# A Dynamic Survey of Graph Labeling

Joseph A. Gallian
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
University of Minnesota Duluth
Duluth, Minnesota 55812
jgallian@d.umn.edu

AMS Subject Classification: 05C78.

Submitted: September 1, 1996; Accepted: November 14, 1997; Tenth edition, January 3, 2007

#### Abstract

A graph labeling is an assignment of integers to the vertices or edges, or both, subject to certain conditions. Graph labelings were first introduced in the late 1960s. In the intervening years dozens of graph labelings techniques have been studied in over 800 papers. Finding out what has been done for any particular kind of labeling and keeping up with new discoveries is difficult because of the sheer number of papers and because many of the papers have appeared in journals that are not widely available. In this survey I have collected everything I could find on graph labeling. For the convenience of the reader the survey includes a detailed table of contents and index.

## Contents

1	Intr	roduction	4		
2		ceful and Harmonious Labelings	6		
	2.1	Trees			
	2.2	Cycle-Related Graphs			
	2.3	Product Related Graphs			
	2.4	Complete Graphs			
	2.5	Disconnected Graphs	14		
	2.6	Joins of Graphs	16		
	2.7	Miscellaneous Results	17		
	2.8	Summary	20		
		Table 1: Summary of Graceful Results	21		
		Table 2: Summary of Harmonious Results	25		
3	Var	iations of Graceful Labelings	28		
	3.1	$\alpha$ -labelings	28		
		Table 3: Summary of Results on $\alpha$ -labelings	33		
		Table 4: Summary of Results on $\alpha$ -labelings	34		
	3.2	k-graceful Labelings	34		
	3.3	$\gamma$ -Labelings	36		
	3.4	Skolem-Graceful Labelings	37		
	3.5	Odd Graceful Labelings	38		
	3.6	Graceful-like Labelings	39		
	3.7	Cordial Labelings	43		
	3.8	The Friendly Index	49		
	3.9	k-equitable Labelings	50		
	3.10	Hamming-graceful Labelings	52		
4	Variations of Harmonious Labelings 55				
	4.1	Sequential and Strongly c-harmonious Labelings	52		
	4.2	Elegant Labelings	56		
	4.3	Felicitous Labelings	57		
5	Mag	gic-type Labelings	<b>5</b> 9		
	5.1	Magic Labelings	59		
		Table 5: Summary of Magic Labelings	64		
	5.2	Edge-magic Total and Super Edge-magic Labelings	67		
		Table 6: Summary of Edge-magic Total Labelings	73		
		Table 7: Summary of Super Edge-magic Labelings	76		
	5.3	Vertex-magic Total Labelings and Totally Magic Labelings	79		
		Table 8: Summary of Vertex-magic Total Labelings	83		
	5.4	1-vertex magic vertex labeling			

	Table 9: Summary of 1-vertex Magic Vertex Labelings	85
5.5	·	
	Table 10: Summary of Magic Labelings of Type $(a, b, c)$	
5.6	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
5.7	v.	
	Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings	
	iscellaneous Labelings	108
6.1	1	
	Table 12: Summary of sum graph Labelings	
6.2	0	
	Table 13: Summary of Prime Labelings	
	Table 14: Summary of Vertex Prime Labelings	
6.3		
	Table 15: Summary of Edge-graceful Labelings	126
6.4	Line-graceful Labelings	127
6.5	Radio Labelings	127
6.6	Representations of Graphs modulo $n \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots \dots$	129
6.7	k-sequential Labelings	130
6.8	IC-colorings	130
6.9	Product Cordial Labelings	131
6.1	0 Prime Cordial Labelings	131
	1 Binary Labelings	
	2 Average Labelings	
	3 Sequentially Additive Graphs	
	4 Divisor Graphs	
	5 Strongly Multiplicative Graphs	
	6 Strongly *-graphs	
	7 Mean Labelings	
	8 Irregular total Labelings	
	9 Sigma Labelings	
	0 Difference Graphs	
	1 Set Graceful and Set Sequential Graphs	
Refer	ences	135
Index		175

## 1 Introduction

Most graph labeling methods trace their origin to one introduced by Rosa [572] in 1967, or one given by Graham and Sloane [311] in 1980. Rosa [572] called a function f a  $\beta$ valuation of a graph G with q edges if f is an injection from the vertices of G to the set  $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$  such that, when each edge xy is assigned the label |f(x)-f(y)|, the resulting edge labels are distinct. Golomb [305] subsequently called such labelings graceful and this is now the popular term. Rosa introduced  $\beta$ -valuations as well as a number of other labelings as tools for decomposing the complete graph into isomorphic subgraphs. In particular, β-valuations originated as a means of attacking the conjecture of Ringel [567] that  $K_{2n+1}$  can be decomposed into 2n+1 subgraphs that are all isomorphic to a given tree with n edges. Although an unpublished result of Erdős says that most graphs are not graceful (cf. [311]), most graphs that have some sort of regularity of structure are graceful. Sheppard [627] has shown that there are exactly q! gracefully labeled graphs with q edges. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar [93] have shown that every graph is a subgraph of a graceful graph. Rosa [572] has identified essentially three reasons why a graph fails to be graceful: (1) G has "too many vertices" and "not enough edges," (2) G "has too many edges," and (3) G "has the wrong parity." An infinite class of graphs that are not graceful for the second reason is given in [139]. As an example of the third condition Rosa [572] has shown that if every vertex has even degree and the number of edges is congruent to 1 or 2 (mod 4) then the graph is not graceful. In particular, the cycles  $C_{4n+1}$  and  $C_{4n+2}$  are not graceful.

Harmonious graphs naturally arose in the study by Graham and Sloane [311] of modular versions of additive bases problems stemming from error-correcting codes. They defined a graph G with q edges to be harmonious if there is an injection f from the vertices of G to the group of integers modulo q such that when each edge xy is assigned the label  $f(x) + f(y) \pmod{q}$ , the resulting edge labels are distinct. When G is a tree, exactly one label may be used on two vertices. Analogous to the "parity" necessity condition for graceful graphs, Graham and Sloane proved that if a harmonious graph has an even number q of edges and the degree of every vertex is divisible by  $2^k$  then q is divisible by  $2^{k+1}$ . Thus, for example, a book with seven pages (i.e., the cartesian product of the complete bipartite graph  $K_{1,7}$  and a path of length 1) is not harmonious. Liu and Zhang [491] have generalized this condition as follows: if a harmonious graph with q edges has degree sequence  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p$  then  $\gcd(d_1, d_2, \ldots d_p, q)$  divides q(q-1)/2. They have also proved that every graph is a subgraph of a harmonious graph. Determining whether a graph has a harmonious labeling was shown to be NP-complete by Auparajita, Dulawat, and Rathore in 2001 (see [413]).

Over the past three decades in excess of 800 papers have spawned a bewildering array of graph labeling methods. Despite the unabated procession of papers, there are few general results on graph labelings. Indeed, the papers focus on particular classes of graphs and methods, and feature ad hoc arguments. In part because many of the papers have appeared in journals not widely available, frequently the same classes of graphs have been done by several authors and in some cases the same terminology is used

for different concepts. In this article, we survey what is known about numerous graph labeling methods. The author requests that he be sent preprints and reprints as well as corrections for inclusion in the updated versions of the survey.

Earlier surveys, restricted to one or two labeling methods, include [127], [144], [397], [288], and [290]. The extension of graceful labelings to directed graphs arose in the characterization of finite neofields by Hsu and Keedwell [357], [358]. The relationship between graceful digraphs and a variety of algebraic structures including cyclic difference sets, sequenceable groups, generalized complete mappings, near-complete mappings, and neofields is discussed in [148], [149]. The connection between graceful labelings and perfect systems of difference sets is given in [130]. Labeled graphs serve as useful models for a broad range of applications such as: coding theory, x-ray crystallography, radar, astronomy, circuit design, communication network addressing and data base management—see [145], [146] and [697] for details. Terms and notation not defined below follow that used in [201] and [288].

## 2 Graceful and Harmonious Labelings

#### 2.1 Trees

The Ringel-Kotzig conjecture that all trees are graceful has been the focus of many papers. Kotzig [360] has called the effort to prove it a "disease." Among the trees known to be graceful are: caterpillars [572] (a caterpillar is a tree with the property that the removal of its endpoints leaves a path); trees with at most 4 end-vertices [360], [789] and [368]; trees with diameter at most 5 [789] and [355]; trees with at most 27 vertices [23]; symmetrical trees (i.e., a rooted tree in which every level contains vertices of the same degree) [131], [556]; rooted trees where the roots have odd degree and the lengths of the paths from the root to the leaves differ by at most one and all the internal vertices have the same parity [185]; the graph obtained by identifying the endpoints any number of paths of a fixed length except for the case that the length has the form 4r+1, r>1 and the number of paths is of the form 4m with m > r [585]; regular bamboo trees [585] (a rooted tree consisting of branches of equal length the endpoints of which are identified with end points of stars of equal size); and olive trees [550], [2] (a rooted tree consisting of k branches, where the ith branch is a path of length i). Aldred, Siráň and Siráň [24] have proved that the number of graceful labelings of  $P_n$  grows at least as fast as  $(5/3)^n$ . They mention that this fact has an application to topological graph theory.

Eshghi and Azimi [256] discuss a programming model for finding graceful labelings of graphs. They used this method to verify that all trees with 30, 35, or 40 vertices are graceful. Stanton and Zarnke [675] and Koh, Rogers, and Tan [398] gave methods for combining graceful trees to yield larger graceful trees. Burzio and Ferrarese [172] have shown that the graph obtained from any graceful tree by subdividing every edge is also graceful. Morgan [526] has used Skolem sequences to construct classes of graceful trees. In 1979 Bermond [127] conjectured that lobsters are graceful (a lobster is a tree with the property that the removal of the endpoints leaves a caterpillar). Special cases of this conjecture have been done by Ng [539], by Wang, Jin, Lu, and Zhang [741], and by Abhyanker [1]. Morgan [525] has shown that all lobsters with perfect matchings are graceful. Morgan and Rees [527] have used Skolem and Hooked-Skolem sequences to generate classes of graceful lobsters. Whether or not lobsters are harmonious seems to have attracted no attention thus far. Barrientos [111] defines a y-tree as a graph obtained from a path by appending an edge to a vertex of a path adjacent to an end point. He proves that graphs obtained from a y-tree T by replacing every edge  $e_i$  of T by a copy of  $K_{2,n_i}$  in such a way that the ends of  $e_i$  are merged with the two independent vertices of  $K_{2,n_i}$  after removing the edge  $e_i$  from T are graceful.

Chen, Lü, and Yeh [204] define a firecracker as a graph obtained from the concatenation of stars by linking one leaf from each. They also define a banana tree as a graph obtained by connecting a vertex v to one leaf of each of any number of stars (v is not in any of the stars). They proved that firecrackers are graceful and conjecture that banana trees are graceful. Sethuraman and Jesintha [610] have shown that all banana trees and extended banana trees (graphs obtained by joining a vertex to one leaf of each of any number of

stars by a path of length of at least two) are graceful. Various kinds of bananas trees had been shown to be graceful by Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [134], by Murugan and Arumugam [532], [534] and by Vilfred [723]. Despite the efforts of many, the graceful tree conjecture remains open even for trees with maximum degree 3. Aldred and McKay [23] used a computer to show that all trees with at most 26 vertices are harmonious. That caterpillars are harmonious has been shown by Graham and Sloane [311]. In a paper published in 2004 Krishnaa [412] claims to proved that all trees have both graceful and harmonious labelings. However, her proofs were flawed.

Cahit extended the notion of gracefulness to directed graphs in [186]. More specialized results about trees are contained in [127], [144], [397], [494], [179], [367], and [573].

### 2.2 Cycle-Related Graphs

Cycle-related graphs have been the major focus of attention. Rosa [572] showed that the n-cycle  $C_n$  is graceful if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4) and Graham and Sloane [311] proved that  $C_n$  is harmonious if and only if  $n \equiv 1$  or 3 (mod 4). Wheels  $W_n = C_n + K_1$ are both graceful and harmonious – [276], [353] and [311]. As a consequence we have that a subgraph of a graceful (harmonious) graph need not be graceful (harmonious). The n-cone (also called the n-point suspension of  $C_m$ )  $C_m + \overline{K_n}$  has been shown to be graceful when  $m \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 12) by Bhat-Nayak and Selvam [140]. When n is even and m is 2, 6 or 10 (mod 12)  $C_m + \overline{K_n}$  violates the parity condition for a graceful graph. Bhat-Nayak and Selvam [140] also prove that the following cones are graceful:  $C_4 + \overline{K_n}$ ,  $C_5 + \overline{K_2}$ ,  $C_7 + \overline{K_2}$  $\overline{K_n}$ ,  $C_9 + \overline{K_2}$ ,  $C_{11} + \overline{K_n}$  and  $C_{19} + \overline{K_n}$ . The helm  $H_n$  is the graph obtained from a wheel by attaching a pendant edge at each vertex of the n-cycle. Helms have been shown to be graceful [39] and harmonious [302], [487], [488] (see also [491], [601], [480], [220] and [561]). Koh, et al. [399] define a web graph as one obtained by joining the pendant points of a helm to form a cycle and then adding a single pendant edge to each vertex of this outer cycle. They asked whether such graphs are graceful. This was proved by Kang, Liang, Gao, and Yang [377]. Yang has extended the notion of a web by iterating the process of adding pendant points and joining them to form a cycle and then adding pendant points to the new cycle. In his notation, W(2,n) is the web graph whereas W(t,n) is the generalized web with t n-cycles. Yang has shown that W(3,n) and W(4,n) are graceful (see [377]), Abhyanker and Bhat-Nayak [3] have done W(5,n) and Abhyanker [1] has done W(t,5) for  $5 \le t \le 13$ . Gnanajothi [302] has shown that webs with odd cycles are harmonious. Seoud and Youssef [601] define a closed helm as the graph obtained from a helm by joining each pendant vertex to form a cycle and a *flower* as the graph obtained from a helm by joining each pendant vertex to the central vertex of the helm. They prove that closed helms and flowers are harmonious when the cycles are odd. A qear graph is obtained from the wheel by adding a vertex between every pair of adjacent vertices of the cycle. Ma and Feng [498] have proved all gears are graceful. Liu [487] has shown that if two or more vertices are inserted between every pair of vertices of the n-cycle of the wheel  $W_n$ , the resulting graph is graceful. Liu [485] has also proved that the graph obtain from a gear graph by attaching one or more pendant points to each vertex between the cycle

vertices is graceful.

Abhyanker [1] has investigated various unicyclic (that is, graphs with exactly one cycle) graphs. He proved that the unicyclic graphs obtained by identifying one vertex of  $C_4$  with the root of the olive tree with 2n branches and identifying an adjacent vertex on  $C_4$  with the end point of the path  $P_{2n-2}$  are graceful. He showed that if one attaches any number of pendent edges to these unicyclic graphs at the vertex of  $C_4$  that is adjacent to the root of the olive tree but not adjacent to the end vertex of the attached path the resulting graphs are graceful. Likewise, he proved that the graph obtained by deleting the branch of length 1 from an olive tree with 2n branches and identifying the root of the edge deleted tree with a vertex of a cycle of the form  $C_{2n+3}$  is graceful. He also has a number of results similar to these.

Delorme, et al. [223] and Ma and Feng [497] showed that any cycle with a chord is graceful. This was first conjectured by Bodendiek, Schumacher, and Wegner [157], who proved various special cases. Koh and Yap [400] generalized this by defining a cycle with a  $P_k$ -chord to be a cycle with the path  $P_k$  joining two nonconsecutive vertices of the cycle. They proved that these graphs are graceful when k=3 and conjectured that all cycles with a  $P_k$ -chord are graceful. This was proved for  $k \geq 4$  by Punnim and Pabhapote in 1987 [558]. Chen [209] obtained the same result except for three cases which were then handled by Gao [318]. Xu [759] proved that all cycles with a chord are harmonious except for  $C_6$  in the case where the distance in  $C_6$  between the endpoints of the chord is 2. The gracefulness of cycles with consecutive chords have also been investigated. For  $3 \leq p \leq n-r$ , let  $C_n(p,r)$  denote the n-cycle with consecutive vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  to which the r chords  $v_1v_p, v_1v_{p+1}, \dots, v_1v_{p+r-1}$  have been added. Koh and others, [399] and [393], have handled the cases r=2,3 and n-3 where n is the length of the cycle. Goh and Lim |304| then proved that all remaining cases are graceful. Moreover, Ma |496| has shown that  $C_n(p, n-p)$  is graceful when  $p \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$  and Ma, Liu, and Liu [499] have proved other special cases of these graphs are graceful. Ma also proved that if one adds to the graph  $C_n(3, n-3)$  any number  $k_i$  of paths of length 2 from the vertex  $v_1$  to the vertex  $v_i$  for  $i=2,\ldots,n$ , the resulting graph is graceful. Chen [209] has shown that apart from four exceptional cases, a graph consisting of three independent paths joining two vertices of a cycle is graceful. This generalizes the result that a cycle plus a chord is graceful. Liu [484] has shown that the n-cycle with consecutive vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  to which the chords  $v_1v_k$  and  $v_1v_{k+2}$   $(2 \le k \le n-3)$  are adjoined is graceful.

In [221] Deb and Limaye use the notation C(n, k) to denote the cycle  $C_n$  with k cords sharing a common endpoint called the apex. For certain choices of n and k there is a unique C(n, k) graph and for other choices there is more than one graph possible. They call these shell-type graphs and they call the unique graph C(n, n-3) a shell. Notice that the shell C(n, n-3) is the same as the fan  $F_{n-1}$ . Deb and Limaye define a multiple shell to be a collection of edge disjoint shells that have their apex in common. A multiple shell is said to be balanced with width w if every shell has order w or every shell has order w or w+1. Deb and Limaye [221] have conjectured that all multiple shells are harmonious, and have shown that the conjecture is true for the balanced double shells and balanced triple shells. Yang, Xu, Xi, and Qiao [771] proved the conjecture is true for balanced

quadruple shells.

Sethuraman and Dhavamani [604] use H(n,t) to denote the graph obtained from the cycle  $C_n$  by adding t consecutive chords incident with a common vertex. If the common vertex is u and v is adjacent to u, then for  $k \ge 1$ ,  $n \ge 4$  and  $1 \le t \le n - 3$ , Sethuraman and Dhavamani denote by G(n,t,k) the graph obtained by taking the union of k copies of H(n,k) with the edge uv identified. They conjecture that every graph G(n,t,k) is graceful. They prove the conjecture for the case that t = n - 3.

For i = 1, 2, ..., n let  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, ..., v_{i,2m}$  be the successive vertices of n copies of  $C_{2m}$ . Sekar [585] defines a chain of cycles  $C_{2m,n}$  as the graph obtained by identifying  $v_{i,m}$  and  $v_{i+1,m}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., n-1. He proves that  $C_{6,2k}$  and  $C_{8,n}$  are graceful for all k and all n. Barrientos [110] proved that all  $C_{8,n}$ ,  $C_{12,n}$  and  $C_{6,2k}$  are graceful.

Truszczyński [717] studied unicyclic graphs and proved several classes of such graphs are graceful. Among these are what he calls dragons. A dragon is formed by joining the end point of a path to a cycle (Koh, et al. [399] call these tadpoles). This work led Truszczyński to conjecture that all unicyclic graphs except  $C_n$ , where  $n \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4), are graceful. Guo [317] has shown that dragons are graceful when the length of the cycle is congruent to 1 or 2 (mod 4). In his Master's thesis, Doma [231] investigates the gracefulness of various unicyclic graphs where the cycle has up to 9 vertices. Because of the immense diversity of unicyclic graphs, a proof of Truszczyński's conjecture seems out of reach in the near future.

Cycles that share a common edge or a vertex have received some attention. Murugan and Arumugan [531] have shown that books with n pentagonal pages (i.e., n copies of  $C_5$ with an edge in common) are graceful when n is even and not graceful when n is odd. Let  $C_n^{(t)}$  denote the one-point union of t cycles of length n. Bermond and others ([128] and [130]) proved that  $C_3^{(t)}$  (that is, the friendship graph or Dutch t-windmill) is graceful if and only if  $t \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) while Graham and Sloane [311] proved  $C_3^{(t)}$  is harmonious if and only if  $t \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Koh et al. [394] conjecture that  $C_n^{(t)}$  is graceful if and only if  $nt \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4). Yang and Lin [765] have proved the conjecture for the case n=5and Yuansheng, Xirong, Yue, and Huijun [787]did the case n = 7. Qian [560] verifies this conjecture for the case that t=2 and n is even. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [267] have shown that if  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  then the one-point union of 2, 3 or 4 copies of  $C_m$  admits a special kind of graceful labeling called an  $\alpha$ -valuation (see Section 3.1) and if  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then the one-point union of 2 or 4 copies of  $C_m$  admits an  $\alpha$ -valuation. Bodendiek, Schumacher, and Wegner [156] proved that the one-point union of any two cycles is graceful when the number of edges is congruent to 0 or 3 modulo 4. (The other cases violate the necessary parity condition.) Shee [623] has proved that  $C_4^{(t)}$  is graceful for all t. Seoud and Youssef [599] have shown that the one-point union of a triangle and  $C_n$  is harmonious if and only if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and that if the one-point union of two cycles is harmonious then the number of edges is divisible by 4. The question of whether this latter condition is sufficent is open. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [267] have shown that if G is harmonious then the onepoint union of an odd number of copies of G using the vertex labeled 0 as the shared point

is harmonious. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [617] have shown that for a variety of choices of points, the one-point union of any number of non-isomorphic complete bipartite graphs is graceful. They raise the question of whether this is true for all choices of the common point.

Another class of cycle-related graphs is that of triangular cacti. A triangular cactus is a connected graph all of whose blocks are triangles. A triangular snake is a triangular cactus whose block-cutpoint-graph is a path (a triangular snake is obtained from a path  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  by joining  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  to a new vertex  $w_i$  for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ ). Rosa [574] conjectured that all triangular cacti with  $t \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) blocks are graceful. (The cases where  $t \equiv 2$  or 3 (mod 4) fail to be graceful because of the parity condition.) Moulton [528] proved the conjecture for all triangular snakes. A proof of the general case (i.e., all triangular cacti) seems hopelessly difficult. Liu and Zhang [491] gave an incorrect proof that triangular snakes with an odd number of triangles are harmonious while triangular snakes with  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  triangles are not harmonious. Xu [760] subsequently proved that triangular snakes are harmonious if and only if the number of triangles is not congruent to 2 (mod 4).

Defining an n-polygonal snake analogous to triangular snakes Sekar [585] has shown that such graphs are graceful when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  ( $n \geq 8$ ) and when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and the number of polygons is even. Gnanajothi [302, pp. 31–34] had earlier shown that quadrilateral snakes are graceful. Grace [310] has proved that  $K_4$ -snakes are harmonious. Rosa [574] has also considered analogously defined quadrilateral and pentagonal cacti and examined small cases.

Several people have studied cycles with pendant edges attached. Frucht [276] proved that any cycle with a pendant edge attached at each vertex (i.e., a "crown") is graceful. Bu, Zhang, and He [171] have shown that any cycle with a fixed number of pendant edges adjoined to each vertex is graceful. Barrientos [107] defines a hairy cycle as a unicyclic graph other than a cycle in which the deletion of any edge of the cycle results in a caterpiller. He proves that all hairy cycles are graceful [107]. This subsumes the result of Bu, Zhang, and He. Barrientos [107] also proves: if G is a graceful graph of order mand size m-1, then  $G \odot nK_1$  and  $G+nK_1$  are graceful; if G is a graceful graph of order p and size q with q > p, then  $(G \cup (q+1-p)K_1) \odot nK_1$  is graceful. In [105] Barrientos proved that helms (graphs obtained from a wheel by attaching one pendant edge to each vertex) are graceful. Grace [309] showed that an odd cycle with one or more pendant edges at each vertex is harmonious and conjectured that an even cycle with one pendant edge attached at each vertex is harmonious. This conjecture has been proved by Liu and Zhang [490], Liu [487] and [488], Hegde [338], Huang [359], and Bu [162]. Sekar [585] has shown that the graph obtained by attaching a path of fixed length to each vertex of a cycle is graceful. For any  $n \geq 3$  and any t with  $1 \leq t \leq n$ , let  $C_n^{+t}$  denote the class of graphs formed by adding a single pendant edge to t vertices of a cycle of length n. Ropp [571] proved that for every n and t the class  $C_n^{+t}$  contains a graceful graph. Gallian and Ropp [288] conjectured that for all n and t, all members of  $C_n^{+t}$  are graceful. This was proved by Qian [560] and by Kang, Liang, Gao, and Yang [377]. Of course, this is just a special case of the aforementioned conjecture of Truszczyński that all unicyclic graphs except  $C_n$  for  $n \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4) are graceful. Sekar [585] proved that the graph obtained by identifying an endpoint of a star with a vertex of a cycle is graceful.

### 2.3 Product Related Graphs

Graphs that are cartesian products and related graphs have been the subject of many papers. That planar grids,  $P_m \times P_n$ , are graceful was proved by Acharya and Gill [15] in 1978 although the much simpler labeling scheme given by Maheo [504] in 1980 for  $P_m \times P_2$ readily extends to all grids. In 1980 Graham and Sloane [311] proved ladders,  $P_m \times P_2$ , are harmonious when m > 2 and in 1992 Jungreis and Reid [374] showed that the grids  $P_m \times P_n$  are harmonious when  $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$ . A few people have looked at graphs obtained from planar grids in various ways. Kathiresan [379] has shown that graphs obtained from ladders by subdividing each step exactly once are graceful and that graphs obtained by appending an edge to each vertex of a ladder are graceful [381]. Acharya [13] has shown that certain subgraphs of grid graphs are graceful. Lee [420] defines a Mongolian tent as a graph obtained from  $P_m \times P_n$ , n odd, by adding one extra vertex above the grid and joining every other vertex of the top row of  $P_m \times P_n$  to the new vertex. A Mongolian village is a graph formed by successively amalgamating copies of Mongolian tents with the same number of rows so that adjacent tents share a column. Lee proves that Mongolian tents and villages are graceful. A Young tableau is a subgraph of  $P_m \times P_n$  obtained by retaining the first two rows of  $P_m \times P_n$  and deleting vertices from the right hand end of other rows in such a way that the lengths of the successive rows form a nonincreasing sequence. Lee and Ng [432] have proved that all Young tableaus are graceful. Lee [420] has also defined a variation of Mongolian tents by adding an extra vertex above the top row of a Young tableau and joining every other vertex of that row to the extra vertex. He proves these graphs are graceful.

*Prisms* are graphs of the form  $C_m \times P_n$ . These can be viewed as grids on cylinders. In 1977 Bodendiek, Schumacher, and Wegner [157] proved that  $C_m \times P_2$  is graceful when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . According to the survey by Bermond [127], Gangopadhyay and Rao Hebbare did the case that m is even about the same time. In a 1979 paper, Frucht [276] stated without proof that he had done all m. A complete proof of all cases and some related results were given by Frucht and Gallian [279] in 1988. In 1992 Jungreis and Reid [374] proved that all  $C_m \times P_n$  are graceful when m and n are even or when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{m}$ 4). Yang and Wang have shown that the prisms  $C_{4n+2} \times P_{4m+3}$  [770],  $C_n \times P_2$  [768], and  $C_6 \times P_m (m \ge 2)$  (see [770]) are graceful. Singh [640] proved that  $C_3 \times P_n$  is graceful for all n. In their 1980 paper Graham and Sloane [311] proved that  $C_m \times P_n$  is harmonious when n is odd and they used a computer to show  $C_4 \times P_2$ , the cube, is not harmonious. In 1992 Gallian, Prout, and Winters [292] proved that  $C_m \times P_2$  is harmonious when  $m \neq 4$ . In 1992, Jungreis and Reid [374] showed that  $C_4 \times P_n$  is harmonious when  $n \geq 3$ . Huang and Skiena [361] have shown that  $C_m \times P_n$  is graceful for all n when m is even and for all n with  $3 \le n \le 12$  when m is odd. Abhyanker [1] proved that the graphs obtained from  $C_{2m+1} \times P_5$  by adding a pendent edge to each vextex of the outercycle is graceful.

Torus grids are graphs of the form  $C_m \times C_n$  (m > 2, n > 2). Very little success has

been achieved with these graphs. The graceful parity condition is violated for  $C_m \times C_n$  when m and n are odd and the harmonious parity condition [311, Theorem 11] is violated for  $C_m \times C_n$  when  $m \equiv 1, 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  and n is odd. In 1992 Jungreis and Reid [374] showed that  $C_m \times C_n$  is graceful when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and n is even. A complete solution to both the graceful and harmonious torus grid problems will most likely involve a large number of cases.

There has been some work done on prism-related graphs. Gallian, Prout, and Winters [292] proved that all prisms  $C_m \times P_2$  with a single vertex deleted or single edge deleted are graceful and harmonious. The Möbius ladder  $M_n$  is the graph obtained from the ladder  $P_n \times P_2$  by joining the opposite end points of the two copies of  $P_n$ . In 1989 Gallian [287] showed that all Möbius ladders are graceful and all but  $M_3$  are harmonious. Ropp [571] has examined two classes of prisms with pendant edges attached. He proved that all  $C_m \times P_2$  with a single pendant edge at each vertex are graceful and all  $C_m \times P_2$  with a single pendant edge at each vertex are graceful.

Another class of cartesian products that has been studied is that of books and "stacked" books. The book  $B_m$  is the graph  $S_m \times P_2$  where  $S_m$  is the star with m+1 vertices. In 1980 Maheo [504] proved that the books of the form  $B_{2m}$  are graceful and conjectured that the books  $B_{4m+1}$  were also graceful. (The books  $B_{4m+3}$  do not satisfy the graceful parity condition.) This conjecture was verified by Delorme [222] in 1980. Maheo [504] also proved that  $L_n \times P_2$  and  $B_{2m} \times P_2$  are graceful. Both Grace [308] and Reid (see [291]) have given harmonious labelings for  $B_{2m}$ . The books  $B_{4m+3}$  do not satisfy the harmonious parity condition [311, Theorem 11]. Gallian and Jungreis [291] conjectured that the books  $B_{4m+1}$  are harmonious. Gnanajothi [302] has verified this conjecture by showing  $B_{4m+1}$  has an even stronger form of labeling – see Section 4.1. Liang [469] also proved the conjecture. In 1988 Gallian and Jungreis [291] defined a stacked book as a graph of the form  $S_m \times P_n$ . They proved that the stacked books of the form  $S_{2m} \times P_n$  are graceful and posed the case  $S_{2m+1} \times P_n$  as an open question. The n-cube  $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$ (n copies) was shown to be graceful by Kotzig [404]—see also [504]. In 1986 Reid [566] found a harmonious labeling for  $K_4 \times P_n$ . Petrie and Smith [551] have investigated graceful labelings of graphs as an exercise in constraint satisfaction. They have shown that  $K_m \times P_n$  is graceful for (m,n) = (4,2), (4,3), (4,4), (4,5), and (5,2) but is not graceful for (3,3) and (6,2). Their labeling for  $K_5 \times P_2$  is the unique graceful labeling. They also considered the graph obtained by identifying the hubs of two copies of  $W_n$ . The resulting graph is not graceful when n=3 but is graceful when n is 4 and 5.

For a bipartite graph G with partite sets X and Y let G' be a copy of G and X' and Y' be copies of X and Y. Lee and Liu [430] define the mirror graph, M(G), of G as the disjoint union of G and G' with additional edges joining each vertex of Y to its corresponding vertex in Y'. The case that  $G = K_{m,n}$  is more simply denoted by M(m,n). They proved that for many cases M(m,n) has a stronger form of gracefull labeling (see §3.1 for details).

The composition  $G_1[G_2]$  is the graph having vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  and edge set  $\{(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) | x_1x_2 \in E(G_1) \text{ or } x_1 = x_2 \text{ and } y_1y_2 \in E(G_2)\}$ . The symmetric product  $G_1 \oplus G_2$  of graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is the graph with vertex set  $V(G_1) \times V(G_2)$  and edge set

 $\{(x_1,y_1),(x_2,y_2)|\ x_1x_2\in E(G_1)\ \text{or}\ y_1y_2\in E(G_2)\ \text{but not both}\}$ . Seoud and Youssef [600] have proved that  $P_n\oplus \overline{K_2}$  is graceful when n>1 and  $P_n[P_2]$  is harmonious for all n. They also observe that the graphs  $C_m\oplus C_n$  and  $C_m[C_n]$  violate the parity conditions for graceful and harmonious graphs when m and n are odd.

### 2.4 Complete Graphs

The questions of the gracefulness and harmoniousness of the complete graphs  $K_n$  have been answered. In each case the answer is positive if and only if  $n \leq 4$  ([305], [639], [311], [132]). Both Rosa [572] and Golomb [305] proved that the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m,n}$  are graceful while Graham and Sloane [311] showed they are harmonious if and only if m or n = 1. Aravamudhan and Murugan [36] have shown that the complete tripartite graph  $K_{1,m,n}$  is both graceful and harmonious while Gnanajothi [302, pp. 25–31] has shown that  $K_{1,1,m,n}$  is both graceful and harmonious and  $K_{2,m,n}$  is graceful. Some of the same results have been obtained by Seoud and Youssef [595] who also observed that when m, n, and p are congruent to 2 (mod 4),  $K_{m,n,p}$  violates the parity conditions for harmonious graphs.

Beutner and Harborth [132] show that  $K_n - e$  ( $K_n$  with an edge deleted) is graceful only if  $n \leq 5$ , any  $K_n - 2e$  ( $K_n$  with two edges deleted) is graceful only if  $n \leq 6$  and any  $K_n - 3e$  is graceful only if  $n \leq 6$ . They also determine all graceful graphs  $K_n - G$  where G is  $K_{1,a}$  with  $a \leq n - 2$  and where G is a matching  $M_a$  with  $2a \leq n$ . They give graceful labelings for  $K_{1,m,n}, K_{2,m,n}, K_{1,1,m,n}$  and conjecture that these and  $K_{m,n}$  are the only complete multipartite graphs that are graceful. They have verified this conjecture for graphs with up to 23 vertices via computer.

Define the windmill graphs  $K_n^{(m)}(n > 3)$  to be the family of graphs consisting of m copies of  $K_n$  with a vertex in common. A necessary condition for  $K_n^{(m)}$  to be graceful is that  $n \leq 5$  – see [399]. Bermond [127] has conjectured that  $K_4^{(m)}$  is graceful for all  $m \geq 4$ . This is known to be true for  $m \leq 22$  [361]. Bermond, Kotzig, and Turgeon [130] proved that  $K_n^{(m)}$  is not graceful when n=4 and m=2 or 3 and when m=2and n = 5. In 1982 Hsu [356] proved that  $K_4^{(m)}$  is harmonious for all m. Graham and Sloane [311] conjectured that  $K_n^{(2)}$  is harmonious if and only if n = 4. They verified this conjecture for the cases that n is odd or n=6. Liu [480] has shown that  $K_n^{(2)}$  is not harmonious if  $n = 2^a p_1^{a_1} \cdots p_s^{a_s}$  where  $a, a_1, \ldots, a_s$  are positive integers and  $p_1, \ldots, p_s$  are distinct odd primes and there is a j for which  $p_i \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $a_i$  is odd. He also shows that  $K_n^{(3)}$  is not harmonious when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $3n = 4^e(8k+7)$  or  $n \equiv 5$ (mod 8). Koh et al. [394] and Rajasingh and Pushpam [562] have shown that  $K_{m,n}^{(t)}$ , the one-point union of t copies of  $K_{m,n}$ , is graceful. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [613] have proved that the one-point union of graphs of the form  $K_{2,m_i}$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ , where the union is taken at a vertex from the partite set with 2 vertices is graceful if at most two of the  $m_i$  are equal. They conjecture that the restriction that at most two of the  $m_i$  are equal is not necessary. Koh et al. [399] introduced the notation B(n, r, m) for the graph consisting of m copies of  $K_n$  with a  $K_r$  in common  $(n \geq r)$ . (We note that Guo [318] has used the notation B(n,r,m) to denote three independent paths of lengths n,r and

Sethuraman and Elumalai [606] have shown that  $K_{1,m,n}$  with a pendent edge attached to each vertex is graceful and Jirimutu [370] has shown that the graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge to every vertex of  $K_{m,n}$  is graceful (see also [28]). In [611] Sethuraman and Kishore determine the graceful graphs that are the union of n copies of  $K_4$  with i edges deleted for  $1 \le i \le 5$  with one edge in common. The only cases that are not graceful are those graphs where the members of the union are  $C_4$  for  $n \equiv 3 \mod 4$  and where the members of the union are  $P_2$ . They conjecture that these two cases are the only instances of edge induced subgraphs of the union of n copies of  $K_4$  with one edge in common that are not graceful. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [619] have shown that union of any number of copies of  $K_4$  with an edge deleted and one edge in common is harmonious. Bhat-Nayah and Gohkale [139] have shown that  $\overline{K_n} + 2K_2$  is not graceful whereas Amutha and Kathiresan [28] proved that the graph obtained by a attaching pendent edge to each vertex of  $\overline{K_n} + 2K_2$  is graceful.

Clemens et al. [216] investigated the gracefulness of the one-point and two-point unions of graphs. They show the following graphs are graceful: the one-point union of an end vertex of  $P_n$  and  $K_4$ ; the graph obtained by taking the one-point union of  $K_4$  with one end vertex of  $P_n$  and the one-point union of the other end vertex of  $P_n$  with the central vertex of  $K_{1,r}$ ; the graph obtained by taking the one-point union of  $K_4$  with one end vertex of  $P_n$  and the one-point union of the other end of  $P_n$  with a vertex from the partite set of order 2 of  $K_{2,r}$ ; the graph obtained from the graph just described by appending any number of edges to the other vertex of the partite set of order 2; the two-point union of the two vertices of the partite set of order 2 in  $K_{2,r}$  and two vertices from  $K_4$ ; and the graph obtained from the graph just described by appending any number of edges to one of the vertices from the partite set of order 2.

## 2.5 Disconnected Graphs

There have been many papers dealing with graphs that are not connected. In 1975 Kotzig [403] considered graphs that are the disjoint union of r cycles of length s, denoted by  $rC_s$ . When  $rs \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4), these graphs violate the parity condition and so are not graceful. Kotzig proved that when r = 3 and s = 4k > 4, then  $rC_s$  has a stronger form

of graceful labeling called  $\alpha$ -labeling (see §3.1) whereas when  $r \geq 2$  and s = 3 or 5,  $rC_s$  is not graceful. In 1984 Kotzig [405] once again investigated the gracefulness of  $rC_s$  as well as graphs that are the disjoint union of odd cycles. For graphs of the latter kind he gives several necessary conditions. His paper concludes with an elaborate table that summarizes what was then known about the gracefulness of  $rC_s$ . He [329] has shown that graphs of the form  $2C_{2m}$  and graphs obtained by connecting two copies of  $C_{2m}$  with an edge are graceful. Cahit [182] has shown that  $rC_s$  is harmonious when r and s are odd and Seoud, Abdel Maqsoud, and Sheehan [587] noted that when r or s is even,  $rC_s$  is not harmonious. Seoud, Abdel Maqsoud, and Sheehan [587] proved that  $C_n \cup C_{n+1}$  is harmonious if and only if  $n \geq 4$ . They conjecture that  $C_3 \cup C_{2n}$  is harmonious when  $n \geq 3$ . This conjecture was proved when Yang, Lu, and Zeng [766] showed that all graphs of the form  $C_{2j+1} \cup C_{2n}$  are harmonious except for (n, j) = (2, 1).

In 1978 Kotzig and Turgeon [408] proved that  $mK_n$  (i.e., the union of m disjoint copies of  $K_n$ ) is graceful if and only if m = 1 and  $n \le 4$ . Liu and Zhang [491] have shown that  $mK_n$  is not harmonious for n odd and  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and is harmonious for n = 3 and m odd. They conjecture that  $mK_3$  is not harmonious when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Bu and Cao [163] give some sufficient conditions for the gracefulness of graphs of the form  $K_{m,n} \cup G$  and they prove that  $K_{m,n} \cup P_t$  and the disjoint union of complete bipartite graphs are graceful under some conditions.

A Skolem sequence of order n is a sequence  $s_1, s_2, \ldots, s_{2n}$  of 2n terms such that, for each  $k \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , there exist exactly two subscripts i(k) and j(k) with  $s_{i(k)} = s_{j(k)} = k$  and |i(k) - j(k)| = k. A Skolem sequence of order n exists if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4). Abrham [5] has proved that any graceful 2-regular graph of order  $n \equiv 0$  (mod 4) in which all the component cycles are even or of order  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , with exactly one component an odd cycle, can be used to construct a Skolem sequence of order n + 1. Also, he showed that certain special Skolem sequences of order n can be used to generate graceful labelings on certain 2-regular graphs.

In 1985 Frucht and Salinas [280] conjectured that  $C_s \cup P_n$  is graceful if and only if  $s+n \geq 7$  and they proved the conjecture for the case that s=4. Frucht [278] did the case the s=3 and the case that s=2n+1. Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [137] also did the case s=3 and they have done the cases of the form  $C_{2x+1} \cup P_{x-2\theta}$  where  $1 \le \theta \le |(x-2)/2|$  [138]. Choudum and Kishore [212] have done the cases where  $s \geq 5$  and  $n \geq (s+5)/2$  and Kishore [392] did the case s=5. Gao and Liang [295] have done the following cases: s > 4, n = 2 (see also [294]); s = 4k, n = k + 2, n =k+3, n=2k+2; s=4k+1, n=2k, n=3k-1, n=4k-1; s=4k+2, n=3k, n=2k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k, n=2k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k+3, n=2k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k+3, n=2k+3, n=2k+2, n=3k+3, n=2k+3, n=2k+3, n=3k+3, n=2k+3, n=2k+3, n=3k+3, n=2k+3, n=2k+3k + 1, n = 4k + 1; s = 4k + 3, n = 2k + 1, n = 3k, n = 4k. Seoud, Abdel Maqsoud, and Sheehan [590] did the case that s = 2k (k > 3) and n > k + 1 as well as the cases where s = 6, 8, 10, 12 and  $n \ge 2$ . Shimazu [628] has handled the cases that  $s \ge 5$  and  $n=2, s\geq 4$  and n=3 and s=2n+2 and  $n\geq 2$ . Liang [470] has done the following cases: s = 4k, n = k + 2, k + 3, 2k + 1, 2k + 2, 2k + 3, 2k + 4, 2k + 5; s = 4k - 1, n = 2k + 2, 2k + 3, 2k + 4, 2k + 5; s = 2k + 2, 2k + 2,2k, 3k-1, 4k-1; s=4k+2, n=3k, 3k+1, 4k+1; s=4k+3, n=2k+1, 3k, 4k. Youssef [777] proved that  $C_5 \cup S_n$  is graceful if and only if n = 1 or 2 and that  $C_6 \cup S_n$  is graceful if and only if n is odd or n = 2 or 4.

Seoud and Youssef [602] have shown that  $K_5 \cup K_{m,n}$ ,  $K_{m,n} \cup K_{p,q}$   $(m,n,p,q\geq 2)$ ,  $K_{m,n} \cup K_{p,q} \cup K_{r,s}$   $(m,n,p,q,r,s\geq 2, (p,q)\neq (2,2))$ , and  $pK_{m,n}$   $(m,n\geq 2, (m,n)\neq (2,2))$  are graceful. They also prove that  $C_4 \cup K_{1,n}$   $(n\neq 2)$  is not graceful whereas Choudum and Kishore [214], [392] have proved that  $C_s \cup K_{1,n}$  is graceful for every  $s\geq 7$  and  $n\geq 1$ . Lee, Quach, and Wang [440] established the gracefulness of  $P_s \cup K_{1,n}$ . Seoud and Wilson [594] have shown that  $C_3 \cup K_4$ ,  $C_3 \cup C_3 \cup K_4$ , and certain graphs of the form  $C_3 \cup P_n$  and  $C_3 \cup C_3 \cup P_n$  are not graceful. Abrham and Kotzig [10] proved that  $C_p \cup C_q$  is graceful if and only if  $p+q\equiv 0$  or p=1 or

In considering graceful labelings of the disjoint unions of two or three stars with e edges Yang and Wang [769] permitted the vertex labels to range from 0 to e+1 and 0 to e+2, respectively. With these definitions of graceful, they proved that  $S_m \cup S_n$  is graceful if and only if m or n is even and that  $S_m \cup S_n \cup S_k$  is graceful if and only if at least one of m, n, or k is even (m > 1, n > 1, k > 1).

Seoud and Youssef [598] investigated the gracefulness of specific families of the form  $G \cup K_{m,n}$ . They obtained the following results:  $C_3 \cup K_{m,n}$  is graceful if and only if  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$ ;  $C_4 \cup K_{m,n}$  is graceful if and only if  $(m,n) \neq (1,1)$ ;  $C_7 \cup K_{m,n}$  and  $C_8 \cup K_{m,n}$  are graceful for all m and n;  $mK_3 \cup nK_{1,r}$  is not graceful for all m, n and r;  $K_i \cup K_{m,n}$  is graceful for  $i \leq 4$  and  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$  except for i = 2 and (m,n) = (2,2);  $K_5 \cup K_{1,n}$  is graceful for all n;  $K_6 \cup K_{1,n}$  is graceful if and only if n is different than 1 and 3. Youssef [780] completed the characterization of the graceful graphs of the form  $C_n \cup K_{p,q}$  where  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4) by showing that for n > 8 and  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4),  $C_n \cup K_{p,q}$  is graceful for all p and p (see also [106]). Note that when  $p \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4) certain cases of p or p violate the partity condition for gracefulness.

For i = 1, 2, ..., m let  $v_{i,1}, v_{i,2}, v_{i,3}, v_{i,4}$  be a 4-cycle. Yang and Pan [764] define  $F_{k,4}$  to be the graph obtained by identifying  $v_{i,3}$  and  $v_{i+1,1}$  for i = 1, 2, ..., k-1. They prove that  $F_{m_1,4} \cup F_{m_2,4} \cup \cdots \cup F_{m_n,4}$  is graceful for all n. Pan and Lu [549] have shown that  $(P_2 + \overline{K_n}) \cup K_{1,m}$  and  $(P_2 + \overline{K_n}) \cup T_n$  are graceful.

Barrientos [106] has shown the following graphs are graceful:  $C_6 \cup K_{1,2n+1}$ ;  $\bigcup_{i=1}^t K_{m_i,n_i}$  for  $2 \le m_i < n_i$ ; and  $C_m \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^t K_{m_i,n_i}$  for  $2 \le m_i < n_i$ ,  $m \equiv 0$  or  $3 \pmod 4$ ,  $m \ge 11$ .

Youssef [778] has shown that if G is harmonious then mG and  $G^m$  are harmonious for all odd m. He asks the question of whether G is harmonious implies  $G^m$  is harmonious when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

## 2.6 Joins of Graphs

A few classes of graphs that are the join of graphs have been shown to be graceful and harmonious. Among these are: fans  $P_n + K_1$  [311]; double fans  $P_n + \overline{K_2}$  [311]; the double

cone  $C_n + \overline{K_2}$  is graceful for n = 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, and 12 but not graceful for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  [564]; and  $K_4 \times P_n$  is graceful for n = 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 [564].

More generally, Reid [566] proved that  $P_n + \overline{K_t}$  is harmonious and Grace [309] showed that if T is any graceful tree, then  $T + \overline{K_t}$  is also graceful. Fu and Wu [282] proved that if T is a graceful tree, then  $T + S_k$  is graceful. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [618] have shown that  $P_n + K_2$  is harmonious. They ask whether  $S_n + P_n$  or  $P_m + P_n$  is harmonious. Of course, wheels are of the form  $C_n + K_1$  and are graceful and harmonious. Hebbare [333] showed that  $S_m + K_1$  is graceful for all m. Shee [622] has proved  $K_{m,n} + K_1$  is harmonious and observed that various cases of  $K_{m,n} + K_t$  violate the harmonious parity condition in [311]. Liu and Zhang [491] have proved that  $K_2 + K_2 + \cdots + K_2$  is harmonious. Yuan and Zhu [786] proved that  $K_{m,n} + K_2$  is graceful and harmonious. Gnanajothi [302, pp. 80–127] obtained the following:  $C_n + \overline{K_2}$  is harmonious when n is odd and not harmonious when  $n \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{8}$ ;  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is harmonious; and  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is harmonious. Balakrishnan and Kumar [92] have proved that the join of  $\overline{K_n}$  and two disjoint copies of  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is harmonious if and only if  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is parallel results for the gracefulness of  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$ . Ramírez-Alfonsín [563] has proved that if  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is graceful and  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$  is graceful for all  $S_n + \overline{K_t}$ .

Seoud and Youssef [600] have proved: the join of any two stars is graceful and harmonious; the join of any path and any star is graceful; and  $C_n + \overline{K_t}$  is harmonious for every t when n is odd. They also prove that if any edge is added to  $K_{m,n}$  the resulting graph is harmonious if m or n is at least 2. Deng [224] has shown certain cases of  $C_n + \overline{K_t}$  are harmonious. Seoud and Youssef [597] proved: the graph obtained by appending any number of edges from the two vertices of degree  $n \geq 2$  in  $K_{2,n}$  is not harmonious; dragons  $D_{m,n}$  (i.e.,  $P_m$  is appended to  $C_n$ ) are not harmonious when m+n is odd; and the disjoint union of any dragon and any number of cycles is not harmonious when the resulting graph has odd order. Youssef [777] has shown that if G is a graceful graph with p vertices and q edges with p = q + 1, then  $G + S_n$  is graceful.

Sethuraman and Elumalai [608] have proved that for every graph G with p vertices and q edges the graph  $G+K_1+\overline{K_m}$  is graceful when  $m \geq 2^p-p-1-q$ . As a corollary they deduce that every graph is a vertex induced subgraph of a graceful graph. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar [93] ask for which  $m \geq 3$  is the graph  $\overline{K_n} + mK_2$  graceful for all n. Bhat-Nayak and Gokhale [139] have proved that  $\overline{K_n} + 2K_2$  is not graceful. Youssef [777] has shown that  $\overline{K_n} + mK_2$  is graceful if  $m \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) and that  $\overline{K_n} + mK_2$  is not graceful if n is odd and  $m \equiv 2$  or 3 (mod 4).

Wu [752] proves that if G is a graceful graph with n edges and n+1 vertices then the join of G and  $\overline{K_m}$  and the join of G and any star are graceful.

#### 2.7 Miscellaneous Results

It is easy to see that  $P_n^2$  is harmonious [309] while a proof that  $P_n^2$  is graceful has been given by Kang, Liang, Gao, and Yang [377].  $(P_n^k$ , the kth power of  $P_n$ , is the graph obtained from  $P_n$  by adding edges that join all vertices u and v with d(u, v) = k.) This latter result proved a conjecture of Grace [309]. Seoud, Abdel Maqsoud, and Sheeham

[587] proved that  $P_n^3$  is harmonious and conjecture that  $P_n^k$  is not harmonious when k > 3. The same conjecture was made by Fu and Wu [282]. However, Youssef [783] has proved that  $P_8^4$  is harmonious and  $P_n^k$  is harmonious when k is odd. Selvaraju [586] has shown that  $P_n^3$  and the graphs obtained by joining the centers of any two stars with the end vertices of the path of length n in  $P_n^3$  are harmonious. Gnanajothi [302, p. 50] has shown that the graph that consists of n copies of  $C_6$  that have exactly  $P_4$  in common is graceful if and only if n is even. For a fixed n, let  $v_{i1}, v_{i2}, v_{i3}$  and  $v_{i4}$   $(1 \le i \le n)$ be consecutive vertices of n 4-cycles. Gnanajothi [302, p. 35] also proves that the graph obtained by joining each  $v_{i1}$  to  $v_{i+1,3}$  is graceful for all n and the generalized Petersen graph P(n,k) is harmonious in all cases (see also [445]). Recall P(n,k), where  $n \geq 5$  and  $1 \leq k \leq n$ , has vertex set  $\{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{n-1}, b_0, b_1, \ldots, b_{n-1}\}$  and edge set  ${a_i a_{i+1} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1} \cup {a_i b_i \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1} \cup {b_i b_{i+k} \mid i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1}$ where all subscripts are taken modulo n [744]. The standard Petersen graph is P(5,2).) Red [564] has shown that P(n,k) is graceful for n=5,6,7,8,9, and 10. Vietri [722] proved that P(8t,3) is graceful for all t. The gracefulness of the generalized Petersen graphs appears to be an open problem.

Barrientos [107] investigated graphs obtained from graceful graphs by adjoining pendant edges. Among his results are: If G is a graceful graph of order m and size m-1, then  $G \odot nK_1$  and  $G + nK_1$  are graceful; if G is a graceful graph of order p and size q, with q > p, then  $(G \cup (q + 1 - p)K_1) \odot nK_1$  is graceful; and all unicyclic graphs other than a cycle for which the deletion of any edge from the cycle results in a caterpillar are graceful.

Yuan and Zhu [786] proved that  $P_n^{2k}$  is harmonious when  $1 \le k \le (n-1)/2$  and that  $P_n^{2k}$  has a stronger form of harmonious labeling (see Section 4.1) when  $2k-1 \le n \le 4k-1$ . Cahit [182] proves that the graphs obtained by joining p disjoint paths of a fixed length k to single vertex are harmonious when p is odd and when k=2 and p is even.

Sethuraman and Selvaraju [612] define a graph H to be a supersubdivision of a graph G, if every edge uv of G is replaced by  $K_{2,m}$  (m may vary for each edge) by identifying u and v with the two vertices in  $K_{2,m}$  that form one of the two partite sets. Sethuraman and Selvaraju prove that every supersubdivision of a path is graceful and every cycle has some supersubdivision that is graceful. They conjecture that every supersubdivision of a star is graceful and that paths and stars are the only graphs for which every supersubdivision is graceful. Barrientos [111] disproved this conjecture by proving that every every supersubdivision of a y-trees is graceful (see  $\S 2.1$ ). Barrientos asks if paths and y-trees are the only graphs for which every supersubdivision is graceful. This seems unlikely to be the case. The conjecture that every supersubdivision of a star is graceful was proved by Kathiresan and Amutha [383]. In [616] Sethuraman and Selvaraju prove that every connected graph has some supersubdivision that is graceful. They pose the question as to whether this result is valid for disconnected graphs. They also ask if there is any graph other than  $K_{2,m}$  that can be used to replace an edge of a connected graph to obtain a supersubdivision that is graceful. In [614] Sethuraman and Selvaraju present an algorithm that permits one to start with any non-trivial connected graph and successively form supersubdivisions that have a strong form of graceful labeling called an  $\alpha$ -labeling (see  $\S 3.1$ ).

Kathiresan [380] uses the notation  $P_{a,b}$  to denote the graph obtained by identifying the end points of b internally disjoint paths each of length a. He conjectures that  $P_{a,b}$  is graceful except when a is odd and  $b \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . He proves the conjecture for the case that a is even and b is odd. Sekar [585] has shown that  $P_{a,b}$  is graceful when  $a \neq 4r+1$ , r > 1; b = 4m, m > r. Yang proved that  $P_{a,b}$  is graceful when a = 3, 5, 7, and 9 and b is even and when a = 2, 4, 6, and 8 and b is even (see [767]). Yang, Rong, and Xu [767] proved that  $P_{a,b}$  is graceful when a = 10, 12, and 14 and b is even. Kathiresan also shows that the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of  $K_n$  with any noncenter vertex of the star with  $2^{n-1} - n(n-1)/2$  edges is graceful.

For a family of graphs  $G_1(u_1, u_2)$ ,  $G_2(u_2, u_3)$ ,...,  $G_m(u_m, u_{m+1})$  Cheng, Yao, Chen, and Zhang [203] define a graph-block chain  $H_m$  as the graph obtained by identifying  $u_{i+1}$  of  $G_i$  with  $u_{i+1}$  of  $G_{i+1}$  for  $i=1,2,\ldots,m$ . They denote this graph by  $H_m=G_1(u_1,u_2)\oplus G_2(u_2,u_3)\oplus\cdots\oplus G_m(u_m,u_{m+1})$ . The case where each  $G_i$  has the form  $P_{a_i,b_i}$  they call a path-block chain. The vertex  $u_1$  is called the initial vertex of  $H_m$ . They define a generalized spider  $S_m^*$  as a graph obtained by starting with an initial vertex  $u_0$  and m path-block graphs and join  $u_0$  with each initial vertex of each of the path-block graphs. Similarly, they define a generalized caterpillar  $T_m^*$  as a graph obtained by starting with m path-block chains  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_m$  and a caterpillar T with m degree one vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_m$  and join each  $v_i$  with the initial vertex of each  $H_i$ . They prove several classes of path-block chains, generalized spiders, and generalized caterpillars are graceful.

The graph  $T_n$  with 3n vertices and 6n-3 edges is defined as follows. Start with a triangle  $T_1$  with vertices  $v_{1,1}, v_{1,2}$  and  $v_{1,3}$ . Then  $T_{i+1}$  consists of  $T_i$  together with three new vertices  $v_{i+1,1}, v_{i+1,2}, v_{i+1,3}$  and edges  $v_{i+1,1}v_{i,2}, v_{i+1,1}v_{i,3}, v_{i+1,2}v_{i,1}, v_{i+1,2}v_{i,3}, v_{i+1,3}v_{i,1}, v_{i+1,3}v_{i,2}$ . Gnanajothi [302] proved that  $T_n$  is graceful if and only if n is odd. Sekar [585] proved  $T_n$  is graceful when n is odd and  $T_n$  with a pendant edge attached to the starting triangle is graceful when n is even.

For a graph G, the splitting graph of G,  $S^1(G)$ , is obtained from G by adding for each vertex v of G a new vertex  $v^1$  so that  $v^1$  is adjacent to every vertex that is adjacent to v. Sekar [585] has shown that  $S^1(P_n)$  is graceful for all n and  $S^1(C_n)$  is graceful for  $n \equiv 0, 1 \mod 4$ .

The total graph  $T(P_n)$  has vertex set  $V(P_n) \cup E(P_n)$  with two vertices adjacent whenever they are neighbors in  $P_n$ . Balakrishnan, Selvam, and Yegnanarayanan [94] have proved that  $T(P_n)$  is harmonious.

For any graph G with vertices  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$  and a vector  $\mathbf{m} = (m_1, \ldots, m_n)$  of positive integers the corresponding replicated graph,  $R_{\mathbf{m}}(G)$ , of G is defined as follows. For each  $v_i$  form a stable set  $S_i$  consisting of  $m_i$  new vertices  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$  (recall a stable set S consists of a set of vertices such that there is not an edge  $v_i v_j$  for all pairs  $v_i, v_j$  in S); two stable sets  $S_i, S_j, i \neq j$ , form a complete bipartite graph if each  $v_i v_j$  is an edge in G and otherwise there are no edges between  $S_i$  and  $S_j$ . Ramírez-Alfonsín [563] has proved that  $R_{\mathbf{m}}(P_n)$  is graceful for all  $\mathbf{m}$  and all n > 1 (see §3.2 for a stronger result) and that  $R_{(m,1,\ldots,1)}(C_{4n}), R_{(2,1,\ldots,1)}(C_n)$   $(n \geq 8)$  and,  $R_{(2,2,1,\ldots,1)}(C_{4n})$   $(n \geq 12)$  are graceful.

For any permutation f on  $1, \ldots, n$ , the f-permutation graph on a graph G, P(G, f),

consists of two disjoint copies of G,  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ , each of which has vertices labeled  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  with n edges obtained by joining each  $v_i$  in  $G_1$  to  $v_{f(i)}$  in  $G_2$ . In 1983 Lee (see [465]) conjectured that for all n > 1 and all permutations on  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ , the permutation graph  $P(P_n, f)$  is graceful. Lee, Wang and Kiang [465] proved that  $P(P_{2k}, f)$  is graceful when  $f = (12)(34)\cdots(k, k+1)\cdots(2k-1, 2k)$ . They conjectured that if G is a graceful nonbipartite graph with n vertices then for any permutation f on  $1, 2, \ldots, n$ , the permutation graph P(G, f) is graceful. Some families of graceful permutation graphs are given in [426].

Gnanajothi [302, p. 51] calls a graph G bigraceful if both G and its line graph are graceful. She shows the following are bigraceful:  $P_m$ ;  $P_m \times P_n$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ ;  $S_n$ ;  $K_n$  if and only if  $n \leq 3$ ; and  $B_n$  if and only if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . She also shows that  $K_{m,n}$  is not bigraceful when  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . (Gangopadhyay and Hebbare [293] used the term "bigraceful" to mean a bipartite graceful graph.) Murugan and Arumugan [533] have shown that graphs obtained from  $C_4$  by attaching two disjoint paths of equal length to two adjacent vertices are bigraceful.

Several well-known isolated graphs have been examined. Graceful labelings have been found for the Petersen graph [276], the cube [296], the icosahedron and the dodecahedron. On the other hand, Graham and Sloane [311] showed that all of these except the cube are harmonious. Winters [747] verified that the Grőtzsch graph (see [159, p. 118]), the Heawood graph (see [159, p. 236]), and the Herschel graph (see [159, p. 53]) are graceful. Graham and Sloane [311] determined all harmonious graphs with at most five vertices. Seoud and Youssef [599] did the same for graphs with six vertices.

Jirimutu, Wang, and Xirong [372] define a digraph G(V, E) to be graceful if there exists an injection f from V(G) to  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., |E|\}$  such that the induced function on the set of edges given by  $f'(u, v) = (f(v) - f(u)) \pmod{|E|+1}$  is a bijection. They prove that the directed graph consisting of n copies of a directed m-cycle having a vertex in common is graceful for m = 9, 11, 13 and all even n.

## 2.8 Summary

The results and conjectures discussed above are summarized in the tables following. The letter G after a class of graphs indicates that the graphs in that class are known to be graceful; a question mark indicates that the gracefulness of the graphs in the class is an open problem; we put a question mark after a "G" if the graphs have been conjectured to be graceful. The analogous notation with the letter H is used to indicate the status of the graphs with regard to being harmonious. The tables impart at a glimpse what has been done and what needs to be done to close out a particular class of graphs. Of course, there is an unlimited number of graphs one could consider. One wishes for some general results that would handle several broad classes at once but the experience of many people suggests that this is unlikely to occur soon. The Graceful Tree Conjecture alone has withstood the efforts of scores of people over the past four decades. Analogous sweeping conjectures are probably true but appear hopelessly difficult to prove.

Table 1: Summary of Graceful Results

Graph	Graceful
* trees	G if ≤ 27 vertices [23] G if symmetrical [131] G if at most 4 end-vertices [360] G? Ringel-Kotzig G caterpillars [572] G? lobsters [127]
cycles $C_n$	G iff $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [572]
wheels $W_n$	G [276], [353]
Helms (see §2.2)	G [39]
webs (see §2.2)	G [377]
gears (see §2.2)	G [498]
Cycles with $P_k$ -chord (see §2.2)	G [223], [497], [400], [558]
$C_n$ with $k$ consecutive chords (see §2.2)	G if $k = 2, 3, n - 3$ [393], [399]
unicyclic graphs	G? iff $G \neq C_n, n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$ [717]
$C_n^{(t)}$ (see §2.2)	$n = 3 \text{ G iff } t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ $[128], [130]$ G? if $nt \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [394] G if $n = 6, t \text{ even } [394]$ G if $n = 4, t > 1$ [623] G if $n = 5, t > 1$ [765] G if $n = 7 \text{ and } t \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ [787] G if $t = 2$ $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ [560], [156]

Table 1: Summary of Graceful Results continued

Graph	Graceful
triangular snakes (see §2.2) $K_4$ -snakes (see §2.2)	G iff number of blocks $\equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$ [528]
quadilateral snakes (see §2.2)	G [302], [560]
crowns $C_n \odot K_1$	G [276]
$C_n \odot P_k$	G [585]
Grids $P_m \times P_n$	G [15]
prisms $C_m \times P_n$	G if $n = 2$ [279], [768] G if $m$ even [361] G if $m$ odd and $3 \le n \le 12$ [361] G if $m = 3$ [640] G if $m = 6$ see [770] G if $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ [770]
$K_{m,n}\odot K_1$	G [370]
torus grids $C_m \times C_n$	G if $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , $n$ even [374] not G if $m$ , $n$ odd (parity condition)
vertex-deleted $C_m \times P_n$	G if $n = 2$ [292]
edge-deleted $C_m \times P_n$	G if $n = 2$ [292]
Möbius ladders $M_n$ (see §2.3)	G [287]
stacked books $S_m \times P_n$ (see §2.3) $n$ -cube $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$	

Table 1: Summary of Graceful Results continued

Graph	Graceful
$K_4 \times P_n$	G if $n = 2, 3, 4, 5$ [551]
$K_n$	G iff $n \le 4$ [305], [639]
$K_{m,n}$	G [572], [305]
$K_{1,m,n}$	G [36]
$K_{1,1,m,n}$	G [302]
windmills $K_n^{(m)}(n > 3)$ (see §2.4)	G if $n = 4, m \le 22$ [361] G? if $n = 4, m \ge 4$ [127] G if $n = 4, 4 \le m \le 22$ [361] not G if $n = 4, m = 2, 3$ [127] not G if $(m, n) = (2, 5)$ [130] not G if $n > 5$ [399]
$B(n, r, m) \ r > 1 \ (see \S 2.4)$	G if $(n,r) = (3,2), (4,3)$ [395], $(4,2)$ [222]
$mK_n$ (see §2.5)	G iff $m = 1, n \le 4$ [408]
$C_s \cup P_n$	? G iff $s + n \ge 7$ [280] G if $s = 3$ [278], $s = 4$ [280], $s = 5$ [392] G if $s > 4$ , $n = 2$ [295] G if $s = 2n + 1$ [278] G if $s = 2k$ , $n \ge k + 1$ [590]
$C_p \cup C_q$	G iff $p + q \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [280]

Table 1: Summary of Graceful Results continued

Graph	Graceful
$C_n \cup K_{p,q}$	for $n > 8$ G iff $n \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ [780]
	G $C_6 \times K_{1,2n+1}$ [106] G $C_3 \times K_{m,n}$ iff $m, n \ge 2$ [598]
	$G C_4 \times K_{m,n} \text{ iff } (m,n) \neq (1,1)[598]$
	G $C_7 \times K_{m,n}$ [598] G $C_8 \times K_{m,n}$ [598]
$K_i \cup K_{m,n}$	G [106]
$\bigcup_{i=1}^t K_{m_i,n_i}$	G $2 \le m_i < n_i \ [106]$
$C_m \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^t K_{m_i,n_i}$	G $2 \le m_i < n_i$ , $m \equiv 0 \text{ or } 3 \pmod{4}, m \ge 11 [106]$
Fans $F_n = P_n + K_1$	G [311]
Double fans $P_n + \overline{K_2}$	G [311]
Double cones $C_n + \overline{K_2}$	G for $n = 3, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 11, 12$ not G for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$
t-point suspension $P_n + \overline{K_t}$ of $P_n$	G [309]
$S_m + K_1$	G [333]
t-point suspension of $C_n + \overline{K_t}$	G if $n \equiv 0$ or 3 (mod 12) [140] not G if t is even and $n \equiv 2, 6, 10 \pmod{12}$ G if $n = 4, 7, 11$ or 19 [140] G if $n = 5$ or 9 and $t = 2$ [140]
$P_n^2 \text{ (see §2.7)}$	G [425]
Petersen $P(n,k)$ (see §2.7)	G for $n = 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10$ [564], $(n, k) = (8t, 3)$ [722]

Table 2: Summary of Harmonious Results

Graph	Harmonious
trees	H if $\leq$ 26 vertices [23] H? [311] H caterpillars [311] ? lobsters
cycles $C_n$	$H \text{ iff } n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{4} [311]$
wheels $W_n$	H [311]
Helms (see §2.2)	H [302], [488]
Webs (see §2.2)	H if cycle is odd
gears (see §2.2)	?
cycles with $P_k$ -chord (see §2.2)	?
$C_n$ with $k$ consecutive chords (see §2.2)	?
unicyclic graphs	?
$C_n^{(t)} \text{ (see §2.2)}$	$n = 3 \text{ H iff } t \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} [311]$ H if $n = 4, t > 1 [623]$
triangular snakes (see §2.2)	H if number of blocks is odd [760] not H if number of blocks $\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [760]
$K_4$ -snakes (see §2.2)	H [310]
quadrilateral snakes (see §2.2)	?
crowns $C_n \odot K_1$	H [309], [490]
grids $P_m \times P_n$	H iff $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$ [374]

Table 2: Summary of Harmonious Results continued

Graph	Harmonious
prisms $C_m \times P_n$	H if $n = 2, m \neq 4$ [292]
	H if $n \text{ odd } [311]$
	H if $m = 4$ and $n \ge 3$ [374]
torus grids $C_m \times C_n$ ,	H if $m = 4, n > 1$ [374]
torus grids $C_m \wedge C_n$ ,	not H if $m \neq 0 \pmod{4}$ and $n \pmod{374}$
vertex-deleted $C_m \times P_n$	H if $n = 2$ [292]
edge-deleted $C_m \times P_n$	H if $n = 2$ [292]
Militar laddana M. (nos CO 2)	H : ff / 2 [207]
Möbius ladders $M_n$ (see §2.3)	H iff $n \neq 3$ [287]
stacked books $S_m \times P_n$ (see §2.3)	n = 2, H if m even [308], [566]
in (11.5)	not H $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , $n = 2$ ,
	(parity condition)
	$H \text{ if } m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}, n = 2 [302]$
$n$ -cube $K_2 \times K_2 \times \cdots \times K_2$	not H if $n = 2, 3$ [311]
$K_4 \times P_n$	H [566]
$N_4 \times I_n$	11 [500]
$K_n$	H iff $n \le 4$ [311]
	_ : ;
$K_{m,n}$	H iff $m \text{ or } n = 1 \text{ [311]}$
	TI foel
$K_{1,m,n}$	H [36]
$K_{1,1,m,n}$	H [302]
11,1,m,n	
windmills $K_n^{(m)}$ $(n > 3)$ (see §2.4)	H if $n = 4$ [356]
(*** - ) (*** 3-*-)	m = 2, H? iff $n = 4$ [311]
	not H if $m = 2, n \text{ odd or } 6 [311]$
	not H for some cases $m = 3$ [480]

Table 2: Summary of Harmonious Results continued

Graph	Harmonious
$B(n, r, m) \ r > 1 \ (\text{see } \S 2.4)$	(n,r) = (3,2), (4,3) [595]
$mK_n$ (see §2.5)	H $n = 3$ , $m \text{ odd } [491]$ not H for $n \text{ odd}$ , $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4} [491]$
nG	H when $G$ is harmonious and $n$ odd [778]
$G^n$	H when $G$ is harmonious and $n$ odd [778]
$C_s \cup P_n$	?
$fans F_n = P_n + K_1$	H [311]
double fans $P_n + \overline{K_2}$	H [311]
t-point suspension $P_n + \overline{K_t}$ of $P_n$	H [566]
$S_m + K_1$	H [302], [194]
t-point suspension $C_n + \overline{K_t}$ of $C_n$	H if $n$ odd and $t = 2$ [566], [302] not H if $n \equiv 2, 4, 6 \pmod{8}$ and $t = 2$ [302]
$P_n^2 \; (\text{see } \S 2.7)$	H [309], [490]
Petersen $P(n,k)$ (see §2.7)	H [302], [445]

## 3 Variations of Graceful Labelings

### 3.1 $\alpha$ -labelings

In 1966 Rosa [572] defined an  $\alpha$ -labeling to be a graceful labeling with the additional property that there exists an integer k so that for each edge xy either  $f(x) \leq k < f(y)$  or  $f(y) \leq k < f(x)$ . (Other names for such labelings are balanced and interlaced.) It follows that such a k must be the smaller of the two vertex labels that yield the edge labeled 1. Also, a graph with an  $\alpha$ -labeling is necessarily bipartite and therefore can not contain a cycle of odd length. Wu [755] has shown that a necessary condition for a bipartite graph with n edges and degree sequence  $d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p$  to have an  $\alpha$ -labeling is that the  $\gcd(d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_p, n)$  divides n(n-1)/2.

A common theme in graph labeling papers is to build up graphs that have desired labelings from pieces with particular properties. In these situations, starting with a graph that possesses an  $\alpha$ -labeling is a typical approach. (See [194], [309], [204], and [374].) Moreover, Jungreis and Reid [374] showed how sequential labelings of graphs (see Section 4.1) can often be obtained by modifying  $\alpha$ -labelings of the graphs.

Graphs with  $\alpha$ -labelings have proved to be useful in the development of the theory of graph decompositions. Rosa [572], for instance, has shown that if G is a graph with q edges and has an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then for every natural number p, the complete graph  $K_{2qp+1}$  can be decomposed into copies of G in such a way that the automorphism group of the decomposition itself contains the cyclic group of order p. In the same vein El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden [244] proved that if G has q edges and admits an  $\alpha$ -labeling then  $K_{qm,qn}$  can be partitioned into subgraphs isomorphic to G for all positive integers m and n. Although a proof of Ringel's conjecture that every tree has a graceful labeling has withstood many attempts, examples of trees that do not have  $\alpha$ -labelings are easy to construct (see [572]).

As to which graphs have  $\alpha$ -labelings, Rosa [572] observed that the n-cycle has an  $\alpha$ -labeling if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$  while  $P_n$  always has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. Other familiar graphs that have  $\alpha$ -labelings include caterpillars [572], the n-cube [402],  $B_{4n+1}$  (i.e., books with 4n+1 pages) [291],  $C_{2m} \cup C_{2m}$  and  $C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m}$  for all m>1 [403],  $C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m}$  for all  $(m,n) \neq 1,1$ ) [257],  $P_n \times Q_n$  [504],  $K_{1,2k} \times Q_n$  [504],  $C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m}$  [417],  $C_{4m} \cup C_{4n+2} \cup C_{4r+2}, C_{4m} \cup C_{4r} \cup C_{4r}$  when  $m+n \leq r$  [10],  $C_{4m} \cup C_{4n} \cup C_{4r} \cup C_{4s}$  when  $m \geq n+r+s+1$  [6],  $((m+1)^2+1)C_4$  for all m [790],  $k^2C_4$  for all k [790], and  $(k^2+k)C_4$  for all k [790]. Abrham and Kotzig [8] have shown that  $kC_4$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling for  $1 \leq k \leq 10$  and that if  $kC_4$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling then so does  $(4k+1)C_4$ ,  $(5k+1)C_4$  and  $(9k+1)C_4$ . Eshghi [253] proved that  $5C_{4k}$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling for all k. In [257] Eshghi and Carter show several families of graphs of the form  $C_{4n_1} \cup C_{4n_2} \cup \cdots \cup C_{4n_k}$  have  $\alpha$ -labelings.

Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [267] have shown that if  $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$  then the one-point union of 2, 3, or 4 copies of  $C_m$  admits an  $\alpha$ -valuation, and if  $m \equiv 2 \pmod 4$  then the one-point union of 2 or 4 copies of  $C_m$  admits an  $\alpha$ -valuation. They conjecture that the one-point union of n copies of n admits an n-valuation if and

only if  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

In his 2001 Ph. D. thesis Selvaraju [586] investigated the one-point union of complete bipartite graphs. He proves that the one-point unions of the following forms have an  $\alpha$ -labeling:  $K_{m,n_1}$  and  $K_{m,n_2}$ ;  $K_{m_1,n_1}$ ,  $K_{m_2,n_2}$ , and  $K_{m_3,n_3}$  where  $m_1 \leq m_2 \leq m_3$  and  $n_1 < n_2 < n_3$ ;  $K_{m_1,n}$ ,  $K_{m_2,n}$ , and  $K_{m_3,n}$  where  $m_1 < m_2 < m_3 \leq 2n$ .

Zhile [790] uses  $C_m(n)$  to denote the connected graph all of whose blocks are  $C_m$  and whose block-cutpoint-graph is a path. He proves that for all positive integers m and n,  $C_{4m}(n)$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling but  $C_m(n)$  does not have an  $\alpha$ -labeling when m is odd.

Abrham and Kotzig [10] have proved that  $C_m \cup C_n$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling if and only if both m and n are even and  $m+n\equiv 0\pmod 4$ . Kotzig [403] has also shown that  $C_4 \cup C_4 \cup C_4$  does not have an  $\alpha$ -labeling. He asked if n=3 is the only integer such that the disjoint union of n copies of  $C_4$  does not have an  $\alpha$ -labeling. This was confirmed by Abrham and Kotzig in [9]. Eshghi [252] proved that every 2-regular bipartite graph with 3 components has an  $\alpha$ -labeling if and only if the number of edges is a multiple of four except for  $C_4 \cup C_4 \cup C_4$ . In [255] Eshghi gives more results on the existence of  $\alpha$ -labelings for various families of disjoint union of cycles.

Jungreis and Reid [374] investigated the existence of  $\alpha$ -labelings for graphs of the form  $P_m \times P_n$ ,  $C_m \times P_n$ , and  $C_m \times C_n$  (see also [290]). Of course, the cases involving  $C_m$  with m odd are not bipartite, so there is no  $\alpha$ -labeling. The only unresolved cases among these three families are  $C_{4m+2} \times P_{2n+1}$  and  $C_{4m+2} \times C_{4n+2}$ . All other cases result in  $\alpha$ -labelings. Balakrishman [90] uses the notation  $Q_n(G)$  to denote the graph  $P_2 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_2 \times G$  where  $P_2$  occurs n-1 times. Snevily [664] has shown that the graphs  $Q_n(C_{4m})$  and the cycles  $C_{4m}$  with the path  $P_n$  adjoined at each vertex have  $\alpha$ -labelings. He [665] also has shown that compositions of the form  $G[\overline{K_n}]$  have an  $\alpha$ -labeling whenever G does (see §2.3 for the definition of composition). Balakrishman and Kumar [91] have shown that all graphs of the form  $Q_n(G)$  where G is  $K_{3,3}$ ,  $K_{4,4}$ , or  $P_m$  have an  $\alpha$ -labeling. Balakrishman [90] poses the following two problems. For which graphs G does  $Q_n(G)$  have an  $\alpha$ -labeling? For which graphs G does  $Q_n(G)$  have a graceful labeling?

Rosa [572] has shown that  $K_{m,n}$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling (see also [104]). Barrientos [104] has shown that for n even the graph obtained from the wheel  $W_n$  by attaching a pendant edge at each vertex has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. In [109] Barrientos shows how to construct graceful graphs that are formed from the one-point union of a tree that has an  $\alpha$ -labeling,  $P_2$ , and the cycle  $C_n$ . In some cases,  $P_2$  is not needed. Qian [560] has proved that quadrilateral snakes have  $\alpha$ -labelings. Fu and Wu [282] showed that if T is a tree that has an  $\alpha$ -labeling with partite sets  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  then the graph obtained from T by joining new vertices  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k$  to every vertex of  $V_1$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. Similarly, they prove that the graph obtained from T by joining new vertices  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_k$  to the vertices of  $V_1$  and new vertices  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_t$  to every vertex of  $V_2$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. They also prove that if one of the new vertices of either of these two graphs is replaced by a star and every vertex of the star is joined to the vertices of  $V_1$  or the vertices of both  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , the resulting graphs have  $\alpha$ -labelings. Fu and Wu [282] further show that if T is a tree with an  $\alpha$ -labeling and the sizes of the two partite sets of T differ at by at most 1, then  $T \times P_m$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling.

Lee and Liu [430] investigated the mirror graph M(m,n) of  $K_{m,n}$  (see §2.3 for the definition) for  $\alpha$ -labelings. They proved: M(m,n) has an  $\alpha$ -labeling when n is odd or m is even; M(1,n) has an  $\alpha$ -labeling when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ; M(m,n) does not have an  $\alpha$ -labeling when m is odd and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , or when  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ .

Barrientos [105] defines a chain graph as one with blocks  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m$  such that for every i,  $B_i$  and  $B_{i+1}$  have a common vertex in such a way that the block-cutpoint graph is a path. He shows that if  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m$  are blocks that have  $\alpha$ -labelings then there exists a chain graph G with blocks  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m$  that has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. He also shows that if  $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_m$  are complete bipartite graphs, then any chain graph G obtained by concatenation of these blocks has an  $\alpha$ -labeling.

Wu ([754] and [756]) has given a number of methods for constructing larger graceful graphs from graceful graphs. Let  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  be disjoint connected graphs. Let  $w_i$  be in  $G_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq p$ . Let w be a new vertex not in any  $G_i$ . Form a new graph  $\bigoplus_w (G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p)$  by adjoining to the graph  $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_p$  the edges  $ww_1, ww_2, \ldots, ww_p$ . In the case where each of  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  is isomorphic to a graph G which has an  $\alpha$ -labeling and each  $w_i$  is the isomorphic image of the same vertex in  $G_i$ , Wu shows that the resulting graph is graceful. If f is an  $\alpha$ -labeling of a graph, the integer k with the property that for any edge uv either  $f(u) \leq k < f(v)$  or  $f(v) \leq k < f(u)$  is called the boundary value or critical number of f. Wu [754] has also shown that if  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p$  are graphs of the same order and have  $\alpha$ -labelings where the labelings for each pair of graphs  $G_i$  and  $G_{p-i+1}$  have the same boundary value for  $1 \leq i \leq n/2$ , then  $\bigoplus_w (G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_p)$  is graceful. In [752] Wu proves that if G has n edges and n+1 vertices and G has an  $\alpha$ -labeling with boundary value  $\lambda$ , where  $|n-2\lambda-1| \leq 1$ , then  $G \times P_m$  is graceful for all m.

Snevily [665] says that a graph G eventually has an  $\alpha$ -labeling provided that there is a graph H, called a host of G, which has an  $\alpha$ -labeling and that the edge set of H can be partitioned into subgraphs isomorphic to G. He defines the  $\alpha$ -labeling number of G to be  $G_{\alpha} = \min\{t : \text{there is a host } H \text{ of } G \text{ with } |E(H)| = t|G|\}$ . Snevily proved that even cycles have  $\alpha$ -labeling number at most 2 and he conjectured that every bipartite graph has an  $\alpha$ -labeling number. This conjecture was proved by El-Zanati, Fu, and Shiue [243]. There are no known examples of a graph G with  $G_{\alpha} > 2$ .

Given two bipartite graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with partite sets  $\underline{H_1}$  and  $L_1$  and  $H_2$  and  $L_2$ , respectively, Snevily [664] defines their weak tensor product  $G_1 \overline{\bigotimes} G_2$  as the bipartite graph with vertex set  $(H_1 \times H_2, L_1 \times L_2)$  and with edge  $(h_1, h_2)(l_1, l_2)$  if  $h_1 l_1 \in \underline{E}(G_1)$  and  $h_2 l_2 \in E(G_2)$ . He proves that if  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have  $\alpha$ -labelings then so does  $G_1 \overline{\bigotimes} G_2$ . This result considerably enlarges the class of graphs known to have  $\alpha$ -labelings.

The sequential join of graphs  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$  is formed from  $G_1 \cup G_2 \cup \cdots \cup G_n$  by adding edges joining each vertex of  $G_i$  with each vertex of  $G_{i+1}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . Lee and Wang [461] have shown that for all  $n \ge 2$  and any positive integers  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  the sequential join of the graphs  $\overline{K}_{a_1}, \overline{K}_{a_2}, \ldots, \overline{K}_{a_n}$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling.

In [288] Gallian and Ropp conjectured that every graph obtained by adding a single pendant edge to one or more vertices of a cycle is graceful. Qian [560] proved this con-

jecture and in the case that the cycle is even he shows the graphs have an  $\alpha$ -labeling. He further proves that for n even any graph obtained from an n-cycle by adding one or more pendant edges at some vertices has an  $\alpha$ -labeling as long as at least one vertex has degree 3 and one vertex has degree 2.

For any tree T(V, E) whose vertices are properly 2-colored Rosa and Širáň [575] define a bipartite labeling of T as a bijection  $f: V \to \{0, 1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$  for which there is a k such that whenever  $f(u) \leq k \leq f(v)$ , then u and v have different colors. They define the  $\alpha$ -size of a tree T as the maximum number of distinct values of the induced edge labels  $|f(u)-f(v)|, uv \in E$ , taken over all bipartite labelings f of T. They prove that the  $\alpha$ -size of any tree with n edges is at least 5(n+1)/7 and that there exist trees whose  $\alpha$ -size is at most (5n+9)/6. They conjectured that minimum of the  $\alpha$ -sizes over all trees with n edges is asymptotically 5n/6. This conjecture has been proved for trees of maximum degree 3 by Bonnington and Širáň [173]. Heinrich and Hell [348] defined the gracesize of a graph G with n vertices as the maximum, over all bijections  $f: V(G) \to \{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$ , of the number of distinct values |f(u) - f(v)| over all edges uv of G. So, from Rosa and Širáň's result, the gracesize of any tree with n edges is at least 5(n+1)/7.

In [292] Gallian weakened the condition for an  $\alpha$ -labeling somewhat by defining a weakly  $\alpha$ -labeling as a graceful labeling for which there is an integer k so that for each edge xy either  $f(x) \leq k \leq f(y)$  or  $f(y) \leq k \leq f(x)$ . Unlike  $\alpha$ -labelings, this condition allows the graph to have an odd cycle, but still places a severe restriction on the structure of the graph; namely, that the vertex with the label k must be on every odd cycle. Gallian, Prout, and Winters [292] showed that the prisms  $C_n \times P_2$  with a vertex deleted have  $\alpha$ -labelings. The same paper reveals that  $C_n \times P_2$  with an edge deleted from a cycle has an  $\alpha$ -labeling when n is even and a weakly  $\alpha$ -labeling when n > 3.

A special case of  $\alpha$ -labeling called strongly graceful was introduced by Maheo |504| in 1980. A graceful labeling f of a graph G is called strongly graceful if G is bipartite with two partite sets A and B of the same order s, the number of edges is 2t + s, there is an integer k with  $t-s \le k \le t+s-1$  such that if  $a \in A$ ,  $f(a) \le k$ , and if  $b \in B$ , f(b) > k, and there is an involution  $\pi$  which is an automorphism of G such that:  $\pi$  exchanges A and B and the s edges  $a\pi(a)$  where  $a\in A$  have as labels the integers between t+1 and t+s. Maheo's main result is that if G is strongly graceful then so is  $G \times Q_n$ . In particular, she proved that  $(P_n \times Q_n) \times K_2$ ,  $B_{2n}$ , and  $B_{2n} \times Q_n$  have strongly graceful labelings. El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden [245] call a strongly graceful labeling a strong  $\alpha$ -labeling. They show that if G has a strong  $\alpha$ -labeling, then  $G \times P_n$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. They show that  $K_{m,2} \times K_2$  has a strong  $\alpha$ -labeling and that  $K_{m,2} \times P_n$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. They also show that if G is a bipartite graph with one more vertex than the number of edges, and if G has an  $\alpha$ -labeling such that the cardinalities of the sets of the corresponding bipartition of the vertices differ by at most 1, then  $G \times K_2$  has a strong  $\alpha$ -labeling and  $G \times P_n$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden [245] also note that  $K_{3,3} \times K_2$ ,  $K_{3,4} \times K_2$ ,  $K_{4,4} \times K_2$ , and  $C_{4k} \times K_2$  all have strong  $\alpha$ -labelings. El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden proved that  $K_{m,2} \times Q_n$  has a strong  $\alpha$ -valuation and that  $K_{m,2} \times P_n$  has an  $\alpha$ -labeling for all n. They also prove that if G is a connected bipartite graph with partite sets of odd order such that in each partite set each vertex has the same degree, then  $G \times K_2$  does not have

a strong  $\alpha$ -valuation. As a corollary they have that  $K_{m,n} \times K_2$  does not have a strong  $\alpha$ -valuation when m and n are odd.

An  $\alpha$ -labeling f of a graph G is called *free* by El-Zanati and Vanden Eynden in [246] if the critical number k (in the definition of  $\alpha$ -labeling) is greater than 2 and if neither 1 nor k-1 is used in the labeling. Their main result is that the union of graphs with free  $\alpha$ -labelings has an  $\alpha$ -labeling. In particular, they show that  $K_{m,n}$ , m>1, n>2, has a free  $\alpha$ -labeling. They also show that  $Q_n$ ,  $n\geq 3$ , and  $K_{m,2}\times Q_n$ , m>1,  $n\geq 1$ , have free  $\alpha$ -labelings. El-Zanati [personal communication] has shown that the Heawood graph has a free  $\alpha$ -labeling.

For connected bipartite graphs Grannell, Griggs, and Holroyd [312] introduced a labeling that lies between  $\alpha$ -labelings and graceful labelings. They call a vertex labeling f of a bipartite graph G with q edges and partitite sets D and U gracious if f is a bijection from the vertex set of G to  $\{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  such that the set of edge labels induced by f(u) - f(v) for every edge uv with  $u \in U$  and  $v \in D$  is  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ . Thus a gracious labeling of G with partite sets D and U is a graceful labeling in which every vertex in D has a label lower than every adjacent vertex. They verified by computer that every tree of size up to 20 has a gracious labeling. This led them to conjecture that every tree has a gracious labeling. For any k > 1 and any tree T Grannell et al. say that T has a qracious k-labeling if the verticies of T can be partitioned into sets D and U in such a way that there is a function f from the verticies of G to the integers modulo k such that the edge labels induced by f(u) - f(v) where  $u \in U$  and  $v \in D$  have the following properties: the number of edges labeled with 0 is one less than the number of verticies labeled with 0 and for each nonzero integer x the number of edges labeled with x is the same as the number of verticies labeled with x. They prove that every nontrivial tree has a k-gracious labeling for k=2,3,4, and 5 and that caterpillars are k-gracious for all  $k\geq 2$ .

The same labeling that is called gracious by Grannell, Griggs, and Holroyd is called a near  $\alpha$ -labeling by El-Zanati, Kenig, and Vanden Eynden [247]. The latter prove that if G is a graph with n edges that has a near  $\alpha$ -labeling then there exists a cyclic G-decomposition of  $K_{2nx+1}$  for all positive integers x and a cyclic G-decomposition of  $K_{n,n}$ . They further prove that if G and H have near  $\alpha$ -labelings, then so does their weak tensor product (see earlier part of this section) with respect to the corresponding vertex partitions. They conjecture that every tree has a near  $\alpha$ -labeling.

Another kind of labelings for trees was introduced by Ringel, Llado, and Serra [569] in an approach to proving their conjecture  $K_{n,n}$  is edge-decomposable into n copies of any given tree with n edges. If T is a tree with n edges and partite sets A and B, they define a labeling f from the set of vertices to  $\{1, 2, ..., n\}$  to be a bigraceful labeling of T if f restricted to A is injective, f restricted to B is injective, and the edge labels given by f(y) - f(x) where g is an edge with g in g and g in g in Section 2.7 In particular, the Ringel, Llado, and Serra bigraceful does not imply the usual graceful.) Among the graphs that they show are bigraceful are: lobsters, trees of diameter at most 5, stars g, with g spokes of paths of length g, and complete g-ary trees for g odd. They also prove that if g is a tree then there is a vertex g and a nonnegative integer g such that the addition

Table 3: Summary of Results on  $\alpha$ -labelings

Graph	$\alpha$ -labeling
cycles $C_n$	$\alpha \text{ iff } n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} [572]$
caterpillars	$\alpha$ [572]
n-cube	$\alpha$ [402]
books $B_{2n}$ , $B_{4n+1}$	$\alpha$ [504],[291]
$C_m \cup C_n$	$\alpha$ iff $m, n$ are even and $m + n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}[10]$
$C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \ (m > 1)$	$\alpha$ [403]
$C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m} \cup C_{4m}$	$\alpha$ [403]
$P_n \times Q_n$	$\alpha$ [504]
$B_{2n} \times Q_n$	$\alpha$ [504]
$K_{1,n} \times Q_n$	$\alpha$ [504]
$K_{m,2} \times Q_n$	$\alpha$ [245]
$K_{m,2} \times P_n$	$\alpha$ [245]

of m leaves to v results in a bigraceful tree. They conjecture that all trees are bigraceful.

The following table summarizes some of the main results about  $\alpha$ -labelings.  $\alpha$  indicates that the graphs have an  $\alpha$ -labeling.

Table 4: Summary of Results on  $\alpha$ -labelings continued

Graph	$\alpha$ -labeling
$P_2 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_2 \times G$	$\alpha$ when $G = C_{4m}$ , $P_m$ , $K_{3,3}$ , $K_{4,4}$ [664]
$P_2 \times P_2 \times \cdots \times P_2 \times P_m$	$\alpha$ [664]
$P_2 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_2 \times K_{3,3}$	$\alpha$ [664]
$P_2 \times P_2 \times \dots \times P_2 \times K_{4,4}$	$\alpha$ [664]
$G[\overline{K_n}]$	$\alpha$ when $G$ is $\alpha$ [665]
quadrilateral snakes	$\alpha$ [560]

### 3.2 k-graceful Labelings

A natural generalization of graceful graphs is the notion of k-graceful graphs introduced independently by Slater [657] in 1982 and by Maheo and Thuillier [505] in 1982. A graph G with q edges is k-graceful if there is labeling f from the vertices of G to  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q+k-1\}$  such that the set of edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the labels of adjacent vertices is  $\{k, k+1, \ldots, q+k-1\}$ . Obviously, 1-graceful is graceful and it is readily shown that any graph that has an  $\alpha$ -labeling is k-graceful for all k. Graphs that are k-graceful for all k are sometimes called arbitrarily graceful. Ng [540] has shown that there are graphs that are k-graceful for all k but do not have an  $\alpha$ -labeling.

Results of Maheo and Thuillier [505] together with those of Slater [657] show that:  $C_n$  is k-graceful if and only if either  $n \equiv 0$  or  $1 \pmod 4$  with k even and  $k \le (n-1)/2$ , or  $n \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  with k odd and  $k \le (n^2-1)/2$ . Maheo and Thuillier [505] also proved that the wheel  $W_{2k+1}$  is k-graceful and conjectured that  $W_{2k}$  is k-graceful when  $k \ne 3$  or  $k \ne 4$ . This conjecture was proved by Liang, Sun, and Xu [471]. Kang [375] proved that  $P_m \times C_{4n}$  is k-graceful for all k. Lee and Wang [458] showed that all pyramids, lotuses, and diamonds are k-graceful and Liang and Liu [468] have shown that  $K_{m,n}$  is k-graceful. Bu, Gao, and Zhang [166] have proved that  $P_n \times P_2$  and  $(P_n \times P_2) \cup (P_n \times P_2)$  are k-graceful for all k. Acharya (see [13]) has shown that a k-graceful Eulerian graph with q edges must satisfy one of the following conditions:  $q \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ ,  $q \equiv 1 \pmod 4$  if k is even, or  $q \equiv 3 \pmod 4$  if k is odd. Bu, Zhang, and He [171] have shown that an even cycle with a fixed number of pendant edges adjoined to each vertex is k-graceful. Lu, Pan, and Li [495] have proved that  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{p,q}$  is k-graceful when k > 1, and p and q are at least 2. Jirimutu, Bao, and Kong [371] have shown that the graphs obtained from  $K_{2,n}$  ( $n \ge 2$ ) and  $K_{3,n}$  ( $n \ge 3$ ) by attaching  $r \ge 2$  edges at each vertex is k-graceful for all  $k \ge 2$ .

Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown: a tree of order p with maximum

degree at least p/2 is k-graceful for some k; if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T - u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , then T is k-graceful for some positive k; if a tree T has two edges  $u_1u_2$  and  $u_2u_3$  such that the three components  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  of  $T - \{u_1u_2, u_2u_3\}$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$ ,  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , and  $d_{T_3}(u_3) \geq |T_3|/2$ , then T is k-graceful for some k > 1; and every Skolem-graceful (see §3.4 for the definition) tree is k-graceful for all  $k \geq 1$ . They conjecture that every tree is k-graceful for some k > 1.

Several authors have investigated the k-gracefulness of various classes of subgraphs of grid graphs. Acharya [11] proved that all 2-dimensional polyminoes that are convex and Eulerian are k-graceful for all k; Lee [420] showed that Mongolian tents and Mongolian villages are k-graceful for all k (see §2.3 for the definitions); Lee and K. C. Ng [432] proved that all Young tableaus (see §2.3 for the definitions) are k-graceful for all k. (A special case of this is  $P_n \times P_2$ .) Lee and H. K. Ng [432] subsequently generalized these results on Young tableaus to a wider class of planar graphs.

Duan and Qi [238] use  $G_t(m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2; \ldots; m_s, n_s)$  to denote the graph composed of the s complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m_1,n_1}, K_{m_2,n_2}, \ldots, K_{m_s,n_s}$  that have only t  $(1 \le t \le \min\{m_1, m_2, \ldots, m_s\})$  common vertices but no common edge and  $G(m_1, n_1; m_2, n_2)$  to denote the graph composed of the complete bipartite graphs  $K_{m_1,n_1}, K_{m_2,n_2}$  with exactly one common edge. They prove that these graphs are k-graceful graphs for all k.

Let  $c, m, p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_m$  be positive integers. For  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, m$ , let  $S_i$  be a set of  $p_i + 1$  integers and let  $D_i$  be the set of positive differences of the pairs of elements of  $S_i$ . If all these differences are distinct then the system  $D_1, D_2, \ldots, D_m$  is called a perfect system of difference sets starting at c if the union of all the sets  $D_i$  is  $c, c + 1, \ldots, c - 1 + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \binom{p_i+1}{2}$ . There is a relationship between k-graceful graphs and perfect systems of difference sets. A perfect system of difference sets starting with c describes a c-graceful labeling of a graph that is decomposable into complete subgraphs. A survey of perfect systems of difference sets is given in [4].

Acharya and Hegde [18] generalized k-graceful labelings to (k,d)-graceful labelings by permitting the vertex labels to belong to  $\{0,1,2,\ldots,k+(q-1)d\}$  and requiring the set of edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of labels of adjacent vertices to be  $\{k,k+d,k+2d,\ldots,k+(q-1)d\}$ . They also introduce an analog of  $\alpha$ -labelings in the obvious way. Notice that a (1,1)-graceful labeling is a graceful labeling and a (k,1)-graceful labeling is a k-graceful labeling. Bu and Zhang [170] have shown:  $K_{m,n}$  is (k,d)-graceful for all k and d; for n>2,  $K_n$  is (k,d)-graceful if and only if k=d and  $n\leq 4$ ; if  $m_i,n_i\geq 2$  and  $\max\{m_i,n_i\}\geq 3$ , then  $K_{m_1,n_1}\cup K_{m_2,n_2}\cup\cdots\cup K_{m_r,n_r}$  is (k,d)-graceful for all k, d, and r; if G has an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then G is (k,d)-graceful for all k and d; a k-graceful graph is a (kd,d)-graceful graph; a (kd,d)-graceful connected graph is k-graceful; and a (k,d)-graceful graph with q edges that is not bipartite must have  $k\leq (q-2)d$ .

Let T be a tree with adjacent vertices  $u_0$  and  $v_0$  and pendant vertices u and v such that the length of the path  $u_0 - u$  is the same as the length of the path  $v_0 - v$ . Hegde and Shetty [346] call the graph obtained from T by deleting  $u_0v_0$  and joining u and v

an elementary parallel transformation of T. They say that a tree T is a  $T_p$ -tree if it can be transformed into a path by a sequence of elementary parallel transformations. They prove that every  $T_p$ -tree is (k, d)-graceful for all k and d and every graph obtained from a  $T_p$ -tree by subdividing each edge of the tree is (k, d)-graceful for all k and d.

Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown: a tree of order p with maximum degree at least p/2 is (k,d)-graceful for some k and d; if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T-u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $T_2$  is a caterpiller, then T is Skolem-graceful (see §3.4 for the definition); if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T-u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , then T is (k,d)-graceful for some k>1 and d>1; if a tree T has two edges  $u_1u_2$  and  $u_2u_3$  such that the three components  $T_1$ ,  $T_2$ , and  $T_3$  of  $T-\{u_1u_2,u_2u_3\}$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$ ,  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , and  $d_{T_3}(u_3) \geq |T_3|/2$ , then T is (k,d)-graceful for some k>1 and d>1; and every Skolem-graceful tree is (k,d)-graceful for some k>1 and d>0. They conjecture that every tree is (k,d)-graceful for some k>1 and d>1.

Hegde [341] has proved the following: if a graph is (k, d)-graceful for odd k and even d, then the graph is bipartite; if a graph is (k, d)-graceful and contains  $C_{2j+1}$  as a subgraph, then  $k \leq jd(q-j-1)$ ;  $K_n$  is (k, d)-graceful if and only if  $n \leq 4$ ;  $C_{4t}$  is (k, d)-graceful for all k and d;  $C_{4t+1}$  is (2t, 1)-graceful;  $C_{4t+2}$  is (2t-1, 2)-graceful; and  $C_{4t+3}$  is (2t+1, 1)-graceful.

Hegde [339] calls a (k, d)-graceful graph (k, d)-balanced if it has a (k, d)-graceful labeling f with the property that there is some integer m such that for every edge uv either  $f(u) \leq m$  and f(v) > m, or f(u) > m and  $f(v) \leq m$ . He proves that if a graph is (1, 1)-balanced then it is (k, d)-graceful for all k and d and that a graph is (1, 1)-balanced graph if and only if it is (k, k)-balanced for all k. He conjectures that all trees are (k, d)-balanced for some values of k and d.

Slater [660] has extended the definition of k-graceful graphs to countable infinite graphs in a natural way. He proved that all countably infinite trees, the complete graph with countably many vertices, and the countably infinite Dutch windmill is k-graceful for all k.

More specialized results on k-graceful labelings can be found in [420], [432], [433], [657], [165], [167], [166], and [202].

## 3.3 $\gamma$ -Labelings

In 2004 Chartrand, Erwin, VanderJagt, and Zhang [195] define a  $\gamma$ -labeling of a graph G of size m as a one-to-one function f from the vertices of G to  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., m\}$  that induces an edge labeling f' defined by f'(uv) = |f(u) - f(v)| for each edge uv. They define the following parameters of a  $\gamma$ -labeling:  $\operatorname{val}(f) = \Sigma f'(e)$  over all edges e of G;  $\operatorname{val}_{\max}(G) = \max\{\operatorname{val}(f): f \text{ is a } \gamma\text{-labeling of } G\}$ ,  $\operatorname{val}_{\min}(G) = \min\{\operatorname{val}(f): f \text{ is a } \gamma\text{-labeling of } G\}$ . Among their results are the following:  $\operatorname{val}_{\min}(P_n) = \operatorname{val}_{\max}(P_n) = \lfloor (n^2 - 2)/2 \rfloor; \operatorname{val}_{\min}(C_n) = 2(n-1); \text{ for } n \geq 4, n \text{ even, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ for } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = (n-1)(n+3)/2; \operatorname{val}_{\min}(K_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ for } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = (n-1)(n+3)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(K_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = (n-1)(n+3)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(K_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = (n-1)(n+3)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(K_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ val}_{\min}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n) = n(n+2)/2; \text{ or } n \geq 3, n \text{ odd, } \operatorname{val}_{\max}(C_n)$ 

 $\binom{n+1}{3}$ ; for odd n, val<sub>max</sub> $(K_n) = (n^2-1)(3n^2-5n+6)/24$ ; for even n, val<sub>max</sub> $(K_n) = n(3n^3-5n^2+6n-4)/24$ ; for every  $n \geq 3$ , val<sub>min</sub> $(K_{1,n-1}) = \binom{\lfloor \frac{n+1}{2} \rfloor}{2} + \binom{\lceil \frac{n+1}{2} \rceil}{2}$ ; val<sub>max</sub> $(K_{1,n-1}) = \binom{n}{2}$ ; for a connected graph of order n and size m, val<sub>min</sub>(G) = m if and only if G is isomorphic to  $P_n$ ; if G is maximal outerplanar of order  $n \geq 2$ , val<sub>min</sub> $(G) \geq 3n-5$  and equality occurs if and only if  $G = P_n^2$ ; if G is a connected G-regular bipartite graph of order G and size G where G is then val<sub>max</sub>G0 in G1. In another paper on G1-labelings of trees Chartrand et al. [196] prove for G2,

val<sub>min</sub>  $(S_{p,q})$  (double star) =  $(\lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1)^2 + (\lfloor q/2 \rfloor + 1)^2 - (n_p \lfloor p/2 \rfloor + 1)^2 + (n_q \lfloor (q+2)/2 \rfloor + 1)^2)$ , where  $n_i$  is 1 if i is even and  $n_i$  is 0 if  $n_i$  is odd; val<sub>min</sub>  $(S_{p,q}) = (p^2 + q^2 + 4pq - 3p - 3q+2)/2$ ; for a connected graph G of order n at least 4, val<sub>min</sub> (G) = n if and only if G is a caterpillar with maximum degree 3 and has a unique vertex of degree 3; for a tree T of order n at least 4, maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and diameter d, val<sub>min</sub>  $(T) \geq (8n + \Delta^2 - 6\Delta - 4d + \delta_{\Delta})/4$  where  $\delta_{\Delta}$  is 0 if  $\Delta$  is even and  $\delta_{\Delta}$  is 0 if  $\Delta$  is odd. They also give a characterization of all trees of order n at least 5 whose minimum value is n + 1.

#### 3.4 Skolem-Graceful Labelings

A number of authors have invented analogues of graceful graphs by modifying the permissible vertex labels. For instance, Lee (see [454]) calls a graph G with p vertices and q edges Skolem-graceful if there is an injection from the set of vertices of G to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, p\}$  such that the edge labels induced by |f(x)-f(y)| for each edge xy are 1, 2, ..., q. A necessary condition for a graph to be Skolem-graceful is that  $p \geq q + 1$ . Lee and Wui [466] have shown that a connected graph is Skolem-graceful if and only if it is a graceful tree. Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown that a tree of order p with maximum degree at least p/2 is Skolem-graceful. Although the disjoint union of trees cannot be graceful, they can be Skolem-graceful. Lee and Wui [466] prove that the disjoint union of 2 or 3 stars is Skolem-graceful if and only if at least one star has even size. In [213] Choudum and Kishore show that the disjoint union of k copies of the star  $K_{1,2p}$  is Skolem graceful if  $k \leq 4p+1$  and the disjoint union of any number of copies of  $K_{1,2}$  is Skolem graceful. For  $k \geq 2$ , let  $St(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$  denote the disjoint union of k stars with  $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k$  edges. Lee, Wang, and Wui [463] showed that the 4-star  $St(n_1, n_2, n_3, n_4)$  is Skolem-graceful for some special cases and conjectured that all 4-stars are Skolem-graceful. Denham, Leu, and Liu [225] proved this conjecture. Kishore [392] has shown that a necessary condition for  $St(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_k)$  to be Skolem graceful is that some  $n_i$  is even or  $k \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4). He conjectures that each one of these conditions is sufficient. Choudum and Kishore [211] proved that all 5-stars are Skolem graceful.

Lee, Quach, and Wang [440] showed that the disjoint union of the path  $P_n$  and the star of size m is Skolem-graceful if and only if n = 2 and m is even or  $n \ge 3$  and  $m \ge 1$ . It follows from the work of Skolem [652] that  $nP_2$ , the disjoint union of n copies of  $P_2$ , is

Skolem-graceful if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4). Harary and Hsu [324] studied Skolem-graceful graphs under the name node-graceful. Frucht [278] has shown that  $P_m \cup P_n$  is Skolem-graceful when  $m + n \geq 5$ . Bhat-Nayak and Deshmukh [136] have shown that  $P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup P_{n_3}$  is Skolem-graceful when  $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3$ ,  $n_2 = t(n_1 + 2) + 1$  and  $n_1$  is even and when  $n_1 < n_2 \leq n_3$ ,  $n_2 = t(n_1 + 3) + 1$  and  $n_1$  is odd. They also prove that the graphs of the form  $P_{n_1} \cup P_{n_2} \cup \cdots \cup P_{n_i}$  where  $i \geq 4$  are Skolem-graceful under certain conditions.

Youssef [777] proved that if G is Skolem-graceful, then  $G + \overline{K_n}$  is graceful. Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown that if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T - u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $T_2$  is a caterpiller, then T is Skolem-graceful.

Mendelsohn and Shalaby [511] defined a  $Skolem\ labeled\ graph\ G(V,E)$  as one for which there is a positive integer d and a function  $L:V\to\{d,d+1,\ldots,d+m\}$ , satisfying (a) there are exactly two vertices in V such that  $L(v)=d+i,\ 0\leq i\leq m$ ; (b) the distance in G between any two vertices with the same label is the value of the label; and (c) if G' is a proper spanning subgraph of G, then L restricted to G' is not a Skolem labeled graph. Note that this definition is different from the Skolem-graceful labeling of Lee, Quach, and Wang. Mendelsohn established the following: any tree can be embedded in a Skolem labeled tree with O(v) vertices; any graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph in a Skolem labeled graph on  $O(v^3)$  vertices; for d=1, there is a Skolem labeling or the minimum hooked Skolem (with as few unlabeled vertices as possible) labeling for paths and cycles; for d=1, there is a minimum Skolem labeled graph containing a path or a cycle of length n as induced subgraph. In [510] Mendelsohn and Shalaby prove that the necessary conditions in [511] are sufficient for a Skolem or minimum hooked Skolem labeling of all trees consisting of edge-disjoint paths of the same length from some fixed vertex.

# 3.5 Odd Graceful Labelings

Gnanajothi [302, p. 182] defined a graph G with q edges to be odd graceful if there is an injection f from V(G) to  $\{0,1,2,\ldots,2q-1\}$  such that, when each edge xy is assigned the label |f(x)-f(y)|, the resulting edge labels are  $\{1,3,5,\ldots,2q-1\}$ . She proved that the class of odd graceful graphs lies between the class of graphs with  $\alpha$ -labelings and the class of bipartite graphs by showing that every graph with an  $\alpha$ -labeling has an odd graceful labeling and every graph with an odd cycle is not odd graceful. She also proved the following graphs are odd graceful:  $P_n$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if n is even;  $K_{m,n}$ ; combs  $P_n \odot K_1$  (graphs obtained by joining a single pendant edge to each vertex of  $P_n$ ); books; crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$  (graphs obtained by joining a single pendant edge to each vertex of  $C_n$ ) if and only if n is even; the disjoint union of copies of  $C_4$ ; the one-point union of copies of  $C_4$ ;  $C_n \times K_2$  if and only if n is even; caterpillars; rooted trees of height 2; the graphs obtained from  $P_n$  ( $n \ge 3$ ) by adding exactly two leaves at each vertex of degree 2 of  $P_n$ ; the graphs consisting of vertices  $a_0, a_1, \ldots a_n, b_0, b_1, \ldots b_n$  with edges  $a_i a_{i+1}, b_i b_{i+1}$  for  $i = 0, \ldots, n-1$  and  $a_i b_i$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ ; the graphs obtained from a star by adjoining to each end

vertex the path  $P_3$  or by adjoining to each end vertex the path  $P_4$ . She conjectures that all trees are odd graceful and proves the conjecture for all trees with order up to 10. Barrientos [108] has extended this to trees of order up to 12. Eldergill [240] generalized Gnanajothi's result on stars by showing that the graphs obtained by joining one end point from each of any odd number of paths of equal length is odd graceful. He also proved that the one-point union of any number of copies of  $C_6$  is odd graceful. Kathiresan [382] has shown that ladders and graphs obtained from them by subdividing each step exactly once are odd graceful.

Sekar [585] has shown the following graphs are odd graceful:  $C_m \odot P_n$  (the graph obtained by identifying an end point of  $P_n$  with every vertex of  $C_m$ ) where  $n \geq 3$  and m is even;  $P_{a,b}$  when  $a \geq 2$  and b is odd (see §2.7);  $P_{2,b}$  and  $b \geq 2$ ;  $P_{4,b}$  and  $b \geq 2$ ;  $P_{a,b}$  when a and b are even and  $a \geq 4$  and  $b \geq 4$ ;  $P_{4r+1,4r+2}$ ;  $P_{4r-1,4r}$ ; all n-polygonal snakes with n even;  $C_n^{(t)}$  (see §2.2); graphs obtained by beginning with  $C_6$  and repeatedly forming the one-point union with additional copies of  $C_6$  in succession; graphs obtained by beginning with  $C_8$  and repeatedly forming the one-point union with additional copies of  $C_8$  in succession; graphs obtained from even cycles by identifying a vertex of the cycle with the endpoint of a star;  $C_{6,n}$  and  $C_{8,n}$  (see §2.7); the splitting graph of  $P_n$  (see §2.7) the splitting graph of  $C_n$ , n even; lobsters, banana trees, and regular bamboo trees (see §2.1).

Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown the following: if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T - u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $T_2$  is a caterpiller, then T is odd graceful; if a tree T has a vertex of degree at least |T|/2, then T is odd graceful; if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T - u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , then T is Skolem-graceful. They conjecture that for trees, the properties of being Skolem-graceful and odd graceful are equivalent.

Barrientos [108] has shown that all disjoint unions of caterpillars are odd graceful and all trees of diameter 5 are odd graceful. He conjectures that every bipartite graph is odd graceful. Seoud, Diab, and Elsakhawi [591] have shown that a connected complete r-partite graph is odd graceful if and only if r=2 and that the join of any two connected graphs is not odd graceful.

## 3.6 Graceful-like Labelings

As a means of attacking graph decomposition problems, Rosa [572] invented another analogue of graceful labelings by permitting the vertices of a graph with q edges to assume labels from the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, q+1\}$ , while the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels are  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q\}$  or  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q+1\}$ . He calls these  $\hat{\rho}$ -labelings. Frucht [278] used the term nearly graceful labeling instead of  $\hat{\rho}$ -labelings. Frucht [278] has shown that the following graphs have nearly graceful labelings with edge labels from  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q+1\}$ :  $P_m \cup P_n$ ;  $S_m \cup S_n$ ;  $S_m \cup P_n$ ;  $G \cup K_2$  where G is graceful; and  $C_3 \cup K_2 \cup S_m$  where m is even or  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{14}$ . Seoud and Elsakhawi [592] have shown that all cycles are nearly graceful. Barrientos [103] proved that  $C_n$  is nearly

graceful with edge labels  $1, 2, \ldots, n-1, n+1$  if and only if  $n \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4). Rosa [574] conjectured that triangular snakes with  $t \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) blocks are graceful and those with  $t \equiv 2$  or 3 (mod 4) blocks are nearly graceful (a parity condition ensures that the graphs in the latter case cannot be graceful). Moulton [528] proved Rosa's conjecture while introducing the slightly stronger concept of almost graceful by permitting the vertex labels to come from  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q+1\}$  while the edge labels are  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q\}$ , or  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q-1, q+1\}$ . Seoud and Elsakhawi [592] have shown that the following graphs are almost graceful:  $C_n$ ;  $P_n + \overline{K_m}$ ;  $P_n + K_{1,m}$ ;  $K_{m,n}$ ;  $K_{1,m,n}$ ;  $K_{2,2,m}$ ;  $K_{1,1,m,n}$ ; ladders; and  $P_n \times P_3$  ( $n \geq 3$ ).

For a graph G with p vertices, q edges, and  $1 \le k \le q$ , Eshghi [254] defines a holey  $\alpha$ -labeling with respect to k as an injective vertex labeling f for which  $f(v) \in \{1, 2, \dots, q+1\}$  for all v,  $\{|f(u) - f(v)| \mid \text{ for all edges } uv\} = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1, k+1, \dots, q+1\}$ , and there exist an integer  $\gamma$  with  $0 \le \gamma \le q$  such that  $\min\{f(u), f(v)\} \le \gamma \le \max\{f(u), f(v)\}$ . He proves the following:  $P_n$  has a holey  $\alpha$ -labeling with respect to all k;  $C_n$  has a holey  $\alpha$ -labeling with respect to k if and only if either  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , k is even, and  $(n, k) \ne (10, 6)$ , or  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and k is odd.

Barrientos [103] calls a graph a  $kC_n$ -snake if it is a connected graph with k blocks whose block-cutpoint graph is a path and each of the k blocks is isomorphic to  $C_n$ . (When n > 3 and k > 3 there is more than one  $kC_n$ -snake.) If a  $kC_n$ -snake where the path of minimum length that contains all the cut-vertices of the graph has the property that the distance between any two consecutive cut-vertices is  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  it is called linear. Barrientos proves that  $kC_4$ -snakes are graceful and that the linear  $kC_6$ -snakes are graceful when k is even. When k is odd he proves that the linear  $kC_6$ -snake is nearly graceful. Barrientos further proves that  $kC_8$ -snakes and  $kC_{12}$ -snakes are graceful in the cases where the distances between consecutive vertices of the path of minimum length that contains all the cut-vertices of the graph are all even and that certain cases of  $kC_{4n}$ -snakes and  $kC_{5n}$ -snakes are graceful (depending on the distances between consecutive vertices of the path of minimum length that contains all the cut-vertices of the graph). Barrientos [106] also has shown that  $C_m \cup K_{1,n}$  is nearly graceful when m = 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Yet another kind of labeling introduced by Rosa in his 1967 paper [572] is a  $\rho$ -valuation. A  $\rho$ -valuation of a graph is an injection from the vertices of the graph with q edges to the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, 2q\}$ , where if the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels are  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_q$ , then  $a_i = i$  or  $a_i = 2q + 1 - i$ . Rosa [572] proved that a cyclic decomposition of the edge set of the complete graph  $K_{2q+1}$  into subgraphs isomorphic to a given graph G with q edges exists if and only if G has a  $\rho$ -valuation. (A decomposition of  $K_n$  into copies of G is called cyclic if the automorphism group of the decomposition itself contains the cyclic group of order n.) It is known that every graph with at most 11 edges has a  $\rho$ -labeling and that all lobsters have a  $\rho$ -labeling (see [191]). Donovan, El-Zanati, Vanden Eyden, and Sutinuntopas [232] prove that  $rC_m$  has a  $\rho$ -labeling (or a more restrictive labeling) when  $r \leq 4$ . They conjecture that every 2-regular graph has a  $\rho$ -labeling. Caro, Roditty, and Schőnheim [191] provide a construction for the adjacency matrix for every graph that has a  $\rho$ -labeling. They ask the following question: If H is a connected graph having a  $\rho$ -labeling and q edges and q is a new graph

with q edges constructed by breaking H up into disconnected parts, does G also have a  $\rho$ -labeling? Kézdy [389] defines a *stunted tree* as one whose edges can be labeled with  $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_n$  so that  $e_1$  and  $e_2$  are incident and, for all  $j = 3, 4, \ldots, n$ , edge  $e_j$  is incident to at least one edge  $e_k$  satisfying  $2k \leq j-1$ . He uses Alon's "Combinatorial Nullstellensatz" to prove that if 2n + 1 is prime, then every stunted tree with n edges has a  $\rho$ -labeling.

In their investigation of cyclic decompositions of complete graphs El-Zanati, Vanden Eynden, and Punnim [248] introduced two kinds of labelings. They say a bipartite graph G with n edges and partite sets A and B has a  $\theta$ -labeling h if h is a one-to-one function from V(G) to  $\{0,1,\ldots,2n\}$  such that  $\{|h(b)-h(a)|\ ab\in E(G), a\in A, b\in B\}=\{1,2,\ldots,n\}$ . They call h a  $\rho^+$ -labeling of G if h is a one-to-one function from V(G) to  $\{0,1,\ldots,2n\}$  and the integers h(x)-h(y) are distinct modulo 2n+1 over all ordered pairs (x,y) where xy is an edge in G, and h(b)>h(a) whenever  $a\in A, b\in B$  and ab is an edge in G. Note that  $\theta$ -labelings are  $\rho^+$ -labelings and  $\rho^+$ -labelings are  $\rho$ -labelings. They prove that if G is a bipartite graph with n edges and a  $\rho^+$ -labeling, then for every positive integer x there is a cyclic G-decomposition of  $K_{2nx+1}$ . They prove the following graphs have  $\rho^+$ -labelings: trees of diameter at most f is f in f in

Given a bipartite graph G with partite sets X and Y and graphs  $H_1$  with p vertices and  $H_2$  with q vertices, Fronček and Winters [275] define the bicomposition, of G and  $H_1$  and  $H_2$ ,  $G[H_1, H_2]$ , as the graph obtained from G by replacing each vertex of X by a copy of  $H_1$ , each vertex of Y by a copy of  $H_2$ , and every edge xy by a graph isomorphic to  $K_{p,q}$  with the partite sets corresponding to the vertices x and y. They prove that if G is a bipartite graph with n edges and G has a  $\theta$ -labeling that maps the vertex set  $V = X \cup Y$  into a subset of  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, 2n\}$ , then the bicomposition  $G[\overline{K_p}, \overline{K_q}]$  has a  $\theta$ -labeling for every  $p, q \geq 1$ . As corollaries they have: if a bipartite graph G with G with G deges and at most G vertices has a gracious labeling (see §3.1), then the bicomposition graph  $G[\overline{K_p}, \overline{K_q}]$  has a gracious labeling for every G and if a bipartite graph G with G with G deges has a G-labeling, then for every G and if a bipartite graph G with G with G deges has a G-labeling, then for every G and G and G decomposes the complete graph G decomposes

Blinco, El-Zanati, and Vanden Eynden [143] call a non-bipartite graph almost-bipartite if the removal of some edge results in a bipartite graph. For these kinds of graphs G they call a labeling h a  $\gamma$ -labeling of G if the following conditions are met: h is a  $\rho$ -labeling; G is tripartite with vertex tripartition A, B, C with  $C = \{c\}$  and  $\overline{b} \in B$  such that  $\{\overline{b}, c\}$  is the unique edge joining an element of B to c; if  $\{a, v\}$  is an edge of G with  $a \in A$ , then h(a) < h(v); and  $h(c) - h(\overline{b}) = n$ . They prove that if an almost-bipartite graph G with n edges has a  $\gamma$ -labeling then there is a cyclic G-decomposition of  $K_{2nx+1}$  for all x. They prove that all odd cycles with more than 3 vertices have a  $\gamma$ -labeling and that  $C_3 \cup C_{4m}$  has a  $\gamma$ -labeling if and only if m > 1.

In [143] Blinco, El-Zanati, and Vanden Eynden consider a slightly restricted  $\rho^+$ labeling for a bipartite graph with partite sets A and B by requiring that there exists a
number  $\lambda$  with the property that  $\rho^+(a) \leq \lambda$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $\rho^+(b) > \lambda$  for all  $b \in B$ .

They denote such a labeling by  $\rho^{++}$ . They use this kind of labeling to show that if G is a 2-regular graph of order n in which each component has even order then there is a cyclic G-decomposition of  $K_{2nx+1}$  for all x. They also conjecture that every bipartite graph has a  $\rho$ -labeling and every 2-regular graph has a  $\rho$ -labeling.

Dufour [239] and Eldergill [240] have some results on the decomposition of complete graphs using labeling methods. Balakrishnan and Sampathkumar [93] showed that for each positive integer n the graph  $\overline{K_n} + 2K_2$  admits a  $\rho$ -valuation. Balakrishnan [90] asks if it is true that  $\overline{K_n} + mK_2$  admits a  $\rho$ -valuation for all n and m. Fronček [272] and Fronček and Kubesa [274] have introduced several kinds of labelings for the purpose of proving the existence of special kinds of decompositions of complete graphs into spanning trees.

For (p,q)-graphs with p=q+1, Frucht [278] has introduced a stronger version of almost graceful graphs by permitting as vertex labels  $\{0, 1, \dots, q-1, q+1\}$  and as edge labels  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$ . He calls such a labeling pseudograceful. Frucht proved that  $P_n$   $(n \geq 3)$ , combs, sparklers (i.e., graphs obtained by joining an end vertex of a path to the center of a star),  $C_3 \cup P_n$   $(n \neq 3)$ , and  $C_4 \cup P_n$   $(n \neq 1)$  are pseudograceful while  $K_{1,n}$   $(n \geq 3)$  is not. Kishore [392] proved that  $C_s \cup P_n$  is pseudograceful when  $s \geq 5$  and  $n \geq (s+7)/2$ and that  $C_s \cup S_n$  is pseudograceful when s = 3, s = 4, and  $s \geq 7$ . Seoud and Youssef [602] and [598] extended the definition of pseudograceful to all graphs with  $p \leq q + 1$ . They proved that  $K_m$  is pseudograceful if and only if m = 1, 3, or 4 [598];  $K_{m,n}$  is pseudograceful when  $n \geq 2$ , and  $P_m + \overline{K_n}$   $(m \geq 2)$  [602] is pseudograceful. They also proved that if G is pseudograceful, then  $G \cup K_{m,n}$  is graceful for  $m \geq 2$  and  $n \geq 2$  and  $G \cup K_{m,n}$  is pseudograceful for  $m \geq 2, n \geq 2$  and  $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$  [598]. They ask if  $G \cup K_{2,2}$  is pseudograceful whenever G is. Seoud and Youssef [598] observed that if G is a pseudograceful Eulerian graph with q edges, then  $q \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4). Youssef [780] has shown that  $C_n$  is pseudograceful if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4), and for n > 8and  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4),  $C_n \cup K_{p,q}$  is pseudograceful for all  $p, q \geq 2$  except (p, q) = (2, 2). Youssef [777] has shown that if H is pseudograceful and G has an  $\alpha$ -labeling with k being the smaller vertex label of the edge labeled with 1 and if either k+2 or k-1 is not a vertex label of G, then  $G \cup H$  is graceful. In [781] Youssef shows that if G is (p,q)pseudograceful graph with p = q + 1, then  $G \cup S_m$  is Skolem-graceful. As a corollary he obtains that for all  $n \geq 2$ ,  $P_n \cup S_m$  is Skolem-graceful if and only if  $n \geq 3$  or n = 2 and m is even.

McTavish [508] has investigated labelings of graphs with q edges where the vertex and edge labels are from  $\{0, \ldots, q, q+1\}$ . She calls these  $\tilde{\rho}$ -labelings. Graphs that have  $\tilde{\rho}$ -labelings include cycles and the disjoint union of  $P_n$  or  $S_n$  with any graceful graph.

Frucht [278] has made an observation about graceful labelings that yields nearly graceful analogs of  $\alpha$ -labelings and weakly  $\alpha$ -labelings in a natural way. Suppose G(V, E) is a graceful graph with the vertex labeling f. For each edge xy in E, let [f(x), f(y)] (where  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ ) denote the interval of real numbers r with  $f(x) \leq r \leq f(y)$ . Then the intersection  $\cap [f(x), f(y)]$  over all edges  $xy \in E$  is a unit interval, a single point, or empty. Indeed, if f is an  $\alpha$ -labeling of G then the intersection is a unit interval; if f is a weakly  $\alpha$ -labeling, but not an  $\alpha$ -labeling, then the intersection is a point; and, if f is graceful but not a weakly  $\alpha$ -labeling, then the intersection is empty. For nearly graceful labelings, the

intersection also gives three distinct classes.

Singh and Devaraj [646] call a graph G with p vertices and q edges triangular graceful if there is an injection f from V(G) to  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, T_q\}$  where  $T_q$  is the qth triangular number and the labels induced on each edge uv by |f(u)-f(v)| are the first q triangular numbers. They prove the following graphs are triangular graceful: paths, level 2 rooted trees, olive trees (see § 2.1 for the definition), complete n-ary trees, double stars, caterpillars,  $C_{4n}$ ,  $C_{4n}$  with pendent edges, the one-point union of  $C_3$  and  $P_n$ , and unicyclic graphs that have  $C_3$  as the unique cycle. They prove that wheels, helms, flowers (see §2.2 for the definition) and  $K_n$  with  $n \geq 3$  are not triangular graceful. They conjecture that all trees are triangular graceful.

Van Bussel [719] considered two kinds of relaxations of graceful labelings as applied to trees. He called a labeling range-relaxed graceful it is meets the same conditions as a graceful labeling except the range of possible vertex labels and edge labels are not restricted to the number of edges of the graph (the edges are distinctly labeled but not necessarily labeled 1 to q where q is the number of edges). Similarly, he calls a labeling vertex-relaxed graceful if it satisfies the conditions of a graceful labeling while permitting repeated vertex labels. He proves that every tree T with q edges has a range-relaxed graceful labeling with the vertex labels in the range  $0, 1, \ldots, 2q-d$  where d is the diameter of T and that every tree on n vertices has a vertex-relaxed graceful labeling such that the number of distinct vertex labels is strictly greater than n/2.

Sekar [585] calls an injective function  $\phi$  from the vertices of a graph with q edges to  $\{0,1,3,4,6,7,\ldots,3(q-1),3q-2\}$  one modulo three graceful if the edge labels induced by labeling each edge uv with  $|\phi(u)-\phi(v)|$  is  $\{1,4,7,\ldots,3q-2\}$ . He proves that the following graphs are one modulo three graceful:  $P_m$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \mod 4$ ;  $K_{m,n}$ ;  $C_{2n}^{(2)}$  (the one-point union of two copies of  $C_{2n}$ );  $C_n^{(t)}$  for n=4 or 8 and t>2;  $C_6^{(t)}$  and  $t\geq 4$ ; caterpillars, stars, lobsters; banana trees, rooted trees of height 2; ladders; the graphs obtained by identifying the endpoints of any number of copies of  $P_n$ ; the graph obtained by attaching pendent edges to each endpoint of two identical stars and then identifying one endpoint from each of these graphs; the graph obtained by identifying a vertex of  $C_{4k+2}$  with an endpoint of a star; n-polygonal snakes (see §2.2) for  $n \equiv 0$  (mod 4); n-polygonal snakes for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  where the number of polygons is even; crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$  for n even;  $C_{2n} \odot P_m(C_{2n}$  with  $P_m$  attached at each vertex of the cycle) for  $m \geq 3$ ; chains of cycles (see §2.2) of the form  $C_{4,m}$ ,  $C_{6,2m}$ , and  $C_{8,m}$ . He conjectures that every one modulo three graceful graph is graceful.

## 3.7 Cordial Labelings

Cahit [177] has introduced a variation of both graceful and harmonious labelings. Let f be a function from the vertices of G to  $\{0,1\}$  and for each edge xy assign the label |f(x) - f(y)|. Call f a cordial labeling of G if the number of vertices labeled 0 and the number of vertices labeled 1 differ by at most 1, and the number of edges labeled 0 and the number of edges labeled 1 differ at most by 1. Cahit [178] proved the following: every tree is cordial;  $K_n$  is cordial if and only if  $n \leq 3$ ;  $K_{m,n}$  is cordial for all m and n; the

friendship graph  $C_3^{(t)}$  (i.e., the one-point union of t 3-cycles) is cordial if and only if  $t \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; all fans are cordial; the wheel  $W_n$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  (see also [237]); maximal outerplanar graphs are cordial; and an Eulerian graph is not cordial if its size is congruent to 2 (mod 4). Kuo, Chang, and Kwong [416] determine all m and n for which  $mK_n$  is cordial. Youssef [781] proved that every Skolem-graceful graph is cordial.

A k-angular cactus is a connected graph all of whose blocks are cycles with k vertices. In [178] Cahit proved that a k-angular cactus with t cycles is cordial if and only if  $kt \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . This was improved by Kirchherr [390] who showed any cactus whose blocks are cycles is cordial if and only if the size of the graph is not congruent to  $2 \pmod{4}$ . Kirchherr [391] also gave a characterization of cordial graphs in terms of their adjacency matrices. Ho, Lee, and Shee [352] proved:  $P_n \times C_{4m}$  is cordial for all m and all odd n; the composition G and H is cordial if G is cordial and H is cordial and has odd order and even size (see §2.3 for definition of composition); for  $n \geq 4$  the composition  $C_n[K_2]$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; the Cartesian product of two cordial graphs of even size is cordial. The same authors [351] showed that a unicyclic graph is cordial unless it is  $C_{4k+2}$  and that the generalized Petersen graph (see §2.7 for the definition) P(n,k) is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Du [237] determines the maximal number of edges in a cordial graph of order n and gives a necessary condition for a k-regular graph to be cordial.

Seoud and Abdel Maqusoud [588] proved that if G is a graph with n vertices and m edges and every vertex has odd degree then G is not cordial when  $m+n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . They also prove the following: for  $m \geq 2$ ,  $C_n \times P_m$  is cordial except for the case  $C_{4k+2} \times P_2$ ;  $P_n^2$  is cordial for all n;  $P_n^3$  is cordial if and only if  $n \neq 4$ ; and  $P_n^4$  is cordial if and only if  $n \neq 4$ , 5, or 6. Seoud, Diab, and Elsakhawi [591] have proved the following graphs are cordial:  $P_n + P_m$  for all m and n except (m, n) = (2, 2);  $C_m + C_n$  if  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  and  $n \neq 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $C_n + K_{1,m}$  for  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and odd m except (n, m) = (3, 1);  $C_n + \overline{K_m}$  when n is odd, and when n is even and m is odd;  $K_{1,m,n}$ ;  $K_{2,2,m}$ ; the n-cube; books  $B_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; B(3, 2, m) for all m; B(4, 3, m) if and only if m is even; and B(5, 3, m) if and only if  $m \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  (see §2.4 for the notation B(n, r, m)).

Diab [230] proved the following graphs are cordial:  $C_m + P_n$  if and only if  $(m, n) \neq (3, 3), (3, 2),$  or (3, 1);  $P_m + K_{1,n}$  if and only if  $(m, n) \neq (1, 2)$ ;  $P_m \cup K_{1,n}$  if and only if  $(m, n) \neq (1, 2)$ ;  $C_m \cup K_{1,n}$ ;  $C_m + \overline{K_n}$  for all m and n except  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and n odd, and  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and n even;  $C_m \cup \overline{K_n}$  for all m and n except  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $P_m + \overline{K_n}$ ; and  $P_m \cup \overline{K_n}$ .

Youssef [782] has proved the following: If G and H are cordial and one has even size, then  $G \cup H$  is cordial; if G and H are cordial and both have even size, then G + H is cordial; if G and H are cordial and one has even size and either one has even order, then G + H is cordial;  $C_m \cup C_n$  is cordial if and only if  $m + n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $mC_n$  is cordial if and only if  $mn \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $mC_n \in \{0, 2\}$ ; and if  $m \in \{0, 2\}$ ; are conjectures that this latter condition is also sufficient. He confirms the conjecture for  $m \in \{0, 2\}$ , and  $m \in \{0$ 

Lee and Liu [429] have shown that the complete n-partite graph is cordial if and only

if at most three of its partite sets have odd cardinality (see also [237]). Lee, Lee, and Chang [418] prove the following graphs are cordial: the Cartesian product of an arbitrary number of paths; the Cartesian product of two cycles if and only if at least one of them is even; and the Cartesian product of an arbitrary number of cycles if at least one of them has length a multiple of 4 or at least two of them are even.

Shee and Ho [624] have investigated the coordiality of the one-point union of n copies of various graphs. For  $C_m^{(n)}$ , the one-point union of n copies of  $C_m$ , they prove:

- (i) If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C_m^{(n)}$  is cordial for all n;
- (ii) If  $m \equiv 1$  or 3 (mod 4), then  $C_m^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (iii) If  $m \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , then  $C_m^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if n is even.

For  $K_m^{(n)}$ , the one-point union of n copies of  $K_m$ , Shee and Ho [624] prove:

- (i) If  $m \equiv 0 \pmod{8}$ , then  $K_m^{(n)}$  is not cordial for  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; (ii) If  $m \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$ , then  $K_m^{(n)}$  is not cordial for  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (iii) If  $m \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ , then  $K_m^{(n)}$  is not cordial for all odd n;
- (iv)  $K_4^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod 4$ ; (v)  $K_5^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if n is even; (vi)  $K_6^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if n > 2;

- (vii)  $K_7^{(n)}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (viii)  $K_n^{(2)}$  is cordial if and only if n has the form  $p^2$  or  $p^2 + 1$ .

In his 2001 Ph. D. thesis Selvaraju [586] proves that the one-point union of any number of copies of a complete bipartite graph is cordial. Benson and Lee [122] have investigated the regular windmill graphs  $K_m^{(n)}$  and determined precisely which ones are cordial for m < 14.

For  $W_m^{(n)}$ , the one-point union of n copies of the wheel  $W_m$  with the common vertex being the center, Shee and Ho [624] show:

- (i) If  $m \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 4), then  $W_m^{(n)}$  is cordial for all n;
- (ii) If  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $W_m^{(n)}$  is cordial if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;
- (iii) If  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , then  $W_m^{(n)}$  is cordial if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

For all n and all m > 1 Shee and Ho [624] prove  $F_m^{(n)}$ , the one-point union of n copies of the fan  $F_m = P_m + K_1$  with the common point of the fans being the center, is cordial. The flag  $Fl_m$  is obtained by joining one vertex of  $C_m$  to an extra vertex called the root. Shee and Ho [624] show all  $Fl_m^{(n)}$ , the one-point union of n copies of  $Fl_m$  with the common point being the root, are cordial.

Andar, Boxwala, and Limaye [29], [30], and [33] have proved the following graphs are cordial: helms; closed helms; generalized helms obtained by taking a web (see 2.2 for the definitions) and attaching pendent vertices to all the vertices of the outermost cycle in the case that the number cycles is even; flowers, which are obtained by joining the vertices of degree one of a helm to the central vertex; sunflower graphs, which are obtained by taking a wheel with the central vertex  $v_0$  and the n-cycle  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  and additional vertices  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  where  $w_i$  is joined by edges to  $v_i, v_{i+1}$ , where i+1 is taken modulo n: multiple shells (see §2.2); and one point unions of helms, closed helms, flowers, gears, and sunflower graphs, where in each case the central vertex is the common vertex.

In [33] Andar et al. define a t-ply graph  $P_t(u, v)$  as a graph consisting of t internally disjoint paths joining vertices u and v. They prove that  $P_t(u, v)$  is cordial except when it is Eulerian and the number of edges is congruent to 2 (mod 4). In [34] Andar, Boxwala, and Limaye prove that the one point union of any number of plys with an endpoint as the common vertex is cordial if and only if it is not Eulerian with the number of edges is congruent to 2 (mod 4). They further prove that the path union of shells obtained by joining any point of one shell to any point of the next shell is cordial; graphs obtained by attaching a pendant edge to the common vertex of the cords of a shell are cordial; and cycles with one pendant edge are cordial.

For a graph G and a positive integer t, Andar, Boxwala, and Limaye [31] define the t-uniform homeomorph  $P_t(G)$  of G as the graph obtained from G by replacing every edge of G by vertex disjoint paths of length t. They prove that if G is cordial and t is odd, then  $P_t(G)$  is cordial; if  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  a cordial labeling of G can be extended to a cordial labeling of  $P_t(G)$  if and only if the number of edges labeled 0 in G is even; and when  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  a cordial labeling of G can be extended to a cordial labeling of  $P_t(G)$  if and only if the number of edges labeled 1 in G is even. In [32] Ander et al. prove that  $P_t(K_{2n})$  is cordial for all  $t \geq 2$  and that  $P_t(K_{2n+1})$  is cordial if and only if  $t \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  or t is odd and  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , or  $t \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and n is even.

For a binary labeling g of a graph G let  $v_g(j)$  denote the number of vertices labeled with j and  $e_g(j)$  denote the number edges labeled with j. Then  $i(G) = \min\{|e_g(0) - e_g(1)|\}$  taken over all binary labelings g of G with  $|v_g(0) - v_g(1)| \le 1$ . In [34] Andar et al. show that a cordial labeling of G can be extended to a cordial labeling of the graph obtained from G by attaching 2m pendant edges at each vertex of G. They also prove that a cordial labeling g of a graph G with g vertices can be extended to a cordial labeling of the graph obtained from G by attaching G by attach

Andar, Boxwala, and Limaye [35] also prove: if g is a binary labeling of a graph G then g can be extended to a cordial labeling of  $G \odot \overline{K_{2m}}$  if and only if n is odd and  $i(G) \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $K_n \odot \overline{K_{2m}}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \neq 4 \pmod{8}$ ;  $K_n \cdot \overline{K_{2m+1}}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \neq 7 \pmod{8}$ ; if g is a binary labeling on a graph G with n vertices, then g can be extended to a cordial labeling of  $G \odot C_t$  if  $t \neq 3 \pmod{4}$ , n is odd and  $e_g(0) = e_g(1)$ . For any binary labeling g of a graph G they also characterize in terms of of i(G) when g can be extended to graphs of the form  $G \odot \overline{K_{2m+1}}$ .

For graphs  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$   $(n \ge 2)$  that are all copies of a fixed graph G, Shee and Ho [625] call a graph obtained by adding an edge from  $G_i$  to  $G_{i+1}$  for  $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$  a pathunion of G (the resulting graph may depend on how the edges are chosen). Among their results they show the following graphs are cordial: path-unions of cycles; path-unions of n copies of  $K_m$  when m = 4, 6, or 7; path-unions of three or more copies of  $K_5$ ; and path-unions of two copies of  $K_m$  if and only if m-2, m, or m+2 is a perfect square. They

also show that there exist cordial path-unions of wheels, fans, unicyclic graphs, Petersen graphs, trees, and various compositions.

Lee and Liu [429] give the following general construction for the forming of cordial graphs from smaller cordial graphs. Let H be a graph with an even number of edges and a cordial labeling such that the vertices of H can be divided into t parts  $H_1, H_2, \ldots, H_t$  each consisting of an equal number of vertices labeled 0 and vertices labeled 1. Let G be any graph and  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_t$  be any t subsets of the vertices of G. Let (G, H) be the graph that is the disjoint union of G and H augmented by edges joining every vertex in  $G_i$  to every vertex in  $H_i$  for all i. Then G is cordial if and only if (G, H) is. From this it follows that: all generalized fans  $F_{m,n} = \overline{K_m} + P_n$  are cordial; the generalized bundle  $B_{m,n}$  is cordial if and only if m is even or  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  ( $B_{m,n}$  consists of 2n vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n, u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  with an edge from  $v_i$  to  $u_i$  and 2m vertices  $x_1, x_2, \ldots x_m, y_1, y_2, \ldots, y_m$  with  $x_i$  joined to  $v_i$  and  $y_i$  joined to  $u_i$ ); if m is odd the generalized wheel  $W_{m,n} = \overline{K_m} + C_n$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . If m is even,  $W_{m,n}$  is cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; a complete k-partite graph is cordial if and only if the number of parts with an odd number of vertices is at most 3.

Sethuraman and Selvaraju [619] have shown that certain cases of the union of any number of copies of  $K_4$  with one or more edges deleted and one edge in common are cordial. Youssef [783] has shown that the kth power of  $C_n$  is cordial for all n when  $k \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and for all even n when  $k \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

Cahit [183] calls a graph H-cordial if it is possible to label the edges with the numbers from the set  $\{1, -1\}$  in such a way that, for some k, at each vertex v the sum of the labels on the edges incident with v is either k or -k and the inequalities  $|v(k)-v(-k)| \le 1$  and  $|e(1)-e(-1)| \leq 1$  are also satisfied, where v(i) and e(j) are, respectively, the number of vertices labeled with i and the number of edges labeled with j. He calls a graph  $H_n$ -cordial if it is possible to label the edges with the numbers from the set  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \dots, \pm n\}$  in such a way that, at each vertex v the sum of the labels on the edges incident with v is in the set  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm n\}$  and the inequalities  $|v(i) - v(-i)| \le 1$  and  $|e(i) - e(-i)| \le 1$  are also satisfied for each i with  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Among Cahit's results are:  $K_{n,n}$  is H-cordial if and only if n > 2 and n is even; and  $K_{m,n}, m \neq n$ , is H-cordial if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  $\pmod{4}$ , m is even and m > 2, n > 2. Unfortunately, Ghebleh and Khoeilar [300] have shown that other statements in Cahit's paper are incorrect. In particular, Cahit states that  $K_n$  is H-cordial if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ;  $W_n$  is H-cordial if and only if  $n \equiv 1$ (mod 4); and  $K_n$  is  $H_2$ -cordial if and only if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$  whereas Ghebleh and Khoeilar instead prove that  $K_n$  is H-cordial if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4) and  $n \neq 3$ ;  $W_n$  is H-cordial if and only if n is odd; and  $K_n$  is  $H_2$ -cordial if  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4); and  $K_n$ is not  $H_2$ -cordial if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Ghebleh and Khoeilar also prove every wheel has an  $H_2$ -cordial labeling.

By allowing 0 as the possible induced vertex label of an H-cordial labeling Cahit [183] studies semi-H-cordiality of trees. He also generalizes H-cordial labelings.

Cahit and Yilmaz [187] call a graph  $E_k$ -cordial if it is possible to label the edges with the numbers from the set  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., k-1\}$  in such a way that, at each vertex v, the sum modulo k of the labels on the edges incident with v satisfies the inequalities  $|v(i)-v(j)| \leq 1$ 

and  $|e(i) - e(j)| \le 1$ , where v(s) and e(t) are, respectively, the number of vertices labeled with s and the number of edges labeled with t. Obviously,  $E_2$ -cordial is the same as cordial. Cahit and Yilmaz prove the following graphs are  $E_3$ -cordial:  $P_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ ; stars  $S_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ;  $K_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ ;  $C_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ ; friendship graphs; and fans  $F_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ . They also prove that  $S_n$   $(n \ge 2)$  is  $E_k$ -cordial if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{k}$  when k is odd or  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{2k}$  when k is even and  $k \ne 2$ .

Bapat and Limaye [101] provide  $E_3$ -cordial labelings for:  $K_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ ; snakes whose blocks are all isomorphic to  $K_n$  where  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 3); the one-point union of any number of copies of  $K_n$  where  $n \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 3); graphs obtained by attaching a copy of  $K_n$  where  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 3) at each vertex of a path; and  $K_m \odot K_n$ .

Rani and Sridharan [565] proved: for odd n > 1 and  $k \ge 2$ ,  $P_n \odot K_1$  is  $E_k$ -cordial; for n even and  $n \ne k/2$ ,  $P_n \odot K_1$  is  $E_k$ -cordial; and certain cases of fans are  $E_k$ -cordial.

Hovey [354] has introduced a simultaneous generalization of harmonious and cordial labelings. For any Abelian group A (under addition) and graph G(V, E) he defines G to be A-cordial if there is a labeling of V with elements of A such that for all a and b in A when the edge ab is labeled with f(a)+f(b), the number of vertices labeled with a and the number of vertices labeled a differ by at most one and the number of edges labeled with a and the number labeled with a differ by at most one. In the case where a is the cyclic group of order a, the labeling is called a-cordial. With this definition we have: a-cordial is harmonious if and only if a is a-cordial if and only if a is 2-cordial.

Hovey has obtained the following: caterpillars are k-cordial for all k; all trees are k-cordial for k=3,4, and 5; odd cycles with pendant edges attached are k-cordial for all k; cycles are k-cordial for all odd k; for k even,  $C_{2mk+j}$  is k-cordial when  $0 \le j \le \frac{k}{2} + 2$  and when k < j < 2k;  $C_{(2m+1)k}$  is not k-cordial;  $K_m$  is 3-cordial; and, for k even,  $K_{mk}$  is k-cordial if and only if m=1.

Hovey advances the following conjectures: all trees are k-cordial for all k; all connected graphs are 3-cordial; and  $C_{2mk+j}$  is k-cordial if and only if  $j \neq k$ , where k and j are even and  $0 \leq j < 2k$ . The last conjecture was verified by Tao [705]. Tao's result combined with those of Hovey show that for all positive integers k the n-cycle is k-cordial with the exception that k is even and n = 2mk + k. Tao also proved that the crown with 2mk + j vertices is k-cordial unless j = k is even, and for  $4 \leq n \leq k$ , and the wheel  $W_n$  is k-cordial unless  $k \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$  and n = (k+1)/2.

In [614] Sethuraman and Selvaraju present an algorithm that permits one to start with any non-trivial connected graph G and successively form supersubdivisions (see §2.7 for the definition) that are cordial in the case that every edge in G is replaced by  $K_{2,m}$  where m is even. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [615] also prove that the one-edge union of k copies of shell graphs C(n, n-3) (see §2.2) is cordial for all  $n \geq 4$  and all k, and that the one-vertex union of any number of copies of  $K_{m,n}$  is cordial.

Cairnie and Edwards [189] have determined the computational complexity of cordial and k-cordial labelings. They prove the conjecture of Kirchherr [391] that deciding whether a graph admits a cordial labeling is NP-complete. As a corollary, this result implies that the same problem for k-cordial labelings is NP-complete. They remark that even the restricted problem of deciding whether connected graphs of diameter 2 have a

cordial labeling is also NP-complete.

In [200] Chartrand, Lee, and Zhang introduced the notion of ramdomly cordial as follows. Let f be a labeling from V(G) to  $\{0,1\}$  and for each edge xy define  $f^*(xy) = |f(x) - f(y)|$ . For i = 0 and 1, let  $n_i(f)$  denote the number of vertices v with f(v) = i and  $m_i(f)$  denote the number of edges e with  $f^*(e) = i$ . They call a such a labeling f friendly if  $|n_0(f) - n_1(f)| \le 1$ . A graph G for which every friendly labeling is cordial is called randomly cordial. They prove that a connected graph of order  $n \ge 2$  is randomly cordial if and only if n = 3 and  $G = K_3$ , or n is even and  $G = K_{1,n-1}$ .

#### 3.8 The Friendly Index

Lee and Ng [437] define the friendly index set of a graph G as FI(G) =  $\{|n_0(f) - n_1(f)| \text{ where } f \text{ runs over all friendly labelings of } G\}$ . They proved that for any graph G with with q edges FI(G)  $\subseteq \{0, 2, 4, ..., q\}$  if q is even and FI(G)  $\subseteq \{1, 3, ..., q\}$  if q is odd. In [433] Lee and Ng prove the following: FI( $C_{2n}$ ) =  $\{0, 4, 8, ..., 2n\}$  when n is even; FI( $C_{2n}$ ) =  $\{2, 6, 10, ..., 2n\}$  when n is odd; and FI( $C_{2n+1}$ ) =  $\{1, 3, 5, ..., 2n-1\}$ . Elumalai [242] defines a cycle with a full set of cords as the graph  $PC_n$  obtained from  $C_n = v_0, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{n-1}$  by adding the cords  $v_1v_{n-1}, v_2v_{n-2}, ..., v_{(n-2)/2}, v_{(n+2)/2}$  when n is even and  $v_1v_{n-1}, v_2v_{n-2}, ..., v_{(n-3)/2}, v_{(n+3)/2}$  when n is odd. Lee and Ng [435] prove: FI( $PC_{2m+1}$ ) =  $\{3m-2, 3m-4, 3m-6, ..., 1\}$  when m is odd; FI( $PC_4$ ) =  $\{1, 3\}$ ; for  $m \geq 3$ , FI( $PC_{2m}$ ) =  $\{3m-5, 3m-7, 3m-9, ..., 1\}$  when m is even; FI( $PC_{2m}$ ) =  $\{3m-5, 3m-7, 3m-9, ..., 0\}$  when m is odd.

Lee and Ng [435] define PC(n, p) as the graph obtained from the cycle  $C_n$  with consecutive vertices  $v_0, v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n-1}$  by adding the p cords joining  $v_i$  to  $v_{n-i}$  for  $1 \le p \lfloor n/2 \rfloor -1$ . They prove  $\operatorname{FI}(PC(2m+1,p)) = \{2m+p-1,2m+p-3,2m+p-5,\ldots,1\}$  if p is even and  $\operatorname{FI}(PC(2m+1,p)) = \{2m+p-1,2m+p-3,2m+p-5,\ldots,0\}$  if p is odd;  $\operatorname{FI}(PC(2m,1)) = \{2m-1,2m-3,2m-5,\ldots,1\}$ ; for  $m \ge 3$ , and  $p \ge 2$ ,  $\operatorname{FI}(PC(2m,p)) = \{2m+p-4,2m+p-6,2m+p-8,\ldots,0\}$  when p is even, and  $\operatorname{FI}(PC(2m,p)) = \{2m+p-4,2m+p-6,2m+p-8,\ldots,1\}$  when p is odd. In [436] Lee and Ng prove: for  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\operatorname{FI}(C_{2n} \times P_2) = \{0,4,8,\ldots,6n-8,6n\}$  if n is even and  $\operatorname{FI}(C_{2n} \times P_2) = \{2,6,10,\ldots,6n-8,6n\}$  if n is odd;  $\operatorname{FI}(C_3 \times P_2) = \{1,3,5\}$ ; for  $n \ge 2$ ,  $\operatorname{FI}(C_{2m+1} \times P_2) = \{6n-1\} \cup \{6n-5-2k| \text{ where } k \ge 0 \text{ and } 6n-5-2k \ge 0\}$ ;  $\operatorname{FI}(M_{4n})$  (here  $M_{4n}$  is the Möbius ladder with 4n steps)  $= \{6n-4-4k| \text{ where } k \ge 0 \text{ and } 6n-4-4k \ge 0\}$ ;  $\operatorname{FI}(M_{4n+2}) = \{6n+3\} \cup \{6n-5-2k| \text{ where } k \ge 0 \text{ and } 6n-5-2k > 0\}$ .

For a graph G(V, E), the total graph T(G) of G, is the graph with vertex set  $V \cup E$  and edge set  $E \cup \{(v, uv) | v \in V, uv \in E\}$ . Note that the total graph of the n-star is the friendship graph and the total graph of  $P_n$  is a triangular snake. Lee and Ng [434] have shown:  $\mathrm{FI}(K_1 + 2nK_2)$  (friendship graph with 2n triangles) =  $\{2n, 2n - 4, 2n - 8, \ldots, 0\}$  if n is even;  $\{2n, 2n - 4, 2n - 8, \ldots, 2\}$  if n is odd;  $\mathrm{FI}(K_1 + (2n+1)K_2) = \{2n+1, 2n-1, 2n-3, \ldots, 1\}$ ; for n odd,  $\mathrm{FI}(T(P_n)) = \{3n-7, 3n-11, 3n-15, \ldots, z\}$  where z=0 if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$  and z=2 if  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; for n even,  $\mathrm{FI}(T(P_n)) = \{3n-7, 3n-11, 3n-15, \ldots, n+1\} \cup \{n-1, n-3, n-5, \ldots, 1\}$ . For  $m \leq n-1$ , and m+n even,

 $FI(T(SP(1^n, m))) = \{3(m+n) - 4, 3(m+n) - 8, 3(m+n) - 12, ..., (m+n) \pmod{4}\}$  (the graph  $SP(1^n, m)$  is the spider with one central vertex joining n isolated vertices and a path of length m); for m+n odd,  $FI(T(SP(1^n, m))) = \{3(m+n) - 4, 3(m+n) - 8, 3(m+n) - 12, ..., m+n+2\} \cup \{m+n, m+n-2, m+n-4, ..., 1\}$ ; for  $n \ge m$  and m+n even,  $FI(T(SP(1^n, m))) = \{|4k - 3(m+n)| |(n-m+2)/2 \le k \le m+n\}$ ; for  $n \ge m$  and m+n odd,  $FI(T(SP(1^n, m))) = \{|4k - 3(m+n)| |(n-m+3)/2 \le k \le m+n\}$ .

#### 3.9 k-equitable Labelings

In 1990 Cahit [179] proposed the idea of distributing the vertex and edge labels among  $\{0,1,\ldots,k-1\}$  as evenly as possible to obtain a generalization of graceful labelings as follows. For any graph G(V, E) and any positive integer k, assign vertex labels from  $\{0,1,\ldots,k-1\}$  so that when the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels, the number of vertices labeled with i and the number of vertices labeled with j differ by at most one and the number of edges labeled with i and the number of edges labeled with j differ by at most one. Cahit has called a graph with such an assignment of labels k-equitable. Note that G(V, E) is graceful if and only if it is |E| + 1-equitable and G(V, E) is cordial if and only if it is 2-equitable. Cahit [178] has shown the following:  $C_n$  is 3-equitable if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ; the triangular snake with n blocks is 3-equitable if and only if n is even; the friendship graph  $C_3^{(n)}$  is 3-equitable if and only if n is even; an Eulerian graph with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  edges is not 3-equitable; and all caterpillars are 3-equitable [178]. Cahit [178] further gave a proof that  $W_n$  is 3-equitable if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{6}$  but Youssef [779] proved that  $W_n$  is 3-equitable for all  $n \geq 4$ . Youssef [777] also proved that if G is a k-equitable Eulerian graph with q edges and  $k \equiv 2$  or 3 (mod 4) then  $q \not\equiv k \pmod{2k}$ . Cahit conjectures [178] that a triangular cactus with n blocks is 3-equitable if and only if n is even. In [179] Cahit proves that every tree with fewer than five end vertices has a 3-equitable labeling. He conjectures that all trees are k-equitable [180]. In 1999 Speyer and Szaniszló [674] proved Cahit's conjecture for k=3.

Bhut-Nayak and Telang have shown that crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$ , are k-equitable for  $k = n, \ldots, 2n-1$  [141] and  $C_n \odot K_1$  is k-equitable for all n when k = 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6 [142].

In [589] Seoud and Abdel Maqsoud prove: a graph with n vertices and q edges in which every vertex has odd degree is not 3-equitable if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$  and  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ ; all fans except  $P_2 + \overline{K_1}$  are 3-equitable; all double fans except  $P_4 + \overline{K_2}$  are 3-equitable;  $P_n^2$  is 3-equitable for all n except 3;  $K_{1,1,n}$  is 3-equitable if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 3);  $K_{1,2,n}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is 3-equitable if and only if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ ;  $K_{m,n}$ ,  $m \leq n$ , is 3-equitable if and only if  $m \equiv n$  and  $m \equiv$ 

Bapat and Limaye [99] have shown the following graphs are 3-equitable: helms  $H_n$ ,  $n \ge 4$ ; flowers (see §2.2 for the definition); the one-point union of any number of helms; the one-point union of any number of copies of  $K_4$ ;  $K_4$ -snakes;  $C_t$ -snakes where t = 4 or 6;  $C_5$ -snakes where the number of blocks is not congruent to 3 modulo 6. A multiple shell  $MS\{n_1^{t_1}, \ldots, n_r^{t_r}\}$  is a graph formed by  $t_i$  shells each of order  $n_i$ ,  $1 \le i \le r$ , which have a

common apex. Bapat and Limaye [100] show that every multiple shell is 3-equitable.

Szaniszló [704] has proved the following:  $P_n$  is k-equitable for all k;  $K_n$  is 2-equitable if and only if n = 1, 2, or 3;  $K_n$  is not k-equitable for  $3 \le k < n$ ;  $S_n$  is k-equitable for all k;  $K_{2,n}$  is k-equitable if and only if  $n \equiv k - 1 \pmod{k}$ , or  $n \equiv 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \lfloor k/2 \rfloor - 1 \pmod{k}$ , or  $n = \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$  and k is odd. She also proves that  $C_n$  is k-equitable if and only if k meets all of the following conditions:  $n \ne k$ ; if  $k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$ , then  $n \ne k - 1$ ; if  $k \equiv 2, 3 \pmod{4}$  then  $n \not\equiv k \pmod{2k}$ .

Vickrey [721] has determined the k-equitablity of complete multipartite graphs. He shows that for  $m \geq 3$  and  $k \geq 3$ ,  $K_{m,n}$  is k-equitable if and only if  $K_{m,n}$  is one of the following graphs:  $K_{4,4}$  for k = 3;  $K_{3,k-1}$  for all k; or  $K_{m,n}$  for k > mn. He also shows that when k is less than or equal to the number of edges in the graph and at least 3, the only complete multipartite graphs that are k-equitable are  $K_{kn+k-1,2,1}$  and  $K_{kn+k-1,1,1}$ . Partial results on the k-equitability of  $K_{m,n}$  were obtained by Krussel [414].

As a corollary of the result of Cairnie and Edwards [189] on the computational complexity of cordially labeling graphs, it follows that the problem of finding k-equitable labelings of graphs is NP-complete as well.

Seoud and Abdel Maqsoud [588] call a graph k-balanced if the vertex can be labled from  $\{0, 1, \ldots, k-1\}$  so that the number of edges labeled i and the number of edges labeled j induced by the absolute value of the differences of the vertex labels differ by at most 1. They prove that  $P_n^2$  is 3-balanced if and only if n = 2, 3, 4, or 6; for  $k \geq 4$ ,  $P_n^2$  is not k-balanced if  $k \leq n-2$  or  $n+1 \leq k \leq 2n-3$ ; for  $k \geq 4$ ,  $P_n^2$  is k-balanced if  $k \geq 2n-2$ ; for  $k, m, n \geq 3$ ,  $K_{m,n}$  is k-balanced if and only if  $k \geq mn$ ; for  $m \leq n$ ,  $K_{1,m,n}$  is k-balanced if and only if (i) m = 1, n = 1 or 2, and k = 3; (ii) m = 1 and k = n+1 or n+2; or (iii)  $k \geq (m+1)(n+1)$ .

Bloom has used the term k-equitable to describe another kind of labeling (see [748] and [749]). He calls a graph k-equitable if the edge labels induced by the absolute value of the difference of the vertex labels have the property that every edge label occurs exactly k times. A graph of order n is called minimally k-equitable if the vertex labels are  $1, 2, \ldots$ n and it is k-equitable. Both Bloom and Wojciechowski [748], [749] proved that  $C_n$  is minimally k-equitable if and only if k is a proper divisor of n. Barrientos and Hevia [113] proved that if G is k-equitable of size q = kw (in the sense of Bloom) then  $\delta(G) \le w$  and  $\Delta(G) \leq 2w$ . Barrientos, Dejter, and Hevia [112] have shown that forests of even size are 2-equitable. They also prove that for k=3 or k=4 a forest of size kw is k-equitable if and only if its maximum degree is at most 2w and that if 3 divides mn+1, then the double star  $S_{m,n}$  is 3-equitable if and only if  $q/3 \le m \le \lfloor (q-1)/2 \rfloor$ .  $(S_{m,n}$  is  $K_2$  with m pendant edges attached at one end and n pendant edges attached at the other end.) They discuss the k-equitability of forests for k > 5 and characterize all caterpillars of diameter 2 that are k-equitable for all possible values of k. Acharya and Bhat-Nayak [14] have shown that coronas of the form  $C_{2n} \odot K_1$  are minimally 4-equitable. In [102] Barrientos proves that the one-point union of a cycle and a path (dragon) and the disjoint union of a cycle and a path are k-equitable for all k that divide the size of the graph. Barrientos and Havia [113] have shown the following:  $C_n \times K_2$  is 2-equitable when n is even; books  $B_n$   $(n \ge 3)$ are 2-equitable when n is odd; the vertex union of k-equitable graphs is k-equitable; and

wheels  $W_n$  are 2-equitable when  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ . They conjecture that  $W_n$  is 2-equitable when  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  except when  $n \equiv 3$ . Their 2-equitable labelings of  $C_n \times K_2$  and the n-cube utilized graceful labelings of those graphs.

Bhat-Nayak and M. Acharya [133] have proved the following: the crowns  $C_{2n} \odot K_1$  are minimally 2-equitable, minimally 2n-equitable, minimally 4-equitable, and minimally n-equitable; the crowns  $C_{3n} \odot K_1$  are minimally 3-equitable, minimally 3n-equitable, minimally n-equitable, and minimally 6-equitable; the crowns  $C_{5n} \odot K_1$  are minimally 5-equitable, minimally n-equitable, and minimally 10-equitable; the crowns  $C_{2n+1} \odot K_1$  are minimally (2n+1)-equitable; and the graphs  $P_{kn+1}$  are k-equitable.

In [104] Barrientos calls a k-equitable labeling optimal if the vertex labels are consecutive integers and complete if the induced edge labels are 1, 2, ..., w where w is the number of distinct edge labels. Note that a graceful labeling is a complete 1-equitable labeling. Barrientos proves that  $C_m \odot nK_1$  (that is, an m-cycle with n pendant edges attached at each vertex) is optimal 2-equitable when m is even,  $C_3 \odot nK_1$  is complete 2-equitable when n is odd and that  $C_3 \odot nK_1$  is complete 3-equitable for all n. He also shows that  $C_n \odot K_1$  is k-equitable for every proper divisor k of the size 2n. Barrientos and Havia [113] have shown that the n-cube  $(n \ge 2)$  has a complete 2-equitable labeling and that  $K_{m,n}$  has a complete 2-equitable labeling when m or n is even. They conjecture that every tree of even size has an optimal 2-equitable labeling.

#### 3.10 Hamming-graceful Labelings

Mollard, Payan, and Shixin [524] introduced a generalization of graceful graphs called Hamming-graceful. A graph G=(V,E) is called Hamming-graceful if there exists an injective labeling g from V to the set of binary |E|-tuples such that  $\{d(g(v),g(u))|\ uv\in E\}=\{1,2,\ldots,|E|\}$  where d is the Hamming distance. Shixin and Yu [637] have shown that all graceful graphs are Hamming-graceful; all trees are Hamming-graceful;  $C_n$  is Hamming-graceful if and only if  $n\equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4); if  $K_n$  is Hamming-graceful, then n has the form  $k^2$  or  $k^2+2$ ; and  $K_n$  is Hamming-graceful for n=2,3,4,6,9,11,16, and 18. They conjecture that  $K_n$  is Hamming-graceful for n of the forms  $k^2$  and  $k^2+2$  for  $k\geq 5$ .

# 4 Variations of Harmonious Labelings

# 4.1 Sequential and Strongly c-harmonious Labelings

Chang, Hsu, and Rogers [194] and Grace [308], [309] have investigated subclasses of harmonious graphs. Chang et al. define an injective labeling f of a graph G with q vertices to be  $strongly\ c$ -harmonious if the vertex labels are from  $\{0,1,\ldots,q-1\}$  and the edge labels induced by f(x) + f(y) for each edge xy are  $c,\ldots,c+q-1$ . Grace called such a labeling sequential. In the case of a tree, Chang et al. modify the definition to permit exactly one vertex label to be assigned to two vertices whereas Grace allows the vertex labels to range from 0 to q with no vertex label being used twice. By taking the edge labels

of a sequentially labeled graph with q edges modulo q, we obviously obtain a harmoniously labeled graph. It is not known if there is a graph that can be harmoniously labeled but not sequentially labeled. Grace [309] proved that caterpillars, caterpillars with a pendant edge, odd cycles with zero or more pendant edges, trees with  $\alpha$ -labelings, wheels  $W_{2n+1}$ , and  $P_n^2$  are sequential. Liu and Zhang [490] finished off the crowns  $C_{2n} \odot K_1$ . (The case  $C_{2n+1} \odot K_1$  was a special case of Grace's results. Liu [487] proved crowns are harmonious.) Bu [162] also proved that crowns are sequential as are all even cycles with m pendant edges attached at each vertex. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [266] proved that all cycles with m pendant edges attached at each vertex are sequential. Wu [753] has shown that caterpillars with m pendant edges attached at each vertex are sequential.

Singh has proved the following:  $C_n \odot K_2$  is sequential for all odd n > 1 [642];  $C_n \odot P_3$  is sequential for all odd n [643];  $K_2 \odot C_n$  (each vertex of the cycle is joined by edges to the end points of a copy of  $K_2$ ) is sequential for all odd n [643]; helms  $H_n$  are sequential when n is even [643]; and  $K_{1,n} + K_2$ ,  $K_{1,n} + \overline{K_2}$ , and ladders are sequential [645]. Santhosh [579] has shown that  $C_n \odot P_4$  is sequential for all odd  $n \ge 3$ . Both Grace [308] and Reid (see [291]) have found sequential labelings for the books  $B_{2n}$ . Jungreis and Reid [374] have shown the following graphs are sequential:  $P_m \times P_n$   $(m,n) \ne (2,2)$ ;  $C_{4m} \times P_n$   $(m,n) \ne (1,2)$ ;  $C_{4m+2} \times P_{2n}$ ;  $C_{2m+1} \times P_n$ ; and  $C_4 \times C_{2n}$  (n > 1). The graphs  $C_{4m+2} \times C_{2n+1}$  and  $C_{2m+1} \times C_{2n+1}$  fail to satisfy a necessary parity condition given by Graham and Sloane [311]. The remaining cases of  $C_m \times P_n$  and  $C_m \times C_n$  are open. Gallian, Prout, and Winters [292] proved that all graphs  $C_n \times P_2$  with a vertex or an edge deleted are sequential.

Gnanajothi [302, pp. 68–78] has shown the following graphs are sequential:  $K_{1,m,n}$ ;  $mC_n$ , the disjoint union of m copies of  $C_n$ , if and only if m and n are odd; books with triangular pages or pentagonal pages; and books of the form  $B_{4n+1}$ , thereby answering a question and proving a conjecture of Gallian and Jungreis [291]. Sun [687] has also proved that  $B_n$  is sequential if and only if  $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ .

Yuan and Zhu [786] have shown that  $mC_n$  is sequential when m and n are odd. Although Graham and Sloane [311] proved that the Möbius ladder  $M_3$  is not harmonious, Gallian [287] established that all other Möbius ladders are sequential (see §2.3 for the definition of Móbius ladder). Chung, Hsu, and Rogers [194] have shown that  $K_{m,n} + K_1$ , which includes  $S_m + K_1$ , is sequential. Seoud and Youssef [597] proved that if G is sequential and has the same number of edges as vertices, then  $G + \overline{K_n}$  is sequential for all n.

Zhou [792] has observed that for graphs other than trees, the graphs with k-sequential labelings coincide with the graphs with strongly k-harmonius labelings. Zhou and Yuan [793] have shown that for every k-sequential graph G with p vertices and q edges and any positive integer m the graph  $(G + \overline{K_m}) \vee \overline{K_n}$  is also k-sequential when  $q - p + 1 \le m \le q - p + k$ . Zhou [792] has shown that the analogous results hold for strongly k-harmonious and strongly k-elegant graphs. Zhou [792] has also shown that for every k-indexable graph G with p vertices and q edges the graph  $(G + \overline{K_{q-p+k}}) \vee \overline{K_1}$  is strongly k-indexable. Zhou and Yuan [793] have shown that for every k-sequential graph G with p vertices and q edges and any positive integer m the graph  $(G + \overline{K_m}) \vee \overline{K_n}$  is k-sequential when  $q - p + 1 \le m \le q - p + k$ .

Singh and Varkey [648] call a graph with q edges odd sequential if the vertices can be labeled with distinct integers from the set  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., q\}$  or, in the case of a tree from the set  $\{0, 1, 2, ..., 2q - 1\}$ , such that the edge labels induced by addition of the labels of the endpoints take on the values  $\{1, 3, 5, ..., 2q - 1\}$ . They prove that combs, grids, stars, and rooted trees of level 2 are odd sequential while odd cycles are not. Singh and Varkey call a graph G bisequential if both G and its line graph have a sequential labeling. They prove paths and cycles are bisequential.

Among the strongly 1-harmonious (also called *strongly harmonious*) graphs are: fans  $F_n$  with  $n \geq 2$  [194]; wheels  $W_n$  with  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{3}$  [194];  $K_{m,n} + K_1$  [194]; French windmills  $K_4^{(t)}$  [356], [378]; the friendship graphs  $C_3^{(n)}$  if and only if  $n \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 4) [356], [378], [761];  $C_{4k}^{(t)}$  [688]; and helms [561].

Seoud, Diab, and Elsakhawi [591] have shown that the following graphs are strongly harmonious:  $K_{m,n}$  with an edge joining two vertices in the same partite set;  $K_{1,m,n}$ ; the composition  $P_n[P_2]$  (see §2.3 for the definition); B(3,2,m) and B(4,3,m) for all m (see §2.4 for the notation);  $P_n^2$  ( $n \geq 3$ ); and  $P_n^3$  ( $n \geq 3$ ). Seoud et al. [591] have also proved:  $B_{2n}$  is strongly 2n-harmonious;  $P_n$  is strongly  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -harmonious; ladders  $L_{2k+1}$  are strongly (k+1)-harmonious; and that if G is strongly c-harmonious and has an equal number of vertices and edges, then  $G + \overline{K_n}$  is also strongly c-harmonious.

Sethuraman and Selvaraju [618] have proved that the graph obtained by joining two complete bipartite graphs at one edge is graceful and strongly harmonious. They ask whether these results extend to any number of complete bipartite graphs.

Acharya and Hegde [18] have generalized sequential labelings as follows. Let G be a graph with q edges and let k and d be positive integers. A labeling f of G is said to be (k,d)-arithmetic if the vertex labels are distinct nonnegative integers and the edge labels induced by f(x)+f(y) for each edge xy are  $k, k+d, k+2d, \ldots, k+(q-1)d$ . They obtained a number of necessary conditions for various kinds of graphs to have a (k, d)-arithmetic labeling. The case where k=1 and d=1 was called additively graceful by Hegde [335]. Hegde [335] showed:  $K_n$  is additively graceful if and only if n = 2, 3, or 4; every additively graceful graph except  $K_2$  or  $K_{1,2}$  contains a triangle; and a unicyclic graph is additively graceful if and only if it is a 3-cycle or a 3-cycle with a single pendant edge attached. Jinnah and Singh [369] noted that  $P_n^2$  is additively graceful. Hegde [336] proved that if G is strongly k-indexable, then G and  $G+\overline{K_n}$  are (kd,d)-arithmetic. Acharya and Hegde [20] proved that  $K_n$  is (k, d)-arithmetic if and only if  $n \geq 5$  (see also [168]). They also proved that a graph with an  $\alpha$ -labeling is a (k,d)-arithmetic for all k and d. Bu and Shi [168] proved that  $K_{m,n}$  is (k,d)-arithmetic when k is not of the form id for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . For all  $d \ge 1$  and all  $r \ge 0$ , Acharya and Hegde [18] showed the following:  $K_{m,n,1}$  is (d+2r,d)arithmetic;  $C_{4t+1}$  is (2dt+2r,d)-arithmetic;  $C_{4t+2}$  is not (k,d)-arithmetic for any values of k and d;  $C_{4t+3}$  is ((2t+1)d+2r,d)-arithmetic;  $W_{4t+2}$  is (2dt+2r,d)-arithmetic; and  $W_{4t}$ is ((2t+1)d+2r,d)-arithmetic. They conjecture that  $C_{4t+1}$  is (2dt+2r,d)-arithmetic for some r and that  $C_{4t+3}$  is (2dt+d+2r,d)-arithmetic for some r. Hegde and Shetty [344] proved the following: the generalized web W(t,n) (see §2.2) is ((n-1)d/2,d)-arithmetic and ((3n-1)d/2,d)-arithmetic for odd n; the join of the generalized web W(t,n) with the center removed and  $\overline{K_p}$  where n is odd is ((n-1)d/2, d)-arithmetic; every  $T_p$ -tree (see

§3.2 for the definition) with q edges and every tree obtained by subdividing every edge of a  $T_p$ -tree exactly once is (k + (q - 1)d, d)-arithmetic for all k and d. Lu, Pan, and Li [495] proved that  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{p,q}$  is (k,d)-arithmetic when k > (q-1)d+1 and d > 1.

Yu [784] proved that a necessary condition for  $C_{4t+1}$  to be (k, d)-arithmetic is that k = 2dt + r for some  $r \ge 0$  and a necessary condition for  $C_{4t+3}$  to be (k, d)-arithmetic is that k = (2t+1)d + 2r for some  $r \ge 0$ . These conditions were conjectured by Acharya and Hegde [18]. Singh proved that the graph obtained by subdividing every edge of the ladder  $L_n$  is (5, 2)-arithmetic [641] and that the ladder  $L_n$  is (n, 1)-arithmetic [644]. He also proves that  $P_m \times C_n$  is ((n-1)/2, 1)-arithmetic when n is odd [644]. Lu, Pan, and Li [495] proved that  $S_m \cup K_{p,q}$  is (k, d)-arithmetic when k > (q-1)d+1 and d > 1.

A graph is called *arithmetic* if it is (k,d)-arithmetic for some k and d. Singh and Vilfred [650] showed that various classes of trees are arithmetic. Singh [644] has proved that the union of an arithmetic graph and an arithmetic bipartite graph is arithmetic. He conjectures that the union of arithmetic graphs is arithmetic. He provides an example to show that the converse is not true.

Acharya and Hegde [18] call a graph with p vertices and q edges (k, d)-indexable if there is an injective function from V to  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  such that the set of edge labels induced by adding the vertex labels is a subset of  $\{k, k+d, k+2d, \ldots, k+qd-1\}$ . When the set of edges is  $\{k, k+d, k+2d, \dots, k+qd-1\}$  the graph is said to be strongly (k, d)-indexable. A (k,1)-graph is more simply called k-indexable and strongly 1-indexable graphs are simply called strongly indexable. Notice that strongly indexable graphs are a stronger form of sequential graphs and for trees and unicyclic graphs the notions of sequential labelings and strongly k-indexable labelings coincide. Acharaya and Hegde prove that the only nontrivial regular graphs that are strongly indexable are  $K_2, K_3$ , and  $K_2 \times K_3$ , and that every strongly indexable graph has exactly one nontrivial component that is either a star or has a triangle. Acharya and Hegde [18] call a graph with p vertices indexable if there is an injective labeling of the vertices with labels from  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, p-1\}$  such that the edge labels induced by addition of the vertex labels are distinct. They conjecture that all unicyclic graphs are indexable. This conjecture was proved by Arumugam and Germina [37] who also proved that all trees are indexable. Bu and Shi [169] also proved that all trees are indexable and that all uncyclic graphs with the cycle  $C_3$  are indexable. Hegde [336] has shown the following: every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of an indexable graph; if a connected graph with p vertices and q edges  $(q \geq 2)$  is (k,d)-indexable, then  $d \leq 2$ ;  $P_m \times P_n$  is indexable for all m and n; if G is a connected (1,2)-indexable graph, then G is a tree; the minimum degree of any (k,1)-indexable graph with at least two vertices is at most 3; a caterpillar with partite sets of orders a and bis strongly (1,2)-indexable if and only if  $|a-b| \le 1$ ; in a connected strongly k-indexable graph with p vertices and q edges,  $k \leq p-1$ ; and if a graph with p vertices and q edges is (k,d)-indexable, then  $q \leq (2p-3-k+d)/d$ . As a corollary of the latter, it follows that  $K_n$   $(n \geq 4)$  and wheels are not (k, d)-indexable.

Hegde and Shetty [344] proved that for n odd the generalized web graph W(t, n) with the center removed is strongly (n-1)/2-indexable. Hegde and Shetty [347] define a level joined planar grid as follows. Let u be a vertex of  $P_m \times P_n$  of degree 2. For every pair of

distinct vertices v and w that do not have degree 4, introduce an edge between v and w provided that the distance from u to v equals the distance from u to w. They prove that every level joined planar grid is strongly indexable.

Section 5.2 of this survey includes a discussion of a labeling method called super edgemagic. In 2002 Hegde and Shetty [347] showed that a graph has a strongly k-indexable labeling if and only if it has a super edge-magic labeling.

#### 4.2 Elegant Labelings

An elegant labeling f of a graph G with q edges is an injective function from the vertices of G to the set  $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$  such that when each edge xy is assigned the label f(x)+f(y) (mod (q+1)) the resulting edge labels are distinct and nonzero. This notion was introduced by Chang, Hsu, and Rogers in 1981 [194]. Note that in contrast to the definition of a harmonious labeling, for an elegant labeling it is not necessary to make an exception for trees. While the cycle  $C_n$  is harmonious if and only if n is odd, Chang et al. [194] proved that  $C_n$  is elegant when  $n \equiv 0$  or 3 (mod 4) and not elegant when  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . Chang et al. further showed that all fans are elegant and the paths  $P_n$  are elegant for  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ 4). Cahit [176] then showed that  $P_4$  is the only path that is not elegant. Balakrishnan, Selvam, and Yegnanarayanan [95] have proved numerous graphs are elegant. Among them are  $K_{m,n}$  and the mth-subdivision graph of  $K_{1,2n}$  for all m. They prove that the bistar  $B_{n,n}$  ( $K_2$  with n pendant edges at each endpoint) is elegant if and only if n is even. They also prove that every simple graph is a subgraph of an elegant graph and that several families of graphs are not elegant. Deb and Limaye [219] have shown that triangular snakes are elegant if and only if the number of triangles is not equal to 3 (mod 4). In the case where the number of triangles is 3 (mod 4) they show the triangular snakes satisfy a weaker condition they call semi-elegant whereby the edge label 0 is permitted. In [220] Deb and Limaye define a graph G with q edges to be near-elegant if there is an injective function f from the vertices of G to the set  $\{0, 1, \dots, q\}$  such that when each edge xy is assigned the label  $f(x) + f(y) \pmod{(q+1)}$  the resulting edge labels are distinct and not equal to q. Thus, in a near-elegent labeling, instead of 0 being the missing value in the edge labels, q is the missing value. Deb and Limaye show that triangular snakes where the number of triangles is 3 (mod 4) are near-elegant. For any positive integers  $\alpha \leq \beta \leq \gamma$ where  $\beta$  is at least 2, the theta graph  $\theta_{\alpha,\beta,\gamma}$  consists of three edge disjoint paths of lengths  $\alpha, \beta$ , and  $\gamma$  having the same end points. Deb and Limaye [220] provide elegant and nearelegant labelings for some theta graphs where  $\alpha = 1, 2, \text{ or } 3$ . Seoud and Elsakhawi [592] have proved that the following graphs are elegant:  $K_{1,m,n}$ ;  $K_{1,1,m,n}$ ;  $K_2 + \overline{K_m}$ ;  $K_3 + \overline{K_m}$ ; and  $K_{m,n}$  with an edge joining two vertices of the same partite set.

Sethuraman and Elumalai [608] have proved that for every graph G with p vertices and q edges the graph  $G + K_1 + \overline{K_m}$  is graceful when  $m \geq 2^p - p - q$ . As a corollary they deduce that every graph is a vertex induced subgraph of a elegant graph. Sethuraman and Selvaraju also present an algorithm that permits one to start with any non-trivial connected graph and successively form supersubdivisions (see §2.7) that have a strong form of elegant labeling.

In [607] Sethuraman and Elumalai define a graph H to be a  $K_{1,m}$ -star extension of a graph G with p vertices and q edges at a vertex v of G where m > p - 1 - deg(v) if H is obtained from G by merging the center of the star  $K_{1,m}$  with v and merging p-1-deg(v) pendent vertices of  $K_{1,m}$  with the p-1-deg(v) nonadjacent vertices of v in G. They prove that for every graph G with p vertices and q edges and for every vertex v of G and every  $m \geq 2^{p-1} - 1 - q$ , there is a  $K_{1,m}$ -star extension of G that is both graceful and harmonious. In the case where  $m \geq 2^{p-1} - q$ , they show that G has a  $K_{1,m}$ -star extension that is elegant.

Sethuraman and Selvaraju [619] have shown that certain cases of the union of any number of copies of  $K_4$  with one or more edges deleted and one edge in common are elegant.

Gallian extended the notion of harmoniousness to arbitrary finite Abelian groups as follows. Let G be a graph with q edges and H a finite Abelian group (under addition) of order q. Define G to be H-harmonious if there is an injection f from the vertices of G to H such that when each edge xy is assigned the label f(x) + f(y) the resulting edge labels are distinct. When G is a tree, one label may be used on exactly two vertices. Beals, Gallian, Headley, and Jungreis |117| have shown that if H is a finite Abelian group of order n > 1 then  $C_n$  is H-harmonious if and only if H has a non-cyclic or trivial Sylow 2-subgroup and H is not of the form  $Z_2 \times Z_2 \times \cdots \times Z_2$ . Thus, for example,  $C_{12}$ is not  $Z_{12}$ -harmonious but is  $(Z_2 \times Z_2 \times Z_3)$ -harmonious. Analogously, the notion of an elegant graph can be extended to arbitrary finite Abelian groups. Let G be a graph with q edges and H a finite Abelian group (under addition) with q+1 elements. We say G is H-elegant if there is an injection f from the vertices of G to H such that when each edge xy is assigned the label f(x) + f(y) the resulting set of edge labels is the non-identity elements of H. Beals et al. [117] proved that if H is a finite Abelian group of order nwith  $n \neq 1$  and  $n \neq 3$ , then  $C_{n-1}$  is H-elegant using only the non-identity elements of H as vertex labels if and only if H has either a non-cyclic or trivial Sylow 2-subgroup. This result completed a partial characterization of elegant cycles given by Chang, Hsu, and Rogers [194] by showing that  $C_n$  is elegant when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Mollard and Payan [523] also proved that  $C_n$  is elegant when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and gave another proof that  $P_n$ is elegant when  $n \neq 4$ .

For a graph G(V, E) and an Abelian group H Valentin [718] defines a polychrome labeling of G by H to be a bijection f from V to H such that the edge labels induced by f(uv) = f(v) + f(u) are distinct. Valentin investigates the existence of polychrome labelings for paths and cycles for various Abelian groups.

# 4.3 Felicitous Labelings

Another generalization of harmonious labelings are felicitous labelings. An injective function f from the vertices of a graph G with q edges to the set  $\{0, 1, \ldots, q\}$  is called *felicitous* if the edge labels induced by  $f(x) + f(y) \pmod{q}$  for each edge xy are distinct. This definition first appeared in a paper by Lee, Schmeichel, and Shee in [445] and is attributed to E. Choo. Balakrishnan and Kumar [92] proved the conjecture of Lee, Schmeichel, and

Shee [445] that every graph is a subgraph of a felicitous graph by showing the stronger result that every graph is a subgraph of a sequential graph. Among the graphs known to be felicitous are:  $C_n$  except when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  [445];  $K_{m,n}$  when m, n > 1 [445];  $P_2 \cup C_{2n+1}$  [445];  $P_2 \cup C_{2n}$  [709];  $P_3 \cup C_{2n+1}$  [445];  $S_m \cup C_{2n+1}$  [445];  $K_n$  if and only if  $n \leq 4$  [609];  $P_n + \overline{K_m}$  [609]; the friendship graph  $C_3^{(n)}$  for n odd [445];  $P_n \cup C_3$  [626];  $P_n \cup C_{n+3}$  [709]; and the one-point union of an odd cycle and a caterpillar [626]. Shee [622] conjectured that  $P_m \cup C_n$  is felicitous when n > 2 and m > 3. Lee, Schmeichel, and Shee [445] ask for which m and n is the one-point union of n copies of  $C_m$  felicitous. In contrast to the situation for felicitous labelings, we remark that  $C_{4k}$  and  $K_{m,n}$  where m, n > 1 are not harmonious and the one-point union of an odd cycle and a caterpillar is not always harmonious. Lee, Schmeichel, and Shee [445] conjectured that the n-cube is felicitous. This conjecture was proved by Figueroa-Centeno and Ichishima in 2001 [262].

Balakrishnan, Selvam, and Yegnanarayanan [94] obtained numerous results on felicitous labelings. The wreath product, G\*H, of graphs G and H has vertex set  $V(G)\times V(H)$ and  $(g_1, h_1)$  is adjacent to  $(g_2, h_2)$  whenever  $g_1g_2 \in E(G)$  or  $g_1 = g_2$  and  $h_1h_2 \in$ E(H). They define  $H_{n,n}$  as the graph with vertex set  $\{u_1,\ldots,u_n;v_1,\ldots,v_n\}$  and edge set  $\{u_iv_j|\ 1\leq i\leq j\leq n\}$ . They let  $\langle K_{1,n}:m\rangle$  denote the graph obtained by taking m disjoint copies of  $K_{1,n}$ , and joining a new vertex to the centers of the m copies of  $K_{1,n}$ . They prove the following are felicitous:  $H_{n,n}$ ;  $P_n * K_2$ ;  $\langle K_{1,m} : m \rangle$ ;  $\langle K_{1,2} : m \rangle$ when  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{3}$ , or  $m \equiv 3 \pmod{6}$ , or  $m \equiv 6 \pmod{12}$ ;  $\langle K_{1,2n} : m \rangle$  for all mand  $n \geq 2$ ;  $\langle K_{1,2t+1} : 2n+1 \rangle$  when  $n \geq t$ ;  $P_n^k$  when k = n-1 and  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ , or k=2t and  $n\geq 3$  and k< n-1; the join of a star and  $\overline{K_n}$ ; and graphs obtained by joining two end vertices or two central vertices of stars with an edge. Yegnanarayanan [773] conjectures that the graphs obtained from an even cycle by attaching n new vertices to each vertex of the cycle is felicitous. This conjecture was verified by Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle in [266]. In [614] Sethuraman and Selvaraju [619] have shown that certain cases of the union of any number of copies of  $K_4$  with 3 edges deleted and one edge in common are felicitous. Sethuraman and Selvaraju [614] present an algorithm that permits one to start with any non-trivial connected graph and successively form supersubdivisions (see  $\S 2.7$ ) that have a felcitious labeling.

Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [267] define a felicitous graph to be strongly felicitous if there exists an integer k so that for every edge uv,  $\min\{f(u), f(v)\}$   $\leq k < \max\{f(u), f(v)\}$ . For a graph with p vertices and q edges with  $q \geq p-1$  they show that G is strongly felicitous if and only if G has an  $\alpha$ -valuation (see §3.1). They also show that for graphs  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  with strongly felicitous labelings  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  the graph obtained from  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  by identifying the vertices u and v such that  $f_1(u) = 0 = f_2(v)$  is strongly felicitous and that the one-point union of two copies of  $C_m$  where  $m \geq 4$  and m is even is strongly felicitous. As a corollary they have that the one-point union n copies of  $C_m$  where m is even and at least 4 and  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  is felicitous. They conjecture that the one-point union of n copies of  $C_m$  is felicitous if and only if  $mn \equiv 0, 1$ , or 3 (mod 4). In [270] Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle prove that  $2C_n$  is strongly felicitous if and only if n is even and at least 4. They conjecture [270] that  $mC_n$ 

is felicitous if and only if  $mn \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  and that  $C_m \cup C_n$  is felicitous if and only if  $m + n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ .

Chang, Hsu, and Rogers [194] have given a sequential counterpart to felecitous labelings. They call a graph with q edges  $strongly\ c$ -elegant if the vertex labels are from  $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$  and the edge labels induced by addition are  $\{c,c+1,\ldots,c+q-1\}$ . (A strongly 1-elegant labeling has also been called a consecutive labeling.) Notice that every strongly c-elegant graph is felicitous and that strongly c-elegant is the same as (c,1)-arithmetic in the case where the vertex labels are from  $\{0,1,\ldots,q\}$ . Chang et al. [194] have shown:  $K_n$  is strongly 1-elegant if and only if n=2,3,4;  $C_n$  is strongly 1-elegant if and only if n=3; and a bipartite graph is strongly 1-elegant if and only if it is a star. Shee [623] has proved that  $K_{m,n}$  is strongly c-elegant for a particular value of c and obtained several more specialized results pertaining to graphs formed from complete bipartite graphs.

Seoud and Elsakhawi [593] have shown:  $K_{m,n}$  ( $m \le n$ ) with an edge joining two vertices of the same partite set is strongly c-elegant for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2n + 2$ ;  $K_{1,m,n}$  is strongly c-elegant for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2m$  when m = n, and for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, m + n + 1$  when  $m \ne n$ ;  $K_{1,1,m,m}$  is strongly c-elegant for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 2m + 1$ ;  $P_n + \overline{K_m}$  is strongly  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -elegant;  $C_m + \overline{K_n}$  is strongly c-elegant for odd m and all n for  $c = (m-1)/2, (m-1)/2 + 2, \ldots, 2m$  when (m-1)/2 is even and for  $c = (m-1)/2, (m-1)/2 + 2, \ldots, 2m - (m-1)/2$  when (m-1)/2 is odd; ladders  $L_{2k+1}$  (k > 1) are strongly (k+1)-elegant; and B(3,2,m) and B(4,3,m) (see §2.4 for notation) are strongly 1-elegant and strongly 3-elegant for all m; the composition  $P_n[P_2]$  (see §2.3 for the definition) is strongly c-elegant for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 5n - 6$  when n is odd and for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 5n - 5$  when n is even;  $P_n$  is strongly  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ -elegant;  $P_n^2$  is strongly c-elegant for  $c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 6k - 1$  when  $n = 4k, c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 6k + 1$  when  $n = 4k + 1, c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 6k + 3$  when  $n = 4k + 2, c = 1, 3, 5, \ldots, 6k + 5$  when n = 4k + 3.

# 5 Magic-type Labelings

# 5.1 Magic Labelings

Motivated by the notion of magic squares in number theory, magic labelings were introduced by Sedláček [583] in 1963. Responding to a problem raised by Sedláček, Stewart [676] and [677] studied various ways to label the edges of a graph in the mid 1960s. Stewart calls a connected graph semi-magic if there is a labeling of the edges with integers such that for each vertex v the sum of the labels of all edges incident with v is the same for all v. (Berge [123] used the term "regularisable" for this notion.) A semi-magic labeling where the edges are labeled with distinct positive integers is called a magic labeling. Stewart calls a magic labeling supermagic if the set of edge labels consists of consecutive positive integers. The classic concept of an  $n \times n$  magic square in number theory corresponds to a supermagic labeling of  $K_{n,n}$ . Stewart [676] proved the following:  $K_n$  is magic for n = 2 and all  $n \ge 5$ ;  $K_{n,n}$  is magic for all  $n \ge 3$ ; fans  $F_n$  are magic if and only if  $n \ge 3$ ; wheels  $N_n$  are magic for  $n \ge 4$ ; and  $N_n$  with one spoke deleted

is magic for n=4 and for  $n\geq 6$ . Stewart [676] also proved that  $K_{m,n}$  is semi-magic if and only if m = n. In [677] Stewart proved that  $K_n$  is supermagic for  $n \geq 5$  if and only if n > 5 and  $n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Sedláček [584] showed that Möbius ladders  $M_n$  (see §2.3) for the definition) are supermagic when  $n \geq 3$  and n is odd and that  $C_n \times P_2$  is magic, but not supermagic, when  $n \geq 4$  and n is even. Shiu, Lam, and Lee [632] have proved: the composition of  $C_m$  and  $\overline{K}_n$  (see 2.3 for the defintion) is supermagic when  $m \geq 3$  and  $n \geq 2$ ; the complete m-partite graph  $K_{n,n,\dots,n}$  is supermagic when  $n \geq 3$ , m > 5 and  $m \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ; and if G is an r-regular supermagic graph, then so is the composition of G and  $\overline{K}_n$  for  $n \geq 3$ . Ho and Lee [349] showed that the composition of  $K_m$  and  $\overline{K}_n$  is supermagic for m = 3 or 5 and n = 2 or n odd. Bača, Holländer, and Lih [72] have found two families of 4-regular supermagic graphs. Shiu, Lam, and Cheng [629] proved that for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $mK_{n,n}$  is supermagic if and only if n is even or both m and n are odd. Ivančo [362] gave a characterization of all supermagic regular complete multipartite graphs. He proved that  $Q_n$  is supermagic if and only if n=1 or n is even and greater than 2 and that  $C_n \times C_n$  and  $C_{2m} \times C_{2n}$  are supermagic. He conjectures that  $C_m \times C_n$  is supermagic for all m and n. Trenklér [713] has proved that a connected magic graph with p vertices and q edges other than  $P_2$  exits if and only if  $5p/4 < q \le p(p-1)/2$ . In [689] Sun, Guan, and Lee give an efficient algorithm for finding a magic labeling of a graph.

In [410] Kovář provides a general technique for constructing supermagic labelings of copies of certain kinds of regular supermagic graphs. In particular, he proves: if G is a supermagic r-regular graph  $(r \geq 3)$  with a proper edge r coloring, then nG is supermagic when r is even and supermagic when r and n are odd; if G is a supermagic r-regular graph with m vertices and has a proper edge r coloring and H is a supermagic r-regular graph with r vertices and has a proper edge r coloring, then r is supermagic when r is even or r is odd and is supermagic when r or r is odd.

Sedláček [584] also proves that graphs obtained from an odd cycle with consecutive vertices  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_m, u_{m+1}$ ,

 $v_m, \ldots, v_1 \ (m \geq 2)$  by joining each  $u_i$  to  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  and  $u_1$  to  $v_{m+1}, u_m$  to  $v_1$  and  $v_1$  to  $v_{m+1}$  are magic. Trenklér and Vetchý [716] have shown that if G has order at least 5, then  $G^i$  is magic for all  $i \geq 3$  and  $G^2$  is magic if and only if G is not  $P_5$  and G does not have a 1-factor whose every edge is incident with an end-vertex of G. Seoud and Abdel Maqsoud [589] proved that  $K_{1,m,n}$  is magic for all m and n and that  $P_n^2$  is magic for all n. However, Serverino has reported that  $P_n^2$  is not magic for n = 2, 3, and 5 [299].

Characterizations of regular magic graphs were given by Dood [235] and necessary and sufficient conditions for a graph to be magic were given in [365], [366], and [227]. Some sufficient conditions for a graph to be magic are given in [233], [712], and [529]. The notion of magic graphs was generalized in [234] and [578].

In [362] Ivančo completely determines the supermagic graphs that are the disjoint unions of complete k-partite graphs where every partite set has the same order.

Trenklér [714] extended the definition of supermagic graphs to include hypergraphs and proved that the complete k-uniform n-partite hypergraph is supermagic if  $n \neq 2$  or 6 and  $k \geq 2$  (see also [715]).

For connected graphs of size at least 5, Ivančo, Lastivkova, and Semanicova [364]

provide a forbidden subgraph characterization of the line graphs that can be magic. As a corollary they obtain that the line graph of every connected graph with minimum degree at least 3 is magic. They also prove that the line graph of every bipartite regular graph of degree at least 3 is supermagic.

In 1976 Sedláček [584] defined a connected graph with at least two edges to be *pseudo-magic* if there exists a real-valued function on the edges with the property that distinct edges have distinct values and the sum of the values assigned to all the edges incident to any vertex is the same for all vertices. Sedláček proved that when  $n \geq 4$  and n is even, the Möbius ladder  $M_n$  is not pseudo-magic and when  $m \geq 3$  and m is odd,  $C_m \times P_2$  is not pseudo-magic.

Kong, Lee, and Sun [401] used the term "magic labeling" for a labeling of the edges with nonnegative integers such that for each vertex v the sum of the labels of all edges incident with v is the same for all v. In particular, the edge labels need not be distinct. They let M(G) denote the set of all such labelings of G. For any L in M(G), they let  $s(L) = \max\{L(e): e \text{ in } E\}$  and define the magic strength of G as  $m(G) = \min\{s(L): L\}$ in M(G). To distinguish these notions from others with the same names and notation, which we will introduced in the next section for labelings from the set of vertices and edges, we call the Kong, Lee, and Sun version the edge magic strength and use em(G) for  $\min\{s(L): L \text{ in } M(G)\}$  instead of m(G). Kong, Lee, and Sun [401] use DS(k) to denote the graph obtained by taking two copies of  $K_{1,k}$  and connecting the k pairs of corresponding leafs. They show: for k > 1, em(DS(k)) = k - 1;  $em(P_k + K_1)$  is 1 for k = 1 or 2, k if k is even and greater than 2, and 0 if k is odd and greater than 1; for  $k \geq 3$ , em(W(k)) = k/2if k is even and em(W(k)) = (k-1)/2 if k is odd;  $em(P_2 \times P_2) = 1$ ,  $em(P_2 \times P_n) = 2$ if n > 3,  $em(P_m \times P_n) = 3$  if m or n is even and greater than 2;  $em(C_3^{(n)}) = 1$  if n = 1(Dutch windmill – see §2.4) and  $em(C_3^{(n)}) = 2n - 1$  if n > 1. They also prove that if Gand H are magic graphs then  $G \times H$  is magic and  $em(G \times H) = \max\{em(G), em(H)\}\$ and that every connected graph is an induced subgraph of a magic graph (see also [249] and [264]). They conjecture that almost all connected graphs are not magic. In [442] Lee, Saba, and Sun show that the edge magic strength of  $P_n^k$  is 0 when k and n are both odd. Sun and Lee [690] show that the Cartesian, conjunctive, normal, lexicographic, and disjunctive products of two magic graphs are magic and the sum of two magic graphs is magic. They also determine the edge magic strengths of the products and sums in terms of the edge magic strengths of the components graphs.

S. M. Lee and colleagues [464] and [427] call a graph G k-magic if there is a labeling from the edges of G to the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,k-1\}$  such that for each vertex v of G the sum of all edges incident with v is a constant independent of v. The set of all k for which G is k-magic is denoted by  $\mathrm{IM}(G)$  and called the integer-magic spectrum of G. In [464] Lee and Wong investigate the integer-magic spectrum of powers of paths. They prove:  $\mathrm{IM}(P_4^2)$  is  $\{4,6,8,10,\ldots\}$ ; for n>5,  $\mathrm{IM}(P_n^2)$  is the set of all positive integers except 2; for all odd d>1,  $\mathrm{IM}(P_{2d}^d)$  is the set of all positive integers except 1;  $\mathrm{IM}(P_4^3)$  is the set of all positive integers except 1 and 2; and for all even  $n\geq 6$ ,  $\mathrm{IM}(P_n^3)$  is the set of all positive integers except 2. For k>3 they conjecture:  $\mathrm{IM}(P_n^k)$  is the set of all positive integers when n=k+1; the set of all

positive integers except 1 and 2 when n and k are odd and  $n \ge k$ ; the set of all positive integers except 1 and 2 when n and k are even and  $k \ge n/2$ ; the set of all positive integers except 2 when n is even and k is odd and  $n \ge k$ ; and the set of all positive integers except 2 when n and k are even and  $k \le n/2$ .

In [427] Lee et al. investigated the integer-magic spectrum of trees obtained by joining the centers of two disjoint stars  $K_{1,m}$  and  $K_{1,n}$  with an edge. They denote these graphs by ST(m,n). Among their results are: IM(ST(m,n)) is the empty set when |m-n|=1; IM(ST(2m,2m)) is the set of all positive integers; IM(ST(2m+1,2m+1))  $(m \ge 1)$  is the set of all positive integers except 2;  $IM(W_{2n+1})$  is the set of all positive integers;  $IM(W_{2n})$  (n > 1) is the set of all positive integers except 2;  $IM(C_{2n} \odot K_1)$  is the set of all positive integers except 2;  $IM(C_{2n+1} \odot K_1)$  is the set of all even positive integers;  $IM(P_m \times P_n)$ ,  $(m,n) \ne (2,2)$ , is the set of all positive integers except 2;  $IM(P_2 \times P_2)$  is the set of all positive integers;  $IM(P_n + K_1)$  (n > 2) is the set of all positive integers except 2; and  $IM(K_{1,k+1})$  (k > 2) is the set of all multiples of k.

Lee et al. [427] use the notation  $C_m@C_n$  to denote the graph obtained by starting with  $C_m$  and attaching paths  $P_n$  to  $C_m$  by identifying the endpoints of the paths with each successive pairs of vertices of  $C_m$ . They prove that  $\mathrm{IM}(C_m@C_n)$  is the set of all positive integers if m or n is even and  $\mathrm{IM}(C_m@C_n)$  is the set of all even positive integers if m and n are odd.

Lee, Valdés, and Ho [457] investigate the integer magic spectrum for special kinds of trees. For a given tree T they define the double tree DT of T as the graph obtained by creating a second copy  $T^*$  of T and joining each end vertex of T to its corresponding vertex in  $T^*$ . They prove that for any tree T,  $\mathrm{IM}(DT)$  contains every positive integer with the possible exception of 2 and  $\mathrm{IM}(DT)$  contains all positive integers if and only if the degree of every vertex that is not an end vertex is even. For a given tree T they define ADT, the abbreviated double tree of T, as the the graph obtained from DT by identifying the end verticies of T and  $T^*$ . They prove that for every tree T,  $\mathrm{IM}(ADT)$  contains every positive integer with the possible exceptions of 1 and 2 and  $\mathrm{IM}(ADT)$  contains all positive integers if and only if T is a path.

Lee and Salehi [444] have investigated the integer-magic spectra of trees with diameter at most four. Among their findings are: if  $n \geq 3$  and the prime power factorization of  $n-1=p_1^{r_1}p_2^{r_2}\cdots p_k^{r_k}$ , then  $\mathrm{IM}(K_{1,n})=p_1\mathbb{N}\cup p_2\mathbb{N}\cup\cdots\cup p_k\mathbb{N}$  (here  $p_i\mathbb{N}$  means all positive integer multiples of  $p_i$ ); for  $m,n\geq 3$  the double star DS(m,n) is Z-magic if and only if m=n; for  $m,n\geq 3$ ,  $\mathrm{IM}(DS(m,m))$  is the set of all natural numbers excluding all divisors of m-2 greater than 1; if the prime power factorization of  $m-n=p_1^{r_1}p_2^{r_2}\cdots p_k^{r_k}$  and the prime power factorization of  $n-2=p_1^{s_1}p_2^{s_2}\cdots p_k^{s_k}$ , then  $\mathrm{IM}(DS(m,n))=A_1\cup A_2\cup\cdots\cup A_k$  where  $A_i=p_i^{1+s_i}\mathbb{N}$  if  $r_i>s_i\geq 0$  and  $A_i=\emptyset$  if  $s_i\geq r_i\geq 0$ ; for  $m,n\geq 3$ ,  $\mathrm{IM}(DS(m,n))=\emptyset$  if and only if m-n divides n-2; if  $m,n\geq 3$  and |m-n|=1, then DS(m,n) is non-magic. Lee and Salehi [443] give formulas for the integer-magic spectra of trees of diameter four but they are too complicated to include here.

More specialized results about the integer-magic spectra of amalgamations of stars and cycles are given by Lee and Salehi in [443].

The table following summarizes the state of knowledge about magic-type labelings. In

the table

 $\mathbf{SM}$  means semi-magic

M means magic; SPM means supermagic

 ${f SVM}$  means super vertex-magic and

SVMT means super vertex magic total

(a,d)-SVAT means super (a,d) vertex-antimagic total labeling

A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová.

Table 5: Summary of Magic Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes
$K_n$	M	if $n = 2, \ n \ge 5 \ [676]$
	SPM	for $n \ge 5$ iff $n > 5$
		$n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \ [677]$
$K_{m,n}$	SM	if $n \ge 3$ [676]
$K_{n,n}$	M	if $n \ge 3$ [676]
fans $f_n$	M not SM	iff $n$ is odd, $n \ge 3$ [676] if $n \ge 2$ [299]
	1100 5101	$n \le z [299]$
wheels $W_n$	M	if $n \ge 4$ [676]
	SM	if $n = 5$ or $6 [299]$
wheels with one	M	if $n = 4$ , $n > 6$ [676]
spoke deleted	111	1, 10 1, 10 1 0 [0.10]
2601	CD) I	
Möbius ladders $M_n$	SPM	if $n \ge 3$ , $n$ is odd [584]
$C_n \times P_2$	not SPM	for $n \ge 4$ , $n$ even [584]
$C_m[\overline{K}_n]$	SPM	if $m \ge 3, \ n \ge 2$ [632]
$K_{\underbrace{n,n,\ldots,n}_{p}}$	SPM	$n \ge 3, p > 5$ and
		$p \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4} \ [632]$
composition of $r$ -regular	SPM	if $n \ge 3$ [632]
SPM graph and $\overline{K}_n$		' '
$K_k[\overline{K}_n]$	SPM	if $k = 3$ or $5$ , $n = 2$ or $n$ odd [349]

Table 5: Summary of Magic Labelings continued

Graph	Tamos	Notes	
	Types		
$mK_{n,n}$	SPM	for $n \geq 2$ iff $n$ is even or	
		both $n$ and $m$ are odd [629]	
$Q_n$	SPM	iff $n = 1$ or $n > 2$ even [362]	
-016			
$C_m \times C_n$	SPM	m = n or $m$ and $n$ are over [362]	
$C_m \times C_n$	SI WI	m = n  or  m  and  n  are even  [362]	
$C_m \times C_n$	GD1 10	4 11 1 [0.00]	
$C_m \times C_n$	SPM?	for all $m$ and $n$ [362]	
connected $(p,q)$ -graph	M	iff $5p/4 < q \le p(p-1)/2$ [713]	
other than $P_2$			
$G^i$	M	$ G  \ge 5, i \ge 3$ [716]	
G	1V1	$[G] \geq 0, t \geq 0 [T10]$	
	3.6		
$G^2$	M	$G \neq P_5$ and G does not have a	
		1-factor whose every edge is incident with	
		an end-vertex of $G$ [716]	
$K_{1,m,n}$	M	for all $m, n$ [589]	
11,m,n	111		
$D^2$	м	for all movement 2, 2, 5 [500] [200]	
$P_n^2$	M	for all $n$ except 2, 3, 5 [589], [299]	
$G \times H$	M	iff $G$ and $H$ are magic [401]	
$K_{1,m} \cup nK_3$	TM	iff $m = 2$ and $n$ is even [188]	
$P_n$	SVM	iff $n > 1$ is odd [702]	
	~ , 1,1	III 10 / I IS Octor [102]	
	CAIM	: m : 11 [709]	
$C_n$	SVM	iff $n$ is odd [702]	

Table 5: Summary of Magic Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
$K_{1,n}$	SVM	iff $n = 1$ [702]
$mC_n$	SVM	iff $m$ and $n$ are odd [702]
dragons (see §2.2)	SVM	iff order is even [703], [703]
$C_n$	SVMT	iff $n$ is odd [501]
$W_n$	not SVMT	[501]
ladders	not SVMT	[501]
friendship graphs	not SVMT	[501]
$K_{m,n}$	not SVMT	[501]
graphs with minimum degree 1	not SVMT	[501]
$K_{4n}$	SVMT?	[501]
$P_n$	(a, d)-SVAT	iff $d = 2, n \ge 3$ , <i>n</i> is odd or $d = 3, n \ge 3$ [683]
$C_n$	(a,d)-SVAT	d = 0, 2  and  n  odd, or  d = 1  [683]

## 5.2 Edge-magic Total and Super Edge-magic Labelings

In 1970 Kotzig and Rosa [406] defined a magic labeling of a graph G(V, E) as a bijection f from  $V \cup E$  to  $\{1, 2, \dots, |V \cup E|\}$  such that for all edges xy, f(x) + f(y) + f(xy) is constant. To distinguish between this usage from that of Stewart we will call this labeling an edge-magic total labeling. Kotzig and Rosa proved:  $K_{m,n}$  has an edge-magic total labeling for all m and n;  $C_n$  has an edge-magic total labeling for all  $n \geq 3$  (see also [303], [570], [126], and [249]); and the disjoint union of n copies of  $P_2$  has an edge-magic total labeling if and only if n is odd. They further state that  $K_n$  has an edge-magic total labeling if and only if n = 1, 2, 3, 5 or 6 (see [407], [218], and [249]) and ask whether all trees have edge-magic total labelings. Wallis et al. [740] enumerate every edge-magic total labeling of complete graphs. They also prove that the following graphs are edge-magic total: paths, crowns, complete bipartite graphs, and cycles with a single edge attached to one vertex. Enomoto, Llado, Nakamigana, and Ringel [249] prove that all complete bipartite graphs are edge-magic total. They also show that wheels  $W_n$  are not edge-magic total when  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  and conjectured that all other wheels are edge-magic total. This conjecture was proved when  $n \equiv 0, 1 \pmod{4}$  by Phillips, Rees, and Wallis [552] and when  $n \equiv 6 \pmod{8}$  by Slamin, Bača, Lin, Miller, and Simanjuntak [654]. Fukuchi [286] verified all cases of the conjecture independently of the work of others. Slamin et al. further show that all fans are edge-magic total. Ringel and Llado [568] prove that a graph with p vertices and q edges is not edge-magic total if q is even and  $p+q\equiv 2 \pmod{p}$ 4) and each vertex has odd degree. Ringel and Llado conjecture that trees are edge-magic total. In [40] Babujee, Baskar, and Rao present algorithms for producing edge-magic total labelings of trees with a minimum number of pendent vertices and trees with a maximum number of pendent vertices.

Beardon [119] extended the notion of edge-magic total to countable infinite graphs G(V,E) (that is,  $V \cup E$  is countable). His main result is that a countably infinite tree that processes an infinite simple path has a bijective edge-magic total labeling using the integers as labels. He asks whether all countably infinite trees have an edge-magic total labeling with the integers as labels and whether the graph with the integers as vertices and an edge joining every two distinct vertices has a bijective edge-magic total labeling using the integers.

Balakrishnan and Kumar [92] proved that the join of  $\overline{K_n}$  and two disjoint copies of  $K_2$  is edge-magic total if and only if n=3. Yegnanarayanan [774] has proved the following graphs have edge-magic total labelings:  $nP_3$  where n is odd;  $P_n+K_1$ ;  $P_n\times C_3$  ( $n\geq 2$ ); the crown  $C_n\odot K_1$ ; and  $P_m\times C_3$  with n pendant vertices attached to each vertex of the outermost  $C_3$ . He conjectures that for all n,  $C_n\odot \overline{K_n}$ , the n-cycle with n pendant vertices attached at each vertex of the cycle, and  $nP_3$  have edge-magic total labelings. In fact, Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [270] have proved the stronger statement that for all  $n\geq 3$ , the corona of  $C_n\odot \overline{K_m}$  admits an edge-magic labeling where the set of vertex labels is  $\{1,2,\ldots,|V|\}$ . Yegnanarayanan [774] also introduces several variations of edge-magic labelings and provides some results about them. Kotzig [738] provides some necessary conditions for graphs with an even number of edges in

which every vertex has odd degree to have an edge-magic total labeling. Craft and Tesar [218] proved that an r-regular graph with r odd and  $p \equiv 4 \pmod{8}$  vertices can not be edge-magic total. Wallis [736] proved that if G is an edge-magic total r-regular graph with p vertices and q edges where  $r = 2^t s + 1$  (t > 0) and q is even, then  $2^{t+2}$  divides p. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [265] have proved the following graphs are edge-magic total:  $P_4 \cup nK_2$  for n odd;  $P_3 \cup nK_2$ ;  $P_5 \cup nK_2$ ;  $nP_i$  for n odd and  $i = 3, 4, 5; 2P_n; P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n; mK_{1,n}; C_m \odot nK_1; K_1 \odot nK_2 \text{ for } n \text{ even};$  $W_{2n}$ ;  $K_2 \times \overline{K}_n$ ,  $nK_3$  for n odd; binary trees, generalized Petersen graphs (see also [542]), ladders (see also [745]), books, fans, and odd cycles with pendant edges attached to one vertex. Enomoto et al. [249] conjecture that if G is a graph of order n+m that contains  $K_n$ , then G is not edge-magic total for  $n \gg m$ . Wijaya and Baskoro [745] proved that  $P_m \times C_n$  is edge-magic total for odd n at least 3. Ngurah and Baskoro [542] state that  $P_2 \times C_n$  is not edge-magic total. Hegde and Shetty [342] have shown that every  $T_p$ -tree (see §4.2 for the definition) is edge-magic total. Wallis [736] proves that a cycle with one pendent edge is edge-magic total. In [736] Wallis poses a large number of research problems about edge-magic total graphs.

Avadayappan, Vasuki, and Jeyanthi [38] define the magic strength of a graph G as the minimum of all constants over all edge-magic total labelings of G. We denote this by emt(G). They use the notation  $< K_{1,n} : 2 >$  for the tree obtained from the bistar  $B_{n,n}$  (the graph obtained by joining the center vertices of two copies of  $K_{1,n}$  with an edge) by subdividing the edge joining the two stars. They prove:  $emt(P_{2n}) = 5n+1$ ;  $emt(P_{2n+1}) = 5n+3$ ;  $emt(< K_{1,n} : 2 >) = 4n+9$ ;  $emt(B_{n,n}) = 5n+6$ ;  $emt((2n+1)P_2) = 9n+6$ ;  $emt(C_{2n+1}) = 5n+4$ ;  $emt(C_{2n}) = 5n+2$ ;  $emt(K_{1,n}) = 2n+4$ ;  $emt(P_n^2) = 3n$ ; and  $emt(K_{n,m}) \le (m+2)(n+1)$  where  $n \le m$ .

Hegde and Shetty [346] (see also [345]) define the maximum magic strength of a graph G as the maximum constant over all edge-magic total labelings of G. We use eMt(G) to denote the maximum magic strength of G. Hegde and Shetty call a graph G with p vertices strong magic if eMt(G) = emt(G); ideal magic if  $1 \le eMt(G) - emt(G) \le p$ ; and weak magic if eMt(G) - emt(G) > p. They prove that for an edge-magic total graph G with p vertices and q edges, eMt(G) = 3(p + q + 1) - emt(G). Using this result they obtain:  $P_n$  is ideal magic for n > 2;  $K_{1,1}$  is strong magic;  $K_{1,2}$  and  $K_{1,3}$  are ideal magic; and  $K_{1,n}$  is weak magic for n > 3;  $B_{n,n}$  is ideal magic;  $(2n + 1)P_2$  is strong magic; cycles are ideal magic; and the generalized web W(t,3) (see §2.2 for the definition) with the central vertex deleted is weak magic.

In [530] Murugan introduces the notions of almost-magic labeling, relaxed-magic labeling, almost-magic strength, and relaxed-magic strength of a graph. He determines the magic strength of Huffman trees and twigs of odd order and the almost-magic strength of  $nP_2$  (n is even) and twigs of even order. Also, he obtains a bound on the magic strength of the path-union  $P_n(m)$  and on the relaxed-magic strength of  $kS_n$  and  $kP_n$ .

Enomoto et al. [249] call an edge-magic total labeling super edge-magic if the set of vertex labels is  $\{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$  (Wallis [736] calls these labelings strongly edge-magic). They prove the following:  $C_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if n is odd; caterpillars are super edge-magic;  $K_{m,n}$  is super edge-magic if and only if m = 1 or n = 1; and  $K_n$  is

super edge-magic if and only if n = 1, 2, or 3. They also prove that if a graph with p vertices and q edges is super edge-magic then,  $q \leq 2p-3$ . Enomoto et al. [249] conjecture that every tree is super edge-magic. Lee and Shan [453] have verified this conjecture for trees with up to 17 vertices with a computer. Kotzig and Rosa's ([406] and [407]) proof that  $nK_2$  is edge-magic total when n is odd actually shows that it is super edge-magic. Kotzig and Rosa also prove that every caterpillar is super-edge magic. Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle prove the following: if G is a bipartite or tripartite (super) edge-magic graph, then nG is (super) edge-magic when n is odd [268]; if m is a multiple of n+1, then  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$  is super edge-magic [268];  $K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,n}$  is super edge-magic if and only if n is a multiple of 3;  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$  is edge-magic if and only if mn is even [268];  $K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,n}$  is super edge-magic if and only if n is a multiple of 4 [268];  $P_m \cup K_{1,n}$  is super edge-magic when  $m \ge 4$  [268];  $2P_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if n is not 2 or 3;  $2P_{4n}$ is super edge-magic for all n [268];  $K_{1,m} \cup 2nK_2$  is super edge-magic for all m and n [268];  $C_3 \cup C_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $n \geq 6$  and n is even [270];  $C_4 \cup C_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $n \geq 5$  and n is odd [270];  $C_5 \cup C_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $n \geq 5$  and n is even [270]; if m is even and at least 6 and n is odd and satisfies  $n \geq m/2 + 2$ , then  $C_m \cup C_n$  is super edge-magic [270];  $C_4 \cup P_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $n \neq 3$  [270];  $C_5 \cup P_n$  is super edge-magic if  $n \geq 4$  [270]; if m is even and at least 6 and  $n \ge m/2 + 2$ , then  $C_m \cup P_n$  is super edge-magic [270]; and  $P_m \cup P_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$  or (3,3) [270]. They [268] conjecture that  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$  is super edge-magic only when m is a multiple of n+1 and they prove that if G is a super edge-magic graph with p vertices and q edges with  $p \geq 4$  and  $q \geq 2p-4$ , then G contains triangles. In [270] Figueroa-Centeno et al. conjecture that  $C_m \cup C_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if  $m + n \ge 9$  and m + n is odd.

Lee and Kong [425] use  $\operatorname{St}(a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n)$  to denote the disjoint union of the n stars  $\operatorname{St}(a_1)$ ,  $\operatorname{St}(a_2)$ , ...,  $\operatorname{St}(a_n)$ . They prove the following graphs are super edge-magic:  $\operatorname{St}(m,n)$  where  $n \equiv 0 \mod(m+1)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,1,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,2,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,n,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(2,2,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(2,3,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,1,2,n)$   $(n \geq 2)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,1,3,n)$ ;  $\operatorname{St}(1,2,2,n)$ ; and  $\operatorname{St}(2,2,2,n)$ . They conjecture that  $\operatorname{St}(a_1,a_2,\ldots,a_n)$  is super edge-magic when n > 1 is odd.

In [503] MacDougall and Wallis investigate the existence of super edge-magic labelings of cycles with a chord. They use  $C_v^t$  to denote the graph obtained from  $C_v$  by joining two vertices that are distance t apart in  $C_v$ . They prove:  $C_{4m+1}^t$   $(m \geq 3)$  has a super edge-magic labeling for every t except 4m-4 and 4m-8;  $C_{4m}^t$   $(m \geq 3)$  has a super edge-magic labeling when  $t \equiv 2 \mod 4$ ; and that  $C_{4m+2}^t$  (m > 1) has a super edge-magic labeling for all odd t other than 5, and for t = 2 and 6. They pose the problem of what values of t does  $C_{2n}^t$  have a super edge-magic labeling?

Enomoto, Masuda, and Nakamigawa [250] have proved that every graph can be embedded in a connected super edge-magic graph as an induced subgraph. Slamin et al. [654] proved that the friendship graph consisting of n triangles is super edge-magic if and only if n is 3, 4, 5 or 7. Fukuchi proved [285] the generalized Petersen graph P(n, 2) (see §2.7 for the definition) is super edge-magic if n is odd and at least 3. Baskoro and Ngurah [115] showed that  $nP_3$  is super edge-magic for  $n \ge 4$  and n even.

Hegde and Shetty [347] showed that a graph is super edge-magic if and only if it is

strongly k-indexable (see §4.1). Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [264] proved that a graph is super edge-magic if and only if it is strongly 1-harmonious and that a super edge-magic graph is cordial. They also proved that  $P_n^2$  and  $K_2 \times C_{2n+1}$  are super edge-magic. In [265] Figueroa-Centeno et al. show that the following graphs are super edge-magic:  $P_3 \cup kP_2$  for all k;  $kP_n$  when k is odd;  $k(P_2 \cup P_n)$  when k is odd and n=3 or n=4; and fans  $F_n$  if and only if  $n\leq 6$ . They conjecture that  $kP_2$  is not super edge-magic when k is even. This conjecture has been proved by Z. Chen [207] who showed that  $kP_2$  is super edge-magic if and only if k is odd. Figueroa-Centeno et al. provide a strong necessary condition for a book to have a super edge-magic labeling and conjecture that for  $n \geq 5$  the book  $B_n$  is super edge-magic if and only if n is even or  $n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$ . They prove that every tree with an  $\alpha$ -labeling is super edge-magic. Yokomura (see [249]) has shown that  $P_{2m+1} \times P_2$  and  $C_{2m+1} \times P_m$  are super edge-magic (see also [264]). In [266], Figueroa-Centeno et al. proved that if G is a (super) edge-magic 2-regular graph, then  $G \odot \overline{K}_n$  is (super) edge-magic and that  $C_m \odot \overline{K}_n$  is super edge-magic. Fukuchi [284] shows how to recursively create super edge-magic trees from certains kinds of existing super edge-magic trees.

Lee and Lee [428] investigate the existence of total edge-magic labelings and super edge-magic labelings of unicylic graphs. They obtain a variety of positive and negative results and conjecture that all unicyclic are edge-magic total.

Shiu and Lee [635] investigated edge labelings of multigraphs. Given a multigraph G with p vertices and q edges they call a bijection from the set of edges of G to  $\{1, 2, ..., q\}$  with the property that for each vertex v the sum of all edge labels incident to v is a constant independent of v a supermagic labeling of G. They use  $K_2[n]$  to denote the multigraph consisting of n edges joining 2 vertices and  $mK_2[n]$  to denote the disjoint union of m copies of  $K_2[n]$ . They prove that for m and n at least 2,  $mK_2[n]$  is supermagic if and only if n is even or if both m and n are odd.

In 1970 Kotzig and Rosa [406] defined the edge-magic deficiency,  $\mu(G)$ , of a graph G as the minimum n such that  $G \cup nK_1$  is edge-magic total. If no such n exists they define  $\mu(G) = \infty$ . In 1999 Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [269] extended this notion to super edge-magic deficiency,  $\mu_s(G)$ , is the analogous way. They prove the following:  $\mu_s(nK_2) = \mu(nK_2) = n-1 \pmod{2}$ ;  $\mu_s(C_n) = 0$  if n is odd;  $\mu_s(C_n) = 1$  if  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ;  $\mu_s(C_n) = \infty$  if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $\mu_s(K_n) = \infty$  if and only if  $n \geq 5$ ;  $\mu_s(K_{m,n}) \leq (m-1)(n-1)$ ;  $\mu_s(K_{2,n}) = n-1$ ; and  $\mu_s(F)$  is finite for all forests F. They also prove that if a graph G has q edges with q/2 odd, and every vertex is even, then  $\mu_s(G) = \infty$ .

In [271] Figueroa-Centeno et al. proved that  $\mu_s(P_m \cup K_{1,n}) = 1$  if m = 2 and n is odd, or m = 3 and is not congruent to 0 mod 3, whereas in all other cases  $\mu_s(P_m \cup K_{1,n}) = 0$ . They also proved that  $\mu_s(2K_{1,n}) = 1$  when n is odd and  $\mu_s(2K_{1,n}) \leq 1$  when n is even. They conjecture that  $\mu_s(2K_{1,n}) = 1$  in all cases. Other results in [271] are:  $\mu_s(P_m \cup P_n) = 1$  when (m,n) = (2,2) or (3,3) and  $\mu_s(P_m \cup P_n) = 0$  in all other cases;  $\mu_s(K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}) = 0$  when mn is even and  $\mu_s(K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}) = 1$  when mn is odd;  $\mu(P_m \cup K_{1,n}) = 1$  when m = 2 and m = 2 in all other cases;  $\mu_s(2C_n) = 1$  when m = 2 in all other cases;  $\mu_s(2C_n) = 1$  when m = 2 odd;  $\mu_s(3C_n) = 0$  when m = 2 in all other cases;  $\mu_s(2C_n) = 1$  when m = 2 odd;  $\mu_s(3C_n) = 0$  when  $\mu_s(3C_n) = 0$  when

 $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; and  $\mu_s(4C_n) = 1$  when  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . They conjecture the following:  $\mu_s(mC_n) = 0$  when mn is odd;  $\mu_s(mC_n) = 1$  when  $mn \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ;  $\mu_s(mC_n) = \infty$  when  $mn \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $\mu_s(2K_{1,n}) = 1$ ; and if F is a forest with two components, then  $\mu(F) \leq 1$  and  $\mu_s(F) \leq 1$ .

Z. Chen [207] has proven: the join of  $K_1$  with any subgraph of a star is super edgemagic; the join of two nontrivial graphs is super edge-magic if and only if at least one of them has exactly two vertices and their union has exactly one edge; and if a k-regular graph is super edge-magic, then  $k \leq 3$ . Chen also obtained the following conditions: there is a connected super edge-magic graph with p vertices and p edges if and only if  $p-1 \leq p \leq 2p-3$ ; there is a connected 3-regular super edge-magic graph with p vertices if and only if  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; and if p is a p-regular edge-magic total graph with p vertices and p edges then p-regular edge-magic total graph with p-vertices of the last result, Chen observes that p-regular edge-magic total.

Another labeling that has been called "edge-magic" was introduced by Lee, Seah, and Tan in 1992 [451]. They defined a graph G = (V, E) to be edge-magic if there exists a bijection  $f: E \to \{1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$  such that the induced mapping  $f^+: V \to N$  defined by  $f^+(u) = \sum_{(u,v) \in E} f(u,v) \pmod{|V|}$  is a constant map. Lee conjectured that a cubic graph with p vertices is edge-magic if and only if  $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Lee, Pigg, and Cox [439] verified this conjecture for several classes of cubic graphs. Shiu and Lee [635] showed that the conjecture is not true for multigraphs and disconnected graphs. Lee, Seah, and Tan [451] establish that a necessary condition for a multigraph with p vertices and q edges to be edge-magic is that p divides q(q+1) and they exhibit several new classes of cubic edge-magic graphs. They also proved:  $K_{n,n}$   $(n \ge 3)$  is edge-magic and  $K_n$  is edge-magic for  $n \equiv 1, 2 \pmod{4}$  and for  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$   $(n \ge 7)$ . Lee, Seah, and Tan further proved that following graphs are not edge-magic: all trees except  $P_2$ ; all unicyclic graphs; and  $K_n$  where  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Schaffer and Lee [582] have proved that  $C_m \times C_n$  is always edge-magic. Lee, Tong, and Seah [456] have conjectured that the total graph of a (p, p)-graph is edge-magic if and only if p is odd. They prove this conjecture for cycles.

For any graph G and any positive integer k the graph G[k], called the k-fold G, is the hypergraph obtained from G by replacing each edge of G with k parallel edges. Lee, Seah, and Tan [451] proved that for any graph G with p vertices and q edges, G[2p] is edge-magic and, if p is odd, G[p] is edge-magic. Shiu, Lam, and Lee [633] show that if G is an (n+1,n)-multigraph, then G is edge-magic if and only if n is odd and G is isomorphic to the disjoint union of  $K_2$  and (n-1)/2 copies of  $K_2[2]$ . They also prove that if G is a (2m+1,2m)-multigraph and kgeq2, then G[k] is edge-magic if and only if 2m+1 divides k(k-1). For a (2m,2m-1)-multigraph G and K at least 2, they show that G[k] is edge-magic if 4m divides (2m-1)k((2m-1)k+1) or if 4m divides (2m+k-1)k. In [631] Shiu, Lam, and Lee characterize the (p,p)-multigraphs that are edge-magic as  $mK_2[2]$  or the disjoint union of  $mK_2[2]$  and two particular multigraphs or the disjoint union of  $K_2$ ,  $mK_2[2]$ , and four particular multigraphs. They also show for every (2m+1,2m+1)-multigraph G, G[k] is edge-magic for all k at least 2. Lee, Seah, and Tan [451] prove that the multigraph  $C_n[k]$  is edge-magic for  $k \geq 2$ .

The table following summarizes what is known about edge-magic total labelings. We

use **EMT** for edge-magic total and **SEM** for super edge-magic labelings. A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová.

Table 6: Summary of Edge-magic Total Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes		
$K_{m,n}$	EMT	for all $m$ and $n$ [406]		
$C_n$	EMT	for $n \ge 3$ [406], [303], [570], [126]		
$\bigcup_{n} P_2$	EMT	iff $n$ odd [406]		
$K_n$	EMT	iff $n = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$ , or 6 [407], [218], [249] enumeration of all EMT of $K_n$ [740]		
trees	EMT?	[407], [568]		
$P_n$	EMT	[740]		
crowns $C_n \odot K_1$	EMT	[740]		
$K_{m,n}$	EMT	[740]		
$C_n$ with a single edge attached to one vertex	EMT	[740]		
wheels $W_n$	EMT	iff $n \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4} \ [249], [285]$		
fans	EMT	[654]		
(p,q)-graph	not EMT	if q even and $p + q \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [568]		
$nP_3$	EMT	if $b$ is odd [774]		
$P_n + K_1$	EMT	[774]		

 ${\bf Table~6:~Summary~of~Edge-magic~Total~and~Super~Edge-magic~Labelings~continued}$ 

Graph	Types	Notes
$P_n \times C_3$	EMT	$n \ge 2 \ [774]$
crown $C_n \odot K_1$	EMT	[774]
$nP_3$	EMT?	[774]
r-regular graph	not EMT	$r \text{ odd and } p \equiv 4 \pmod{8} \text{ [218]}$
$P_4 \cup nK_2$	EMT	n  odd  [264], [265]
$P_3 \cup nK_2$ and $P_5 \cup nK_2$	EMT	[264], [265]
$nP_i$	EMT	n  odd, i = 3, 4, 5  [264],[265]
$2P_n$	EMT	[264], [265]
$P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$	EMT	[264], [265]
$mK_{1,n}$	EMT	[264], [265]
$C_m \odot \overline{K}_n$	EMT	[264], [265]
unicylic graphs	EMT?	[428]

 ${\bf Table~6:~Summary~of~Edge-magic~Total~and~Super~Edge-magic~Labelings~continued}$ 

Graph	Types	Notes
$K_1 \odot nK_2$	EMT	n  even  [264], [265]
$K_2  imes \overline{K}_n$	EMT	[264], [265]
$nK_3$	EMT	n  odd  [264], [265]
binary trees	EMT	[264], [265]
P(m,n) (generalized	EMT	[264], [265], [542]
Petersen graph ladders	EMT	[264], [265]
books	EMT	[264], [265]
fans	EMT	[264], [265]
odd cycle with pendant edges attached to one vertex	EMT	[264], [265]
$P_m \times C_n$	EMT	$n \text{ odd } n \ge 3 \text{ [745]}$
$P_m \times P_2$	EMT	$m \text{ odd } m \ge 3 \text{ [745]}$
$P_2 \times C_n$	not EMT	[542]
$K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$	EMT	iff $mn$ is even [268]

Table 7: Summary of Super Edge-magic Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_n$	SEM	iff $n$ is odd [249]
caterpillars	SEM	[249], [406], [407]
$K_{m,n}$	SEM	iff $m = 1$ or $n = 1$ [249]
$K_n$	SEM	iff $n = 1, 2 \text{ or } 3 [249]$
trees	SEM?	[249]
$nK_2$	SEM	if $n$ odd [406], [407]
nG	SEM	if $G$ is a bipartite or tripartite SEM graph and $n$ odd [268]
$K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$	SEM	if $m$ is a multiple of $n+1$ [268]
$K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$	SEM?	iff $m$ is a multiple of $n+1$ [268]
$K_{1,2} \cup K_{1,n}$	SEM	iff $n$ is a multiple of 3 [268]
$K_{1,3} \cup K_{1,n}$	SEM	iff $n$ is a multiple of 4 [268]
$P_m \cup K_{1,n}$	SEM	if $m \ge 4$ is even [268]
$2P_n$	SEM	iff $n$ is not 2 or 3 [268]
$2P_{4n}$	SEM	for all $n$ [268]
$K_{1,m} \cup 2nK_{1,2}$	SEM	for all $m$ and $n$ [268]

Table 7: Summary of Super Edge-magic Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_3 \cup C_n$	SEM	iff $n \ge 6$ even [270]
$C_4 \cup C_n$	SEM	$iff n \ge 5 \text{ odd } [270]$
$C_5 \cup C_n$	SEM	iff $n \ge 5$ even [270]
$C_m \cup C_n$	SEM	if $m \ge 6$ even and $n$ odd $n \ge m/2 + 2$ [270]
$C_m \cup C_n$	SEM?	iff $m + n \ge 9$ and $m + n$ odd [270]
$C_4 \cup P_n$	SEM	iff $n \neq 3$ [270]
$C_5 \cup P_n$	SEM	if $n \neq 4$ [270]
$C_m \cup P_n$	SEM	if $m \ge 6$ even and $n \ge m/2 + 2$ [270]
$P_m \cup P_n$	SEM	iff $(m, n) \neq (2, 2)$ or $(3, 3)$ [270]
corona $C_n \odot \overline{K}_m$	SEM	$n \ge 3 \ [270]$
St(m,n)	SEM	$n \equiv 0 \pmod{m+1} \ [425]$
St(1,k,n)	SEM	k = 1, 2  or  n  [425]
St(2,k,n)	SEM	k = 2, 3 [425]
St(1,1,k,n)	SEM	k = 2, 3 [425]
St(k, 2, 2, n)	SEM	k = 1, 2 [425]
$St(a_1,\ldots,a_n)$	SEM?	for $n > 1$ odd [425]
$C_{4m}^t$	SEM	[503]
$C^t_{4m+1}$	SEM	[503]

Table 7: Summary of Super Edge-magic Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
friendship graph of $n$ triangles	SEM	iff $n = 3, 4, 5$ , or 7 [654]
	GD) f	
generalized Petersen graph $P(n, 2)$ (see §2.7)	SEM	$if n \ge 3 \text{ odd } [284]$
$nP_3$	SEM	if $n \ge 4$ even [115]
$P_n^2$	SEM	[264]
$K_2 \times C_{2n+1}$	SEM	[264]
$P_3 \cup kP_2$	SEM	for all $k$ [265]
$kP_n$	SEM	if $k$ is odd [265]
$k(P_2 \cup P_n)$	SEM	if $k$ is odd and $n = 3, 4$ [265]
fans $F_n$	SEM	iff $n \le 6$ [265]
$kP_2$	SEM	iff $k$ is odd [207]
book $B_n$	SEM?	iff $n$ even or $n \equiv 5 \pmod{8}[265]$
trees with $\alpha$ -labelings	SEM.	[265]
$P_{2m+1} \times P_2$	SEM	[249], [264]

Table 7: Summary of Super Edge-magic Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_{2m+1} \times P_m$	SEM	[249], [264]
$G\odot \overline{K}_n$	EMT/SEM	if $G$ is EMT/SEM 2-regular graph [266]
$C_m \odot \overline{K}_n$	SEM	[266]
join of $K_1$ with any subgraph of a star	SEM	[207]
if $G$ is $k$ -regular SEM graph		then $k \le 3$ [207]
G is connected $(p,q)$ -graph	SEM	$G$ exists iff $p-1 \le q \le 2p-3$ [207]
G is connected 3-regular graph on $p$ vertices	SEM	iff $p \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [207]
$nK_2 + nK_2$	not SEM	[207]

## 5.3 Vertex-magic Total Labelings and Totally Magic Labelings

MacDougall, Miller, Slamin, and Wallis [500] introduced the notion of a vertex-magic total labeling in 1999. For a graph G(V, E) an injective mapping f from  $V \cup E$  to the set  $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V| + |E|\}$  is a vertex-magic total labeling if there is a constant k, called the magic constant, such that for every vertex v,  $f(v) + \sum f(vu) = k$  where the sum is over all vertices u adjacent to v. They prove that the following graphs have vertex-magic total labelings:  $C_n$ ;  $P_n$  (n > 2);  $K_{m,m}$  (m > 1);  $K_{m,m} - e$  (m > 2); and  $K_n$  for n odd. They also prove that when n > m + 1,  $K_{m,n}$  does not have a vertex-magic total labeling. They conjectured that  $K_{m,m+1}$  has a vertex-magic total labeling for all m and that  $K_n$  has vertex-magic total labeling for all  $n \ge 3$ . The latter conjecture was proved by Lin and Miller [475] for the case that n is divisible by 4 whereas the remaining cases were done by MacDougall, Miller, Slamin, and Wallis [500]. Gray, MacDougall, and Wallis [315] then gave a simpler proof that all complete graphs are vertex-magic. McQuillan and Smith [506] have shown that if n is odd,  $K_n$  has a vertex-magic total labeling with magic constant k if and only if  $(n/4)(n^2 + 3) \le k \le (n/4)(n+1)^2$ .

Lin and Miller [475] have shown that  $K_{m,m}$  is vertex-magic total for all m > 1 and that  $K_n$  is vertex-magic total for all  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . Phillips, Rees, and Wallis [553] generalized the Lin and Miller result by proving that  $K_{m,n}$  is vertex-magic total if and only if m and n differ by at most 1. Cattell [192] has shown that a neccessary condition for a graph of the form  $H + \overline{K_n}$  to be vertex magic total is that the number of vertices of

H is at least n-1. As a corollary he gets that a neccessary condition for  $K_{m_1,m_2,\ldots,m_r,n}$  to be vertex magic total is that  $m_1+m_2+\cdots+m_r\geq n$ . He poses as an open question whether graphs that meet the conditions of the theorem are vertex magic total. Cattell also proves that  $K_{1,n,n}$  has a vertex magic total labeling when n is odd and  $K_{2,n,n}$  has a vertex magic total labeling when  $n\equiv 3\pmod 4$ .

Miller, Bača, and MacDougall [515] have proved that the generalized Petersen graphs P(n,k) (see Section 2.7 for the definition) are vertex-magic total when n is even and  $k \le n/2 - 1$ . They conjecture that all P(n, k) are vertex-magic total when  $k \le (n-1)/2$ and all prisms  $C_n \times P_2$  are vertex-magic total. Bača, Miller, and Slamin [87] proved the first of these conjectures (see also [655] for partial results) while Slamin and Miller prove the second. MacDougall et al. ([500], [502] and [313]) have shown:  $W_n$  has a vertex-magic total labeling if and only if  $n \leq 11$ ; fans  $F_n$  have a vertex-magic total labelings if and only if  $n \leq 10$ ; freindship graphs have vertex-magic total labelings if and only if the number of triangles is at most 3;  $K_{m,n}$  (m > 1) has a vertex-magic total labeling if and only if m and n differ by at most 1. Wallis [736] proved: if G and H have the same order and  $G \cup H$  is vertex-magic total then so is G + H; if the disjoint union of stars is vertex-magic total, then the average size of the stars is less than 3; if a tree has n internal vertices and more than 2n leaves then it does not have a vertex-magic total labeling. Wallis [737] has shown that if G is a regular graph of even degree that has a vertex-magic total labeling then the graph consisting of an odd number of copies of G is vertex-magic total. He also proved that if G is a regular graph of odd degree (not  $K_1$ ) that has a vertex-magic total labeling then the graph consisting of any number of copies of G is vertex-magic total.

Fronček, Kovář, and Kovářová [273] proved that  $C_n \times C_{2m+1}$  and  $K_5 \times C_{2n+1}$  are vertex-magic total. Kovář [409] furthermore proved some general results about products of certain regular vertex-magic total graphs. In particular, if G is a (2r+1)-regular vertex-magic total graph that can be factored into an (r+1)-regular graph and an r-regular graph, then  $G \times K_5$  and  $G \times C_n$  for n even are vertex-magic total. He also proved that if G an r-regular vertex-magic total graph and H is a 2s-regular supermagic graph that can be factored into two s-regular factors, then their Cartesian product  $G \times H$  is vertex-magic total if either r is odd, or r is even and |H| is odd.

Beardon [118] has shown that a necessary condition for a graph with c components, p vertices, q edges and a vertex of degree d to be vertex-magic total is  $(d+2)^2 \leq (7q^2 + (6c + 5)q + c^2 + 3c)/p$ . When the graph is connected this reduces to  $(d+2)^2 \leq (7q^2 + 11q + 4)/p$ . As a corollary the following are not vertex-magic total: wheels  $W_n$  when  $n \geq 12$ ; fans  $F_n$  when  $n \geq 11$ ; and friendship graphs  $C_3^{(n)}$  when  $n \geq 4$ .

Beardon [120] has investigated how vertices of small degree effects vertex-magic labelings. Let G(p,q) be a graph with a vertex-magic labeling with magic constant k and let  $d_0$  be the minimum degree of any vertex. He proves  $k \leq (1+d_0)(p+q-d_0/2)$  and  $q < (1+d_0)q$ . He also shows that if G(p,q) is a vertex-magic graph with a vertex of degree one and t is the number of vertices of degree at least two, then  $t > q/3 \geq (p-1)/3$ . Beardon [120] has shown that the graph obtained by attaching a pendant edge to  $K_n$  is vertex-magic if and only if n = 2, 3, or 4.

Balbuena et al. [89] call a vertex-magic total labeling of G(V, E) a strongly vertex-

magic total labeling if the vertex labels are  $\{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$ . They prove: the minimum degree of a strongly vertex-magic graph is at least 2; for a strongly vertex-magic graph G with n vertices and e edges if  $2e \ge \sqrt{10n^2 - 6n + 1}$  then the minimum degree of G is at least 3; and for a strongly vertex-magic graph G with n vertices and e edges if  $2e < \sqrt{10n^2 - 6n + 1}$  then the minimum degree of G is at most 6. They also provide stronly vertex-magic total labelings for certain families of circulant graphs.

Wood [750] generalizes vertex-magic and edge-magic labelings by requiring only that the labels be positive integers rather than consecutive positive integers. He gives upper bounds for the minimum values of the magic constant and the largest label for complete graphs, forests, and arbitrary graphs.

Exoo et al. [261] call a function  $\lambda$  a totally magic labeling of a graph G if  $\lambda$  is both an edge-magic and a vertex-magic labeling of G. A graph with such a labeling is called totally magic. Among their results are:  $P_3$  is the only connected totally magic graph that has a vertex of degree 1; the only totally magic graphs with a component  $K_1$  are  $K_1$  and  $K_1 \cup P_3$ ; the only totally magic complete graphs are  $K_1$  and  $K_3$ ; the only totally magic complete bipartite graph is  $K_{1,2}$ ;  $nK_3$  is totally magic if and only if n is odd;  $P_3 \cup nK_3$  is totally magic if and only if n is even. In [739] Wallis asks: Is the graph  $K_{1,m} \cup nK_3$  ever totally magic? That question was answered by Calhoun, Ferland, Lister, and Polhill [188] who proved that if  $K_{1,m} \cup nK_3$  is totally magic then m = 2 and  $K_{1,2} \cup nK_3$  is totally magic if and only if n is even.

McSorley and Wallis [507] examine the possible totally magic labelings of a union of an odd number of triangles and determine the spectrum of possible values for the sum of the label on a vertex and the labels on its incident edges and the sum of an edge label and the labels of the endpoints of the edge for all known totally magic graphs.

Swaminathan and Jeyanthi [702] call a vertex-magic labeling of a (p,q)-graph super vertex-magic if the edges are labeled  $1, 2, \ldots, q$  and the vertices are labeled  $q + 1, q + 2, \ldots, q + p$ . They prove the following graphs are super vertex-magic:  $P_n$  if and only if n is odd and  $n \geq 3$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if n is odd; the star graph if and only if it is  $P_2$ ; and  $mC_n$  if and only if m and n are odd. In [703] they prove the following: no super vertex-magic graph has two or more isolated vertices or an isolated edge; a tree with n internal edges and n leaves is not super vertex-magic if n if n is the largest degree of any vertex in a tree n with n vertices and n in a comb by appending a pendant edge to each vertex of degree 2 is super vertex-magic; the graph obtained by attaching a path with n edges to a vertex of an n-cycle is super vertex-magic if and only if n is odd.

MacDougall, Miller, and Sugeng [501] have shown that a (p,q)-graph that has a super vertex-magic total labeling with magic constant k satisfies the following conditions: k = (p+q)(p+q+1)/v - (v+1)/2;  $k \ge (41p+21)/18$ ; if G is connected,  $k \ge (7p-5)/2$ ; p divides q(q+1) if p is odd, and p divides 2q(q+1) if p is even; if G has even order either  $p=0 \pmod 8$  and q=0 or  $p=1 \pmod 8$  and  $p=1 \pmod 8$  implies  $p=1 \pmod 8$  implies  $p=1 \pmod 8$  and  $p=1 \pmod 8$  implies  $p=1 \pmod 8$  implies p=1

bipartite graph or graph with a vertex of degree 1 has a super vertex-magic total labeling. They conjecture that no tree has a super vertex-magic total labeling and that  $K_{4n}$  has a super vertex-magic labeling when n > 1.

In the following table we use the abbreviations

VMT vertex-magic total labeling

TM totally magic labeling

A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová and updated by J. Gallian in 2006.

Table 8: Summary of Vertex-magic Total Labelings

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$C_n$	VMT	[500]
$P_n$	VMT	n > 2 [500]
$K_{m,m}-e$	VMT	m > 2 [500]
$K_{m,n}$	VMT	iff $ m - n  \le 1$ [553],[500],[502]
$K_n$	VMT	for $n \text{ odd } [500]$ for $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}, n > 2 [475]$
Petersen $P(n,k)$	VMT	[87]
prisms $C_n \times P_2$	VMT	[655]
$W_n$	VMT	iff $n \le 11$ [500],[502]
$F_n$	VMT	iff $n \le 10$ [500],[502]
friendship graphs (see §5.3)	VMT	iff # of triangles $\leq 3$ [500],[502]
G+H	VMT	V(G)  =  V(H)  and $G \cup H$ is VMT [736]
unions of stars	VMT	[736]
tree with $n$ internal vertices and more than $2n$ leaves	not VMT	[736]
nG	VMT	$n$ odd, $G$ regular of even degree, VMT [737] $G$ is regular of odd degree, VMT, but not $K_1$ [737]

Table 8: Summary of Vertex-magic Total Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$C_n \times C_{2m+1}$	VMT	[273]
$K_5 \times C_{2n+1}$	VMT	[273]
$G \times C_{2n}$	VMT	G 2r + 1-regular VMT (see §5.3) [409]
$G \times K_5$	VMT	G $2r + 1$ -regular VMT (see §5.3) [409]
$G \times H$	VMT	G $r$ -regular VMT, $r$ odd or $r$ even and $ H $ odd, H $2s$ -regular supermagic [409]
$P_3$	TM	the only connected TM graph with vertex of degree 1 [261]
$K_n$	TM	iff $n = 1, 3$ [261]
$K_{m,n}$	TM	iff $K_{m,n} = K_{1,2}$ [261]
$nK_3$	TM	iff $n$ is odd [261]
$P_3 \cup nK_3$	TM	iff $n$ is even [261]

## 5.4 1-vertex magic vertex labeling

In 2001, Simanjuntak, Rodgers, and Miller [516] defined a 1-vertex magic vertex labeling of G(V, E) as a bijection from V to  $\{1, 2, ..., |V|\}$  with the property that there is a constant k such that at any vertex v the sum  $\sum f(u)$  taken over all neighbors of v is k. Among their results are:  $H \times \overline{K}_{2k}$  has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling for any regular graph H; the symmetric complete multipartite graph with p parts, each of which contains n vertices, has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if whenever n is odd, p is also odd;  $P_n$  has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if n = 1 or 3;  $C_n$  has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if n = 1; m0 has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if m1 at tree has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if m2 at tree has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if m3 and m4 at tree has a 1-vertex-magic vertex labeling if and only if m5 at the labeling if m6 and m7 and m8 at the labeling if and only if m9 at the labeling m9 at m9 and m9 at m9 at

In Table 8 we use the abbreviation 1VM for 1-vertex magic vertex labeling. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová.

Table 9:	Summary	of	1-vertex	Magic	Vertex	Labelings

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$H  imes \overline{K}_{2k}$	1VM	H is regular [516]
symmetric $K_{\underbrace{n,n,\ldots,n}_p}$	1VM	iff whenever $n$ is odd, $p$ is also odd [516]
$P_n$	1VM	iff $n = 1$ or $n = 3$ [516]
$C_n$	1VM	iff $n = 4$ [516]
$K_n$	1VM	iff $n = 1$ [516]
$W_n$	1VM	iff $n = 4$ [516]
tree $T$	1VM	iff $T = P_1$ or $P_3$ [516]
r-regular graph	not 1VM	$r  ext{ is odd } [516]$

## 5.5 Magic Labelings of Type (a, b, c)

A magic-type method for labeling the vertices, edges, and faces of a planar graph was introduced by Lih [473] in 1983. Lih defines a magic labeling of type (1,1,0) of a planar graph G(V,E) as an injective function from  $\{1,2,\ldots,|V|+|E|\}$  to  $V\cup E$  with the property that for each interior face the sum of the labels of the vertices and the edges surrounding that face is some fixed value. Similarly, Lih defines a magic labeling of type (1,1,1) of a planar graph G(V,E) with face set F as an injective function from  $\{1,2,\ldots,|V|+|E|+|F|\}$  to  $V\cup E\cup F$  with the property that for each interior face the sum of the labels of the face and the vertices and the edges surrounding that face is some fixed value. Lih calls a labeling involving the faces of a plane graph consecutive if for every integer s the weights of all s-sided faces constitute a set of consecutive integers. Lih gave consecutive magic labelings of type (1,1,0) for wheels, friendship graphs, prisms, and some members of the Platonic family. In [45] Bača shows that the cylinders  $C_n \times P_m$  have magic labelings of type (1,1,0) when  $m \geq 2, n \geq 3, n \neq 4$ . In [55] Bača proves that the generalized Petersen graph P(n,k) has a consecutive magic labeling if and only if n is even and at least 4 and  $k \leq n/2-1$ 

Bača gave magic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for fans [41], ladders [41], planar bipyramids (that is, 2-point suspensions of paths) [41], grids [48], hexagonal lattices [47], Möbius ladders [43], and  $P_n \times P_3$  [44]. Kathiresan and Ganesan [384] show that the graph  $P_{a,b}$  consisting of  $b \ge 2$  internally disjoint paths of length  $a \ge 2$  with common end points has a magic labeling of type (1, 1, 1) when b is odd, and when a = 2 and  $b \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ . They also show that  $P_{a,b}$  has a consecutive labeling of type (1, 1, 1) when b is even and  $a \ne 2$ .

Bača [42], [51], [49], [44], [50] and Bača and Holländer [70] gave magic labelings of type (1,1,1) and type (1,1,0) for certain classes of convex polytopes. Kathiresan and Gokulakrishnan [386] provided magic labelings of type (1,1,1) for the families of planar graphs with 3-sided faces, 5-sided faces, 6-sided faces, and one external infinite face. Bača [46] also provides consecutive and magic labelings of type (0,1,1) (that is, an injective function from  $\{1,2,\ldots,|E|+|F|\}$  to  $E \cup F$  with the property that for each interior face the sum of the labels of the face and the edges surrounding that face is some fixed value) and a consecutive labeling of type (1,1,1) for a kind of planar graph with hexagonal faces.

A magic labeling of type (1,0,0) of a planar graph G with vertex set V is an injective function from  $\{1,2,\ldots,|V|\}$  to V with the property that for each interior face the sum of the labels of the vertices surrounding that face is some fixed value. Kathiresan, Muthuvel, and Nagasubbu [387] define a lotus inside a circle as the graph obtained from the cycle with consecutive vertices  $a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_n$  and the star with central vertex  $b_0$  and end vertices  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$  by joining each  $b_i$  to  $a_i$  and  $a_{i+1}$   $(a_{n+1} = a_1)$ . They prove that these graphs  $(n \geq 5)$  and subdivisions of ladders have consecutive labelings of type (1,0,0). Devaraj [229] proves that graphs obtained by subdividing each edge of a ladder exactly the same number of times has a magic labeling of type (1,0,0).

Bača, Baskoro, Jendroľ, and Miller [62] investigated various d-antimagic labelings for graphs in the shape of hexagonal honeycombs. They use  $H_n^m$  to denote the honeycomb graph with m rows, n columns, and mn 6-sided faces. They prove: for n odd  $H_n^m$ , has

a 0-antimagic vertex labeling and a 2-antimagic edge labeling; if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{2}$  and mn > 1,  $H_n^m$  has a 1-antimagic face labeling; for n odd and mn > 1,  $H_n^m$  has d-antimagic labelings of type (1,1,1) for d=1,2,3 and d.

In the table below we use following abbreviations

 $\mathbf{M}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x},\mathbf{x})$  magic labeling of type (x,x,x)

CM(x,x,x) consecutive magic labeling of type (x,x,x)

A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová.

Table 10: Summary of Magic Labelings of Type (a,b,c)

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$W_n$	CM(1,1,0)	[473]
friendship graphs	CM(1,1,0)	[473]
prisms	CM(1,1,0)	[473]
cylinders $C_n \times P_m$	M(1,1,0)	$m \ge 2, n \ge 3, n \ne 4$ [45]
fans $F_n$	M(1,1,1)	[41]
ladders	M(1,1,1)	[41]
planar bipyramids (see §5.3)	M(1,1,1)	[41]
grids	M(1,1,1)	[48]
hexagonal lattices	M(1,1,1)	[47]
Möbius ladders	M(1,1,1)	[43]
$P_n \times P_3$	M(1,1,1)	[44]
certain classes of convex polytopes	M(1,1,1) M(1,1,0)	[42], [51], [49], [44] [50], [70]
certain classes of planar graphs with hexagonal faces	$ \begin{array}{c} M(0,1,1) \\ CM(0,1,1) \\ CM(1,1,1) \end{array} $	[46]
lotus inside a circle (see §5.3)	CM(1,0,0)	$n \ge 5 \ [387]$
subdivisions of ladders	M(1,0,0) $CM(1,0,0)$	[229] [387]

## 5.6 Other Types of Magic Labelings

For any nontrivial Abelian group A under addition a graph G is said to be A-magic if there exists a labeling f of the edges of G with the nonzero elements of A such that the vertex labeling  $f^+$  defined by  $f^+(v) = \sum f(vu)$  over all edges vu is a constant. Shiu, Lam, and Sun [634] have shown the following: the union of two edge-disjoint A-magic graphs with the same vertex set is A-magic; the Cartesian product of two A-magic graphs is A-magic; the lexicographic product of two A-magic connected graphs is A-magic; for an Abelian group A of even order a graph is A-magic if and only if the degrees of all of its vertices have the same parity; if G and H are connected and A-magic, G composed with G is G in a G

In [441] Lee, Saba, Salehi, and Sun investigate graphs that are A-magic where  $A = V_4 \approx Z_2 \oplus Z_2$  is the Klein four-group. Many of theorems are special cases of the results of Shiu, Lam, and Sun [634] given in the previous paragraph. They also prove the following are  $V_4$ -magic: a tree if and only if every vertex has odd degree; the star  $K_{1,n}$  if and only if n is odd;  $K_{m,n}$  for all  $m, n \geq 2$ ;  $K_n - e$  (edge deleted  $K_n$ ) when n > 3; even cycles with k pendent edges if and only if k is even; odd cycles with k pendent edges if and only if k is odd; wheels;  $C_n + \overline{K_2}$ ; generalized theta graphs; flowers graphs  $C_m@C_n$ ; graphs that are copies of  $C_n$  that share a common edge; and  $G + \overline{K_2}$  whenever G is  $V_4$ -magic.

Low and Lee [493] have shown that if a graph is  $A_1$ -magic then it is  $A_2$ -magic for any subgroup  $A_2$  of  $A_1$  and for any nontrivial Abelian group A every Eulerian graph of even size is A-magic. For a connected graph G, Low and Lee define T(G) to be the graph obtained from G by adding a disjoint uv path of length 2 for every pair of adjacent vertices u and v. They prove that for every finite nontrivial Abelian group A the graphs  $T(P_{2k})$  and  $T(K_{1,2n+1})$  are A-magic.

In [184] Cahit says that a graph G(p,q) is total magic cordial (TMC) provided there is a mapping f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  to  $\{0,1\}$  such that f(a) + f(b) + f(ab) is a constant modulo 2 for all edges  $ab \in E(G)$  and  $|f(0) - f(1)| \le 1$  where f(0) denotes the sum of the number of vertices labeled with 0 and the number of edges labeled with 0 and f(1) denotes the sum of the number of vertices labeled with 1 and the number of edges labeled with 1. He says a graph G is total sequential cordial (TSC) if there is a mapping f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  to  $\{0,1\}$  such that for each edge e = ab with f(e) = |f(a) - f(b)| it is true that  $|f(0) - f(1)| \le 1$  where f(0) denotes the sum of the number of vertices labeled with 0 and the number of edges labeled with 1 and the number of edges labeled with 1. He proves that the following graphs have a TMC labeling:  $K_{m,n}$  (m, n > 1), trees, cordial graphs,  $K_n$  if and only if n = 2, 3, 5, or 6. He also proves that the following graphs have a TSC labeling:

trees; cycles; complete bipartite graphs; friendship graphs; cordial graphs; cubic graphs other than  $K_4$ ; wheels  $W_n$  (n > 3);  $K_{4k+1}$  if and only if  $k \ge 1$  and  $\sqrt{k}$  is an integer;  $K_{4k+2}$  if and only  $\sqrt{4k+1}$  is an integer;  $K_{4k}$  if and only if  $\sqrt{4k+1}$  is an integer; and  $K_{4k+3}$  if and only if  $\sqrt{k+1}$  is an integer.

An edge-magic total labeling of a graph G(V, E) is said to be an a-consecutive edge magic labeling if the vertex labels are  $\{a+1, a+2, \ldots, a+|V|\}$  and a b-consecutive edge magic labeling if the edge labels are  $\{b+1, b+2, \ldots, b+|E|\}$ . Sugeng and Miller [681] have proved that if a graph with e edges has an a-consecutive edge magic labeling, and  $a \neq 0$  or e, then it is disconnected, and that if a connected graph with e vertices has a b-consecutive edge magic labeling with e it is a tree.

Balbuena, Barker, Lin, Miller, and Sugeng [96] call a vertex-magic total labeling of a graph G(V, E) an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling if the vertex labels are  $\{a+1, a+2, \ldots, a+|V|\}$  where  $0 \le a \le |E|$ . They prove: if a tree of order n has an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling then n is odd and a=n-1; if G has an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling with n vertices and e=n edges, then n is odd and if G has minimum degree 1, then a=(n+1)/2 or a=n; if G has an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling with n vertices and e edges such that  $2a \le e$  and  $2e \ge \sqrt{6}n-1$ , then the minimum degree of G is at least 2; if a 2-regular graph of order n has an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling then n is odd and a=0 or n; and if a r-regular graph of order n has an a-vertex consecutive magic labeling then n and n have opposite parities.

Balbuena et al. also call a vertex-magic total labeling of a graph G(V, E) a b-edge consecutive magic labeling if the edge labels are  $\{b+1, b+2, \ldots, b+|E|\}$ . where  $0 \le b \le |V|\}$ . They prove: if G has n vertices and e edges and has a b-edge consecutive magic labeling and one isolated vertex, then b=0  $(n-1)^2+n^2=(2e+1)^2$ ; if a tree with odd order has a b-edge consecutive magic labeling then b=0; if a tree with even order has a b-edge consecutive magic labeling then it is  $P_4$ ; a graph with n vertices and e edges such that  $e \ge 7n/4$  and  $b \ge n/4$  and a b-edge consecutive magic labeling has minimum degree 2; if a 2-regular graph of order n has a b-edge consecutive magic labeling then n is odd and b=0 or b=n; and if a r-regular graph of order n has an b-edge consecutive magic labeling then n and n have opposite parities.

## 5.7 Antimagic Labelings

Bača, et al. [67] introduced the notion of a (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling in 2000. For a graph G(V,E), an injective mapping f from  $V \cup E$  to the set  $\{1,2,\ldots,|V|+|E|\}$  is a (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling if the set  $\{f(v)+\sum f(vu)\}$  where the sum is over all vertices u adjacent to v for all v in G is  $\{a,a+d,a+2d,\ldots,a+(|V|-1)d\}$ . In the case where the vertex labels are  $1,2,\ldots,|V|,(a,d)$ -vertex-antimagic total labeling is called a super (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling. Among their results are: every super-magic graph has an (a,1)-vertex-antimagic total labeling; every (a,d)-antimagic graph G(V,E) is (a+|E|+1,d+1)-vertex-antimagic total; and, for d>1, every (a,d)-antimagic graph G(V,E) is (a+|V|+|E|,d-1)-vertex-antimagic total. They also show that paths and cycles have (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labelings for a wide variety of a and d. In [68]

Bača et al. use their results in [67] to obtain numerous (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labelings for prisms, and generalized Petersen graphs. (See also [73] and [683] for more results on generalized Petersen graphs.) Sugeng, Miller, Lin, and Bača [683] prove:  $C_n$  has a super (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 0 or 2 and n is odd, or d = 1;  $P_n$  has a super (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 2 and  $n \geq 3$  is odd, or d = 3 and  $n \geq 3$ ; no even order tree has a super (a, 1)-vertex antimagic total labeling; no cycle with at least one tail and an even number of vertices has a super (a, 1)-vertex-antimagic labeling; and the star  $S_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , has no super (a, d)-super antimagic labeling. As open problems they ask whether  $K_{n,n}$  has a super (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling and the generalized Petersen graph has a super (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling for specific values n, m, and d, Lin, Miller, Simanjuntak, and Slamin [476] have shown that for n > 20,  $W_n$  has no (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling. Tezer and Cahit [710] proved that neither  $P_n$  nor  $C_n$  has (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labelings for  $a \geq 3$  and  $a \geq 6$ .

In [543] Ngurah, Baskova, and Simanjuntak provide (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labelings for the generalized Petersen graphs P(n,m) for the cases:  $n \geq 3, \ 1 \leq m \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ , (a,d) = (8n+3,2); odd  $n \geq 5, \ m=2, \ (a,d) = ((15n+5)/2,1)$ ; odd  $n \geq 5, \ m=2, \ (a,d) = ((21n+5)/2,1)$ ; odd  $n \geq 7, \ m=3, \ (a,d) = ((21n+5)/2,1)$ ; odd  $n \geq 7, \ m=3, \ (a,d) = ((21n+5)/2,1)$ ; odd  $n \geq 9, \ m=4, \ (a,d) = ((15n+5)/2,1)$ ; and (a,d) = ((21n+5)/2,1). They conjecture that for n odd and  $1 \leq m \leq \lfloor (m-1)/2 \rfloor$ , P(n,m) has an ((21n+5)/2,1)-vertex-antimagic labeling.

Simanjuntak, Bertault, and Miller [638] define an (a,d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling for a graph G(V, E) as an injective mapping f from V onto the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |V|\}$  such that the set  $\{f(u)+f(v)|uv\in E\}$  is  $\{a,a+d,a+2d,\ldots,a+(|E|-1)d\}$ . (The equivalent notion of (a,d)-indexable labeling was defined by Hegde in 1989 in his Ph. D. thesis-see [336].) Similarly, Simanjuntak et al. define an (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling for a graph G(V, E) as an injective mapping f from  $V \cup E$  onto the set  $\{1, 2, \dots, |V| + |E|\}$ such that the set  $\{f(v) + f(vu) + f(v) | uv \in E\}$  where v ranges over all of V is  $\{a, a + u\}$  $d, a + 2d, \ldots, a + (|V| - 1)d$ . Among their results are:  $C_{2n}$  has no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling;  $C_{2n+1}$  has a (n+2,1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling and a (n+3,1)edge-antimagic vertex labeling;  $P_{2n}$  has a (n+2,1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling;  $P_n$  has a (3,2)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling;  $C_n$  has (2n+2,1)- and (3n+2,1)-edge-antimagic total labelings;  $C_{2n}$  has (4n+2,2)- and (4n+3,2)-edge-antimagic total labelings;  $C_{2n+1}$ has (3n+4,3)- and (3n+5,3)-edge-antimagic total labelings;  $P_{2n+1}$  has (3n+4,2)-, (3n+4,3)-, (2n+4,4)-, (5n+4,2)-, (3n+5,2)-, and (2n+6,4)-edge-antimagic total labelings;  $P_{2n}$  has (6n, 1)- and (6n+2, 2)-edge-antimagic total labelings; and several parity conditions for (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings. They conjecture:  $C_{2n}$  has a (2n+3, 4)or a (2n+4,4)-edge-antimagic total labeling;  $C_{2n+1}$  has a (n+4,5)- or a (n+5,5)edge-antimagic total labeling; paths have no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labelings with d > 2; and cycles have no (a, d)-antimagic total labelings with d > 5. These last two conjectures were verified by Bača, Lin, Miller, and Simanjuntak [78] who proved that a graph with v vertices and e edges that has an (a,d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling must satisfy  $d(e-1) \leq 2v-1-a \leq 2v-4$ . As a consequence, they obtain: for every path there is

no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling with d > 2; for every cycle there is no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling with d > 1; for  $K_n$  (n > 1) there is no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling (the cases for n = 2 and n = 3 are handled individually);  $K_{n,n}$  (n > 3) has no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling; for every wheel there is no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling; for every generalized Petersen graph there is no (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling with d > 1. They also study the relationship between graphs with (a, d)-edge-antimagic labelings and magic and antimagic labelings. They conjecture that every tree has an (a, 1)-edge-antimagic total labeling. Ngurah [541] proved that every odd cycle  $C_{2k+1}$  has a (4k+4, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling and a (4k+5, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling.

Bača, Lin, Miller, and Ryan [79] define a Möbius grid,  $M_n^m$ , as the graph with vertex set  $\{x_{i,j} \text{ for } i=1,2,\ldots,m+1,j=1,2,\ldots,n\}$  and edge set  $\{x_{i,j}x_{i,j+1}|\ i=1,2,\ldots,m+1,j=1,2,\ldots,n\}\cup\{x_{i,j}x_{i+1,j}|\ i=1,2,\ldots,m,\ j=1,2,\ldots,n\}\cup\{x_{i,n}x_{m+2-i,1}|\ i=1,2,\ldots,m+1\}$ . They prove: for  $n\geq 2$  and  $m\geq 4$ ,  $M_n^m$  has no d-antimagic vertex labeling with  $d\geq 5$  and no d-antimagic-edge labeling with  $d\geq 9$ ; and for odd  $n\geq 3$ ,  $m\geq 1$  and d=0,1,2 or 4,  $M_n^m$  has an d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1).

In [679] Sudarsana, Ismaimuza, Baskoro, and Assiyatun prove: for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $P_n \cup$  $P_{n+1}$  has a (6n+1,1)- and a (4n+3,3)-edge-antimagic total labeling, for every odd  $n \geq 3$ ,  $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$  has a (6n, 1)- and a (5n + 1, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling, for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $nP_2 \cup P_n$  has a (7n, 1)- and a (6n + 1, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling. In [678] the same authors show that  $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ ,  $nP_2 \cup P_n$   $(n \ge 2)$ , and  $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$  are super edgemagic total. They also show that under certain conditions one can construct new super edge-magic total graphs from existing ones by joining a particular vertex of the existing super edge-magic total graph to every vertex in a path or every vertex of a star and by joining one extra vertex to some vertices of the existing graph. Baskoro, Sudarsana, and Cholily [116] also provide algorithms for constructing new super edge-magic total graphs from existing ones by adding pendant vertices to the existing graph. A corollary to one of their results is that the graph obtained by attaching a fixed number of pandant edges to each vertex of a path of even length is super edge-magic. Baskoro and Cholily [114] show that the graphs obtained by attaching any numbers of pendant edges to a single vertex or a fix number of pendant edges to every vertex of the following graphs are super edge-magic total graphs: odd cycles, the generalized Petersen graphs P(n,2) (n odd and at least 5), and  $C_n \times P_m$  (n odd,  $m \ge 2$ ).

An (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling of G(V, E) is called a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic if the vertex labels are  $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V(G)|\}$  and the edge labels are  $\{|V(G)| + 1, |V(G)| + 2, \ldots, |V(G)| + |E(G)|\}$ . Bača, Baskoro, Simanjuntak, and Sugeng [66] prove the following:  $C_n$  has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if either d is 0 or 2 and n is odd, or d = 1; for odd  $n \geq 3$  and m = 1 or 2, the generalized Petersen graph P(n, m) has a super (11n + 3)/2, 0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and a super ((5n + 5)/2, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling; for odd  $n \geq 3$ , P(n, (n - 1)/2) has a super ((11n + 3)/2, 0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and a super ((5n + 5)/2, 2)-edge-antimagic total labeling. They also prove: if  $P(n, m), n \geq 3, 1 \leq m \leq \lfloor (n - 1)/2 \rfloor$  is super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total, then (a, d) = (4n + 2, 1) if n is even, and either

(a,d)=((11n+3)/2,0) or (a,d)=(4n+2,1), or (a,d)=((5n+5)/2,2), if n is odd; and for odd  $n\geq 3$  and m=1,2, or (n-1)/2, P(n,m) has an (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and an (a,2)-edge-antimagic total labeling. They conjecture that for odd  $n\geq 9$  and  $3\leq m\leq (n-3)/2$ , P(n,m) has a (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and an (a,2)-edge-antimagic total labeling. Ngurah and Baskoro [542] have shown that for odd  $n\geq 3$ , P(n,1) and P(n,2) have ((5n+5)/2,2)-edge-antimagic total labelings and when  $n\geq 3$  and  $1\leq m< n/2$ , P(n,m) has a super (4n+2,1)-edge-antimagic total labeling. In [543] Ngurah, Baskova, and Simanjuntak provide (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labelings for the generalized Petersen graphs P(n,m) for the cases m=1 or 2, odd  $n\geq 3$ , and (a,d)=((9n+5)/2,2).

Bača and Barrientos [58] have shown that  $mK_n$  has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if (i)  $d \in \{0, 2\}$ ,  $n \in \{2, 3\}$  and  $m \geq 3$  is odd, or (ii) d = 1,  $n \geq 2$  and  $m \geq 2$ , or (iii)  $d \in \{3, 5\}$ , n = 2 and  $m \geq 2$ , or (iv) d = 4, n = 2, and  $m \geq 3$  is odd. Bača and Barrientos [57] proved the following: if a graph with q edges and q + 1 vertices has an  $\alpha$ -labeling than it has an (a, 1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling; a tree has a (3, 2)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling if and only if it has an  $\alpha$ -labeling and the number of vertices in its two partite sets differ by at most 1; if a tree with at least two vertices has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling then d is at most 3; if a graph has an (a, 1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling, then it also has a super  $(a_1, 0)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling and a super  $(a_2, 2)$ -edge-antimagic total labeling.

In [679] Sudarsana, Ismaimuza, Baskoro, and Assiyatun prove: for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$  has super (n+4,1)- and (2n+6,3)-edge antimagic total labelings; for every odd  $n \geq 3$ ,  $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$  has super (4n+5,1)-,(3n+6,2)-, (4n+3,1)- and (3n+4,2)-edge antimagic total labelings; for every  $n \geq 2$ ,  $nP_2 \cup P_n$  has super (6n+2,1)- and (5n+3,2)-edge antimagic total labelings; and for every  $n \geq 1$ ,  $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$  has super (6n+6,1)- and (5n+6,2)-edge antimagic total labelings. They propose a number of open problems about constructing (a,d)-edge antimagic labelings and super (a,d)-edge antimagic labelings for the graphs  $P_n \cup P_{n+1}$ ,  $nP_2 \cup P_n$ , and  $nP_2 \cup P_{n+2}$  for specific values of d.

In [80] Bača, Lin, Miller, and Youssef prove: if the friendship  $F_n$  is super (a, d)-antimagic total, then d < 3;  $F_n$  has an (a, 1)-edge antimagic vertex labeling if and only if n = 1, 3, 4, 5 and 7;  $F_n$  has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings for d = 0 and 2;  $F_n$  has a super (a, 1)-edge-antimagic total labeling; if a fan  $f_n$   $(n \ge 2)$  has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if  $2 \le n \le 6$  and d = 0, 1 or 2; the wheel  $W_n$  has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 1 and  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;  $K_n$ ,  $(n \ge 3)$ , has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if either d = 0 and n = 3, or d = 1 and  $n \ge 3$ , or d = 2 and n = 3;  $K_{n,n}$  has a super (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 1 and d = 1

Bača, Lin, and Muntaner-Batle [81] have shown that if a tree with at least two vertices has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling, then d is at most three and  $P_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 0, 1, 2, or 3. They also characterize certain path-like graphs in a grid that have super(a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings.

Recall that  $C_n^t$  denotes the graph obtained from the n-cycle by joining two vertices at a distance t. MacDougall and Wallis [503] have proved the following:  $C_{4m+3}^t$ ,  $m \geq 1$ , has a super (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all possible values of t with a=10m+9 or 10m+10;  $C_{4m+1}^t$ ,  $m\geq 3$ , has a super (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all possible values of t=5,9,4m-4, and 4m-8 with a=10m+4 and 10m+5;  $C_{4m+1}^t$ ,  $m\geq 1$ , has a super (10m+4,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all  $t\equiv 1\pmod 4$  except 4m-3;  $C_{4m}^t$ ,  $m\geq 1$ , has a super (10m+2,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all  $t\equiv 2\pmod 4$ ;  $C_{4m+2}^t$ ,  $m\geq 4$ , has a super (10m+7,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all  $t\equiv 3\pmod 4$  and for t=2 or 6.

Bača and Murugan [88] have proved: if  $C_n^t$ ,  $n \geq 4, 2 \leq t \leq n-2$ , is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total, then d=0,1, or 2; for  $n=2k+1\geq 5$ ,  $C_n^t$  has a super (a,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all possible values of t with a=5k+4 or 5k+5; for  $n=2k+1\geq 5$ ,  $C_n^t$  has a super (a,2)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all possible values of t with a=3k+3 or 3k+4; for  $n\equiv 0\pmod 4$ ,  $C_n^t$  has a super (5n/2+2,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and a super (3n/2+2,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all  $t\equiv 2\pmod 4$ ; for n=10 and  $n\equiv 2\pmod 4$ ,  $n\geq 18$ ,  $C_n^t$  has a super (5n/2+2,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling and a super (3n/2+2,0)-edge-antimagic total labeling for all  $t\equiv 3\pmod 4$  and for t=2 and 6; for odd  $t\geq 5$ , t=2 has a super t=2 has a super

In [682] Sugeng, Miller, and Bača prove that the ladder,  $P_n \times P_2$ , is super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total if n is odd and d = 0, 1, or 2 and  $P_n \times P_2$  is super (a, 1)-antimagic total if n is even. They conjecture that  $P_n \times P_2$  is super (a, 0)- and (a, 2)-edge-antimagic when n is even. They define a variation of a ladder,  $\mathbb{L}_n$ , as the graph obtained from  $P_n \times P_2$  by joining each vertex  $u_i$  of one path to the vertex  $v_{i+1}$  of the other path for  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n-1$ . They prove  $\mathbb{L}_n$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if d = 0, 1, or 2.

The generalized antiprism  $A_m^n$  is obtained from  $C_m \times P_n$  by inserting the edges  $\{v_{i,j+1},v_{i+1,j}\}$  for  $1 \leq i \leq m$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n-1$  where the subscripts are taken modulo m. Sugeng, Miller, and Bača [682] also prove that  $C_m \times P_2$  has a super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling if and only if either d=0,1 or 2 and m is odd and at least 3, or d=1 and m is even and at least 4; and  $A_m^n$ ,  $m \geq 3$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total if and only if d=1. They conjecture that if m is even,  $m \geq 4$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , and d=0 or 2, then  $C_m \times P_n$  has a super (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling.

Sugeng, Miller, Slamin, and Bača [685] proved: the star  $S_n$  has a super (a, d)-antimagic total labeling if and only if either d = 0, 1 or 2, or d = 3 and n = 1 or 2; if a nontrivial caterpillar has a super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labeling, then  $d \leq 3$ ; all caterpillars have super (a, 0)-, (a, 1)- and (a, 2)-edge-antimagic total labelings; all caterpillars have a super (a, 1)-edge-antimagic total labeling; if m and n differ by at least 2 the double star  $S_{m,n}$  has no (a, 3)-edge-antimagic total labeling.

Sugeng and Miller [680] show how to manipulate adjaceny matrices of graphs with (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labelings and super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings to obtain new (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex labelings and super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings. Among their results are: every graph can be embedded in a connected (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex graph; every (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex graph has a proper (a, d)-edge-antimagic vertex subgraph; if a graph has a (a, 1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling and an odd number of edges then it has a super (a, 1)-edge-antimagic total labeling; every super edge magic total graph has an (a, 1)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling; and every graph can be embedded in a connected super (a, d)-edge-antimagic total graph.

In [65] Bača et al. provide detailed survey of results on edge antimagic labelings and include many conjectures and open problems.

Hartsfield and Ringel [327] introduced antimagic graphs in 1990. A graph with q edges is called *antimagic* if its edges can be labeled with 1, 2, ..., q such that the sums of the labels of the edges incident to each vertex are distinct. Among the graphs they prove are antimagic are [327]:  $P_n$  ( $n \ge 3$ ), cycles, wheels, and  $K_n$  ( $n \ge 3$ ). T. Wang [742] has shown that the toroidal grids  $C_{n_1} \times C_{n_2} \times \cdots \times C_{n_k}$  are antimagic and, more generally, graphs of the form  $G \times C_n$  are antimagic if G is an r-regular antimagic graph with r > 1. Cheng [210] proved that  $C_m \times P_n$  and  $P_m \times P_n$  are antimagic.

Hartsfield and Ringel conjecture that every tree except  $P_2$  is antimagic and, moreover, every connected graph except  $P_2$  is antimagic. Alon, Kaplan, Lev, Roditty, and Yuster [26] use probabilistic methods and analytic number theory to show that this conjecture is true for all graphs with n vertices and minimum degree  $\Omega(\log n)$ . They also prove that if G is a graph with  $n \geq 4$  vertices and  $\Delta(G) \geq n-2$ , then G is antimagic and all complete partite graphs except  $K_2$  are antimagic.

The concept of an (a, d)-antimagic labelings was introduced by Bodendiek and Wagner [151] in 1993. A connected graph G = (V, E) is said to be (a, d)-antimagic if there exist positive integers a, d and a bijection  $f: E \to \{1, 2, \dots, |E|\}$  such that the induced mapping  $g_f: V \to N$ , defined by  $g_f(v) = \sum \{f(uv) | uv \in E(G)\}$ , is injective and  $g_f(V) = \{a, a + a\}$  $d, \ldots, a + (|V| - 1)d$ . (In [476] these are called (a, d)-vertex-antimagic edge labelings). They ([153] and [154]) prove the Herschel graph is not (a, d)-antimagic and obtain both positive and negative results about (a, d)-antimagic labelings for various cases of graphs called parachutes  $P_{g,b}$ .  $(P_{g,b})$  is the graph obtained from the wheel  $W_{g+p}$  by deleting p consecutive spokes.) In [69] Bača and Holländer prove that necessary conditions for  $C_n \times P_2$  to be (a,d)-antimagic are d=1, a=(7n+4)/2 or d=3, a=(3n+6)/2 when n is even, and d=2, a=(5n+5)/2 or d=4, a=(n+7)/2 when n is odd. Bodendiek and Walther [152] conjectured that  $C_n \times P_2$   $(n \ge 3)$  is ((7n+4)/2, 1)-antimagic when n is even and is ((5n+5)/2, 2)-antimagic when n is odd. These conjectures were verified by Bača and Holländer [69] who further proved that  $C_n \times P_2$   $(n \ge 3)$  is ((3n+6)/2,3)-antimagic when n is even. Bača and Holländer [69] conjecture that  $C_n \times P_2$  is ((n+7)/2,4)antimagic when n is odd and at least 7. Bodendiek and Walther [152] also conjectured that  $C_n \times P_2$   $(n \ge 7)$  is ((n+7)/2,4)-antimagic. Bača and Holländer [71] prove that the generalized Petersen graph P(n,2) is ((3n+6)/2,3)-antimagic for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \geq 8$  (see §2.7 for the definition of P(n,2)). Bodendiek and Walther [155] proved that

the following graphs are not (a, d)-antimagic: even cycles; paths of even order; stars;  $C_3^{(k)}; C_4^{(k)};$  trees of odd order at least 5 that have a vertex that is adjacent to three or more end vertices; n-ary trees with at least two layers when  $d=1; K_{3,3};$  the Petersen graph; and  $K_4$ . They also prove:  $P_{2k+1}$  is (k,1)-antimagic;  $C_{2k+1}$  is (k+2,1)-antimagic; if a tree of odd order 2k+1 (k>1) is (a,d)-antimagic, then d=1 and a=k; if  $K_{4k}$   $(k\geq 2)$  is (a,d)-antimagic, then d is even and  $d \leq (2k+1)(4k-1)+1;$  and if  $K_{2k+1}$   $(k\geq 2)$  is (a,d)-antimagic, then  $d \leq (2k+1)(k-1)$ . Lin, Miller, Simanjuntak, and Slamin [476] show that no wheel  $W_n$  (n>3) has an (a,d)-antimagic labeling.

Yegnanarayanan [774] introduced several variations of antimagic labelings and provides some results about them.

The antiprism on 2n vertices has vertex set  $\{x_{1,1}, \ldots, x_{1,n}, x_{2,1}, \ldots, x_{2,n}\}$  and edge set  $\{x_{j,i}, x_{j,i+1}\} \cup \{x_{1,i}, x_{2,i}\} \cup \{x_{1,i}, x_{2,i-1}\}$  (subscripts are taken modulo n). For  $n \geq 3$  and  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  Bača [53] gives (6n+3,2)-antimagic labelings and (4n+4,4)-antimagic labelings for the antiprism on 2n vertices. He conjectures that for  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ,  $n \geq 6$ , the antiprism on 2n vertices has a (6n+3,2)-antimagic labeling and a (4n+4,4)-antimagic labeling.

Nicholas, Somasundaram, and Vilfred [545] prove the following: If  $K_{m,n}$  where  $m \leq n$  is (a,d)-antimagic then d divides ((m-n)(2a+d(m+n-1)))/4+dmn/2; if m+n is prime, then  $K_{m,n}$  where n>m>1 is not (a,d)-antimagic; if  $K_{n,n+2}$  is (a,d)-antimagic, then d is even and  $n+1\leq d<(n+1)^2/2$ ; if  $K_{n,n+2}$  is (a,d)-antimagic and n is even, then d divides 2a; if  $K_{n,n}$  is (a,d)-antimagic, then n and d are even and  $0 < d < n^2/2$ ; if G has order n and is unicylic and (a,d)-antimagic, then (a,d)=(2,2) when n is even and (a,d)=(2,2) or (a,d)=((n+3)/2,1) when n is odd; a cycle with m pendant edges attached at each vertex is (a,d)-antimagic if and only if m=1; the graph obtained by joining an endpoint of  $P_m$  with one vertex of the cycle  $C_n$  is (2,2)-antimagic if m=n or m=n-1; if m+n is even the graph obtained by joining an endpoint of  $P_m$  with one vertex of the cycle  $C_n$  is (a,d)-antimagic if and only if m=n or m=n-1. They conjecture that for n odd and at least a0, a1, a2, a3, a3, a4, a4, a5, a5, a6, a6, a7, a8, a9, a

In [727] Vilfred and Florida proved the following: the one-sided infinite path is (1, 2)-antimagic,  $P_{2n}$  is not (a, d)-antimagic for any a and d,  $P_{2n+1}$  is (a, d)-antimagic if and only if (a, d) = (n, 1),  $C_{2n+1}$  has an (n + 2, 1)-antimagic labeling, and that a 2-regular graph G is (a, d)-antimagic if and only if |V(G)| = 2n + 1 and (a, d) = (n + 2, 1). They also prove that for a graph with an (a, d)-antimagic labeling, q edges, minimum degree  $\delta$  and maximum degree  $\Delta$ , the vertex labels lie between  $\delta(\delta + 1)/2$  and  $\Delta(2q - \Delta + 1)/2$ .

In [728] Vilfred and Florida call a graph G = (V, E) odd antimagic if there exist a bijection  $f: E \to \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2|E|-1\}$  such that the induced mapping  $g_f: V \to N$ , defined by  $g_f(v) = \sum \{f(uv)| uv \in E(G)\}$ , is injective and odd (a, d)-antimagic if there exist positive integers a, d and a bijection  $f: E \to \{1, 3, 5, \dots, 2|E|-1\}$  such that the induced mapping  $g_f: V \to N$ , defined by  $g_f(v) = \sum \{f(uv)| uv \in E(G)\}$ , is injective and  $g_f(V) = \{a, a + d, a + 2d, \dots, a + (|V|-1)d\}$ . Although every (a, d)-antimagic

graph is antimagic,  $C_4$  has an antimagic labeling but does not have an (a, d)-antingic labeling. They prove:  $P_{2n+1}$  is not odd (a, d)-antimagic for any a and d,  $C_{2n+1}$  has an odd (2n+2,2)-antimagic labeling, if a 2-regular graph G has an odd (a, d)-antimagic labeling then |V(G)| = 2n+1 and (a, d) = (2n+2, 2),  $C_{2n}$  is odd magic, and an odd magic graph with at least three vertices, minimum degree  $\delta$ , maximum degree  $\Delta$ , and  $q \geq 2$  edges has all its vertex labels between  $\delta^2$  and  $\Delta(2q-\Delta)$ .

Hefetz [334] calls a graph with q edges k-antimagic if its edges can be labeled with  $1, 2, \ldots, q + k$  such that the sums of the labels of the edges incident to each vertex are distinct. In particular, antimagic is the same as 0-antimagic. More generally, given a weight function  $\omega$  from the verticies to the natural numbers Hefetz calls a graph with q edges  $(\omega, k)$ -antimagic if its edges can be labeled with  $1, 2, \ldots, q + k$  such that the sums of the labels of the edges incident to each vertex and the weight assigned to each vertex by  $\omega$  are distinct. In particular, antimagic is the same as  $(\omega, 0)$ -antimagic where  $\omega$  is the zero function. Using Alon's combinatorial nullstellensatz [25] as his main tool, Hefetz has proved the following: a graph with  $3^m$  vertices and a  $K_3$  factor is antimagic; a graph with q edges and at most one isolated vertex and no isolated edges is  $(\omega, 2q - 4)$ -antimagic; a graph with p > 2 vertices that admits a 1-factor is (p-2)-antimagic; a graph with p vertices and maximum degree p - k, where  $p \ge 3$  is any function of p is  $p \ge 3$  is any function of p is  $p \ge 3$  is and in the case that  $p \ge 3$ , is  $p \ge 3$  is any function of p is  $p \ge 3$ .

Bača [52] defines a connected plane graph G with edge set E and face set F to be (a, d)face antimagic if there exist positive integers a and d and a bijection  $g: E \to \{1, 2, \ldots, |E|\}$ such that the induced mapping  $\psi_g: F \to \{a, a+d, \ldots, a+(|F(G)|-1)d\}$  is also a bijection
where for a face f,  $\psi_g(f)$  is the sum of all g(e) for all edges e surrounding f. Bača [52]
and Bača and Miller [84] describe (a, d)-face antimagic labelings for a certain classes of
convex polytopes.

In [83] Bača and Miller define the class  $Q_n^m$  of convex polytopes with vertex set  $\{y_{j,i}:i=1,2,\ldots,n;j=1,2,\ldots,m+1\}$  and edge set  $\{y_{j,i}y_{j,i+1}:i=1,2,\ldots,n;j=1,2,\ldots,m+1\}$   $\cup$   $\{y_{j,i}y_{j+1,i}:i=1,2,\ldots,n;j=1,2,\ldots,m\}$   $\cup$   $\{y_{j,i}y_{j+1,i}:i=1,2,\ldots,n;j=1,2,\ldots,m\}$  where  $y_{j,n+1}:i=1,2,\ldots,m$ ,  $y_{j}=1,2,\ldots,m$ ,

In [54] Bača proves that for n even and at least 4, the prism  $C_n \times P_2$  is (6n+3,2)-face antimagic and (4n+4,4)-face antimagic. He also conjectures that  $C_n \times P_2$  is (2n+5,6)-face antimagic. In [75] Bača, Lin, and Miller investigate (a,d)-face antimagic labelings of the convex polytopes  $P_{m+1} \times C_n$ . They show that if these graphs are (a,d)-face antimagic then either d=2 and a=3n(m+1)+3, or d=4 and a=2n(m+1)+4, or d=6 and

a=n(m+1)+5. They also prove that if n is even,  $n \geq 4$  and  $m \equiv 1 \pmod 4$ ,  $m \geq 3$ , then  $P_{m+1} \times C_n$  has a (3n(m+1)+3,2)-face antimagic labeling and if n is at least 4 and even and m is at least 3 and odd, or if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ ,  $n \geq 6$  and m is even,  $m \geq 4$ , then  $P_{m+1} \times C_n$  has a (3n(m+1)+3,2)-face antimagic labeling and a (2n(m+1)+4,4)-face antimagic labeling. They conjecture that  $P_{m+1} \times C_n$  has (3n(m+1)+3,2)- and (2n(m+1)+4,4)-face antimagic labelings when  $m \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ ,  $n \geq 4$  and for m even and  $m \geq 4$  and that  $P_{m+1} \times C_n$  has a (n(m+1)+5,6)-face antimagic labeling when n is even and at least 4.

In [61] Bača et al. provide a detailed survey of results on face antimagic labelings and include many conjectures and open problems.

For a plane graph G, Bača and Miller [85] call a bijection h from  $V(G) \cup E(G) \cup F(G)$  to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V(G)| + |E(G)| \cup |F(G)|\}$  a d-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 1) if for every number s the set of s-sided face weights is  $W_s = \{a_s, a_s + d, a_s + 2d, \ldots, a_s + (f_s - 1)d\}$  for some integers  $a_s$  and d, where  $f_s$  is the number of s-sided faces  $(W_s$  varies with s). They show that the prisms  $C_n \times P_2$   $(n \geq 3)$  have a 1-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 1) and that for  $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $C_n \times P_2$  have a d-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 1) for d = 2, 3, 4, 5, and d. They conjecture that for all d is a d-antimagic labeling of type d in d is an d-antimagic labeling of type d-antimagic labeling d-antimagic labeling d-antimagic labeling d-antimagic labeling d-antimagic labeling d-antimagic labeling

Bača, Miller, and Ryan [86] also prove that for  $n \geq 4$  the antiprism on 2n vertices has a d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1) for d=1,2, and 4. They conjecture the result holds for d=3,5, and 6 as well. Lin, Ahmad, Miller, Sugeng and Bača [474] did the cases that d=7 for  $n\geq 3$  and d=12 for  $n\geq 11$ . Sugeng, Miller, Lin, and Bača [684] did the cases: d=7,8,9,10 for  $n\geq 5;$  d=15 for  $n\geq 6;$  d=18 for  $n\geq 7;$  d=12,14,17,20,21,24,27,30,36 for n odd and  $n\geq 7;$  d=16,26 for n odd and  $n\geq 9$ .

Bača, Jendraľ, Miller, and Ryan [73] prove: for n even,  $n \ge 6$ , the generalized Petersen graph P(n,2) has a 1-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1); for n even,  $n \ge 6$ ,  $n \ne 10$ , and d=2 or 3, P(n,2) has a d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1); and for  $n \equiv 0 \pmod 4$ ,  $n \ge 8$  and d=6 or 9, P(n,2) has a d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1). They conjecture that there is an d-antimagic labeling for P(n,2) when  $n \equiv 2 \pmod 4$ ,  $n \ge 6$ , and d=6 or 9.

Bača, Baskoro, and Miller [63] have proved that hexagonal planar honeycomb graphs with an even number of columns have 2-antimagic and 4-antimagic labelings of type (1,1,1). They conjecture that these honeycombs also have d-antimagic labelings of type (1,1,1) for d=3 and 5. They pose the odd number of columns case for  $1 \le d \le 5$  as an open problem. Bača, Baskoro, and Miller [64] give d-antimagic labelings of a special class of plane graphs with 3-sided internal faces for d=0, 2, and 4.

Kathiresan and Ganesan [385] define a class of plane graphs denoted by  $P_a^b$  ( $a \ge$ 

 $3, b \geq 2$ ) as the graph obtained by starting with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_a$  and for each  $i = 1, 2, \ldots, a-1$  joining  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  with b internally disjoint paths of length i+1. They prove that  $P_a^b$  has d-antimagic labelings of type (1,1,1) for d=0,1,2,3,4 and 6. Lin and Sugen [478] prove that  $P_a^b$  has a d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1) for d=5,7a-2,a+1,a-3,a-7,a+5,a-4,a+2,2a-3,2a-1,a-1,3a-3,a+3,2a+1,2a+3,3a+1,4a-1,4a-3,5a-3,3a-1,6a-5,6a-7,7a-7, and 5a-5. Similarly, Bača, Baskoro, and Cholily [59] define a class of plane graphs denoted by  $C_a^b$  as the graph obtained by starting with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_a$  and for each  $i=1,2\ldots,a$  joining  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  with b internally disjoint paths of length i+1 (subscripts are taken modulo a). In [59] and [60] they prove that for  $a \geq 3$  and  $b \geq 2$ ,  $C_a^b$  has a d-antimagic labeling of type (1,1,1) for d=0,1,2,3,a+1,a-1,a+2, and a-2.

Sonntag [670] has extended the notion of antimagic labelings to hypergraphs. He shows that certain classes of cacti, cycle, and wheel hypergraphs have antimagic labelings. In [82] Bača et al. survey results on antimagic, edge-magic total, and vertex-magic total labelings.

Figueroa-Centeno, Ichishima, and Muntaner-Batle [263] have introduced multiplicative analogs of magic and antimagic labelings. They define a graph G of size q to be product magic if there is a labeling from E(G) onto  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$  such that, at each vertex v, the product of the labels on the edges incident with v is the same. They call a graph Gof size q product antimagic if there is a labeling f from E(G) onto  $\{1, 2, \ldots, q\}$  such that the products of the labels on the edges incident at each vertex v are distinct. They prove: a graph of size q is product magic if and only if  $q \leq 1$  (that is, if and only if it is  $K_2, \overline{K_n}$  or  $K_2 \cup \overline{K_n}$ ;  $P_n$   $(n \ge 4)$  is product antimagic; every 2-regular graph is product antimagic; and, if G is product antimagic, then so are  $G + K_1$  and  $G \odot \overline{K}_n$ . They conjecture that a connected graph of size q is product antimagic if and only if  $q \geq 3$ . They also define a graph G with p vertices and q edges to be product edge-magic if there is a labeling f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$  such that  $f(u) \cdot f(v) \cdot f(uv)$  is a constant for all edges uvand product edge-antimagic if there is a labeling f from  $V(G) \cup E(G)$  onto  $\{1, 2, \dots, p+q\}$ such that for all edges uv the products  $f(u) \cdot f(v) \cdot f(uv)$  are distinct. They prove  $K_2 \cup \overline{K}_n$ is product edge-magic, a graph of size q without isolated vertices is product edge-magic if and only if  $q \leq 1$  and every graph other than  $K_2$  and  $K_2 \cup \overline{K}_n$  is product edge-antimagic.

In the table following we use the abbreviations

#### A antimagic labeling

- (a,d)-VAT (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling
- (a,d)-SVAT super (a,d)-vertex-antimagic total labeling
- (a,d)-SEAT super edge (a,d)-antimagic total labeling
- (a,d)-EAV (a,d)-edge-antimagic vertex labeling
- (a,d)-EAT (a,d)-edge-antimagic total labeling

(a, d)-VAE (a, d)-antimagic labeling

(a, d)-FA (a, d)-face antimagic labeling

d-AT d-antimagic labeling of type (1, 1, 1)

A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property. The table was prepared by Petr Kovář and Tereza Kovářová and updated by J. Gallian in 2006.

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$P_n$	(a,d)-VAT	wide variety of $a$ and $d$ [67]
$P_n$	(a,d)-SVAT	iff $d = 3$ , $d = 2$ , $n \ge 3$ odd or $d = 3$ , $n \ge 3$ [683]
$C_n$	(a,d)-VAT	wide variety of $a$ and $d$ [66]
$C_n$	(a,d)-SVAT	iff $d = 0, 2$ and $n$ odd or $d = 1$ [683]
generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$	(a, d)-VAT $(a, 1)$ -VAT	[68] $n \ge 3, \ 1 \le k \le n/2 \ [684]$
prisms $C_n \times P_2$	(a,d)-VAT	[68]
antiprisms	(a,d)-VAT	[68]
$W_n$	not $(a, d)$ -VAT	for $n > 20$ [476]
$P_n$	(3, 2)-EAV not $(a, d)$ -EAV	[638] $d > 2$ [638]
$P_{2n}$	(n+2,1)-EAV	[638]
$C_n$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	d > 1 [78]
$C_{2n}$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	[638]
$C_{2n+1}$	(n+2,1)-EAV (n+3,1)-EAV	[638] [638]
$K_n$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	for $n > 1$ [78]
$K_{n,n}$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	for $n > 3$ [78]
$K_{1,n}$	not $(a, d)$ -SVAT	$n \ge 3 \ [683]$

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$W_n$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	[78]
$F_n$ (friendship graph)	(a, 1)-EAV	iff $n = 1, 3, 4, 5, 7$ [80]
generalized Petersen graph $P(n,k)$	not $(a, d)$ -EAV	d > 1 [78]
$P_n$	$\cot (a, d)$ -EAT	d > 2 [78]
$P_{2n}$	(6n, 1)-EAT $(6n + 2, 2)$ -EAT	[638] [638]
$P_{2n+1}$	(3n + 4, 2)-EAT (3n + 4, 3)-EAT (2n + 4, 4)-EAT (5n + 4, 2)-EAT (3n + 5, 2)-EAT (2n + 6, 4)-EAT	[638] [638] [638] [638] [638]
$C_n$	(2n+2,1)-EAT (3n+2,1)-EAT not $(a,d)$ -EAT	[638] [638] d > 5 [78]
$C_{2n}$	(4n + 2, 2)-EAT (4n + 3, 2)-EAT (2n + 3, 4)-EAT? (2n + 4, 4)-EAT?	[638] [638] [638]
$C_{2n+1}$	(3n + 4, 3)-EAT (3n + 5, 3)-EAT (n + 4, 5)-EAT? (n + 5, 5)-EAT?	[638] [638] [638]
$K_n$	not (a, d)-EAT	d > 5 [78]
$K_{n,n}$	not (a, d)-EAT	d > 5 [78]

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$W_n$	not $(a, d)$ -EAT	d > 4 [78]
generalized Petersen graph $P(n, k)$	not $(a,d)$ -EAT $((5n+5)/2,2)$ -EAT super $(4n+2,1)$ -EAT	$ d > 4 [78] $ for $n$ odd, $n \ge 3$ and $k = 1, 2 [542] $ for $n \ge 3$ , and $1 \le k \le n/2 [542] $
trees	(a,1)-EAT?	[78]
$P_n$	A	for $n \ge 3$ [327]
$C_n$	A	[327]
$W_n$	A	[327]
$K_n$	A	for $n \ge 3$ [327]
every connected graph except $K_2$	A?	[327]
$n \ge 4 \text{ vertices}$ $\Delta(G) \ge n - 2$	A	[26]
all complete partite graphs except $K_2$	A	[26]
Hershel graph (see [201]	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[151], [153]
parachutes $P_{g,b}$ (see §5.7)	(a,d)-VAE	for certain classes [151], [153]
prisms $C_n \times P_2$	((7n+4)/2, 1)-VAE ((5n+5)/2, 2)-VAE ((3n+6)/2, 3)-VAE ((n+7)/2, 4)-VAE?	$n \ge 3$ , $n$ even [152], [69] $n \ge 3$ , $n$ odd [152], [69] $n \ge 3$ , $n$ even [69] $n \ge 7$ , [153], [69]

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
generalized Petersen graph $P(n,2)$	((3n+6)/2,3)-VAE	$n \ge 8, \ n \equiv 0 \pmod{4} \ [71]$
$C_n$	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	n even [155]
$C_n^+ \text{ (see §2.2)}$	(a,d)-SEAT	variety of cases [50], [88]
$P_n \times P_2$ (ladders)	(a,d)-SEAT	$n \text{ odd}, d \leq 2 [682]$
	(a, d)-SEAT?	n  even,  d = 1  [682] d = 0, 2, n  even [682]
$C_n \times P_2$	(a,d)-SEAT	iff $d \le 3 \ n \text{ odd } [682]$ or $d = 1, \ n \ge 4 \text{ even } [682]$
$C_m \times P_n$	(a, d)-SEAT?	$m \ge 4 \text{ even}, \ n \ge 3, \ d = 0, 2 \text{ [682]}$
$K_{1,n}$	(a,d)-SAT	iff $d < 3, \ n = 1, 2 \ [685]$
caterpillars	(a, 1)-SEAT	[685]
caterpillars	(a,d)-EAT	$d \le 3 \ [685]$
caterpillars	(a,d)-SEMT	only if $d \leq 3$ [685]
$C_{2n+1}$	not $(n+2,1)$ -VAE	n even [155]
$P_{2n}$	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[155]
$P_{2n+1}$	(n,1)-VAE	[155]
stars	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[155]
$C_3^{(k)}, C_4^{(k)}$	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[155]

 ${\it Table 11: {\bf Summary \ of \ Antimagic \ Labelings \ continued}}$ 

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$K_{n,n+2}$	$\left(\frac{(n+1)(n^2-1)}{2}, n+1\right)$ -VAE	$n \ge 3, n \text{ odd } [155]$
$K_{3,3}$	$\cot (a, d)$ -VAE	[155]
$K_4$	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[155]
Petersen graph	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	[155]
$W_n$	not $(a, d)$ -VAE	n > 3 [476]
antiprism on $2n$ vertices (see §5.7)	(6n + 3, 2)-VAE (4n + 4, 4)-VAE (2n + 5, 6)-VAE? (6n + 3, 2)-VAE? (4n + 4, 4)-VAE?	$n \ge 3, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [53] $n \ge 3, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [53] $n \ge 4$ [53] $n \ge 6, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [53] $n \ge 6, n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [53]
$Q_n^m$ (see §5.7)	(7n(m+1)/2+2,1)-FA $(7n(m+1)/2+2,1)-FA$ $((5n(m+1)+5)/2,2)-FA?$ $((n(m+1)+7)/2,4)-FA?$ $(3n(m+1)/2+3,3)-FA?$ $(3n(m+1)/2+3,3)-FA?$	$m \ge 3, n \ge 3, m \text{ odd } [83]$ $m \ge 4, n \ge 4, m, n \text{ even } [83]$ $m \ge 2, n \ge 3, m \text{ even, } n \text{ odd } [83]$ $m \ge 2, n \ge 3, m \text{ even, } n \text{ odd } [83]$ m > 1, n > 4, n  even  [83] m > 1, n > 3, m  odd,  n  odd  [83]
$C_n \times P_2$	(6n + 3, 2)-FA (4n + 4, 4)-FA (2n + 5, 6)-FA?	$n \ge 4, n \text{ even } [54]$ $n \ge 4, n \text{ even } [54]$ [54]
$P_{m+1} \times C_n$	(3n(m+1)+3,2)-FA $(3n(m+1)+3,2)$ -FA and $(2n(m+1)+4,4)$ -FA	$n \ge 4$ , $n$ even and [75] $m \ge 3$ , $m \equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ , $n \ge 4$ , $n$ even and [75] $m \ge 3$ , $m$ odd [75], or $n \ge 6$ , $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ and $m \ge 4$ , $m$ even
	(3n(m+1) + 3, 2)-FA? (2n(m+1) + 4, 4)-FA? (n(m+1) + 5, 6)-FA?	$m \ge 4, \ n \ge 4, \ m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ [75] $m \ge 4, \ n \ge 4, \ m \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ [75] $n \ge 4, \ n \text{ even } [75]$

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$C_n \times P_2$	1-AT	[85]
	d-AT	d = 2, 3, 4  and  6 [85]
		for $n \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$
	d-AT	$d = 2, 4, 5, 6 \text{ for } n \ge 3 \text{ [477]}$
	d-AT	$d = 3 \text{ for } n \ge 5 \text{ [86]}$
$P_m \times P_n$	5-AT?	[477]
$\prod_{m} n \wedge \prod_{m} n$	$\cot d$ -AT	m, n > 8, d > 9 [477]
	110t a-A1	$[m,n \geq 0, u \geq g [411]]$
antiprism on $2n$	d-AT	$d = 1, 2 \text{ and } 4 \text{ for } n \ge 4 \text{ [86]}$
vertices	d-AT?	$d = 3, 5 \text{ and } 6 \text{ for } n \ge 4 \text{ [86]}$
		[
$M_n^m$ (Möbius grids)	d-AT	$n \ge 3 \text{ odd}, d = 0, 1, 2, 4 [79]$
		$d = 7, \ n \ge 3 \ [474]$
		$d = 12, \ n \ge 11 \ [474]$
		$d = 7, 8, 9, 10, \ n \ge 5 \ [684]$
		$d = 15, \ n \ge 6 \ [684]$
		$d = 18 \ n \ge 7 \ [684]$
P(n,2)	d-AT	$d = 1; d = 2, 3, n \ge 6, n \ne 10$ [73]
P(4n,2)	d-AT	$d = 6, 9, \ n \ge 2, \ n \ne 10 \ [73]$
$I^{-}(4n,2)$	<i>u</i> -A1	$[a - 0, 9, n \ge 2, n \ne 10 \ [13]]$
P(4n+2,2)	d-AT?	$d = 6, 9, \ n \ge 1, \ n \ne 10 \ [73]$
, ,		, , _ , , [ ]
honeycomb graphs with	d-AT	d = 2, 4 [63]
even number of columns	d-AT?	d = 3, 5 [63]
	J A/T	
$C_n \times P_2$	d-AT	d = 1, 2, 4, 5, 6 [477], [85]
$C_n \times P_2$	3-AT	$n \neq 4 \ [86]$

Table 11: Summary of Antimagic Labelings continued

Graph	Labeling	Notes
$P_m \times P_n$	not $d$ -AT	$m, n, d \ge 9,  [76]$
$P_m \times P_n$	d-AT	$d = 1, 2, 3, 4, 6; \ m, n \ge 2, \ (m, n) \ne (2, 2) \ [76]$
$P_m \times P_n$	5-AT	$m, n \ge 2, \ (m, n) \ne (2, 2) \ [76]$
$F_n$ (friendship graphs)	(a, d)-SEAT $(a, d)$ -SAT	d = 0, 1, 2 [80] only if $d < 3 [80]$
$f_n \ (n \ge 2) \ (fans)$	\	only if $d < 3$ [80] $2 \le n \le 6, d = 0, 1, 2$ [80]
$W_n$	(a,d)-SEAT	iff $d = 1, n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ [80]
$K_n \ (n \ge 3)$	(a,d) SEAT	iff $d = 0, n = 3$ [80] $d = 1, n \ge 3$ [80] d = 2, n = 3 [80]
$K_{n,n}$	(a,d)-EAT	iff $d = 1, n \ge 2$ [80]
trees	(a,d)-SEAT	only if $d \leq 3$ [81]
$P_n \ (n>1)$	(a, d)-SEAT	iff $d \le 3$ [81]
$mK_n$	(a,d)-SEAT	iff $d \in \{0, 2\}, n \in \{2, 3\}, m \ge 3 \text{ odd } [58]$ $d = 1, m, n \ge 2$ [58] $d = 3 \text{ or } 5, n = 2, m \ge 2$ [58] $d = 4, n = 2, m \ge 3 \text{ odd } [58]$
$C_n$	(a,d)-SEAT	iff $d = 0$ or 2, $n$ odd [81] $d = 1$ [66]
P(m,n)	(a,d)-SEAT	many cases [66]

# 6 Miscellaneous Labelings

## 6.1 Sum Graphs

In 1990, Harary [321] introduced the notion of a sum graph. A graph G(V, E) is called a  $sum\ graph$  if there is an bijection f from V to a set of positive integers S such that  $xy \in E$  if and only if  $f(x) + f(y) \in S$ . Since the vertex with the highest label in a sum graph cannot be adjacent to any other vertex, every sum graph must contain isolated vertices. In 1991 Harary, Hentzel, and Jacobs [323] defined a  $real\ sum\ graph$  in an analogous way by allowing S to be any finite set of positive real numbers. However, they proved that every real sum graph is a sum graph. Bergstrand et al. [125] defined a  $product\ graph$  analogous to a sum graph except that 1 is not permitted to belong to S. They proved that every product graph is a sum graph and vice versa.

For a connected graph G, let  $\sigma(G)$ , the sum number of G, denote the minimum number of isolated vertices that must be added to G so that the resulting graph is a sum graph (some authors use s(G) for the sum number of G). A labeling that makes G together with  $\sigma(G)$  isolated points a sum graph is called an *optimal sum graph* labeling. Ellingham [241] proved the conjecture of Harary [321] that  $\sigma(T) = 1$  for every tree  $T \neq K_1$ . Smyth [662] proved that there is no graph G with e edges and  $\sigma(G) = 1$  when  $n^2/4 < e \le$ n(n-1)/2. Smyth [663] conjectures that the disjoint union of graphs with sum number 1 has sum number 1. More generally, Kratochvil, Miller, and Nguyen [411] conjecture that  $\sigma(G \cup H) \leq \sigma(G) + \sigma(H) - 1$ . Hao [320] has shown that if  $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \cdots \leq d_n$ is the degree sequence of a graph G, then  $\sigma(G) > \max(d_i - i)$  where the maximum is taken over all i. Bergstand et al. [124] proved that  $\sigma(K_n) = 2n - 3$ . Hartsfield and Smyth [328] claimed to have proved that  $\sigma(K_{m,n}) = \lceil 3m + n - 3 \rceil / 2$  when  $n \geq m$  but Yan and Liu [762] found counterexamples to this assertion when  $m \neq n$ . Pyatkin [559], Liaw, Kuo, and Chang [472], Wang and Liu [743], and He et al. [332] have shown that for  $2 \le m \le n$ ,  $\sigma(K_{m,n}) = \lceil \frac{n}{p} + \frac{(p+1)(m-1)}{2} \rceil$  where  $p = \lceil \sqrt{\frac{2n}{m-1} + \frac{1}{4}} - \frac{1}{2} \rceil$  is the unique integer such that  $\frac{(p-1)p(m-1)}{2} < n \le \frac{(p+1)p(m-1)}{2}$ . Miller et al. [518] proved that  $\sigma(W_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 2$  for n even and  $\sigma(W_n) = n$  for  $n \ge 5$  and

Miller et al. [518] proved that  $\sigma(W_n) = \frac{n}{2} + 2$  for n even and  $\sigma(W_n) = n$  for  $n \geq 5$  and n odd (see also [700]). Miller, Ryan, and Smyth [520] prove that the complete n-partite graph on n sets of 2 nonadjacent vertices has sum number 4n - 5 and obtain upper and lower bounds on the complete n-partite graph on n sets of m nonadjacent vertices. Gould and Rödl [307] investigated bounds on the number of isolated points in a sum graph. A group of six undergraduate students [306] proved that  $\sigma(K_n - \text{edge}) \leq 2n - 4$ . The same group of six students also investigated the difference between the largest and smallest labels in a sum graph, which they called the spum. They proved spum of  $K_n$  is 4n - 6 and the spum of  $C_n$  is at most 4n - 10. Kratochvil, Miller, and Nguyen [411] have proved that every sum graph on n vertices has a sum labeling such that every label is at most  $4^n$ .

At a conference in 2000 Miller [512] posed the following two problems: Given any graph G, does there exist an optimal sum graph labeling that uses the label 1; Find a class of graphs G that have sum number of the order  $|V(G)|^s$  for s > 1. (Such graphs

were shown to exist for s = 2 by Gould and Rödl in [307]).

In [653] Slamet, Sugeng, and Miller show how one can use sum graph labelings to distribute secret information to set P of people so that only authorized subsets of P can reconstruct the secret.

Chang [193] generalized the notion of sum graph by permitting x = y in the definition of sum graph. He calls graphs that have this kind of labeling strong sum graphs and uses  $i^*(G)$  to denote the minimum positive integer m such that  $G \cup mK_1$  is a strong sum graph. Chang proves that  $i^*(K_n) = \sigma(K_n)$  for n = 2, 3, and 4 and  $i^*(K_n) > \sigma(K_n)$  for  $n \ge 5$ . He further shows that for  $n \ge 5$ ,  $3n^{\log_2 3} > i^*(K_n) \ge 12|n/5| - 3$ .

In 1994 Harary [322] generalized sum graphs by permitting S to be any set of integers. He calls these graphs integral sum graphs. Unlike sum graphs, integral sum graphs need not have isolated vertices. Sharary [621] has shown that  $C_n$  and  $W_n$  are integral sum graphs for all  $n \neq 4$ . Chen [206] proved that trees obtained from a star by extending each edge to a path and trees all of whose vertices of degree not 2 are at least distance 4 apart are integral sum graphs. He conjectures that all trees are integral sum graphs. In [206] and [208] Chen gives methods for constructing new connected integral sum graphs from given integral sum graphs by identifying verticies. Chen [208] has shown that every graph is an induced subgraph of a connected integral sum graph. Chen |208| calls a vertex of a graph saturated if it is adjacent to every other vertex of the graph. He proves that every integral sum graph except  $K_3$  has at most two saturated vertices and gives the exact structure of all integral sum graphs that have exactly two saturated vertices. Chen |208| also proves that a connected integral sum graph with p>1 vertices and q edges and no saturated vertices satisfies  $q \leq p(3p-2)/8-2$ . Wu, Mao, and Le [751] proved that  $mP_n$ are integral sum graphs. They also show that the conjecture of Harary [322] that the sum number of  $C_n$  equals the integral sum number of  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \neq 3$  or 5 is false and that for  $n \neq 4$  or 6 the integral sum number of  $C_n$  is at most 1.

He, Wang, Mi, Shen, and Yu [330] say that a graph has a *tail* if the graph contains a path for which each interior vertex has degree 2 and an end vertex of degree at least 3. They prove that every tree with a tail of length at least 3 is an integral sum graph.

B. Xu [758] has shown that the following are integral sum graphs: the union of any three stars;  $T \cup K_{1,n}$  for all trees T;  $mK_3$  for all m; and the union of any number of integral sum trees. Xu also proved that if 2G and 3G are integral sum graphs, then so is mG for all m > 1. Xu poses the question as to whether all disconnected forests are integral sum graphs. Nicholas and Somasundaram [544] prove that all banana trees (see Section 2.1 for the definition) and the union of any number of stars are integral sum graphs.

Liaw, Kuo, and Chang [472] proved that all caterpillars are integral sum graphs (see also [751] and [758] for some special cases of caterpillers). This shows that the assertion by Harary in [322] that K(1,3) and S(2,2) are not integral sum graphs is incorrect. They also prove that all cycles except  $C_4$  are integral sum graphs and they conjecture that every tree is an integral sum graph. Singh and Santhosh show that the crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$  are integral sum graphs for  $n \ge 4$  [647] and that the subdivision graphs of  $C_n \odot K_1$  are integral sum graphs for  $n \ge 3$  [580].

The integral sum number,  $\zeta(G)$ , of G is the minimum number of isolated vertices that

must be added to G so that the resulting graph is an integral sum graph. Thus, by definition, G is a integral sum graph if and only if  $\zeta(G) = 0$ . Harary [322] conjectured that  $\zeta(K_n) = 2n - 3$  for  $n \ge 4$ . This conjecture was verified by Chen [205], by Sharary [621], and by B. Xu [758]. Yan and Liu proved:  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = n - 1$  when  $n \ge 6$ ,  $n \equiv 0$  (mod 3) and r = 2n/3 - 1 [763];  $\zeta(K_{m.m}) = 2m - 1$  for  $m \ge 2$  [763];  $\zeta(K_n - \text{edge}) = 2n - 4$  for  $n \ge 4$  [763], [758]; if  $n \ge 5$  and  $n - 3 \ge r$ , then  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) \ge n - 1$  [763]; if  $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1 > r \ge 2$ , then  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) \ge 2n - r - 2$  [763]; and if  $2 \le m < n$ , and n = (i+1)(im-i+2)/2, then  $\sigma(K_{m,n}) = \zeta(K_{m,n}) = (m-1)(i+1) + 1$  while if (i+1)(im-i+2)/2 < n < (i+2)[(i+1)m-i+1]/2, then  $\sigma(K_{m,n}) = \zeta(K_{m,n}) = \lceil ((m-1)(i+1)(i+2) + 2n)/(2i+2) \rceil$  [763].

Nagamochi, Miller, and Slamin [535] have determined upper and lower bounds on the sum number a graph. For most graphs G(V, E) they show that  $\sigma(G) = \Omega(|E|)$ . He et al. [331] investigated  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r))$  where  $n \geq 5$  and  $r \geq 2$ . They proved that  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = 0$  when r = n or n - 1;  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = n - 2$  when r = n - 2;  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = n - 1$  when  $n - 3 \geq r \geq \lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1$ ;  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = 3n - 2r - 4$  when  $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1 > r \geq n/2$ ;  $\zeta(K_n - E(K_r)) = 2n - 4$  when  $\lceil 2n/3 \rceil - 1 \geq n/2 > r \geq 2$ . Moreover, they prove that if  $n \geq 5$ ,  $r \geq 2$ , and  $r \neq n - 1$ , then  $\sigma(K_n - E(K_r)) = \zeta(K_n - E(K_r))$ .

Chen [205] has given some properties of integral sum labelings of graphs G with  $\Delta(G) < |V(G)| - 1$  whereas Nicholas, Somasundaram, and Vilfred [545] provided some general properties of connected integral sum graphs G with  $\Delta(G) = |V(G)| - 1$ . Vilfred and Florida [731] defined and investigated properties of maximal integral sum graphs. Nicholas, Somasundaram, and Vilfred [545] have shown that connected integral sum graphs G other than  $K_3$  with the property that G has exactly two vertices of maximum degree are unique and that a connected integral sum graph G other than G other than G are also [732]).

Vilfred and Florida [730] have examined one-point unions of pairs of small complete graphs. They show that the one-point union of  $K_3$  and  $K_2$  and the one-point union of  $K_3$  are integral sum graphs whereas the one-point union of  $K_4$  and  $K_2$  and the one-point union of  $K_4$  and  $K_3$  are not integral sum graphs.

Vilfred and Nicholas [733] have shown that the following graphs are integral sum graphs: banana trees, the union of any number of stars, fans  $P_n + K_1$   $(n \ge 2)$ , Dutch windmills  $K_3^{(m)}$ , and the graph obtained by starting with any finite number of integral sum graphs  $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_n$  and any collections of n vertices with  $v_i \in G_i$  and creating a graph by identifying  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . The same authors also [734] proved that G + v where G is a union of stars is an integral sum graph.

Melnikov and Pyatkin [509] have shown that every 2-regular graph except  $C_4$  is an integral sum graph and that for every positive integer r there exists an r-regular integral sum graph. They also show that the cube is not an integral sum graph. For any integral sum graph G, Melnikov and Pyatkin define the *integral radius of* G as the smallest natural number r(G) that has all its vertex labels in the interval [-r(G), r(G)]. For the family of all integral sum graphs of order n they use r(n) to denote maximum integral radius among all members of the family. Two questions they raise are: Is there a constant C such that  $r(n) \leq Cn$ ; and for n > 2, is r(n) equal to the (n-2)th prime.

The concepts of sum number and integral sum number have been extended to hypergraphs. Sonntag and Teichert [672] prove that every hypertree (i.e., every connected, non-trivial, cycle-free hypergraph) has sum number 1 provided that a certain cardinality condition for the number of edges is fulfilled. In [673] the same authors prove that for  $d \geq 3$  every d-uniform hypertree is an integral sum graph and that for  $n \geq d+2$  the sum number of the complete d-uniform hypergraph on n vertices is d(n-d)+1. They also prove that the integral sum number for the complete d-uniform hypergraph on n vertices is 0 when d = n or n-1 and is between (d-1)(n-d-1) and d(n-d)+1 for  $d \leq n-2$ . They conjecture that for  $d \leq n-2$  the sum number and the integral sum number of the complete d-uniform hypergraph are equal.

Teichert [707] proves that hypercycles have sum number 1 when each edge has cardinality at least 3 and that hyperwheels have sum number 1 under certain restrictions for the edge cardinalities. (A hypercycle  $C_n = (\mathcal{V}_n, \mathcal{E}_n)$  has  $\mathcal{V}_n = \bigcup_{i=1}^n \{v_1^i, v_2^i, \dots, v_{d_i-1}^i\}, \mathcal{E}_n = \{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$  with  $e_i = \{v_1^i, \dots, v_{d_i}^i = v_1^{i+1}\}$  where i+1 is taken modulo n. A hyperwheel  $\mathcal{W}_n = (\mathcal{V}'_n, \mathcal{E}'_n)$  has  $\mathcal{V}'_n = \mathcal{V}_n \cup \{c\} \cup_{i=1}^n \{v_2^{n+i}, \dots, v_{d_{n+i}-1}^{n+i}\}, \mathcal{E}'_n = \mathcal{E}_n \cup \{e_{n+1}, \dots, e_{2n}\}$  with  $e_{n+i} = \{v_1^{n+i} = c, v_2^{n+i}, \dots, v_{d_{n+i}-1}^{n+i}, v_{d_{n+i}}^{n+i} = v_1^{i}\}.$ )

Teichert [706] determined an upper bound for the sum number of the d-partite complete hypergraph  $K_{n_1,\dots,n_d}^d$ . In [708] Teichert defines the strong hypercycle  $\mathcal{C}_n^d$  to be the d-uniform hypergraph with the same vertices as  $C_n$  where any d consecutive vertices of  $C_n$  form an edge of  $\mathcal{C}_n^d$ . He proves that for  $n \geq 2d+1 \geq 5$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_n^d) = d$  and for  $d \geq 2$ ,  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_{d+1}^d) = d$ . He also shows that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_5^3) = 3$ ;  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_6^3) = 2$ , and he conjectures that  $\sigma(\mathcal{C}_n^d) < d$  for  $d \geq 4$  and  $d+2 \leq n \leq 2d$ .

In [547] Nicholas and Vilfred define the edge reduced sum number of a graph as the minimum number of edges whose removal from the graph results in a sum graph. They show that for  $K_n$ ,  $n \geq 3$ , this number is  $(n(n-1)/2 + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)/2$ . They ask for a characterization of graphs for which the edge reduced sum number is the same as its sum number. They conjecture that an integral sum graph of order p and size q exists if and only if  $q \leq 3(p^2-1)/8 - \lfloor (p-1)/4 \rfloor$  when p is odd and  $q \leq 3(3p-2)/8$  when p is even. They also define the edge reduced integral sum number in an analogous way and conjecture that for  $K_n$  this number is  $(n-1)(n-3)/8 + \lfloor (n-1)/4 \rfloor$  when p is odd and p is even.

For certain graphs G Vilfred and Florida [729] investigated the relationships among  $\sigma(G), \zeta(G), \chi(G)$ , and  $\chi'(G)$  where  $\chi(G)$  is the chromatic number of G and  $\chi'(G)$  is the edge chromatic number of G. They prove:  $\sigma(C_4) = \zeta(C_4) > \chi(C_4) = \chi'(C_4)$ ; for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\zeta(C_{2n}) < \sigma(C_{2n}) = \chi(C_{2n}) = \chi'(C_{2n})$ ;  $\zeta(C_{2n+1}) < \sigma(C_{2n+1}) < \chi(C_{2n+1}) = \chi'(C_{2n+1})$ ; for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\chi'(K_n) \leq \chi(K_n) < \zeta(K_n) = \sigma(K_n)$ ; and for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $\chi(P_n \times P_2) < \chi'(P_n \times P_2) = \zeta(P_n \times P_2)$ .

Alon and Scheinermann [27] generalized sum graphs by replacing the condition  $f(x) + f(y) \in S$  with  $g(f(x), f(y)) \in S$  where g is an arbitrary symmetric polynomial. They called a graph with this property a g-graph and proved that for a given symmetric polynomial g not all graphs are g-graphs. On the other hand, for every symmetric polynomial g and every graph G there is some vertex labeling so that G together with at most |E(G)| isolated vertices is a g-graph.

Boland, Laskar, Turner, and Domke [158] investigated a modular version of sum graphs. They call a graph G(V, E) a mod sum graph (MSG) if there exists a positive integer n and an injective labeling from V to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$  such that  $xy \in E$  if and only if (f(x)+f(y)) (mod n)=f(z) for some vertex z. Obviously, all sum graphs are mod sum graphs. However, not all mod sum graphs are sum graphs. Boland et al. [158] have shown the following graphs are MSG: all trees on 3 or more vertices; all cycles on 4 or more vertices; and  $K_{2,n}$ . They further proved that  $K_p$  ( $p \geq 2$ ) is not MSG (see also [301]) and that  $W_4$  is MSG. They conjecture that  $W_p$  is MSG for  $p \geq 4$ . This conjecture was refuted by Sutton, Miller, Ryan, and Slamin [701] who proved that for  $n \neq 4$ ,  $W_n$  is not MSG (the case where n is prime had been proved in 1994 by Ghoshal et al. [301]). In the same paper Sutton et al. also showed that for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $K_{n,n}$  is not MSG. Ghoshal, Laskar, Pillone, and Fricke [301] proved that every connected graph is an induced subgraph of a connected MSG graph and any graph with n vertices and at least two vertices of degree n-1 is not MSG.

Sutton et al. define the mod sum number,  $\rho(G)$ , of a connected graph G to be the least integer r such that  $G + \overline{K_r}$  is MSG. Sutton and Miller [699] define the cocktail party graph  $H_{m,n}$ ,  $m,n\geq 2$ , as the graph with a vertex set  $V=\{v_1,v_2,\ldots,v_{mn}\}$  partitioned into n independent sets  $V = \{I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n\}$  each of size m such that  $v_i v_j \in E$  for all  $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, mn\}$  where  $i \in I_p, j \in I_q, p \neq q$ . The graphs  $H_{m,n}$  can be used to model relational database management systems (see [697]). Sutton and Miller prove that  $H_{m,n}$  is not MSG for  $n > m \ge 3$  and  $\rho(K_n) = n$  for  $n \ge 4$ . In [698] Sutton, Draganova, and Miller prove that for n odd and  $n \geq 5$ ,  $\rho(W_n) = n$  and when n is even,  $\rho(W_n) = 2$ . Draganova [236] has shown that for  $n \geq 5$  and n odd,  $\rho(F_n) = n$ . She poses as an open problem the determination of the mod sum number of the t-point suspension of  $C_n$ . Wallace [735] has proved that  $K_{m,n}$  is MSG when n is even and  $n \geq 2m$  or when n is odd and  $n \geq 3m-3$  and that  $\rho(K_{m,n}) = m$  when  $3 \leq m \leq n < 2m$ . He also proves that the complete m-partite  $K_{n_1,n_2,\ldots,n_m}$  is not MSG when there exist  $n_i$  and  $n_j$  such that  $n_i < n_j < 2n_i$ . He poses the following conjectures:  $\rho(K_{m,n}) = n$  when  $3m-3 > n \ge m \ge 3$ ; if  $K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_m}$  where  $n_1 > n_2 > \cdots > n_m$ , is not MSG then  $(m-1)n_m \le \rho(K_{n_1,n_2,\dots,n_m}) \le (m-1)n_1$ ; if G has n vertices then  $\rho(G) \leq n$ ; determining the mod sum number of a graph is NP-complete (Sutton has observed that Wallace probably meant to say 'NP-hard'). Miller [512] has asked if it is possible for the mod sum number of a graph G be of the order  $|V(G)|^2$ .

In a sum graph G, a vertex  $\underline{w}$  is called a working vertex if there is an edge uv in G such that w = u + v. If  $G = H \cup \overline{H_r}$  has a sum labeling such that H has no working vertex the labeling is called an exclusive sum labeling of H with respect G. The exclusive sum number,  $\epsilon(H)$ , of a graph H is the smallest integer r such that  $G \cup \overline{K_r}$  has an exclusive sum labeling. The exclusive sum number is known in the following cases (see [521]and [519]): for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\epsilon(P_n) = 2$ ; for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\epsilon(C_n) = 3$ ; for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $\epsilon(K_n) = 2n - 3$ ; for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\epsilon(f_n) = n$  (fan of order n + 1); for  $n \geq 4$ ,  $\epsilon(W_n) = n$ ;  $\epsilon(C_3^{(n)}) = 2n$  (friendship graph—see §2.2);  $m \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 2$ ,  $n \geq 3$ 

Grimaldi [316] has investigated labeling the vertices of a graph G(V, E) with n vertices

with distinct elements of the ring  $Z_n$  so that  $xy \in E$  whenever  $(x+y)^{-1}$  exists in  $Z_n$ 

In his 2001 Ph. D. thesis Sutton [697] introduced two methods of graph labelings with applications to storage and manipulation of relational database links specifically in mind. He calls a graph  $G = (V_p \cup V_i, E)$  a  $sum^*$  graph of  $G_p = (V_p, E_p)$  if there is an injective labeling  $\lambda$  of the vertices of G with non-negative integers with the property that  $uv \in E_p$  if and only if  $\lambda(u) + \lambda(v) = \lambda(z)$  for some vertex  $z \in G$ . The  $sum^*$  number,  $\sigma^*(G_p)$ , is the minimum cardinality of a set of new vertices  $V_i$  (members of  $V_i$  are called incidentals) such that there exists a sum\* graph of  $G_p$  on the set of vertices  $V_p \cup V_i$ . A  $mod\ sum^*\ graph$  of  $G_p$  is defined in the identical fashion except the sum  $\lambda(u) + \lambda(v)$  is taken modulo n where the vertex labels of G are restricted to  $\{0,1,2,\ldots,n-1\}$ . The  $mod\ sum^*\ number$ ,  $\rho^*(G_p)$ , of a graph  $G_p$  is defined in the analogous way. Sum\* graphs are a generalization of sum graphs and mod sum\* graphs are a generalization of mod sum graphs. Sutton shows that every graph is an induced subgraph of a connected sum\* graph.

The following table summarizing what is known about sum graphs, mod sum graphs, sum\* graphs and mod sum\* graphs is reproduced from Sutton's Ph. D. thesis [697]. It was updated by J. Gallian in 2006. A question mark indicates the value is unknown. The results on sum\* and mod sum\* graphs are found in [697]. Sutton [697] poses the following conjectures:  $\rho(H_{m,n}) \leq mn$  for  $m, n \geq 2$ ;  $\sigma^*(G_p) \leq |V_p|$ ; and  $\rho^*(G_p) \leq |V_p|$ .

Table 12: Summary of sum graph Labelings

Graph	$\sigma(G)$	$\rho(G)$	$\sigma^*(G)$	$\rho^*(G)$
$K_2 = S_1$	1	1	0	0
stars, $S_n, n \ge 2$	1	0	0	0
trees $T_n, n \ge 3$ when $T_n \ne S_n$	1	0	1	0
$C_3$	2	0	1	0
$C_4$	3	0	2	0
$C_n, n > 4$	2	0	2	0
$W_4$	4	0	2	0
$W_n, \ n \ge 5, \ n \text{ odd}$	n	n	2	0
$W_n, \ n \ge 6, \ n \text{ even}$	$\frac{n}{2} + 2$	2	2	0
fans, $f_n$ , $n \ge 5$ , $n$ odd	?	n	1	0
$K_n, n \geq 3$	2n-3	n	n-2	0
cocktail party graphs, $H_{2,n}$	4n - 5	0	?	0
$C_n^{(t)} \text{ (see §2.2)}$	2	?	?	?
$K_{n,n}$	$\left\lceil \frac{4n-3}{2} \right\rceil$	?	?	?
$K_{m,n}, \ 2nm \ge n \ge 3$	?	n	?	?
$K_{m,n} \ m \ge 3n - 3, \ n \ge 3, \ m \text{ odd}$	?	0	?	0
$K_{m,n}, \ m \ge 2n, \ n \ge 3, \ m \text{ even}$	?	0	?	0
$K_{m,n}, \ m < n$	$\lceil (kn-k)/2 + m/(k-1) \rceil$	?	?	?
$k = \lceil \sqrt{1 + (8m + n - 1)(n - 1)/2} \rceil$				

### 6.2 Prime and Vertex Prime Labelings

The notion of a prime labeling originated with Entringer and was introduced in a paper by Tout, Dabboucy, and Howalla [711]. A graph with vertex set V is said to have a prime labeling if its vertices are labeled with distinct integers  $1, 2, \ldots, |V|$  such that for each edge xy the labels assigned to x and y are relatively prime. Around 1980, Entringer conjectured that all trees have a prime labeling. So far, there has been little progress towards proving this conjecture. Among the classes of trees known to have prime labelings are: paths, stars, caterpillars, complete binary trees, spiders (i.e., trees with a one vertex of degree at least 3 and with all other vertices with degree at most 2), olive trees (i. e., a rooted tree consisting of k branches such that the ith branch is a path of length i), and all trees of order up to 50 (see [554], [555], [711] and [281]).

Other graphs with prime labelings include all cycles and the disjoint union of  $C_{2k}$  and  $C_n$  [226]. The complete graph  $K_n$  does not have a prime labeling for  $n \geq 4$  and  $W_n$  is prime if and only if n is even (see [467]).

Seoud, Diab, and Elsakhawi [591] have shown the following graphs are prime: fans; helms; flowers (see §2.2); stars;  $K_{2,n}$ ; and  $K_{3,n}$  unless n=3 or 7. They also shown that  $P_n + \overline{K_m}$  ( $m \ge 3$ ) is not prime. Tout, Dabboucy, and Howalla [711] proved that  $C_m \odot \overline{K_n}$  is prime for all m and n.

For m and n at least 3, Seoud and Youssef [596] define  $S_n^{(m)}$ , the (m,n)-gon star, as the graph obtained from the cycle  $C_n$  by joining the two end vertices of the path  $P_{m-2}$  to every pair of consecutive vertices of the cycle such that each of the end vertices of the path is connected to exactly one vertex of the cycle. Seoud and Youssef [596] have proved the following graphs have prime labelings: books;  $S_n^{(m)}$ ;  $C_n \odot P_m$ ;  $P_n + \overline{K}_2$  if and only if n = 2 or n is odd; and  $C_n \odot K_1$  with a complete binary tree of order  $2^k - 1$  ( $k \ge 2$ ) attached at each pendant vertex. They also prove that every spanning subgraph of a prime graph is prime and every graph is a subgraph of a prime graph. They conjecture that all unicycle graphs have prime labelings. Seoud and Youssef [596] proved the following graphs are not prime:  $C_m + C_n$ ;  $C_n^2$  for  $n \ge 4$ ;  $P_n^2$  for n = 6 and for  $n \ge 8$ ; and Möbius ladders  $M_n$  for  $n \ge 8$ . They also give an exact formula for the maximum number of edges in a prime graph of order n and an upper bound for the chromatic number of a prime graph.

Youssef [782] has shown that helms, the union of stars  $S_m \cup S_n$ , and the union of cycles and stars  $C_m \cup S_n$  are prime. He has also proved:  $K_m \cup P_n$  is prime if and only if m is at most 3 or if m = 4 and n is odd;  $K_n \odot K_1$  is prime if and only if  $n \leq 7$ ;  $K_m \cup S_n$  is prime if and only if the number of primes less than or equal to m + n + 1 is at least m; and that the complement of every prime graph with odd order at least 21 and every even order graph of order at least 16 is not prime.

Salmasian [577] has shown that every tree with n vertices  $(n \ge 50)$  can be labeled with n integers between 1 and 4n so that every two adjacent vertices have relatively prime labels. Pikhurko [555] has improved this by showing that for any c > 0 there is an N such that any tree of order n > N can be labeled with n integers between 1 and (1 + c)n so that labels of adjacent vertices are relatively prime.

Varkey and Singh (see [720]) have shown the following graphs have prime labelings:

ladders, crowns, cycles with a chord, books, one point unions of  $C_n$ , and  $L_n + K_1$ . Varkey [720] has shown that graph obtained by connecting two points with internally disjoint paths of equal length are prime. Varkey defines a *twig* as a graph obtained from a path by attaching exactly two pendent edges to each internal vertex of the path. He proves that twigs obtained from a path of odd length (at least 3) and lotus inside a circle (see §5.1 for the definition) graphs are prime.

Given a collection of graphs  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  and some fixed vertex  $v_i$  from each  $G_i$ , Lee, Wui, and Yeh [467] define  $Amal\{(G_i, v_i)\}$ , the almagamation of  $\{(G_i, v_i) | i = 1, \ldots, n\}$ , as the graph obtained by taking the union of the  $G_i$  and identifying  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ . Lee et al. [467] have shown  $Amal\{(G_i, v_i)\}$  has a prime labeling when  $G_i$  are paths and when  $G_i$  are cycles. They also showed that the almagamation of any number of copies of  $W_n$ , n odd, with a common vertex is not prime. They conjecture that for any tree T and v from T, the almagamation of two or more copies of T with v in common is prime. They further conjecture that the almagamation of two or more copies of  $W_n$  that share a common point is prime when n is even  $(n \neq 4)$ . Vilfred, Somasundaram, and Nicholas [726] have proved this conjecture for the case that  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  where the central vertices are identified.

Vilfred, Somasundaram, and Nicholas [726] have also proved the following: helms are prime; the grid  $P_m \times P_n$  is prime when  $m \leq 3$  and n is a prime greater than m; the double cone  $C_n + \overline{K_2}$  is prime only for n = 3; the double fan  $P_n \times \overline{K_2}$  ( $n \neq 2$ ) is prime if and only if n is odd or n = 2; and every cycle with a  $P_k$ -chord is prime. They conjecture that the grid  $P_m \times P_n$  is prime when n is prime and n > m. This conjecture was proved by Sundaram, Ponraj, and Somasundaram [696]. In the same article they also showed that  $P_n \times P_n$  is prime when n is prime.

For any finite collection  $\{G_i, u_i v_i\}$  of graphs  $G_i$ , each with a fixed edge  $u_i v_i$ , Carlson [190] defines the edge amalgamation  $Edgeamal\{(G_i, u_i v_i)\}$  as the graph obtained by taking the union of all the  $G_i$  and identifying their fixed edges. The case where all the graphs are cycles she calls  $generalized\ books$ . She proves that all generalized books are prime graphs. Moreover, she shows that graphs obtained by taking the union of cycles and identifying in each cycle the path  $P_n$  are also prime. Carlson also proves that  $C_m$ -snakes are prime.

Yao, Cheng, Zhongfu, and Yao [772] have shown: a tree of order p with maximum degree at least p/2 is prime; a tree of order p with maximum degree at least p/2 has a vertex subdivision that is prime; if a tree T has an edge  $u_1u_2$  such that the two components  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  of  $T - u_1u_2$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$  and  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , then T is prime when  $|T_1| + |T_2|$  is prime; if a tree T has two edges  $u_1u_2$  and  $u_2u_3$  such that the three components  $T_1, T_2$ , and  $T_3$  of  $T - \{u_1u_2, u_2u_3\}$  have the properties that  $d_{T_1}(u_1) \geq |T_1|/2$ ,  $d_{T_2}(u_2) \geq |T_2|/2$ , and  $d_{T_3}(u_3) \geq |T_3|/2$ , then T is prime when  $|T_1| + |T_2| + |T_3|$  is prime.

A dual of prime labelings has been introduced by Deretsky, Lee, and Mitchem [226]. They say a graph with edge set E has a vertex prime labeling if its edges can be labeled with distinct integers  $1, \ldots, |E|$  such that for each vertex of degree at least 2 the greatest common divisor of the labels on its incident edges is 1. Deretsky, Lee, and Mitchem show the following graphs have vertex prime labelings: forests; all connected graphs;  $C_{2k} \cup C_n$ ;  $C_{2m} \cup C_{2n} \cup C_{2k+1}$ ;  $C_{2m} \cup C_{2n} \cup C_{2t} \cup C_k$ ; and  $5C_{2m}$ . They further prove that a

graph with exactly two components, one of which is not an odd cycle, has a vertex prime labeling and a 2-regular graph with at least two odd cycles does not have a vertex prime labeling. They conjecture that a 2-regular graph has a vertex prime labeling if and only if it does not have two odd cycles. Let  $G = \bigcup_{i=1}^t C_{2n_i}$  and  $N = \sum_{i=1}^t n_i$ . In [160] Borosh, Hensley and Hobbs proved that there is a positive constant  $n_0$  such that the conjecture of Deretsky et al. is true for the following cases: G is the disjoint union of at most seven cycles; G is a union of cycles all of the same even length 2n where  $n \leq 150\,000$  or where  $n \geq n_0$ ;  $n_i \geq (\log N)^{4\log\log\log n}$  for all  $i = 1, \ldots, t$ ; and when each  $C_{2n_i}$  is repeated at most  $n_i$  times. They end their paper with a discussion of graphs whose components are all even cycles, and of graphs with some components that are not cycles and some components that are odd cycles.

The table following summarizes the state of knowledge about prime labelings. In the table

P means prime labeling exists

VP means vertex prime labeling exists

A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property.

Table 13: Summary of Prime Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes
$P_n$	Р	[281]
stars	Р	[281]
caterpillars	Р	[281]
complete binary trees	Р	[281]
spiders	Р	[281]
trees	P?	[467]
$C_n$	Р	[226]
$C_n \cup C_{2m}$	Р	[226]
$K_n$	Р	iff $n \le 3 \ [467]$
$W_n$	Р	iff $n$ is even [467]
helms	Р	[591], [782], [726]
fans	Р	[591]
flowers	Р	[591]
$K_{2,n}$	Р	[591]
$K_{3,n}$	Р	$n \neq 3, 7 [591]$
$P_n + \overline{K_m}$	not P	$n \ge 3 \ [591]$
$P_n + \overline{K_2}$	Р	iff $n = 2$ or $n$ is odd [591]
books	Р	[596]

Table 13: Summary of Prime Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_n \odot P_m$	Р	[596]
unicyclic graphs	P?	[596]
$C_m + C_n$	not P	[596]
$C_n^2$	not P	$n \ge 4 \ [596]$
$P_n^2$	not P	$n \ge 6, \ n \ne 7 \ [596]$
$M_n$ (Möbius ladders)	not P	n even [596]
$S_m \cup S_n$	Р	[782]
$C_m \cup S_n$	Р	[782]
$K_m \cup S_n$	Р	iff $m \le 3$ or $m = 4$ , $n$ odd [782]
$K_n \cup K_1$	Р	iff $n \le 7$ [782]
$P_n \times P_2$ (ladders)	Р	[720]
$P_m \times P_n \text{ (grids)}$	Р	$m \le 3, m > n, n \text{ prime } [726]$
$C_n \odot K_1 \text{ (crowns)}$	Р	[720]
cycles with a chord	Р	[720]
books	Р	[720]
wheels	Р	[720]

Table 13: Summary of Prime Labelings continued

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_n \odot \overline{K_2}$	Р	iff $n = 3$ [726]
$P_n \odot \overline{K_2}$	Р	iff $n \neq 2$ [726]
$C_m$ -snakes	Р	[190]
unicyclic	P?	[591]
$C_m \odot P_n$	Р	[596]
$K_{1,n} + \overline{K_2}$	Р	[649]
$K_{1,n} + K_2$	Р	$n$ prime, $n \ge 4$ [649]
$P_n \odot K_1 \text{ (combs)}$	Р	$\geq 2 [649]$
$P_1 \cup P_2 \cup \cdots \cup P_n$	Р	[649]
$P_n \times P_2 \text{ (ladders)}$	P P?	$n \ge 3, \ 2n + 1 \text{ prime [649]}$ $n \ge 3 \ [649]$
$C_m^{(n)}$	Р	n(m-1) + 1 prime [649]
triangular snakes	Р	[649]
quadrilaterial snakes	Р	[649]

Table 14: Summary of Vertex Prime Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes
$C_m + C_n$	not P	[596]
$C_n^2$	not P	$n \ge 4 \ [596]$
$P_n$	not P	$n = 6, \ n \ge 8 \ [596]$
$M_{2n}$	not P	[596]
connected graphs	VP	[226]
forests	VP	[226]
$C_{2m} \cup C_n$	VP	[226]
$C_{2m} \cup C_{2n} \cup C_{2k+1}$	VP	[226]
$C_{2m} \cup C_{2n} \cup C_{2t} \cup C_k$	VP	[226]
$5C_{2m}$	VP	[226]
$G \cup H$	VP	if $G$ , $H$ are connected and one is not an odd cycle [226]
2-regular graph $G$	not VP VP?	G has at least 2 odd cycles [226] iff $G$ has at most 1 odd cycle [226]

## 6.3 Edge-graceful Labelings

In 1985, Lo [492] introduced the notion of edge-graceful graphs. A graph G(V, E) is said to be edge-graceful if there exists a bijection f from E to  $\{1, 2, \dots, |E|\}$  such that the induced mapping  $f^+$  from V to  $\{0,1,\ldots,|V|-1\}$  given by  $f^+(x)=(\sum f(xy))\pmod{|V|}$ taken over all edges xy is a bijection. Note that an edge-graceful graph is anti-magic (see §5.7). A necessary condition for a graph with p vertices and q edges to be edge-graceful is that  $q(q+1) \equiv p(p+1)/2 \pmod{p}$ . Lee [422] notes that this necessary condition extends to any multigraph with p vertices and q edges. Lee, Lee, and Murthy [419] proved that  $K_n$  is edge-graceful if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . (An edge-graceful labeling given in [492] for  $K_n$  for  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  is incorrect.) Lee [422] notes that a multigraph with  $p \equiv 2$ (mod 4) vertices is not edge-graceful and conjectures that this condition is sufficient for the edge-gracefulness of connected graphs. Lee [421] has conjectured that all trees of odd order are edge-graceful. Small [661] has proved that spiders (see §5.2 for the definition) of odd degree with the property that the distance from the vertex of degree greater than 2 to each end vertex is the same are edge-graceful. Keene and Simoson [388] proved that all spiders of odd order with exactly three end vertices are edge-graceful. Cabaniss, Low, and Mitchem [174] have shown that regular spiders of odd order are edge-graceful.

Lee and Seah [447] have shown that  $K_{n,n,...,n}$  is edge-graceful if and only if n is odd and the number of partite sets is either odd or a multiple of 4. Lee and Seah [446] have also proved that  $C_n^k$  (the kth power of  $C_n$ ) is edge-graceful for  $k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  if and only if  $n \neq 2 \pmod{4}$  (see also [174]). Lee, Seah, and Wang [452] gave a complete characterization of edge-graceful  $P_n^k$  graphs. Shiu, Lam, and Cheng [630] proved that the composition of the path  $P_3$  and any null graph of odd order is edge-graceful.

Lo proved that all odd cycles are edge-graceful and Wilson and Riskin [746] proved the Cartesian product of any number of odd cycles is edge-graceful. Lee, Ma, Valdes, and Tong [431] investigated the edge-gracefulness of grids  $P_m \times P_n$ . The necessity condition of Lo [492] that a (p,q) graph must satisfy  $q(q+1) \equiv 0$  or p/2 (mod p) severely limits the possibilities. Lee et al. prove the following:  $P_2 \times P_n$  is not edge-graceful for all n > 1;  $P_3 \times P_n$  is edge-graceful if and only if n = 1 or n = 4;  $P_4 \times P_n$  is edge-graceful if and only if n = 1;  $P_{2m} \times P_{2n}$  is edge-graceful if and only if n = 1. They conjecture that for all  $m, n \geq 10$  of the form m = (2k+1)(4k+1), n = (2k+1)(4k+3), the grids  $P_m \times P_n$  are edge-graceful.

Shiu, Lee, and Schaffer [636] investigated the edge-gracefulness of multigraphs derived from paths, combs, and spiders obtained by replacing each edge by k parallel edges. Lee and Seah [448] have also investigated edge-gracefulness of various multigraphs.

Lee and Seah (see [422]) define a sunflower graph SF(n) as the graph obtained by starting with an n-cycle with consecutive vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$  and creating new vertices  $w_1, w_2, \ldots, w_n$  with  $w_i$  connected to  $v_i$  and  $v_{i+1}$  ( $v_{n+1}$  is  $v_1$ ). In [449] they prove that SF(n) is edge-graceful if and only if n is even. In the same paper they prove that  $C_3$  is the only triangular snake that is edge-graceful. Lee and Seah [446] prove that for  $k \leq n/2$ ,  $C_n^k$  is edge-graceful if and only if n is odd and, for  $k \geq n/2$ ,  $C_n^k$  is edge-graceful

if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ . Lee, Seah, and Lo (see [422]) have proved that for n odd,  $C_{2n} \cup C_{2n+1}, C_n \cup C_{2n+2}$ , and  $C_n \cup C_{4n}$  are edge-graceful. They also show that for odd k and odd n,  $kC_n$  is edge-graceful. Lee and Seah (see [422]) prove that the generalized Petersen graph P(n,k) (see Section 2.7 for the definition) is edge-graceful if and only if n is even and k < n/2. In particular,  $P(n,1) = C_n \times P_2$  is edge-graceful if and only if n is even.

Schaffer and Lee [582] proved that  $C_m \times C_n$  (m > 2, n > 2) is edge-graceful if and only if m and n are odd. They also showed that if G and H are edge-graceful regular graphs of odd order then  $G \times H$  is edge-graceful and that if G and H are edge-graceful graphs where G is c-regular of odd order m and H is d-regular of odd order n, then  $G \times H$  is edge-magic if  $\gcd(c,n) = \gcd(d,m) = 1$ . They further show that if H has odd order, is 2d-regular and edge-graceful with  $\gcd(d,m) = 1$ , then  $C_{2m} \times H$  is edge-magic and if G is odd-regular, edge-graceful of even order m which is not divisible by 3, and G can be partitioned into 1-factors, then  $G \times C_m$  is edge-graceful.

In 1987 Lee (see [450]) conjectured that  $C_{2m} \cup C_{2n+1}$  is edge-graceful for all m and n except for  $C_4 \cup C_3$ . Lee, Seah, and Lo [450] have proved this for the case that m=n and m is odd. They also prove: the disjoint union of an odd number copies of  $C_m$  is edge-graceful when m is odd;  $C_n \cup C_{2n+2}$  is edge-graceful; and  $C_n \cup C_{4n}$  is edge-graceful for n odd.

Kendrick and Lee (see [422]) proved that there are only finitely many n for which  $K_{m,n}$  is edge-graceful and they completely solve the problem for m=2 and m=3. Ho, Lee, and Seah [350] use  $S(n; a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$  where n is odd and  $1 \leq a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_k < n/2$  to denote the (n, nk)-multigraph with vertices  $v_0, v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1}$  and edge set  $\{v_i v_j | i \neq j, i - j \equiv a_t \pmod{n} \text{ for } t=1,2,\ldots,k\}$ . They prove that all such multigraphs are edge-graceful. Lee and Pritikin (see [422]) prove that the Möbius ladders (see §2.2 for definition) of order 4n are edge-graceful. Lee, Tong, and Seah [456] have conjectured that the total graph of a (p,p)-graph is edge-graceful if and only if p is even. They have proved this conjecture for cycles.

Kuang, Lee, Mitchem, and Wang [415] have conjectured that unicyclic graphs of odd order are edge-graceful. They have verified this conjecture in the following cases: graphs obtained by identifying the end point of a path  $P_m$  with a vertex of  $C_n$  when m + n is even; crowns with one pendant edge deleted; graphs obtained from crowns by identifying an endpoint of  $P_m$ , m odd, with a vertex of degree 1; amalgamations of a cycle and a star obtained by identifying the center of the star with a cycle vertex where the resulting graph has odd order; graphs obtained from  $C_n$  by joining a pendant edge to n-1 of the cycle vertices and two pendant edges to the remaining cycle vertex.

Hefetz [334] has shown that a graph G = (V, E) of the form  $G = H \cup f_1 \cup f_2 \cup \cdots \cup f_r$  where H = (V, E') is edge-graceful and the  $f_i$ 's are 2-factors is also edge-graceful and that a regular graph of even degree that has a 2-factor consisting of k circuits each of length t where k and t are odd is edge-graceful.

A graph with p vertices and q edges is said to be k-edge-graceful if its edges can be labeled with  $k, k+1, \ldots, k+q-1$  such that the sums of the edges incident to each vertex are distinct modulo p. In [459] Lee and Wang show that for each  $k \neq 1$  there are only

finitely many trees that are k-edge graceful (there are infinitely many 1-edge graceful trees). They describe completely the k-edge-graceful trees for k = 0, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

In 1991 Lee [422] defined the edge-graceful spectrum of a graph G as the set of all nonnegative integers k such that G has a k-edge graceful labeling. In [460] Lee, Wang, Ng, and Wang determine the edge-graceful spectrum of the following graphs:  $G \odot K_1$  where G is an even cycle with one chord; two even cycles of the same order joined by an edge; and two even cycles of the same order sharing a common vertex with an arbitrary number of pendant edges attached at the common vertex (butterfly graph). Lee, Chen, and Wang [424] have determined the edge-graceful spectra for various cases of cycles with a chord and for certains cases of graphs obtained by joining two disjoint cycles with a chord (i.e., dumbell graphs). In [376] Kang, Lee and Wang determine the edge-graceful spectra of wheels.

A graph G(V, E) is called super edge-graceful if there is a bijection f from E to  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm (|E|-1)/2\}$  when |E| is odd and from E to  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm |E|/2\}$  when |E| is even such that the induced vertex labeling  $f^*$  defined by  $f^*(u) = \sum f(uv)$  over all edges uv is a bijection from V to  $\{0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, \pm (p-1)/2\}$  when p is odd and from V to  $\{\pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots, p/2\}$  when p is even. Lee, Wang, and Nowak [462] proved the following:  $K_{1,n}$  is super-edge-magic if and only if p is even; the double star p is super edge-graceful if and only if p and p are both odd. They conjecture that all trees of odd order are super edge-graceful.

It is not the case that a super edge-graceful graph is edge-graceful. Lee, Chen, Yera, and Wang [423] proved that if G is a super edge-graceful with p vertices and q edges and  $q \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$  when q is even, or  $q \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  when q is odd, then G is also edge-graceful. They also prove: the graph obtained from a connected super edge-graceful unicyclic graph of even order by joining any two nonadjacent vertices by an edge is super edge-graceful; the graph obtained from a super edge-graceful graph with p vertices and p+1 edges by appending two edges to any vertex is super edge-graceful; the one-point union of two identical cycles is super edge-graceful. Gayathri [297] calls a (p,q)-graph with  $q \geq p$  even edge-graceful if there is an injection f from the set of edges to  $\{1, 2, 3, \dots, 2q\}$ such that the values of the induced mapping  $f^+$  from the vertex set to  $\{0, 1, 2, \dots, 2q-1\}$ given by  $f^+(x) = (\Sigma f(xy)) \pmod{2q}$  over all edges xy are distinct and even. Gayathri proves the following: cycles are even edge-graceful if and only if the cycles are odd; wheels are even edge-graceful; gears (see §2.2 for the definition) are not even edge-graceful; crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$  are even edge-graceful;  $C_n^{(m)}$  (see §2.2 for the definition) are even edge-graceful; closed helms (see §2.2 for the definition) with the center vertex removed are even edgegraceful; graphs decomposable into two odd Hamiltonian cycles are even edge-graceful; and odd order graphs that are decomposable into three Hamiltonian cycles are even edgegraceful.

In 1997 Yilmaz and Cahit [775] introduced a weaker version of edge-graceful called E-cordial. Let G be a graph with vertex set V and edge set E and let f a function from E to  $\{0,1\}$ . Define f on V by  $f(v) = \sum \{f(uv)|uv \in E\} \pmod{2}$ . The function f is called an E-cordial labeling of G if the number of vertices labeled 0 and the number of vertices labeled 1 differ by at most 1 and the number of edges labeled 0 and the number

of edges labeled 1 differ by at most 1. A graph that admits an E-cordial labeling is called E-cordial. Yilmaz and Cahit prove the following graphs are E-cordial: trees with n vertices if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $K_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $K_{m,n}$  if and only if  $m + n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; regular graphs of degree 1 on 2n vertices if and only if n is even; friendship graphs  $C_3^{(n)}$  for all n (see §2.2 for the definition); fans  $F_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ; and wheels  $W_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ . They observe that graphs with  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  vertices can not be E-cordial. They generalize E-cordial labelings to  $E_k$ -cordial (k > 1) labelings by replacing  $\{0, 1\}$  by  $\{0, 1, 2, \ldots, k-1\}$ . Of course,  $E_2$ -cordial is the same as E-cordial.

Devaraj [228] has shown that M(m, n), the mirror graph of K(m, n) (see §2.3 for the definition), is E-cordial when m + n is even and the generalized Petersen graph P(n, k) is E-cordial when n is even. (Recall that P(n, 1) is  $C_n \times P_2$ .)

The table following summarizes the state of knowledge about edge-graceful labelings. In the table **EG** means edge-graceful labeling exists. A question mark following an abbreviation indicates that the graph is conjectured to have the corresponding property.

Table 15: Summary of Edge-graceful Labelings

Graph	Types	Notes
$K_n$	EG	iff $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [419]
odd order trees	EG?	[421]
$K_{n,n,\dots,n}$ (k terms)	EG	iff $n$ is odd or $k \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ [447]
$C_n^k, \ k < \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$	EG	iff $n$ is odd [446]
$C_n^k, \ k \ge \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$	EG	iff $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4} [446]$
$P_3[K_n]$	EG	n  is odd  [446]
$M_{4n}$ (Möbius ladders)	EG	[422]
odd order dragons	EG	[415]
odd order uncycilc graphs	EG?	[415]
$P_{2m} \times P_{2n}$	EG	iff $m = n = 2$ [431]
$C_n \cup P_2$	EG	n even [450]
$C_{2n} \cup C_{2n+1}$	EG	n  odd  [450]
$C_n \cup C_{2n+2}$	EG	[450]
$C_n \cup C_{4n}$	EG	n  odd  [450]
$C_{2m} \cup C_{2n+1}$	EG?	$(m,n) \neq (4,3) \text{ odd } [451]$
P(n,k) generalized Petersen graph	EG	n  even,  k < n/2  [422]
$C_m \times C_n$	EG?	$(m,n) \neq (4,3) [451]$

### 6.4 Line-graceful Labelings

Gnanajothi [302] has defined a concept similar to edge-graceful. She calls a graph with nvertices line-graceful if it is possible to label its edges with  $0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$  such that when each vertex is assigned the sum modulo n of all the edge labels incident with that vertex the resulting vertex labels are  $0, 1, \ldots, n-1$ . A necessary condition for the line-gracefulness of a graph is that its order is not congruent to 2 (mod 4). Among line-graceful graphs are (see [302, pp. 132–181])  $P_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $K_{1,n}$  if and only if  $n \not\equiv 1 \pmod{4}$ ;  $P_n \odot K_1$  (combs) if and only if n is even;  $(P_n \odot K_1) \odot K_1$ if and only if  $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ; (in general, if G has order n,  $G \odot H$  is the graph obtained by taking one copy of G and n copies of H and joining the ith vertex of G with an edge to every vertex in the ith copy of H);  $mC_n$  when mn is odd;  $C_n \odot K_1$  (crowns) if and only if n is even;  $mC_4$  for all m; complete n-ary trees when n is even;  $K_{1,n} \cup K_{1,n}$  if and only if n is odd; odd cycles with a chord; even cycles with a tail; even cycles with a tail of length 1 and a chord; graphs consisting of two triangles having a common vertex and tails of equal length attached to a vertex other than the common one; the complete n-ary tree when n is even; trees for which exactly one vertex has even degree. She conjectures that all trees with  $p \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  vertices are line-graceful and proved this conjecture for p < 9.

Gnanajothi [302] has investigated the line-gracefulness of several graphs obtained from stars. In particular, the graph obtained from  $K_{1,4}$  by subdividing one spoke to form a path of even order (counting the center of the star) is line-graceful; the graph obtained from a star by inserting one vertex in a single spoke is line-graceful if and only if the star has  $p \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$  vertices; the graph obtained from  $K_{1,n}$  by replacing each spoke with a path of length m (counting the center vertex) is line-graceful in the following cases: n = 2; n = 3 and  $m \not\equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ; and m is even and  $mn + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

Gnanajothi studied graphs obtained by joining disjoint graphs G and H with an edge. She proved such graphs are line-graceful in the following circumstances: G = H;  $G = P_n, H = P_m$  and  $m + n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ ; and  $G = P_n \odot K_1$ ,  $H = P_m \odot K_1$  and  $m + n \not\equiv 0 \pmod{4}$ .

# 6.5 Radio Labelings

In 2001 Chartrand, Erwin, Zhang, and Harary [198] were motivated by regulations for channel assignments of FM radio stations to introduce radio labelings of graphs. A radio labeling of a connected graph G is an injection c from the vertices of G to the natural numbers such that

$$d(u,v) + |c(u) - c(v)| \ge 1 + diam(G)$$

for every two distinct vertices u and v of G. The radio number of c, rn(c), is the maximum number assigned to any vertex of G. The radio number of G, rn(G), is the minimum value of rn(c) taken over all radio labelings c of G. Chartrand et al. and Zhang [788] gave bounds for the radio numbers of cycles. The exact values for the radio numbers for paths and cycles were reported by Liu and Zhu [483] as follows: for odd  $n \geq 3$ ,  $rn(P_n) = (n - 1)^n$ 

 $1)^2/2+2$ ; for even  $n \ge 4$ ,  $rn(P_n) = n^2/2-n+1$ ;  $rn(C_{4k}) = (k+2)(k-2)/2+1$ ;  $rn(C_{4k+1}) = (k+1)(k-1)/2$ ;  $rn(C_{4k+2}) = (k+2)(k-2)/2+1$ ; and  $rn(C_{4k+3}) = (k+2)(k-1)/2$ . However, Chartrand, Erwin, and Zhang [197] obtained different values than Liu and Zhu for  $P_4$  and  $P_5$ . Chartrand, Erwin, and Zhang [197] proved:  $rn(P_n) \le \binom{n-1}{2} + n/2+1$  when n is even;  $rn(P_n) \le \binom{n}{2} + 1$  when n is odd;  $rn(P_n) < rn(P_{n+1})$  (n > 1); for a connected graph G of diameter d,  $rn(G) \ge (d+1)^2/4+1$  when d is odd; and  $rn(G) \ge d(d+2)/4+1$  when d is even.

Chartrand, Erwin, Zhang, and Harary [198] proved:  $rn(K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_k}) = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_k + k - 1$ ; if G is a connected graph of order n and diameter 2, then  $n \leq rn(G) \leq 2n - 2$ ; and for every pair of integers k and n with  $n \leq k \leq 2n - 2$ , there exists a connected graph of order n and diameter 2 with rn(G) = k. They further provide a characterization of connected graphs of order n and diameter 2 with prescribed radio number.

Liu and Xie [482] investigated the radio numbers of squares of cycles. Letting n = 4k+r where r = 0, 1, 2 or 3, they proved:

```
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 5k - 1)/2, if r = 0 and k is odd;
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 3k)/2, if r = 0 and k is even;
rn(C_n^2) \ge (k^2 + k), if r = 1 and k \equiv 1 \pmod{4};
rn(C_n^2) = (k^2 + k), if r = 1 and k \equiv 3 \pmod{4};
rn(C_n^2) = (k^2 + 2k), if r = 1 and k is even;
rn(C_n^2) = (k^2 + 5k + 1), if r = 2 and k is odd;
rn(C_n^2) = (k^2 + 4k + 1), if r = 2 and k \equiv 2 \pmod{4};
rn(C_n^2) \ge (2k^2 + 7k + 3)/2, if r = 3 and k is odd;
rn(C_n^2) \ge (2k^2 + 9k + 4)/2, if r = 3 and k is even;
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 5k - 1)/2, if r = 2 and k is odd;
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 9k + 4)/2, if r = 3 and k \equiv 0 \pmod{4};
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 9k + 4)/2, if r = 3 and k = 4m + 2 for some m \not\equiv 5 \pmod{7};
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 7k + 3)/2 if r = 3 and k = 4m + 1 where m \equiv 0 or 1 (mod 3);
rn(C_n^2) = (2k^2 + 7k + 5)/2 if r = 3 and k = 4m + 1 where m \equiv 2 \pmod{3};
rn(C_n^2) \ge (2k^2 + 7k + 5)/2 if r = 3 and k = 4m + 3 where m \equiv 0 \pmod{3};
rn(C_n^2) > (2k^2 + 7k + 3)/2 if r = 3 and k = 4m + 3 where m \equiv 1 or 2 (mod 3).
They also prove that if r=3 for some k=4m+2 and m\equiv 5\pmod 7, then (2k^2+1)
9k+4)/2 \le rn(C_n^2) \le 2k^2+9k+10)/2 and conjecture that if k \equiv 3 \pmod{4}, then
rn(C_{4k+1}^2) = k^2 + k + 2.
```

In [481] Liu found a lower bound for the radio number of trees and characterizes the trees that achieve the bound. She also provides a lower bound for the radio number of spiders (see §5.2 for the definition) in terms of the lengths of their legs and characterizes the spiders that achieve this bound.

### **6.6** Representations of Graphs modulo n

In 1989 Erdős and Evans [251] defined a representation modulo n of a graph G with vertices  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_r$  as a set  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_r\}$  of distinct, nonnegative integers each less than n satisfying  $gcd(a_i - a_j, n) = 1$  if and only if  $v_i$  is adjacent to  $v_j$ . They proved that every finite graph can be represented modulo some positive integer. The representation number, Rep(G), is smallest such integer. Obviously the representation number of a graph is prime if and only if a graph is complete. Evans, Fricke, Maneri, McKee, and Perkel [259] have shown that a graph is representable modulo a product of a pair of distinct primes if and only if the graph does not contain an induced subgraph isomorphic to  $K_2 \cup 2K_1$ ,  $K_3 \cup K_1$ , or the complement of a chordless cycle of length at least five. Nešetřil and Pultr [538] showed that every graph can be represented modulo a product of some set of distinct primes. Evans et al. [259] proved that if G is representable modulo n and p is a prime divisor of n, then  $p > \chi(G)$ . Evans, Isaak, and Narayan [260] determined representation numbers for specific families as follows (here we use  $q_i$  to denote the ith prime and for any prime  $p_i$  we use  $p_{i+1}, p_{i+2}, \ldots, p_{i+k}$  to denote the next k primes larger than  $p_i$ ): Rep $(P_n)$  =  $2 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot q_{\lceil \log_2(n-1) \rceil}$ ; Rep $(C_4) = 4$  and for  $n \ge 3$ , Rep $(C_{2n}) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot q_{\lceil \log_2(n-1) \rceil + 1}$ ; Rep $(C_5)$  $= 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 = 105$  and for  $n \ge 4$  and not a power of 2,  $\operatorname{Rep}(C_{2n+1}) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1}$ ; if  $m \geq n \geq 3$ , then  $\text{Rep}(K_m - P_n) = p_i p_{i+1}$  where  $p_i$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to  $m-n+\lceil n/2 \rceil$ ; if  $m \geq n \geq 4$ , and  $p_i$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to  $m-n+\lceil n/2 \rceil$ , then  $\text{Rep}(K_m-C_n)=q_iq_{i+1}$  if n is even and  $\text{Rep}(K_m-C_n)$  $=q_iq_{i+1}q_{i+2}$  if n is odd; if  $n \leq m-1$ , then  $\operatorname{Rep}(K_m-K_{1,n})=p_sp_{s+1}\cdots p_{s+n-1}$  where  $p_s$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to m-1; Rep $(K_m)$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to m; Rep $(nK_2) = 2 \cdot 3 \cdot \cdots \cdot q_{\lceil \log_2 n \rceil + 1}$ ; if  $n, m \ge 2$ , then Rep $(nK_m)$  $= p_i p_{i+1} \cdots p_{i+m-1}$ , where  $p_i$  is the smallest prime satisfying  $p_i \geq m$ , if and only if there exists a set of n-1 mutually orthogonal Latin squares of order m; Rep $(mK_1) = 2m$ ; and if  $t \leq (m-1)!$ , then  $\text{Rep}(K_m + tK_1) = p_s p_{s+1} \cdots p_{s+m-1}$  where  $p_s$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to m. Narayan [537] proved that for  $r \geq 3$  the maximum value for  $\operatorname{Rep}(G)$  over all graphs of order r is  $p_s p_{s+1} \cdots p_{s+r-2}$ , where  $p_s$  is the smallest prime that is greater than or equal to r-1.

Evans [258] used matries over the additive group of a finite field to obtain various bounds for the representation number of graphs of the form  $nK_m$ . Among them are  $\text{Rep}(4K_3) = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$ ;  $\text{Rep}(7K_5) = 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19 \cdot 23$ ; and  $\text{Rep}((3q-1)/2)K_q) \leq p_q p_{q+1} \cdots p_{(3q-1)/2)}$  where q is a prime power with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ ,  $p_q$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to q, and the remaining terms are the next consecutive (3q-3)/2 primes;  $\text{Rep}(2q-2)K_q) \leq p_q p_{q+1} \cdots p_{(3q-3)/2)}$  where q is a prime power with  $q \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , and  $p_q$  is the smallest prime greater than or equal to q;  $\text{Rep}((2q-2)K_q) \leq p_q p_{q+1} \cdots p_{2q-3}$ .

In [536] Narayan asked for the values of  $\text{Rep}(C_{2^k+1})$  when  $k \geq 3$  and Rep(G) when G is a complete multipartite graph or a disjoint union of complete graphs. He also asked about the behavior of the representation number for ramdom graphs.

### 6.7 k-sequential Labelings

In 1981 Bange, Barkauskas, and Slater [97] defined a k-sequential labeling f of a graph G(V, E) as one for which f is a bijection from  $V \cup E$  to  $\{k, k+1, \ldots, |V \cup E| + k-1\}$ such that for each edge xy in E, f(xy) = |f(x) - f(y)|. This generalized the notion of simply sequential where k=1 introduced by Slater. Bange, Barkauskas, and Slater showed that cycles are 1-sequential and if G is 1-sequential then  $G+K_1$  is graceful. Hegde [337] proved that every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of a 1-sequential graph. Hegde and Shetty [342] have shown that every  $T_p$ -tree (see §4.2 for the definition) is 1-sequential. In [656], Slater proved:  $K_n$  is 1-sequential if and only if  $n \leq 3$ ; for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $K_n$  is not k-sequential for any  $k \geq 2$ ; and  $K_{1,n}$  is k-sequential if and only if k divides n. Acharya and Hegde [16] proved: if G is k-sequential, then k is at most the independence number of G;  $P_{2n}$  is n-sequential for all n and  $P_{2n+1}$  is both n-sequential and (n+1)sequential for all n;  $K_{m,n}$  is k-sequential for k = 1, m, and n;  $K_{m,n,1}$  is 1-sequential; and the join of any caterpillar and  $K_t$  is 1-sequential. Acharya [11] showed that if G(E,V) is an odd graph with  $|E| + |V| \equiv 1$  or  $2 \pmod{4}$  when k is odd or  $|E| + |V| \equiv 2$  or  $3 \pmod{4}$ when k is even, then G is not k-sequential. Acharya also observed that as a consequence of results of Bermond, Kotzig, and Turgeon [130] we have:  $mK_4$  is not k-sequential for any k when m is odd and  $mK_2$  is not k-sequential for any odd k when  $m \equiv 2$  or 3 (mod 4) or for any even k when  $m \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 4). He further noted that  $K_{m,n}$  is not k-sequential when k is even and m and n are odd, whereas  $K_{m,k}$  is k-sequential for all k. Acharya [11] points out that the following result of Slater's [657] for k=1 linking k-graceful graphs and k-sequential graphs holds in general: A graph is k-sequential if and only if G + v has a k-graceful labeling f with f(v) = 0. Slater [656] also proved that a k-sequential graph with p vertices and q>0 edges must satisfy k < p-1. Hegde [337] proved that every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of a simply sequential graph. In [11] Acharya conjectured that if G is a connected k-sequential graph of order pwith  $k > \lfloor p/2 \rfloor$ , then k = p - 1 and  $G = K_{1,p-1}$  and that, except for  $K_{1,p-1}$ , every tree in which all vertices are odd is k-sequential for all odd positive integers  $k \leq p/2$ . In [337] Hegde gave counterexamples for both of these conjectures.

# 6.8 IC-colorings

For a subgraph H of a graph G with vertex set V and a coloring f from V to the natural numbers define  $f_s(H) = \Sigma f(v)$  over all  $v \in H$ . The coloring f is called an IC-coloring if for any integer k between 1 and  $f_s(G)$  there is a connected subgraph H of G such that  $f_s(H) = k$ . The IC-index of a graph G, M(G), is  $\max\{f_s | f_s \text{ is an IC-coloring of } G\}$ . Salehi, Lee, and Khatirinejad [576] obtained the following:  $M(K_n) = 2^n - 1$ ; for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $M(K_{1,n}) = 2^n + 2$ ; if  $\Delta$  is the maximum degree of a connected graph G, then  $M(G) \geq 2^{\Delta} + 2$ ; if  $ST(n; 3^n)$  is the graph obtained by identifying the end points of n paths of length 3, then  $ST(n; 3^n)$  is at least  $3^n + 3$  (they conjecture that equality holds for  $n \geq 4$ ); for  $n \geq 2$ ,  $M(K_{2,n}) = 3 \cdot 2^n + 1$ ;  $M(P_n) \geq (2 + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor)(n - \lfloor n/2 \rfloor) + \lfloor n/2 \rfloor - 1$ ; for  $m, n \geq 2$ , the IC-index of the double star DS(m, n) is at least  $(2^{m-1} + 1)(2^{n-1} + 1)$  (they conjecture that equality holds); for  $n \geq 3$ ,  $n(n+1)/2 \leq M(C_n) \leq n(n-1) + 1$ ; and for

 $n \geq 3$ ,  $2^n + 2 \leq M(W_n) \leq 2^n + n(n-1) + 1$ . They pose the following open problems: find the IC-index of the graph obtained by identifying the end points of n paths of length b; find the IC-index of the graph obtained by identifying the end points of n paths of lengths  $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_n$ ; and find the IC-index of  $K_{m,n}$ .

### 6.9 Product Cordial Labelings

Sundaram and Somasundaram have introduced the notion of product cordial labelings. A product cordial labeling of a graph G with vertex set V is a function f from V to  $\{0,1\}$  such that if each edge uv is assigned the label f(u)f(v), the number of vertices labeled with 0 and the number of vertices labeled with 1 differ by at most 1, and the number of edges labeled with 1 differ by at most 1.

A graph with a product cordial labeling is called a product cordial graph. In [691] and [693] Sundaram, Ponraj, and Somasundaram prove the following graphs are product cordial: trees; unicyclic graphs of odd order; triangular snakes; dragons; helms;  $P_m \cup P_n$ ;  $C_m \cup P_n$ ;  $P_m \cup K_{1,n}$ ;  $W_m \cup f_n$  ( $f_n$  is the fan  $P_n + K_1$ );  $K_{1,m} \cup K_{1,n}$ ;  $W_m \cup K_{1,n}$ ;  $W_m \cup P_n$ ;  $W_m \cup C_n$ ; the total graph of  $P_n$  (the total graph of  $P_n$  has vertex set  $V(P_n) \cup E(P_n)$  with two vertices adjacent whenever they are neighbors in  $P_n$ );  $C_n$  if and only if n < 4; the one point union of t copies of  $C_n(t)$  provided t is even or both t and n are even;  $K_2 + mK_1$  if and only if m is odd;  $C_m \cup P_n$  if and only if m + n is odd;  $K_{m,n} \cup P_s$  if s > mn;  $C_{n+2} \cup K_{1,n}$ ;  $K_n \cup K_{n,(n-1)/2}$  when n is odd;  $K_n \cup K_{n-1,n/2}$  when n is even; and  $P_n^2$  if and only if n is odd. They also prove that  $K_{m,n}$  (m, n > 2),  $P_m \times P_n$  (m, n > 2) and wheels are not product cordial and if a (p,q) graph is product cordial graph, then q < (p-1)(p+1)/4.

Sundaram and Somasundaram [694] have introduced the notion of total product cordial labelings. A total product cordial labeling of a graph G with vertex set V is a function f from V to  $\{0,1\}$  such that if each edge uv is assigned the label f(u)f(v) the number of vertices and edges labeled with 0 and the number of vertices and edges labeled with 1 differ by at most 1. A graph with a total product cordial labeling is called a total product cordial graph. In [694] and [695] Sundaram, Ponraj, and Somasundaram prove the following graphs are total product cordial: every product cordial graph of even order or odd order and even size; trees; all cycles except  $C_4$ ;  $K_{n,2n-1}$ ;  $C_n$  with m edges appended at each vertex; fans; double fans; wheels; helms;  $C_2 \times P_2$ ;  $K_{2,n}$  if and only if  $n \equiv 2 \pmod{4}$ ;  $P_m \times P_n$  if and only if  $(m,n) \neq (2,2)$ ;  $C_n + 2K_1$  if and only if  $n \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ ;  $K_n \times 2K_2$  if  $n \equiv 0$  or  $n \equiv 0$ 

# 6.10 Prime Cordial Labelings

Sundaram and Somasundaram have also introduced the notion of prime cordial labelings. A prime cordial labeling of a graph G with vertex set V is a bijection f from V to  $\{1, 2, \ldots, |V|\}$  such that if each edge uv is assigned the label 1 if  $\gcd(f(u), f(v)) = 1$  and 0 if  $\gcd(f(u), f(v)) > 1$  then the number of edges labeled with 0 and the number of edges labeled with 1 differ by at most 1. In [692] Sundaram, Ponraj, and Somasundram prove

the following graphs are prime cordial:  $C_n$  if and only if  $n \geq 6$ ;  $P_n$  if and only if  $n \neq 3$  or 5;  $K_{1,n}$  (n odd); the graph obtained by subdividing each edge of  $K_{1,n}$  if and only if  $n \geq 3$ ; bistars; dragons; crowns; triangular snakes  $T_n$  if and only if  $n \geq 3$ ; ladders;  $K_{1,n}$  if n is even and there exists a prime p such that 2p < n + 1 < 3p;  $K_{2,n}$  if n is even and if there exists a prime p such that 3p < n + 2 < 4p; and  $K_{3,n}$  if n is odd and if there exists a prime p such that 5p < n + 3 < 6p. They also prove that if G is a prime cordial graph of even size, then the graph obtained by identifying the central vertex of  $K_{1,n}$  with the vertex of G labeled with 2 is prime cordial and if G is a prime cordial graph of odd size, then the graph obtained by identifying the central vertex of  $K_{1,2n}$  with the vertex of G labeled with 2 is prime cordial. They further prove that  $K_n$  is not prime cordial for 4 < n < 181 and  $K_{m,n}$  is not prime cordial for a number of special cases of m and n.

## 6.11 Binary Labelings

In 1996 Caccetta and Jia [175] introduced binary labelings of graphs. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A mapping  $f: E \to \{0, 1\}^m$  is called an M-coding of G if the induced mapping  $g: V \to \{0, 1\}^m$ , defined as  $g(v) = (\sum_{u \in V, uv \in E} f(uv)) \pmod{2}$  is injective. An M-coding f is called positive if the zero vector is not assigned to any edge and the induced labeling g does not assign the zero vector to any vertex. Cacetta and Jia show that the minimal m for a positive M-coding equals k+1 if  $|V| \in \{2^k, 2^k-2, 2^k-3\}$  and k otherwise, where  $k = \lceil \log_2 |V| \rceil$ .

## 6.12 Average Labelings

In 1997 Harminc [325] introduced a new kind of labeling in an effort to characterize forests and graphs without edges. Let G = (V, E) be a graph. A mapping f from V to the natural numbers is called average labeling if for any pair of edges of the form vu and uw one has f(u) = (f(v) + f(w))/2. A labeling is called nontrivial if any connected component of G (excluding isolated vertices) has at least two differently labeled vertices. Harminc provides three results towards the characterization of hereditary graphs properties in terms of average labelings. In particular, all maximal connected subgraphs of G are exactly paths (i.e., G is a linear forest) if and only if there exists a nontrivial average labeling of G. He also characterizes forests and graphs without edges by introducing a bit more complicated average-type labelings. In 2001 Harminc and Soták [326] gave a characterization of all non-complete connected graphs that have a non-trivial average labeling.

# 6.13 Sequentially Additive Graphs

Bange, Barkauskas, and Slater [98] defined a k-sequentially additive labeling f of a graph G(V, E) to be a bijection from  $V \cup E$  to  $\{k, \ldots, k + |V \cup E| - 1\}$  such that for each edge xy, f(xy) = f(x) + f(y). They proved:  $K_n$  is 1-sequentially additive if and only if  $n \leq 3$ ;  $C_{3n+1}$  is not k-sequentially additive for  $k \equiv 0$  or 2 (mod 3);  $C_{3n+2}$  is not k-sequentially additive for  $k \equiv 1$  or 2 (mod 3);  $C_n$  is 1-sequentially additive if and only

if  $n \equiv 0$  or 1 (mod 3); and  $P_n$  is 1-sequentially additive. They conjecture that all trees are 1-sequentially additive. Hegde [339] proved that  $K_{1,n}$  is k-sequentially additive if and only if k divides n.

Acharya and Hegde [18] have generalized k-sequentially additive labelings by allowing the image of the bijection to be  $\{k, k+d, \ldots, (k+|V\cup E|-1)d\}$ . They call such a labeling additively (k, d)-sequential.

### 6.14 Divisor Graphs

G. Santhosh and G. Singh [581] call a graph G(V, E) a divisor graph if V is a set of integers and  $uv \in E$  if and only if u divides v or vice versa. They prove the following are divisor graphs: trees;  $mK_n$ ; induced subgraphs of divisor graphs;  $H_{m,n}$  (see Section 5.7 for the definition); the one-point union of complete graphs of different orders; complete bipartite graphs;  $W_n$  for n even and n > 2; and  $P_n + \overline{K}_t$ . They also prove that  $C_n$  ( $n \ge 4$ ) is a divisor graph if and only if n is even and if G is a divisor graph then for all n so is  $G + K_n$ .

## 6.15 Strongly Multiplicative Graphs

Beineke and Hegde [121] call a graph with p vertices strongly multiplicative if the vertices of G can be labeled with distinct integers  $1, 2, \ldots, p$  such that the labels induced on the edges by the product of the end vertices are distinct. They prove the following graphs are strongly multiplicative: trees; cycles; wheels;  $K_n$  if and only if  $n \leq 5$ ;  $K_{r,r}$  if and only if  $r \leq 4$ ; and  $P_m \times P_n$ . They then consider the maximum number of edges a strongly multiplicative graph on n vertices can have. Denoting this number by  $\lambda(n)$ , they show:  $\lambda(4r) \leq 6r^2$ ;  $\lambda(4r+1) \leq 6r^2 + 4r$ ;  $\lambda(4r+2) \leq 6r^2 + 6r + 1$ ; and  $\lambda(4r+3) \leq 6r^2 + 10r + 3$ . Adiga, Ramaswamy, and Somashekara [21] give the bound  $\lambda(n) \leq n(n+1)/2 + n - 2 - \lfloor (n+2)/4 \rfloor - \sum_{i=2}^n i/p(i)$  where p(i) is the smallest prime dividing i. For large values of n this is a better upper bound for  $\lambda(n)$  than the one given by Beineke and Hegde. It remains an open problem to find a nontrivial lower bound for  $\lambda(n)$ .

Seoud and Zid [603] prove the following graphs are strongly multiplicative: wheels;  $rK_n$  for all r and n at most 5;  $rK_n$  for  $r \ge 2$  and n = 6 or 7;  $rK_n$  for  $r \ge 3$  and n = 8 or 9;  $K_{4,r}$  for all r; and the corona of  $P_n$  and  $K_m$  for all n = 1 and n = 1

# 6.16 Strongly ⋆-graphs

A variation of strong multiplicity of graphs is a strongly  $\star$ -graph. A graph of order n is said to be a  $strongly \star$ -graph if its vertices can be assigned the values  $1, 2, \ldots, n$  in such a way that, when an edge whose vertices are labeled i and j is labeled with the value i+j+ij, all edges have different labels. Adiga and Somashekara [22] have shown that all trees, cycles, and grids are strongly  $\star$ -graphs. They further consider the problem of determining the maximum number of edges in any strongly  $\star$ -graph of given order and relate it to the corresponding problem for strongly multiplicative graphs.

### 6.17 Mean Labelings

Somasundaram and Ponraj have introduced the notion of mean labelings of graphs. A graph G with p vertices and q edges is called a  $mean\ graph$  if there is an injective function f from the vertices of G to  $\{0,1,2,\ldots,q\}$  such that when each edge uv is labeled with (f(u)+f(v))/2 if f(u)+f(v) is even, and (f(u)+f(v)+1)/2 if f(u)+f(v) is odd, then the resulting edge labels are distinct. In [666], [667], [668], [669], and [557] they prove the following graphs are mean graphs:  $P_n$ ,  $C_n$ ,  $K_{2,n}$ ,  $K_2+mK_1$ ,  $\overline{K_n}+2K_2$ ,  $C_m \cup P_n$ ,  $P_m \times P_n$ , if and only if  $P_n \times P_n$ , if any independent in  $P_n \times P_n$ , if any independent in  $P_n \times P_n$ , if  $P_n \times P_n$ , if

## 6.18 Irregular total Labelings

Motivated by the notion of the irregularity strength of a graph introduced by Chartrand et al. [199] in 1988 and various kinds of other total labelings Bača, Jengroľ, Miller, and Ryan [74] introduced the total edge irregularity strength of a graph as follows. For a graph G(V, E) a labeling  $\partial: V \cup E \to \{1, 2, ..., k\}$  is called an edge irregular total k-labeling if for every pair of distinct edges uv and xy,  $\partial(u) + \partial(uv) + \partial(v) \neq \partial(x) + \partial(xy) + \partial(y)$ . Similarly,  $\partial$  is called an vertex irregular total k-labeling if for every pair of distinct vertices u and v,  $\partial(u) + \sum \partial(e)$  over all edges e incident to v. The minimum k for which G has an edge (vertex) irregular total k-labeling is called the total edge (vertex) irregularity strength of G. The total edge (vertex) irregular strength of G is denoted by G (tvsG). They prove: for G(V, E), E not empty, G (G) if G) if G (G) if G (G) if G) if G (G) if G (G) if G) if G (G) if G (G) if G (G) if G) if G (G) if G (G) if G (G) if G) if G (G) if G

# 6.19 Sigma Labelings

Vilfred and Jinnah [725] call a labeling f from V(G) to  $\{1, 2, ..., |V(G)|\}$  a sigma labeling if for every vertex u the sum of all f(v) such that v is adjacent to u is a constant independent of u. This notion was first introduced by Vilfred in his Ph. D. thesis in 1994. In [725] Vilfred and Jinnah give a number of necessary conditions for a graph to have a sigma labeling. One of them is that if u and v are vertices of a graph with a sigma labeling then the order of the symmetric difference of N(u) and N(v) (neighborhoods of u and v) is not 1 or 2. This condition rules out a large class of graphs as having sigma labelings. Vilfred and Jinnah raise a number of open questions: does there exist connected graphs that have sigma labelings other than complete multipartite graphs (in [724] it is shown that  $K_{2,2,...,2}$  has a sigma labeling); which complete multipartite graphs have sigma labelings; is it true

that  $P_m \times C_n$  (m > 1) does not have a sigma labeling; and is every graph an induced subgraph of a graph with a sigma labeling (they show that every graph is a subgraph of a graph with a sigma labeling).

### 6.20 Difference Graphs

Analogous to a sum graph, Harary [321] calls a graph a difference graph if its vertices can be labeled with positive integers such that the positive difference of the endpoints of every edge is also a vertex label. Bloom, Hell, and Taylor [147] have shown that the following graphs are difference graphs: trees,  $C_n, K_n, K_{n,n}, K_{n,n-1}$ , pyramids, and n-prisms. Gervacio [298] proved that wheels  $W_n$  are difference graphs if and only if n = 3, 4, or 6. Sonntag [671] proved that cacti (that is, graphs in which every edge is contanied in at most one cycle) with girth at least 6 are difference graphs and he conjectures that all cacti are difference graphs.

## 6.21 Set Graceful and Set Sequential Graphs

The notions of set graceful and set sequential graphs were introduced in by Acharaya in 1983. A graph is called set graceful if there is an assignment of nonempty subsets of a finite set to the vertices and edges of the graph so that the value given to each edge is the symmetric difference of the sets assigned to the endpoints of the edge, the assignment of sets to the vertices is injective, and the assignment to the edges is bijective. A graph is called set sequential if there is an assignment of nonempty subsets of a finite set to the vertices and edges of the graph such that the value given to each edge is the symmetric difference of the sets assigned to the endpoints of the edge and the the assignment of sets to the vertices and the edges is bijective. The following has been shown: no cycle is set sequential [17]; a necessary condition for  $K_n$  to be set sequential is the n has the form  $(\sqrt{2^{m+3}+7}-1)/2$  for some m [17]; a necessary condition for  $K_{a,b,c}$  to be set sequential is that a, b, and c cannot have the same parity;  $K_{2,b,c}$  is not set sequential when b and care odd [341];  $P_n$  (n > 3) is not set graceful [341]; no theta graph is set graceful [341]; the complete nontrivial n-ary tree is set sequential if and only if n+1 is a power of 2 and the number of levels is 1 [341]; a tree is set sequential graceful if and only if it is set graceful [341]; every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of a connected set sequential graph |341|; and every graph can be embedded as an induced subgraph of a connected set graceful graph [341].

# References

- [1] V. J. Abhyankar, Direct methods of gracefully labeling graphs, Ph. D. thesis, University of Mumbai, 2002.
- [2] V. J. Abhyankar and V. N. Bhat-Nayak, Easiest graceful labeling of olive trees, Bull., Bombay Math. Coll., 14 (2000) 16-25.

- [3] V. J. Abhyankar and V. N. Bhat-Nayak, personal communication.
- [4] J. Abrham, Perfect systems of difference sets-A survey, Ars Combin., 17A (1984) 5-36.
- [5] J. Abrham, Graceful 2-regular graphs and Skolem sequences, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 115–121.
- [6] J. Abrham, M. Carter, and K. Eshghi, personal communication.
- [7] J. Abrham and A. Kotzig, Extensions of graceful valuations of 2-regular graphs consisting of 4-gons, Ars Combin., **32** (1991) 257-262.
- [8] J. Abrham and A. Kotzig, Two sequences of 2-regular graceful graphs consisting of 4-gons, in J. Nesetril and M. Fiedler, eds., 4th Czechoslovakian Symp. on Combinatorics, Graphs, and Complexity (Elsevier), Amsterdam, (1992) 1–14.
- [9] J. Abrham and A. Kotzig, All 2-regular graphs consisting of 4-cycles are graceful, Discrete Math., 135 (1994) 1–14.
- [10] J. Abrham and A. Kotzig, Graceful valuations of 2-regular graphs with two components, *Discrete Math.*, **150** (1996) 3-15.
- [11] B. D. Acharya, On d-sequential graphs, J. Math. Phy. Sci., 17 (1983) 21-35.
- [12] B. D. Acharya, Set valuations of a graph and their applications, MRI Lecture Notes in Applied Mathematics, No. 2, Mehta Research Institute, Allahabad, 1983.
- [13] B. D. Acharya, Are all polyminoes arbitrarily graceful?, *Proc. First Southeast Asian Graph Theory Colloquium*, Ed. K. M. Koh and H. P. Yap, Springer-Verlag, N. Y. 1984, 205-211.
- [14] M. Acharya and V. Bhat-Nayak, Minimal 4-equitability of  $C_{2n}OK_1$ , Ars Combin., **65** (2002) 209–236.
- [15] B. D. Acharya and M. K. Gill, On the index of gracefulness of a graph and the gracefulness of two-dimensional square lattice graphs, *Indian J. Math.*, **23** (1981) 81-94.
- [16] B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, Further results on k-sequential graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 8 (1985) 119-122.
- [17] B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, Set sequential graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 8 (1985) 387–390.
- [18] B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, Arithmetic graphs, J. Graph Theory, 14 (1990) 275-299.
- [19] B.D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, Strongly indexable graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 123-129.
- [20] B. D. Acharya and S. M. Hegde, On certain vertex valuations of a graph I, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **22** (1991) 553-560.
- [21] C. Adiga, H. Ramashekar, and D. Somashekara, A note on strongly multiplicative graphs, *Discuss. Math.*, **24** (2004) 81-83.

- [22] C. Adiga and D. Somashekara, Strongly \*-graphs, Math. Forum, 13 (1999/00) 31–36.
- [23] R.E. L. Aldred and B. D. McKay, Graceful and harmonious labellings of trees, personal communication.
- [24] R.E.L. Aldred, J. Širáň and M. Širáň, A note on the number of graceful labelings of paths, *Discrete Math.*, **261** (2003) 27-30.
- [25] N. Alon, Combinatorial nullstellensatz, Combinatorics, Probability and Computing, 8 (1999) 7-29.
- [26] N. Alon, G. Kaplan, A. Lev, Y. Roditty, and R. Yuster, Dense graphs are antimagic, J. Graph Theory, 47 (2004) 297-309.
- [27] N. Alon and E. Scheinerman, Generalized sum graphs, *Graphs and Combin.*, 8 (1992) 23-29.
- [28] S. Amutha and K. M. Kathiresan, Pendant edge extensions of two families of graphs, Proceed. of the National Seminar on Algebra and Discrete Math., Univ. Kerala, Thiruvananthapuram, India, 146-150.
- [29] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, Cordial labelings of some wheel related graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **41** (2002) 203-208.
- [30] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, A note on cordial labelings of multiple shells, Trends Math., (2002) 77-80.
- [31] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, On the coordinative of the t-uniform homeomorphs–I, Ars Combin., 66 (2003) 313-318.
- [32] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, On the coordiality of the t-uniform homeomorphs–II (Complete graphs), Ars Combin., 67 (2003) 213-220.
- [33] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, New families of cordial graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **53** (2005) 117–154.
- [34] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, On the coordinate of the t-ply  $P_t(u, v)$ , Ars Combin., 77 (2005) 245-259.
- [35] M. Andar, S. Boxwala, and N. Limaye, On the cordiality of corona graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **78** (2006) 179–199.
- [36] R. Aravamudhan and M. Murugan, Numbering of the vertices of  $K_{a,1,b}$ , preprint.
- [37] S. Arumugam and K. Germina, On indexable graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **161** (1996) 285-289.
- [38] S. Avadayappan, R. Vasuki, and Jeyanthi, Magic strength of a graph, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **31** (2000) 873-883.
- [39] J. Ayel and O. Favaron, Helms are graceful, *Progress in Graph Theory* (Waterloo, Ont., 1982), Academic Press, Toronto, Ont. (1984) 89-92.
- [40] J. Babujee, J. Baskar, and N. Rao, Edge-magic trees, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (2002) 1837–1840.

- [41] M. Bača, On magic and consecutive labelings for the special classes of plane graphs, *Util. Math.*, **32** (1987) 59–65.
- [42] M. Bača, Labelings of m-antiprisms, Ars Combin. 28 (1989) 242-245.
- [43] M. Bača, On magic labelings of Möbius ladders, J. Franklin Inst., 326 (1989) 885-888.
- [44] M. Bača, On magic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for three classes of plane graphs, *Math. Slovaca*, **39** (1989) 233-239.
- [45] M. Bača, On magic labelings of m-prisms, Math. Slovaca, 40 (1990) 11-14.
- [46] M. Bača, On consecutive labelings of plane graphs, J. Franklin Inst., 328 (1991) 249-253.
- [47] M. Bača, On magic labelings of honeycomb, Discrete Math., 105 (1992) 305–311.
- [48] M. Bača, On magic labelings of grid graphs, Ars Combin., 33 (1992) 295–299.
- [49] M. Bača, On magic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for the special class of plane graphs, J. Franklin Inst., **329** (1992) 549-553.
- [50] M. Bača, On magic labelings of convex polytopes, Ann. Discuss. Math., **51** (1992) 13-16.
- [51] M. Bača, Labelings of two classes of plane graphs, *Acta Math. Appl. Sinica*, **9** (1993) 82-87.
- [52] M. Bača, Face antimagic labelings of convex polytopes, Util. Math., 55 (1999) 221–226.
- [53] M. Bača, Antimagic labelings of antiprisms, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing*, **35** (2000) 217-224.
- [54] M. Bača, Special face numbering of plane quartic graphs, Ars Combin., 57 (2000) 285-292.
- [55] M. Bača, Consecutive-magic labeling of generalized Petersen graphs, *Util. Math.*, 58 (2000) 237-241.
- [56] M. Bača, On face antimagic labelings of plane graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 53 (2005) 33-38.
- [57] M. Bača and C. Barrientos, Graceful and edge-antimagic labelings, preprint.
- [58] M. Bača and C. Barrientos, On super edge-antimagic total labelings of  $mK_n$ , preprint.
- [59] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, and Y. Cholily, On d-antimagic labelings for a special class of plane graphs, preprint.
- [60] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, and Y. Cholily, Face antimagic labelings for a special class of plane graphs  $C_a^b$ , J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, **55** (2005) 5-15.
- [61] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, Y. Cholily, S. Jendrol, Y. Lin, M. Miller, J. Ryan, R. Simanjuntak, Slamin, and K. Sugeng, Conjectures and open problems in face antimagic evaluations of graphs, J. Indonesian Math. Soc. (MIHMI), 11 (2005) 175-192.

- [62] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, S. Jendrol and M. Miller, Antimagic labelings of hexagonal plane maps, *Util. Math.*, **66** (2004) 231-238.
- [63] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, and M. Miller, On d-antimagic labelings of honeycomb, Proceedings 12th Australian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms, Bandung, Indonezia (2001) 45-50.
- [64] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, and M. Miller, Antimagic valuations for the special class of plane graphs, *Lecture Note Computer Science-LNCS*, **3330** (2005) 58-64.
- [65] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, M. Miller, J. Ryan, R. Simanjuntak, and K. Sugeng, Survey of edge antimagic labelings of graphs, *J. Indonesian Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [66] M. Bača, B. Baskoro, R. Simanjuntak, and K. Sugeng, Super edge-antimagic labelings of the generalized Petersen graph P(n, (n-1)/2), *Util. Math.*, to appear.
- [67] M. Bača, F. Bertault, J. MacDougall, M. Miller, R. Simanjuntak, and Slamin, Vertex-antimagic total labelings of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, 23 (2003) 67–83.
- [68] M. Bača, F. Bertault, J. MacDougall, M. Miller, R. Simanjuntak, and Slamin, Vertex-antimagic total labelings of (a, d)-antimagic and (a, d)-face antimagic graphs, preprint.
- [69] M. Bača and I. Holländer, On (a, d)-antimagic prisms, Ars Combin., 48 (1998) 297–306.
- [70] M. Bača and I. Holländer, Labelings of a certain class of convex polytopes, *J. Franklin Inst.*, **329** (1992) 539-547.
- [71] M. Bača and I. Holländer, On (a, b)-consecutive Petersen graphs, Acta Math. Appl. Sinica (English Ser.), 14 (1998) 265–270.
- [72] M. Bača, I. Holländer, and K.W. Lih, Two classes of super-magic quartic graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 23 (1997) 113–120.
- [73] M. Bača, J. Jengrol, M. Miller, and J. Ryan, Antimagic labelings of generalized Petersen graphs that are plane, Ars Combin., 73 (2004) 115–128.
- [74] M. Bača, J. Jengrol, M. Miller, and J. Ryan, On irregular total labellings, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- [75] M. Bača, Y. Lin, and M. Miller, Valuations of plane quartic graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 41 (2002) 209-221.
- [76] M. Bača, Y. Lin, and M. Miller, Antimagic labelings of grids, *Australas. J. Combin.*, to appear.
- [77] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Mille, and J. Ryna, Antimagic labelings of Möbius grids, Antimagic labelings of Möbius grids, Ars Combin., 78 (2006) 3-13.
- [78] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Miller, and R. Simanjuntak, New constructions of magic and antimagic graph labelings, *Util. Math.*, **60** (2001) 229-239.
- [79] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Miller, and J. Ryan, Antimagic labelings of Möbius grids, Ars. Combin., 78 (2006) 3–13.

- [80] M. Bača, Y. Lin, M. Miller, and M. Z. Youssef, Edge-antimagic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- [81] M. Bača, Y. Lin, and F. Muntaner-Batle, Super edge-antimagic of path-like trees, *Util. Math.*, to appear.
- [82] M. Bača, J. MacDougall, M. Miller, Slamin, and W. Wallis, Survey of certain valuations of graphs, *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, **20** (2000) 219-229.
- [83] M. Bača and M. Miller, Valuations of a certain class of convex polytopes, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **43** (2002), 207–218.
- [84] M. Bača and M. Miller, Antimagic face labeling of convex polytopes based on biprisms, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 36 (2001) 229-236.
- [85] M. Bača and M. Miller, On d-antimagic labelings of type (1,1,1) for prisms, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 44 (2003) 199-207.
- [86] M. Bača, M. Miller, and J. Ryan, On d-antimagic labelings of prisms and antiprisms, Proceedings 12th Australian Workshop on Combinatorial Algorithms, Bandung, Indonezia (2001) 51-58.
- [87] M. Bača, M. Miller, and Slamin, Every generalized Petersen graph has a vertex-magic total labeling, *Int. J. Comput. Math.*, **79** (2002) 1259–1263.
- [88] M. Bača and M. Murugan, Super edge-antimagic labelings of cycle with a chord, *Australas. J. Combin.*, to appear.
- [89] C. Balbuena, E. Barker, K. C. Das, Y. Lin, M. Miller, J. Ryan, Slamin, K. Sugeng, and M. Tkac, On the degrees of a strongly vertex-magic graph, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006) 539-551.
- [90] R. Balakrishnan, Graph labelings, unpublished.
- [91] R. Balakrishnan and R. Kumar, Decomposition of complete graphs into isomorphic bipartite subgraphs, *Graphs and Combin.*, **10** (1994) 19-25.
- [92] R. Balakrishnan and R. Kumar, Existence and nonexistence of certain labellings for the graph  $K_n^c \bigvee 2K_2$ , *Util. Math.*, **46** (1994) 97-102.
- [93] R. Balakrishnan and R. Sampathkumar, Decompositions of regular graphs into  $K_n^c \bigvee 2K_2$ , Discrete Math., **156** (1996) 19-28.
- [94] R. Balakrishnan, A. Selvam, and V. Yegnanarayanan, On felicitous labelings of graphs, *Proceed. National Workshop on Graph Theory and its Appl. Manonmaniam Sundaranar Univ.*, *Tiruneli* (1996) 47-61.
- [95] R. Balakrishnan, A. Selvam, and V. Yegnanarayanan, Some results on elegant graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **28** (1997) 905-916.
- [96] C. Balbuena, E. Barker, Y. Lin, M. Miller, and K. Sugeng, Consecutive magic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **306** (2006) 1817–1829.
- [97] D. Bange, A. Barkauskas, and P. Slater, Simply sequential and graceful graphs, Proc. of the 10th S.E. Conf. on Combinat., Graph Theory, and Computing, (Util. Math. Winnipeg, 1979) 155-162.

- [98] D. Bange, A. Barkauskas, and P. Slater, Sequentially additive graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **44** (1983) 235-241.
- [99] M. V. Bapat and N. B. Limaye, Some families of 3-equitable graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **48** (2004) 179-196.
- [100] M. V. Bapat and N. B. Limaye, A note on 3-equitable labelings of multiple shells, J. Combin. Math. Combin., Comput. 51 (2004) 191–202.
- [101] M. V. Bapat and N. B. Limaye, Some families of  $E_3$ -cordial graphs, preprint.
- [102] C. Barrientos, New families of equitable graphs, Util. Math., 60 (2001) 123–137.
- [103] C. Barrientos, Graceful labelings of cyclic snakes, Ars Combin., 60 (2001) 85–96.
- [104] C. Barrientos, Equitable labelings of corona graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 41 (2002) 139-149.
- [105] C. Barrientos, Graceful labelings of chain and corona graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin.* Appl., **34** (2002) 17-26.
- [106] C. Barrientos, The gracefulness of unions of cycles and complete bipartite graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput. **52** (2005), 69–78.
- [107] C. Barrientos, Graceful graphs with pendant edges, Australas. J. Combin. 33 (2005) 99–107.
- [108] C. Barrientos, Odd-graceful labelings, preprint.
- [109] C. Barrientos, Unicylic graceful graphs, preprint.
- [110] C. Barrientos, On graceful chain graphs, preprint.
- [111] C. Barrientos, Graceful arbitrary supersubdivisions of graphs, preprint.
- [112] C. Barrientos, I. Dejter, and H. Hevia, Equitable labelings of forests, *Combin. and Graph Theory*, 1 (1995) 1-26.
- [113] C. Barrientos and H. Hevia, On 2-equitable labelings of graphs, *Notas de la Sociedad de Matemática de Chile*, **XV** (1996) 97-110.
- [114] E. Baskoro and Y. Cholily, Expanding super edge-magic graphs, preprint.
- [115] E. Baskoro and A. Ngurah, On super edge-magic total labelings, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 37 (2003) 82–87.
- [116] E. Baskoro, I. Sudarsana, and Y. Cholily, How to construct new super edge-magic graphs from some old ones, preprint.
- [117] R. Beals, J. Gallian, P. Headley, and D. Jungreis, Harmonious groups, J. Combin. Th., Series A, 56 (1991) 223-238.
- [118] A. F. Beardon, The maximum degree in a vertex-magic graph, Australas. J. Combin., 30 (2004), 113-116
- [119] A. F. Beardon, Magic labellings of infinite graphs, Austral. J. Combin., 30 (2004), 117-132
- [120] A. F. Beardon, The average degree in vertex-magic graph, Austral. J. Combin., 35 (2006) 51-56.

- [121] L. W. Beineke and S. M. Hegde, Strongly multiplicative graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **21** (2001) 63-75.
- [122] M. Benson and S. M. Lee, On cordialness of regular windmill graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **68** (1989) 45-58.
- [123] C. Berge, Regularisable graphs II, Discrete Math., 23 (1978) 91–95.
- [124] D. Bergstrand, F. Harary, K. Hodges, G. Jennings, L. Kuklinski, and J. Wiener, The sum numbering of a complete graph, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*, **12** (1989) 25-28.
- [125] D. Bergstrand, K. Hodges, G. Jennings, L. Kuklinski, J. Wiener, and F. Harary, Product graphs are sum graphs, *Math. Magazine*, **65** (1992) 262-264.
- [126] O. Berkman, M. Parnas, and Y. Roditty, All cycles are edge-magic, Ars Combin., 59 (2001) 145-151.
- [127] J.C. Bermond, Graceful graphs, radio antennae and French windmills, *Graph Theory and Combinatorics*, Pitman, London (1979) 18-37.
- [128] J.C. Bermond, A. E. Brouwer, and A. Germa, Systemes de triplets et differences associèes, *Problems Combinatories et Thèorie des Graphs*, Colloq. Intern. du Centre National de la Rech. Scient., 260, Editions du Centre Nationale de la Recherche Scientifique, Paris (1978) 35-38.
- [129] J. C. Bermond and G. Farhi, Sur un probleme combinatoire d'antennes en radioastronomie II, *Annals of Discrete Math.*, **12** (1982) 49-53.
- [130] J. C. Bermond, A. Kotzig, and J. Turgeon, On a combinatorial problem of antennas in radioastronomy, in Combinatorics, A. Hajnal and V. T. Sós, eds., *Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai*, **18**, 2 vols. North-Holland, Amsterdam (1978) 135-149.
- [131] J.C. Bermond and D. Sotteau, Graph decompositions and G-design, Proc. 5th British Combin. Conf., 1975, Congr. Numer., XV (1976) 53-72.
- [132] D. Beutner and H. Harborth, Graceful labelings of nearly complete graphs, *Result. Math.*, **41** (2002) 34-39.
- [133] V. Bhat-Nayak and M. Acharya, private communication
- [134] V. Bhat-Nayak and U. Deshmukh, New families of graceful banana trees, *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. Math. Sci.*, **106** (1996) 201-216.
- [135] V. Bhat-Nayak and U. Deshmukh, Gracefulness of  $C_{4t} \cup K_{1,4t-1}$  and  $C_{4t+3} \cup K_{1,4t+2}$ , J. Ramanujan Math. Soc., **11** (1996) 187-190.
- [136] V. Bhat-Nayak and U. Deshmukh, Skolem-graceful labelings of unions of paths, personal communication.
- [137] V. Bhat-Nayak and U. Deshmukh, Gracefulness of  $C_3 \cup P_n$ , preprint.
- [138] V. Bhat-Nayak and U. Deshmukh, Gracefulness of  $C_{2x+1} \cup P_{x-2\theta}$ , Proc. International Conf. on Graph Theory and Number Theory, Trichy 1996, preprint.
- [139] V. N. Bhat-Nayak and S. K. Gokhale, Validity of Hebbare's conjecture, *Util. Math.*, **29** (1986) 49-59.

- [140] V. N. Bhat-Nayak and A. Selvam, Gracefulness of *n*-cone  $C_m \vee K_n^c$ , Ars Combin., **66** (2003) 283-298.
- [141] V. N. Bhat-Nayak and S. Telang, Cahit-k-equitability of  $C_n \circ K_1$ , k = n to 2n 1,  $n \geq 3$ , Congr. Numer., **155** (2002) 131–213.
- [142] V. N. Bhat-Nayak and S. Telang, Cahit-Equitability of coronas, Ars Combin., 71 (2004) 3-32.
- [143] A. Blinco, S. El-Zanati, and C. Vanden Eynden, On the cyclic decomposition of complete graphs into almost-bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **284** (2004) 71-81.
- [144] G. S. Bloom, A chronology of the Ringel-Kotzig conjecture and the continuing quest to call all trees graceful, *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.*, **326** (1979) 32-51.
- [145] G. S. Bloom and S. W. Golomb, Applications of numbered undirected graphs, *Proc. IEEE*, **65** (1977) 562-570.
- [146] G. S. Bloom and S. W. Golomb, Numbered complete graphs, unusual rulers, and assorted applications, in *Theory and Applications of Graphs, Lecture Notes in Math.*, **642**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1978) 53-65.
- [147] G. S. Bloom, P. Hell, and H. Taylor, Collecting autographs: n-node graphs that have n-integer signatures, Annals N.Y. Acad. Sci., 319 (1979) 93-102.
- [148] G. S. Bloom and D. F. Hsu, On graceful digraphs and a problem in network addressing, *Congr. Numer.*, **35** (1982) 91-103.
- [149] G. S. Bloom and D. F. Hsu, On graceful directed graphs that are computational models of some algebraic systems, *Graph Theory with Applications to Algorithms and Computers*, Ed. Y. Alavi, Wiley, New York (1985).
- [150] G. S. Bloom and D. F. Hsu, On graceful directed graphs, SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Meth., 6 (1985) 519-536.
- [151] R. Bodendiek and G. Walther, Arithmetisch antimagische Graphen, Graphentheorie III, K. Wagner and R. Bodendiek (eds.), Mannhein, 1993.
- [152] R. Bodendiek and G. Walther, On number theoretical methods in graph labelings, *Res. Exp. Math.*, **21** (1995) 3-25.
- [153] R. Bodendiek and G. Walther, (A, D)-antimagic parachutes, Ars Combin., 42 (1996), 129–149.
- [154] R. Bodendiek and G. Walther, (a, d)-antimagic parachutes II, Ars Combin., 46 (1997), 33–63.
- [155] R. Bodendiek and G. Walther, On arithmetic antimagic edge labelings of graphs, *Mitt. Math. Ges. Hamburg*, **17** (1998) 85–99.
- [156] R. Bodendiek, H. Schumacher, and H. Wegner, Über eine spezielle Klasse groziöser Eulerscher Graphen, *Mitt. Math. Gesellsch. Hamburg*, **10** (1975) 241-248.
- [157] R. Bodendiek, H. Schumacher, and H. Wegner, Über graziőse Graphen, *Math.-Phys. Semesterberichte*, **24** (1977) 103-106.

- [158] J. Boland, R. Laskar, C. Turner, and G. Domke, On mod sum graphs, Congr. Numer., 70 (1990) 131-135.
- [159] J. Bondy and U. Murty, *Graph Theory with Applications*, North-Holland, New York (1976).
- [160] I. Borosh, D. Hensley, and A. Hobbs, Vertex prime graphs and the Jacobsthal function, *Congr. Numer.*, **127** (1997) 193-222.
- [161] C. Bu, Gracefulness of graph  $K_n + \overline{K}_m$ , J. Harbin Shipbuilding Eng. Inst., **15** (1994) 91-93.
- [162] C. Bu, Sequential labeling of the graph  $C_n \odot \overline{K}_m$ , preprint.
- [163] C. Bu and C. Cao, The gracefulness for a class of disconnected graphs, *J. Natural Sci. Heilongjiang Univ.*, **12** (1995) 6-8.
- [164] C. Bu and L. Chen, Some conclusions about graceful graphs, *J. Harbin Shipbuilding Eng. Inst.*, **14** (1993) 103-108.
- [165] C. Bu and W. Feng, Some composite theory about graceful graphs, *J. Harbin Eng. Univ.*, **16** (1995) 94-97.
- [166] C. Bu, Z. Gao, and D. Zhang, On k-gracefulness of  $r-p_n \times p_2$ , J. Harbin Shipbuilding Eng. Inst., **15** (1994) 85-89.
- [167] C. Bu and B. He, The k-gracefulness of some graphs, J. Harbin Eng. Univ., 14 (1993) 92-95.
- [168] C. Bu and J. Shi, A class of (k, d)-arithmetic graphs, J. Harbin Eng. Univ., 16 (1995) 79-81.
- [169] C. Bu and J. Shi, Some conclusions about indexable graphs, *J. Harbin Eng. Univ.*, **16** (1995) 92-94.
- [170] C. Bu and J. Zhang, The properties of (k, d)-graceful graphs, preprint.
- [171] C. Bu, D. Zhang, and B. He, k-gracefulness of  $C_n^m$ , J. Harbin Shipbuilding Eng. Inst., **15** (1994) 95–99.
- [172] M. Burzio and G. Ferrarese, The subdivision graph of a graceful tree is a graceful tree, *Discrete Math.*, **181** (1998), 275–281.
- [173] C. P. Bonnington and J. Širáň, Bipartite labelings of trees with maximum degree three, *J. Graph Theory*, **31** (1999) 79-91.
- [174] S. Cabaniss, R. Low, and J. Mitchem, On edge-graceful regular graphs and trees, Ars Combin., **34** (1992) 129–142.
- [175] L. Caccetta and R. Jia, Positive binary labelings of graphs, Austral. J. Combin., 14 (1996) 133–148.
- [176] I. Cahit, Elegant valuation of the paths, Ars Combin., 16 (1983) 223-227.
- [177] I. Cahit, Cordial graphs: a weaker version of graceful and harmonious graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **23** (1987) 201-207.
- [178] I. Cahit, On cordial and 3-equitable labellings of graphs, *Util. Math.*, **37** (1990) 189-198.

- [179] I. Cahit, Status of graceful tree conjecture in 1989, in *Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory*, R. Bodendiek and R. Henn (eds), *Physica-Verlag*, Heidelberg 1990.
- [180] I. Cahit, Recent results and open problems on cordial graphs, *Contemporary Methods in Graph Theory*, R. Bodendiek (ed.), Wissenschaftsverlag, Mannheim, 1990, 209-230.
- [181] I. Cahit, Equitable tree labellings, Ars Combin., 40 (1995) 279-286.
- [182] I. Cahit, On harmonious tree labellings, Ars Combin., 41 (1995) 311-317.
- [183] I. Cahit, H-cordial graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 18 (1996) 87-101.
- [184] I. Cahit, Some totally modular cordial graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 22 (2002) 247-258.
- [185] I. Cahit, Graceful labelings of rooted complete trees, personal communication.
- [186] I. Cahit, A note on graceful directed trees, personal communication.
- [187] I. Cahit and R. Yilmaz,  $E_3$ -cordial graphs, Ars Combin., 54 (2000) 119-127.
- [188] B. Calhoun, K. Ferland, L. Lister, and J. Polhill, Totally magic labelings of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **32** (2005) 47-59.
- [189] N. Cairnie and K. Edwards, The computational complexity of cordial and equitable labelling, *Discrete Math.*, **216** (2000) 29-34.
- [190] K. Carlson, Generalized books and  $C_m$ -snakes are prime graphs,  $Ars\ Combin.\ 80$  (2006) 215-221.
- [191] Y. Caro, Y. Roditty, and J. Schönheim, Starters for symmetric (n, G, 1)-designs.  $\rho$ -labelings revisited, preprint.
- [192] R. Cattell, Vertex magic total labelings of complete multipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing*, **55** (2005) 187-197.
- [193] G. J. Chang, Strong sum graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 7 (1993) 47-52.
- [194] G. J. Chang, D. F. Hsu, and D. G. Rogers, Additive variations on a graceful theme: some results on harmonious and other related graphs, Congr. Numer., 32 (1981) 181-197.
- [195] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, D. VanderJagt, and P. Zhang,  $\gamma$ -labelings of graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin Appl., 44 (2005) 51-68.
- [196] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, D. VanderJagt, and P. Zhang, On  $\gamma$ -labeling of trees, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, **25** (2005) 363-383..
- [197] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, and P. Zhang, A graph labeling problem suggested by FM channel restrictions, preprint.
- [198] G. Chartrand, D. Erwin, P. Zhang, and F. Harary, Radio labelings of graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **33** (2001) 77–85.
- [199] G. Chartrand, M. Jacobson, J. Lehel, O. Oellermann, S. Ruiz, and F. Saba, Irregular networks, *Congr. Numer.*, **64** (1988) 187-192.
- [200] G. Chartrand, S. M. Lee, and P. Zhang, Randomly cordial graphs, preprint.

- [201] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak, Graphs & Digraphs 3rd ed. CRC Press (1996).
- [202] D. L. Chen and C. J. Jiang, The K-gracefulness of the rhomb-ladder graph  $\nabla_n^m$ , Shandong Kuangye Xueyuan Xuebao, **11** (1992) 196–199, 208.
- [203] H. Chen, B. Yao, X. Chen, and Z. Zhang, On graceful generalized spiders and caterpillars, preprint.
- [204] W. C. Chen, H. I. Lü, and Y. N. Yeh, Operations of interlaced trees and graceful trees, *Southeast Asian Bull. Math.*, **21** (1997) 337–348.
- [205] Z. Chen, Harary's conjectures on integral sum graphs *Discrete Math.*, **160** (1996) 241-244.
- [206] Z. Chen, Integral sum graphs from identification, Discrete Math., 181 (1998) 77-90.
- [207] Z. Chen, On super edge-magic graphs. J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 38 (2001), 55–64.
- [208] Z. Chen, On integral sum graphs, Discrete Math., 306 (2006) 19-25.
- [209] Z.-Z. Chen, A generalization of the Bodendiek conjecture about graceful graphs, Topics in Combinatorics and Graph Theory, R. Bodendiek and R. Henn, eds., Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, 1990, 737-746.
- [210] Lattices grids and prisms are antimagic, arXiv:math.CO/0603106 v1 4 Mar 2006.
- [211] S. A. Choudum and S. P. M. Kishore, All 5-stars are Skolem graceful, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **27** (1996) 1101-1105.
- [212] S. A. Choudum and S. P. M. Kishore, Graceful labellings of the union of paths and cycles, *Discrete Math.*, **206** (1999) 105-117.
- [213] S. A. Choudum and S. P. M. Kishore, On Skolem gracefulness of k-stars, Ramanujan Mathematical Society Inter. Conf. Discrete Math. and Number Theory, 1996, preprint.
- [214] S. A. Choudum and S. P. M. Kishore, Graceful labelling of the union of cycles and stars, preprint.
- [215] F. R. K. Chung and F. K. Hwang, Rotatable graceful graphs, Ars Combin., 11 (1981) 239-250.
- [216] B. Clemens, R. Coulibaly, J. Garvens, J. Gonnering, J. Lucas, and S. Winters, An introduction to the gracefulness of one-point and two-point union of graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, 171 167-171.
- [217] D. Combe, Magic labelings of graphs over finite abelian groups, Austral. J. Comb. **29** (2004) 259-271.
- [218] D. Craft and E. H. Tesar, On a question by Erdős about edge-magic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **207** (1999) 271-276.
- [219] P. Deb and N. B. Limaye, On elegant labelings of triangular snakes, *J. Combin. Inform. System Sci.*, **25** (2000) 163–172.
- [220] P. Deb and N. B. Limaye, Some families of elegant and harmonius graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **61** (2001) 271-286.

- [221] P. Deb and N. B. Limaye, On harmonius labelings of some cycle related graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **65** (2002) 177–197.
- [222] C. Delorme, Two sets of graceful graphs, J. Graph Theory, 4 (1980) 247-250.
- [223] C. Delorme, M. Maheo, H. Thuillier, K. M. Koh, and H. K. Teo, Cycles with a chord are graceful, *J. Graph Theory*, 4 (1980) 409-415.
- [224] Y. X. Deng, Harmoniousness of the graphs  $C_n + \overline{K}_t$ , J. Math. Res. Exposition, 15 (1995), suppl., 79–81.
- [225] G. Denham, M. G. Leu, and A. Liu, All 4-stars are Skolem-graceful, *Ars Combin.*, **36** (1993) 183-191.
- [226] T. Deretsky, S. M. Lee, and J. Mitchem, On vertex prime labelings of graphs, in *Graph Theory, Combinatorics and Applications Vol. 1*, J. Alavi, G. Chartrand, O. Oellerman, and A. Schwenk, eds., Proceedings 6th International Conference Theory and Applications of Graphs (Wiley, New York, 1991) 359-369.
- [227] U. Derings and H.Hünten, Magic graphs A new characterization, Report No. 83265
   OR, Universität Bonn April 1983, ISSN 0724-3138.
- [228] J. Devaraj, On edge-cordial graphs, *Graph Theory Notes of New York*, **XLVII** (2004) 14-18.
- [229] J. Devaraj, On consecutive labelings of ladder graphs, preprint.
- [230] A. T. Diab, Generalizations of some existing results on cordial graphs, preprint.
- [231] J. Doma, Unicyclic Graceful Graphs, M. S. Thesis, McMaster Univ., 1991.
- [232] D. Donovan, S. El-Zanati, C. Vanden Eynden, and S. Sutinuntopas, Labelings of unions of up to four uniform cycles, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **29** (2004), 323–336.
- [233] M. Doob, On the construction of magic graphs, Congr. Numer., 10 (1974) 361–374.
- [234] M. Doob, Generalizations of magic graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B 17 (1974) 205-217.
- [235] M. Doob, Characterizations of regular magic graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. B, 25 (1978) 94–104.
- [236] A. Draganova, personal communication.
- [237] G. M. Du, Cordiality of complete k-partite graphs and some special graphs, Neimenggu Shida Xuebao Ziran Kexue Hanwen Ban, (1997) 9–12.
- [238] G. Duan and Y. Qi, k-gracefulness of two classes of graphs, (Chinese) J. Zhengzhou Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed., 34 (2002) 36–38, 49.
- [239] M. Dufour, Sur la Decomposition d'un Graphe Complet en Arbres Isomorphes, Ph. D. Thesis, Université de Montreal, 1995.
- [240] P. Eldergill, Decomposition of the Complete Graph with an Even Number of Vertices, M. Sc. Thesis, McMaster University, 1997.
- [241] M. N. Ellingham, Sum graphs from trees, Ars Combin., **35** (1993) 335–349.

- [242] A. Elumalai, On graceful, cordial and elegant labelings of cycles related and other graphs, Ph. D. dissertation, Anna University, 2004, Chennai, India
- [243] S. El-Zanati, H.-L. Fu, and C.-L. Shiue, A note on the  $\alpha$ -labeling number of bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **214** (2000) 241-243.
- [244] S. El-Zanati and C. Vanden Eynden, Decompositions of  $K_{m,n}$  into cubes, J. Combin. Designs, 4 (1996) 51-57.
- [245] S. El-Zanati and C. Vanden Eynden, On graphs with strong  $\alpha$ -valuations, Ars Combin., **56** (2000) 175–188.
- [246] S. El-Zanati and C. Vanden Eynden, On  $\alpha$ -valuations of disconnected graphs, Ars Combin., **61** (2001) 129-136.
- [247] S. El-Zanati, M. Kenig, and C. Vanden Eynden, Near  $\alpha$ -labelings of bipartite graphs, Australas. J. Combin., **21** (2000) 275-285.
- [248] S. El-Zanati, C. Vanden Eynden, and N. Punnim, On the cyclic decomposition of complete graphs into bipartite graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **24** (2001) 209-219.
- [249] H. Enomoto, A. S. Llado, T. Nakamigawa, and G. Ringel, Super edge-magic graphs, SUT J. Math., 34 (1998) 105–109.
- [250] H. Enomoto, K. Masuda, and T. Nakamigawa, Induced graph theorem on magic valuations, Ars Combin., **56** (2000) 25-32.
- [251] P. Erdős and A. B. Evans, Representations of graphs and orthogonal Latin squares, J. Graph Theory, 13 (1989) 593-595.
- [252] K. Eshghi, The Existence and Construction of  $\alpha$ -valuations of 2-Regular Graphs with 3 Components, Ph.D. Thesis, Industrial Engineering Dept., University of Toronto, 1997.
- [253] K. Eshghi,  $\alpha$ -valuations of special classes of quadratic graphs, *Bull. Iranian Math. Soc.*, **28** (2002) 29–42.
- [254] K. Eshghi, Holey  $\alpha$ -labelings of graphs, *Util. Math.*, **64** (2003) 139–147.
- [255] K. Eshghi, Extension of  $\alpha$ -labelings of quadratic graphs, IJMMS 11 (2004) 571–578.
- [256] K. Eshghi and P. Azimi, Applications of mathematical programming in graceful labeling of graphs, *J. Applied Math.*, **1** (2004) 1-8.
- [257] K. Eshghi and M.Carter, Construction of α-valuations of special classes of 2-regular graphs, Topics in Applied and Theoretical Mathematics and Computer Science, Math. Comput. Sci. Eng., WSEAS, Athens (2001) 139-154.
- [258] A. B. Evans, Representations of disjoint unions of complete graphs, preprint.
- [259] A. B. Evans, G. H. Fricke, C. C. Maneri, T. A. McKee, and M. Perkel, Representations of graphs modulo n, J. Graph Theory 18 (1994) 801–815.
- [260] A. B. Evans, G. Isaak, and D. A. Narayan, Representations of graphs modulo n, Discrete Math., **223** (2000) 109-123.
- [261] G. Exoo, A. Ling, J. McSorley, N. Phillips, and W. Wallis, Totally magic graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **254** (2002) 103-113.

- [262] R. Figueroa-Centeno and R. Ichishima, The *n*-dimensional cube is felicitous, *Bull. Instit. Combin. Appl.*, **41** (2004) 47–50.
- [263] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, Bertrand's postulate and magic product labelings, *Bull. Instit. Combin. Appl.*, **30** (2000), 53-65.
- [264] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, The place of super edgemagic labelings among other classes of labelings, *Discrete Math.*, **231** (2001), 153-168.
- [265] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, On super edge-magic graphs, Ars Combin., 64 (2002) 81-95.
- [266] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, Magical coronations of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **26** (2002) 199-208.
- [267] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, Labeling the vertex amalgamation of graphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **23** (2003) 129–139.
- [268] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, On edge-magic labelings of certain disjoint unions of graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.* **32** (2005), 225–242.
- [269] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, On the super edge-magic deficiency of graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **78** (2006) 33–45.
- [270] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, A magical approach to some labeling conjectures, preprint.
- [271] R. Figueroa-Centeno, R. Ichishima, and F. Muntaner-Batle, Some new results on the super edge-magic deficiency of graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **55** (2005) 17-31.
- [272] D. Fronček, Bi-cyclic decompositions of complete graphs into spanning trees, preprint.
- [273] D. Fronček, P. Kovář, and T. Kovářová, Vertex magic total labeling of products of cycles, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **33** (2005) 169-181.
- [274] D. Fronček and M. Kubesa, Factorizations of complete graphs into spanning trees, *Congr. Numer.*, **154** (2002) 125-134.
- [275] D. Fronček and S. Winters, Another family of gracious bipartite graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **170** (2004) 65-70.
- [276] R. Frucht, Graceful numbering of wheels and related graphs, Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci., 319 (1979) 219-229.
- [277] R. Frucht, On mutually graceful and pseudograceful labelings of trees, *Scientia Series A*, 4 (1990/1991) 31-43.
- [278] R. Frucht, Nearly graceful labelings of graphs, Scientia, 5 (1992-1993) 47-59.
- [279] R. Frucht and J. A. Gallian, Labeling prisms, Ars Combin., 26 (1988) 69-82.
- [280] R. Frucht and L. C. Salinas, Graceful numbering of snakes with constraints on the first label, *Ars Combin.*, **20** (1985), B, 143-157.

- [281] H. L. Fu and K. C. Huang, On prime labelling, Discrete Math., 127 (1994) 181-186.
- [282] H. L. Fu and S. L. Wu, New results on graceful graphs, J. Combin. Info. Sys. Sci., 15 (1990) 170-177.
- [283] Y. Fukuchi, Graph labelings in elementary abelian groups, *Discrete Math.*, **189** (1998) 117-122.
- [284] Y. Fukuchi, A recursive theorem for super edge-magic labelings of trees,  $SUT~J.~Math.,~{\bf 36}~(2000)~279-285.$
- [285] Y. Fukuchi, Edge-magic labelings of generalized Petersen graphs P(n, 2), Ars Combin., **59** (2001) 253-257.
- [286] Y. Fukuchi, Edge-magic labelings of wheel graphs, Tokyo J. Math., 24 (2001) 153–167.
- [287] J. A. Gallian, Labeling prisms and prism related graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **59** (1989) 89-100.
- [288] J. A. Gallian, A survey: recent results, conjectures and open problems on labeling graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **13** (1989) 491-504.
- [289] J. A. Gallian, Open problems in grid labeling, Amer. Math. Monthly, 97 (1990) 133-135.
- [290] J. A. Gallian, A guide to the graph labeling zoo, Discrete Appl. Math., 49 (1994) 213-229.
- [291] J. A. Gallian and D. S. Jungreis, Labeling books, Scientia, 1 (1988) 53-57.
- [292] J. A. Gallian, J. Prout, and S. Winters, Graceful and harmonious labelings of prisms and related graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **34** (1992) 213-222.
- [293] T. Gangopadhyay and S. P. Rao Hebbare, Bigraceful graphs-I, *Util. Math.*, **17** (1980) 271-275.
- [294] Y. Z. Gao, On gracefulness of  $C_m \cup P_2$ , J. Hebei Teachers College, No. 3 (1993) 13–21.
- [295] Y. Z. Gao and Z. H. Liang, private communication.
- [296] M. Gardner, Mathematical games: the graceful graphs of Solomon Golomb, or how to number a graph parsimoniously, *Scientific Amer.*, **226** 3 (1972) 108-112; **226** 4 (1972) 104; **226** 6 (1972) 118.
- [297] B. Gayathri, Even edge graceful graphs, preprint.
- [298] S. V. Gervacio, Which wheels are proper autographs?, Sea Bull. Math., 7 (1983) 41-50.
- [299] S. V. Gervacio, personal communication.
- [300] M. Ghebleh and R. Khoeilar, A note on: "H-cordial graphs," Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 31 (2001) 60–68.
- [301] J. Ghoshal, R. Laskar, D. Pillone, and G. Fricke, Further results on mod sum graphs, Congr. Numer., 101 (1994) 201–207.

- [302] R.B. Gnanajothi, Topics in Graph Theory, Ph.D. Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, 1991.
- [303] R. Godbold and P. J. Slater, All cycles are edge-magic, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 22 (1998) 93–97.
- [304] C. G. Goh and C. K. Lim, Graceful numberings of cycles with consecutive chords, 1992, unpublished.
- [305] S. W. Golomb, How to number a graph, in *Graph Theory and Computing*, R. C. Read, ed., Academic Press, New York (1972) 23-37.
- [306] J. Goodell, A. Beveridge, M. Gallagher, D. Goodwin, J. Gyori, and A. Joseph, Sum graphs, unpublished.
- [307] R. J. Gould and V. Rödl, Bounds on the number of isolated vertices in sum graphs, Graph Theory, Combin. and Appl., 1 (1991) 553-562.
- [308] T. Grace, Graceful, Harmonious, and Sequential Graphs, Ph. D. Thesis, University Illinois at Chicago Circle, 1982.
- [309] T. Grace, On sequential labelings of graphs, J. Graph Theory, 7 (1983) 195-201.
- [310] T. Grace,  $K_4$  snakes are sequential, Technical Report, Department of Computer Science, Illinois Institute of Technology (1986).
- [311] R. L. Graham and N. J. A. Sloane, On additive bases and harmonious graphs, *SIAM J. Alg. Discrete Meth.*, 1 (1980) 382-404.
- [312] M. Grannell, T. Griggs, and F. Holroyd, Modular gracious labelings of trees, *Discrete Math.*, **231** (2001) 199–219.
- [313] I. Gray, J. MacDougall, J. McSorley, and W. Wallis, Vertex-magic total labeling of complete bipartite graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **69** (2003) 117–127.
- [314] I. Gray, J. MacDougall, R. Simpson, and W. Wallis, Vertex-magic labeling of trees and forests, *Discrete Math.*, **261** (2003) 285–298.
- [315] I. Gray, J. MacDougall, and W. Wallis, On vertex-magic labeling of complete graphs, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 38 (2003) 42–44.
- [316] R. P. Grimaldi, Graphs from rings, Congr. Numer., 71 (1990) 95-104.
- [317] W. F. Guo, Gracefulness of the graph B(m, n), J. Inner Mongolia Normal Univ., (1994) 24–29.
- [318] W. F. Guo, Gracefulness of the graph B(m, n, p), J. Math. (PRC), **15** (1995) 345–351.
- [319] A. Gyárfás and J. Lehel, A method to generate graceful trees, in *Colloque C.N.R.S. Problèmes Combinatories et Théorie des Graphes, Orsay, 1976* (1978) 207-209.
- [320] T. Hao, On sum graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 6 (1989) 207-212.
- [321] F. Harary, Sum graphs and difference graphs, Congr. Numer., 72 (1990) 101-108.
- [322] F. Harary, Sum graphs over all the integers, Discrete Math., 124 (1994) 99-105.

- [323] F. Harary, I. Hentzel, and D. Jacobs, Digitizing sum graphs over the reals, *Caribb. J. Math. Comput. Sci.*, 1 (1991) 1-4.
- [324] F. Harary and D. Hsu, Node-graceful graphs, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **15** (1988) 291-298.
- [325] M. Harminc, On a characterization of graphs by average labelings, *Discuss. Math.*, Graph Theory, 17 (1997) 133–136.
- [326] M. Harminc and R. Soták, Graphs with average labellings, Graph theory (Prague, 1998) Discrete Math., 233 (2001) 127–132.
- [327] N. Hartsfield and G. Ringel, *Pearls in Graph Theory*, Academic Press, San Diego, 1990.
- [328] N. Hartsfield and W.F. Smyth, The sum number of complete bipartite graphs, in *Graphs and Matrices* (ed. R. Rees), Marcel Dekker (1992) 205-211.
- [329] M. He, The gracefulness of the graph  $2C_n$ , Neimenggu Daxue Xuebao Ziran Kexue, **26** (1995) 247-251.
- [330] W. He, L. Wang, H. Mi, Y. Shen, and X. Yu, Integral sum graphs from a class of trees, Ars Combin., 70 (2004) 197-205.
- [331] W. He, X. Yu, H. Mi, Y. Sheng, and L. Wang, The (integral) sum number of the graph  $K_n E(K_r)$  for  $K_r \subset K_n$ , Discrete Math., **243** (2002) 241-252.
- [332] Y. He, L. Shen, Y. Wang, Y. Chang, Q. Kang, and X. Yu, The integral sum number of complete bipartite graphs  $K_{r,s}$ , Discrete Math., 239 (2001) 137–146.
- [333] S. P. Rao Hebbare, Graceful cycles, *Util. Math.*, **10** (1976) 307-317.
- [334] D. Hefetz, Anti-magic graphs via the combinatorial nullstellensatz, *J. Graph Theory*, **50** (2005) 263-272.
- [335] S. M. Hegde, Additively graceful graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 12 (1989) 387-390.
- [336] S. M. Hegde, On indexable graphs, J. Combin. Inf. Sci. Sys., 17 (1992) 316–331.
- [337] S. M. Hegde, On K-sequential graphs, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 16 (1993) 299-301.
- [338] S. M. Hegde, On harmonious labelings of graphs, *KREC Research Bull.*, 5 (1996) 15-18.
- [339] S. M. Hegde, On (k, d)-graceful graphs, J. Combin., Inform. & Sys. Sci., **25** (2000) 255-265.
- [340] S. M. Hegde, On sequentially additive graphs, preprint.
- [341] S. M. Hegde, Further results on set sequential and set graceful graphs, preprint.
- [342] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, Sequential and magic labeling of a class of trees, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters*, **24** (2001) 137-141.
- [343] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, On graceful trees, Appl. Math. E-Notes, **2** (2002) 192-197.
- [344] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, On arithmetic graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **33** (2002) 1275-1283.

- [345] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, On magic strengths of graphs, *KREC Research Bull.*, **11** (2002) 15-21.
- [346] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, On magic graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 27 (2003) 277-284.
- [347] S. M. Hegde and S. Shetty, Strongly k-indexable and super edge magic labelings are equivalent, preprint.
- [348] K. Heinrich and P. Hell, On the problems of bandsize, *Graphs and Combin.*, **3** (1987) 279-284.
- [349] Y. S. Ho and S. M. Lee, Some initial results on the supermagicness of regular complete k-partite graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 39 (2001) 3-17.
- [350] Y. S. Ho, S. M. Lee, and E. Seah, on the edge-graceful (n, kn)-multigraphs conjecture, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing (1991) 141-147.
- [351] Y. S. Ho, S. M. Lee, and S. C. Shee, Cordial labellings of unicyclic graphs and generalized Petersen graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **68** (1989) 109-122.
- [352] Y. S. Ho, S. M. Lee, and S. C. Shee, Cordial labellings of the cartesian product and composition of graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **29** (1990) 169-180.
- [353] C. Hoede and H. Kuiper, All wheels are graceful, Util. Math., 14 (1987) 311.
- [354] M. Hovey, A-cordial graphs, Discrete Math., 93 (1991) 183-194.
- [355] P. Hrnčiar and A. Haviar, All trees of diameter five are graceful, *Discrete Math.*, **233** (2001) 133-150.
- [356] D. F. Hsu, Harmonious labelings of windmill graphs and related graphs, *J. Graph Theory*, **6** (1982) 85-87.
- [357] D. F. Hsu and A. D. Keedwell, Generalized complete mappings, neofields, sequenceable groups and block designs, I, *Pacific J. Math.*, **111** (1984) 317-332.
- [358] D. F. Hsu and A. D. Keedwell, Generalized complete mappings, neofields, sequenceable groups and block designs, II, *Pacific J. Math.*, **117** (1985) 291-312.
- [359] Q. Huang, Harmonious labeling of crowns  $C_n \odot K_1$ , preprint.
- [360] C. Huang, A. Kotzig, and A. Rosa, Further results on tree labellings, *Util. Math.*, **21c** (1982) 31-48.
- [361] J. Huang and S. Skiena, Gracefully labeling prisms, Ars Combin., 38 (1994) 225-242.
- [362] J. Ivančo, On supermagic regular graphs, Math. Bohemica, 125 (2000) 99-114.
- [363] J. Ivančo and I. Lučkaničová, On edge-magic disconnected graphs, SUT J. Math., 38 (2002)175–184.
- [364] J. Ivančo, Z. Lastivkova, and A. Semaničova, On magic and supermagic line graphs, *Math. Bohemica*, **129** (2004) 33-42.
- [365] R. H. Jeurissen, Magic graphs, a characterization, Europ. J. Combin., 9 (1988) 363-368.

- [366] S. Jezný and M. Trenklér, Characterization of magic graphs, *Czechoslovak Math.* J., **33** (1983) 435-438.
- [367] D. J. Jin, S. Z. Liu, S. H. Lee, H. L. Liu, X. G. Lu, and D. Zhang, The joint sum of graceful trees, Comput. Math. Appl., 26 (1993) 83-87.
- [368] D. J. Jin, F. H. Meng, and J. G. Wang, The gracefulness of trees with diameter 4, Acta Sci. Natur. Univ. Jilin., (1993) 17–22.
- [369] M. I. Jinnah and G. S. Singh, A note on arthmetic numberings of graphs, *Proc. Symposium on Graphs and Combinatorics, Kochi, Kerala, India* (1991) 83-87.
- [370] Jirimutu, On k-gracefulness of r-crown  $I_r(K_{1,n})$   $(n \ge 2, r \ge 2)$  for complete bipartite graph, J. Inner Mongolia University for Nationalities, 2 (2003) 108–110.
- [371] Jirimuta, Y-L Bao, and F-L Kong, On k-gracefulness of r-crown for complete bipartite graphs, International J. Pure and Applied Math., 15 (2004) 81-86.
- [372] Jirimuta, J. Wang, and X. Xirong, on the gracefulness of the digraphs  $n \cdot \overrightarrow{C_m}$ , Internat. J. Pure and Appl. Math., 23 (2005) 393-400.
- [373] R. P. Jones, Gracelessness, Proc. 10th S-E Conf. Combinatorics, Graph Theory, and Computing, Congr. Numer., **XXIII-XXIV**, *Util. Math.*, (1979) 547-552.
- [374] D. Jungreis and M. Reid, Labeling grids, Ars Combin., 34 (1992) 167-182.
- [375] Q. D. Kang, The k-gracefulness of the product graphs  $P_m \times C_{4n}$ , J. Math. Res. Exposition, 9 (1989) 623-627.
- [376] Q. Kang, S. M. Lee, and L. Wang, On the edge-graceful spectra of the wheel graphs, preprint.
- [377] Q. D. Kang, Z.-H. Liang, Y.-Z. Gao, and G.-H. Yang, On the labeling of some graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **22** (1996) 193-210.
- [378] Q. D. Kang and X. Zhao, Strongly harmonious labelings of windmill graphs, *J. Hebei Normal College*, **2** (1992) 1-7.
- [379] K. Kathiresan, Subdivisions of ladders are graceful, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **23** (1992) 21-23.
- [380] K. Kathiresan, Two classes of graceful graphs, Ars Combin., 55 (2000) 129-132.
- [381] K. Kathiresan, Graceful labeling of ladders with pendant edges, preprint.
- [382] K. Kathiresan, Odd graceful graphs, preprint.
- [383] K. Kathiresan and S. Amutha, Arbitrary supersubdivisions of stars are graceful, *Indian J. Pure appl. Math.*, **35** (2004) 81-84.
- [384] K. Kathiresan and R. Ganesan, A labeling problem on the plane graphs  $P_{a,b}$ , Ars Combin., 73 (2004) 143-151.
- [385] K. Kathiresan and R. Ganesan, d-antimagic labelings of plane graphs  $P_a^b$ , J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **52** (2005) 89–96.
- [386] K. Kathiresan and S. Gokulakrishnan, On magic labelings of type (1, 1, 1) for the special classes of plane graphs, *Util. Math.*, **63** (2003) 25–32.

- [387] K. Kathiresan, S. Muthuvel, and V. Nagasubbu, Consecutive labelings for two classes of plane graphs, *Util. Math.*, **55** (1999) 237-241.
- [388] J. Keene and A. Simoson, Balanced strands for asymmetric, edge-graceful spiders, *Ars Combin.*, **42** (1996) 49-64.
- [389] André Kézdy,  $\rho$ -valuations for some stunted trees, preprint.
- [390] W.W. Kirchherr, On the cordiality of some specific graphs, Ars Combin., **31** (1991) 127-138.
- [391] W.W. Kirchherr, NEPS operations on cordial graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **115** (1993) 201-209.
- [392] S. P. Kishore, Graceful Labellings of Certain Disconnected Graphs, Ph. D. Thesis, Indian Institute of Technology, Madras, 1996.
- [393] K. M. Koh and N. Punnim, On graceful graphs: cycles with 3-consecutive chords, Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc., 5 (1982) 49-63.
- [394] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, P. Y. Lee, and C. W. Toh, On graceful graphs V: unions of graphs with one vertex in common, *Nanta Math.*, **12** (1979) 133-136.
- [395] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, and C. K. Lim, On graceful graphs: sum of graphs, Research Report 78, College of Graduate Studies, Nanyang University (1979).
- [396] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, and T. Tan, On graceful trees, Nanta Math., 10 (1977) 27-31.
- [397] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, and T. Tan, A graceful arboretum: a survey of graceful trees, in *Proceedings of Franco-Southeast Asian Conference*, Singapore, May 1979, **2** 278-287.
- [398] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, and T. Tan, Products of graceful trees, *Discrete Math.*, **31** (1980) 279-292.
- [399] K. M. Koh, D. G. Rogers, H. K. Teo, and K. Y. Yap, Graceful graphs: some further results and problems, *Congr. Numer.*, **29** (1980) 559-571.
- [400] K. M. Koh and K. Y. Yap, Graceful numberings of cycles with a  $P_3$ -chord, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 12 (1985) 41–48.
- [401] M. C. Kong, S. M. Lee, and H.S.H. Sun, On magic strength of graph, Ars Combin., 45 (1997) 193–200.
- [402] A. Kotzig, Decompositions of a complete graph into 4k-gons (in Russian), Matematický Casopis, 15 (1965) 229-233.
- [403] A. Kotzig,  $\beta$ -valuations of quadratic graphs with isomorphic components, *Util. Math.*, **7** (1975) 263-279.
- [404] A. Kotzig, Decomposition of complete graphs into isomorphic cubes, *J. Combin. Theory, Series B*, **31** (1981) 292-296.
- [405] A. Kotzig, Recent results and open problems in graceful graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, 44 (1984) 197-219.

- [406] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of finite graphs, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, **13** (1970) 451-461.
- [407] A. Kotzig and A. Rosa, Magic valuations of complete graphs, Centre de Recherches Mathematiques, Universite de Montreal, (1972) CRM-175.
- [408] A. Kotzig and J. Turgeon, β-valuations of regular graphs with complete components, Colloq. Math. Soc. János Bolyai 18, Combinatorics, Keszthély, Hungary, 1976.
- [409] P. Kovář, Vertex magic total labeling of products of regular VMT graphs and regular supermagic graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **54** (2005) 21–31.
- [410] P. Kovář, Unified approach to magic labeling of copies of regular graphs, preprint.
- [411] J. Kratochvil, M. Miller, and H. Nguyen, Sum graph labels—An upper bound and related problems, preprint.
- [412] A. Krishnaa, A study of the major graph labelings of trees, *Informatica (Vilnius)* **15** (2004) 515–524.
- [413] A. K. Krishnaa, M. S. Dulawat, and G. S. Rathore, Computational complexity in decision problems, presented in Conf. of Raj. Parishad, Dec. 14-15, 2001, Udaipur, India.
- [414] J. W. Krussel, Equitable labelling of complete bipartite graphs, Ars Combin., to appear.
- [415] Q. Kuang, S. M. Lee, J. Mitchem, and A-G. Wang, On edge-graceful unicyclic graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **61** (1988) 65-74.
- [416] D. Kuo, G. Chang, and Y.-H. Kwong, Cordial labeling of  $mK_n$ , Discrete Math., **169** (1997) 121-131.
- [417] D.R. Lashmi and S. Vangipuram, An  $\alpha$ -valuation of quadratic graph Q(4,4k), *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. India* Sec. A, **57** (1987) 576-580.
- [418] H. Y. Lee, H. M. Lee, and G. J. Chang, Cordial labelings of graphs, *Chinese J. Math.*, **20** (1992) 263-273.
- [419] L. M. Lee, S. M. Lee, and G. Murthy, On edge-graceful labelings of complete graphs—solution of Lo's conjecture, *Congr. Numer.*, **62** (1988) 225-233.
- [420] S. M. Lee, k-graceful labelling of Mongolian tents and related graphs, Congr. Numer., **50** (1985) 85-96.
- [421] S. M. Lee, A conjecture on edge-graceful trees, Scientia, 3 (1989) 45-47.
- [422] S. M. Lee, New directions in the theory of edge-graceful graphs, *Proc. 6th Caribbean Conf. Combin. & Computing* (1991) 216-231.
- [423] S. M. Lee, E. Chen. E. Yera, and L. Wang, On super edge-graceful (p, p+1)-graphs,  $Congr.\ Numer.$ , 171 (2004) 51-65.
- [424] S. M. Lee, K-J. Chen, and Y-C. Wang, On the edge-graceful spectra of cycles with one chord and dumbbell graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **170** (2004) 171-183.
- [425] S. M. Lee and M. C. Kong, On super edge-magic n-stars, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing 42 (2002) 87–96.

- [426] S. M. Lee, K. Y. Lai, Y. S. Wang, and M. K. Kiang, On the graceful permutation graphs conjecture, *Congr. Numer.*, **103** (1994), 193–201.
- [427] S. M. Lee, A. N-T. Lee, H. Sun, and I. Wen, On the integer-magic spectra of graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 42 (2002) 77–86.
- [428] S. M. Lee and A. Lee, On super edge-magic unicyclic graphs, preprint.
- [429] S. M. Lee and A. Liu, A construction of cordial graphs from smaller cordial graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **32** (1991) 209-214.
- [430] S. M. Lee and A. Liu, A construction of k-graceful graphs from complete bipartite graphs, SEA Bull. Math., 12 (1988) 23–30.
- [431] S. M. Lee, P.N. Ma, L. Valdés, and S.-M Tong, On the edge-graceful grids, *Congr. Numer.*, **154** (2002) 61–77.
- [432] S. M. Lee and K. C. Ng, Every Young tableau graph is d-graceful, Combinatorial Math. Annal., New York Acad. Sci., **555** (1989) 296–302.
- [433] S. M. Lee and H. K. Ng, A class of k-graceful bipartite planar graphs, preprint.
- [434] S. M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of total graphs of trees, preprint.
- [435] S. M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of cycles with parallel chords, preprint.
- [436] S. M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of prisms and Möbius ladders, preprint.
- [437] S. M. Lee and H. K. Ng, On friendly index sets of bipartite graphs, preprint.
- [438] S. M. Lee, H. Ng, and Y. Wen, On the edge-magic indices of (v, v + 1)-graphs, preprint.
- [439] S. M. Lee, W. M. Pigg, and T. J. Cox, T. J., On edge-magic cubic graphs conjecture, Congr. Numer., 105 (1994), 214–222.
- [440] S. M. Lee, L. Quach, and S. Wang, On Skolem-gracefulness of graphs which are disjoint union of paths and stars, *Congr. Numer.*, **61** (1988) 59-64.
- [441] S. M. Lee, F. Saba, E. Salehi, and H. Sun, On the  $V_4$ -magic graphs, Congr. Numer., **156** (2002) 59–67.
- [442] S. M. Lee, F. Saba, and G. C. Sun, Magic strength of the kth power of paths, Congr. Numer., 92 (1993) 177–184.
- [443] S. M. Lee and E. Salehi, Integer-magic spectra of amalgamations of stars and cycles, *Ars Combin.*, **67** (2003) 199-212.
- [444] S. M. Lee and E. Salehi, Integer-magic spectra of trees with diameter at most four, preprint.
- [445] S. M. Lee, E. Schmeichel, and S.C. Shee, On felicitous graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 201-209.
- [446] S. M. Lee and E. Seah, On edge-gracefulness of kth power cycles, Congr. Numer., 71 (1990) 237-242.

- [447] S. M. Lee and E. Seah, Edge-gracefulness labelings of regular complete K-partite graphs, Congr. Numer., **75** (1990) 41-50.
- [448] S. M. Lee and E. Seah, On edge-gracefulness of composition of step graphs and null graphs, *Graph Theory*, *Combinatorics*, *Algorthms*, and *Applications* (San Francisco, 1989), SIAM (1991) 325-330.
- [449] S. M. Lee and E. Seah, On edge-graceful triangular snakes and sunflower graphs, preprint.
- [450] S. M. Lee, E. Seah, and S.-P. Lo, On edge-graceful 2-regular graphs, preprint.
- [451] S. M. Lee, E. Seah, and S. K. Tan, On edge-magic graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **132** (1992), 179-191.
- [452] S. M. Lee, E. Seah, and P.-C. Wang, On edge-gracefulness of kth power graphs, Bull. Inst. Math. Acad. Sinica, 18 (1990) 1-11.
- [453] S. M. Lee, and Q. X. Shan, All trees with at most 17 vertices are super edge-magic, 16th MCCCC Conference, Carbondale, University Southern Illinois, Nov. 2002.
- [454] S. M. Lee and S.C. Shee, On Skolem-graceful graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **93** (1991) 195-200.
- [455] S. M. Lee and S. K. Tan, A class of arbitrarily graceful planar bipartite graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **9** (1991) 119-127.
- [456] S. M. Lee, S. M. Tong, and E. Seah, On the edge-magic and edge-graceful total graphs conjecture, *Congr. Numer.*, **141** (1999) 37-48.
- [457] S. M. Lee, L. Valdés, and Y. S. Ho, On group-magic trees, double trees and abbreviated double trees, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 46 (2003) 85-95.
- [458] S. M. Lee and G. Wang, All pyramids, lotuses and diamonds are k-graceful, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.), 32 (1988), 145-150.
- $[459]\,$  S. M. Lee and L. Wang, On k-edge-graceful trees, preprint.
- [460] S. M. Lee, L. Wang, H. Ng, Y-C Wang, On the edge-graceful spectra of the corona of (p, p + 1)-graphs with  $K_1$ , preprint.
- [461] S. M. Lee and P. Wang, On the k-gracefulness of the sequential join of null graphs, Congr. Numer., 71 (1990) 243-254.
- [462] S. M. Lee, L. Wang, and K. Nowak, On the edge-graceful trees conjecture, preprint.
- [463] S. M. Lee, S. Wang, and I. Wui, On Skolem-gracefulness of 4-stars, *Congr. Numer.*, **62** (1988) 235–239.
- [464] S. M. Lee and H. Wong, On the integer spectra of the power of paths, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing*, **42** (2002) 187–194.
- [465] S. M. Lee, Y. S. Wong, and M. K. Kiang, On graceful permutations graphs conjecture, *Congr. Numer.*, **103** (1994) 193–201.
- [466] S. M. Lee and I. Wui, On Skolem-gracefulness of 2-stars and 3-stars, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc.*, **10** (1987) 15-20.

- [467] S. M. Lee, I. Wui and J. Yeh, On the amalgamation of prime graphs, *Bull. Malaysian Math. Soc. (Second Series)*, **11** (1988) 59-67.
- [468] H. X. Liang, and C. F. Liu, On k-gracefulness of graphs, Dongbei Shida Xuebao, **33** (1991) 41-44.
- [469] Z. Liang, The harmoniousness of book graph  $St(4k+1) \times P_2$ , Southeast Asian Bull. Math., **21** (1997) 181-184.
- [470] Z. Liang, On the gracefulness of the graph  $C_m \cup P_n$ , Ars Combin., **62** (2002) 273-280.
- [471] Z. H. Liang, D. Q. Sun, and R. J. Xu, k-graceful labelings of the wheel graph  $W_{2k}$ , J. Hebei Normal College, 1 (1993) 33-44.
- [472] S.-C. Liaw, D. Kuo, and G. Chang, Integral sum numbers of graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **54** (2000) 259-268.
- [473] K.-W Lih, On magic and consecutive labelings of plane graphs, *Util. Math.*, **24** (1983) 165-197.
- [474] Y. Lin, A. Ahmad, M. Miller, K. Sugeng, M. Bača, Further results on d-antimagic labelings of antiprisms, preprint.
- [475] Y. Lin and M. Miller, Vertex-magic total labelings of complete graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **33** (2001), 68-76.
- [476] Y. Lin, M. Miller, R. Simanjuntak, and Slamin, Magic and antimagic labelings of wheels, preprint.
- [477] Y. Lin, Slamin, M. Bača, M. Miller, On d-amtimagic labelings of prisms, Ars Combin., 72 (2004) 65-76.
- [478] Y. Lin and K. Sugeng, Face antimagic labelings of plane graphs  $p_a^b$ , preprint.
- [479] B. Liu, Some new results on graceful graphs, Math. Appl., 3 (1990) 108-110.
- [480] B. Liu, Sums of squares and labels of graphs, Math. Practice Theory, (1994) 25-29.
- [481] D. Liu, Multi-level distance labelings for trees, preprint.
- [482] D. Liu and M. Xie, Radio number for square of cycles, *Congr. Numer.*, **169** (2004) 105–125.
- [483] D. Liu and X. Zhu, Multi-level distance labelings for paths and cycles, SIAM J. Discrete Math., 19 (2005) 610-621.
- [484] R. Y. Liu, On Bodendiek's conjecture for graceful graphs, *Chinese Quart. J. Math.*, 4 (1989) 67-73.
- [485] Y. Liu, The gracefulness of the star graph with top sides, *J. Sichuan Normal Univ.*, **18** (1995) 52-60.
- [486] Y. Liu, Proof of a conjecture concerning gracefulness of graphs, *Huaihua Shizhuan Xuebao*, **15** (1996) 13-25.
- [487] Y. Liu, Crowns graphs  $Q_{2n}$  are harmonious graphs, Hunan Annals Math., **16** (1996) 125-128.
- [488] Y. Liu, All crowns and helms are harmonious, preprint.

- [489] Z. S. Liu, A class of graceful graphs and two classes of graceful graphs derived from it, *Neimenggu Daxue Xuebao*, **16** (1985) 183-186.
- [490] B. Liu and X. Zhang, On a conjecture of harmonious graphs, Systems Science and Math. Sciences, 4 (1989) 325-328.
- [491] B. Liu and X. Zhang, On harmonious labelings of graphs, Ars Combin., **36** (1993) 315-326.
- [492] S. Lo, On edge-graceful labelings of graphs, Congr. Numer., 50 (1985) 231-241.
- [493] R. M. Low and S. M. Lee, On group-magic Eulerian graphs, preprint.
- [494] X. Lu and X. F. Li,  $P_1 \bigvee T_m$  graphs and a certification of its gracefulness, Gongcheng Shuxue Xuebao, 13 (1996) 109-113.
- [495] X. Lu, W. Pan, and X. Li, k-gracefulness and arithmetic of graph  $St(m) \cup K_{p,q}$ , J. Jilin Univ., **42** (2004) 333-336.
- [496] X. Ma, A graceful numbering of a class of graphs, J. Math. Res. and Exposition, (1988) 215-216.
- [497] K. J. Ma and C. J. Feng, About the Bodendiek's conjecture of graceful graph, *J. Math. Research and Exposition*, **4** (1984) 15-18.
- [498] K. J. Ma and C. J. Feng, On the gracefulness of gear graphs, *Math. Practice Theory*, (1984) 72–73.
- [499] X. Ma, Y. Liu, and W. Liu, Graceful graphs: cycles with (t-1) chords, Math. Appl., 9 (1990), suppl., 6–8.
- [500] J. A. MacDougall, M. Miller, Slamin, and W. D. Wallis, Vertex-magic total labelings of graphs, *Util. Math.*, **61** (2002) 3-21.
- [501] J. A. MacDougall, M. Miller, and K. Sugeng, Super vertex-magic total labelings of graphs, Proceedings Australasian Workshop Combin. Algorithm 2004, Balina, NSW (2004) 222-229.
- [502] J. A. MacDougall, M. Miller, and W. D. Wallis, Vertex-magic total labelings of wheels and related graphs, *Util. Math.*, **62** (2002) 175-183.
- [503] J. A. MacDougall and W. D. Wallis, Strong edge-magic labeliing of a cycle with a chord, Austral. J. Combin., 28 (2002) 245–255.
- [504] M. Maheo, Strongly graceful graphs, Discrete Math., 29 (1980) 39-46.
- [505] M. Maheo and H. Thuillier, On d-graceful graphs, Ars Combin., 13 (1982) 181-192.
- [506] D. McQuillan and K. Smith, Vertex-magic total labeling of odd complete graphs, Discrete Math., 305 (2005) 240-249.
- [507] J. McSorley and W. Wallis, On the spectra of totally magic labelings, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **37** (2003) 58–62.
- [508] K. McTavish, personal communication.
- [509] L. S. Melnikov and A. V. Pyatkin, Regular integral sum graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **252** (2002) 237-245.

- [510] E. Mendelsohn and N. Shalaby, Skolem labelled graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **97** (1991) 301–317.
- [511] E. Mendelsohn and N. Shalaby, On Skolem labelling of windmills, Ars Combin., 53 (1999) 161–172.
- [512] M. Miller, Open problems in graph theory: labelings and extremal graphs, preprint.
- [513] M. Miller and M. Bača: Antimagic valuations of generalized Petersen graphs, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **22** (2000) 135-139.
- [514] M. Miller, M. Bača, and Y. Lin: On two conjectures concerning (a, d)-antimagic labelings of antiprisms, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 37 (2001) 251–254.
- [515] M. Miller, M. Bača, and J. A. MacDougall: Vertex-magic total labeling of generalized Petersen graphs and convex polytopes, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing*, to appear.
- [516] M. Miller, C. Rodger, and R. Simanjuntak, On distance magic graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 28 (2003) 305-315.
- [517] M. Miller, J. Ryan, and Slamin, Integral sum numbers of cocktail party graphs and symmetric complete bipartite graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **25** (1999) 23–28.
- [518] M. Miller, J. Ryan, Slamin, and W. Smyth, Labelling wheels for the minimum sum number, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 28 (1998) 289–297.
- [519] M. Miller, J. Ryan, Slamin, K. Sugeug, and M. Tuga, Open problems in exclusive sum graph labeling, preprint.
- [520] M. Miller, J. Ryan, and W. Smyth, The sum number of the cocktail party graph, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 22 (1998) 79–90.
- [521] M. Miller, D. Patel, J. Ryan, K. Sugeng, Slamin, and M. Tuga, Exclusive sum labeling of graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **55** (2005) 149-158.
- [522] J. Mitchem and A. Simoson, On edge-graceful and super-edge-graceful graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **37** (1994) 97–111.
- [523] M. Mollard and C. Payan, Elegant labelings and edge-colorings: A proof of two conjectures of Hartman, and Chang, Hsu, Rogers, Ars Combin., 36 (1993) 97-106.
- [524] M. Mollard, C. Payan, and S. Shixin, Graceful problems, Seventh Hungarian Colloquium on Finite and Infinite Combinatorics, Budapest July 1987.
- [525] D. Morgan, All lobsters with perfect matchings are graceful, (electronic), *Electron.* Notes Discrete Math., **11** (2002) 6 pp.
- [526] D. Morgan, Gracefully labeled trees from Skolem sequences, Congr. Numer. 142 (2000) 41–48.
- [527] D. Morgan and R. Rees, Using Skolem and Hooked-Skolem sequences to generate graceful trees, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **44** (2003) 47-63.
- [528] D. Moulton, Graceful labelings of triangular snakes, Ars Combin., 28 (1989) 3-13.

- [529] J. Mülbacher, Magische Quadrate und ihre Verallgemeinerung: ein graphentheoretisches Problem in: Graphs, Data Structures, Algorithms, Hensen Verlag, München, 1979.
- [530] M. Murugan, Almost-magic, relaxed-magic and magic strength of a graph, *Util. Math.*, **65** (2004), 53–64.
- [531] M. Murugan and G. Arumugan, On graceful numberings of  $nC_5$  with a common edge, preprint.
- [532] M. Murugan and G. Arumugan, An algorithm to find graceful numberings of a Spl. class of banana trees, preprint.
- [533] M. Murugan and G. Arumugan, Bi-graceful graphs, Number theory and discrete mathematics (Chandigarh, 2000) *Trends Math.*, Birkhäuser 243–249.
- [534] M. Murugan and G. Arumugan, Are banana trees graceful?, *Math. Ed.* (Siwan) **35** (2001) 18–20.
- [535] H. Nagamochi, M. Miller, and Slamin, Bounds on the number of isolates in sum graph labeling, *Discrete Math.*, **240** (2001) 175–185.
- [536] D. Narayan, Problem 380. Representations of graphs modulo n, Discrete Math., **257** (2002) 614.
- [537] D. Narayan, An upper bound for the representation number of graphs of fixed order, *Integers*, 3 (2003) A12 4 pp.
- [538] J. Nešetřil and A. Pultr, A Dushnik-Miller type dimension of graphs and its complexity, in: M. Karpinski, Ed., Fundamentals of Computation Theory, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, **56**, Springer, Berlin, 1977, 482-493.
- [539] H. K. Ng, Gracefulness of a class of lobsters, Notices AMS, 7 (1986) 825-05-294.
- [540] H. K. Ng,  $\alpha$ -valuations and k-gracefulness, Notices AMS, 7 (1986) 247.
- [541] A. Ngurah, On (a, b)-edge-antimagic total labeling of odd cycle. J. Indones. Math. Soc., **9** (2003) 9–12.
- [542] A. Ngurah and E. Baskoro, On magic and antimagic total labelings of generalized Petersen graph, *Util. Math.*, **63** (2003) 97-107.
- [543] A. Ngurah, E. Baskoro, and R. Simamjuntak, On antimagic total labelings of generalized Petersen graph, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **55** (2005) 57–70.
- [544] T. Nicholas and S. Somasundaram, More results on integral sum graphs, Graph Theory and its Applications, Editors: R. Balakrishnan et al., Narosa Publishing House, New Delhi, India **2004** 75-83.
- [545] T. Nicholas, S. Somasundaram, and V. Vilfred, On (a, d)-antimagic special trees, unicyclic graphs and complete bipartite graphs,  $Ars\ Combin.$ , **70** (2004) 207-220.
- [546] T. Nicholas and S. Somasundaram, More results on sum graphs, *Proceedings of the Conference on Graph Theory and its Applications held at Anna University, Chennai*, **2001**, 73-83.
- [547] T. Nicholas and V. Vilfred, Sum graph and edge reduced sum number, preprint.

- [548] R. Nowakowski and C. Whitehead, Ordered graceful labellings of the 2-star, Graph theory (Prague, 1998), *Discrete Math.*, **233** (2001) 183–191.
- [549] W. Pan and X. Lu, The gracefulness of two kinds of unconnected graphs  $(P_2 \vee \overline{K_n}) \cup St(m)$  and  $(P_2 \vee \overline{K_n}) \cup T_n$ , J. Jilin Univ., **41** (2003) 152-154.
- [550] A. M. Pastel, and H. Raynaud, Numerotation gracieuse des oliviers, in *Colloq. Grenoble*, Publications Université de Grenoble, (1978) 218-223.
- [551] K. Petrie and B. Smith, http://scom.hud.ac.uk/scombms/Graceful.
- [552] N. C. K. Phillips, R. S. Rees, and W. D. Wallis, Edge-magic total labelings of wheels, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **31** (2001), 21–30.
- [553] N. C. K. Phillips, R. S. Rees, and W. D. Wallis, personal communication.
- [554] O. Pikhurko, Every Tree with at most 34 vertices is prime, *Util. Math.*, **62** (2002) 185-190.
- [555] O. Pikhurko, Trees are almost prime, *Discrete Math.*, to appear, (http://www.pmms.cam.ac.uk/~oleg/Papers/TreesAreAlmostPrime.ps).
- [556] S. Poljak and M. Sûra, An algorithm for grwceful labeling of a class of symmetrical trees, *Ars Combin.*, **14** (1982) 57-66.
- [557] R. Ponraj and S. Somasundaram, Further results on mean graphs, *Proc. SACOEF-ERENCE*, National Level Conference, Dr. Sivanthi Aditanar College of Engineering, (2005) 443–448.
- [558] N. Punnim and N. Pabhapote, On graceful graphs: cycles with a  $P_k$ -chord,  $k \ge 4$ , Ars Combin., **23A** (1987) 225-228.
- [559] A. Pyatkin, New formula for the sum number for the complete bipartite graphs, Discrete Math., 239 (2001) 155–160.
- [560] J. Qian, On some conjectures and problems in graceful labelings graphs, preprint.
- [561] I. Rajasingh and P. R. L. Pushpam, Strongly harmonious labeling of helms, preprint.
- [562] I. Rajasingh and P. R. L. Pushpam, On graceful and harmonious labelings of t copies of  $K_{m,n}$  and other special graphs, preprint.
- [563] J. L. Ramírez-Alfonsín, Gracefulness of replicated paths and cycles, Ars Combin., 53 (1999) 257-269.
- [564] T. Redl, Graceful graphs and graceful labelings: Two mathematical formulations and some other new results, *Congr. Numer.*, **164** (2003) 17-31.
- [565] R. Rani and N. Sridharan,  $E_k$ -cordial labellings of graphs, preprint.
- [566] M. Reid, personal communication.
- [567] G. Ringel, Problem 25, in Theory of Graphs and its Applications, *Proc. Symposium Smolenice* 1963, Prague (1964) 162.
- [568] G. Ringel and A. Llado, Another tree conjecture, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 18 (1996) 83-85.

- [569] G. Ringel, A. Llado, and O. Serra, Decomposition of complete bipartite graphs into trees, DMAT Research Report 11/96, Univ. Politecnica de Catalunya.
- [570] Y. Roditty and T. Bachar, A note on edge-magic cycles, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **29** (2000) 94-96.
- [571] D. Ropp, Graceful labelings of cycles and prisms with pendant points, *Congress. Numer.*, **75** (1990) 218-234.
- [572] A. Rosa, On certain valuations of the vertices of a graph, *Theory of Graphs (Internat. Symposium, Rome, July 1966)*, Gordon and Breach, N. Y. and Dunod Paris (1967) 349-355.
- [573] A. Rosa, Labelling snakes, Ars Combin., 3 (1977) 67-74.
- [574] A. Rosa, Cyclic Steiner triple systems and labelings of triangular cacti, *Scientia*, **1** (1988) 87-95.
- [575] A. Rosa and J. Širáň, Bipartite labelings of trees and the gracesize, *J. Graph Theory*, **19** (1995) 201-215.
- [576] E. Salehi, S. M. Lee, and M. Khatirinejad, IC-colorings and IC-indices of graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **299** (2005) 297–310.
- [577] H. Salmasian, A result on prime labelings of trees, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 28 (2000) 36-38.
- [578] Ľ. Šándorová and M.Trenklér, On a generalization of magic graphs, *Colloquia Math. Societatis J.Bolyai*, **52** Combinatorics, North-Holland, Amsterdam 1988, 447-452.
- [579] G. Santhosh, Sequential coronations of graphs, Natl. Acad. Sci. Lett., 28 (2005) 269-270.
- [580] G. Santhosh and G. Singh, A note on subdivision of integral sum graphs, preprint.
- [581] G. Santhosh and G. Singh, On divisor graphs, preprint.
- [582] K. Schaffer and S. M. Lee, Edge-graceful and edge-magic labelings of Cartesian products of graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **141** (1999) 119-134.
- [583] J. Sedláček, Problem 27, in Theory of Graphs and its Applications, *Proc. Symposium Smolenice*, June, (1963) 163-167.
- [584] J. Sedláček, On magic graphs, Math. Slov., 26 (1976) 329-335.
- [585] C. Sekar, Studies in Graph Theory, Ph. D. Thesis, Madurai Kamaraj University, 2002.
- [586] P. Selvaraju, New classes of graphs with  $\alpha$ -valuation, harmonious and cordial labelings, Ph. D. Thesis, Anna University, 2001. Madurai Kamaraj University, 2002.
- [587] M. Seoud, A. E. I. Abdel Maqsoud, and J. Sheehan, Harmonious graphs, Util. Math., 47 (1995) 225-233.
- [588] M. Seoud and A. E. I. Abdel Maqsoud, On cordial and balanced labelings of graphs, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 7 (1999) 127–135.
- [589] M. Seoud and A. E. I. Abdel Maqsoud, On 3-equitable and magic labelings, preprint.

- [590] M. Seoud, A. E. I. Abdel Maqsoud, and J. Sheehan, Gracefulness of the union of cycles and paths, *Ars Combin.*, **54** (2000) 283-292.
- [591] M. A. Seoud, A. T. Diab, and E. A. Elsahawi, On strongly-C harmonious, relatively prime, odd graceful and cordial graphs, *Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt*, No. 73 (1998) 33–55.
- [592] M. A. Seoud and E. A. Elsahawi, On almost graceful, felicitous and elegant graphs, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 7 (1999) 137–149.
- [593] M. A. Seoud and E. A. Elsahawi, On strongly c-elegant graphs, preprint.
- [594] M. A. Seoud and R. J. Wilson, Some disgraceful graphs, Int. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech., 24 (1993) 435-441.
- [595] M. A. Seoud and M. Youssef, On labelling complete tripartite graphs, *Int. J. Math. Ed. Sci. Tech.*, **28** (1997) 367-371.
- [596] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, On prime labelings of graphs, Congr. Numer., 141 (1999) 203–215.
- [597] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, Families of harmonious and non-harmonious graphs, J. Egyptian Math. Soc., 7 (1999) 117–125.
- [598] M. Seoud and M. Youssef, New families of graceful disconnected graphs, Ars Combin., 57 (2000) 233-245.
- [599] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, On harmonious graphs of order 6, *Ars Combin.*, **65** (2002) 155–176.
- [600] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, The effect of some operations on labelling of graphs, *Proc. Egyptian Phys. Math. Soc.*, to appear.
- [601] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, Harmonious labellings of helms and related graphs, preprint.
- [602] M. A. Seoud and M. Z. Youssef, On gracefulness of disconnected graphs, preprint.
- [603] M. A. Seoud and A. Zid, Strong multiplicativity of unions and corona of paths and complete graphs, Proc. Math. Phys. Soc. Egypt, 74 (1999) 59-71.
- [604] G. Sethuraman and R. Dhavamani, Graceful numbering of an edge-gluing of shell graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **218** 283-287.
- [605] G. Sethuraman and R. Dhavamani, Graceful numbering of union of shell graphs, preprint.
- [606] G. Sethuraman and A. Elumalai, On graceful graphs: Pendant edge extensions of a family of complete bipartite and complete tripartite graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **32** (2001) 1283-1296.
- [607] G. Sethuraman and A. Elumalai, Graceful, harmonious and elegant labellings on star extension graphs, preprint.
- [608] G. Sethuraman and A. Elumalai, Every graph is a vertex induced subgraph of a graceful graph and elegant graph, preprint.

- [609] G. Sethuraman and A. Elumalai, Elegant labelled graphs, preprint.
- [610] G. Sethuraman and J. Jesintha, All banana trees are graceful, preprint.
- [611] G. Sethuraman and S. P. M. Kishore, On graceful graphs: Union of n copies of edge deleted subgraphs of  $K_4$ , Indian J. Pure Appl. Math., **30** (1999) 801–808.
- [612] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, Gracefulness of arbitrary supersubdivisions of graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **32** (2001) 1059–1064.
- [613] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, On graceful graphs: one vertex unions of non-isomorphic complete bipartite graphs, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 32 (2001) 975–980.
- [614] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, Decompositions of complete graphs and complete bipartite graphs into isomorphic supersubdivision graphs, *Discrete Math.*, (2003) **260** 137–149.
- [615] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, One edge union of shell graphs and one vertex union of complete bipartite graphs are cordial, *Discrete Math.*, **259** (2002) 343–350.
- [616] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, Super-subdivisions of connected graphs are graceful, preprint.
- [617] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, On graceful graphs I: Union of non-isomorphic complete bipartite graphs with one vertex in common, preprint.
- [618] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, New classes of graphs on graph labeling, preprint.
- [619] G. Sethuraman and P. Selvaraju, On harmonious and felicitous graphs: Union of n-copies of edge deleted subgraphsof  $K_4$ , preprint.
- [620] G. Sethuraman, P. Selvaraju, and A. Elumalai, On harmonious, felicitous, elegant and cordial graphs: Union of n copies of edge deleted subgraphs of  $K_4$ , preprint.
- [621] A. Sharary, Integal sum graphs from complete graphs, cycles and wheels, *Arab Gulf Sci. Res.*, **14-1** (1996) 1–14.
- [622] S. C. Shee, On harmonious and related graphs, Ars Combin., 23 (1987) A, 237-247.
- [623] S. C. Shee, Some results on  $\lambda$ -valuation of graphs involving complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **28** (1991) 73-80.
- [624] S. C. Shee and Y. S. Ho, The cordiality of one-point union of *n*-copies of a graph, *Discrete Math.*, **117** (1993) 225-243.
- [625] S. C. Shee and Y. S. Ho, The cordiality of the path-union of n copies of a graph, Discrete Math., 151 (1996) 221-229.
- [626] S. Shee and S. Lee, On harmonious and felicitious labeling of graphs, Congr. Numer.,68 (1989) 155-170.
- [627] D. A. Sheppard, The factorial representation of major balanced labelled graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **15** (1976) 379-388.
- [628] H. Shimazu, Graceful labelling of the union of cycles and paths, preprint.
- [629] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and H. L. Cheng, Supermagic labeling of an s-duplicate of  $K_{n,n}$ , Congr. Numer., **146** (2000) 119–124.

- [630] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and H. L. Cheng, Edge-gracefulness of the composition of paths with the null graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **253** (2002) 63-76.
- [631] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and S. M. Lee, Edge-magic index sets of (p, p)-graphs, Congr. Numer., 136 (1999) 201-205.
- [632] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and S. M. Lee, On construction of supermagic graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., 42 (2002) 147–160.
- [633] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and S. M. Lee, Edge-magic indices of (n, n-1)-graphs, 16pp. Electron. Notes Discrete Math., 11 2002.
- [634] W. C. Shiu, P. C. B. Lam, and P. K. Sun, Construction of group-magic graphs and some A-magic graphs with A of even order, Congr. Numer., 167 (2004) 97-107.
- [635] W. C. Shiu and S. M. Lee, Some edge-magic cubic graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **40** (2002) 115-127.
- [636] W. C. Shiu, S. M. Lee, and K. Schaffer, Some k-fold edge-graceful labelings of (p, p-1)-graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput., **38** (2001), 81–95.
- [637] S. Shixin and S. Yu, On Hamming-graceful graphs, preprint.
- [638] R. Simanjuntak, F. Bertault, and M. Miller, Two new (a, d)-antimagic graph labelings, Proc. Eleventh Australia Workshop Combin. Algor., Hunrer Valley, Australia (2000) 179-189.
- [639] G. J. Simmons, Synch-sets: a variant of difference sets, *Proc. 5th Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing*, Util. Math. Pub. Co., Winnipeg (1974) 625-645.
- [640] G. S. Singh, A note on graceful prisms, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 15 (1992) 193-194.
- [641] G. S. Singh, Subdivisions of ladders are arithmetic, Multidisceplinary Research Review, 2 (1992) 23-25.
- [642] G. S. Singh, A note on sequential crowns, Nat. Acad. Sci. Lett., 16 (1993) 243-245.
- [643] G. S. Singh, A note on labeling of graphs, Graphs and Combin., 14 (1998) 201-207.
- [644] G. S. Singh, Some generalities on arithmetic graphs, *Graph Theory Notes of New York*, **XXXVIII** (2000) 12-16.
- [645] G. S. Singh, private communication.
- [646] G. Singh and J. Devaraj, On triangular graceful graphs, preprint.
- [647] G. S. Singh and G. Santhosh, A note on integral sum crowns, Ars Combin., 66 (2003) 65-77.
- [648] G. S. Singh and T. K. M. Varkey, On odd sequential and bisequential graphs, preprint.
- [649] G. S. Singh and T. K. M. Varkey, Some results on prime labeling of graphs preprint.
- [650] G. S. Singh and V. Vilfred, Some results on arithmetic trees, preprint.
- [651] N. M. Singhi, G. R. Vijayakumar and N. Usha Devi, Set-magic labelings of infinite graphs. *Ars Combin.*, **63** (2002) 305–310.

- [652] T. Skolem, On certain distribution of integers into pairs with given differences, *Math. Scand.*, **5** (1957) 57-68.
- [653] S. Slamet, K. Sugeng, and M. Miller, An application of sum labeling for the access structure in a secret sharing scheme, preprint.
- [654] Slamin, M. Bača, Y.Lin, M. Miller, and R. Simanjuntak, Edge-magic total labelings of wheels, fans and friendship graphs, *Bull. ICA*, **35** (2002) 89-98.
- [655] Slamin and M. Miller, On two conjectures concerning vertex-magic total labelings of generalized Petersen graphs, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **32** (2001) 9–16.
- [656] P. J. Slater, On k-sequential and other numbered graphs, Discrete Math., **34** (1981) 185-193.
- [657] P. J. Slater, On k-graceful graphs, Proc. of the 13th S.E. Conf. on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, (1982) 53-57.
- [658] P. J. Slater, On k-graceful, locally finite graphs, J. Combin. Theory, Series B, 35 (1983) 319-322.
- [659] P. J. Slater, Problems in graph theory: graceful and sequential numbering of infinite graphs, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 9 (1985) 15-22.
- [660] P. J. Slater, On k-graceful, countably infinite graphs, Discrete Math., 61 (1986) 293-303.
- [661] D. Small, Regular (even) spider graphs are edge-graceful, Congr. Numer., 74 (1990) 247-254.
- [662] W. Smyth, Sum graphs of small sum number, Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai, 60 (1991) 669-678.
- [663] W. Smyth, Sum graphs: New results, new problems, Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl., 2 (1991) 79-81.
- [664] H. Snevily, Combinatorics of Finite Sets, Ph. D. Thesis, U. Illinois, 1991.
- [665] H. Snevily, New families of graphs that have  $\alpha$ -labelings, *Discrete Math.*, **170** (1997) 185-194.
- [666] S. Somasundaram and R. Ponraj, Mean labelings of graphs, *National Academy Sciences Letters*, **26** (2003) 210–213.
- [667] S. Somasundaram and R. Ponraj, Non-existence of mean labeling for a wheel, *Bull. Pure and Appl. Sciences (Mathematics & Statistics)*, **22E** (2003) 103–111.
- [668] S. Somasundaram and R. Ponraj, Some results on mean graphs, *Pure and Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **58** (2003) 29–35.
- [669] S. Somasundaram and R. Ponraj, On mean graphs of order < 5, J. Decision and Mathematical Sciences, 9 (2004) 47–58.
- [670] M. Sonntag, Antimagic vertex-labelling of hypergraphs, *Discrete Math.*, **247** (2002) 187-199.
- [671] M. Sonntag, Difference labelling of cacti, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **23** (2003) 55–65.

- [672] M. Sonntag and H.-M. Teichert, Sum numbers of hypertrees, *Discrete Math.*, **214** (2000) 285-290.
- [673] M. Sonntag and H.-M. Teichert, On the sum number and integral sum number of hypertrees and complete hypergraphs, *Discrete Math.*, 236 (2001) 339-349.
- [674] D. Speyer and Z. Szaniszló, Every tree is 3-equitable, *Discrete Math.*, **220** (2000) 283-289.
- [675] R. Stanton and C. Zarnke, Labeling of balanced trees, *Proc. 4th Southeast Conf. Combin.*, Graph Theory, Computing (1973) 479-495.
- [676] B. M. Stewart, Magic graphs, Canadian J. Math., 18 (1966) 1031-1059.
- [677] B. M. Stewart, Supermagic complete graphs, Canadian J. Math., 19 (1967) 427-438.
- [678] I. Sudarsana, E. Baskova, D. Ismaimuza, and H. Assiyatun, Creating new super edge-magic total labelings from old ones, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **55** (2005) 83–90.
- [679] I. Sudarsana, D. Ismaimuza, E. Baskova, and H. Assiyatun, On super (a, d)-antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs, Assiyatun, Hilda On super (a, d)-edge antimagic total labeling of disconnected graphs, *J. Combin. Math. Combin. Comput.*, **55** (2005) 149–158.
- [680] K. A. Sugeng and M. Miller, Relationship between adjacency matrices and super (a, d)-edge-antimagic-total labelings of graphs, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, 55 (2005) 71-82.
- [681] K. A. Sugeng and M. Miller, Properties of consecutive edge magic total graphs, preprint.
- [682] K. A. Sugeng, M. Miller, M. Bača, Super edge-antimagic total labelings, *Util. Math.*, to appear.
- [683] K. A. Sugeng, M. Miller, Y. Lin, and M. Bača, Super (a, d)-vertex-antimagic total labelings, J. Combin. Math. Combin. Computing, **55** (2005) 91-102.
- [684] K. A. Sugeng, M. Miller, Y. Lin, and M. Bača, Face antimagic labelings of prisms, *Util. Math.*, to appear.
- [685] K. A. Sugeng, M. Miller, Slamin, and M. Bača, (a, d)-edge-antimagic total labelings of caterpillars, *Lecture Notes Comput. Sci.*, **3330** (2005) 169-180.
- [686] K. A. Sugeng, J. Ryan, and H. Fernau, A sum labelling for the flower  $f_{p,q}$ , preprint.
- [687] R. G. Sun, Harmonious and sequential labelings of the book graphs  $B_m$ , Gaoxiao Yingyong Shuxue Xuebao Ser. A, **9** (1994) 335–337.
- [688] D. Q. Sun and D.Y. Wang, private communication.
- [689] G. C. Sun, J. Guan, and S.-M. Lee, A labeling algorithm for magic graph, Proceedings of the Twenty-fifth Southeastern International Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing (Boca Raton, FL, 1994). Congr. Numer. 102 (1994) 129–137.

- [690] G. C. Sun and S. M. Lee, Construction of magic graphs, Congr. Numer., 103 (1994) 243–251.
- [691] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundaram, Product cordial labeling of graphs, Bull. Pure and Applied Sciences (Mathematics & Statistics), 23E (2004) 155–163.
- [692] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundram, Prime cordial labeling of graphs, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, **27** (2005), to appear.
- [693] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundaram, Some results on product cordial labeling, *Pure and Applied Math. Sciences*, to appear.
- [694] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundram, Total product cordial labeling of graphs, Bull. Pure and Applied Sciences (Mathematics & Statistics), to appear.
- [695] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundram, Some results on total product cordial labeling of graphs, *J. Indian Acad. Math.*, to appear.
- [696] M. Sundaram, R. Ponraj and S. Somasundaram, On a prime labeling conjecture, *Ars Combin.*, **80** (2006 205–209.
- [697] M. Sutton, Sumable graphs labellings and their applications, Ph. D. Thesis, Dept. Computer Science, The University of Newcastle, 2001.
- [698] M. Sutton, A. Draganova, and M. Miller, Mod sum numbers of wheels, Ars Combin., 63 (2002) 273-287.
- [699] M. Sutton and M. Miller, Mod sum graph labelling of  $H_{n,n}$  and  $K_n$ , Australas. J. Combin., **20** (1999) 233-240.
- [700] M. Sutton and M. Miller, On the sum number of wheels, *Discrete Math.*, **232** (2001) 185-188.
- [701] M. Sutton, M. Miller, J. Ryan, and Slamin, Connected graphs which are not mod sum graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **195** (1999) 287-293.
- [702] V. Swaminathan and P. Jeyanthi, Super vertex-magic labeling, *Indian J. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **34** (2003) 935-939.
- [703] V. Swaminathan and P. Jeyanthi, On super vertex-magic labeling, *J. Discuss. Math. Sciences & Cryptography*, 8 (2005) 217–224.
- [704] Z. Szaniszló, k-equitable labellings of cycles and some other graphs, Ars Combin., 37 (1994) 49-63.
- [705] R. Tao, On k-cordiality of cycles, crowns and wheels, Systems Sci. Math. Sci., 11 (1998) 227–229.
- [706] H.-M. Teichert, The sum number of d-partite complete hypergraphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory, 19 (1999) 79–91.
- [707] H.-M. Teichert, Classes of hypergraphs with sum number one, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **20** (2000) 93-103.
- [708] H.-M. Teichert, Sum labellings of cycle hypergraphs, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **20** (2000) 255-265.

- [709] S. Telang, private communication.
- [710] M. Tezer and I Cahit, A note on (a, d)-vertex antimagic total labeling of paths and cycles, *Util. Math.*, **68** (2005) 217-221.
- [711] A. Tout, A. N. Dabboucy, and K. Howalla, Prime labeling of graphs, *Nat. Acad. Sci. Letters*, **11** (1982) 365-368.
- [712] M. Trenklér, Some results of magic graphs, graphs and other combinatorics topics, Teubner-Texte zur Mathematik - Band 59, Leipzig 1983, 328-332.
- [713] M. Trenklér, Numbers of vertices and edges of magic graphs, Ars Combin., 55 (2000) 93-96.
- [714] M. Trenklér, Super-magic complete *n*-partite hypergraphs, *Graphs and Combin.*, **17** (2001) 171-175.
- [715] M. Trenklér, Magic p-dimensional cubes, Acta Arithmetica 96 (2001) 361-364.
- [716] M. Trenklér and V. Vetchý, Magic powers of graphs, Math. Bohemica, 122 (1997) 121-124.
- [717] M. Truszczyński, Graceful unicyclic graphs, Demonstatio Mathematica, 17 (1984) 377-387.
- [718] K. Valentin, Polychrome labelings of trees and cycles, Ars Combin., 52 (1999) 272-284.
- [719] F. Van Bussel, Relaxed graceful labellings of trees, *Electronic J. Combin.*, **9** (2002) #R4. (12 pages).
- [720] T. Varkey, About prime labelings of graphs, preprint.
- [721] D. Vickrey, k-equitable labelings of complete bipartite and multipartite graphs, Ars Combin., **54** (2000) 65-85.
- [722] A. Vietri, personal communication.
- [723] V. Vilfred, Families of graceful banana trees, *Internat. J. Management and Systems*, to appear.
- [724] V. Vilfred, Sigma partitions and sigma labeled graphs, preprint.
- [725] V. Vilfred and M. Jinnah, On sigma labeled graphs, preprint.
- [726] V. Vilfred, S. Somasundaram and T. Nicholas, Classes of prime graphs, *International J. Management and Systems*, to appear.
- [727] V. Vilfred and L. M. Florida, Partition technique in the study of (a, d)-antimagic graphs, preprint.
- [728] V. Vilfred and L. M. Florida, Odd antimagic and odd (a, d)-antimagic graphs, preprint.
- [729] V. Vilfred and L. M. Florida, Relation between sum, integer sum, chromatic and edge chromatic numbers of few graphs, preprint.
- [730] V. Vilfred and L. M. Florida, Two problems on integral sum graphs, preprint.

- [731] V. Vilfred and L. M. Florida, Anti-sum and anti-integral sum graphs,
- [732] V. Vilfred and T. Nicholas, On integral sum graphs G with  $\Delta(G) = |V(G)| 1$ , preprint.
- [733] V. Vilfred and T. Nicholas, Banana trees and unions of stars are integral sum graphs, preprint.
- [734] V. Vilfred and T. Nicholas, Amalgamation of integral sum graphs, fans and Dutch M-windmills are integral sum graphs, preprint. National Seminar on Algebra and Discrete Mathematics held at Kerala Univ., Trivandrum, India, 2005, to appear.
- [735] C. D. Wallace, Mod Sum Numbers of Complete Bipartite Graphs, M.S. Thesis, East Tennessee State University, 1999.
- [736] W. D. Wallis, Magic Graphs, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2001.
- [737] W. D. Wallis, Vertex magic labelings of multiple graphs, *Congr. Numer.*, **152** (2001) 81-83.
- [738] W. D. Wallis, Two results of Kotzig on magic labelings, *Bull. Inst. Combin. Appl.*, **36** (2002) 23–28.
- [739] W. D. Wallis, Totally magic labellings and graphs, preprint.
- [740] W. D. Wallis, E. T. Baskoro, M. Miller, and Slamin, Edge-magic total labelings, preprint.
- [741] J.-G. Wang, D. J. Jin, X.-G Lu, and D. Zhang, The gracefulness of a class of lobster trees, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, **20** (1994) 105-110.
- [742] T. Wang, Toroidal grids are anti-magic, Computing and combinatorics, Lecture Notes in Comput. Sci., 3595, Springer, Berlin (2005) 671-679.
- [743] Y. Wang and B. Liu, The sum number and integral sum number of complete bipartite graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **239** (2001) 69–82.
- [744] M. E. Watkins, A theorem on Tait colorings with an application to the generalized Petersen graphs, J. Combin. Theory, 6 (1969) 152-164.
- [745] K. Wijaya and E. Baskoro, Edge-magic labelings of a product of two graphs (in Indonesian), *Proc. Seminar MIPA*, ITB Bandung Indonesia, October 2000.
- [746] S. Wilson and A. Riskin, Edge-graceful labellings of odd cycles and their products, Bulletin of the ICA, 24 (1998) 57-64.
- [747] S. Winters, personal communication.
- [748] J. Wojciechowski, Long Induced Cycles in the Hypercube and Colourings of Graphs, Ph. D. Thesis, Cambridge University, England, 1990.
- [749] J. Wojciechowski, Equitable labelings of cycles, J. Graph Theory, 17 (1993) 531-547.
- [750] D. Wood, On vertex-magic and edge-magic total injections of graphs, Australas. J. Combin., 26 (2002) 49–63.
- [751] J. Wu, J. Mao, and D. Li, New types of integral sum graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **260** (2003) 163-176.

- [752] S.-L. Wu, Graceful labelings of graphs associated with vertex-saturated graphs, *Ars Combin.*, **62** (2002) 109-120.
- [753] S.-L. Wu, New families of sequential graphs, Ars Combin., 69 (2003) 9-17.
- [754] S.-L. Wu, New graceful families on bipartite graphs, Ars Combin., 73 (2004) 79-87.
- [755] S.-L. Wu, A necessary condition for the existence of an  $\alpha$ -labeling, preprint.
- [756] S.-L. Wu, Graceful labelings of vertex-saturated graphs and related graphs, preprint.
- [757] L. T. Xie and G. Z. Liu, A survey of the problem of graceful trees, *Qufu Shiyuan Xuebao*, (1984) 8-15.
- [758] B. Xu, On integral sum graphs, Discrete Math., 194 (1999) 285-294.
- [759] S. D. Xu, Cycles with a chord are harmonious, *Mathematica Applicata*, **8** (1995) 31–37.
- [760] S. D. Xu, Harmonicity of triangular snakes, J. Math. Res. Exposition, 15 (1995) 475–476.
- [761] Q. T. Yan, A proof of a conjecture related to of windmill graphs, Math. Practice Theory, 34 (2004) 116-117.
- [762] W. Yan and B. Liu, Some results on integral sum graphs, *Discrete Math.*, **240** (2001) 219–229.
- [763] W. Yan and B. Liu, The sum number and integral sum number of complete bipartite graphs, preprint.
- [764] X.-W Yang and W. Pan, Gracefulness of the graph  $\bigcup_{i=1}^n F_{m_i,4}$ , J. Jilin Univ. Sci., 41 (2003)466-469.
- [765] Y. Yang, X. Lin, C. Yu, The graphs  $C_5^{(t)}$  are graceful for  $t \equiv 0, 3 \pmod{4}$ . Ars Combin. **74** (2005), 239–244.
- [766] Y. Yang, W. Lu, and Q. Zeng, Harmonious graphs  $C_{2k} \cup C_{2j+1}$ , *Util. Math.*, **62** (2002) 191-198.
- [767] Y. S. Yang, Q. Rong, and X. R. Xu, A class of graceful graphs, J. Math. Research and Exposition, 24 (2004) 520-524.
- [768] Y. C. Yang and X. G. Wang, On the gracefulness of the product  $C_n \times P_2$ , J. Math. Research and Exposition, 1 (1992) 143-148.
- [769] Y. C. Yang and X. G. Wang, On the gracefulness of the union of two stars and three stars, *Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications (Beijing, 1993)*, 417–424, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [770] Y. C. Yang and X. G. Wang, On the gracefulness of product graph  $C_{4n+2} \times P_{4m+3}$ , Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications (Beijing, 1993), 425–431, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 1994.
- [771] Y. Yang, X. Xu, Y. Xi, and J. Qian, On harmonious labelings of the balanced quadruple shells. *Ars Combin.* **75** (2005) 289–296.

- [772] B. Yao, H. Cheng, Z. Zhongfu, and M. Yao, Labellings of trees with larger maximal degrees, preprint.
- [773] V. Yegnanarayanan, On some additive analogues of graceful theme: cycle-related graphs, Southeast Asian Bull. Math., 23 (1999) 317–333.
- [774] V. Yegnanarayanan, On magic graphs. Util. Math., 59 (2001) 181–204.
- [775] R. Yilmaz and I. Cahit, E-cordial graphs, Ars Combin., 46 (1997) 251-266.
- [776] M. Z. Youssef, On graceful, harmonious and prime labelings of graphs, Ph. D. thesis, Department of Mathematics, Ain Shams University, 2000.
- [777] M. Z. Youssef, New familes of graceful graphs, Ars Combin., 67 (2003) 303-311.
- [778] M. Z. Youssef, Two general results on harmonious labelings, Ars Combin., **68** (2003) 225-230.
- [779] M. Z. Youssef, A necessary condition on k-equitable labelings, Util. Math., **64** (2003) 193-195.
- [780] M. Z. Youssef, Pseudograceful labelings of graphs, Ars Combin., 76 (2005) 241-247.
- [781] M. Z. Youssef, On cordial labeling of graphs, preprint.
- [782] M. Z. Youssef, On Skolem-graceful and cordial graphs, Ars Combin., 78 (2006)167– 177.
- [783] M. Z. Youssef, personal communication.
- [784] P. Yu, A proof of a conjecture about arithmetic graphs, *J. Math. Res. Exposition*, **16** (1996) 594-598.
- [785] P. Yu, Strongly arithmetic graphs, Chinese Quart. J. Math., 15 (2000) 22–27.
- [786] J. Yuan and W. Zhu, Some results on harmonious labelings of graphs, *J. Zhengzhou Univ. Nat. Sci. Ed.*, **30** (1998) 7-12.
- [787] Y. Yuansheng, X. Xirong, X. Yue, L. Huijun, The graphs  $C_7^{(t)}$  are for  $t \equiv 0, 1$  (mod 4),  $Ars\ Combin.$ , bf 80 (2006) 295–301.
- [788] P. Zhang, Radio labelings of cycles, Ars Combin., 65 (2002) 21–32.
- [789] S. L. Zhao, All trees of diameter four are graceful, Graph Theory and its Applications: East and West (Jinan, 1986), 700–706, Ann. New York Acad. Sci., 576, New York Acad. Sci., New York, 1989.
- [790] L. Zhihe, The balanced properties of bipartite graphs with applications, Ars Combin., 48 (1998) 283–288.
- [791] S. C. Zhou, Gracefulness of the graph  $K_m \cup K_n$ , J. Lanzhou Railway Inst., **12** (1993) 70–72.
- [792] S. Zhou, Unifying approaches for constructing labeled graphs from known ones, *J. Combinatorics*, *Information & System Sciences* **20** (1995) 305-319.
- [793] S. Zhou and J. Yuan, On constructions of sequential graphs, Mathematica Applicata,6 (Supplement) (1993) 104-108.

## Index

(k,d)-graceful labeling, 35 (m,n)-gon star, 115 A-cordial graph, 48 $E_k$ -cordial, 47 H-cordial, 47 $H_n$ -cordial, 47 $T_p$ -tree, 36	adjacency matrix, 40 almost graceful labeling, 40 almost-bipartite graph, 41 antiprism, 96, 98 apex, 8 bamboo tree, 6, 39
$\alpha$ -labeling	banana tree, 6, 39, 43
eventually, 30	bicomposition, 41 bigraceful graph, 20
free, 32	bipartite labeling, 31
near, 32	bisequential graph, 54
strong, 31 weakly, 31, 42	bistar, 68
$\alpha$ -labeling, 28, 38, 42	block-cutpoint, 30, 40
$\alpha$ -size, 31	book, 4, 9, 12, 38, 68, 70, 116
$\alpha$ -valuation, 9, 58	generalized, 116
$\beta$ -valuation, 4	stacked, 12
$\gamma$ -labeling, 41	boundary value, 30
$\hat{\rho}$ -labelings, 39	cactus
ρ-valuation, 40	k-angular, 44
$\rho^+$ -labeling, 41 $\theta$ -labeling, 41	triangular, 10
$\tilde{\rho}$ -labelings, 42	Cartesian product, 11, 44, 45, 122
a-consecutive edge magic labeling, 90	caterpillar, 6, 28, 32, 38, 43, 53, 68
a-vertex consecutive magic labeling, 90	chain graph, 30
b-edge consecutive magic labeling, 90	chord, 8, 116 closed helm, 7
f-permutation graph, 19	complete
k-cordial labeling, 48	<i>n</i> -partite graph, 44, 108
k-fold G, 71	bipartite graph, 10, 13
k-graceful, 34	graph, 13
$kC_n$ -snake, 40	tripartite graph, 13
linear, 40	component, 117
<i>n</i> -cone, 7 <i>n</i> -cube, 12, 28	composition, 12, 44, 122
<i>n</i> -cube, 12, 20 <i>n</i> -point suspension, 7	convex polytope, 86, 97
y-tree, 6	cordial graph, 44
	cordial labeling, 43
mean graph, 134	corona, 67 critical number, 30
path-block chain, 19	crown, 10, 38, 52, 53, 109, 116, 127
abbreviated double tree of $T$ , 62	cube, 11, 20

cubic graph, 71	generalized
cycle, 4, 39, 112, 116	book, 116
cycle with a $P_k$ -chord, 8	bundle, 47
cyclic G-decomposition, 32	fan, 47
cyclic decomposition, 40	wheel, 47
cylinders, 86	generalized antiprism, 94
,	generalized caterpillar, 19
decomposition, 4, 28, 32, 39, 42	generalized spider, 19
deficiency	graceful graph, 4
edge-magic, 70	gracesize, 31
super edge-magic, 70	gracious $k$ -labeling, 32
difference graph, 135	gracious labeling, 32
disjoint union, 15, 38	graph
divisor graph, 133	$(\omega, k)$ -antimagic, 97
dodecahedron, 20	(a,d)-antimagic, 95
double tree, 62	(k, d)-balanced, 36
dragon, 9	A-cordial, 48
Dutch t-windmill, 9	E-cordial, 125
Dutch windmill, 61	$E_k$ -cordial, 47
1 1 1 110	H-cordial, 47
edge amalgamation, 116	H-elegant, 57
edge irregular total labeling, 134	H-harmonious, 57
edge magic strength, 61	$H_n$ -cordial, 47
edge reduced	f-permutation, 19
integral sum number, 111	g-graph, 111
sum number, 111	k-antimagic, 97
edge-decomposition, 32	<u> </u>
edge-graceful spectrum, 124	k-balanced, 51
elegant labeling, 56	k-magic, 61
elem. parallel transformation, 36	t-uniform homeomorph, 46
Eulerian graph, 50	almost-bipartite, 41
exclusive sum labeling, 112	antimagic, 95
exclusive sum number, 112	arbitrarily graceful, 34
face, 86, 97	arithmetic, 55
, ,	bicomposition, 41
fan, 44, 56, 59, 67, 68, 70, 80, 86, 115	bigraceful, 20
firecracker, 6	bisequential, 54
flag, 45	complete, 13
flower, 7, 115	composition, 12
forest, 70	cordial, 70
free $\alpha$ -labeling, 32	countable infinite, 67
friendly index set, 49	directed, 5
friendship graph, 9, 44, 69, 80, 86, 112	disconnected, 14
gear graph, 7	divisor, 133

edge-magic, 71	hairy cycle, 10
even edge-graceful, 124	Hamming-graceful graph, 52
generalized caterpillar, 19	harmonious graph, 4
generalized spider, 19	Heawood graph, 20, 32
graceful, 4	helm, 7, 45, 115
graph-block chain, 19	closed, 45
Hamming-graceful, 52	generalized, 45
harmonious, 4	Herschel graph, 20, 95
ideal magic, 68	hexagonal lattice, 86
joins, 16	holey $\alpha$ -labeling, 40
line-graceful, 127	honeycomb graph, 98
minimally $k$ -equitable, 51	host graph, 30
mirror graph, 12	hypercycle, 111
multiple shell, 50	strong, 111
node-graceful, 38	hypergraph, 60, 71, 99, 111
odd $(a, d)$ -antimagic, 96	hyperwheel, 111
odd antimagic, 96	
path-block chain, 19	IC-coloring, 130
prime, 115	IC-index, 130
pseudo-magic, 61	icosahedron, 20
replicated, 19	incidental, 113
semi-magic, 59	integer-magic spectrum, 61
set graceful, 135	integral radius, 110
set sequential, 135	integral sum
shell-type, 8	graphs, 109
simply sequential, 130	number, 109
Skolem labeled, 38	tree, 109
splitting, 19	labeling
strong magic, 68	$(\omega, k)$ -antimagic, 97
strongly $c$ -elegant, 59	(a,d)- vertex-antimagic edge, 95
strongly $k$ -indexable, 70	(a,d)-edge-antimagic total, 91
strongly 1-harmonious, 70	(a,d)-edge-antimagic vertex, 91
strongly felicitous, 58	(a,d)-face antimagic, 97
strongly multiplicative, 133	(a,d)-indexable, 91
supermagic, 59	(a, d)-vertex-antimagic total, 90
theta, 56	(k, d)-arithmetic, 54
total, 19, 131	(k,d)-graceful, 35
totally magic, 81	E-cordial, 124
unicyclic, 8, 43	d-antimagic of type $(1, 1, 1)$ , 98
weak magic, 68	k-antimagic, 97
graph labeling, 4	k-cordial, 48
graph-block chain, 19	k-edge graceful, 123
grid, 11, 35	k-equitable, 50, 51

k-sequential, 130	polychrome, 57
k-sequentially additive, 132	prime, 115
1-vertex magic vertex, 85	prime cordial, 131
(k, d)-indexable, 55	product antimagic, 99
$\hat{k}$ -indexable, 55	product cordial labeling, 131
strongly $(k, d)$ -indexable, 55	product edge-antimagic, 99
additively $(k, d)$ -sequential, 133	product edge-magic, 99
additively graceful, 54	product magic, 99
almost graceful, 40	pseudograceful, 42
antimagic, 95	radio, 127
average, 132	ramdomly cordial, 49
balanced, 28	randomly cordial, 49
bigraceful, 32	range-relaxed graceful, 43
bipartite, 31	semi-elegant, 56
complete $k$ -equitable, 52	sequential, 52
consecutive, 59	sigma, 134
cordial, 43	simply sequential, 130
edge irregular total, 134	Skolem-graceful, 37
edge-graceful, 122	strongly $c$ -harmonious, 52
edge-magic, 71	strongly edge-magic, 68
edge-magic total, 67	strongly graceful, 31
elegant, 56	strongly harmonious, 54
felicitous, 57	strongly indexable, 55
friendly, 49	strongly vertex-magic total, 81
gracious, 32	sum graph, 108
indexable, 55	super $(a, d)$ -vertex-antimagic total, 90
interlaced, 28	super edge-antimagic, 92
line-graceful, 127	super edge-graceful, 124
magic, 59, 61	super edge-magic, 68
consecutive, 86	supermagic, 59, 70
of type $(0,1,1)$ , 86	total magic cordial, 89
of type $(1,0,0)$ , 86	total product cordial labeling, 131
of type $(1,1,0)$ , 86	totally magic, 81
of type $(1,1,1)$ , 86	triangular graceful, 43
mean, 134	vertex irregular total, 134
near-elegant, 56	vertex prime, 116
nearly graceful, 39	vertex-magic total, 79
nontrivial, 132	vertex-relaxed graceful, 43
odd graceful, 38	labeling number, 30
odd sequential, 54	ladder, 11, 43, 53, 86, 116
one modulo three graceful, 43	level joined planar grid, 55
optimal $k$ -equitable, 52	lobster, 6, 39, 40, 43
optimal sum graph, 108	lotus inside a circle, 86

M-coding, 132	product graph, 108
Möbius ladder, 12, 53, 60, 61, 86, 115,	pseudo-magic graph, 61
123	pseudograceful labeling, 42
Móbius grid, 92	
magic square, 59	radio number, 127
magic strength, 61, 68	range-relaxed graceful labeling, 43
mirror graph, 12	real sum graph, 108
mod sum graph, 112	regular graph, 60, 68, 80, 85
mod sum number, 112	replicated graph, 19
mod sum* graph, 113	representation, 129
mod sum* number, 113	representation number, 129
Mongolian tent, 11, 35	root, 45
Mongolian village, 11, 35	rooted tree, 38
multigraph, 70, 71, 122	
multiple shell, 8	saturated vertex, 109
F	sequential join, 30
near $\alpha$ -labeling, 32	shell, 8, 46
nearly graceful labeling, 39	multiple, 8
	shell graph, 48
odd graceful labeling, 38	sigma labeling, 134
olive tree, 6	Skolem labeled graph, 38
one modulo three graceful labeling, 43	Skolem sequence, 6, 15
one-point union, 9, 13, 28, 38, 44, 45, 58,	Skolem-graceful labelings, 37
116	snake, 10
optimal sum graph, 108	n-polygonal, 10, 43
narachutas 05	quadrilateral, 29
parachutes, 95	triangular, 40
path, 8, 56	spanning tree, 42
path-union, 46	splitting graph, 19, 39
pendant edge, 30	spum, 108
perfect system of difference sets, 35	stable set, 19
Petersen graph, 20	star, $16$ , $17$ , $37$ , $43$ , $80$ , $127$
generalized, 18, 44, 68, 69, 80, 91, 92,	strength
95	edge magic, 61
planar bipyramid, 86	magic, 61, 68
planar graph, 86, 97, 98	maximum magic, 68
Platonic family, 86	strong
polyminoes, 35	$\alpha$ -labeling, 31
prime cordial labeling, 131	sum graph, 109
prime graph, 115	strongly *-graph, 133
prime labeling, 115	strongly graceful labeling, 31
prism, 11, 12, 80, 86, 91, 97	stunted tree, 41
product cordial, 131	subdivision, 6, 11, 39, 86
product cordial labeling, 131	sum graph, 108

```
mod, 112
   real, 108
sum number, 108
sum* graph, 113
sum* number, 113
sunflower, 45, 122
supersubdivision, 18
tadpoles, 9
theta graph, 56
torus grid, 11
total edge (vertex) irregular strength, 134
total edge irregularity strength, 134
total graph, 19, 49, 131
total product cordial, 131
total product cordial labeling, 131
tree, 4, 6, 17, 67, 70, 71, 80, 95, 112
    binary, 68
    symmetrical, 6
triangular graceful labeling, 43
twig, 116
unicyclic graph, 9, 43
union, 14, 15, 32, 67, 69, 70, 80, 109, 115,
        117
vertex irregular total labeling, 134
vertex prime labeling, 116
vertex-relaxed graceful labeling, 43
weak tensor product, 30, 32
weakly \alpha-labeling, 31
web, 7
    generalized, 68
weight, 98
wheel, 7, 44, 45, 53, 59, 67, 80, 86, 92, 95
windmill, 13, 45
working vertex, 112
wreath product, 58
Young tableau, 11, 35
```