

Lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement

Szabolcs L. Fancsali*

MTA–ELTE Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group
Budapest, Hungary
nudniq@cs.elte.hu

Péter Sziklai**

MTA–ELTE Geometric and Algebraic Combinatorics Research Group
ELTE, Institute of Mathematics, Department of Computer Science
Budapest, Hungary
sziklai@cs.elte.hu

Submitted: Feb 27, 2014; Accepted: Jun 18, 2014; Published: Jun 27, 2014
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05B25, 51E20, 51D20

Abstract

In this article, we examine sets of lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ meeting each hyperplane in a generator set of points. We prove that such a set has to contain at least $\lfloor 1.5d \rfloor$ lines if the field \mathbb{F} has at least $\lfloor 1.5d \rfloor$ elements, and at least $2d - 1$ lines if the field \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed. We show that suitable $2d - 1$ lines constitute such a set (if $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2d - 1$), proving that the lower bound is tight over algebraically closed fields. At last, we will see that the strong (s, A) subspace designs constructed by Guruswami and Kopparty have better (smaller) parameter A than one would think at first sight.

Keywords: well-spread-out ('higgledy-piggledy') lines; subspace designs; Grassmann variety; Plücker co-ordinates

1 Introduction

Héger, Patkós and Takáts [1] hunt for a set \mathcal{G} of points in the projective space $\text{PG}(d, q)$ that 'determines' all hyperplanes in the sense that the intersection $\Pi \cap \mathcal{G}$ is *individual* for each hyperplane Π .

*This research was partially supported by the ECOST Action IC1104 and OTKA Grant K81310.

**This research was partially supported by the Bolyai Grant and OTKA Grant K81310.

A little different but similar problem is to find a set \mathcal{G} such that each hyperplane is *spanned* by the intersection $\Pi \cap \mathcal{G}$. Such a ‘generator set’ is always a ‘determining set’ since if all the intersections $\Pi \cap \mathcal{G}$ span the hyperplanes Π then they must be individual. Héger, Patkós and Takáts thus began to examine ‘generator sets’. In projective planes generator sets and two-fold blocking sets are the same, since two distinct points span the line connecting these points.

Definition 1 (Multiple blocking set). A set \mathcal{B} of points in the projective space \mathbb{P} is a *t-fold blocking set* with respect to hyperplanes, if each hyperplane $\Pi \subset \mathbb{P}$ meets \mathcal{B} in at least t points. One can define *t-fold blocking sets* with respect to lines, planes, etc. similarly.

The definition of the *t-fold blocking set* does not say anything more about the intersections with hyperplanes. In a projective space of dimension $d \geq 3$, a *d-fold blocking set* can intersect a hyperplane Π in such a set of d points which is contained in a proper subspace of Π . Thus (in higher dimensions), a natural *specialization* of multiple blocking sets would be the following. (Since in higher dimension a projective space is always over a field, we use the special notation $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ instead of the general \mathbb{P} .)

Definition 2 (Generator set). A set \mathcal{G} of points in the projective space $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ is a *generator set* with respect to hyperplanes, if each hyperplane $\Pi \subset \text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ meets \mathcal{G} in a ‘generator system’ of Π , that is, $\mathcal{G} \cap \Pi$ spans Π , in other words this intersection is not contained in any hyperplane of Π . (Hyperplanes of hyperplanes are subspaces in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of co-dimension two.)

Example 3. In a projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q^2)$ there exist two disjoint Baer-subgeometries. These together constitute a 2-fold blocking set, and thus, a generator set consisting of $2q^2 + 2q + 2$ points.

Remark 4. In $\text{PG}(d, q^d)$, d disjoint subgeometries of order q together constitute a *d-fold blocking set*. But it is not obvious whether this example is only a *d-fold blocking set* or it could be also a generator set (if we choose the subgeometries in a proper way).

Héger, Patkós and Takáts [1] had the idea to search for generator set which is the union of some disjoint lines and they gave an example for such a ‘determining set’ as the union of the points of $2d + 2$ distinct lines, using probabilistic method. They gave the name ‘higgledy-piggledy’ to the property of such sets of lines. We investigate their idea.

2 Hyperplane-generating sets of lines

The trivial examples for multiple blocking sets are the sets of disjoint lines: If \mathcal{B} is the set of points of t disjoint lines then \mathcal{B} is a *t-fold blocking set* (with respect to hyperplanes). Héger, Patkós and Takáts [1] suggested to search generator sets in such a form. (Though there can exist smaller examples.)

Sets of k disjoint lines are always multiple (*k-fold*) blocking sets (with respect to hyperplanes) but not always generator sets, so the following definition is not meaningless.

Definition 5 (Generator set of lines). A set \mathcal{L} of lines is a *generator set* (with respect to hyperplanes), if the set $\bigcup \mathcal{L}$ of all points of the lines contained by \mathcal{L} is a generator set with respect to hyperplanes. Elements of a generator set of lines are said to be in *higgledy-piggledy arrangement*.

From now on, we will examine sets of lines of the property above.

2.1 Examples in projective planes

Let \mathbb{P} be an arbitrary (desarguesian or not, finite or infinite) projective plane and let ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 be two distinct lines and let $Q = \ell_1 \cap \ell_2$ denote the meeting point. Each line ℓ of \mathbb{P} not containing Q meets ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 in two distinct points, thus, ℓ is generated. Lines containing Q meet ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 only in Q , so they are not generated. This shows that two lines cannot be in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

Example 6 (Triangle). Let ℓ_3 be an arbitrary line not containing Q . Other lines containig Q meet ℓ_3 , thus, they are also generated by $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$. Thus, three lines in general position constitute a generator set in arbitrary projective plane.

Remark 7. If \mathbb{P} has only three lines through a point (i.e. \mathbb{P} is the Fano plane), three concurrent lines also form a generator set.

In the projective plane $\text{PG}(2, q)$, a minimal generator set of lines contains three lines and thus $3q$ points (if these three lines have three distinct meeting points). Whereas two disjoint Baer subplanes (containing only $2q + 2\sqrt{q} + 2$ points) together also constitute a generator set (of points) with respect to lines. This example shows that there can exist generator set (of points) with respect to hyperplanes, containing less points than the smallest generator set of lines.

2.2 Examples in projective spaces of dimension three

Let ℓ_1, ℓ_2, ℓ_3 be pairwise disjoint lines in $\text{PG}(3, \mathbb{F})$, and let $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, \mathbb{F})$ be the (unique) hyperbolic quadric containing these lines. Each plane of $\text{PG}(3, \mathbb{F})$ which is not a tangent plane of $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, \mathbb{F})$ meets these three lines in non-collinear three points, thus it is generated. Let ℓ denote one of the opposite lines meeting ℓ_1, ℓ_2 and ℓ_3 . Planes through ℓ containing neither ℓ_1 , nor ℓ_2 , nor ℓ_3 meet these lines in collinear points (on the opposite line ℓ), and thus, they are not generated.

Remark 8. The reader can show that if these three lines are not pairwise disjoint, they cannot constitute a generator set: See the planes through the meeting point of two lines.

Example 9 (Over \mathbb{F}_q and over \mathbb{R} or \mathbb{Q}). If there exists a line ℓ_4 disjoint to the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, \mathbb{F})$, then each plane Π not generated by $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3\}$ (meeting them in three collinear points) meet ℓ_4 in a point Q_4 not on the line of the three collinear meeting points $Q_i = \Pi \cap \ell_i$, thus, Π is generated by $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\}$.

The example above does not exist if the field \mathbb{F} is algebraically closed since in this case the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, \mathbb{F})$ meets every lines.

Example 10 (Over arbitrary field). Let ℓ_4 and ℓ_5 be two lines meeting the hyperbolic quadric $\mathcal{Q}^+(3, \mathbb{F})$ above in such a way that there is no opposite line ℓ meeting both ℓ_4 and ℓ_5 . Planes through opposite lines not meeting ℓ_4 are generated by $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4\}$ and planes through opposite lines not meeting ℓ_5 are generated by $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_5\}$. Thus, $\{\ell_1, \ell_2, \ell_3, \ell_4, \ell_5\}$ is a set of lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

2.3 Lower bound over arbitrary (large enough) fields

At first, we try to give another equivalent definition to the higgledy-piggledy property of generator sets of lines. The following is not an equivalent but a sufficient condition. Although, in several cases it is also a necessary condition (if we seek minimal sets of this type), thus, it could effectively be considered as an almost-equivalent condition.

Theorem 11 (Sufficient condition). *If there is no subspace of co-dimension two meeting each element of the set \mathcal{L} of lines then \mathcal{L} is a generator set with respect to hyperplanes.*

Proof. Suppose that the set \mathcal{L} of lines is *not* a generator set with respect to hyperplanes. Then there exists at least one hyperplane Π that meets the elements of \mathcal{L} in a set $\Pi \cap \mathcal{L}$ of points which is contained in a hyperplane H of Π . Since Π is a hyperplane it meets every line, thus each element of \mathcal{L} meets Π , but the point(s) of intersection has (have) to be contained in H . Thus the subspace H (of co-dimension two) meets each element of \mathcal{L} . \square

The theorem above is a sufficient but not necessary condition. But if this condition above does not hold, then the set \mathcal{L} of lines could only be generator set in a very special way.

Lemma 12. *If the set \mathcal{L} of lines is a generator set with respect to hyperplanes and there exists a subspace H of co-dimension two that meets each element of \mathcal{L} then \mathcal{L} has to contain at least as many lines as there are points in a projective line. (That is, $|\mathcal{L}| \geq q + 1$ if the field $\mathbb{F} = \mathbb{F}_q$ and \mathcal{L} is infinite if the field \mathbb{F} is not finite.)*

Proof. Let ℓ be a line not intersecting H . For each point $P_i \in \ell$ there exists a hyperplane Π_i containing H and meeting P_i . For each such hyperplane Π_i there exists a line $\ell_i \in \mathcal{L}$ that meets Π_i not only in H , thus $\ell_i \subset \Pi_i$. Two distinct hyperplanes Π_i and Π_j intersect in H thus the lines ℓ_i and ℓ_j have to be different lines. \square

If we seek minimal size generator sets (and the field \mathbb{F} has at least $\lfloor 1.5d \rfloor$ elements where d is the dimension) we can suppose the condition of Theorem 11, so we seek minimal size set of lines such that no subspace of co-dimension two meets each line.

Lemma 13. *If the set \mathcal{L} of lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has at most $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + d - 1$ elements then there exists a subspace H of co-dimension two meeting each line in \mathcal{L} .*

Proof. Let $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}$ and $\ell_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + i}$ ($1 \leq i \leq d - 1$) denote the elements of \mathcal{L} . There exists a subspace of dimension $2 \lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor - 1$ containing the lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}$ (if these lines are contained in a less dimensional subspace, it can be extended). If d is even, this subspace is a hyperplane Π . If d is odd, this subspace has co-dimension two, and thus it can be extended to a hyperplane Π . The hyperplane Π meets each line, thus let $P_i \in \Pi \cap \ell_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + i}$ for $i = 1, \dots, d - 1$. There exists a hyperplane H of Π that contains each point P_i above. (If these points would be not in general position, that is not a problem.) The subspace H has co-dimension two in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ and it meets the lines $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor}$ since these lines are contained in Π and H is a hyperplane of Π , and H meets the other lines since the meeting points are the points P_i . \square

Theorem 14 (Lower bound). *If the field \mathbb{F} has at least $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + d$ elements, then a generator set \mathcal{L} of lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has to contain at least $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + d$ elements.*

Proof. Lemma 12 and Lemma 13 together give the result. \square

The examples in $\text{PG}(2, q)$ and $\text{PG}(3, q)$ show that this lower bound is tight in small dimensions ($d \leq 3$) over finite fields, and over \mathbb{R} and over \mathbb{Q} .

Remark 15. As in $\text{PG}(2, 2)$ three lines through a point are also in higgledy-piggledy arrangement, four proper lines having a common transversal line meeting them can be in higgledy-piggledy arrangement in $\text{PG}(3, 3)$.

3 Grassmann varieties

The sufficient condition is an intersection-property of some subspaces. Such properties can naturally be handled using Grassmann varieties and Plücker co-ordinates. The original (hyperplane generating) property can also be translated to the language of Plücker co-ordinates.

Let $\mathbb{G}(m, n, \mathbb{F})$ or simply $\mathbb{G}(m, n)$ denote the Grassmannian of the linear subspaces of dimension m and co-dimension n in the vector space \mathbb{F}^{m+n} , or, in other aspect $\mathbb{G}(m, n)$ is the set of all projective subspaces of dimension $m - 1$ (and co-dimension n) in $\text{PG}(m + n - 1, \mathbb{F})$. Via ‘Plücker embedding’ we can identify this Grassmannian to the set of one dimensional linear subspaces of $\bigwedge^m \mathbb{F}^{m+n}$ generated by totally decomposable multivectors, that is, $\mathbb{G}(m, n) \subset \text{PG}(\bigwedge^m \mathbb{F}^{m+n}) \cong \text{PG}(\binom{m+n}{m} - 1, \mathbb{F})$ is an algebraic variety of dimension mn .

The canonical isomorphism $\bigwedge^m \mathbb{F}^{m+n} \cong \bigwedge^n \mathbb{F}^{m+n}$ defines a bijection between $\mathbb{G}(m, n)$ and $\mathbb{G}(n, m)$. Thus, the Grassmannian of subspaces of co-dimension two can be considered as the Grassmannian of the lines of the dual projective space.

Remark 16. If $m = 2$ or $n = 2$ then the Plücker co-ordinate vectors can be considered as alternating matrices: $L_{ij} = a_i b_j - a_j b_i$ where $L = a \wedge b$.

Proposition 17. *Let $\{L(1), \dots, L(k)\}$ denote the set of the Plücker co-ordinate vectors representing the elements of the set \mathcal{L} of k lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$. There exists a subspace H*

of co-dimension two in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ meeting each element of \mathcal{L} if and only if the subspace $L(1)^\perp \cap \dots \cap L(k)^\perp \leq \text{PG}(\binom{d+1}{2} - 1, \mathbb{F})$ meets the Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}(d-1, 2)$, that is, the equation system

$$\sum_{i < j} L_{ij}(1)H_{ij} = 0 \quad \sum_{i < j} L_{ij}(2)H_{ij} = 0 \quad \dots \quad \sum_{i < j} L_{ij}(k)H_{ij} = 0$$

together with the quadratic Plücker relations (for each quadruple $i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4$ of indices)

$$H_{i_1 i_2} H_{i_3 i_4} - H_{i_1 i_3} H_{i_2 i_4} + H_{i_1 i_4} H_{i_2 i_3} = 0$$

has nontrivial solutions for H_{ij} .

Proof. According to [2, Theorem 3.1.6.], the Plücker relations completely determine the Grassmannian (moreover, they generate the ideal of polynomials vanishing on it). In case $n = 2$, the Plücker relations found in [2, Subsection 3.1.3.] reduces to the form $H_{i_1 i_2} H_{i_3 i_4} - H_{i_1 i_3} H_{i_2 i_4} + H_{i_1 i_4} H_{i_2 i_3} = 0$ for the quadruples $i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4$ of indices. Since we consider the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(d-1, 2)$ of subspaces of co-dimension two as the Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(2, d-1)$ of lines of the dual space, the Plücker relations determining $\mathbb{G}(d-1, 2)$ are the same (using dual co-ordinates).

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ be the homogeneous co-ordinate vectors of two projective points in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ and let $x, y \in \mathbb{F}^{d+1}$ be the homogeneous (dual) co-ordinate vectors of two hyperplanes in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$. The line connecting $P(a)$ and $P(b)$ is defined by the Plücker co-ordinate vector $a \wedge b \in \mathbb{G}(2, d-1)$. The subspace of co-dimension two defined by the Plücker co-ordinate vector $x \wedge y \in \mathbb{G}(d-1, 2)$ is the intersection of the hyperplanes x^\perp and y^\perp .

The line co-ordinatized by $L = a \wedge b$ and the subspace co-ordinatized by $H = x \wedge y$ meet each other if and only if the scalar product $\langle x \wedge y | a \wedge b \rangle = \langle x | a \rangle \langle y | b \rangle - \langle x | b \rangle \langle y | a \rangle$ equals to zero, because there exists a point $P(\alpha a + \beta b) \in L$ contained also in H if and only if $\langle x | \alpha a + \beta b \rangle = \alpha \langle x | a \rangle + \beta \langle x | b \rangle = 0$ and $\langle y | \alpha a + \beta b \rangle = \alpha \langle y | a \rangle + \beta \langle y | b \rangle = 0$ for a suitable pair $(\alpha, \beta) \neq (0, 0)$.

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L_{ij} &= \sum_{i < j} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)(x_i y_j - x_j y_i) \\ &= \sum_{i \neq j} (a_i x_i)(b_j y_j) - \sum_{i \neq j} (a_j y_j)(b_i x_i) \\ &= \langle x | a \rangle \langle y | b \rangle - \langle x | b \rangle \langle y | a \rangle = \langle x \wedge y | a \wedge b \rangle. \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

3.1 Tangents of the moment curve

Let $\{(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^d) : t \in \mathbb{F}\} \cup \{(0, 0, 0, \dots, 1)\} \subset \text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ be the moment curve (rational normal curve) and let ℓ_t denote its tangent line in the point $(1, t, t^2, \dots, t^d)$, and ℓ_∞ is the tangent line in the point $(0, \dots, 0, 1)$ at infinity.

At first, compute the Plücker co-ordinates of these tangent lines. The Plücker co-ordinate vector of ℓ_t is $L(t) = a(t) \wedge (a(t) + \dot{a}(t)) = a(t) \wedge \dot{a}(t)$ where $a(t) = (1, t, t^2, t^3, \dots, t^d)$

is the point of the curve ($a_i(t) = t^i$) and its derivate $\dot{a}(t) = (0, 1, 2t, 3t^2 \dots, dt^{d-1})$ is the direction (the ideal point in infinity) of the tangent line ℓ_t . In matrix representation:

$$L(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2t & \dots & (d-1)t^{d-2} & dt^{d-1} \\ -1 & 0 & t^2 & \dots & (d-2)t^{d-1} & (d-1)t^d \\ -2t & -t^2 & 0 & \dots & (d-3)t^d & (d-2)t^{d+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots \\ (1-d)t^{d-2} & (2-d)t^{d-1} & (3-d)t^d & \dots & 0 & t^{2d-2} \\ (-d)t^{d-1} & (1-d)t^d & (2-d)t^{d+1} & \dots & -t^{2d-2} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

That is, $L_{ij}(t) = a_i(t)\dot{a}_j(t) - \dot{a}_i(t)a_j(t) = t^i j t^{j-1} - t^j i t^{i-1} = (j-i)t^{i+j-1}$ where $0 \leq i, j \leq d$.

Remark 18. One can see that in suitable positions the Plücker co-ordinate vector $L(t)$ has the co-ordinates: $1, t^2, t^4, t^6, \dots, t^{2d-2}$ and the co-ordinates: $2t, 2t^3, \dots, 2t^{2d-3}$, thus, if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} \neq 2$, then the set $\{L(t_i) : i = 0, \dots, 2d-2\}$ is linearly independent ($t_i \neq t_j$ if $i \neq j$).

Lemma 19. *If either $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > d$ and $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2d-1$ or $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$, then there does not exist any subspace of co-dimension two meeting each tangent line ℓ_t of the moment curve.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that there exists a subspace H of co-dimension two meeting each tangent line ℓ_t . Let H_{ij} ($0 \leq i < j \leq d$) denote the (dual) Plücker co-ordinates of H . For these Plücker co-ordinates we have Plücker relations $H_{i_1 i_2} H_{i_3 i_4} - H_{i_1 i_3} H_{i_2 i_4} + H_{i_1 i_4} H_{i_2 i_3} = 0$ for all quadruples $i_1 i_2 i_3 i_4$ of indices.

The indirect assumption means that $\sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L_{ij}(t) = 0$ for all $t \in \mathbb{F}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L_{ij}(t) &= \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^d H_{ij} (j-i)t^{i+j-1} = \sum_{N=1}^d t^{N-1} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (N-2i) H_{i, N-i} \\ &\quad + \sum_{N=d+1}^{2d-1} t^{N-1} \sum_{i=1}^{d-\lfloor \frac{N}{2} \rfloor} (N-2i) H_{i, N-i} \end{aligned}$$

Since the field \mathbb{F} has more than $2d-2$ elements, this polynomial above can vanish on each element of \mathbb{F} only if $\sum_i (N-2i) H_{i, N-i} = 0$ for all $N < 2d$. So we have $2d-1$ new (linear) equations for the Plücker co-ordinates:

$$H_{0,1} = 0 \tag{1}$$

$$2H_{0,2} = 0 \tag{2}$$

$$3H_{0,3} + H_{1,2} = 0 \tag{3}$$

$$4H_{0,4} + 2H_{1,3} = 0 \tag{4}$$

$$5H_{0,5} + 3H_{1,4} + H_{2,3} = 0 \tag{5}$$

$$6H_{0,6} + 4H_{1,5} + 2H_{2,4} = 0 \tag{6}$$

⋮

$$dH_{0,d} + (d-2)H_{1,d-1} + \cdots + \left(\binom{d}{2} - \binom{d}{2}\right) H_{\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{d}{2} \rceil} = 0 \tag{d}$$

⋮

$$3H_{d-3,d} + H_{d-2,d-1} = 0 \tag{2d-3}$$

$$2H_{d-2,d} = 0 \tag{2d-2}$$

$$H_{d-1,d} = 0 \tag{2d-1}$$

Notice that in equations (1), (2), ..., (N), the Plücker co-ordinates H_{ij} occur with indices $0 \leq i < j \leq N - i$, if $N < d$. Similarly, in equations (2d - 1), (2d - 2), ..., (2d - N) the Plücker co-ordinates occur with indices $2d - N - j \leq i < j \leq d$, if $N < d$.

Using these equations and the Plücker relations, we can prove by induction, that all Plücker co-ordinates H_{ij} are zero, and thus, they are not the homogeneous co-ordinates of any subspace H . We do two inductions, one for $N = 1, \dots, d$ (increasing) and another (decreasing) one for $N' = (2d - N) = 2d - 1, \dots, d + 1$. Remember that $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$ or $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > d$, so the nonzero integers in these equations are nonzero elements of the prime field of \mathbb{F} .

Increasing induction The first two equations say that $H_{01} = H_{02} = 0$. Suppose by induction that we have $H_{ij} = 0$ for each pair (i, j) where $0 \leq i < j \leq N - i$, where N is a positive integer less than d . Using this assumption, we prove that $H_{0,N+1} = H_{1,N} = H_{2,N-1} = \cdots = 0$, and thus $H_{ij} = 0$ for each pair (i, j) where $0 \leq i < j \leq N + 1 - i$.

Equation $(N + 1)$ says that a linear combination of the Plücker co-ordinates $H_{0,N+1}, H_{1,N}, H_{2,N-1}, \dots, H_{\lfloor \frac{N+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \rceil}$ is zero. Let H_{ij} and H_{kl} be two arbitrary element among these above. We have the Plücker relation $H_{ij}H_{kl} - H_{ik}H_{jl} + H_{il}H_{jk} = 0$. Using the assumption $H_{ij} = 0$ for $i < j \leq N - i$, this Plücker relation is reduced to $H_{ij}H_{kl} = 0$.

Thus, these Plücker relations say that all H_{ij} (among $H_{0,N+1}, H_{1,N}, \dots, H_{\lfloor \frac{N+1}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{N+1}{2} \rceil}$) should be zero except one. And the linear Equation $(N + 1)$ says that this one cannot be exception either.

Decreasing induction The decreasing induction, started with the last two equations $H_{d-1,1} = H_{d-2,d} = 0$ is similar.

So we have proved that each Plücker co-ordinate of the subspace H of co-dimension two should be zero, that is a contradiction, since Plücker co-ordinates are homogeneous. \square

Theorem 20. *If either $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > d$ and $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2d - 1$ or $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = 0$, then arbitrary $2d - 1$ distinct tangent lines ℓ_t together constitute a generator set with respect to hyperplanes.*

Proof. Let $\{\ell_{t_i} : i = 1, 2, \dots, 2d - 1\}$ be an arbitrary set of $2d - 1$ tangent lines of the rational normal curve. It is enough to prove that there is no subspace H of co-dimension two meeting each element of this set.

Suppose to the contrary that there exists such a subspace H and let H_{ij} be the Plücker co-ordinates of it. Since H meets each line ℓ_{t_i} , this means $\sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L_{ij}(t_k) = 0$ for all t_k , $k = 1, \dots, 2d - 1$. Thus, the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^d H_{ij} (j - i) t^{i+j-1}$ has $2d - 1$ roots, but its degree is at most $2d - 2$. So, if there exists such a subspace H of co-dimension two, the polynomial above is the zero polynomial, and thus, H meets each tangent line ℓ_t , contradicting Lemma 19. \square

These results above require the characteristic $\text{char } \mathbb{F}$ to be greater than the dimension d (or to be zero). However, we can generalize these results over small prime characteristics.

3.2 Small prime characteristics: ‘diverted tangents’

The only weakness of the proof of Lemma 19 (which can be ruined by small prime characteristic) is the linear equation system for the Plücker co-ordinates H_{ij} . The Plücker co-ordinate H_{ij} has coefficient $j - i \pmod p$ and this could be zero for $j \neq i$ if the characteristic p is not greater than the dimension d .

Remark 21. If the characteristic of \mathbb{F} equals to the dimension d , then there exists exactly one subspace of co-dimension two that meets each tangent ℓ_t of the moment curve. The Plücker co-ordinates of this subspace should be all zero except one: $H_{0,d}$. This subspace H thus can be get as the intersection of two hyperplanes co-ordinatized by $[1, 0, \dots, 0]$ (the ideal hyperplane) and $[0, \dots, 0, 1]$.

In higher dimension there will be more such subspaces, and thus, their intersection is a subspace of codimension more than two, meeting each tangent line.

If we substitute the coefficients $(j - i)$ by nonzero elements, the proof of Lemma 19 will be valid over arbitrary characteristic. Remember that the Plücker co-ordinates of the tangent line ℓ_t are $L_{ij}(t) = (j - i)t^{i+j-1}$ and the coefficient $(j - i)$ comes from here.

Notation. Let $\varphi : \{0, 1, \dots, d\} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ be an arbitrary *injection*. If $|\mathbb{F}| \leq d$, such an injection there does not exist, but, if \mathbb{F} has more than d elements, such a φ *does* exist, independently from the characteristic. For convenience sake, we suppose that $\varphi(0) = 0$ and $\varphi(1) = 1$.

Let $a(t) = (1, t, t^2, \dots, t^d)$ again denote the affine points of the moment curve ($a_i(t) = t^i$), and let $b(t) = (0, 1, \varphi(2)t, \dots, \varphi(d)t^{d-1})$ denote the points of a special curve in the ideal hyperplane, defined by $b_j(t) = \varphi(j)t^{j-1}$.

Definition 22 (Diverted tangent lines). Consider the line ℓ'_t connecting $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ instead of the tangent line ℓ_t of the moment curve in the point $a(t)$. The Plücker co-ordinate vector of the ‘diverted tangent line’ ℓ'_t is $L'(t) = a(t) \wedge b(t)$.

$$L'_{ij}(t) = a_i(t)b_j(t) - b_i(t)a_j(t) = (\varphi(j) - \varphi(i))t^{i+j-1}$$

Diverted tangent lines depend on the injection φ .

Remark 23. If $\text{char } \mathbb{F}$ is zero, the injection φ can be the identity, and if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} = p > d$, the injection φ can be defined by $\varphi(k) \equiv k \pmod p$. In these cases the diverted tangent line ℓ'_t determined by φ equals to the actual tangent line ℓ_t of the moment curve.

Theorem 24. *If $|\mathbb{F}| \geq 2d - 1$, then arbitrary $2d - 1$ distinct diverted tangent lines $\ell'_{t_1}, \dots, \ell'_{t_{2d-1}}$ (determined by arbitrary injection φ) together constitute a generator set with respect to hyperplanes.*

Proof. Suppose to the contrary that the subspace H meets the diverted tangent lines $\ell'_{t_1}, \dots, \ell'_{t_{2d-1}}$, that is, $\sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L'_{ij}(t_k) = 0$ for all $k = 1, \dots, 2d - 1$. Thus, the polynomial $\sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^d H_{ij} (\varphi(j) - \varphi(i)) t^{i+j-1}$ has $2d - 1$ roots, but its degree is at most $2d - 2$. So, the polynomial above is the zero polynomial, and thus, H meets each connecting line ℓ'_t ($t \in \mathbb{F}$), that is,

$$\sum_{i < j} H_{ij} L'_{ij}(t) = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} \sum_{j=i+1}^d H_{ij} (\varphi(j) - \varphi(i)) t^{i+j-1} = 0 \quad \forall t \in \mathbb{F}$$

Now, we can repeat the proof of Lemma 19 by substituting the coefficients $(j - i)$ by $(\varphi(j) - \varphi(i))$ in the linear equations (1), (2), \dots , $(2d - 1)$, and since φ is injective, these coefficients are nonzero. Thus, we can prove that each Plücker co-ordinate H_{ij} should be zero, which is a contradiction. \square

We have proved that over arbitrary (large enough) field we can construct a hyperplane-generating set of lines of size $2d - 1$. In the next section, we will prove that it is the smallest one if the field is algebraically closed.

3.3 Lower bound over algebraically closed fields

A projective line has infinitely many points over an algebraically closed field, so Lemma 12 concludes that (over algebraically closed field) the finite set \mathcal{L} of lines could be a generator set only if the sufficient (almost-equivalent) condition of Theorem 11 holds.

Lemma 25 ([2, Corollary 3.2.14 and Subsection 3.1.1]). *The dimension of the Grassmannian as an algebraic variety is $\dim \mathbb{G}(m, n) = mn$ and its degree is*

$$\deg \mathbb{G}(m, n) = \frac{0!1! \dots (n-1)!}{m!(m+1)! \dots (m+n-1)!} (mn)!$$

In particular, the Grassmann variety $\mathbb{G}(2, d - 1)$ of the lines of $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has dimension $2(d - 1) = 2d - 2$ and its degree is $\frac{1}{2d-1} \binom{2d-1}{d} > 0$. \square

Remember that an algebraic surface $\mathbb{G} \subset \mathbb{P}$ of dimension n and a projective subspace $S \leq \mathbb{P}$ of co-dimension n always meet over an algebraically closed field.

Theorem 26. *Over algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} , if the set \mathcal{L} of lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has at most $2d - 2$ elements, then there exists a subspace H in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ of co-dimension two that meets each element of \mathcal{L} , and thus, \mathcal{L} is not a generator set.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{L} = \{L(1), \dots, L(2d - 2)\}$ has exactly $2d - 2$ elements (if not, we can extend it). The subspace $L(1)^\perp \cap \dots \cap L(2d - 2)^\perp$ has co-dimension at most $2d - 2$ in $\text{PG}(\binom{d+1}{2} - 1, \mathbb{F})$. The Grassmannian $\mathbb{G}(d - 1, 2)$ of the 2-co-dimensional subspaces of $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has dimension $2(d - 1) = 2d - 2$ and its degree is $\frac{1}{2d-1} \binom{2d-1}{d} > 0$.

Thus, $L(1)^\perp \cap \dots \cap L(2d - 2)^\perp \cap \mathbb{G}(d - 1, 2)$ contains at least $\frac{1}{2d-1} \binom{2d-1}{d} \geq 1$ elements, which are subspaces of co-dimension two meeting the lines in \mathcal{L} . \square

Corollary 27. *Over algebraically closed field \mathbb{F} , arbitrary $2d - 1$ distinct diverted tangent lines ℓ_t in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ constitute a generator set of minimal size. Thus, over algebraically closed fields the lower bound $2d - 1$ is tight.* \square

4 The Guruswami–Kopparty constructions

In their very recent work [3], Venkatesan Guruswami and Swastik Kopparty construct subspace designs.

Definition 28 (Weak subspace design [3, Definition 2]). A collection $H_1, \dots, H_M \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ of subspaces is called a weak (s, A) subspace design if for every q -linear subspace $W \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ of dimension s , the number of indices i for which $\dim_q(H_i \cap W) > 0$ is at most A .

A collection of at most A subspaces would always be a weak (s, A) subspace design, so the definition is not meaningless only if the subspace design contains at least $A + 1$ subspaces.

Definition 29 (Strong subspace design [3, Definition 3]). A collection of subspaces $H_1, \dots, H_M \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ is called a strong (s, A) subspace design if for every q -linear subspace $W \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ of dimension s , the sum $\sum_{i=1}^M \dim_q(H_i \cap W)$ is at most A .

Every strong (s, A) subspace design is also a weak (s, A) subspace design, and every weak (s, A) subspace design is also a strong (s, sA) subspace design. The main theorem of [3] is the following.

Theorem 30 (Guruswami–Kopparty [3, Theorem 7]). *For all positive integers $s, r, t, m = d + 1$ and prime powers q satisfying $s \leq t \leq d + 1 < q$, there is an explicit collection of $M = \Omega\left(\frac{q^r}{rt}\right)$ linear subspaces $H_1, \dots, H_M \subset \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$, each of co-dimension rt , which forms a strong $\left(s, \frac{d \cdot s}{r \cdot (t-s+1)}\right)$ subspace design.* \square

4.1 Relation to higgledy-piggledy lines

If we dualize our problem (to find a minimal collection of lines such that no subspace of co-dimension two intersects all of them) and use linear terminology instead of projective one, we want to find a collection of subspaces L_1, \dots, L_N of co-dimension two having the property that for every 2-dimensional subspace (projective line) H , at most $N - 1$ of the L_i 's intersect H non-trivially. So, we seek a weak $(2, N - 1)$ subspace design of N subspaces of co-dimension two, where N is minimal.

Remark 31. If we have a weak (s, A) subspace-design of M subspaces ($M > A$), then any $A + 1$ subspaces among them constitute a weak (s, A) subspace design. Thus, if we have a weak $(2, N - 1)$ subspace design of $M \geq N$ subspaces of co-dimension two, we will also have a set of N lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

We are interested in $(2, N - 1)$ subspace designs containing subspaces of co-dimension two, thus $s = 2 = rt$, and thus $r = 1$ and $t = 2$. In this case the Guruswami–Kopparty Theorem 30 gives a strong $(2, 2d)$ subspace design containing $M > \text{const} \cdot q$ subspaces of co-dimension two. If $M > 2d$, this design (after dualization) gives us a set of $2d + 1$ lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

Watching the Guruswami–Kopparty constructions [3, Sections 4–5] with both eyes, we can behold the fact that these constructions yield a little bit stronger version of Theorem 30. This will be shown in the following two subsections.

4.2 Constructions based on Folded Reed–Solomon codes

Guruswami and Kopparty based their main result [3, Theorem 7] on the following construction presented in [3, Section 4]. We will use d instead of $m - 1$. Let $s \leq t \leq d + 1 < q$ and r be positive integer parameters and identify \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1} with the \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace of polynomials of degree $\leq d$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$ and let ω denote a generator of \mathbb{F}_q^* . For $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$, let $S_\alpha \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ be given by

$$S_\alpha = \{\alpha^{q^j} \omega^i \mid 0 \leq j < r, 0 \leq i < t\}.$$

Let $\mathcal{F} \subseteq \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$ be a large set such that:

- For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$: $\mathbb{F}_q(\alpha) = \mathbb{F}_{q^r}$.
- For $\alpha \neq \beta \in \mathcal{F}$: $S_\alpha \cap S_\beta = \emptyset$.
- Each S_α has cardinality rt .

For each $\alpha \in \mathcal{F}$ let

$$H_\alpha = \{P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1} \mid P(\alpha \cdot \omega^i) = 0 : \forall i = 0, 1, \dots, t - 1\}$$

Theorem 32 (Guruswami–Kopparty [3, Theorem 14]). *Using the notation above, the collection $\{H_\alpha \mid \alpha \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a strong $\left(s, \frac{d \cdot s}{r \cdot (t - s + 1)}\right)$ subspace design.*

We do not repeat the proof here, for details see [3, pages 8–10]. The keystone of the proof of this theorem above is the following matrix. Let $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ be a subspace and let the polynomials P_1, \dots, P_s constitute a basis of W . Define the following $t \times s$ matrix of polynomials:

$$M(X) = \begin{bmatrix} P_1(X) & \dots & P_s(X) \\ P_1(X\omega) & \dots & P_s(X\omega) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_1(X\omega^{t-1}) & \dots & P_s(X\omega^{t-1}) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A(X)$ be the top $s \times s$ submatrix of $M(X)$ and let $L(X)$ be the determinant of $A(X)$.

The term $d \cdot s$ in the parameter $\left(s, \frac{d \cdot s}{r(t-s+1)}\right)$ comes directly from the fact that the polynomial $L(X)$ has degree at most $d \cdot s$. We can give a better bound for this degree:

Lemma 33. *The polynomial $L(X)$ has degree at most $ds - \binom{s}{2}$.*

Proof. The basis P_1, \dots, P_s of the subspace $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ can be chosen (by Gaussian elimination) such that $\deg(P_1) < \deg(P_2) < \dots < \deg(P_s) \leq d$ and thus, $\deg(L) \leq d + \dots + (d - (s - 1)) = ds - \frac{s(s-1)}{2}$. \square

As a consequence, the Guruswami–Kopparty Theorem 32 above will have the following improved form.

Corollary 34 (Guruswami–Kopparty; improved version). *Using the notation above, the collection $\{H_\alpha | \alpha \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a strong $\left(s, \frac{(d - \frac{s-1}{2})s}{r(t-s+1)}\right)$ subspace design.* \square

This observation shows that the Guruswami–Kopparty construction of [3, Section 4] based on Folded Reed–Solomon codes actually give us a strong $(2, 2d-1)$ subspace design, and thus, a set of $2d$ lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

4.3 Constructions based on Multiplicity codes

The main result of [3] is also proved by the following construction presented in [3, Section 5] which could be used only over large characteristics. We will again use d instead of $m - 1$. Let $0 < s \leq t \leq d+1 < \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$ be integer parameters and identify \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1} with the \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace of polynomials of degree $\leq d$ in $\mathbb{F}_q[X]$. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q$ let

$$H_\alpha = \{P(X) \in \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1} \mid \text{mult}(P, \alpha) \geq t\}$$

Theorem 35 (Guruswami–Kopparty [3, Theorem 17]). *For every \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ with $\dim(W) = s$ we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \dim(H_\alpha \cap W) \leq \frac{d \cdot s}{t - s + 1}$$

We do not repeat the proof here, for details see [3, pages 11–12]. The proof of this theorem uses the the following matrix. Let $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ be a subspace and let the polynomials P_1, \dots, P_s constitute a basis of W . Define the following $t \times s$ matrix of polynomials:

$$M(X) = \begin{bmatrix} P_1(X) & \dots & P_s(X) \\ P_1'(X) & \dots & P_s'(X) \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ P_1^{(t-1)}(X) & \dots & P_s^{(t-1)}(X) \end{bmatrix}$$

Let $A(X)$ be the top $s \times s$ submatrix of $M(X)$ and let $L(X)$ be the determinant of $A(X)$.

The term $d \cdot s$ in the parameter $\frac{d \cdot s}{t-s+1}$ in Theorem 35 above comes from the fact $\deg(L(X)) \leq ds$. As in the previous subsection, there is again a better bound for this degree:

Lemma 36. *The polynomial $L(X)$ has degree at most $s(d - s + 1)$.*

Proof. Expanding the determinant $L(X) = \sum_{\pi \in S_s} (-1)^{I(\pi)} \prod_{k=1}^s P_{\pi(k)}^{(k-1)}(X)$, each term $\prod_{k=1}^s P_{\pi(k)}^{(k-1)}(X)$ has degree $\sum_{k=1}^s (\deg(P_{\pi(k)}) - (k-1))$, that is equal to $\sum_{k=1}^s \deg(P_k) - \binom{s}{2}$. The basis P_1, \dots, P_s of the subspace $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ can be chosen (by Gaussian elimination) such that $\deg(P_1) < \deg(P_2) < \dots < \deg(P_s) \leq d$ and thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \deg(L) &\leq \left(\sum_i \deg(P_i) \right) - \binom{s}{2} \leq (d + \dots + (d - (s - 1))) - \binom{s}{2} = \\ &= \left(sd - \binom{s}{2} \right) - \binom{s}{2} = ds - 2 \frac{s(s-1)}{2} = s(d - s + 1). \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

As a consequence, the Guruswami–Kopparty Theorem 35 above will have the following improved form.

Corollary 37 (Guruswami–Kopparty; improved). *For every \mathbb{F}_q -linear subspace $W \leq \mathbb{F}_q^{d+1}$ with $\dim(W) = s$ we have*

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \dim(H_\alpha \cap W) \leq \frac{(d - s + 1)s}{t - s + 1} \quad \square$$

These stronger versions of [3, Theorem 14] and [3, Theorem 17] stated in these subsections imply a stronger version for the main [3, Theorem 7] as follows.

Theorem 38 (Guruswami–Kopparty; improved). *For all positive integers $s, r, t, m = d+1$ and prime powers q satisfying $s \leq t \leq m < q$, there is an explicit collection of $M = \Omega\left(\frac{q^r}{rt}\right)$ linear subspaces $H_1, \dots, H_M \subset \mathbb{F}_q^m$, each of co-dimension rt , which forms a strong (s, A) subspace design, where $A \leq \frac{(m-1-\frac{s-1}{2})s}{r(t-s+1)}$, and even $A \leq \frac{(m-s)s}{r(t-s+1)}$ if $m < \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$. \square*

So, we have shown that over large enough characteristic, the construction of [3, Section 5] based on multiplicity codes actually give us a strong $(2, 2d - 2)$ subspace design, and thus, a set of $2d - 1$ lines in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

5 Open questions

As we have seen previously, subspace designs constructed by Guruswami and Kopparty [3] can also give us hyperplane-generating set of lines of size $2d - 1$ (if $\text{char } \mathbb{F} > d + 1$), the optimal size over algebraically closed field. But examples in low dimensions show that much smaller hyperplane-generating sets of lines could exist, if the field is finite.

Open Problem 39. While hunting for weak and strong (s, A) subspace designs aims subspace designs of cardinality as large as possible (while s and A are constants), our problem is to find as small as possible hyperplane-generating sets of lines, which are weak $(2, N-1)$ subspace designs of cardinality N where N is as small as possible. In this article we have proved that (if the field \mathbb{F} has at least $1.5d$ elements, then) a generator set \mathcal{L} of lines in $\text{PG}(d, \mathbb{F})$ has to contain at least $\lfloor \frac{d}{2} \rfloor + d$ elements. Open problem is to find minimal size hyperplane-generating sets of lines over fields that are not algebraically closed.

Open Problem 40. A natural generalization of the hyperplane-generating sets of lines would be the following. A set \mathcal{L} of k -subspaces is said to be *generating set* (or set of k -subspaces in higgledy-piggledy arrangement) if each subspace H of *co-dimension* k meet \mathcal{L} in a set of points that generates H . Open question is the minimal size of a set of k -subspaces in higgledy-piggledy arrangement.

Open Problem 41. We have shown that the Guruswami–Kopparty construction based on multiplicity codes gives stronger results than the construction based on Folded Reed–Solomon codes, in case $m < \text{char } \mathbb{F}_q$. We conjecture that using the generalization of our trick of ‘diverting’ the tangents of the moment curve (shown in Subsection 3.2), can generalize these Guruswami–Kopparty constructions over small characteristics, and thus, the main Guruswami–Kopparty Theorem can be improved over fields of small characteristics.

References

- [1] Tamás Héger, Balázs Patkós, and Marcella Takáts. Search Problems in Vector Spaces. *Designs, Codes and Cryptography*, 2014. doi:10.1007/s10623-014-9941-9
- [2] Laurent Manivel (Author); John R. Swallow (Translator). *Symmetric Functions, Schubert Polynomials and Degeneracy Loci*. SMF/AMS Texts and Monographs, no. 6. Cours Spécialisés [Specialized Courses], no. 3. American Mathematical Society; Société Mathématique de France, Paris, 2001.
- [3] Venkatesan Guruswami, and Swastik Kopparty. Explicit Subspace Designs *HPI ECCC Electronic Colloquium on Computational Complexity* 10th April 2013 <http://eccc.hpi-web.de/report/2013/060/>