, we have $C_n(r) = \operatorname{NCN}_{j,2}(n, r)$ and we may identify the (n-1)-step paths in $\mathcal{G}_{j,2,r}$ from the zero matrix to itself with the (n-1)-step paths in \mathbb{N}^r from the origin to itself using the following $(r+1)^2$ steps: $\pm e_i$ for $i \in [r]$ (contributing 2r steps), or $e_i - e_j$ for $i \neq j$ (contributing $r^2 - r$ steps), or r+1distinct zero steps. The number of such paths is therefore equal to $C_n(r)$ by Theorem 3.5.

4 Enumerating noncrossing colored set partitions

We now prove a few enumerative results concerning the numbers $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r)$ (as defined before Theorem 1.4), in particular Theorem 1.7 from the introduction. To begin, recall the following standard terminology. Let \mathbb{K} be a field of characteristic zero. A formal power series $y \in \mathbb{K}[[x]]$ is

- rational if there are polynomials $P, Q \in \mathbb{K}[x]$ with $Q(0) \neq 0$ such that $y = PQ^{-1}$ in $\mathbb{K}[[x]]$;
- algebraic if the powers $1, y, y^2, \ldots$ span a finite-dimensional subspace of the set of formal Laurent series $\mathbb{K}((x))$, viewed as a vector space over the field of rational functions $\mathbb{K}(x)$;
- *D*-finite if the derivatives y, y', y'', \ldots span a finite-dimensional subspace of $\mathbb{K}((x))$ over $\mathbb{K}(x)$.

A rational power series is algebraic and an algebraic power series is D-finite, and each class of power series forms a K-subalgebra of K[[x]]. It is useful to note that $y = \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n x^n$ is D-finite if and only if the sequence of coefficients $\{a_n\}$ is *P*-recursive, meaning that there exist finitely many polynomials $P_0(x), \ldots, P_d(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x]$, with $P_d(x)$ not identically zero, such that

$$P_d(n)a_{n+d} + P_{d-1}(n)a_{n+d-1} + \dots + P_0(n)a_n = 0,$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

See [28, Chapters 4] and [29, Chapter 6] as well as [22, 23] for more extensive properties of these power series.

Since $\text{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r)$ counts the number of walks of a given length in some graph by Theorem 3.5, the transfer matrix method (see [28, §4.7]) provides an explicit formula for its generating function, and in particular we have this corollary:

Corollary 4.1. For any $j, k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ the formal power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n, r) x^n$ is rational.

Example 4.2. Using [28, Theorem 4.7.2] to compute the generating functions for the number of n-step walks on the graphs in Example 3.6, we obtain

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{2,2}(n) x^n = \frac{1 - 2x}{1 - 3x + x^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{2,2}(n, 2) x^n = \frac{1 - 6x + 7x^2}{1 - 7x + 11x^2 - x^3}.$$

Notably, one can derive from this that the number of partitions of [n] which are both noncrossing and nonnesting is $\operatorname{NCN}_{2,2}(n) = f_{2n-1}$ for all n > 0, where $\{f_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty} = (0, 1, 1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, \ldots)$ is the sequence of Fibonacci numbers. The sequence $\{\operatorname{NCN}_{2,2}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ appears as [27, A001519]. More generally, Mansour and Severini have computed an explicit formula for the ordinary generating function of $\{\operatorname{NCN}_{2,k}(n)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ for any $k \ge 2$ [24, Theorem 1.1]; it would be interesting to see this result extended to describe the ordinary generating function of $\{\operatorname{NCN}_{2,k}(n,r)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$.

We might as well also note here another basic property of the numbers $\text{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r)$, which follows directly from the definitions of *j*-noncrossing and *k*-nonnesting.

Proposition 4.3. If j, k, n are fixed positive integers and $S, T \subset [n]$, then $\text{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r)$ is a polynomial in r with integer coefficients.

Proof. Fix a partition P of [n] and let $V_{i,k}(P)$ be the union of the sets

 ${j-\text{subsets of Arc}(P) \text{ which form a } j-\text{crossing}}$

and

 $\{k$ -subsets of $\operatorname{Arc}(P)$ which form a k-nesting $\}$.

For each subset $S \subset V_{j,k}(P)$, define $\mathcal{G}(S)$ as the graph with vertex set S which has an edge from $s \in S$ to $s' \in S$ if and only if $s \neq s'$ and $s \cap s' \neq \emptyset$. Now let e(S) be the number of connected components of $\mathcal{G}(S)$ minus $|\bigcup S|$, the number of distinct arcs occurring in elements of S. By the inclusion-exclusion principle, the number of r-colorings of P which have no j-crossings or k-nestings involving arcs all of the same color is the following sum over all subsets S of $V_{j,k}(P)$:

$$\sum_{S \subset V_{j,k}(P)} (-1)^{|S|} r^{|\operatorname{Arc}(P)| + e(S)}.$$

In particular, this follows since $r^{|\operatorname{Arc}(P)|+e(S)}$ is precisely the number of *r*-colorings of *P* which assign the same color to every arc in each of the *j*-crossing or *k*-nesting sets $s \in S$. Summing this polynomial expression over all $P \in \prod_n$ with $\min(P) = S$ and $\max(P) = T$ gives $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r)$.

Summing the polynomials $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{S,T}(n,r)$ over all $S,T \subset [n]$ gives this corollary.

Corollary 4.4. When $j, k \ge 2$, the quantities $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n,r) = \operatorname{NCN}_{k,j}(n,r)$ and $\operatorname{NC}_k(n,r) = \operatorname{NN}_k(n,r)$ are monic polynomials in r of degree n-1 with integer coefficients.

Proof. The unique partition of [n] with one block and n-1 arcs contributes the leading term of r^{n-1} to each of these polynomials when $j, k \ge 2$.

The corollary requires $j, k \ge 2$ because if k = 1 (or j = 1) then $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n, r) = \operatorname{NC}_k(n, r) = 1$ as both polynomials count only the unique partition of [n] with n blocks and no arcs.

Example 4.5. As in the introduction let $C_n(r) := \operatorname{NC}_2(n, r)$ be the number of *r*-colored noncrossing partitions of [n]. By the corollary this is a polynomial in *r* of degree n-1. The proof of Proposition 4.3 outlines an algorithm for computing these polynomials, which we have employed to calculate $C_n(r)$ for $n \leq 8$:

 $C_{1}(r) = 1$ $C_{2}(r) = 1 + r$ $C_{3}(r) = 1 + 3r + r^{2}$ $C_{4}(r) = 1 + 5r + 7r^{2} + r^{3}$ $C_{5}(r) = 1 + 6r + 19r^{2} + 15r^{3} + r^{4}$ $C_{6}(r) = 1 + 10r + 22r^{2} + 67r^{3} + 31r^{4} + r^{5}$ $C_{7}(r) = 1 + 12r + 56r^{2} + 67r^{3} + 229r^{4} + 63r^{5} + r^{6}$ $C_{8}(r) = 1 - 24r + 176r^{2} + 159r^{3} + 225r^{4} + 765r^{5} + 127r^{6} + r^{7}.$

The pattern displayed by first seven lines of this computation ends at n = 8, where we see that the coefficients of $C_n(r)$ can be both positive and negative integers.

Before continuing we note the following lemma, which will allow us to prove by hand that the generating function $\sum_{n\geq 0} C_n(2)x^n$ in Theorem 1.7 is D-finite. This statement is a direct consequence of the main result of [22], but we have included a proof for completeness.

Lemma 4.6. Assume \mathbb{K} is a field of characteristic zero and let $S(x) \in \mathbb{K}[x_1^{\pm 1}, x_2^{\pm 1}, \ldots, x_k^{\pm 1}]$ be a Laurent polynomial in k indeterminates. Fix $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define $a_n \in \mathbb{K}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ as the coefficient of $x_1^{e_1} x_2^{e_2} \cdots x_k^{e_k}$ in $S(x)^n$. Then the power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} a_n t^n \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ is D-finite.

Remark 4.7. If k = 1 then $\sum_{n \ge 0} a_n t^n$ is in fact algebraic by [29, Exercise 6.10].

Proof. Fix $e = (e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and define a_n as in the statement of the lemma. Since a_n is unchanged if we simultaneously replace e_i by $-e_i$ and $S(x_1, \ldots, x_i, \ldots, x_n)$ by $S(x_1, \ldots, x_i^{-1}, \ldots, x_n)$, it is no loss of generality to assume $-e \in \mathbb{N}^k$.

Adopt the notation $x^n := x_1^{n_1} x_2^{n_2} \cdots x_k^{n_k}$ for $n = (n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$ and identify \mathbb{Z} with the diagonal subset of elements $(d, d, \dots, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^k$. Also, write $q_n(j)$ for the coefficient of x^j in $S(x)^n$ for $j \in \mathbb{Z}^k$, so that $q_n(e) = a_n$.

Choose an integer $d \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $x^d S(x)$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{K}[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k]$, and note that we then have $q_n(j - dn) = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $j \in \mathbb{Z}^k \setminus \mathbb{N}^k$. Since $-e \in \mathbb{N}^k$, so that $e + j \in \mathbb{N}^k$ only if $j \in \mathbb{N}^k$, the following identity of formal power series in $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, t]]$ thus holds:

$$\sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}^k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n (e+j-dn) x^j t^{dn} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} x^{dn-e} S(x)^n t^{dn} = \frac{x^{-e}}{1 - x^d t^d S(x)}.$$

In particular, the leftmost power series in $\mathbb{K}[[x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_k, t]]$ is rational, and hence D-finite in the sense of [22]. Observe, however, that the diagonal of this power series is precisely $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q_n(e)t^{dn} = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_n t^{dn} \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$. [22, Theorem 1] asserts that this power series in one variable is D-finite. This suffices to prove the lemma, finally, because a power series $F(t) \in \mathbb{K}[[t]]$ is clearly P-recursive (and hence D-finite) if $F(t^d)$ is P-recursive for some positive integer d.

We conclude with the proof of Theorem 1.7 from the introduction.

Proof of Theorem 1.7. Let $w_n(i,j)$ denote the number of all *n*-step walks in \mathbb{Z}^2 from (0,0) to (i,j) using the six steps $\pm(1,0), \pm(0,1), \pm(1,-1)$, and let $q_n(i,j)$ denote the number of such walks which remain in the quarter plane \mathbb{N}^2 . It follows by Theorem 1.6 that $C_{n+1}(2) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} {n \choose k} 3^{n-k} q_k(0,0)$, and thus the first assertion in the proposition is equivalent to the claim that

$$q_n(0,0)$$
 is the constant term of $\left(1 - x^2 y^{-1} + x^3 - x^2 y^2 + y^3 - x^{-1} y^2\right) S(x,y)^n$, (3)

where S(x, y) is the Laurent polynomial $x + y + x^{-1} + y^{-1} + xy^{-1} + x^{-1}y$. This fact happens to follow directly from [4, Proposition 10], which asserts more strongly that $q_n(i, j)$ is equal to the coefficient of $x^{-i}y^{-j}$ in the right expression in (3) for any $i, j, n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Bousquet-Mélou and Mishna prove [4, Proposition 10] using the kernel method, a general purpose algebraic argument. Alternatively, however, one can establish just (3) directly from a "generalized reflection principle" due to Gessel and Zeilberger [14], in the following way. Observe that (3) is equivalent to the identity

$$q_n(0,0) = w_n(0,0) - w_n(-2,1) + w_n(-3,0) - w_n(-2,-2) + w_n(0,-3) - w_n(1,-2).$$
(4)

We will realize this as a special case of [14, Theorem 1]. Let $R = \{\pm (e_i - e_j) \in \mathbb{R}^3 : 1 \leq i < j \leq 3\}$ be the root system of type A_2 (inheriting the standard inner product (\cdot, \cdot) on \mathbb{R}^3), where e_i denotes the *i*th unit coordinate vector, and fix a set of simple roots $\Delta = \{\alpha, \beta\}$, for example with $\alpha = e_1 - e_2$ and $\beta = e_2 - e_3$. Define *L* as the lattice $\mathbb{Z}\alpha \oplus \mathbb{Z}\beta$ and let *S* be the set of six steps

$$S = \{ \pm (\alpha + \gamma), \pm (\beta + \gamma), \pm (\alpha - \beta) \} \quad \text{where } \gamma := \alpha + \beta.$$

The Weyl group $W \cong S_3$ of R preserves both L and S. Furthermore, one can show that $w_n(i, j)$ is the number of all *n*-step walks in L from 3γ to $3\gamma + i(\alpha + \gamma) + j(\beta + \gamma)$ using the steps in S, and that $q_n(i, j)$ is the number of such walks which stay inside the fundamental Weyl chamber $C := \{x \in L : (x, \alpha) > 0 \text{ and } (x, \beta) > 0\}$. (These statements become clear if one draws α and β as vectors in the plane they span and then works out the subsets of \mathbb{R}^2 corresponding to L, S, and C; see Figure 1.) Now, for these particular choices of R, Δ, L, S , the hypotheses of [14, Theorem 1] hold and that theorem (with $a = b = 3\gamma$) asserts precisely the identity (4). For a more detailed discussion of this sort of argument, see also Grabiner and Magyar's paper [15].

It follows from (3) and Lemma 4.6 that the ordinary generating function of $\{q_n(0,0)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ is D-finite, so the exponential generating function $Q(x) := \sum_{n \ge 0} q_n(0,0) \frac{x^n}{n!}$ is D-finite also (as a result of the the equivalence between D-finiteness and P-recursiveness). Let $F(x) = \sum_{n\ge 0} C_n(2) \frac{x^n}{n!}$. The formula $C_{n+1}(2) = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} 3^{n-k} q_k(0,0)$ shows that $\frac{d}{dx} F(x) = e^{3x} Q(x)$, so since e^{3x} is D-finite it follows that the derivative $\frac{d}{dx} F(x)$ is D-finite whence F(x) is also D-finite. The ordinary generating function $\sum_{n\ge 0} C_n(2)x^n$, finally, is therefore D-finite too.

To derive the particular polynomial recurrence given in the proposition, we resort to computer methods. Our argument resembles the one employed by Bousquet-Mélou and Xin to prove [3, Proposition 1]. As a preliminary, observe that

$$S(x,y) + 2 = y^{-1}(1+x)\left(1 + x^{-1}(1+x)y + x^{-1}y^2\right)$$

and hence, writing CT(f(x, y)) for the constant term of a polynomial f(x, y), we can directly compute (by considering first the contribution of the $x^{-1}y^2$ term, then the $x^{-1}(1+$



Figure 1: Comparing walks in C and in \mathbb{N}^2 . On the left, the \bullet 's are the lattice points in the intersection C of L and the fundamental Weyl chamber of R; the solid lines form the boundary of the fundamental chamber; and the dashed arrows, all emanating from the point 3γ , are the six steps in S. On the right, the \bullet 's are the integer lattice points in \mathbb{N}^2 ; the solid lines are x = -1 and y = -1; and the dashed lines, all emanating from the origin, are the six steps $\pm(1,0), \pm(0,1), \pm(1,-1)$. It is intuitively clear that "compressing" and "dilating" these pictures defines a bijection between the walks in C using the steps on the left and the walks in \mathbb{N}^2 using the steps on the right.

x)y term, then the remaining terms involving only x) that

$$\operatorname{CT}\left(x^{i}y^{j}(S(x,y)+2)^{n}\right) = \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{n}{k} \operatorname{CT}\left(x^{i-k}y^{j+2k-n}\cdot(1+x)^{n}\cdot\left(1+x^{-1}(1+x)y\right)^{n-k}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{n-j-2k} \operatorname{CT}\left(x^{i+j+k-n}\cdot(1+x)^{2n-j-2k}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{k\in\mathbb{Z}} \binom{n}{k} \binom{n-k}{n-j-2k} \binom{2n-j-2k}{n-i-j-k}.$$
(5)

Let b_n denote the constant term of $(1 - x^2y^{-1} + x^3 - x^2y^2 + y^3 - x^{-1}y^2) (S(x, y) + 2)^n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and note that $C_{n+1}(2) = \sum_{k=0}^n {n \choose k} b_k$. Equation (5) allows us to write b_n as a sum of expressions given by fractions of factorials, to which we can apply Zeilberger's algorithm for creative telescoping [26, Chapter 6]. In particular, using the MAPLE package EKHAD [39], we obtain the following polynomial recurrence for the numbers b_n :

$$(n+5)(n+6)b_{n+2} = 8(n+2)(n+1)b_n + (7n^2 + 49n + 82)b_{n+1},$$
 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $B(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} b_n \frac{x^n}{n!} \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$ so that $e^x B(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} C_{n+1}(2) \frac{x^n}{n!}$. It is a routine exercise

The electronic journal of combinatorics $\mathbf{20(4)}$ (2013), #P6

to check that the preceding recurrence is equivalent to the statement that the formal power series B(x) lies in the kernel of the differential operator

$$\left(\frac{d^4}{dx^4} - 7\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - 8\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)x^2 + \left(4\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - 14\frac{d^2}{dx^2}\right)x + \left(6\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 12\frac{d}{dx}\right).$$

Since $(\frac{d}{dx}-1)e^x A(x) = e^x \frac{d}{dx}A(x)$ for any power series $A(x) \in \mathbb{Q}[[x]]$, it follows that $e^x B(x)$ lies in the kernel of the differential operator

$$\left(\frac{d^4}{dx^4} - 11\frac{d^3}{dx^3} + 19\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 9\frac{d}{dx}\right)x^2 + \left(4\frac{d^3}{dx^3} - 26\frac{d^2}{dx^2} + 40\frac{d}{dx} - 18\right)x + \left(6\frac{d^2}{dx^2} - 24\frac{d}{dx} + 18\right),$$

which in turn implies that the following recurrence holds for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$:

$$0 = 9n(n+3)C_n(2) - (96 + 97n + 19n^2)C_{n+1}(2) + (142 + 81n + 11n^2)C_{n+2}(2) - (n+5)(n+6)C_{n+3}(2).$$

This recurrence, with the initial conditions $C_0(2) = C_1(2) = 1$ and $C_2(2) = 3$, uniquely determines the sequence $\{C_n(2)\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$, and it is easy to check that the unique sequence with the same initial conditions satisfying the three-term polynomial recurrence in the proposition statement also satisfies this four-term recurrence.

5 Some extensions

In this final section we discuss a few variations of set partitions with natural notions of crossings, nestings, and colorings. Our discussion here is partially expository, surveying results from [6, 7, 8, 9, 37]. In each case our noncrossing and nonnesting colored objects are in bijection with certain classes of noncrossing and nonnesting colored set partitions. On noting these bijections, we are often able to derive the symmetric joint distribution of crossing and nesting numbers by applying the following variant of Lemma 1.3. (Here, as in the introduction, we let $\mathcal{P}([n])$ denote the set of all subsets of [n]. We also write $\Pi_{n,r}$ for the set of *r*-colored partitions of [n].)

Lemma 5.1. Suppose \mathfrak{X} is a set with an injective map $\phi : \mathfrak{X} \to \prod_{n,r}$. If the image of \mathfrak{X} under ϕ is equal to the inverse image in $\prod_{n,r}$ of some subset of $\mathcal{P}([n]) \times \mathcal{P}([n])$ under the map $\Lambda \mapsto (\min(\Lambda), \max(\Lambda))$, then the statistics $\operatorname{cr}(\phi(x))$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\phi(x))$ for $x \in \mathfrak{X}$ possess a symmetric joint distribution.

Proof. Our argument is almost identical to the short proof of Lemma 1.3. Theorem 1.1 shows that there exists an involution of $\Pi_{n,r}$ interchanging all crossing and nesting numbers, and our condition on ϕ ensures that \mathfrak{X} may be identified with a subset of $\Pi_{n,r}$ which is invariant under this involution. The lemma therefore follows.

5.1 Matchings

A colored set partition Λ of [n] is a matching if and only if $\min(\Lambda) \cup \max(\Lambda) = [n]$ (and a complete matching if and only if furthermore $\min(\Lambda) \cap \max(\Lambda) = \emptyset$). Thus, it is immediate from Lemma 5.1, taking ϕ to be the natural inclusion map, that the statistics $\operatorname{cr}(M)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(M)$ have a symmetric joint distribution over *r*-colored matchings (respectively, complete matchings) M of [n]. This result is due originally to Chen and Guo [7], who derive it in a considerably different way, by generalizing Theorem 2.4 to the colored matchings using *r*-rim hook tableaux in place of *r*-partite tableaux. We briefly review their methods here and explain how we may recover the main results in [7] from what is done here by applying a theorem of Fomin and Stanton [13].

To this end, recall that if $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ are integer partitions then the skew shape λ/μ is an *r*-rim hook if the skew diagram of λ/μ consists of r contiguous squares located on distinct diagonals. Let RH_r denote the set of integer partitions λ for which there exists a sequence of partitions

$$\varnothing = \lambda^0 \subset \lambda^1 \subset \cdots \subset \lambda^k = \lambda$$

such that λ^i/λ^{i-1} is an *r*-rim hook for each $i \in [k]$. The set RH_r , with respect to the partial order in which λ covers μ if and only if $\mu \subseteq \lambda$ and λ/μ is an *r*-rim hook, is called the *r*-rim hook lattice.

Chen and Guo [7] define an oscillating r-rim hook tableau as a sequence $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ of integer partitions $\lambda^i \in RH_r$ such that $\lambda^0 = \lambda^n = \emptyset$ and either λ^i covers λ^{i-1} or λ^{i-1} covers λ^i in RH_r for each $i \in [n]$. Let us define a semi-oscillating r-rim hook tableau as a sequence satisfying the same conditions except that it is also allowed that $\lambda^{i-1} = \lambda^i$, and in turn, we define a vacillating r-rim hook tableau as a semi-oscillating r-rim hook tableau $(\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$ with $\lambda^{i-1} \subseteq \lambda^i$ when i is even and $\lambda^{i-1} \supseteq \lambda^i$ when i is odd. For example,

is a vacillating 2-rim hook tableau of length n = 11. These objects are in natural bijection with oscillating, semi-oscillating, and vacillating *r*-partite tableaux by the following theorem of Fomin and Stanton. Here, we view the cartesian product of *r* copies of the Young lattice \mathbb{Y}^r as the lattice of *r*-partite partitions in which λ covers μ if and only if λ is obtained from μ by adding one square.

Theorem 5.2 (Fomin and Stanton [13]). There is a lattice isomorphism $RH_r \cong \mathbb{Y}^r$ such that if $\mu \in RH_r$ has k rows and ℓ columns and $\lambda \in \mathbb{Y}^r$ is the associated r-partite partition, then the maximum numbers of rows and columns in the components of λ are $\lceil \frac{k}{r} \rceil$ and $\lceil \frac{\ell}{r} \rceil$, respectively.

Proof. Fomin and Stanton describe a lattice isomorphism $\mathbb{Y}^r \cong RH_r$ in the proof of [13, Theorem 1.2]. Given an integer partition λ , let $f_{\lambda} : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be the function whose value at $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ is the number of squares in the Young diagram of λ on the *i*th diagonal. If $\boldsymbol{\lambda} = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r) \in \mathbb{Y}^r$ and $\mu \in RH_r$ is the corresponding partition under Fomin and Stanton's isomorphism, then $f_{\mu}(i) = \sum_{k=1}^r f_{\lambda_k} \left(\left\lfloor \frac{i+(k-1)}{r} \right\rfloor \right)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ [13, Definition 2.4].

Noting this formula, the second assertion in the theorem follows from that fact that the number of rows (respectively, columns) in a nonempty partition λ is the maximum value of *i* for which $f_{\lambda}(-i+1)$ (respectively, $f_{\lambda}(i-1)$) is nonzero.

Given a semi-oscillating r-rim hook tableau T, let row(T) and col(T) denote the respective maximum number of rows and columns in any partition in RH_r occurring in T. The following corollary slightly generalizes [7, Theorem 3.1], which Chen and Guo prove by explicitly describing a bijection from r-colored complete matchings to oscillating r-rim hook tableaux in terms of Stanton and White's Schensted algorithm for rim hook tableaux [31].

Corollary 5.3. For any positive integers n and r, there are bijections

 $\begin{array}{rcl} r\text{-colored partitions of } [n] &\leftrightarrow vacillating r\text{-rim hook tableaux of length } 2n\\ r\text{-colored matchings of } [n] &\leftrightarrow semi\text{-}oscillating r\text{-rim hook tableaux of length } n\\ r\text{-colored complete matchings of } [2n] &\leftrightarrow oscillating r\text{-rim hook tableaux of length } 2n \end{array}$

and with respect to each bijection, if $\Lambda \mapsto T$ then $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda) = \lceil \operatorname{col}(T)/r \rceil$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda) = \lceil \operatorname{row}(T)/r \rceil$.

Proof. Compose the maps in Theorem 3.3 with the bijection between r-partite tableaux and r-rim hook tableaux afforded by Theorem 5.2.

Let $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Matching}(n,r)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{NC}_{k}^{Matching}(n,r)$) denote the number of *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting (respectively, *k*-noncrossing) *r*-colored tangled diagrams on [n]. The following corollary follows by arguments similar to the proofs of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 4.1, which we have left to the reader.

Corollary 5.4. For all $j, k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ the formal power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Matching}(n, r) x^n$ is rational.

In turn we have this corollary:

Corollary 5.5 (See Chen and Guo [7]). For all $k, r \in \mathbb{P}$, $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NC}_{k}^{Matching}(n, r) x^{n}$ is *D*-finite.

Proof. Let a_n denote the number of r-colored complete matchings of [n], so that $a_n = 0$ whenever n is odd. Chen and Guo [7, Corollary 3.3] show that the exponential generating function $\sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ is given by the rth power of the determinant of a matrix whose entries are linear combinations of hyperbolic Bessel functions of the first kind $I_n(2x) := \sum_{i \ge 0} \frac{x^{n+2i}}{i!(n+i)!}$. As $I_n(2x)$ is D-finite and D-finite power series form a ring, $\sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$ is D-finite. It follows that

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NC}_k^{Matching}(n, r) \frac{x^n}{n!} = e^x \cdot \sum_{n \ge 0} a_n \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

is D-finite, so $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NC}_k^{Matching}(n, r) x^n$ is also D-finite.

5.2 Enhanced set partitions

In this section we examine a colored version of the enhanced crossing and nesting statistics considered in [10, Section 4]. Given a partition P of [n], let

$$\overline{\operatorname{Arc}}(P) = \operatorname{Arc}(P) \cup \{(i,i) : i \in \min(P) \cap \max(P)\}$$

denote the set of enhanced arcs of P. In turn, define an *r*-colored enhanced partition of $[\underline{n}]$ to be a pair $\overline{\Lambda} = (P, \varphi)$ consisting of a partition P of $[\underline{n}]$ together with a map $\varphi : \overline{\operatorname{Arc}}(P) \to [r].$

The standard representation of an enhanced colored partition is drawn exactly as for uncolored set partitions, except that we include loops at isolated points (that is, elements of $\min(P) \cap \max(P)$) and label all arcs as in the following example:



Let $\min(\overline{\Lambda}) = \min(P)$ and $\max(\overline{\Lambda}) = \max(P)$ and say that $\overline{\Lambda}$ has an *enhanced k-crossing* or *enhanced k-nesting* if there is a sequence of k enhanced arcs $\{(i_t, j_t)\}_{t \in [k]} \subset \overline{\operatorname{Arc}}(P)$, all labeled with the same color by φ , satisfying respectively

$$i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq j_1 < j_2 < \dots < j_k$$
 or $i_1 < i_2 < \dots < i_k \leq j_k < \dots < j_2 < j_1$. (6)

Define $\operatorname{cr}(\overline{\Lambda})$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\overline{\Lambda})$ as the largest integers k such that $\overline{\Lambda}$ has an enhanced k-crossing or enhanced k-nesting, respectively. Observe that 1-colored enhanced set partitions represent the same data as uncolored set partitions; in the case r = 1, the enhanced crossing and nesting statistics given here coincide with those defined for (uncolored, unenhanced) set partitions in [10].

We now have this variant of Lemma 2.5:

Proposition 5.6. Given an r-colored enhanced partition $\overline{\Lambda} = (P, \varphi)$, define $M = (P', \varphi')$ as the r-colored matching of [2n] such that

• Arc(P') = $\left\{ (2i-1,2j) : (i,j) \in \overline{\operatorname{Arc}}(P) \right\};$

•
$$\varphi'(2i-1,2j) = \varphi(i,j)$$
 for $(i,j) \in \operatorname{Arc}(P)$.

The map $\overline{\Lambda} \mapsto M$ is then a bijection from r-colored enhanced partitions of [n] to r-colored partitions M of [2n] such that $2i - 1 \in \min(M)$ and $2i \in \max(M)$ for all $i \in [n]$. Furthermore $\operatorname{cr}(\overline{\Lambda}) = \operatorname{cr}(M)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\overline{\Lambda}) = \operatorname{ne}(M)$. *Proof Sketch.* Like Lemma 2.5, this result is intuitively clear since our map defines M as the colored matching whose standard representation is formed by applying the local rules



to the standard representation of $\overline{\Lambda}$. The details are left to the reader.

The next theorem generalizes [10, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 5.7. Let $S, T \subset [n]$. The enhanced crossing and nesting numbers $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\overline{\Lambda})$ have a symmetric joint distribution over all r-colored enhanced partitions $\overline{\Lambda}$ of [n] with $\min(\overline{\Lambda}) \setminus \max(\overline{\Lambda}) = S$ and $\max(\overline{\Lambda}) \setminus \min(\overline{\Lambda}) = T$.

Proof. Define ϕ as the map in Proposition 5.6. One checks that if $\overline{\Lambda}$ has $\min(\overline{\Lambda}) \setminus \max(\overline{\Lambda}) = S$ and $\max(\overline{\Lambda}) \setminus \min(\overline{\Lambda}) = T$ then $M = \phi(\overline{\Lambda})$ has $\min(M) = \{ \text{odd } i \in [2n] \} \cup \{2i : i \in S \}$ and $\max(M) = \{ \text{even } i \in [2n] \} \cup \{2i - 1 : i \in T \}$, so we may invoke Lemma 5.1. \Box

While on this topic we mention a result obtained in a similar way which does not seem to be noted in the literature. Here, we let $\overline{\mathrm{NCN}}_{j,k}(n,r) = \overline{\mathrm{NCN}}_{k,j}(n,r)$ denote the number of r-colored enhanced partitions $\overline{\Lambda}$ of [n] with $\mathrm{cr}(\overline{\Lambda}) < j$ and $\mathrm{ne}(\overline{\Lambda}) < k$.

Proposition 5.8. For all $j, k, n, r \in \mathbb{P}$, we have $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n+1, r) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} {n \choose i} \overline{\operatorname{NCN}}_{j,k}(i, r)$.

This statement is equivalent to the identity of exponential generating functions

$$\frac{d}{dx}\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n) \frac{x^n}{n!}\right) = e^x\left(\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{\operatorname{NCN}}_{j,k}(n) \frac{x^n}{n!}\right)$$
(7)

which in the case r = 1 provides a way of deriving either of the two main propositions in Bousquet-Mélou and Xin's paper [3] from the other.

Proof. Consider, in slight contrast to Proposition 5.6, the map sending an r-colored enhanced partition $\overline{\Lambda} = (P, \varphi)$ of [n] to the unique r-colored matching $\Lambda' = (P', \varphi')$ of [2n+2] with $\operatorname{Arc}(P') = \{(2i, 2j+1) : (i, j) \in \overline{\operatorname{Arc}}(P)\}$ and $\varphi'(2i, 2j+1) = \varphi(i, j)$. One checks that this is a bijection from r-colored enhanced partitions $\overline{\Lambda}$ with $\operatorname{cr}(\overline{\Lambda}) < j$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\overline{\Lambda}) < k$ to r-colored j-noncrossing k-nonnesting matchings M of [2n+2] such that

- (i) $2i \in \min(M)$ and $2i 1 \in \max(M)$ for all $i \in [n+1]$;
- (ii) 2i and 2i + 1 are never both isolated points of M for any $i \in [n]$.

Lemma 2.5 (which is stated in terms of uncolored partitions but extends easily to the colored case) affords a bijection from *r*-colored *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting partitions of [n+1] to the set of *r*-colored *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting matchings *M* of [2n+2] satisfying only condition (i). Given this observation the proposition follows by a basic counting argument.

Before proceeding to our next topic, we observe a few corollaries of this proposition.

Corollary 5.9. For any $j, k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ the formal power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \overline{\text{NCN}}_{j,k}(n, r) x^n$ is rational.

Proof. This follows from Corollary 4.1 since the proposition shows that if

$$F(x) = \sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}(n, r) x^n$$

then $\sum_{n \ge 0} \overline{\mathrm{NCN}}_{j,k}(n,r) x^n = \frac{1}{x} \left(F(\frac{x}{x+1}) - 1 \right).$

Let $NC_k(n, r)$ and $\overline{NC}_k(n, r)$ denote the number of k-noncrossing (equivalently, k-nonnesting) r-colored partitions of [n]. The next corollary is immediate from (7):

Corollary 5.10. If either of

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NC}_k(n, r) x^n \qquad or \qquad \sum_{n \ge 0} \overline{\operatorname{NC}}_k(n, r) x^n$$

is D-finite then both formal power series are D-finite.

In particular, let $\overline{C}_n(r) = \overline{\mathrm{NC}}_2(n, r)$. By Theorem 1.7 we then have:

Corollary 5.11. The formal power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} \overline{C}_n(2)x^n$ is D-finite.

It is straightforward but not very instructive to derive an exact polynomial recurrence for $\overline{C}_n(r)$ from Theorem 1.7, and we omit these details.

5.3 Permutations

Corteel [11] first introduced crossings and nestings for permutations (i.e., bijections $[n] \rightarrow [n]$). Burill, Mishna, and Post [6] extended Corteel's notion to defines k-crossings and k-nestings in permutations, and Yen [37] has recently considered such crossings and nestings in colored permutations. In this section we connect some of the results in [37] to our methods here.

Given a permutation σ of [n]:

- Let A_{σ}^+ denote the set $\{(i, \sigma(i)) : i \in [n] \text{ such that } i \leq \sigma(i)\}.$
- Let A_{σ}^{-} denote the set $\{(\sigma(i), i) : i \in [n] \text{ such that } \sigma(i) < i\}$.

Following Yen, an *r*-colored permutation of [n] is a triple $\Sigma = (\sigma, \varphi^+, \varphi^-)$ where σ is a permutation of [n] and φ^{\pm} are maps $A_{\sigma}^{\pm} \rightarrow [r]$. The standard representation of such a triple is given by drawing n dots \bullet in increasing order on a horizontal line, with the arcs A_{σ}^+ and A_{σ}^- labeled by φ^{\pm} drawn in the upper and lower half plane respectively to connect the corresponding dots (counted as $1, 2, \ldots, n$ from left to right). For example, if $a, b, c, d, e, f, g, h, i \in [r]$ then



is the standard representation of an r-colored permutation Σ with

$$\sigma = (1, 4, 6, 5, 3, 8)(2)(7, 9, 10)$$

(written in cycle notation). Observe that $(A_{\sigma}^{-}, \varphi^{-})$ represents the same data as an *r*-colored partition of [n], while $(A_{\sigma}^{+}, \varphi^{+})$ is an object intermediate between a colored partition and a colored enhanced partition. We mention that the number of *r*-colored permutations of [n] is $r^{n}n!$, and that these objects are naturally identified with elements of the wreath product $(\mathbb{Z}/r\mathbb{Z}) \wr S_{n}$.

Following [6, 37], we say that an *r*-colored permutation $\Sigma = (\sigma, \varphi^+, \varphi^-)$ has a *k*-crossing (respectively, *k*-nesting) if either of the following holds:

- There is a sequence of arcs $\{(i_t, j_t)\}_{t \in [k]} \subset A_{\sigma}^+$, all labeled by φ^+ with the same color, which form an enhanced k-crossing (respectively, enhanced k-nesting) in the sense of (6).
- There is a sequence of arcs $\{(i_t, j_t)\}_{t \in [k]} \subset A_{\sigma}^-$, all labeled by φ^- with the same color, which form a k-crossing (respectively, k-nesting) in the usual sense of (1).

Let $\operatorname{cr}(\Sigma)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Sigma)$ be the largest integers k such that P has a k-crossing or k-nesting, respectively. Say that Σ is j-noncrossing or k-nonnesting if $\operatorname{cr}(\Sigma) < j$ or $\operatorname{ne}(\Sigma) < k$.

The following result does not appear to be noted in the literature, and allows us to give an alternate proof of theorems in [6] and [37] directly from Lemma 5.1.

Proposition 5.12. There is a bijection from r-colored j-noncrossing k-nonnesting permutations of [n] to pairs (Λ^+, Λ^-) of r-colored j-noncrossing k-nonnesting matchings of [2n] such that

- (a) $\{1,3,5,\ldots,2n-1\}$ is the disjoint union of $\min(\Lambda^+) \setminus \max(\Lambda^+)$ and $\max(\Lambda^-) \setminus \min(\Lambda^-)$;
- (b) $\{2, 4, 6, \ldots, 2n\}$ is the disjoint union of $\max(\Lambda^+) \setminus \min(\Lambda^+)$ and $\min(\Lambda^-) \setminus \max(\Lambda^-)$.

Proof. Given an *r*-colored permutation $\Sigma = (\sigma, \varphi^+, \varphi^-)$ of [n], let $\Lambda^{\pm} = (P^{\pm}, \phi^{\pm})$ be the unique *r*-colored partitions of [2n] such that

• Arc $(P^+) = \{(2i-1,2j): (i,j) \in A^+_{\sigma}\}$ and $\phi^+(2i-1,2j) = \varphi^+(i,j)$ for $(i,j) \in A^+_{\sigma}$;

• Arc
$$(P^-) = \{(2i, 2j - 1) : (i, j) \in A_{\sigma}^-\}$$
 and $\phi^-(2i, 2j - 1) = \varphi^-(i, j)$ for $(i, j) \in A_{\sigma}^-$

Noting Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 5.6, it is straightforward to check that Σ is *j*-noncrossing and *k*-nonnesting if and only if both Λ^{\pm} are, and that Λ^{\pm} are colored matchings satisfying conditions (a) and (b).

To construct an inverse to the map $\Sigma \mapsto (\Lambda^+, \Lambda^-)$, fix a pair (Λ^+, Λ^-) of *r*-colored matchings of [2n] satisfying (a) and (b). Define $\sigma : [n] \to [n]$ by setting $\sigma(i)$ equal to the unique $j \in [n]$ such that (2i - 1, 2j) is an arc of Λ^+ or (2j, 2i - 1) is an arc of Λ^- ; conditions (a) and (b) ensure that exactly one of these cases occurs, and hence also that the map σ is a permutation. Form an *r*-colored permutation $\Sigma = (\sigma, \varphi^+, \varphi^-)$ by coloring $(i, \sigma(i))$ or $(\sigma(i), i)$ in the same way as the corresponding arc (2i - 1, 2j) or (2j, 2i - 1) in Λ^{\pm} . By construction the map $(\Lambda^+, \Lambda^-) \mapsto \Sigma$ given here and the map $\Sigma \mapsto (\Lambda^+, \Lambda^-)$ given in the previous paragraph are inverses of each other.

As one application of the preceding proposition, we state the following theorem. Yen proves a more detailed version of this statement by different methods in [37].

Theorem 5.13 (See Yen [37]). The crossing and nesting numbers $\operatorname{cr}(\Sigma)$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Sigma)$ have a symmetric joint distribution over all r-colored permutations of [n].

Proof. Lemma 5.1 implies that the numbers $\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda^+, \Lambda^-) := \max{\operatorname{cr}(\Lambda^{\pm})}$ and $\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda^+, \Lambda^-) := \max{\operatorname{ne}(\Lambda^{\pm})}$ have a symmetric joint distribution over all pairs (Λ^+, Λ^-) of *r*-colored matchings of [2n] for which the four sets $\min(\Lambda^{\pm}) \setminus \max(\Lambda^{\pm})$ and $\max(\Lambda^{\pm}) \setminus \min(\Lambda^{\pm})$ are fixed. The theorem therefore follows by Proposition 5.12. \Box

Let $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Permute}(n,r)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{NC}_{k}^{Permute}(n,r)$) denote the number of *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting (respectively, *k*-noncrossing) *r*-colored permutations of [n]. Yen proves the following in [37, Section 4]:

Corollary 5.14 (See Yen [37]). $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Permute}(n,r)x^n$ is a rational power series for all $j, k, r \in \mathbb{P}$.

The formal power series $\sum_{n\geq 0} \operatorname{NC}_k^{Permute}(n,r)x^n$ is algebraic when k=2 and r=1 since, as noted in [6, Table 2], the number of noncrossing uncolored permutations of [n] is again given by the *n*th Catalan number; i.e., $\operatorname{NC}_2^{Permute}(n,1) = \frac{1}{n+1} {2n \choose n}$. However, we are left with this question, still open even in the case k=r=2.

Question 5.15. For which $k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ is the power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NC}_k^{Permute}(n, r) x^n$ D-finite?

Finally, we mention that there are also notions of crossings and nestings which have been studied for permutations of type B; see [16]. Type B permutations are in bijection with 2-colored permutations, and it would be interesting to know of any connection between the results in [16] and [37].

5.4 Tangled diagrams

Chen, Qin, and Reidys introduced tangled diagrams in [8] as a combinatorial framework for efficient prediction algorithms involved in interactions between RNA molecules. Tangled diagrams a further studied, for example, in [9]. Slightly generalizing the construction in [8], we define an *r*-colored tangled diagram on [n] as a labeled graph on the vertices $1, 2, \ldots, n$ (drawn in increasing order on a horizontal line) with arcs labeled by [r] (drawn in the upper half plane between vertices), such that at any vertex at most two arcs meet in one of the following local configurations:



Here the labels $a, b \in [r]$ are arbitrary. While the vertices in these diagrams are labeled by $1, 2, \ldots, n$ from left to right, we usually omit these labels from our drawings. For example,



is an r-colored tangled diagram on [n] with n = 12 (here the letters a, b, c, \ldots indicate arbitrary elements of [r]). The notion of tangled diagrams in [8] coincides precisely with 1-colored tangled diagrams in the sense just given.

To each r-colored tangled diagram T on [n] one associates an r-colored matching $\eta(T)$ of [2n], called the *inflation* of T, by doubling each vertex such that the local configurations of arcs shown above are respectively transformed to the configurations below:



For example, if T is the diagram in (8) then $\eta(T)$ is given by



Define the crossing and nesting numbers of cr(T) and ne(T) of a tangled diagram T to be the numbers $cr(\eta(T))$ and $ne(\eta(T))$ respectively. In the preceding example, we have cr(T) = ne(T) = 1 if the arc colors are all distinct, and cr(T) = ne(T) = 3 if the arc colors all coincide.

Remark 5.16. The definition of the inflation map η here differs slightly when r = 1 from the one given in [8, Section 2.2], but the difference is only that inflation here inserts more isolated points into the tangled diagram T to form $\eta(T)$. Hence, the crossing and nesting numbers in [8], which are given in exactly the same way as the crossing and nesting numbers of the corresponding inflated diagram, coincide with our definition of cr(T) and ne(T).

The following proposition highlights the utility of the inflation map η as we have defined it.

Proposition 5.17. The inflation map η defines a bijection from the set of r-tangled diagrams on [n] to the subset of r-colored matchings M on [2n] such that for each $i \in [n]$ if 2i is an isolated point of M then $2i - 1 \in \max(M)$ and if 2i - 1 is an isolated point of M then $2i \in \min(M)$.

Proof Sketch. The proposition is intuitively clear since tangled diagrams and matchings are completely determined by the local arc configurations in their standard representations, and since



are the only arc configurations involving consecutive vertices 2i - 1 2i (for $i \in [n]$) which can occur in a matching of [2n] but which cannot occur in the inflation $\eta(T)$ of a tangled diagram on [n].

Taking $\phi = \eta$ in Lemma 5.1 thus shows:

Theorem 5.18. The crossing and nesting numbers cr(T) and ne(T) have a symmetric joint distribution over all r-colored tangled diagrams T on [n].

In turn, combining Proposition 5.17 with Theorem 3.3 gives us this generalization of [8, Theorems 3.6 and 3.7].

Theorem 5.19. There is a bijection $T \mapsto (\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \dots, \lambda^{2n})$ from the set of r-colored tangled diagrams on [n] to the subset of semi-oscillating r-partite tableaux of length 2n such that for each $i \in [n]$ the transition from λ^{2i-2} to λ^{2i-1} to λ^{2i} does not consist of either

- Adding a box then doing nothing;
- Doing nothing then deleting a box.

Further, T is j-noncrossing and k-nonnesting if and only if the r components of each λ^i all have fewer than j rows and and fewer than k columns.

Proof. The bijection from r-colored matchings of [2n] to semi-oscillating r-partite tableaux of length 2n described in Theorem 3.3 is achieved explicitly by first applying the map in Lemma 1.2 to an r-colored matching and then applying the map in Theorem 2.4 to resulting r uncolored components. With respect to the resulting bijection $M \mapsto (\lambda^0, \lambda^1, \ldots, \lambda^n)$, one checks that $i \in \min(M)$ if and only if $\lambda^{i-1} \subseteq \lambda^i$ and $i \in \max(M)$ if and only if $\lambda^{i-1} \supseteq \lambda^i$. The theorem here follows by combining this observation with Proposition 5.17.

Let $\operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Tangle}(n,r)$ (respectively, $\operatorname{NC}_{k}^{Tangle}(n,r)$) denote the number of *j*-noncrossing *k*-nonnesting (respectively, *k*-noncrossing) *r*-colored tangled diagrams on [n]. Given the preceding result, the following corollary (like Corollary 5.4) follows by arguments similar to the proofs of Theorem 3.5 and Corollary 4.1.

Corollary 5.20. For all $j, k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ the formal power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{NCN}_{j,k}^{Tangle}(n, r) x^n$ is rational.

The authors of [9] prove that $\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathrm{NC}_k^{Tangle}(n,1)x^n$ is D-finite and derive an explicit asymptotic formula for the number of k-noncrossing (uncolored) tangled diagrams on [n]. It is expected that the methods of [9] extend to colored tangled diagrams without great difficulty, but we relegate the working out of these details to this open question:

Question 5.21. For which $k, r \in \mathbb{P}$ is the power series $\sum_{n \ge 0} \mathrm{NC}_k^{Tangle}(n, r) x^n$ D-finite?

References

- R. Adin, A. Postnikov, and Y. Roichman, A Gelfand model for wreath products, Israel J. Math. 179 (2010), 381–402.
- [2] M. Bernstein and N. J. A. Sloane, Some canonical sequences of integers, *Linear Algebra Appl.* 226/228 (1995), 57–72.
- [3] M. Bousquet-Mélou and G. Xin, On partitions avoiding 3-crossings, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 54 (2006), Article B54e.
- [4] M. Bousquet-Mélou and M. Mishna, Walks with small steps in the quarter plane, Contemp. Math. 520 (2010), 1–40.
- [5] S. Burrill, S. Elizalde, M. Mishna, and L. Yen, A generating tree approach to k-nonnesting partitions and permutations, preprint, arXiv:1108.5615v1 (2011).
- [6] S. Burrill, M. Mishna, and J. Post. On k-crossings and k-nestings of permutations. In Proceedings of 22nd International Conference on Formal Power Series and Algebraic Combinatorics, San Francisco, CA, USA, 2010.
- [7] W. Y. C. Chen and P. L. Guo, Oscillating Rim Hook Tableaux and Colored Matchings, Adv. Appl. Math. 48 (2) (2011), 393–406.
- [8] W. Y. C. Chen, J. Qin, and C. M. Reidys, Crossing and nesting in tangled-diagrams, *Electron. J. Comb.* 15 (2008), Research Paper 86.

- [9] W. Y. C. Chen, J. Qin, C. M. Reidys, D. Zeilberger, Efficient counting and asymptotics of k-noncrossing tangled-diagrams, *Electron. J. Combin.* 16 (2009), Research Paper 37.
- [10] W. Y. C. Chen, E. Y. P. Deng, R. R. X. Du, R. P. Stanley, and C. H. Yan, Crossings and nestings of matchings and partitions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **359** (4) (2007), 1555–1575.
- [11] S. Corteel, Crossings and alignments of permutations, Adv. Appl. Math. 38 (2) (2007), 149–163.
- [12] A. De Mier, k-noncrossing and k-nonnesting graphs and fillings of Ferrers diagrams, Combinatorica 27 (6) (2007), 699–720.
- [13] S. Fomin and D. Stanton, Rim hook lattices, St. Petersburg Math. J., 9 (5) (1998), 1007–1016.
- [14] I. M. Gessel and D. Zeilberger, Random walk in a Weyl chamber, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 115 (1992), 27–31.
- [15] D. Grabiner and P. Magyar, Random walks in Weyl chambers and the decomposition of tensor powers, J. Algebr. Comb. 2 (1993), 239–260.
- [16] A. Hamdi, Symmetric distribution of crossings and nestings in permutations of type B, Electronic J. Combin. 18 (2011), Article P200.
- [17] V. Jelinek and T. Mansour, On pattern-avoiding partitions, *Electronic J. Combin.* 15 (1) (2008), Article R39.
- [18] V. Jelinek, T. Mansour and M. Shattuck, On multiple pattern avoiding set partitions, Adv. Appl. Math. 50 (2012) 292–326.
- [19] E. Y. Jin, J. Qin, and C. M. Reidys, On k-noncrossing partitions, preprint, arxiv:0710.5014v2 (2007).
- [20] J. Jonsson, Generalized triangulations and diagonal-free subsets of stack polyominos, J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 112 (2005), 117-142.
- [21] C. Krattenthaler, Growth diagrams, and increasing and decreasing chains in fillings of Ferrers shapes, Adv. Appl. Math. 37 (3) (2006), 404–431.
- [22] L. Lipshitz, The diagonal of a D-finite power series is D-finite, J. Algebra 113 (1988), 373-378.
- [23] L. Lipshitz, D-finite power series, J. Algebra **122** (1989), 353–373.
- [24] T. Mansour and S. Severini, Enumeration of (k, 2)-noncrossing partitions, Discrete Math., 300 (2008), 4570–4577.
- [25] M. Mishna and L. Yen, Set partitions with no k-nesting, preprint, arxiv:1106.5036 (2011).
- [26] M. Petkovšek, H. S. Wilf, and D. Zeilberger. A = B. A. K. Peters Ltd., Wellesley, MA, 1996.
- [27] N. J. A. Sloane, editor (2003), The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, http://oeis.org/.

- [28] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 1, Cambridge University Press, 1997.
- [29] R. P. Stanley, Enumerative Combinatorics, Volume 2, Cambridge University Press, 1999.
- [30] C. E. Schensted, Longest increasing and decreasing subsequences, Canad. J. Math. 13 (1961), 179–191.
- [31] D. W. Stanton and D. E. White, A Schensted algorithm for rim hook tableaux, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 40 (1985), 211–247.
- [32] D. G. Rogers, Rhyming Schemes: Crossings and Coverings, *Discrete Math.* **33** (1981), 67–77.
- [33] M. Rubey and C. Stump, Crossings and nestings in set partitions of classical types, *Electron. J. Combin.* 17 (2010), Article R120.
- [34] M. Rubey, Increasing and decreasing sequences in fillings of moon polyominoes, Adv. Appl. Math. 47, 57–87.
- [35] N. Thiem, Branching rules in the ring of superclass functions of unipotent uppertriangular matrices, J. Algebr. Comb. 31 (2009), 267–298.
- [36] J. Wimp and D. Zeilberger, Resurrecting the asymptotics of linear recurrences, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 111 (1) (1985), 162–176.
- [37] L. Yen, Crossings and nestings for arc-coloured permutations, preprint, arXiv:1211.3472v2 (2012).
- [38] D. Zeilberger, ASYREC, a package for MAPLE, available online at http://www.math. rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/programs.html.
- [39] D. Zeilberger, EKHAD, a package for MAPLE, available online at http://www.math. rutgers.edu/~zeilberg/programsAB.html.