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Abstract

First we develop the theory of local rules for coboundary categories. Then we describe the local rules in two main cases. First for the quantum groups in general and in the seminormal representations of the Hecke algebras. Then for crystals in general and specifically for crystals of minuscule representations. Finally we show how growth diagrams can be extended to construct the action of the cactus group on highest weight words.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05E10, 18D10

1 Introduction

Coboundary categories were originally defined by Drinfel’d and were used to construct the braiding for quantum groups. This approach fell into relative decline compared to the alternative approach due to Jimbo. More recently, there has been a renewed interest in coboundary categories since the combinatorial categories of crystals are coboundary (but not braided).

The cactus groups are related to coboundary categories in the same way as the braid groups are related to braided categories. In particular, for any crystal $C$, the cactus group $\mathfrak{C}_r$ acts on the set of highest weight words in $\otimes^r C$ preserving the weight.

The basic example is to take $C$ to be the crystal of the vector representation of $\text{GL}(n)$. This gives an action of $\mathfrak{C}_r$ on standard tableaux of size $r$ preserving the shape. This action encompasses much of the standard combinatorics of standard tableaux: each of the following operations on standard tableaux is given by the action of a specific element of the cactus group,

- evacuation
- promotion
• dual Knuth moves

The coboundary structure on crystals, and other coboundary categories, gives a natural generalisation of these operations.

There are two constructions of the coboundary structure on crystals. One uses the Lusztig involutions and the other the Kashiwara involution on the crystal $B_\infty$. The construction using the Lusztig involutions gives a coboundary structure on the category of highest weight representations of the quantised enveloping algebra of a finite dimensional semisimple Lie algebra. The construction using the Kashiwara involution is more general since it gives a coboundary structure on the category of integrable representations of the quantised enveloping algebra of a symmetrisable Kac-Moody algebra.

These two constructions are both technical. The aim of this paper is to develop an effective construction of the action of the cactus groups. This approach is based on the theory of local moves. In the basic example of tableaux this approach recovers the construction of promotion and evacuation using Fomin growth diagrams. These were introduced as an effective construction of these operations and have superseded the previous constructions using jeu-de-taquin.

The local rules for crystals of minuscule representations and their growth diagrams are applied in [16].

This paper is organised as follows. Section 2 gives the basic definitions of coboundary categories and cactus groups. Then section 3 follows [15] and develops the theory of local moves in an arbitrary coboundary category. In section 4 we describe the local rules for quantum groups using Drinfel’d unitarisation, [6], and we give an implicit construction of the homomorphisms from cactus groups to Hecke algebras by giving the local rules in the seminormal representations. In section 5 we give the local rules for the path models of crystals following [23]. These local rules are particularly effective for crystals of minuscule representations. Finally in section 6 we show that constructions of operations on standard tableaux using growth diagrams generalise to crystals.

2 Coboundary categories

Coboundary categories are monoidal categories with extra structure which implies that taking the tensor product of two objects in the two possible orders gives two objects which are naturally isomorphic. We assume, for simplicity of exposition, that a monoidal category means a strict monoidal category.

2.1 Coboundary categories

The original definition of a coboundary category from [6] is:

Definition 2.1. A coboundary category is a monoidal category together with commutors $\sigma_{A,B} : A \otimes B \to B \otimes A$ for all objects $A, B$. These commutors are natural maps and are required to satisfy the three conditions

• $\sigma_{A,I} = 1_A$ and $\sigma_{I,B} = 1_B$
• $\sigma_{A,B} \circ \sigma_{B,A} = 1_{B \otimes A}$

• the following diagram commutes
\[
\begin{array}{c}
A \otimes B \otimes C \xrightarrow{1_A \otimes \sigma_{B,C}} A \otimes C \otimes B \\
\sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_C \\
B \otimes A \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{B \otimes A,C}} C \otimes B \otimes A
\end{array}
\]

These conditions imply that the following diagram commutes
\[
\begin{array}{c}
C \otimes B \otimes A \xrightarrow{\sigma_{C \otimes B,A}} A \otimes C \otimes B \\
\sigma_{C,B} \otimes 1_A \\
B \otimes A \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{B \otimes A,C}} A \otimes B \otimes C
\end{array}
\]

2.2 Cactus groups

The finite presentations of the cactus groups were originally given in [5].

Definition 2.2. The $r$-fruit cactus group, $\mathcal{C}_r$, has generators $s_{p,q}$ for $1 \leq p < q \leq r$ and defining relations

• $s_{p,q}^2 = 1$

• $s_{p,q} s_{k,i} = s_{k,i} s_{p,q}$ if $[p, q] \cap [k, l] = \emptyset$

• $s_{p,q} s_{k,i} = s_{p+q-i, p+q-k} s_{p,q}$ if $[k, l] \subseteq [p, q]$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_r$ be the symmetric group on $r$ letters. There is a homomorphism $\mathcal{C}_r \to \mathfrak{S}_r$ defined by $s_{p,q} \mapsto \hat{s}_{p,q}$ where $\hat{s}_{p,q}$ is the permutation

\[
\hat{s}_{p,q}(i) = \begin{cases} 
    p + q - i & \text{if } p \leq i \leq q \\
    i & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

Let $\hat{s}_{p,q}$ be the image of $s_{p,q}$ under the homomorphism $\mathcal{C}_r \to \mathfrak{S}_r$. Then $\hat{s}_{p,q}$ is the permutation

\[
\hat{s}_{p,q}(i) = \begin{cases} 
    i & \text{if } 1 \leq i < p \\
    q - s + i & \text{if } p \leq i \leq s \\
    p - s + i - 1 & \text{if } s < i \leq q \\
    i & \text{if } q < i \leq r
\end{cases}
\]
The following relations between the two sets of generators are given in [7, Lemma 3].

\[
\sigma_{p,q} s_{k,l} = \begin{cases} 
  s_{k+q-r,l+q-r} & \text{if } [k : l] \subseteq [p : r] \\
  s_{k+p-r-1,l+p-r} & \text{if } [k : l] \subseteq [r + 1 : q]
\end{cases}
\] (7)

\[
sp,q = \sigma_{p,r} sp,r sr+1,q
\] (8)

Part of the relation between coboundary categories and the cactus groups is the following two connections. First, for each \(r \geq 0\) and each object \(A\) of a coboundary category, there is a natural action of \(\mathfrak{C}_r\) on \(\otimes^r A\). Secondly, the free coboundary category on the category with one morphism is the groupoid \(\coprod_{r \geq 0} \mathfrak{C}_r\). This gives the generators in (5).

3 Local rules

First we define local rules in a coboundary category, following [15].

Definition 3.1. The local rules, \(\tau_{A,B,C}^A\): \(A \otimes B \otimes C \rightarrow A \otimes C \otimes B\), are defined to be the composite

\[
A \otimes B \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_C} B \otimes A \otimes C \xrightarrow{\sigma_{B,A} \otimes C} A \otimes C \otimes B
\] (9)

The coboundary structure is recovered by taking \(\sigma_{B,C} = \tau_{B,C}^I\). The coboundary condition can then be rewritten as

\[
\tau_{A,B}^C \sigma_{A,C} \otimes 1_B 1_A \otimes \sigma_{B,C} = \sigma_{B,C} \otimes 1_A \tau_{A,C}^B \sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_C
\]

as maps \(A \otimes B \otimes C \rightarrow C \otimes B \otimes A\).

In the rest of this section we give some further properties of local rules.

Lemma 1. For all \(A, B, C\), \(\tau_{B,C}^A \tau_{C,B}^A = 1_{A \otimes B \otimes C}\).

Proof. The following diagram is commutative

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccc}
A \otimes B \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_C} & B \otimes A \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{B,A} \otimes C} & A \otimes C \otimes B \\
1_A \otimes \sigma_{B,C} & \downarrow & \sigma_{B \otimes A,C} & \downarrow & \sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_C \\
A \otimes C \otimes B & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,C} \otimes B} & C \otimes B \otimes A & \xrightarrow{1_C \otimes \sigma_{B,A}} & C \otimes A \otimes B \\
1_A \otimes \sigma_{C,B} & \downarrow & \sigma_{C,B \otimes 1_A} & \downarrow & \sigma_{B,A \otimes C} \\
A \otimes B \otimes C & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{A,B} \otimes C} & B \otimes C \otimes A & \xrightarrow{\sigma_{B \otimes C,A}} & A \otimes B \otimes C
\end{array}
\] (10)

This completes the proof since the top edge is \(\tau_{B,C}^A\), the right edge is \(\tau_{C,B}^A\), the left edge is \(1_{A \otimes B \otimes C}\) and the bottom edge is \(1_{A \otimes B \otimes C}\).

Lemma 2. For all \(A, B, C, D\),

\[
\tau_{B,C \otimes D}^A = \tau_{B,D}^A \tau_{B,C}^A \otimes 1_D
\] (11)
Proof.

\[\tau_{B,D}^A \tau_{B,C}^A \otimes 1_D = (\sigma_{B,A \otimes C \otimes D} \sigma_{A \otimes C,B} \otimes 1_D) (\sigma_{B,A \otimes C} \otimes 1_D \sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_{C \otimes D}) = \sigma_{B,A \otimes C \otimes D} \sigma_{A,B} \otimes 1_{C \otimes D} = \tau_{B,C \otimes D}^A \]

**Lemma 3.** For all \(A, B, C, D\),

\[\tau_{B \otimes C,D}^A = \tau_{B,D}^A \otimes 1_C \tau_{C,D}^{A \otimes B} \tag{12}\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 2 we have

\[\tau_{D,B \otimes C}^A = \tau_{D,C}^{A \otimes B} \tau_{D,B}^A \otimes 1_C\]

Taking the inverse of both sides using Lemma 1 gives the result. \(\square\)

The next Lemma constructs the commutors \(\sigma_{B, \otimes r+1}^B\) for \(r > 0\) from the local rules \(\tau_{B,B}^{\otimes r}\).

**Lemma 4.** For each object \(B\) and \(r \geq 0\),

\[\sigma_{B, \otimes r+1}^B = \tau_{B,B}^{\otimes r} \tau_{B,B}^{\otimes r-1} \cdots \tau_{B,B}^{\otimes 1} \]

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on \(r\). The base of the induction is the case \(r = 0\) which is the observation that \(\tau_{B,C}^I = \sigma_{B,C}\).

Substitute \(A = I, C = \otimes^r B, D = B\) in Lemma 2, 3. This gives

\[\sigma_{B, \otimes r+1}^B = \tau_{B,B}^{\otimes r} \sigma_{B, \otimes r} B \otimes 1_B\]

\(\square\)

### 3.1 Relations

The \(BK\)-group was introduced [12] as the group generated by the Bender-Knuth involutions on standard tableaux. This was then shown to be a quotient of the cactus group in [4].

Consider the free group generated by \(\tau_i\). Define \(q_i\) by

\[q_i = \tau_1(\tau_2 \tau_1)\cdots(\tau_i \tau_{i-1} \cdots \tau_1)\]

**Proposition 3.2.** For \(r > 0\), the group \(\mathfrak{C}_r\) is generated by \(\tau_i\) for \(1 \leq i \leq r - 1\) and defining relations are

\[\tau_i^2 = 1\]
\[\tau_i \tau_j = \tau_j \tau_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1\]
\[(\tau_i q_{k-1} q_{k-j} q_{k-1})^2 = 1 \quad \text{for } i + 1 < j < k\]
The inverse isomorphisms are defined on the generators as follows. In one direction
\[ s_{i,j} \mapsto q_j q_{j-i} q_{j-1} \]
and in the other direction by
\[
\tau_i \mapsto \begin{cases} 
    s_{1,2} & \text{if } i = 1 \\
    s_{1,2}s_{1,3}s_{1,2} & \text{if } i = 2 \\
    s_{1,i} s_{1,i+1} s_{1,i+1} & \text{if } i > 2 
\end{cases}
\]

It is shown in [4] that the BK-groups are proper quotients of the cactus groups. However there is no known presentation of the BK groups. We conjecture that the BK-groups are the groups in [13]. These are the quotients of the cactus group under the six term relations.

4 Quantum groups

The aim of this section is to compute \( \tau_{V,V}^{\otimes n} \) for \( V \) a highest weight representation of a quantised enveloping algebra. This calculation is based on Drinfeld unitarisation
\[
\sigma_{V,W} = R_{V,W}(R_{W,V}R_{V,W})^{-1/2}
\]
where \( R \) is the \( R \)-matrix which gives the braiding.

**Definition 4.1.** The \( r \)-string braid group, \( \mathfrak{B}_r \), is generated by \( t_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \) and the defining relations are
\[
    t_i t_{i+1} t_i = t_{i+1} t_i t_{i+1} \\
    t_i t_j = t_j t_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1
\]

**Definition 4.2.** For \( 1 \leq n \leq r \) the Jucys-Murphy element \( J_n \in \mathfrak{B}_r \) is defined by
\[
    J_n = (t_n \ldots t_2 t_1)(t_1 t_2 \ldots t_n)
\]

**Theorem 4.3.** For \( n > 0 \),
\[
    \tau_n = J_{n-1}^{1/2} t_n J_n^{-1/2}
\]

**Proof.** Put \( W = \otimes^n V \). Then we have
\[
    R_{V,W} = t_1 t_2 \ldots t_n \quad \text{and} \quad R_{V,W} = t_n \ldots t_2 t_1
\]
Then, by Drinfeld unitarisation,
\[
    \sigma_{1,1,n} = (t_1 t_2 \ldots t_n)J_n^{1/2}
\]
Then, by Lemma 4, the local rule satisfies
\[
    \sigma_{1,1,n} = \sigma_{1,1,n-1} \tau_n
\]
Substituting from (14) gives

\[ \tau_n = \sigma_{1,1,n-1}^{-1} \sigma_{1,1,n} \]
\[ = J_{n-1}^{1/2}(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_{n-1})^{-1}(t_1 t_2 \cdots t_n) J_n^{-1/2} \]
\[ = J_{n-1}^{1/2} t_n J_n^{-1/2} \]

\[ \square \]

4.1 Hecke algebras

For each \( r \geq 0 \) there is a Hecke algebra \( H_r(q) \). This is an algebra over the field of rational functions, \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \).

The quantum integers are the Laurent polynomials given by

\[ [n] = \frac{q^n - q^{-n}}{q - q^{-1}} \]

For example, \([2] = q + q^{-1}\).

**Definition 4.4.** The Hecke algebra \( H_r(q) \) is generated by \( u_i \) for \( 1 \leq i \leq r - 1 \) and the defining relations are

\[ u_i^2 = -[2] u_i \]
\[ u_i u_{i+1} u_i - u_i = u_{i+1} u_i u_{i+1} - u_{i+1} \]
\[ u_i u_j = u_j u_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1 \]

The algebra homomorphisms \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \mathcal{B}_r \to H_r(q) \) are given by

\[ t_i^{\pm 1} \mapsto q^{\pm 1} + u_i \]

Let \( U_q(N) \) be the quantised enveloping algebra of type \( A_N \). Let \( V \) be the vector representation; this is a fundamental representation of dimension \( N + 1 \). Then quantum Schur-Weyl duality, \([8]\), is the statement that we have surjective homomorphisms

\[ H_r(q) \to \text{End}_{U_q(N)}(\bigotimes^r V) \]

These are isomorphisms for \( r \leq \text{dim} V \).

We also have homomorphisms \( \mathfrak{C}_r \to \text{End}_U(\bigotimes^r V) \) since \( U_q(N)\text{-mod} \) is a coboundary category. These homomorphisms can be lifted to a homomorphism \( \mathfrak{C}_r \to H_r(q) \) which is independent of \( N \).

**Lemma 5.** The cactus commutor \( \sigma_{V,V} \) is the involution

\[ t_1(t_1^2)^{-1/2} = 1 + \frac{2}{[2]} u_1 \]
Proof. We have
\[ t_1 = q + u_1 \quad t_1^2 = q^2 + (q - q^{-1})u_1 \]
The spectral decomposition of \( t_1^2 \) is
\[ t_1^2 = q^2 \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} u_1 \right) + q^{-2} \left( -\frac{1}{2} u_1 \right) \]
Hence
\[ (t_1^2)^{-1/2} = q^{-1} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{2} u_1 \right) + q \left( -\frac{1}{2} u_1 \right) = q^{-1} - \left( \frac{q - q^{-1}}{q + q^{-1}} \right) u_1 \]
Note that \( t_1^{-1} = q^{-1} + u_1 \), so \((t_1^2)^{-1/2} \neq t_1^{-1}\).
Then multiplying by \( t_1 = q + u_1 \) gives the result. \( \square \)
This shows that the homomorphism \( \mathbb{Q}(q) \mathcal{C}_r \to H_r(q) \) is surjective; answering [10, § 10, Question 2].

4.2 Seminormal form
Young’s seminormal forms are representations of the symmetric groups. These were introduced in [25, Theorem IV]. Here we give the analogous construction for the Hecke algebras following [19] and [18].

Fix a shape \( \lambda \) of size \( r \). Then we construct a representation of \( H_r(q) \). The representation has basis the set of standard tableaux of shape \( \lambda \). For a standard tableau, \( T \), let \( s_iT \) be the tableau obtained from \( T \) by interchanging \( i \) and \( i + 1 \). If \( s_iT \) is not standard then put \( s_iT = 0 \).

The content vector of a standard tableau \( T \) of size \( n \) is a function \( c_T: [1, 2, \ldots, n] \to \mathbb{Z} \). The entry \( c_T(k) \in \mathbb{Z} \) is given by \( c_T(k) = j - i \) if \( k \) is in box \((i, j)\) in \( T \). The content vector of \( T \) is the sequence \([c_T(1), \ldots, c_T(r)]\). The content vector of \( T \) determines \( T \). The axial distance is \( a_T(i) = c_T(i + 1) - c_T(i) \).

The following is [17, Theorem 3.22].

Definition 4.5. Then we define the action of \( u_i \) by
\[
  u_i T = \begin{cases}
    \frac{[a-1]}{[a]} T + s_i T & \text{if } c_T(i + 1) > c_T(i) \\
    \frac{[a-1]}{[a]} T + \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a]^2} s_i T & \text{if } c_T(i) > c_T(i + 1)
  \end{cases}
\]
where \( a \) is the axial distance \( a_T(i) \).

If \( T \) is standard and \( s_iT \) is not standard then \( a = \pm 1 \). Then \( u_i T = -[2]T \) if \( a = 1 \) and \( u_i T = 0 \) if \( a = -1 \). Assume \( T \) and \( s_iT \) are standard and \( a > 1 \). Then on the subspace with ordered basis \((T, s_iT)\),
\[
u_i = \begin{bmatrix}
  -\frac{[a-1]}{[a]} & 1 \\
  \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a+1]^2} & -\frac{[a+1]}{[a]}
\end{bmatrix} \quad t_i = \begin{bmatrix}
  \frac{q^a}{[a]} & 1 \\
  \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a+1]^2} & -q^{-a}
\end{bmatrix}
\]
Then the Jucys-Murphy elements are represented by diagonal matrices in seminormal representations.

This is based on the result, see [14].

**Proposition 4.6.**

\[ J_i T = q^{2c_i(T)} T \]

**Theorem 4.7.** The action of \( \tau_i \) is given by

\[
\tau_i T = \begin{cases} 
-\frac{1}{[a]} T + s_i T & \text{if } c_T(i + 1) > c_T(i) \\
-\frac{1}{[a]} T + \left( \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a]^2} \right) s_i T & \text{if } c_T(i) > c_T(i + 1)
\end{cases}
\]

Assume \( T \) and \( s_i T \) are standard and \( a_T(i) > 1 \). Then on the subspace with ordered basis \((T, s_i T)\),

\[
\tau_i = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{[a]} & 1 \\ \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a]^2} & -\frac{1}{[a]} \end{bmatrix}
\]

**Proof.** It is sufficient to check the relation in Theorem 4.3.

By Proposition 4.6,

\[ J_i^{\pm 1/2} T = q^{\pm c_i(T)} T \]

All the matrices are simultaneously block diagonal. Therefore it is sufficient to check this on each block. The check for the \( 2 \times 2 \) blocks is the identity that, for \( r + s = a \),

\[
\begin{bmatrix} q^r & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{[a]} & 1 \\ \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a]^2} & -\frac{1}{[a]} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} q^r & 0 \\ 0 & q^{-s} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \frac{q^r}{[a]} & 1 \\ \frac{[a-1][a+1]}{[a]^2} & -\frac{q^{-s}}{[a]} \end{bmatrix}
\]

\[ \Box \]

## 5 Crystals

For each finite type Cartan matrix there is a monoidal category of finite crystals. These were shown to be coboundary categories in [7]. In this section we describe the local rules.

### 5.1 Crystals

In this section we give a summary of the basic theory of crystals. This is based on [9, Chapter 5].

If \( X \) is a set then \( X_* \) is the pointed set \( X \sqcup \{0\} \). A partial function \( X \rightarrow Y \) can be identified with a map of pointed sets \( X_* \rightarrow Y_* \).

The minimal data for a **normal crystal** is a finite set \( B \) together with partial functions \( e_i : B \rightarrow B \) for \( i \in I \). Each \( e_i \) is injective and nilpotent.

A **morphism** \( B \rightarrow B' \) is a partial function \( F : B_* \rightarrow B'_* \) such that \( F \circ e_i = e'_i \circ F \) for \( i \in I \).

The minimal data for a crystal is usually presented as a directed graph with vertex set \( B \) and edges labelled by \( I \). There is an \( i \)-edge from \( x \rightarrow y \) if and only if \( e_i x = y \). The directed graph of a crystal has no oriented cycles.
The minimal data we have presented determines additional data. In most presentations of the theory of crystals this data is included in the definition.

The first additional data we add are the partial functions \( f_i : B \rightarrow B \) for \( i \in I \). These are defined by \( f_i x = y \) if and only if \( e_i y = x \). These are also injective and nilpotent.

Now we define functions \( \varepsilon_i, \varphi_i : B \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \) by
\[
\varepsilon_i(x) = \max \{ k | e_i^k x \neq 0 \}
\]
\[
\varphi_i(x) = \max \{ k | f_i^k x \neq 0 \}
\]
The weight function is given by
\[
\text{wt}_i(x) = \langle \varepsilon(x) - \varphi(x), \alpha_i^\vee \rangle
\]

An element \( x \in B \) is highest weight if \( e_i(x) = 0 \), or, equivalently, if \( \varepsilon_i(x) = 0 \) for all \( i \in I \).

A homomorphism between crystals induces a weight-preserving partial function between the highest weight elements and is determined by this partial function.

The tensor product of crystals \( B \) and \( C \) is constructed as follows:

The set underlying \( B \otimes C \) is \( B \times C \). The partial functions, \( e_i \), for \( i \in I \), are defined by
\[
e_i(x \otimes y) = \begin{cases} e_i(x) \otimes y & \text{if } \varphi(x) \geq \varepsilon(y) \\ x \otimes e_i(y) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]
The functions \( \varepsilon_i \) and \( \varphi_i \) are defined by
\[
\varepsilon_i(x \otimes y) = \varepsilon_i(x) + \max \{ 0, \varepsilon_i(y) - \varphi_i(x) \}
\]
\[
\varphi_i(x \otimes y) = \varphi_i(x) + \max \{ 0, \varphi_i(x) - \varepsilon_i(y) \}
\]

Then we have \( \text{wt}(x \otimes y) = \text{wt}(x) + \text{wt}(y) \).

The highest weight elements of \( B \otimes C \) are the elements \( x \otimes y \) such that \( x \in B \) is highest weight and \( \varepsilon_i(y) \leq \varphi_i(x) \) for \( i \in I \).

The main result of [7] is that the monoidal category of crystals is a coboundary category. This gives a natural action of \( \mathfrak{c}_r \) on the set \( B(\omega) \) for each dominant weight, \( \omega \).

There is a second construction of the coboundary structure in [11] using the Kashiwara involution. This construction is given on highest weight elements by the formula
\[
\sigma_{B\lambda,B\mu}(b_\lambda \otimes c) = b_\mu \otimes^* c
\]

### 5.2 Words

Let \( C \) be a crystal of a finite type Cartan matrix. For \( r \geq 0 \), let \( \otimes^r C \) be the crystal of words in \( C \) of length \( r \). Then we have an isomorphism of crystals
\[
\otimes^r C \cong \coprod_{\omega} C(\omega) \times B(\omega)
\]
where $B(\omega)$ is a set and $C(\omega)$ is a connected crystal. This isomorphism is canonical if we take $B(\omega)$ to be the set of highest weight words of length $r$ and weight $\omega$ (since an automorphism of a connected crystal is trivial).

This is an isomorphism of crystals so for $w \leftrightarrow (P, Q)$ we have $e_i w \leftrightarrow (e_i P, Q)$ and $f_i w \leftrightarrow (f_i P, Q)$ for all $\alpha \in I$.

Let $w \leftrightarrow (P, Q)$ under this correspondence. Then $P$ generalises the insertion tableau and $Q$ generalises the recording tableau. For $w \leftrightarrow (P, Q)$ and $w' \leftrightarrow (P', Q')$; then $P = P'$ means $w$ and $w'$ are in the same position in isomorphic components; and $Q = Q'$ means $w$ and $w'$ are in the same component.

In special cases there is a combinatorial construction of the sets $B(\omega)$ and a corresponding insertion algorithm.

5.3 Tableaux

Take $C$ to be the crystal of the vector representation of $\text{GL}(n)$. Then the decomposition (15) is the Robinson-Schensted correspondence with $B(\omega)$ the set of standard tableaux of shape $\omega$.

Let $\omega$ be a partition of size $r$. Then we have an action of the $r$-fruit cactus group, $\mathfrak{C}_r$, on the set of standard tableaux of shape $\omega$. The group $\mathfrak{C}_r$ is generated by the elements $s_{1,p}$ for $2 \leq p \leq r$; so the action of $\mathfrak{C}_r$ is determined by the action of these elements. For $2 \leq p \leq r$, the action of $s_{1,p}$ is given by applying evacuation to the subtableau with entries $1, \ldots, p$ leaving the remaining entries fixed.

**Example 5.1.** Take $C$ to be the crystal of the two-dimensional representation of $\text{SL}(2)$. The set $B(0)$ is the set of noncrossing perfect matchings on $r$ points. The action of $s_{1,p}$ is given by the rule that each pair $(i, j)$ with $i < j$ gives a pair

$$
\begin{align*}
(p - j + 1, p - i + 1) & \quad \text{if } i < j \leq p \\
(p - i + 1, j) & \quad \text{if } i \leq p < j \\
(i, j) & \quad \text{if } p < i < j
\end{align*}
$$

The case $r = 6$ is shown in Figure 1.

Then we have the following properties:

- evacuation is given by the action of $s_{1,r}$
- promotion is given by the action of $s_{1,r} s_{2,r}$
- the elements $s_{p,p+1}$ act trivially
- the dual Knuth move $D_i$ is given by the action of $s_{i,i+2}$
5.4 Local rules

Fix a crystal $C$. Then the action of $\mathfrak{C}_r$ on $\otimes^r C$ is determined by the involutions $\tau^B_{C,C}$ where $B$ is arbitrary. These involutions are crystal homomorphisms and so are determined by the restriction to highest weight elements.

**Definition 5.2.** A representation is *minuscule* if the Weyl group acts transitively on the weights of the representation.

The non-trivial minuscule representations are known and, for the convenience of the reader, we give the list here:

- **type $A_n$** All exterior powers of the vector representation.
- **type $B_n$** The spin representation.
- **type $C_n$** The vector representation.
- **type $D_n$** The vector representation and the two half-spin representations.
- **type $E_6$** The two fundamental representations of dimension 27.
- **type $E_7$** The fundamental representation of dimension 56.

There are no nontrivial minuscule representations in types $G_2$, $F_4$ or $E_8$.

**Definition 5.3.** For each weight $\lambda$, there is a unique weight which is both dominant and in the Weyl group orbit of $\lambda$. Denote this element by $\text{dom}_W(\lambda)$.
Example 5.4. For GL(n), the corner labels are weakly decreasing sequences of integers of length $n$. The Weyl group is $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\text{dom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ takes a sequence of integers of length $n$ and rearranges into weakly decreasing order. In this case $\text{dom}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is denoted by $\text{sort}$.

Example 5.5. For Sp(2n), the corner labels are partitions of length $n$. The Weyl group is a hyperoctahedral group. The map $\text{dom}_W$ takes a sequence of integers of length $n$, forms the absolute values, and rearranges into weakly decreasing order.

The following interpretation of [23, Rule 4.1.1] is given in [15, Proposition 4.1].

**Theorem 5.6.** Using the notation in Figure 2; for minuscule crystals $B$ and $C$ the following are equivalent

\begin{align*}
\tau^A_{B,C}(b, h, v) &= (a, v', h') & \mu = \text{dom}_W(\kappa + \nu - \lambda) \\
\tau^A_{C,B}(b, v', h') &= (a, h, v) & \lambda = \text{dom}_W(\kappa + \nu - \mu)
\end{align*}

5.5 Bender-Knuth

In this section we relate the Bender-Knuth involutions, introduced in [2], to local rules.

Denote the $i$-th exterior power of the vector representation of GL(n) by $\Lambda^i$. A tableau is semistandard if the entries weakly increasing along the rows and strictly decrease down the columns. A tableau is dual semistandard if the entries strictly increasing along the rows and weakly decrease down the columns.

It follows from the dual Pieri rule that the set of highest weight elements in the crystal of the tensor product

$$\Lambda^{i_1} \otimes \Lambda^{i_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes \Lambda^{i_r}$$

of weight $\lambda$ corresponds to dual semistandard tableaux of shape $\lambda$ with entries in $[r]$, weight $(i_1, i_2, \ldots, i_r)$. Hence the coboundary structure on the category of crystals gives an action of the $r$-fruit cactus group, $\mathfrak{C}_r$, on the set of dual semistandard tableaux with entries in $[r]$ and shape $\lambda$.

Semistandard tableaux correspond to Gelfand-Tsetlin patterns. A Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern of length $r$ is a sequence of partitions,

$$\emptyset = \lambda^{(0)} \subseteq \lambda^{(1)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \lambda^{(r)}$$

such that each skew shape $\lambda^{(k)}/\lambda^{(k-1)}$ is a horizontal strip. The length is $r$ and the shape is the final shape $\lambda^{(r)}$.

Similarly, dual semistandard tableaux correspond to sequences of partitions,

$$\emptyset = \lambda^{(0)} \subseteq \lambda^{(1)} \subseteq \cdots \subseteq \lambda^{(r)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

such that each skew shape $\lambda^{(k)}/\lambda^{(k-1)}$ is a vertical strip. The length is $r$ and the shape is the final shape $\lambda^{(r)}$.

The exterior powers of the vector representation of GL(n) are all minuscule. Hence the local moves are given by Theorem 5.6. The local move, $\tau_i$, acting on the sequence (16), changes $\lambda^{(i)}$ to

$$\text{sort}(\lambda^{(i-1)} - \lambda^{(i)} + \lambda^{(i+1)})$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)
and does not change the remaining partitions. These local rules determine the action of \( C_r \) on dual semistandard tableaux with entries in \([r]\).

Denote the conjugate of a tableau \( T \) by \( T^t \). The Bender-Knuth involutions are involutions on semistandard tableaux. The Bender-Knuth involution \( b_i \) acts by changing some of the entries \( i \) of the tableau to \( i + 1 \), and some of the entries \( i + 1 \) to \( i \), in such a way that the numbers of elements with values \( i \) or \( i + 1 \) are exchanged. The relation between the local rules \( \tau_i \) acting on dual semistandard tableaux and the Bender-Knuth involution acting on standard tableaux is:

**Lemma 6.** For any dual semistandard tableau, \( T \),

\[ b_i(T^t) = \tau_i(T)^t \]

**Example 5.7.** We apply \( b_2 \) to the tableau

\[
T = \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 2 \\
2 & 3 \\
4
\end{array}
\]

The Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern is the sequence of partitions

[]\[], [3], [4, 1], [4, 2], [4, 2, 1], [4, 2, 1], \ldots

Taking conjugates gives the sequence of partitions

[]\[], [1, 1, 1], [2, 1, 1, 1], [2, 2, 1, 1], [3, 2, 1, 1], [3, 2, 1, 1], \ldots

Now apply the local rule

\[
\text{sort}([1, 1, 1, 0] - [2, 1, 1, 1] + [2, 2, 1, 1]) = \text{sort}([1, 2, 1, 0]) = [2, 1, 1]
\]

Replace the partition [2, 1, 1, 1] by [2, 1, 1] to get the sequence

[]\[], [1, 1, 1], [2, 1, 1], [2, 2, 1, 1], [3, 2, 1, 1], [3, 2, 1, 1], \ldots

Take conjugates to get the sequence

[]\[], [3], [3, 1], [4, 2], [4, 2, 1], [4, 2, 1], \ldots

This is the Gelfand-Tsetlin pattern of the tableau

\[
b_2(T) = \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & 1 & 3 \\
2 & 3 \\
4
\end{array}
\]
5.6 Continuous crystals

The theory of continuous crystals for any Coxeter group is developed in [3]. This is an extension of the Littelmann path model. In particular they construct an analogue of the Lusztig involution in §4.10. This then gives a coboundary structure on the category of continuous crystals by the construction in [7].

The local moves for these coboundary categories are given in [23, § 5].

The following is [23, 5.1 Rule].

Definition 5.8. Let \( f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}} \) be a piecewise linear function. The function \( f \) satisfies the local rules if, for every pair of intervals \([s_0, s_1], [t_0, t_1] \subseteq [0, 1] \) such that \( f \) is linear on \( \{s_0\} \times [t_0, t_1] \) and \([s_0, s_1] \times \{t_1\} \) one has

\[
f(s, t) = \text{dom}_W(f(s_0, t) + f(s, t_1) - f(s_0, t_1))
\]

The following is [23, 5.3 Theorem].

Theorem 5.9. Let \( \kappa, \lambda, \nu \) be dominant weights. Let \( \pi' \) be a \( \kappa \)-dominant path with \( \pi'(1) = \lambda - \kappa \) and \( p \) a \( \lambda \)-dominant path with \( p(1) = \nu - \lambda \). Then there is a unique \( f : [0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow X_{\mathbb{Q}} \) which satisfies the local rules and such that \( f(0, t) = \kappa + \pi'(t) \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \) and \( f(s, 1) = \lambda + p(s) \) for \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \).

Putting \( \mu = f(1, 0) \) we define a \( \kappa \)-dominant path, \( \pi \), with \( \pi(1) = \mu - \kappa \) by \( \pi(s) = f(s, 0) - \kappa \) for \( 0 \leq s \leq 1 \) and a \( \mu \)-dominant path, \( p' \), with \( p'(1) = \nu - \mu \) by \( p'(t) = f(1, t) - \mu \) for \( 0 \leq t \leq 1 \).

Theorem 5.10. Let \( \kappa, \lambda, \nu \) be dominant weights. Let \( \pi' \) be a \( \kappa \)-dominant path with \( \pi'(1) = \lambda - \kappa \) and \( p \) a \( \lambda \)-dominant path with \( p(1) = \nu - \lambda \). Then

\[
\tau_{C(\kappa), C(\lambda)}^{C(\mu)}(a, \pi', p) = (a, \pi, p')
\]

\[
\tau_{C(\mu), C(\lambda)}^{C(\kappa)}(a, \pi, p') = (a, \pi', p)
\]

where \( a \in C(\kappa) \) is highest weight.

6 Growth diagrams

The local rules are used to build growth diagrams. Growth diagrams are used to define operations. The main examples are:

- promotion; two row growth diagrams
- evacuation; triangular growth diagrams
- rectification; rectangular growth diagrams

Our notation for a highest weight element \( x \otimes y \in B \otimes C \) is \( \lambda \xrightarrow{y} \mu \) where \( \lambda = \text{wt}(x) \) and \( \mu = \text{wt}(x \otimes y) \). This notation extends to highest weight words. Given a word \( w = x_1 \otimes x_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes x_r \) define the weights \( \lambda_k \) for \( 0 \leq k \leq r \) by \( \lambda_0 = 0 \) and \( \lambda_i = \lambda_{i-1} + \text{wt}(x_i) \).
for $1 \leq k \leq r$. Then the word $w$ is highest weight if and only if $\lambda_{k-1} \leq\varphi_i(x_k)$ for $i \in I$ and $1 \leq k \leq r$. This implies that $\lambda_k$ is dominant for $0 \leq k \leq r$. The word $w$ is then represented by
\begin{equation}
0 \xrightarrow{x_1} \lambda_1 \xrightarrow{x_2} \cdots \xrightarrow{x_{r-1}} \lambda_{r-1} \xrightarrow{x_r} \lambda_r.
\end{equation}
If $C$ is minuscule then we can safely omit the edge labels in (18) and Figure 2 as these are determined by the corner labels.

**Definition 6.1.** A cell is a square as shown in Figure 2. The directed edges represent highest weight words using the notation in (18).

We say that this cell satisfies the local rules if the following two conditions are satisfied. These two conditions are equivalent by Lemma 1.

\[\tau_{B,C}^A(a,h,v) = (a,v',h') \quad \tau_{C,B}^A(a,v',h') = (a,h,v)\]

where $a$ is the highest weight element of $A$.

A growth diagram is then a diagram of cells in which each cell satisfies the local rules.

**Definition 6.2.** Let $B$ be a crystal and $w \in B$. Then the rectification of $w$, $\text{rect}(w)$, is the unique highest weight element in $B$ such that $w$ and $\text{rect}(w)$ are in the same connected component of $B$.

**Lemma 7.** Let $B$ be a crystal and $w \in B$. Choose a crystal $A$ and $u \in A$ such that $u \otimes w \in A \otimes B$ is highest weight. Then $\sigma_{A,B}(u,w) = (\text{rect}(w), u')$.

**Remark 6.3.** The element $u'$ is also given by the Kashiwara involution on the crystal $B_\infty$.

**Proof.** Put $\sigma_{A,B}(u,w) = (w', u')$. Then $w'$ is highest weight because $w'u'$ is highest weight. Also $w$ and $w'$ are in the same component because $\sigma$ is a crystal morphism. \qed

In terms of diagrams, we have that Lemmas 2 and 3 say that these are equal and similarly for two squares stacked vertically.

\begin{equation}
\lambda \xrightarrow{u} \nu \quad v \quad \sigma \quad \lambda \xrightarrow{u \otimes v} \sigma
\end{equation}
This shows that rectification is given in terms of local rules by a rectangular growth diagram. This generalises the construction of rectification of skew tableaux using Fomin growth diagrams given in [22, Chapter 7: Appendix 1 Figure A1-11].

6.1 Evacuation

In this section we give the growth diagram description of evacuation. We show that the result of applying $s_{1,r}$ to a highest weight word, as in (18), can be read off a triangular diagram. The triangular diagram for the case $r = 3$ is shown in Figure 3.

Define evacuation to be the action of $s_{1,r}$. The growth diagram for evacuation is triangular.

Definition 6.4. A triangular growth diagram is a triangular diagram with vertices labelled by dominant weights and edges labelled by elements of crystals such that the labels of each cell satisfy the local rules as represented in Figure 2. We also require that the first vertex on each row is labelled by the zero weight.

These conditions imply that a triangular growth diagram can be reconstructed from the top edge viewed as a highest weight word.

Proposition 6.5. Given a triangular growth diagram let $w$ be the top edge viewed as a highest weight word and let $w'$ be the right edge viewed as a highest weight word. Then $w' = s_{1,r}(w)$.

This generalises the construction of the Schützenberger involution (aka evacuation) of tableaux in [22, Figure A1-13].

Proof. The proof is by induction on $r$. The basis of the induction is the case $r = 2$.

The inductive step is based on the relation $s_{1,r+1} = \sigma_{1,r,r+1}s_{1,r}$ which is a special case of (7). The inductive step is given by interpreting this in terms of growth diagrams. The inductive hypothesis gives the growth diagram for $s_{1,r}$ and (19) (with the squares stacked vertically) gives the growth diagram for $\sigma_{1,r,r+1}$.

Note that it follows from the symmetry of the local rules that the reflection of a triangular growth diagram is also a triangular growth diagram. This shows that $w' = s_{1,r}(w)$ if and only if $w = s_{1,r}(w')$ so evacuation is an involution.
6.2 Promotion

In this section we give the growth diagram description of promotion. Define promotion to be the action of $s_{1,r} s_{2,r}$. The growth diagram for promotion consists of two rows.

The two row diagram for the case $r = 3$ is shown in Figure 4.

Definition 6.6. A two row growth diagram is a two row diagram with vertices labelled by dominant weights and edges labelled by elements of crystals such that the labels of each cell satisfy the local rules as represented in Figure 2. We also require that the first vertex on each row is labelled by the zero weight.

These conditions imply that a two row growth diagram can be reconstructed from the top edge viewed as a highest weight word.

Proposition 6.7. Given a two row growth diagram let $w$ be the top edge viewed as a highest weight word and let $w'$ be the bottom edge viewed as a highest weight word. Then $w' = s_{1,r} s_{2,r}(w)$.

This generalises the construction of promotion on tableaux given in [20, Figure 6].

6.3 Cylindrical diagrams

Cylindrical growth diagrams for GL($N$) are used to describe the action of various elements of $C_r$ on standard tableaux of size $r$. This construction is given in [21, § 6], [24] and [1]. In this section we use local rules to generalise this construction to any crystal.

Definition 6.8. Let $\mathbb{I} \subset \mathbb{Z}^2$ be the subset consisting of pairs $(i, j)$ such that $0 \leq j - i \leq r$. A cylindrical growth diagram of shape $\lambda$ is a function $\gamma$ from $\mathbb{I}$ to highest weights such that

- $\gamma(i, j) = 0$ if $j - i = 0$
- $\gamma(i, j) = \lambda$ if $j - i = r$
- Every unit square satisfies the local rule.

The type is the sequence of dominant weights $\lambda^{(i)} = \gamma(i, i + 1)$. The set of growth diagrams of type $\lambda^{(i)}$ and shape $\lambda$ corresponds to highest weight elements of $C(\lambda^{(1)}) \otimes C(\lambda^{(2)}) \otimes \cdots \otimes C(\lambda^{(r)})$ of weight $\lambda$. This follows because all horizontal edges in the same column are labelled by the same crystal and all vertical edges in the same row are labelled by the same crystal. Furthermore these two sequences agree.
Example 6.9. A cylindrical growth diagram is shown in Figure 5. The rows are standard tableaux.

Definition 6.10. A path through \( I \) is a sequence \((i_0, j_0), (i_1, j_1), \ldots, (i_r, j_r)\) of elements of \( I \) such that \( i_0 = j_0 \) and, for \( 0 \leq k < r \), the difference \((i_{k+1}, j_{k+1}) - (i_k, j_k)\) is either \((-1, 0)\) or \((0, 1)\).

Given a cylindrical growth diagram, \( \gamma \) and a path we can restrict \( \gamma \) to the path by taking the sequence \( \gamma(i_0, j_0), \gamma(i_1, j_1), \ldots, \gamma(i_r, j_r) \) to get a highest weight vector. For a fixed path this is a bijection between cylindrical growth diagrams and paths. The inverse map is giving by extending using the boundary conditions and the local rules.

Each path defines an operation on highest weight words. These operations on a highest weight word \( w \) are constructed by taking the cylindrical growth diagram with \( w \) on the top edge. Then the result of applying the operation to \( w \) is given by reading the path as a highest weight word. For example, the horizontal paths give the powers of the promotion operator.

The following is a generalisation of [24, Proposition 3.31]. This is an extension of the description of wall crossing in [21, § 6.1]. This is illustrated in [24, Figure 4].

Definition 6.11. Define an operator \([p : q]\) on cylindrical growth diagrams of length \( r \) and shape \( \lambda \). The action of \([p : q]\) on \( \gamma \) satisfies

\[
([p : q] \gamma)(i, j) = \begin{cases} 
\gamma(i, j) & \text{if } [i : j] \cap [p : q] = \emptyset \\
\gamma(i', j') & \text{if } [p : q] \subset [i : j] \\
\gamma(i, j') & \text{if } [i : j] \subset [p : q]
\end{cases}
\] (20)

where \( i' = p + q - j \) and \( j' = p + q - i \). These conditions specify \([p : q] \gamma\) on the path \((p, j), j \geq p\) and so determine \([p : q] \gamma\).

Theorem 6.12. The map \( s_{p,q} \mapsto [p : q] \) extends to an action of \( C_r \) on highest weight words of length \( r \) and shape \( \lambda \).

Proof. This follows from Proposition 6.7 and the identity (4).

Example 6.13. An example of a cylindrical growth diagram for a symplectic group is shown in Figure 6. The rows are oscillating tableaux.

If we start with the oscillating tableau on the first row.
Promotion is given by the second row

Evacuation is given by the column with head $\emptyset$

Figure 6: A symplectic cylindrical growth diagram

Example 6.14. In this example we give the action of $s_{3,6}$ on the oscillating tableau in Example 6.13. We start by filling in the third row or the sixth column. Then we complete the diagram using the local rules. This gives Figure 7. Then we take the oscillating tableaux on the first row.
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Figure 7: A symplectic cylindrical growth diagram


