

Survey of generalized Turán problems—counting subgraphs

Dániel Gerbner^a Cory Palmer^b

Submitted: Aug 28, 2025; Accepted: Oct 20, 2025; Published: Feb 13, 2026

©The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

For fixed graphs H and F , the *generalized Turán number* $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is the maximum possible number of copies of a subgraph H in an n -vertex F -free graph. This article is a survey of this extremal function whose study was initiated in an influential 2016 article by Alon and Shikhelman (*J. Combin. Theory, B*, **121**, 2016).

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C35, 05-02

Contents

| | | |
|----------|--|-----------|
| 1 | Introduction | 2 |
| 1.1 | History of generalized Turán problems | 4 |
| 1.2 | Counting edges—classical Turán numbers | 7 |
| 2 | General results | 10 |
| 2.1 | Differences between generalized and classical Turán problems | 11 |
| 3 | The non-degenerate case | 14 |
| 3.1 | Turán-stable graphs | 15 |
| 3.2 | k -Turán-good/stable graphs | 16 |
| 3.3 | Forbidden graphs without a color-critical edge | 18 |
| 4 | The degenerate case | 20 |
| 4.1 | Counting triangles | 20 |
| 4.2 | Counting cliques | 22 |
| 4.3 | Counting complete multipartite graphs | 25 |
| 4.4 | Counting cycles | 26 |
| 4.5 | Counting stars | 27 |

^aAlfréd Rényi Institute of Mathematics, HUN-REN (gerbner.daniel@renyi.hu).

^bDepartment of Mathematical Sciences, University of Montana (cory.palmer@umontana.edu).

| | | |
|----------|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| 4.6 | Counting other forests | 28 |
| 4.7 | Counting other graphs | 29 |
| 5 | Methods | 30 |
| 5.1 | Regularity lemma | 31 |
| 5.2 | Probabilistic method | 32 |
| 5.3 | Stability method | 33 |
| 5.4 | Flag algebras | 35 |
| 5.5 | Spectral methods | 38 |
| 5.6 | Progressive induction | 39 |
| 5.7 | Algebraic constructions | 42 |
| 6 | Open problems | 44 |

1 Introduction

The *Turán number* $\text{ex}(n, F)$ is the maximum number of edges in an F -free graph on n vertices. The study of this function is a central pursuit in extremal graph theory. Turán’s theorem [199] determines its exact value when F is a complete graph K_k and the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [57, 53] settles asymptotically the case when F has chromatic number at least 3. The monograph by Bollobás [10] is a good source of results and a history of Turán numbers and extremal graph theory, more generally. Much effort has gone into understanding the behavior of this function for hypergraphs. A survey by Keevash [139] gives an excellent overview.

The focus of the present survey is an alternative generalization. As we may view an edge as a subgraph, it is natural to count the number of copies of some other graph H . Let $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$ denote the number of copies of a subgraph H in a host graph G . The maximum number of copies of a subgraph H in an F -free graph on n vertices is denoted

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) := \max\{\mathcal{N}(H, G) : G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } F\text{-free graph}\}.$$

Typically, we view this as a function of n with H and F being fixed graphs. Note that this is a direct generalization of the standard Turán number as $\text{ex}(n, F) = \text{ex}(n, K_2, F)$. After several decades of sporadic results, Alon and Shikhelman [4] initiated the systematic study of the function $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$. Since their manuscript a tremendous amount of work has been done on this function, under the moniker *generalized Turán problems*.

The purpose of this survey is to detail the history of these problems and list the myriad results. Because many of these results were published before the formalization of the generalized Turán problem—often in the course of proving some other extremal result—we have taken an exhaustive approach to the collection literature. However, in some places, we will not always state all results completely or precisely for the sake of brevity. We invite the interested reader to check the corresponding references.

Notation & alphabet. Most of the notation in this survey is standard in extremal graph theory. Key notation includes $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$ for the number of copies of a subgraph H in a graph G and, for \mathcal{F} a family of graphs, $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{F})$ denotes the maximum number of copies of a subgraph H in an n -vertex graph that does not have any subgraph isomorphic to any graph $F \in \mathcal{F}$. When \mathcal{F} is a single graph F we simply use $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \text{ex}(n, H, \{F\})$. By *extremal graph* we mean an n -vertex F -free graph that achieves the maximum number of copies of H , i.e., $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ (or edges $\text{ex}(n, F)$, depending on the context). The family of extremal graphs for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is denoted $\text{EX}(n, H, F)$. The *Turán graph* $T(n, k)$ is the n -vertex complete k -partite graph whose partition classes are as close in size as possible.

The (vertex-disjoint) *union* of graphs F_1 and F_2 is denoted $F_1 \cup F_2$ and the union of t copies of F is tF . For graphs F_1 and F_2 , their *join* $F_1 + F_2$ takes their union and all edges between their respective vertex sets. For undefined notation, see the monograph of Bollobás [9].

We have attempted to keep the notation consistent throughout this survey (even when it conflicts with the referenced papers). In particular, the parameter r will be reserved for the ‘counting graph’ H (often its chromatic number) while k is reserved for the ‘forbidden graph’ F . Graph subscripts (e.g., K_r and C_5) typically denote the number of vertices, so P_k is the k -vertex path, i.e., the path of length $k - 1$, or some other natural parameter (e.g. chromatic number, number of edges, etc).

Organization. The remainder of this section gives a history of generalized Turán problems, especially those that predate the paper of Alon and Shikhelman, followed by an overview of results on classical Turán numbers, which will serve as a comparison for the surveyed general results.

The main part of the survey begins after, where we inventory the known bounds on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ in several sections. We begin with general results, and among them we discuss the main differences between generalized Turán problems and ordinary Turán problems. One particular result tells when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$, the so-called *non-degenerate case*. This case is discussed in Section 3. The *degenerate case* when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$ makes up the bulk of the survey and appears in Section 4 where we arrange the known bounds on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ in subsections according to properties of the graph H to be counted. In Section 5 we discuss methods that have been used frequently to attack generalized Turán problems. We conclude the survey with open problems in Section 6.

We point the reader’s attention to Sections 2, 3, and 4 for recent developments and Sections 1 and 5 for more general background.

Part II. Due to its length, this survey will be followed by a second part [98] that deals with further variants and extensions of the generalized Turán problem. Topics include:

- Counting multiple graphs, counting graphs whose order increases with n (e.g. Hamiltonian cycles), forbidding graphs whose order increases with n , and forbidding an infinite family of graphs.
- Host graphs G drawn from a particular family of graphs, e.g., k -partite, k -connected,

regular, hypercubes, bounded independence number, planar, and random graphs.

- Induced, rainbow, colored, and weighted versions, and analogues in directed, vertex-ordered, edge-ordered graphs.
- Finally, we examine connections to other extremal problems, including Berge hypergraphs, graph property testing and exact bounds for removal lemmas, and local graph properties.

1.1 History of generalized Turán problems

In this subsection, we briefly describe some of the early results in the area (as well as some recent improvements and extensions). Our goal is to illustrate the rich history of these problems, despite being largely hidden even from experts.

In 1938, Erdős [40] gave an upper bound on the number of “cherries” $P_3 = K_{1,2}$ in a C_4 -free bipartite graph in the course of investigating the multiplicative Sidon problem in number theory. The bound is given by the following argument. Each pair of vertices are the leaves in at most one cherry P_3 , so there are at most $\binom{n}{2}$ cherries (where n is the number of vertices). In other words, $\text{ex}(n, P_3, C_4) \leq \binom{n}{2}$. On the other hand, if the degrees of G are d_1, \dots, d_n , then there are exactly $\sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{2}$ cherries in G . As the number of edges of G is $\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n d_i$, a simple calculation gives an upper bound on $\text{ex}(n, C_4)$. This predates Turán’s theorem [199], and according to Simonovits [195], Erdős later felt that he “should have invented” Turán theory at this point, but he “failed to notice that his theorem was the root of an important and beautiful theory.” Now we can say that he could have also invented generalized Turán theory right away! There are several other instances of bounds for generalized Turán problems being a lemma for some a related extremal problem.

The first generalized Turán result standing on its own is the complete determination of $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k)$ by Zykov [222] in 1949 (see [223] for an English translation). This paper is famous for its beautiful proof of Turán’s theorem by Zykov’s “symmetrization method.” However, it is not widely known that the paper proves the following more general result.

Theorem 1.1 (Zykov [222]). *If $r < k$, then the Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$ is the unique n -vertex K_k -free graph with the maximum number of copies of K_r .*

Counting copies of K_r in $T(n, k - 1)$ then gives:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ex}(n, K_r, K_k) &= \mathcal{N}(K_r, T(n, k - 1)) \\ &= \sum_{0 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_r \leq k-2} \prod_{j=1}^r \binom{n + i_j}{k - 1} \leq \binom{k - 1}{r} \left(\frac{n}{k - 1} \right)^r. \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

Zykov’s theorem itself was rediscovered several times [41, 174, 192, 124, 190, 33] (often in the complement setting where independent sets replace cliques). An early arXiv version

of the seminal paper of Alon and Shikhelman [4] also contained a proof. Weaker versions or variants also appear in [17, 173], without knowledge of Zykov's result. Cutler and Radcliffe [32] includes a new proof.

Let us briefly sketch the symmetrization proof. It is no different from the case when counting edges. For two non-adjacent vertices u and v , we say that we *symmetrize* u to v if we remove all edges incident to u , and for every edge vw , we add the edge uw . Less formally, we replace the neighborhood of u with the neighborhood of v . This cannot increase the order of the largest clique. Indeed, any new clique must contain u and thus not v , but then we can replace u with v to find a clique of the same size that was present in the original graph.

For two non-adjacent vertices u and v , we symmetrize u to v if u is contained in no more copies of K_r than v . In this way, the number of copies of K_r does not decrease. We apply this symmetrization step to every pair of non-adjacent vertices with different neighborhoods. There are several different ways to show that this process ends after finitely many steps. When this process does end, the resulting graph is complete multipartite, with at most $k - 1$ parts. A simple optimization shows that among the complete multipartite graphs, the Turán graph contains the most copies of K_r .

Later, Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121] observed that the same symmetrization argument can be used to count copies of any complete r -partite graph H instead of K_r and establish that the extremal graph is complete $(k - 1)$ -partite. However, in these cases, the extremal graph is not necessarily a Turán graph. For a given H , it is a straightforward optimization problem to determine the complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph that contains the maximum number of copies of H , but we cannot handle it in its full generality. Such optimization efforts for certain values of the parameters (some in different contexts) were carried out in [16, 31, 78, 121, 152, 168].

Perhaps the most famous generalized Turán problem is a conjecture of Erdős that asserts $\text{ex}(5n, C_5, C_3) = n^5$. This conjecture is stated in several of his papers, and we are not sure that we caught every appearance. The first reference we are aware of is [46] from 1975, but there he already calls it an old conjecture. It was repeated in [47, 48, 50], sometimes as the more general claim $\text{ex}((2r + 1)n, C_{2r+1}, \{C_3, C_5, \dots, C_{2r-1}\}) = n^{2r+1}$.

Győri [115] showed $\text{ex}(n, C_5, K_3) \leq 1.03(\frac{n+1}{5})^5$, i.e., an upper bound with a somewhat larger constant factor than the lower bound, which is given by a blowup of the C_5 . This was improved by Füredi to $\text{ex}(n, C_5, K_3) \leq 1.001(\frac{n+1}{5})^5$ in an unpublished manuscript, according to [126]. The solution of the conjecture was obtained independently by Grzesik [110] and by Hatami, Hladký, Král', Norine, and Razborov [126]. Both use the very powerful method of flag algebras (see Subsection 5.4), but with different approaches. Note that the obtained bound of $(n/5)^5$ is tight only when n is divisible by 5. Neither of these papers solves the problem for every n , but [126] shows that if n is large enough, then an almost balanced blowup of C_5 has the maximum number of copies of C_5 among triangle-free graphs on n vertices. Michael [172] showed that for $n = 8$, there is another extremal example in addition to the balanced blowup. Lidický and Pfender [155] finished the solution of Erdős' conjecture by determining $\text{ex}(n, C_5, C_3)$ for every n . The master's thesis of

Siy [196] from 2018 collects the history of this problem and describes the various attacks on it. A stability version can be found in [187].

Grzesik and Kielak [113] proved the general form of the conjecture

$$\text{ex}((2r + 1)n, C_{2r+1}, \{C_3, C_5, \dots, C_{2r-1}\}) = n^{2r+1}.$$

Somewhat surprisingly, for $r > 2$, flag algebras were not needed in the proof. Note that they also showed that for every n , an almost balanced blowup of C_{2r+1} is the only extremal graph. Beke and Janzer [8] strengthened this result by showing that the same holds for $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r+1}, C_{2r-1})$ if n is sufficiently large. They also showed that for any k , if r is sufficiently large, then an unbalanced blowup of C_{2k-1} contains more copies of C_{2r+1} than an almost balanced blowup; thus the latter is not an extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r+1}, C_{2k-1})$, disproving a conjecture of Grzesik and Kielak [113].

Bollobás and Győri [11] considered the opposite problem, in a paper aptly titled “Pentagons vs. triangles,” and proved

$$(1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} n^{3/2} \leq \text{ex}(n, K_3, C_5) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{5}{4} n^{3/2}.$$

These bounds were later improved in [4, 58, 59, 167]. Győri and Li [120] extended this investigation to other forbidden odd cycles and proved the upper bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k+1}) = O(\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}))$. The constant factor was improved in [67, 4]. Győri and Li also showed a lower bound of the form $\Omega(\text{ex}(n, \{C_4, C_6, \dots, C_{2k}\}))$. It is an open problem for the ordinary Turán number whether these lower and upper bounds have the same order of magnitude. The case where both graphs are cycles has received the most attention since then (see Section 4.4).

The first paper to address when H and F are not selected from the most basic graph classes is by Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121]. They examined the number of copies of a general graph H in K_k -free graphs. They observed $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$ for any H not containing K_k . Let us call (following [101]) a graph H *k-Turán-good* if $0 \neq \text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$ is achieved by the Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$, i.e., the Turán graph has the maximum number of copies of H , provided n is large enough. Unlike in (1), it may not be straightforward to count the number of copies of H in $T(n, k - 1)$. However, we typically consider the problem solved when we have determined an extremal graph. In this language, Turán’s theorem states that K_2 is *k-Turán-good* and Zykov’s theorem (Theorem 1.1) states that K_r is *k-Turán-good*. Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121] showed that every bipartite graph H that contains a matching of size $\lfloor |V(H)|/2 \rfloor$ is 3-Turán good. They also presented a large class of $(k - 1)$ -partite *k-Turán-good* graphs and showed that C_4 and $K_{2,3}$ are *k-Turán-good* for all k . In their theorems the threshold on n is optimal, and they often proved that the Turán graph is the unique extremal graph.

Fiorini and Lazebnik seem to be the first to explicitly frame the generalized Turán question (see [63, 62, 61]). However, they study particular cases in bipartite host graphs: $\text{ex}_{\text{bip}}(n, C_6, C_4)$ and $\text{ex}_{\text{bip}}(n, C_8, C_4)$. Their motivation comes from the observation that the incidence point-line graph of a finite projective plane, a well-known C_4 -free graph,

contains many copies of C_6 and C_8 . De Winter, Lazebnik, and Verstraëte [35] obtained similar results using partial planes.

In some cases, a result about counting subgraphs is just an intermediate result towards an ordinary Turán-type theorem. We have shown one example already: the result of Erdős from 1938 where an upper bound on the number of cherries helped bound $\text{ex}(n, C_4)$. From another point of view, this implies that a graph with many edges will contain many cherries—a simple supersaturation result. See [194] for a more detailed description of how to apply theorems on supersaturated graphs with generalized Turán results to prove extremal graph theorems. While our goal in this survey is to find all related papers, such intermediate results make it hopeless, as the connection to this topic is often hidden inside proofs of other results.

Similar to the argument for the number of cherries in C_4 -free graphs, Kővári, Sós, and Turán [148] in 1954 gave a bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t})$ (Theorem 1.5) by observing first that in a $K_{s,t}$ -free graph, every set of s vertices has at most $t - 1$ common neighbors, thus the number of *stars* S_{s+1} with s leaves is at most $(t - 1) \binom{n}{s}$. Other proofs using similar intermediate results on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ appear in [54, 55, 56, 69, 112].

We conclude this history arriving at the 2016 manuscript by Alon and Shikelman [4]. This article completely changed the landscape of the area, and the resulting boom of activity makes it impractical to organize results according to their chronology. We've mentioned a few directions of research that we feel have attracted the most attention historically. In the remainder of this survey, we attempt to give an exhaustive review of the literature; most of which has occurred since [4].

1.2 Counting edges—classical Turán numbers

In this subsection, we survey classical results for counting edges, i.e., the ordinary Turán number $\text{ex}(n, F)$. We do not attempt to be exhaustive, but rather highlight several key results that are often the target of generalizations in the later sections. A reader familiar with the basic results in extremal graph theory can skip this section. For an exhaustive overview of the topic see the monograph by Bollobás [10].

The starting point of extremal graph theory is Turán's theorem, which determines the maximum number of edges in a graph without a k -clique subgraph. The special case $k = 3$ was proved by Mantel [171], and is the earliest result in this area.

Theorem 1.2 (Turán's Theorem [199]). *The Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$ is the unique extremal graph for the complete graph K_k . Thus,*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_k) = e(T(n, k - 1)) \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{k - 1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2}.$$

Turán's theorem was extended to any graph F with a *color-critical edge* (i.e. an edge whose removal decreases the chromatic number) by Simonovits.

Theorem 1.3 (Simonovits Critical Edge Theorem [193]). *Let F be a k -chromatic graph. For n large enough, the unique extremal graph for F is $T(n, k - 1)$ if and only if F has a color-critical edge.*

The following fundamental theorem of extremal graph theory extends Turán's theorem.

Theorem 1.4 (Erdős and Stone [57]; Erdős and Simonovits [53]). *If F is a graph with chromatic number $\chi(F)$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, F) = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\chi(F) - 1}\right) \frac{n^2}{2} + o(n^2).$$

A consequence of this theorem is the asymptotic value of $\text{ex}(n, F)$ for all non-bipartite F . Thus, in such cases, we are generally now only interested in exact results. For example, Erdős, Füredi, Gould, and Gunderson [51] determined the extremal number of the *friendship graph* or *k -fan* (i.e., k triangles all sharing exactly one common vertex) F_k for n sufficiently large:

$$\text{ex}(n, F_k) = \left\lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \right\rfloor + \begin{cases} k^2 - k & \text{if } k \text{ is odd,} \\ k^2 - \frac{3k}{2} & \text{if } k \text{ is even.} \end{cases}$$

Let us turn to the case where F is bipartite, the so-called *degenerate case*. For an exhaustive survey, see Füredi and Simonovits [68]. For complete bipartite graphs, even the order of magnitude is unknown in general, but the following upper bound is widely believed to be sharp.

Theorem 1.5 (Erdős; Kővári-Sós-Turán Theorem [148]). *Let $s \leq t$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}) \leq \frac{1}{2}(t - 1)^{1/s} n^{2-1/s} + O(n).$$

A series of papers [144, 3, 19] give general lower bounds for t large compared to s . The case $s = 2$ is especially important as a construction of Füredi [64] determines the asymptotics.

Theorem 1.6 (Füredi [64]).

$$\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}) = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{t - 1} n^{3/2} + O(n).$$

For even cycles, the situation is similar to complete bipartite graphs: we have a simple upper bound that is widely believed to have the correct order of magnitude, but it is matched by a lower bound in only a few cases.

Theorem 1.7 (Bondy-Simonovits Even Cycle Theorem [13]).

$$\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) \leq 100kn^{1+1/k}.$$

The bound $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k}) = O(n^{1+1/k})$ was stated by Erdős in [42] without a proof. The constant factor has been improved since and the best known is $16\sqrt{5k \log k} + o(1)$ due to He [129]. Erdős [42] conjectured that we have the same order of magnitude if we forbid all cycles of length at most $2k$.

Conjecture 1.8 (Erdős Girth Conjecture). *For any positive integer k , there is a constant $c(k) > 0$ such that there exists an n -vertex graph with at least $c(k)n^{1+1/k}$ edges and girth greater than $2k$. In other words,*

$$\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}) = \Omega(n^{1+1/k})$$

where $\mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}$ denotes the family of all cycles of length at most $2k$.

Let us continue with trees T . It is easy to see that $\text{ex}(n, T) = \Theta(n)$ if T has at least two edges. The case T is a path is given by Erdős and Gallai [52].

Theorem 1.9 (Erdős-Gallai Theorem [52]). *For the k -vertex path P_k ,*

$$\text{ex}(n, P_k) \leq \frac{n}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{2} = \frac{k-2}{2}n.$$

The Erdős-Gallai bound is conjectured to hold for all trees.

Conjecture 1.10 (Erdős-Sós Conjecture [42]). *Let T be a k -vertex tree. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, T) \leq \frac{k-2}{2}n.$$

If true, this is sharp when $k-1$ divides n , as shown by $\frac{n}{k-1}K_{k-1}$, the graph of $\frac{n}{k-1}$ pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} . It is easy to see that the conjecture holds for stars. It has also been proved for other classes of trees beyond paths.

There are several results in this area that go beyond just determining the Turán number. We mention two of the most fundamental. The first is *stability*—the notion that an F -free graph with “almost” the extremal number of edges will have “almost” the same structure as the Turán graph.

Theorem 1.11 (Erdős-Simonovits Stability Theorem [193, 44, 45]). *For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any graph F with $\chi(F) \geq 3$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if G is an n -vertex F -free graph with*

$$e(G) > \text{ex}(n, F) - \delta n^2,$$

then the edit distance between G and $T(n, \chi(F) - 1)$ is at most εn^2 . In other words, we can add and delete at most εn^2 edges of G to obtain $T(n, \chi(F) - 1)$.

The second is *supersaturation*—if a graph has εn^2 more edges than the extremal number for F , then it will contain not just one copy of F , but many.

Theorem 1.12 (Erdős-Simonovits Supersaturation Theorem [56]). *For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any graph F , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if G is an n -vertex graph with*

$$e(G) > \text{ex}(n, F) + \varepsilon n^2,$$

then G contains $\delta n^{|V(F)|}$ copies of F .

2 General results

Most statements about $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ involve H and F from two specific simple graph classes. In this section, we gather results where at least one of H and F is somewhat general.

For ordinary Turán numbers, the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits Theorem (Theorem 1.4) gives asymptotics on $\text{ex}(n, F)$ for all graphs F of chromatic number at least 3. This leaves the extremal numbers for bipartite graphs wide open; this is the *degenerate problem*. The analogous result in the generalized Turán setting was proved by Alon and Shikhelman [4].

Theorem 2.1 (Alon and Shikhelman [4]). *Let F be a graph with $\chi(F) = k$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = \binom{k-1}{r} \left(\frac{n}{k-1} \right)^r + o(n^r).$$

Note that if $r \geq k$, then $\binom{k-1}{r} = 0$ and so the above bound reduces to $o(n^r)$. Thus, Theorem 2.1 extends the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits Theorem to count copies of K_r , and now every graph with chromatic number at most r is degenerate. Gerbner and Palmer [100] gave a further extension to count arbitrary graphs H .

Theorem 2.2 (Gerbner and Palmer [100]). *Let F be a graph with $\chi(F) = k$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \text{ex}(n, H, K_k) + o(n^{|V(H)|}).$$

We will give a sketch of the proof of this theorem in Subsection 5.1, to illustrate how the Regularity Lemma can be applied to the generalized Turán problem.

An important description of the degenerate case for general H and F is given by Alon and Shikhelman [4]. The *blowup* $G[t]$ of a graph G is the graph resulting from replacing each vertex of G with t copies of itself.

Proposition 2.3 (Alon and Shikhelman [4]). *We have $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$ if and only if F is a subgraph of a blowup of H . Otherwise, $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega(n^{|V(H)|})$.*

So we now say that the *degenerate case* is when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$ and the *non-degenerate case* is when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega(n^{|V(H)|})$.

Gerbner and Palmer [100] established a very basic (but sometimes practical) bound of

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) \geq \text{ex}(n, F) - \text{ex}(n, H).$$

The argument is simple: removing an edge from each copy of H in an F -free graph yields an H -free graph. They also proved the following general lower bound:

Proposition 2.4 (Gerbner and Palmer [100]). *If $e(F) > e(H)$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega \left(n^{|V(H)| - \frac{e(H)(|V(F)|-2)}{e(F)-e(H)}} \right).$$

We will present the proofs of Proposition 2.3 and 2.4 in Subsection 5.2, to illustrate how probabilistic methods can be applied in the generalized setting.

Gishboliner and Shapira [105] gave the following general lower bound, where $\alpha(G)$ denotes the independence number of G .

Proposition 2.5 (Gishboliner and Shapira [105]). *Let $|V(F)| - \alpha(F) > |V(H)| - \alpha(H)$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega_{|V(H)|} \left((|V(F)| - \alpha(F))^{|V(H)| - \alpha(H)} n^{\alpha(H)} \right).$$

We sketch their proof: blow up each vertex of a largest independent set of H to linear size, then there are $\Omega(n^{\alpha(H)})$ copies of H . The resulting graph is still F -free, as each edge is incident to at least one of the $|V(H)| - \alpha(H)$ vertices that are not blown up, but the smallest such set (i.e. a vertex cover) in F has $|V(F)| - \alpha(F) > |V(H)| - \alpha(H)$ vertices.

The Simonovits Critical Edge Theorem (Theorem 1.3) has the following extension.

Theorem 2.6 (Ma and Qiu [168]). *Let F be a k -chromatic graph. For $r < k$ and n large enough, the unique n -vertex F -free graph with the maximum number of copies of K_r is $T(n, k - 1)$ if and only if F has a color-critical edge.*

The classical stability (Theorem 1.11) and supersaturation (Theorem 1.12) theorems have analogues in the generalized setting. The general stability theorem is:

Theorem 2.7 (Ma and Qiu [168]). *For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any graph F with $\chi(F) > r \geq 3$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that if G is an n -vertex F -free graph with at least $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) - \delta n^r$ copies of K_r , then the edit distance between G and $T(n, \chi(F) - 1)$ is at most εn^2 .*

Additional proofs Theorem 2.7 were obtained by Liu [157], Halfpap and Palmer [125], and Li and Pang [153].

The general supersaturation theorem is as follows.

Theorem 2.8 (Halfpap and Palmer [125]). *For $\varepsilon > 0$ and any graph F , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that any n -vertex graph with more than $\text{ex}(n, H, F) + \varepsilon n^{|V(H)|}$ copies of H contains $\delta n^{|V(F)|}$ copies of F .*

Note that the statement in [125] includes the assumption that $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$, but this can be safely dropped without impacting the conclusion.

2.1 Differences between generalized and classical Turán problems

Both extremal functions $\text{ex}(n, F)$ and $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ denote the maximum number of copies of a particular subgraph H and so $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is a direct generalization of $\text{ex}(n, F)$. The more general function often behaves analogously to the classical function, but there are important differences. We highlight several in this subsection.

The value $\text{ex}(n, F)$ immediately determines every possible value of $e(G)$ for an F -free graph G . Indeed, by removing the edges one by one, we can obtain F -free graphs with

$0, 1, 2, \dots, \text{ex}(n, F)$ edges. However, there are instances where there is no n -vertex F -free graph with exactly $\text{ex}(n, H, F) - 1$ copies of H as we cannot always delete a single copy of H . We are not aware of any result concerning the range of values attainable by $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$ when G is an n -vertex F -free graph.

The *rational exponents conjecture* of Erdős and Simonovits [44, 46, 55, 49] claims that for any graph F , there is a rational $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ and a constant $c > 0$ such that $\text{ex}(n, F) = (c+o(1))n^\alpha$. However, an analogous claim cannot hold when counting triangles instead of edges: Alon and Shikhelman [4] showed that $n^{2-o(1)} \leq \text{ex}(n, K_3, B_k) \leq o(n^2)$ for every k (where B_k is the *book* with k pages, i.e., k triangles all sharing a common edge). This result immediately follows from a result of Clark, Entringer, McCanna, and Székely [28], which establishes the same bounds for the number of edges in a graph where every edge is in exactly one triangle. This itself is a consequence of the celebrated (6,3)-problem of Ruzsa and Szemerédi [191].

Let us note here that the other direction is an even more famous conjecture of Erdős and Simonovits [46, 49]: for every rational $1 \leq \alpha \leq 2$ there is a graph F such that $\text{ex}(n, F) = (c+o(1))n^\alpha$ for some constant c depending only on F . There are other versions of this conjecture, where F is replaced by a family of graphs and/or the asymptotic statement is replaced by a statement on the order of magnitude: $\text{ex}(n, F) = \Theta(n^\alpha)$. Perhaps it would be easier to prove the following corresponding generalized version.

Conjecture 2.9. *For every rational $\alpha \geq 1$, there are graphs H and F such that*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^\alpha).$$

For a fixed graph H , a rational α such that $0 \leq \alpha \leq |V(H)|$ is *realizable* if there exists a finite family of graphs \mathcal{F} such that $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{F}) = \Theta(n^\alpha)$. English, Halfpap, and Krueger [38] showed that for every $r \geq 2$, every rational $1 \leq \alpha \leq r$ is realizable for $H = K_r$. Spiro and English [39] showed that in general not all rationals in the interval $[1, |V(H)|]$ are realizable. In particular, they showed that for all $r \geq 2$, every rational in $[r - 1, r]$ is realizable for the star $K_{1,r-1}$, but no rational in $(1, r - 1)$ is realizable. In general, they proved

Theorem 2.10 (Spiro and English [39]). *If H is a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 1$, then every rational in the interval*

$$\left[|V(H)| - \frac{e(H)}{2\Delta^2}, |V(H)| \right]$$

is realizable for H .

When H is a tree, then this interval can be improved to

$$\left[|V(H)| - \frac{e(H)}{\Delta}, |V(H)| \right]$$

which is best possible.

Van der Beek and Bishnoi [200] showed that for every non-empty graph H , every rational in the interval $[|V(H)|-1, |V(H)|]$ is realizable.

Another famous conjecture of Erdős and Simonovits [55] is the *compactness conjecture* that states that for any finite family \mathcal{F} of graphs there is an $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F}) = O(\text{ex}(n, F))$. In other words, if we are only interested in the order of magnitude of the Turán function, it is sufficient to forbid a single graph from \mathcal{F} . Note that this conjecture does not necessarily hold for infinite families. For example, forbidding the family of all cycles forces at most $n - 1$ edges in an n -vertex graph, but $\text{ex}(n, C_k)$ is superlinear for all k . When counting graphs other than K_2 , a corresponding compactness conjecture does not need to hold. Indeed, it is not hard to show that $\text{ex}(n, C_6, C_4) = \Theta(n^3)$ and $\text{ex}(n, C_6, C_8) = \Theta(n^3)$, but $\text{ex}(n, C_6, \{C_4, C_8\}) = \Theta(n^2)$ (see Subsection 4.4 for more details). It would be interesting to examine whether there is a graph H such that any finite family \mathcal{F} of graphs must contain a graph $F \in \mathcal{F}$ satisfying $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{F}) = O(\text{ex}(n, H, F))$.

It is well known that $\text{ex}(n, tF) = \Theta(\text{ex}(n, F))$, where tF denotes the graph of t pairwise vertex-disjoint copies of F . Moreover, Gorgol [107] observed that $\text{ex}(n, tF) = \text{ex}(n, F) + O(n)$ and so even the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, tF)$ and $\text{ex}(n, F)$ are the same as long as F is not a forest. On the other hand, Alon and Shikhelman [4] observed that $\text{ex}(n, K_3, 2C_5) = \Omega(n^2)$ in contrast with $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_5) = O(n^{3/2})$ given in [11]. The construction establishing the quadratic lower bound is very simple: take a C_5 -free graph on $n - 1$ vertices with a quadratic number of edges and add a *universal* vertex v (i.e., v is adjacent to all other vertices). Each copy of C_5 in this graph must contain v and so there is no $2C_5$. As v is universal, each edge in the neighborhood of v is a triangle in the graph. Therefore, $\text{ex}(n, K_3, 2C_5) \geq \text{ex}(n - 1, K_2, C_5) = \Omega(n^2)$. In fact, $\text{ex}(n - 1, K_2, C_5) = \lfloor (n - 1)^2/4 \rfloor$ for sufficiently large n by Theorem 1.3, and $\text{ex}(n, K_3, 2C_5) = \lfloor (n - 1)^2/4 \rfloor$ for sufficiently large n (see [210]).

Universal vertices can be further exploited. More generally, if H' is obtained from H by removing at most $t - 1$ vertices, then by adding $t - 1$ universal vertices to an extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n - t + 1, H', F)$ we obtain $\text{ex}(n, H, tF) \geq \text{ex}(n - t + 1, H', F)$.

Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96] studied the phenomenon where $\text{ex}(n, H, tF)$ and $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ diverge for several different graphs H and F . They showed that the above reason is the only one: $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(\text{ex}(n, H, tF))$ is only possible if H has an induced subgraph H' such that $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(\text{ex}(n, H', F))$.

It is easy to see that $\text{ex}(n, F) \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ for every $F \neq K_2$. Indeed, when F is not a matching, construct a matching and when F is a matching, construct a star. For generalized Turán problems, other nonzero sublinear functions are possible. A very simple example is $\text{ex}(n, K_3, 2K_2) = 1$ as a $2K_2$ -free graph with a triangle cannot contain any additional edges. Gerbner and Methuku [94] examined this phenomenon more thoroughly and characterized the graphs H and F that satisfy $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = O(1)$. They also showed that there is nothing between constant and linear: if $\text{ex}(n, F) = \omega(1)$, then $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{ex}(n, H, F)}{n} \geq \frac{1}{|V(H)|}$. Finally, for every nonnegative integer m , they constructed graphs H and F such that $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = m$, for n sufficiently large.

3 The non-degenerate case

The non-degenerate case is where H and F satisfy $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$. Proposition 2.3 characterizes when this happens: if and only if F is not a subgraph of a blowup of H . A sufficient condition is $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$, but it is not necessary. As an example, observe that any blowup of any triangle-free graph H is also triangle-free, hence $\text{ex}(n, H, K_3) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$, even if $\chi(H) = 3$. It is well known that there are triangle-free graphs with arbitrary chromatic number, thus $\chi(H)$ can be much larger than $\chi(F)$ even in the non-degenerate case. On the other hand, if F is bipartite and H has at least one edge, then we are in the degenerate case.

In Subsection 1.1 we stated bounds on $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r+1}, C_{2k+1})$ for $k < r$. Surprisingly, we are not aware of any other non-degenerate result with $\chi(H) \geq \chi(F)$. Thus, in the rest of this section, we restrict our attention to the case when $\chi(H) < \chi(F)$.

As in Subsection 1.1, a graph H is k -Turán-good if $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(H, T(n, k-1))$ for n large enough. We introduce the following generalizations. Given graphs H and F with $\chi(F) = k$, we say that H is F -Turán-good if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T(n, k-1))$ for n large enough. We say that H is *weakly F -Turán-good* if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T)$ for some complete $(k-1)$ -partite graph T . When $F = K_k$, we use the briefer term (*weakly*) k -Turán-good instead of (weakly) K_k -Turán-good. With these definitions, Turán's Theorem (Theorem 1.2) states that K_2 is k -Turán-good for all k , the Simonovits Critical Edge Theorem (Theorem 1.3) states that K_2 is F -Turán-good if and only if F has a color-critical edge, and Zykov's Theorem (Theorem 1.1) states that if $r < k$, then K_r is k -Turán-good. Theorem 2.6 states that K_r is F -Turán-good if and only if F has a color-critical edge.

It is not hard to see that the weak version of Turán-goodness is necessary as, for example, the complete bipartite graph with the maximum number of copies of the star $S_{r+1} = K_{1,r}$ is not a Turán graph when r is large. That is, S_{r+1} is weakly k -Turán-good, but not k -Turán-good. In general, when H is unbalanced, we can expect that an unbalanced complete multipartite graph maximizes the number of copies of H . Determining which complete multipartite graph contains the maximum yields an optimization problem that tends to be difficult to solve in this general setting. Some papers that investigate the extremal graph in the special case where H itself is a complete multipartite graph (typically bipartite) are referenced in Subsection 1.1. We are not aware of any such optimization for other graphs H (outside the obvious case where the extremal graph is a Turán graph).

Let us mention a connection to another well-studied concept in extremal graph theory: *inducibility*, which is the maximum number of induced copies of a graph H in an n -vertex graph. Here we highlight some simple examples. Like the result of Győri, Pach, and Simonovits stated in Subsection 1.1, Zykov's symmetrization can be used to show that the maximum number of induced copies of a complete r -partite graph occurs in a complete multipartite graph G . However, G is not necessarily r -partite. This was observed by Brown and Sidorenko [16], who also showed that if $r = 2$, then G must be bipartite. Gerbner [85] showed that $K_{a,b}$ is weakly F -Turán-good for all values of a, b and F a 3-

chromatic graph with a color-critical edge. If we allow a, b to both be large enough, then the argument is simple: adding any edge to $K_{a,b}$ results in a copy of F and so every copy of $K_{a,b}$ in an F -free graph must be induced, which implies that the extremal graph is complete bipartite.

3.1 Turán-stable graphs

We also introduce approximate versions of the (weakly) Turán-good property. Given F with $\chi(F) = k > 2$, we say that H is *asymptotically F -Turán-good* if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(H, T(n, k - 1))$ and H is *asymptotically weakly F -Turán-good* if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(H, T)$ for some complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T . Theorem 2.2 implies that if H is asymptotically K_k -Turán-good, then H is also asymptotically F -Turán-good for every graph F with chromatic number k .

Given F with $\chi(F) = k > 2$, we say that H is *F -Turán-stable* if any n -vertex F -free graph with $\text{ex}(n, H, F) - o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H has edit distance $o(n^2)$ from the $(k - 1)$ -partite Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$. We say that H is *weakly F -Turán-stable* if any n -vertex F -free graph with $\text{ex}(n, H, F) - o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H has edit distance $o(n^2)$ from some complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph. As usual, for brevity, we use the term *(weakly) k -Turán-stable* in place of (weakly) K_k -Turán-stable.

Clearly, the F -Turán-stable property implies the asymptotically F -Turán-good property, and the weakly F -Turán-stable property implies the asymptotically weakly F -Turán-good property. But these properties can also be used to derive exact results. Two important facts concerning Turán stability are:

- If H is (weakly) k -Turán-stable, then H is (weakly) F -Turán-stable for every F with chromatic number k .
- If H is weakly F -Turán-stable and F has a color-critical edge, then H is weakly F -Turán-good.

Note that the second fact holds only for the weak properties. Indeed, if H is F -Turán-stable, then H is weakly F -Turán-stable, thus weakly F -Turán-good, but the extremal graph is not necessarily the Turán graph (although it does have edit distance $o(n^2)$ from the Turán graph). An example is $\text{ex}(n, S_4, K_3)$. As we shall see, S_4 is K_3 -Turán-stable, thus the extremal graph is $K_{m, n-m}$ for some m . Brown and Sidorenko [16] showed (in a different setting) that m is roughly $n/2 + \sqrt{(3n - 2)/2}$ and so S_4 is not K_3 -Turán-good. On the other hand, if H is weakly F -Turán-stable and k -Turán-good, then H is F -Turán-good.

Among other things, the two facts mean that if we prove that H is (weakly) k -Turán-stable, then we immediately arrive at infinitely many exact results. The simple proofs of the two bulleted facts and more details can be found in Subsection 5.3 where we discuss the *Stability Method*.

An advantage of using Turán-stability to prove an exact result is that we need less precision. For example, since K_{k-1} is k -Turán-good, it is immediate that $2K_{k-1}$ is also k -Turán-good: first select a copy of K_{k-1} , the number of which is maximized by the Turán graph. Then select a second copy, which is maximized by the Turán graph on $n - (k - 1)$ vertices. Observe that this approach does not work for $2K_r$ with $r < k - 1$, since after taking the first copy of K_r , the remaining graph may not be a Turán graph. However, by a result of Ma and Qiu [168], we know that K_r is k -Turán-stable and so after selecting the first copy of K_r , the resulting graph has edit distance $O(1)$ from a Turán graph. This implies that $2K_r$ is k -Turán-stable, thus weakly k -Turán-good. It is not difficult to show that the Turán graph contains the maximum number of copies of $2K_r$ among the complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graphs and thus conclude that $2K_{k-1}$ is indeed k -Turán-good. The drawback of this approach is that the first argument gives $\text{ex}(n, 2K_{k-1}, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(K_{k-1}, T(n, k - 1))$ for every n , while the second gives $\text{ex}(n, 2K_r, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, T(n, k - 1))$ only for an unspecified sufficiently large n .

Several results for k -Turán-good graphs were later proved for k -Turán-stable graphs. In fact, we are aware of only a few exact non-degenerate results on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for F a non-clique with $\chi(F) = k$, that are not a consequence of H being k -Turán-stable.

3.2 k -Turán-good/stable graphs

Before examining specific graphs, let us discuss what is known for k -Turán-good graphs in general. Which graphs are k -Turán-good? An answer is that every graph H is k -Turán-good, provided $k \geq 300|V(H)|^9$, by Morrison, Nir, Norin, Rzażewski, and Wesolek [175], who established the first bound, but did not attempt to optimize the threshold. This result was extended by Gerbner and Hama Karim [93] to show that H is also k -Turán-stable for the same threshold on k .

Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121] constructed a tree that is not asymptotically weakly 3-Turán-good. For all k , Grzesik, Győri, Salia, and Tompkins [111] constructed a $(k - 1)$ -chromatic graph H that is not asymptotically weakly k -Turán-good. They conjectured that any $(k - 1)$ -chromatic graph with diameter at most $2(k - 1)$ is k -Turán-good, but this was disproved by Hng and Cecchelli [134] for $k \geq 4$. This conjecture remains open for $k = 3$. Gerbner [87] constructed, for every F , a graph that is not asymptotically weakly F -Turán-good. However, we do not know of any example of a $(k - 2)$ -chromatic graph that is not weakly k -Turán-good.

On the other hand, Gerbner [74] showed that there exist F -Turán-good (and weakly F -Turán-good) graphs if and only if F has a color-critical vertex. Now let F be a graph without a color-critical vertex. For a complete n -vertex $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T , consider a graph obtained by selecting a vertex of T and connecting it by an edge to all the other vertices. The resulting graph remains F -free, and contains more copies of H than does T , for every fixed graph H of chromatic number at most k (and n sufficiently large). This implies that H is not F -Turán-good. Note that if F does not have a color-critical edge and $\chi(F) = k$, then the complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graphs are not maximal: adding an edge

does not create a copy of F . However, it is also possible that this new edge fails to create a copy of H . The first such example is by Gerbner and Palmer [101], who showed that C_4 is F_2 -Turán-good (where F_2 is the *bowtie graph*, i.e., two triangles sharing exactly one vertex).

Gerbner and Hama Karim [93] showed that for every bipartite graph H there is a 3-chromatic graph F such that H is weakly F -Turán-good (moreover, H is weakly F -Turán-stable). Even more is true: for every bipartite graph H , there is a k_0 such that H is weakly C_{2k+1} -stable if $k \geq k_0$.

Interestingly, while the known examples of graphs H that are not weakly k -Turán-good each have chromatic number $k - 1$, most constructions of k -Turán-good graphs H are also $(k - 1)$ -chromatic. Here we list most of the known exceptions. The above result of Morrison, Nir, Norin, Rzażewski, and Wesolek [175] deals specifically with the case when k is large compared to the chromatic number of H . As stated in Subsection 1.1, Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121] showed that complete r -partite graphs are weakly k -Turán-good, if $r < k$. This was extended to k -Turán-stability by Gerbner and Hama Karim [93]. For the specific case $H = C_4$, k -Turán-stability was proved earlier in [130]. The first Turán-stability result (aside from the Erdős-Simonovits stability theorem) is due to Ma and Qiu [168] (Theorem 2.7), who showed that if $r < k$, then K_r is k -Turán-stable.

Lidický and Murphy [154] showed that C_5 is k -Turán-stable for every $k \geq 4$. Hei, Hou, and Liu [131] proved that paths are weakly k -Turán-stable for $k \geq 3$. Gerbner [80] showed that paths are in fact k -Turán-stable and also k -Turán-good, which was a conjecture of Gerbner and Palmer [101] with partial results in [78, 178, 188, 131]. Moreover, [80] includes the stronger result that all linear forests are k -Turán-stable (a forest is *linear* if its components are paths). This implies that the r -edge matching M_r is F -Turán-good for any graph F with a color-critical edge, an earlier result of Gerbner [78]. Qian, Xie, and Ge [188] proved that if an r -vertex graph contains K_{r-1} , then it is k -Turán-good for every k . Furthermore, if an r -vertex graph contains K_{r-2} , then it is r -Turán-good. They also proved that the vertex-disjoint union $K_r \cup K_{r'}$ is k -Turán-good for all $r \leq r' < k$. Gerbner [91] found that the double-star $S_{1,2}$ is 4-Turán-good.

Let us continue by presenting some general $(k - 1)$ -chromatic k -Turán-good graphs.

Theorem 3.1 (Győri, Pach, and Simonovits [121]). *Let $r \geq 3$ and let H be a $(k - 1)$ -partite graph with $m > k - 1$ vertices, containing $\lfloor m/(k - 1) \rfloor$ vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} . Suppose further that for any two vertices u and v in the same connected component of H , there is a sequence A_1, \dots, A_s of $(k - 1)$ -cliques in H such that $u \in A_1$, $v \in A_s$, and for all $i < s$, A_i and A_{i+1} share $k - 2$ vertices. Then H is k -Turán-good. Moreover, if n is large enough, the Turán graph is the unique n -vertex K_k -free graph with $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$ copies of H .*

In particular, for $k = 3$, this implies that bipartite graphs H that have a matching of size $\lfloor (|V(H)| - 1)/2 \rfloor$ are 3-Turán-good. This was extended by Gorovoy, Grzesik, and Jaworska [108], to establish that if a bipartite graph H has a matching of size r and at most $\sqrt{r - 1}$ unmatched vertices, then H is 3-Turán-good. They also showed that $\sqrt{r - 1}$

cannot be replaced by any linear function.

Gerbner and Palmer [101] coined the terminology of k -Turán-good graphs and obtained a theorem of a similar flavor to Theorem 3.1.

Theorem 3.2 (Gerbner and Palmer [101]). *Fix a k -Turán-good graph H . Let H' be any graph constructed from H as follows. Choose a complete subgraph of H with vertex set X , add a vertex-disjoint copy of K_{k-1} to H and join the vertices in X to the vertices of the new copy of K_{k-1} by edges arbitrarily. Then H' is k -Turán-good.*

Both of the above theorems use K_{k-1} as a building block for constructing k -Turán-good graphs and have a property restricting how these blocks “connect” to each other. The first theorem prescribes a very strong connection property, but edges can be added only if they do not increase the chromatic number. In the second theorem, the final copy of K_{k-1} is connected to only one of the earlier copies, but arbitrarily.

Gerbner [74] proved a generalization of Theorem 3.1, where we begin with a k -Turán-good graph H that has a unique $(k - 1)$ -coloring, then add copy of K_{k-1} and new edges in such a way that satisfies the requirement in Theorem 3.1 on a sequence of $(k - 1)$ -cliques in H . Gerbner [82] obtained further generalizations, including a version in the k -Turán-stability regime.

Gerbner [87] showed that the double-star $S_{a,b}$ is weakly 3-Turán-stable (implying a weakly 3-Turán-good result from [123] and its extension to other 3-chromatic graphs F with a color-critical edge in [77]). Let H be a bipartite graph that contains a complete bipartite graph K such that every vertex of H is adjacent to a vertex of K . Gerbner [87] showed that H is weakly 3-Turán-stable, thus weakly 3-Turán-good, improving a result from [111]. Gerbner [81] proved that if a graph H is weakly k -Turán-stable and has a unique proper $(k - 1)$ -coloring, and H' is obtained from H by adding edges (but no vertices) such that $\chi(H') = k - 1$, then H' is weakly F -Turán-stable. Gerbner also obtained a general result that implies that if H is weakly 3-Turán-good and we add pendant edges to H , then we obtain another weakly 3-Turán-good graph. Gerbner [91] showed that the graph we obtain by adding a pendant edge to each of two distinct vertices of a triangle is 4-Turán-good.

3.3 Forbidden graphs without a color-critical edge

By Theorem 2.6, we know that the extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is a Turán graph when F has a color-critical edge. So now we turn our attention to k -chromatic graphs F without a color-critical edge. The *decomposition family* $\mathcal{D}(F)$ of F consists of every bipartite graph that can be obtained by removing the vertices of all but two color classes from a proper k -coloring of F . Let $\text{biex}(n, F) := \text{ex}(n, \mathcal{D}(F))$ denote the maximum number of edges in an n -vertex graph that does not contain any member of the decomposition family of F . In particular, if F has a color-critical edge, then K_2 is in the decomposition family of F and so $\text{biex}(n, F) = 0$. Gerbner [81] showed that if H is weakly F -Turán-stable, then

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq \mathcal{N}(H, T) + \text{biex}(n, F)\Theta(n^{|V(H)|-2})$$

for some n -vertex complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T . Moreover, if H has a component H' with an *almost proper coloring* (i.e. a vertex coloring with exactly one edge whose end-vertices are the same color) with at most k colors, or H' satisfies $\text{biex}(n, H') = o(\text{biex}(n, F))$, then

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T) + \text{biex}(n, F)\Theta(n^{|V(H)|-2})$$

for some n -vertex complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T . In particular, cliques satisfy this condition, which returns a theorem of Ma and Qiu [168].

The above results are paired with theorems that describe the structure of the corresponding extremal graphs. We do not state them precisely but present a brief summary. For each extremal graph G , there exists a $(k - 1)$ -partition of $V(G)$ and a set of vertices $B \subset V(G)$ of order $O(1)$ such that each graph in $\mathcal{D}(F)$ that is inside a partition class of G must share at least two vertices with B . Moreover, the vertices of B are adjacent to $\Omega(n)$ vertices in each partition class of $V(G)$, while vertices outside B are adjacent to $o(n)$ vertices in their partition class and all but $o(n)$ vertices in each other partition class. Subsection 5.3 includes a more detailed description of these extremal graphs.

If F has a color-critical edge, then by Theorem 2.6, the extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ is the Turán graph $T(n, \chi(F) - 1)$ (assuming of course $r < \chi(F)$). For non-bipartite graphs F without a color-critical edge, a theorem of Gerbner [86] identified a graph H where we can describe a member of $\text{EX}(n, H, F)$ (for sufficiently large n) that is not a Turán graph. Suppose F is a graph with chromatic number $k > 2$. Define $\sigma(F)$ as the minimum number of vertices needed to delete from F to obtain a graph with a smaller chromatic number. Let H be the complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph with parts of size a , for some large enough a . Then an extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is the join $K_{\sigma(F)-1} + T(n - \sigma(F) + 1, k - 1)$. This suggests that instead of Turán-goodness, it may be more important to study which graphs satisfy

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, K_{\sigma(F)-1} + T(n - \sigma(F) + 1, k - 1)),$$

for sufficiently large n .

Let us conclude with a summary of results where the extremal graph is known but is not a complete multipartite graph. The first such results (besides those for the ordinary Turán function) are due to Gerbner and Patkós [102]. They showed that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, 2K_k) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, K_1 + T(n - 1, k - 1))$ if $r < k$ and n is large enough. They also showed that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, B_{k,1}) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, T^+(n, k - 1))$ where $B_{k,1}$ consist of two copies of K_k that share exactly one vertex and $T^+(n, k - 1)$ is the graph that results from adding an edge to a smallest partition class of $T(n, k - 1)$. Finally, they showed for all s, t that $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}, B_{3,1}) = \mathcal{N}(K_{s,t}, T^+)$ for some graph T^+ obtained by adding an edge to a complete bipartite graph. Note that if $s, t > 1$, then the added edge is not in any copy of $K_{s,t}$ and so $K_{s,t}$ is weakly $B_{3,1}$ -Turán-good. All of these results were improved by Gerbner [81].

Let H be a weakly F -Turán-stable graph. For n sufficiently large, Gerbner [81] determined $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ when F has $s > 1$ components, each with chromatic number k and a

color-critical edge, and any number of components with chromatic number less than k . Gerbner also determined $\text{ex}(n, H, K_{1,a,\dots,a})$ for H a forest.

Many of the results in this subsection extend theorems on $\text{ex}(n, F)$ to $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for certain weakly F -Turán-stable graphs H . There are also theorems in [81] that automatically give a generalized Turán result from a corresponding ordinary Turán result. For example, let H and F be graphs such that $\chi(H) < \chi(F) = k$ and H is F -Turán-stable. If $\text{biex}(n, F) = O(1)$ and H is a forest that is K_k -Turán-good, then, for n sufficiently large, $\text{EX}(n, H, F) \cap \text{EX}(n, F) \neq \emptyset$, i.e., there is an extremal graph common to both problems. If, in addition, $\chi(F) = 3$ and there is a graph in $\text{EX}(n, F)$ that contains the Turán graph $T(n, 2)$, then $\text{EX}(n, H, F) \cap \text{EX}(n, F) \neq \emptyset$ holds for every bipartite H that is 3-Turán-stable and 3-Turán-good, not just forests. Gerbner [90] proved the following similar result for weak F -Turán-stability. Assume that $\text{biex}(n, F) = O(1)$ and that the n -vertex F -free graph with the maximum number of edges is the Turán graph with $\text{biex}(n, F)$ edges added to exactly one partition class. Then the n -vertex F -free graph with the maximum number of copies of S_r is a complete $(\chi(F) - 1)$ -partite graph with $\text{biex}(n, F)$ edges added to exactly one partition class.

4 The degenerate case

The degenerate case is when H and F satisfy $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$. By Proposition 2.3 this occurs if and only if F is a subgraph of a blowup of H . This section is organized according to the graph class to which the counting graph H belongs. Let us emphasize that we include only results for the degenerate problem here but that there are many results in Section 3 that count copies of H in the non-degenerate case.

4.1 Counting triangles

Perhaps the most natural subgraph to count (after edges) is the triangle. In fact, the first arXiv posting of the paper [4] by Alon and Shikhelman dealt only with counting triangles and was titled “Triangles in H -free graphs.”

We have already stated several results in Subection 1.1 that fit in this subsection. These include results of Bollobás and Győri [11] on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_5)$, of Győri and Li [120] on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k+1})$ and several results counting cliques or cycles in general. In Subsection 2.1 there appeared a result of Alon and Shikhelman [4] on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, B_t)$.

Here we will list results for $H = K_3$ specifically, but note that later subsections consider classes of graphs H that include triangles (e.g. when counting cliques or cycles). Kostochka, Mubayi, and Verstraëte [147] gave the general lower bound

$$\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = \Omega\left(n^{3 - \frac{3|V(F)| - 9}{e(F) - 3}}\right)$$

for any F with at least 4 edges using a simple random construction.

The case when F is a cycle is especially popular. Bounds given by Győri and Li [120] were improved by Füredi and Özkahya [67] and by Alon and Shikhelman [4] who proved

$\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k+1}) \leq \frac{16(k-1)}{3} \text{ex}(\lceil n/2 \rceil, C_{2k})$. Györi and Li also showed a lower bound of $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k+1}) \geq \frac{1}{2} \text{ex}_{\text{bip}}(n, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}^{\text{even}})$. It is unknown whether these bounds are of the same order of magnitude. Somewhat less attention has been paid to the case when F is an even cycle—perhaps the close relation to the notoriously difficult $\text{ex}(n, C_{2k})$ problem has made it less appealing. Füredi and Özkahya [67] obtained the simple upper bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k}) \leq \frac{2k-3}{3} \text{ex}(n, C_{2k})$. Gishboliner and Shapira [105] established a lower bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_{2k}) = \Omega(\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}^{\text{even}}))$.

When $F = C_4$, Alon and Shikhelman [4] proved $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_4) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{6} n^{3/2}$. For $F = C_5$, Bollobás and Györi [11] gave a lower bound $(1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{3\sqrt{3}} n^{3/2}$. Their corresponding upper bound was improved by Alon and Shikhelman [4], Ergemlidze, Györi, Methuku, and Salia [58]. A further improvement is due to Ergemlidze and Methuku [59]. Their proof uses a hypergraph Turán result which has since been improved in [29] and implies the bound $(1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{2^{9/4}} n^{3/2}$. Lv, He, and Lu [167] proved the slightly better bound $(1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{2\sqrt{6}} n^{3/2}$.

Hou, Yang and Zheng [136] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_3, tC_{2k+1})$ for sufficiently large n . Alon and Shikhelman [4] gave bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, K_{s,t})$, including the order of magnitude when $t > (s-1)!$, and the asymptotics when $s = 2$. Gao, Wu, and Xue [72] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F)$ where $F = F(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is the *generalized theta graph* consisting of internally vertex-disjoint paths of length p_i between two fixed vertices. This graph generalizes both cycles and books. Depending on the value of each p_i , $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F)$ can be quadratic, $O(n^{2-\alpha})$ for some $\alpha > 0$ or between them: $n^{2-o(1)} \leq \text{ex}(n, K_3, F) \leq o(n^2)$. They characterized which holds for each value of p_i .

A *wheel* W_k is a cycle C_k joined with a universal vertex (i.e. adjacent to all other vertices). Let \mathcal{W} be the family of all wheels (where the cycle can have any length). In [49], Erdős mentions a conjecture of Gallai that $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{W}) = \lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$. Let us describe a construction: take an n -vertex complete balanced bipartite graph and embed a matching in the partition class of size $\lceil n/2 \rceil$. Zhou [216] disproved this conjecture by finding a slightly larger construction (with the same asymptotics) and proved an upper bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{W}) \leq \frac{1}{6}(n^2 - n)$. Haxell [127] proved $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{W}) \leq (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{7} n^2$. Füredi, Goemans, and Kleitman [65] found an even larger construction and showed $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{W}) \geq \frac{1}{15}(2n^2 + n)$, disproving Gallai's conjecture even in the asymptotic sense. They also improved the upper bound to $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{W}) \leq \frac{1}{7.02} n^2 + 5n$.

Mubayi and Mukherjee [176] studied 3-chromatic graphs F that can be obtained by adding a universal vertex to a complete bipartite graph, an even cycle, or a path. In particular, they showed $\text{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,s,t}) = o(n^{3-1/s})$ if $s \leq t$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_3, W_{2k}) = o(n^{2+1/k})$. The first bound was improved to $\text{ex}(n, K_3, K_{1,s,t}) = o(n^{3-1/s}(\log n)^{-1+1/s})$ by Calbet and Goenka [21]. For the graph H_k obtained by joining a universal vertex to a path P_k , Mubayi and Mukherjee [176] showed $\text{ex}(n, K_3, H_k) = \Theta(n^2)$ and determined the asymptotics for $k = 4$ and $k = 5$. The case $k = 4$ was improved to the exact result $\text{ex}(n, K_3, H_4) = \lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$, for n sufficiently large, by Gerbner [75], and Mukherjee [177] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_3, H_4)$ for all n . Hei, Hou and Ma Cui [132] proved that $\text{ex}(n, K_3, H_5) = \lfloor n^2/8 \rfloor$, for n sufficiently large. Duan, and Yang [30] obtained bounds on the number of triangles when the for-

bidden graph consists of a path or an even cycle and multiple additional vertices, each adjacent to all the vertices of the original path or cycle.

Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96] gave bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F)$ when F is disconnected. Duan, Wang, and Yang [36] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_3, \mathcal{L}(k))$, where $\mathcal{L}(k)$ is the family of linear forests with at most k edges (a linear forest is the vertex-disjoint union of paths).

Zhu, Cheng, Gerbner, Győri, and Hama Karim [219] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F_k)$ exactly, for sufficiently large n , where F_k is the friendship graph composed of k triangles that all share a single common vertex. Alon and Shikhelman [4] determined the graphs F for which $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = O(n)$. These are the so-called *extended friendship graphs*, which contain the friendship graph F_k as a subgraph for some $k \geq 0$, and no other cycles.

One can view F_k as an edge-blowup of the star S_{k+1} : replace every edge of S_{k+1} by a triangle. Let F^Δ denote the graph we obtain if we replace each edge of F with a triangle. Lv, Győri, He, Salia, Tompkins, Varga, and Zhu [165] found $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F^\Delta)$ for F a P_4 or K_3 , when n is sufficiently large. Liu and Song [158] extended these results by determining $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F^\Delta)$ asymptotically for every tree F , and exactly when F is a path or a cycle of length at least 5. They also obtained corresponding stability results.

4.2 Counting cliques

The previous subsection dealt with the special case when H is a triangle. We are not aware of many other results counting specific cliques beyond the following: Bollobás and Győri [11] proved $\text{ex}(n, K_4, C_5) \leq (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{8}n^{3/2}$ in order to obtain an upper bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_5)$ and Bayer, Mészáros, Rónyai, and Szabó [7] showed (improving a lower bound due to Palmer, Tait, Timmons, and Wagner [186]) that $\text{ex}(n, K_4, K_{s,t}) = \Theta(n^{4-6/s})$ if $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$.

A simple general bound by Gerbner and Palmer [100] is $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) \leq \text{ex}(n, F)^{r/2}$. Dubroff, Gunby, Narayanan, and Spiro [37] obtained the following general result. We say that a graph F is *2-balanced* if for every subgraph F' of F , we have $\frac{e(F')-1}{|V(F')|-2} \leq \frac{e(F)-1}{|V(F)|-2}$. They showed that if F is 2-balanced and has at least 2 edges, then $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = \Omega(n^{2-\frac{|V(F)|-2}{e(F)-1}})$, for $2 \leq r < |V(F)|$.

Alon and Shikhelman [4] obtained multiple bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s,t})$ in different ranges of the parameters. Some of these bounds were improved by Ma, Yuan, and Zhang [169], Zhang and Ge [212], Bayer, Mészáros, Rónyai, and Szabó [7]. Summarizing, we have two regimes. If $r-1 \leq s \leq t$, then $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s,t}) = O(n^{r-r(r-1)/2s})$. This bound is sharp (in the order of magnitude) if t is large enough or if $s \geq 2r-2$ and $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$ or if $t \geq (s-1)! + 1$ and $r=3$ or $r=4$. On the other hand, if $r-1 > s$, then $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s,t}) = O(n^{(s+1)/2})$. This is sharp (in the order of magnitude) if $s=2$ and $t \geq 2r-3$, by a result of Zhang and Ge [212] that shows $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{2,t}) = \Theta(n^{3/2})$. For smaller t , Alon and Shikhelman [4] showed $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{2,t}) = \Omega(n^{4/3})$ by using a hypergraph Turán result of Lazebnik and Verstraëte [150]. We remark that an improvement due to Haymaker, Tait, and Timmons [128] implies $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{2,t}) = \Omega(n^{10/7})$ for all r ,

and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{2,t}) = \Omega(n^{3/2-o(1)})$ for $r \leq 6$. Balogh, Jiang, and Luo [6] gave bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s_1, \dots, s_r})$.

Gan, Loh, and Sudakov [70] showed that when n is divisible by $k - 1$, the extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, K_r, S_k)$ is made up of vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} . They conjectured that for other values of n , $\text{ex}(n, K_r, S_k)$ is given by vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} and a smaller clique, assuming $r > 2$. This conjecture was proved by Chase [26], another proof is by Chao and Dong [25]. A similar development occurs when paths are forbidden: Luo [164] proved $\text{ex}(n, K_r, P_k) \leq \frac{n}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r}$, which is sharp when n is divisible by $k - 1$, as shown by vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} . Chakraborti and Chen [24] extended this by proving that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, P_k)$ is given by vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} and a smaller clique. The situation may be the same for all trees. Gerbner, Methuku, and Palmer [95] showed an identical upper bound for any tree if all its subtrees satisfy the Erdős-Sós conjecture (Conjecture 1.10). This gives the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, K_r, T)$ for such trees T if n is divisible by $k - 1$. For other values of n , there is an additive constant error term. Another tree where we know the exact value is the double-star $S_{a,b}$. The value of $\text{ex}(n, K_r, S_{a,b})$ is given by vertex-disjoint cliques of order $|V(S_{a,b})| - 1$ plus a smaller clique by a result of Gerbner [77].

Luo [164] showed $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k}) \leq \binom{k-1}{r} \frac{n-1}{k-2}$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}$ denotes the family of cycles of length at least k . This is sharp if n is divisible by $k - 2$. This implies the above result of Luo on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, P_k)$. Another proof of Luo's theorems was obtained by Ning and Peng [185]. Lv, Györi, He, Salia, Xiao, and Zhu [166] showed the following strengthening: $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k}^{\text{odd}}) \leq \binom{k-1}{r} \frac{n-1}{k-2}$ for $k \geq 13$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k}^{\text{even}}) \leq \binom{k-1}{r} \frac{n-1}{k-2}$ for every k , where $\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}^{\text{odd}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}^{\text{even}}$ denote the set of odd, respectively, even, cycles of length at least k .

The family of *generalized books* $\mathcal{B}_{s,m,k}$ is the set of graphs consisting of k cliques of order m each sharing a common fixed set of s vertices. Observe that there is only one graph in this family when $m = s + 1$, and when $3 = m = s + 1$, this is the ordinary book graph B_k . Let $B_{s,m,k}$ denote the particular generalized book where the pairwise intersection of any two m -cliques is exactly an s -clique. Then $B_k = B_{2,3,k}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{s,m,2} = \{B_{s,m,2}, B_{s+1,m,2}, \dots, B_{m-1,m,2}\}$.

Gowers and Janzer [109] showed $\Omega(n^{s-o(1)}) \leq \text{ex}(n, K_r, \mathcal{B}_{s,r,2}) \leq o(n^s)$, generalizing the result of Alon and Shikhelman [4] on $\text{ex}(n, K_3, B_k)$. Their lower bound is given by a random geometric construction. Gerbner [88] showed $\text{ex}(n, K_r, B_k) = o(n^2)$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F_k) = O(n)$. Liu and Wang [156] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_r, B_{s,r,2})$. They found its exact value when $s = 0$ or $s = 1$, and its order of magnitude in most of the other cases. Gerbner and Patkós [103] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_r, B_{s,m,2})$ and found its value exactly when $m = 0$ or $m = 1$ and n is sufficiently large, and obtained bounds in the other cases. In particular, they determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, 2K_m)$ exactly if n is sufficiently large. Yuan and Wang [209] improved the threshold on n for $\text{ex}(n, K_r, 2K_m)$ and established the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, K_3, 2K_3)$. Gerbner [83] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tK_m)$ for all integers r, m, t and sufficiently large n , improving the above results and those of Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96]. Yuan and Peng [208] established $\text{ex}(n, K_r, B_{1,m,k})$ when $2 \leq k < m$, $3 \leq r < m$, and n is sufficiently large. Hellier and Liu [133] examined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{B_{s_1,r,2}, \dots, B_{s_i,r,2}\})$

in general (i.e. a family of forbidden intersections of r -cliques is given). Zhao and Zhang [215] improved some of their results.

Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96] gave general bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tF)$ for arbitrary F , and obtained stronger bounds for the specific case when F a cycle. Yang and Zeng [206] improved these bounds in the case F is a cycle or a complete bipartite graph. Gao, Wu, and Xue [72] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tF)$ when F where $F = F(p_1, \dots, p_k)$ is the generalized theta graph consisting of internally vertex-disjoint paths of length p_i between two fixed vertices, and F has a color-critical edge, $3 \leq r \leq t + 1$, and n is sufficiently large. Wang [202] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, M_k)$ for M_k a matching of size k . Zhu and Chen [217] (improving results of Zhu, Zhang, and Chen [221]) determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ when F is the vertex-disjoint union of paths of length at least 4 and n is large enough. Chen, Yang, Yuan, and Zhang [27] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ where F is a linear forest with each component having an even number of vertices. Gao, Li, Lu, Sun, and Yuan [73] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tP_3)$ for sufficiently large n . Liu and Yin [161] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, S_{k'} \cup S_k)$ if $r \geq 4$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, 3S_k)$ if $r \geq 5$, improving their earlier results in [159]. Khormali and Palmer [140] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tS_k)$ if $3 \leq r \leq k + t - 2$, k divides $n - t + 1$ and n large enough. Wang and Zhang [204] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tW_{2k})$ if $3 \leq r \leq t + 2$, and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, tF_2)$ if $3 \leq r \leq t + 1$.

There are several other results for counting cliques that can be reformulated as generalized Turán statements if we forbid multiple graphs. Kirsch and Radcliffe [143] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{K_k, S_\ell\})$. Jin and Zhang [138] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{tK_k, K_{k+1}\})$ and showed that the maximum is achieved by the complete k -partite graph with one partition class having size t and the other partition classes having size as balanced as possible. Ma and Hou [170] gave upper and lower bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{F, M_\ell\})$ that differ by an additive term $O(1)$, and are sharp in some cases. Some further improvements were made by Zhu and Chen [218] and Xue and Kang [205]. Zhao and Lu [213] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{\mathcal{C}_{\geq k}, M_\ell\})$ for most values of the parameters. Lu, Kang, and Xue [163] extended this to every r, ℓ, k and sufficiently large n . Fang, Zhu, and Chen [60] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_r, \{K_k, P_\ell\})$ for some values of the parameters.

In Part II of this survey [98] we will describe a connection between generalized Turán problems and Berge hypergraph problems and how results on the Turán number of Berge hypergraphs give bounds on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$ and for which graphs those results give the best known bounds. Let us briefly summarize the results most germane to this section. A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is a *Berge copy* of a graph H if there is a bijection between the edges of H and the hyperedges of \mathcal{H} such that each edge is contained in its image. In other words, we can obtain a Berge copy of H by arbitrarily expanding each edge of H by additional vertices. Berge copies of cycles and paths have been studied for decades. Gerbner and Palmer [99] extended the definition to arbitrary graphs H . If a graph is F -free, then the hypergraph whose hyperedges are the vertex sets of copies of K_r must not contain a Berge copy of F . This implies that the r -uniform hypergraph Turán number of the family of Berge copies of F is at least $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F)$. An upper bound of $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) + \text{ex}(n, F)$ was shown by Gerbner and Palmer [100]. The hypergraph Turán number of the family

of Berge copies of the cycle C_k has attracted a great deal of attention (beginning with [118, 119, 117]). In particular, results in [119] imply that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, C_{2k}) = O(n^{1+1/k})$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_r, C_{2k+1}) = O(n^{1+1/k})$.

4.3 Counting complete multipartite graphs

We have already seen results in Section 1.1 on counting copies of $K_{2,2} = C_4$ and we will state several more in Subsection 4.4. An argument due to Füredi and West [69] is yet another example in which a generalized Turán result is established to prove an ordinary Turán result. Let $H(s, t)$ denote the graph we obtain if we remove from $K_{s,s}$ the edges of a copy of $K_{t,t}$. In order to prove an upper bound on $\text{ex}(n, H(s, t))$, they first proved $\text{ex}(n, K_{r,r}, H(s, t)) \leq \binom{n}{r} \max\left\{\binom{s-1}{r}, \frac{1}{2}\binom{2s-r-1}{r}\right\}$. Grzesik, Janzer, and Nagy [112] used this method to bound $\text{ex}(n, F)$ for certain bipartite graphs F , and so obtained a bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_{r,r}, F)$. Section 4.5 deals with the case of counting copies of a star $S_{r+1} = K_{1,r}$.

When counting complete bipartite graphs, the typical forbidden graph is also complete bipartite. Alon and Shikhelman [4] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t})$ in some ranges of the four parameters. Their results were extended or improved by Ma, Yuan, and Zhang [169], by Bayer, Mészáros, Rónyai, and Szabó [7], and by Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96], who also studied the more general $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, kK_{s,t})$. Gerbner and Patkós [102] examined $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t})$ systematically and obtained various exact, asymptotic, and structural results.

Let us summarize the state-of-the-art for $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t})$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $a \leq b$ and $s \leq t$ and that $K_{a,b}$ does not contain $K_{s,t}$. If $a > s$, then $b < t$ and the order of magnitude is unknown except when $s = 1$ where we know the asymptotics as well as exact results for infinitely many n . In particular, if $2t - 2$ divides n , then $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{1,t}) = \mathcal{N}(K_{a,b}, \frac{n}{2t-2}K_{t-1,t-1})$. If $a = s$, the exact result is trivial for $s = 1$ and the asymptotics are known for $s = 2$, but for larger s , the order of magnitude is unknown. It is possible that the general upper bound $O(n^s)$ from [96] is sharp in general here. If $a < s$ and $b < s$, Alon and Shikhelman showed $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t}) = O(n^{a+b-ab/s})$. This was shown to be sharp if t is large enough and in several other cases (see [4, 169, 7]). It also remains open whether this bound is always sharp in this case. Finally, if $a < s$ and $b \geq s$, we know the order of magnitude: $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t}) = \Theta(n^b)$. The asymptotics are known if $s < b$ or $s = b = t$: $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t}) = (1 + o(1))\binom{s-1}{a}\binom{n}{b}$. In both cases, the lower bound is given by a *complete split graph* $S(s-1, n-s+1)$, that is, a complete bipartite graph $K_{s-1, n-s+1}$ with all possible edges added to the class of size $s-1$. This graph is actually an extremal graph if $s = a+1$ and $b \geq a+t-2 \geq t$ or if $s > a+1$ and $a+b > s+t$. Further corresponding stability results are proved in [102].

Gerbner, Nagy, and Vizer [97] determined the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,r}, C_{2k})$. Gerbner [76] studied $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,r}, F)$ for every F , and found the order of magnitude for every non-bipartite F , and when F is a subgraph of $K_{2,k}$ for some k . Lu, Yuan, and Zhang [162] established $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, P_k)$, $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, M_k)$, and $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$. Györi, He, Lv, Salia, Tompkins, Varga, and Zhu [116] determined $\text{ex}(n, K_{r,r}, C_{2r+2})$.

Aside from the results above and those in other subsections, we are not aware of many results for counting complete multipartite graphs with more than two partition classes. Assume that $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_r$ and $s_1 \leq \dots \leq s_r$. Ma, Yuan, and Zhang [169] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, K_{a_1, \dots, a_r}, K_{s_1, \dots, s_r})$ when $a_1 < s_1$, $a_i \leq s_{i-1}$ for all $i \leq r$, and s_r is large enough. Gerbner and Patkós [102] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, K_{a_1, \dots, a_r}, K_{s_1, \dots, s_r})$ when $a_1 < s_1$ and $a_i \geq s_{i-1}$ for all $i \leq r$. Liu and Zhang [160] obtained the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, K_{a_1, \dots, a_r}, P_k)$, $\text{ex}(n, K_{a_1, \dots, a_r}, M_k)$ and $\text{ex}(n, K_{a_1, \dots, a_r}, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$. Gerbner [79] showed that for complete multipartite graphs H , $\text{ex}(n, H, \{K_k, M_\ell\}) = \mathcal{N}(H, G)$ for an n -vertex graph G that is either a complete multipartite graph with at most $k - 1$ partition classes and one partition class of order at least $n - \ell + 1$, or a complete multipartite graph with at most $k - 1$ partition classes spanning $2\ell - 1$ vertices, together with $n - 2\ell + 1$ isolated vertices.

4.4 Counting cycles

We outlined results for counting triangles $C_3 = K_3$ in Subsection 4.1 and some results for counting C_4 can be found in Subsection 4.3.

Let us now collect general results for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_k)$. Gishboliner and Shapira [105] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_k)$ when $r \neq 3$ (the case r, k both even was proved independently in [92]).

Theorem 4.1 (Gishboliner and Shapira). *For distinct r, k we have*

$$\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_k) = \begin{cases} \Theta_r(n^{r/2}) & \text{if } r \geq 5, k = 4, \\ \Theta_r(k^{\lceil r/2 \rceil} n^{\lfloor r/2 \rfloor}) & \text{if } k \geq 6 \text{ even and } r \geq 4 \text{ or } r, k \text{ odd and } 5 \leq r < k, \\ \Theta_r(n^r) & \text{if } r \text{ even and } k \text{ odd or } r, k \text{ odd and } 5 \leq k < r. \end{cases}$$

Now to stronger results for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_k)$ when $r > 3$. A complete resolution of the problem for $\text{ex}(n, C_5, C_3)$ was given in Section 1.1. Gerbner, Gyóri, Methuku, and Vizer [92] proved $\text{ex}(n, C_4, C_{2k}) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{(k-1)(k-2)}{4} n^2$ for $k > 2$. Gyóri, He, Lv, Salia, Tompkins, Varga, and Zhu [116] determined $\text{ex}(n, C_4, C_6)$. Gerbner and Palmer [100] showed $\text{ex}(n, C_r, K_{2,t}) = \left(\frac{1}{2r} + o(1)\right) (t-1)^{r/2} n^{r/2}$ (excluding the empty case $r = 4, t = 2$), in particular this gives the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_4)$.

Gerbner, Gyóri, Methuku, and Vizer [92] obtained several results when a family of cycles is forbidden. This includes the asymptotics for the number of four-cycles and the order of magnitude of the number of six-cycles in graphs when any family of cycles is forbidden. Zhu, Gyóri, He, Lv, Salia, and Xiao [220] determined the number of copies of C_4 and C_5 if all cycles of length at least k are forbidden.

Using the Erdős Girth Conjecture (Conjecture 1.8) Solymosi and Wong [197] gave a construction establishing $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}) = \Omega(n^{2r/k})$ and $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k+1}) = \Omega(n^{2r/k})$ for $r > k$. A corresponding upper bound from [92] when $k + 1$ divides $2r$ is as follows. Let G be an n -vertex graph with no cycle of length at most $2k$. We count $2r$ -cycles by fixing each of the $(k + 1)$ st edges. By the Bondy-Simonovits Even Cycle Theorem (Theorem 1.7),

$e(G) = O(n^{1+1/k})$. So we can select the at most $\frac{2r}{k+1}$ edges of G in $O(n^{2r/k})$ ways. Now observe that between the end-vertices of a pair of these edges, the forbidden cycle condition ensures that there is at most one path of length ℓ for all $\ell \leq k$. Therefore, these edges correspond to a constant number of cycles C_{2r} and so $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r}, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 2k}) = O(n^{2r/k})$. Since the Girth Conjecture holds for $k = 2, 3, 5$, this gives the correct order of magnitude for several cases. The constant factor in the lower bound for $\text{ex}(n, C_6, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 5})$ and $\text{ex}(n, C_8, \mathcal{C}_{\leq 7})$ was specifically improved in [207].

Győri, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] established asymptotics for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, P_k)$, and, for n large enough, the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, C_4, P_k)$ and $\text{ex}(n, C_4, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$. Gerbner and Palmer [100] determined the graphs F that force $\text{ex}(n, C_r, F) = O(n)$ for every r , extending the corresponding result for triangles by Alon and Shikhelman [4].

In Section 1.1 we saw that sometimes results for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ are used as lemmas toward results on $\text{ex}(n, F)$. In these situations, typically H is a very simple graph. Erdős and Simonovits [54] gave an upper bound on the Turán number of graphs built as follows: take an arbitrary bipartite graph F and a complete bipartite $K_{t,t}$, and connect each vertex in one partition class of F to each vertex in one partition class of $K_{t,t}$, then do the same for the other partition class of F and $K_{t,t}$. This gives a bipartite graph F'_t . In order to bound $\text{ex}(n, F'_t)$, they gave upper bounds on $\text{ex}(n, P_3, F'_t)$ and $\text{ex}(n, C_4, F'_t)$.

4.5 Counting stars

A bound of $\text{ex}(n, S_{s+1}, K_{s,t}) \leq (t-1) \binom{n}{s}$ was given as a step in the proof of the Kővári-Sós-Turán theorem (see Section 1.1). Several results on counting multipartite graphs (Subsection 4.3) also fit in this subsection. Győri, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] determined the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, S_r, P_k)$ and $\text{ex}(n, S_r, \mathcal{C}_{\geq k})$. Huang and Qian [137] determined $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$ when F is a linear forest. Gerbner [78] showed $\text{ex}(n, S_r, C_4) = \mathcal{N}(S_r, F)$ where F is an n -vertex friendship graph. Note that if $r \geq 4$, then $\mathcal{N}(S_r, F) = \mathcal{N}(S_r, S_n) = \binom{n-1}{r-1}$, since many of the edges of the friendship graph F are not contained in an S_r .

Given an n -vertex graph G with degrees $d_1 \leq d_2 \leq \dots \leq d_n$, we have $\mathcal{N}(S_r, G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{r-1}$. This is closely related to the sum $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^{r-1}$, which is a well-studied quantity, especially in chemical graph theory; see the survey [1]. There, the sum $R_\alpha(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^\alpha$ is called the *general zeroth order Randić index*, but the terms *first general Zagreb index* and *variable first Zagreb index* are also used in the literature. Section 2.4 of the survey [1] discusses the maximum value of this index among n -vertex F -free graphs. This line of research was initiated by Caro and Yuster [23].

A particularly interesting special case is $r = 3$, i.e., $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$. This sum is dubbed the *first Zagreb index* and is surveyed in [14]. Observe that $\sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 = 2\mathcal{N}(S_3, G) + 2e(G)$. Thus, if the number of edges and the number of 3-vertex stars are maximized by the same graph, then this graph also maximizes the first Zagreb index. Gerbner [90] studied the connection between the zeroth order Randić index and counting stars, and showed the following, extending this simple observation. Denote by $R_\alpha(n, F)$ the largest value of the

general zeroth order Randic index $R_\alpha(G)$ among n -vertex F -free graphs G . Gerbner [90] used the following proposition to show that several results for $R_r(n, F)$ are consequences of results on $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$, and to obtain new results on $R_r(n, F)$ for various graphs F .

Proposition 4.2 (Gerbner [90]). (i) $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F) = \left(\frac{1}{(r-1)!} + o(1)\right) R_{r-1}(n, F)$. Moreover, the same graphs are asymptotically extremal for both functions.

(ii) For any graph G , there are positive reals $w_i = w_i(r)$ such that

$$R_r(G) = \sum_{i=1}^r w_i \cdot \mathcal{N}(S_i, G).$$

In Part II of the survey we will further explore the connection between the Randic index and the generalized Turán problem for stars. But let us now highlight several instances where $R_{r-1}(n, F)$ gives the best-known bounds on $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$.

Füredi and Kündgen [66] described how the order of magnitude of $R_r(n, F)$, and so that of $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$, depends on the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, F)$. More precisely, assume that $\text{ex}(n, F) = \Theta(n^{2-\beta})$. If $r\beta < 1$, then $R_r(n, F) = \Theta(n^{r-r\beta})$, if $r\beta > 1$, then $R_r(n, F) = \Theta(n^{r-1})$, while if $r\beta = 1$, then $\Omega(n^{k-1}) \leq R_r(n, F) \leq O(n^{k-1} \log n)$. They conjectured that the $\log n$ factor can be removed from the upper bound. Gao, Liu, Ma, and Pikhurko [71] proved this for several classes of well-studied bipartite graphs.

Nikiforov [181] showed $R_r(n, C_{2k}) = (k - 1 + o(1))n^r$. Lan, Liu, Qin, and Shi [149] gave results on $R_r(n, F)$ for certain forests F . They also considered the broom $B(k, \ell)$, which is obtained from P_k by adding ℓ new leaves connected to a penultimate vertex of the path. They determined $R_r(n, B(k, \ell))$ for $r \geq 2$, $5 \leq k \leq 7$, and n sufficiently large. Extensions are given by Wang and Yin [201] for $k = 8$ and by Gerbner [89] for all k who also determined $\text{ex}(n, S_r, B(k, \ell))$ for $r \geq 2$ and sufficiently large n . Brooks and Linz [15] obtained several exact and asymptotic results on $R_r(n, F)$ in connection to spectral Turán problems, see Subsection 5.5.

4.6 Counting other forests

Let us continue with paths. Győri, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] determined the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, P_r, P_k)$. They also gave the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, P_3, P_k)$, $\text{ex}(n, P_4, P_k)$, $\text{ex}(n, P_5, P_k)$ and $\text{ex}(n, P_6, P_7)$ for n large enough. Gishboliner and Shapira [105] found the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, P_r, C_k)$, while Gerbner, Győri, Methuku, and Vizer [92] determined its asymptotics when k is odd or $r < 2k$ is odd. Gerbner and Palmer [100] showed $\text{ex}(n, P_r, K_{2,t}) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{2}(t - 1)^{(r-1)/2} n^{(r+1)/2}$. Erdős and Simonovits [54] proved bounds on $\text{ex}(n, P_3, F'_t)$ in order to bound $\text{ex}(n, F'_t)$ (see Section 4.4 for details and the definition of F'_t). In [55], while bounding $\text{ex}(n, \{C_4, C_5\})$, an upper bound on $\text{ex}(n, P_4, \{C_4, C_5\})$ is established (and also on the number of three-edge walks in $\{C_4, C_5\}$ -free graphs).

Gerbner [76] studied $\text{ex}(n, T, K_{2,t})$, when T is a tree and determined the order of magnitude for every tree and characterized which trees satisfy $\text{ex}(n, T, K_{2,t}) = (1 +$

$o(1))\mathcal{N}(T, F(n, t))$, where $F(n, t)$ is the Füredi graph (see Subsection 5.7). Gerbner [78] showed that if F is not a forest, then $\text{ex}(n, M_r, F) = (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{r!}\text{ex}(n, F)^r$. Gerbner [89] extended this result to trees F and characterized the forests that also attain this value. Both $\text{ex}(n, M_2, S_4)$ and $\text{ex}(n, M_2, P_4)$ are determined in [78], and in [84], these results were extended to $\text{ex}(n, M_2, S_k)$ and $\text{ex}(n, M_2, P_k)$, for sufficiently large n . Gerbner [77] gave bounds on $\text{ex}(n, S_{a,b}, S_{c,d})$. Cambie, de Joannis de Verclos, and Kang [22] considered the case when stars S_k are forbidden. They observed that $\text{ex}(n, S_r, S_k)$ is given by any $(k-2)$ -regular graph, and if T is a tree with maximum degree at most $k-1$ and diameter d , then $\text{ex}(n, T, S_k)$ is given by any $(k-2)$ -regular graph with girth at least $d+1$.

Spiro and English [39] gave the following general result for trees:

Theorem 4.3 (Spiro and English [39]). *If T is a tree and \mathcal{F} is a family of graphs, then for every integer $t \geq 1$, either*

$$\text{ex}(n, T, \mathcal{F}) = \Omega(n^t) \quad \text{or} \quad \text{ex}(n, T, \mathcal{F}) = O(\text{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})^{t-1}).$$

4.7 Counting other graphs

We conclude Section 4 with results that count graphs H that do not otherwise fit in the previous subsections. Wang [202] determined $\text{ex}(n, H, M_k)$ for $H = S(s, t)$, the complete split graph, that is, a complete bipartite graph $K_{s,t}$ with all edges added to the partition class of size s . Gerbner, Methuku, and Vizer [96] initiated the study of counting disconnected graphs H and determined the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, rK_3, tK_3)$. Györi, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] gave bounds on $\text{ex}(n, M_r \cup K_3, P_k)$, $\text{ex}(n, M_r \cup 2K_3, P_k)$, and $\text{ex}(n, M_r \cup K_4, P_k)$ as a lemma toward bounding $\text{ex}(n, P_r, P_k)$. Among other results, Gerbner [78] studied $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for each of the 100 pairs of graphs H, F on at most four vertices without isolated vertices.

Let us continue with results where H is more general. Alon and Pudlák [2] showed that projective norm graphs provide a lower bound for a certain problem in Ramsey theory. In their proof they found the order of magnitude of the number of copies of certain graphs H in these norm graphs. As the norm graphs are $K_{t,t+1}$ -free, this gives a lower bound on $\text{ex}(n, H, K_{t,t+1})$. Recall that a graph is *3-degenerate* if any non-empty subgraph of it has a vertex of degree at most 3. Bayer, Mészáros, Rónyai, and Szabó [7] obtained a lower bound $\Omega(n^{|V(H)|-e(H)/s}) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, K_{s,t})$ for any 3-degenerate graph H if $t > (s-1)!$ and $s \geq 4$. As we will see in Subsection 5.7, Ma, Yuan, and Zhang [169] proved that for *any* graph H , if t is large enough (depending on $e(H)$ and s), then $\text{ex}(n, H, K_{s,t}) = \Omega(n^{|V(H)|-e(H)/s})$.

Kirsch and Nir [142] gave the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, H, S_k)$ if H has a universal vertex. Kirsch [141] established the asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, H, \{S_r, K_k\})$ if H has a universal vertex.

Gerbner [84] determined $\text{ex}(n, H, M_k)$ for graphs H with a vertex cover of size at most $k-1$ (and n sufficiently large). Moreover, Gerbner determined $\text{ex}(n, H, M_k)$ if H has minimum degree at least k , or if exactly one vertex has degree $k-1$ and the others have degree at least k .

Gerbner [78] showed that if F is obtained from K_k by adding a pendant edge, then

$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$ for every connected graph $H \neq K_k$, provided that n is large enough. It seems likely that further such results can be obtained where some small perturbation of F does not change $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ too much.

Girão, Hunter, and Wigderson [104] showed that for any triangle-free graph H , there is a constant α_H such that $\text{ex}(n, H, H[k]) < n^{|V(H)| - \alpha_H/k}$ for the blowup $H[k]$.

Zhang, Wang, Zhao, and Lu [211] studied the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{H}(H, L))$, where L is a set of non-negative integers and $\mathcal{H}(H, L)$ is the family of graphs obtained by taking two copies of H such that the number of vertices they share is not in L .

Finally, we state results where F is fixed and H is more general. Typically, in this setting, the goal is simply to determine the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$. Alon and Shikhelman [4] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ when both H and F are trees, and studied the case H bipartite and F a tree. Györi, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] gave an upper bound on the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for every graph H and tree F . Extending these results, Letzter [151] established the correct order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for every graph H and tree F .

Let us describe Letzter's construction, which is based on the same partial blowup idea discussed after Proposition 2.5. Given a graph H , a set $U \subset V(H)$ and an integer t , the (U, t) -blowup of H is the graph H' we obtain by replacing each vertex $v \in V(H) \setminus U$ by t vertices v_1, \dots, v_t . More precisely, vertices $u, u' \in U$ form an edge in H' if and only if they form an edge in H , and for vertices $u \in U, v \notin U$ and $i \leq t$, we have that uv_i is an edge of H' if and only if uv is an edge of H , and for vertices $v, v' \notin U$ and $i, j \leq t$, we have that $v_i v'_j$ is an edge of H' if and only if vv' is an edge of H . Given graphs F and $(F$ -free) H , let $r(H, F)$ denote the maximum number of components in $H \setminus U$, over sets $U \subset V(H)$ such that the $(U, |V(F)|)$ -blowup of H is F -free. Clearly, $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Omega(n^{r(H, F)})$. Indeed, adding further identical vertices does not help to create a copy of F , and so the $(U, n/|V(H)|)$ -blowup of H is also F -free and has at most n vertices. Observe that each component in $H \setminus U$ is duplicated at least $n/|V(H)|$ times in the $(U, n/|V(H)|)$ -blowup.

Gerbner [83, 79] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, tK_k)$ for all H, t , and k and $\text{ex}(n, H, \{F, M_k\})$ for all H, F , and k . In both of these arguments, the construction that gives the lower bound is also a 'partial' blowup of H , where we blow up the largest number of vertices possible while avoiding the forbidden subgraphs.

5 Methods

In this section, we give an overview of several methods that have been applied to generalized Turán problems. Most of these techniques are familiar in extremal graph theory. In each subsection, we give a simple representative application of the method discussed.

5.1 Regularity lemma

Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma [198] revolutionized how we view the structure of graphs in general. Informally, the lemma states that *every* large graph has a special structure; it admits a partition into a fixed number of parts such that almost every pair of parts is regular in some sense. See [146, 145] for not very recent surveys on the regularity lemma and its applications. An especially nice treatment of the regularity lemma, its proof, and associated lemmas appear in a manuscript by Zhao [214].

To state the lemma formally, we need a few definitions. Let $X, Y \subset V(G)$ be disjoint sets of vertices of a graph G . Let $d(X, Y) = \frac{e(X, Y)}{|X||Y|}$ be the *density* between the pair X, Y where $e(X, Y)$ counts the number of edges between X and Y . We say that a pair X, Y of disjoint sets of vertices is ε -*regular* if for any $X' \subset X$ and $Y' \subset Y$ with $|X'| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \varepsilon|Y|$, we have $|d(X, Y) - d(X', Y')| \leq \varepsilon$. Informally, aside from negligible small subsets, every pair of subsets has roughly the same density. This property is satisfied, with high probability, by random graphs, for example.

Definition 1. A vertex-partition of a graph G into parts V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r is ε -*regular*, if

- $|V_0| \leq \varepsilon|V(G)|$,
- $|V_1| = |V_2| = \dots = |V_r|$,
- all but at most εr^2 of the pairs V_i, V_j with $1 \leq i < j \leq r$ are ε -regular.

Theorem 5.1 (Szemerédi's Regularity Lemma). *For $\varepsilon > 0$ and m , there exist n_0 and $M = M(\varepsilon, m)$ such that every graph on $n \geq n_0$ vertices admits an ε -regular partition V_0, V_1, \dots, V_r with $m \leq r \leq M$.*

This statement is often interpreted as “every large graph is random-like.” Note that the above theorem is only meaningful for dense graphs. Indeed, if $d(X, Y) \leq \varepsilon^3$, then $X' \subset X$, $Y' \subset Y$, $|X'| \geq \varepsilon|X|$ and $|Y'| \geq \varepsilon|Y|$ imply $d(X', Y') \leq \varepsilon$, thus X, Y is an ε -regular pair. If G has n vertices and $\varepsilon^4 n^2$ edges, consider a partition satisfying the first two properties. Then a pair V_i, V_j with $i, j \geq 1$ that is not ε -regular has at least $\varepsilon^3(n - \varepsilon n)^2/r^2$ edges. As an edge counts only for one such pair, there can be at most εr^2 pairs which are not ε -regular, thus the third property is automatically satisfied.

Given $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta > 0$, a graph G and its ε -regular partition $V(G) = V_0 \cup V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_r$, its (ε, δ) -*reduced graph* or *cluster graph* has vertex set v_1, \dots, v_r and $v_i v_j$ is an edge if and only if V_i, V_j is an ε -regular pair with density at least δ .

Now we state three lemmas that leverage the existence of an ε -regular partition. The last two are evidently relevant to the subgraph counting problem.

Lemma 2 (Embedding Lemma). *Let H be an r -partite graph with maximum degree Δ . Let G be a graph with vertex partition V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r such that $|V_i| \geq \frac{1}{\varepsilon}|V(H)|$. If every pair V_i, V_j is ε -regular and has density $d(V_i, V_j) \geq 2\varepsilon^{1/\Delta}$, then G contains a copy of H .*

Lemma 3 (Counting Lemma). *Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Let H be a graph on vertex set $\{1, 2, \dots, r\}$. Let G be a graph with vertex partition V_1, V_2, \dots, V_r such that V_i, V_j is ε -regular whenever $ij \in E(H)$. Then the number of copies $\mathcal{N}(H, G)$ of H is within $\varepsilon e(H)|V_1| \cdots |V_r|$ of*

$$\left(\prod_{ij \in E(H)} d(V_i, V_j) \right) \left(\prod_{i=1}^r |V_i| \right).$$

Lemma 4 (Removal Lemma). *For each $\varepsilon > 0$ and graph H , there exists $\delta > 0$ such that every n -vertex graph G with $\mathcal{N}(H, G) < \delta n^{|V(H)|}$ can be made H -free by removing less than εn^2 edges.*

Let us illustrate how the regularity lemma can be used for generalized Turán problems with a sketch of the proof of Theorem 2.2, which we restate here for convenience.

Theorem 2.2 (Gerbner and Palmer [100]). *Let F be a graph with $\chi(F) = k$. Then*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \text{ex}(n, H, K_k) + o(n^{|V(H)|}).$$

Proof sketch. Let G be an F -free graph, let ε be small enough, let m be large enough, and apply the Regularity Lemma. Consider the resulting ε -regular partition and remove all the edges within a V_i , all the edges incident to V_0 , all the edges between V_i and V_j if the pair V_i, V_j is not ε -regular or if its density is less than $\varepsilon + \delta$ for some δ . By choosing the constants carefully, we remove only $o(n^2)$ edges. Obviously, there are only $o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H that we deleted this way, as an edge is contained in $O(n^{|V(H)|-2})$ copies of H . Thus, if G had more copies of H than the bound in the theorem statement, then more than $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$ copies of H remain, and therefore there is a copy of K_k in the resulting graph. Observe that this implies the existence of a copy of K_k in the (ε, δ) -reduced graph. Applying the Embedding Lemma to the k corresponding parts, we obtain a copy of F in G , a contradiction. \square

5.2 Probabilistic method

Probabilistic tools are among the most powerful in extremal graph theory; see the monograph by Alon and Spencer [5] for a comprehensive overview. For generalized Turán problems, this method has found only limited use thus far. We will give examples where an upper and a lower bound is proved using probabilistic methods. We start with a more precise version of Proposition 2.3.

Proposition 5.2 (Alon and Shikhelman [4]). *Let H be an r -vertex graph. Then $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^r)$ if and only if F is not a subgraph of a blowup of H . Otherwise $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = O(n^{r-\varepsilon})$ for some $\varepsilon = \varepsilon(H, F) > 0$.*

Proof. If F is not a subgraph of any blowup of H , then the blowup $H[\lfloor n/r \rfloor]$ is F -free and has $\Omega(n^r)$ copies of H .

Now assume that F is contained in some blowup $H[t]$. Let G be an n -vertex F -free graph and put $m := \mathcal{N}(H, G)$. Consider a random partition of $V(G)$ into r partition classes V_1, \dots, V_r and let u_1, \dots, u_r be the vertices of H . Call a copy of H in G *nice* if the vertex corresponding to u_i is in V_i for all i . The expected number of nice copies of H is m/r^r , since each vertex u_i belongs to part V_i with probability $1/r$. Therefore, there is a partition of $V(G)$ with at least m/r^r nice copies of H . Fix such a partition and construct a r -uniform hypergraph \mathcal{H} on vertex set $V(G)$ whose hyperedges are nice copies of H in G . Observe that \mathcal{H} is necessarily r -partite. Let \mathcal{H}' be the complete r -uniform r -partite hypergraph with partition classes of size t . Observe that if \mathcal{H} contains \mathcal{H}' , then the vertices of \mathcal{H}' span a copy of $H[t]$ in G , which implies that G contains F , a contradiction. A result of Erdős [43] implies that the (hypergraph) Turán number of an r -uniform r -partite graph is $O(n^{r-\varepsilon})$. Therefore, \mathcal{H} has $O(n^{r-\varepsilon})$ hyperedges and so G has $m = r^r \cdot O(n^{r-\varepsilon}) = O(n^{r-\varepsilon})$ copies of H . \square

Using a standard first-moment argument, Gerbner and Palmer [100] gave the following general lower bound on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ (see Proposition 2.4).

Proposition 5.3. *Let F and H be graphs with $f := |V(F)|$, $h := |V(H)|$, such that $e(F) > e(H)$. Then*

$$\Omega\left(n^{h - \frac{e(H)(f-2)}{e(F)-e(H)}}\right) \leq \text{ex}(n, H, F).$$

Proof. Consider a random graph from $G(n, p)$ with edge probability

$$p = cn^{-\frac{f-2}{e(F)-e(H)}},$$

where $c = h^{h(e(F)-e(H))} + 1$. The expected number of copies of F is at most $n^f p^{e(F)}$ and the expected number of copies of H is at least $\left(\frac{n}{h}\right)^h p^{e(H)}$. Deleting an edge from each copy of F destroys at most $n^f p^{e(F)} n^{h-2}$ copies of H . In the resulting F -free graph, the expected number of copies of H is at least

$$\left(\frac{n}{h}\right)^h p^{e(H)} - n^f p^{e(F)} n^{h-2} = \Omega\left(n^{h - \frac{e(H)(f-2)}{e(F)-e(H)}}\right).$$

Therefore, there exists an n -vertex graph such that after removing an edge from each copy of F , we are left with at least $\Omega\left(n^{h - \frac{e(H)(f-2)}{e(F)-e(H)}}\right)$ copies of H . \square

5.3 Stability method

Sometimes in extremal combinatorics it is easier to prove a stability result than an exact result. In this situation, the structural information provided by stability may help to obtain an exact result for sufficiently large n . This idea is behind some of the most general exact results for generalized Turán problems. Here we discuss the (weakly) F -Turán-stable property which is a straightforward generalization of Erdős-Simonovits stability

(Theorem 1.11). Subsection 3.1 lists results on weakly F -Turán-stable graphs, so here we focus on how stability implies exact results, which was mostly omitted from the discussion in Subsection 3.1.

Let H and F be graphs with $\chi(H) < \chi(F) = k$. Recall

- (1) H is F -Turán-stable if any n -vertex F -free graph G with $\text{ex}(n, H, F) - o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H has edit distance $o(n^2)$ from $T(n, k - 1)$.
- (2) H is weakly F -Turán-stable if any n -vertex F -free graph G with $\text{ex}(n, H, F) - o(n^{|V(H)|})$ copies of H has edit distance $o(n^2)$ from some n -vertex complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T .
- (3) H is F -Turán-good if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T(n, k - 1))$ (for n sufficiently large).
- (4) H is weakly F -Turán-good if $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T)$ for some n -vertex complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T (for n sufficiently large).

The first stability result for generalized Turán problems is Theorem 2.7 due to Ma and Qiu [168] which states that if $r < k$, then K_r is F -Turán-stable for every graph F with $\chi(F) = k$. This theorem was used to obtain an exact result: K_r is F -Turán-good if F has a color-critical edge (Theorem 2.6).

Let us sketch a basic example of how to use stability to obtain an exact result. Assume that H is K_k -Turán-stable and let G be an n -vertex K_k -free graph with $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ copies of H . Pick a complete $(k - 1)$ -partite graph T with minimum edit distance from G . Let A_1, \dots, A_{k-1} be the partition classes of T and consider them as a vertex partition of G . The edit distance condition guarantees that in G , if a vertex has $\Omega(n)$ neighbors in its own partition class, then it has $\Omega(n)$ neighbors in every partition class. It can be shown that each vertex has degree at least roughly $\frac{k-2}{k-1}n$, and thus there are two types of vertices: those that are joined to all but $o(n)$ neighbors in every partition class besides their own, and those that have $\Omega(n)$ neighbors in every partition class. Now, let U denote the set of vertices in G that are incident to $\Theta(n)$ non-edges between partition classes, hence $|U| = o(n)$. If uv is an edge of G inside of a partition class, then u and v cannot have common neighbors in each other partition class because G is K_k -free. This implies that the vertices of an edge inside a partition class are both in U . Therefore, there are $\Omega(n|U|)$ missing edges between partition classes and at most $\binom{|U|}{2} = o(n|U|)$ edges inside partition classes. The number of copies of H containing an edge in any partition class is $o(n^{|V(H)|-2}|U|^2)$, while the number of copies of H that are removed from T by removing the missing edges between partition classes in G is $\Omega(n^{|V(H)|-1}|U|)$. Therefore, T contains more copies of H than G does, a contradiction. It is not hard to modify this proof to instead forbid a k -chromatic graph F with a color-critical edge. Therefore, we have our exact result: the Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$ is the extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$, for n sufficiently large.

An important advantage of the stability method is the following simple observation: if H is K_k -Turán-stable, then H is F -Turán-stable for every F with $\chi(F) = k$. Indeed,

in this case, $\text{ex}(n, K_k, F) = o(n^k)$ by Proposition 2.3, and so we can remove all copies of K_k by deleting $o(n^2)$ edges by the Removal Lemma (Lemma 4). In this way, the number of copies of H decreases by $o(n^{|V(H)|})$, and so the stability assumption still holds.

After the results of Ma and Qiu [168], there were a number of papers that used variants of this idea for several special cases. Hei, Hou, and Liu [131] applied it more broadly and Gerbner [82] obtained the following general formulation.

Theorem 5.4 (Gerbner [82]). *Let H and F be graphs such that $\chi(F) > \chi(H)$ and F has a color-critical edge. If H is weakly F -Turán-stable, then H is weakly F -Turán-good.*

This method also works if we go beyond the Turán graph. The first such result is due to Gerbner [77]. Observe that at most one edge can be added to a complete bipartite graph without creating a copy of F_2 (the bowtie graph, i.e., the 5-vertex friendship graph). Using a stability approach, it was shown that such a graph contains the maximum number of copies of the double-star $S_{a,b}$ among the n -vertex F_2 -free graphs. Later, Gerbner [81] formalized what stability gives in general, in the following way. Recall that $\sigma(F)$ denotes the minimum number of vertices whose deletion reduces the chromatic number of F .

Theorem 5.5 (Gerbner [82]). *Let H and F be graphs such that $k = \chi(F) > \chi(H)$ and H is weakly F -Turán-stable. Then for every n -vertex F -free graph G with $\mathcal{N}(H, G) = \text{ex}(n, H, F)$, there is a $(k - 1)$ -partition of $V(G)$ into A_1, \dots, A_{k-1} , a constant K_F and a set B of at most $(k - 1)(\sigma(F) - 1)K_F$ vertices such that every vertex of B is adjacent to $\Omega(n)$ vertices in each partition class, and every vertex of $A_i \setminus B$ is adjacent to $o(n)$ vertices in A_i and all but $o(n)$ vertices in A_j with $j \neq i$.*

This structural theorem was used in [81] and [86] to obtain several exact results. Note that the statement above can be strengthened by replacing the assumption $\mathcal{N}(H, G) = \text{ex}(n, H, F)$ with $\mathcal{N}(H, G) \geq \text{ex}(n, H, F) - o(n^{|V(H)|-1})$.

5.4 Flag algebras

Razborov's [189] *flag algebra method* is a formal calculus, applicable to problems in extremal combinatorics, that incorporates several often-used proof ideas. An advantage of this method is that it yields an almost fully-automated way to obtain non-trivial bounds for many problems. The method was used to solve generalized Turán problems in [110, 126, 155, 154, 178, 130]. Moreover, a basic flag algebra idea is to count induced subgraphs, which is highly relevant to the subject of this survey.

Razborov [189] formulated the theory of flag algebras in the language of finite model theory, where the models can be graphs, hypergraphs, directed graphs, tournaments, F -free graphs, etc. For a simpler introduction focused on graphs, see [34, 203]. We only give some definitions here, focusing only on graphs, and present some of the steps that distinguish the actual method. Further details can be found in many of the articles cited above in this subsection. There is a computer program specifically developed for flag algebra calculations by Vaughan, called *Flagmatic*. The newest version seems to

be at <https://github.com/retagaine/Flagmatic>, and was updated by Wang in 2019, in conjunction with their BsC thesis [203].

We begin by showing an elementary example of a generalized Turán proof where we count induced subgraphs. Afterwards, we give another elementary, but somewhat more complicated, example and then conclude with a more formal discussion.

Proposition 5.6 (Gerbner [78]). $\text{ex}(n, P_4, K_4) = \mathcal{N}(P_4, T(n, 3))$.

Proof. Let G be a K_4 -free graph on n vertices. An induced subgraph of G on four vertices is one of M_2, P_4, C_4, B_2, T_1 (where T_1 denotes the triangle with a pendant edge) or is M_2 -free and P_4 -free. Let $\mathcal{N}^*(H, G)$ denote the number of induced copies of H in G . It is easy to see that

$$\mathcal{N}(M_2, G) = 2\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, G) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(C_4, G) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(T_1, G) + \mathcal{N}^*(P_4, G) + \mathcal{N}^*(M_2, G)$$

and

$$\mathcal{N}(P_4, G) = 6\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, G) + 4\mathcal{N}^*(C_4, G) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(T_1, G) + \mathcal{N}^*(P_4, G).$$

This implies that $\mathcal{N}(P_4, G) \leq 2\mathcal{N}(M_2, G) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, G)$. The same equations hold for $T(n, 3)$ in place of G , and obviously $\mathcal{N}^*(T_1, T(n, 3))$, $\mathcal{N}^*(P_4, T(n, 3))$, and $\mathcal{N}^*(M_2, T(n, 3))$ are all 0. This implies $\mathcal{N}(P_4, T(n, 3)) = 2\mathcal{N}(M_2, T(n, 3)) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, T(n, 3))$.

As G is K_4 -free, we have $\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, G) = \mathcal{N}(B_2, G)$. A result in [121] implies that $2\mathcal{N}(B_2, G) \leq 2\mathcal{N}(B_2, T(n, 3))$ and a result in [78] gives $\text{ex}(n, M_2, K_4) = \mathcal{N}(M_2, T(n, 3))$. Putting this all together yields

$$\mathcal{N}(P_4, G) \leq 2\mathcal{N}(M_2, T(n, 3)) + 2\mathcal{N}^*(B_2, T(n, 3)) = \mathcal{N}(P_4, T(n, 3)). \quad \square$$

The main ingredient in the above proof is pure luck—everything lines up perfectly. The only induced subgraph remaining in the final inequality is B_2 , which happens to be the only graph where we had available the bound in the non-induced version. The number of copies of M_2 and P_4 are maximized by the same graph, which conveniently does not contain induced copies of the other three graphs.

It may seem unlikely to find a comparable solution for other problems, but this is not the case: Qian, Xie, and Ge [188] showed that $\text{ex}(n, P_4, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(P_4, T(n, k - 1))$ for $k \geq 5$ using a similar argument. Their proof is slightly more complicated in that they had to account for $\mathcal{N}(K_4, G)$, and in addition to equations for $\mathcal{N}(M_2, G)$ and $\mathcal{N}(P_4, G)$ they had to express $\mathcal{N}^*(K_3 \cup K_1, G)$ in terms of induced subgraphs on four vertices. They also showed that $\text{ex}(n, P_5, K_k) = \mathcal{N}(P_5, T(n, k - 1))$ by examining 13 different 5-vertex induced subgraph to create 13 equations. This suggests that even though other problems can be solved with this approach, it likely becomes impractical as the order of the graph we want to count increases. But there is another problem, even for smaller instances: how do we find which equations and inequalities we should use? In the above examples we only used linear equations and inequalities, but perhaps in other cases, quadratic or more complicated equations would help.

Flag algebras also suffer from the first problem, but they can handle the other main issue by a systematic approach, and they are amenable to computer assistance. Flag algebras are also much more general, both in the sense that they are designed to handle extremal problems beyond only graphs and in the sense that they are more versatile (e.g. enumeration of labeled objects). However, we also lose something, as they typically prove only asymptotic bounds. Usually, an exact bound can only be obtained afterward, using additional ideas. Moreover, the bounds are asymptotic in the sense that we bound the limit of a normalized version of the desired quantity, e.g., $\text{ex}(n, H, F) / \binom{n}{|V(H)|}$. This limit is 0 in several cases (if and only if F is a subgraph of a blowup of H by Proposition 2.3) in which case the flag algebra method cannot usually be applied.

The basic idea is to obtain inequalities as in the proof of Proposition 5.6 and apply optimization techniques to obtain a bound which may or may not be strong. We have to decide one parameter: in the proof of Proposition 5.6, to count copies of P_4 , we considered the 4-vertex induced subgraphs of G . However, we can consider larger subgraphs as well. In general, this approach may give better bounds, but at the cost of increased running time.

Let us describe another example. Bondy [12] (attributing this proof strategy to Goodman [106]) gave a counting proof of Mantel's theorem, $\text{ex}(n, K_3) \leq n^2/4$. Razborov identified this argument in [189] as one of the predecessors of the flag algebra method. Consider the 3-vertex induced subgraphs of an n -vertex triangle-free graph G . Denote by x the number of empty 3-sets, by y the number of 3-sets with exactly one edge, and by z the number of 3-sets with exactly two edges. Then $x + y + z = \binom{n}{3}$, $y + 2z = e(G)(n - 2)$ and $z = \sum_{v \in V(G)} \binom{d(v)}{2}$. Applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality gives $z \geq \frac{2e(G)^2}{n} - e(G)$. From here, a straightforward computation completes the proof.

We now modify this argument to illustrate deeper flag algebra ideas. We will establish an asymptotic version of Mantel's theorem: $\text{ex}(n, K_3) / \binom{n}{2} \rightarrow 1/2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This is the usual introductory example of flag algebras in the literature; see, e.g., [34]. Consider the 3-vertex induced subgraphs; each has at most 2 edges. Averaging over all 3-sets gives the bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3) / \binom{n}{2} \leq 2/3$. If we do the same for the 5-vertex induced subgraphs, we obtain the bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3) / \binom{n}{2} \leq 3/5$. Seven vertices gives the bound $\text{ex}(n, K_3) / \binom{n}{2} \leq 4/7$, and so on. Increasing the order of the induced subgraphs leads to better and better bounds. Unfortunately, this brute-force approach quickly becomes intractable. Let us hint at how to overcome this difficulty and obtain the desired bound. There is a property of Bondy's proof that we have not used in this asymptotic argument: in Bondy's third equation we actually count the copies of the cherry P_3 by first selecting its middle vertex. Essentially we are now examining partially-labeled graphs. Let $G(v)$ denote the graph G with vertex v labeled. Instead of $\binom{d(v)}{2}$ we measure the 'density' in $G(v)$ of the cherry with the middle vertex labeled. The key observation is that this density is the square of the density of the single edge with one vertex labeled. From here, by summing over all $v \in V(G)$ and applying the Cauchy-Schwartz inequality, one can relate the density of the (unlabeled) cherry to the edge density of G .

Now we are ready to introduce flag algebras more formally. We restrict ourselves to graphs, but these definitions can be given for other structures analogously. A *type of size k* is a labeled F -free graph on k vertices $\{1, \dots, k\}$. An embedding of a type σ to a graph H is an injective function $\theta : \{1, \dots, k\} \rightarrow V(H)$ that preserves edges and non-edges. A σ -*flag* is a pair (H, θ) where θ is an embedding of σ to H . Two σ -flags (H, θ) and (H', θ') are isomorphic if there is an isomorphism between H and H' that also preserves the labels. Let (G, θ) , (H_1, θ_1) and (H_2, θ_2) be σ -flags such that $|V(H_1)| + |V(H_2)| \leq |V(G)|$. Let us choose two disjoint sets X_1 and X_2 uniformly at random from $V(G) \setminus \text{Im}(\theta)$ such that $|X_i| = |V(H_i)| - k$ for $i = 1, 2$. The *density of (H_1, θ_1) and (H_2, θ_2) in G* is the probability that $X_i \cup \text{Im}(\theta)$ restricted to G , with the labels of θ , is isomorphic to (H_i, θ_i) for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$.

One can show that this joint density is approximately equal to the product of their individual densities. To be more precise, consider the formal linear combinations of σ -flags. Razborov [189] showed that after dealing with some technical details, we can define multiplication and obtain an algebra. Consequently, the function $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is extended to a function from this algebra to the non-negative reals.

We conclude with a few words about the remaining steps. Counting copies of a single flag gives a single inequality, and counting copies of several flags gives several inequalities. The goal is to find the best bound on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ by combining such inequalities. Unfortunately, this is infeasible unless the number of flags is very small, thus we can only hope to obtain *some* bound. This is done via semidefinite programming. The basic idea is to take the densities of the flags examined as a vector p and consider an arbitrary positive semidefinite matrix M . Calculating $p^T M p$, which is nonnegative, will give new bounds. Fortunately, the mature theory of semidefinite programming can be leveraged here.

5.5 Spectral methods

The *adjacency matrix* $A = A(G)$ of a graph G with vertices v_1, \dots, v_n is an $n \times n$ matrix, where the entry a_{ij} is 1 if $v_i v_j$ is an edge of G and 0 otherwise. The *spectrum* of a matrix is the set of its eigenvalues. The largest eigenvalue $\lambda(G)$ is of particular importance in extremal problems. A standard question in the subject of *spectral extremal graph theory* (see [184]) seeks to maximize the spectral invariants of the adjacency matrix of a graph G with specified conditions (e.g. F -free). As $\lambda(G)$ is at least the average degree, results for spectral versions of Turán-type problems can imply classical results. For example, Nikiforov proved the following *spectral Turán's theorem* which implies Turán's theorem.

Theorem 5.7 (Nikiforov [179]). *If G is K_k -free, then*

$$\lambda(G) \leq \sqrt{2 \frac{k-2}{k-1}} e(G).$$

Another connection is that the number of walks of length r in an n -vertex graph G is at most $n\lambda(G)^r$. The number of paths of length r is at most half of the number of walks of length r , since every path contains the two walks starting from each of the path's

two end-vertices. Thus, an upper bound on $\lambda(G)$ gives an upper bound on the number of paths of length r and so results that determine the maximum value of $\lambda(G)$ for an n -vertex F -free graph G immediately imply bounds on $\text{ex}(n, P_{r+1}, F)$.

This simple observation was first used by Győri, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122] to give an asymptotically sharp upper bound when F is a path via a spectral result of Nikiforov [183]. Then Gerbner, Győri, Methuku, and Vizer [92] gave an asymptotically sharp upper bound when F is an even cycle, using another result of Nikiforov [180]. Gerbner and Palmer [101] established asymptotics for non-bipartite F .

Proposition 5.8. *If F is k -chromatic with $k > 2$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, P_r, F) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(P_r, T(n, k - 1)).$$

Proof sketch. By the discussion above, the number of walks of length $r - 1$ in G is at most $\lambda(G)^{r-1}n$. Nikiforov [182] and independently Babai and Guiduli [114] proved that if F has chromatic number k and G is an n -vertex F -free graph, then $\lambda(G) \leq (1 - \frac{1}{k-1} + o(1))n$. Therefore, the number of paths P_r of length $r - 1$ is

$$\text{ex}(n, P_r, F) \leq \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{1}{k-1} + o(1)\right)^{r-1} n^r.$$

A greedy count of copies of P_r in the Turán graph $T(n, k - 1)$ completes the argument. \square

Brooks and Linz [15] applied spectral results to bound $R_r(n, F)$, which gives asymptotic bounds on $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$ (see Subsection 4.5 for more details). In particular, they used a result by Hofmeister [135] that $R_2(G) \leq n\lambda(G)^2$. This implies that if the extremal graph for the spectral Turán problem is $K_{k,n-k}$, or obtained from $K_{k,n-k}$ by adding each edge inside the smaller partition class (resulting in a complete split graph), or by adding each edge inside the smaller partition class and one more edge inside the larger partition class, then this graph is asymptotically extremal for $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$. Brooks and Linz [15] mention several examples, including paths, and a long list of examples can be found in [20].

5.6 Progressive induction

In this subsection we describe Simonovits' technique of progressive induction (see [193]). There are several theorems in extremal graph theory where ordinary induction almost works: it is easy to prove the induction step if n is larger than some threshold n_0 , but the base step for n_0 is not practical (or does not hold).

We begin with an informal description of the basic idea. Suppose that we want to prove that two functions satisfy $\alpha(n) \leq \beta(n)$, for n large enough. Imagine that we cannot prove the bound for $n = n_0$, so ordinary induction does not work. But we can prove the induction step: if n is large enough and we increase n by 1 (or 2, etc.), then α does not increase more than β does. The key is to argue a stronger induction step: if α increases by the same amount as β , then we have some additional information that can be used to prove the result we need. When we have these parts of an argument, it is easy to

combine them into a proof: for $n \leq n_0$, we may have $\alpha(n) > \beta(n)$, but the difference is bounded by a constant c depending on n_0 . As n increases, each time we apply the stronger (progressive) induction step, either α and β increase by the same amount and our additional information completes the proof, or their difference decreases by at least one. In that case, after c steps, we have $\alpha(n) \leq \beta(n)$.

Let us describe a simple concrete example. Simonovits used progressive induction to prove the Critical Edge Theorem (Theorem 1.3). For simplicity, we give the argument for C_5 only. The statement is that for n large enough, $\text{ex}(n, C_5) = e(T(n, 2)) = \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$, and moreover, the Turán graph is the unique extremal graph (the statement obviously does not hold for $n \leq 4$, and it is easy to see that it does not hold for $n = 5$). Let G be an n -vertex C_5 -free graph. If G does not contain a $K_{3,3}$, then we are done by the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem or the Kővári-Sós-Turán Theorem (as n is large enough). Therefore, G contains a $K_{3,3}$, call it K , which is necessarily an induced $K_{3,3}$. It is easy to see that any vertex in $G - K$ cannot be adjacent to vertices in both partition classes of K . The induction step will be from $n - 6$ to n : there are exactly 9 edges K , at most $3(n - 6)$ edges between K and $G - K$, and induction provides an upper bound on the number of edges in $G - K$. From here, a simple calculation shows that if the statement holds for $n - 6$, then it also holds for n . But this is not enough since we have no base step. Instead, it is necessary to examine the situation when we have equality. If, indeed, every vertex in $G - K$ is connected to 3 vertices of K , then the vertices of $G - K$ connected to the same partition class of K form an independent set, and thus $G - K$ is bipartite. Therefore, G itself is bipartite and thus has at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ edges.

Continuing, let n_0 be the minimum integer such that any n_0 -vertex graph with more than $\lfloor n_0^2/4 \rfloor$ edges contains a copy of $K_{3,3}$. Put

$$c := \max\{e(G) - \lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor : n \leq n_0 \text{ and } G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } C_5\text{-free graph}\}.$$

We claim that if $n \geq n_0 + 6c$, then every n -vertex C_5 -free graph G has at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ edges. Indeed, consider a graph G_1 on n vertices such that $n_0 \leq n \leq n_0 + 6$. If G_1 has more than $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor$ edges, then, by the definition of n_0 , G_1 contains a $K_{3,3}$, denoted K . If G_1 has $3n - 9$ edges incident to the vertices of K , then G_1 is bipartite by the argument in the previous paragraph. Otherwise, G_1 has at most $\lfloor n^2/4 \rfloor + c - 1$ edges, as the subgraph on the remaining $n - 6$ vertices has at most $\lfloor (n - 6)^2/4 \rfloor + c$ edges. Similarly, a graph G_2 on at most $n_0 + 12$ vertices has at most $c - 2$ edges more than the corresponding (bipartite) Turán graph, and so on. This difference in the number of edges eventually vanishes at $n_0 + 6c$, which completes the proof.

We now modify this proof to show that $\text{ex}(n, P_3, C_5) = \mathcal{N}(P_3, T(n, 2))$ (a result of Gerbner [78]) and $\text{ex}(n, C_4, C_5) = \mathcal{N}(C_4, T(n, 2))$ (a result of Gerbner and Palmer [101]). If n is large enough and G is an n -vertex C_5 -free graph, then again we can assume G contains an induced $K_{3,3}$, denoted K . Let $G - K$ denote the graph obtained by removing K . As before, no vertex in $G - K$ is connected to vertices in both partition classes of K . When counting edges, this meant that the number of edges incident to K was at most $3n - 9$. Moreover, when there are exactly $3n - 9$ such edges, then the entire graph G is

bipartite. Analogously, we must show that the number of copies of P_3 and C_4 containing at least one vertex of K is maximized by the Turán graph.

Let us begin with P_3 . There are 18 copies of P_3 in K . For each fixed vertex v in $G - K$, to count copies of P_3 containing v and two vertices in K , we must either pick two of the at most three neighbors of v in K or a neighbor of v in K and an edge inside K incident to that neighbor. In this way, we have at most $12(n - 6)$ such copies of P_3 . Now fix two vertices u and v in $G - K$. If u and v are adjacent and do not have a common neighbor in K , then we can count the copies of P_3 containing u , v and a vertex of K by picking a neighbor of u or v in G in at most 6 ways. If u and v are adjacent and have a common neighbor in K , then neither of them has a further neighbor in K , so there are 3 ways to obtain a copy of P_3 . If u and v are not adjacent, then we can choose a common neighbor to obtain a P_3 that contains them and a vertex of K in at most 3 ways. This implies that the number of such copies of P_3 is at most

$$3\binom{n-6}{2} + 3e(G - K) \leq 3\binom{n-6}{2} + 3e(T(n-6, 2)).$$

We have equality in each step above for the (bipartite) Turán graph (using the above result on the number of edges and that $n - 6$ is large enough). Furthermore, as when counting edges, we have equality if every vertex of $G - K$ is adjacent to 3 vertices in the same partition class of K . Therefore, G is bipartite and has at most $\mathcal{N}(P_3, T(n, 2))$ copies of P_3 . The same argument as when counting edges with constant

$$c := \max\{\mathcal{N}(P_3, G) - \mathcal{N}(P_3, T(n, 2)) : n \leq n_0 \text{ and } G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } C_5\text{-free graph}\}$$

will complete the proof.

For $\text{ex}(n, C_4, C_5)$, first count the copies of C_4 containing 3 vertices of K . For any vertex of $G - K$, we have to pick two of its at most three neighbors in K , and then one of their three common neighbors in K . Therefore, there are at most $9(n - 6)$ such 4-cycles. Now count the 4-cycles with two vertices u and v in $G - K$. If u and v are adjacent, they cannot be adjacent to distinct vertices in the same partition class of K . Therefore, they either have one common neighbor in the same partition class of K and no other neighbor in K (in which case no C_4 contains u , v , and two vertices from K) or they have neighbors in different partition classes of K and there are at most nine 4-cycles of this type. If u and v are not adjacent, then we have to pick two of their at most three common neighbors in K , so there are at most $3\binom{n-6}{2} + 6e(G - K)$ such 4-cycles. The number of copies of C_4 that contain one vertex from K is at most $3\mathcal{N}(P_3, G - K)$, as we have to choose a common neighbor of the end-vertices of a P_3 . There are 27 copies of C_4 inside K . As before, we have equality in each step for the (bipartite) Turán graph using the earlier results on $\text{ex}(n, C_5)$ and $\text{ex}(n, P_3, C_5)$. Repeating the argument above with constant

$$c := \max\{\mathcal{N}(C_4, G) - \mathcal{N}(C_4, T(n, 2)) : n \leq n_0 \text{ and } G \text{ is an } n\text{-vertex } C_5\text{-free graph}\}$$

will complete the argument.

As the above examples suggest, applying progressive induction becomes much more complicated as we count larger graphs. It is no surprise then that the first application was to count P_3 : Gerbner [78] showed that P_3 is F -Turán-good for any 3-chromatic graph with a critical edge. Gerbner [74] used progressive induction to show that if H is the complete k -partite graph $K_{b,\dots,b}$ and F is the complete $(k+1)$ -partite graph $K_{1,a,\dots,a}$ where $b > 2a-2$ and n is large enough, then $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, T(n, k))$, i.e., H is F -Turán-good.

Finally, having outlined the method, let us give more formal statements, beginning with the original very general version from [193].

Lemma 5 (Progressive Induction Lemma). *Let $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{A}_n$ be a set such that \mathcal{A}_n are disjoint finite subsets of \mathcal{A} . Let B be a condition or property defined on \mathcal{A} (i.e., the elements of \mathcal{A} may satisfy or not satisfy B). Let $\Delta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ be such that:*

- (a) *if $a \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfies B , then $\Delta(a) = 0$.*
- (b) *There is an n_0 such that if $n > n_0$ and $a \in \mathcal{A}_n$, then either a satisfies B or there exists $n/2 < n' < n$ and $a' \in \mathcal{A}_{n'}$ such that $\Delta(a) < \Delta(a')$.*

Then there exists N such that for every $n > N$, every element of \mathcal{A}_n satisfies B .

Next is a version tailored to generalized Turán problems, based on [74]. For a graph G and a subgraph G' , let $\mathcal{N}_I(H, G, G')$ denote the number of copies of H in G that contain at least one vertex from G' .

Lemma 6. *Fix graphs F and H and let \mathcal{G}_n be a family of n -vertex F -free graphs such that for all $G_1, G_2 \in \mathcal{G}_n$ we have $\mathcal{N}(H, G_1) = \mathcal{N}(H, G_2)$. Suppose there exists n_0 such that for all $n \geq n_0$, every n -vertex extremal graph G for $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ has a subgraph G' with $|V(G')| \leq n/2$ that is also a subgraph of some $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$ and $\mathcal{N}_I(H, G, G') \leq \mathcal{N}_I(H, G_n, G')$, with equality only if $G \in \mathcal{G}_n$.*

Then for n large enough, $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, G_n)$ for some $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$. Moreover, every extremal graph belongs to \mathcal{G}_n .

Lemma 6 follows as corollary from Lemma 5, but can be proved directly. For small n , there may be n -vertex graphs that have more copies of H than any $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_n$. However, this means a surplus of only constant number of copies of H , and this surplus decreases for $n \geq n_0$ and eventually vanishes. Moreover, this decrease continues and for even larger n a graph not in \mathcal{G}_n cannot be extremal.

5.7 Algebraic constructions

Often in extremal graph theory, constructions are simple like the Turán graph, and the difficult part of a problem is to prove a matching upper bound. In some cases, however, sophisticated constructions, often algebraic in nature, give the best known lower bounds. Recall Theorem 1.6 that $\text{ex}(n, K_{2,t}) = (1+o(1)) \frac{\sqrt{t-1}}{2} n^{3/2}$. Let us describe the construction, due to Füredi [64], which we term the *Füredi graph*.

Let $q_t(n)$ denote the largest prime power such that $t-1$ divides $q_t(n)-1$ and $\frac{q_t(n)^2-1}{t-1} \leq n$. It is well-known that for sufficiently large n , there exists such a $q_t(n)$ with $\sqrt{nt}-n^{1/3} < q_t(n)$. Therefore, we can construct a graph on $\frac{q_t(n)^2-1}{t-1}$ vertices instead of n vertices, and this does not change the asymptotics of the resulting lower bound.

Consider a $q_t(n)$ -element field and let h be an element of order $t-1$. Then the elements $h, h^2, h^3, \dots, h^{t-1}$ form a multiplicative subgroup. Two pairs of elements (a, b) and (a', b') are considered equivalent if $a = h^p a'$ and $b = h^p b'$ for some p . This defines $\frac{q_t(n)^2-1}{t-1}$ equivalence classes which will be the vertex set of the Füredi graph. The equivalence class of (a, b) is joined by an edge to the equivalence class of (c, d) if and only if $ac + bd = h^p$ for some p . Note that this definition allows some vertices to be adjacent to themselves, but these loops are simply ignored. The resulting simple graph is the *Füredi graph* $F(n, t)$.

Füredi [64] showed that any vertex of $F(n, t)$ has degree $q_t(n)$ or $q_t(n) - 1$, and any two vertices have exactly $t - 1$ or $t - 2$ common neighbors. This completes the proof of the lower bound in Theorem 1.6. It is not difficult to give an asymptotic count of the number of copies of any tree T in the Füredi graph: pick an ordering of the vertices of T such that each vertex but the first has exactly one neighbor before itself. There are n ways to pick the first vertex, and each further vertex is picked as a neighbor of an earlier vertex; there are at least $q_t(n) - |V(T)|$ and at most $q_t(n)$ ways to make this selection. It is somewhat more complicated to count cycles C_r . If we pick the vertices v_1, \dots, v_r in a cyclic order, we have to be careful when picking v_{r-1} : all but $o(q_t(n))$ of the neighbors of v_{r-2} have $t - 1$ common neighbors with v_1 .

Gerbner [76] introduced the following definition: we say that a graph H is *t-Füredi-good* if $\text{ex}(n, H, K_{2,t}) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(H, F(n, t))$. Using this notion, Theorem 1.6 states that K_2 is *t-Füredi-good* for any $t \geq 2$. Alon and Shikhelman [4] showed that K_3 is *t-Füredi-good*, Gerbner and Palmer [100] showed that C_r and P_r are *t-Füredi-good*, and Gerbner [76] characterized the *t-Füredi-good* trees.

Note that even if H is *t-Füredi-good*, its extremal graph may be very different from the Füredi graph. Indeed, P_3 is 2-Füredi-good, i.e., $\text{ex}(n, P_3, C_4) = (1 + o(1))\mathcal{N}(P_3, F(n, 2)) = (1 + o(1))\frac{1}{2}n^2$, but Gerbner [78] showed that $\text{ex}(n, P_3, C_4) = \mathcal{N}(P_3, F^*)$ where F^* is a universal vertex and a matching of size $\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ on the remaining vertices (e.g. for n odd, F^* is a friendship graph).

Let us continue with $K_{s,t}$ -free graphs. A lower bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t})$ for $t > (s-1)!$ is given by an algebraic construction called the *projective norm graph*. Let q be a prime power and consider a finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s-1}}$. The \mathbb{F}_q -norm of an element A is $N(A) = A \cdot A^q \cdot A^{q^2} \cdots A^{q^{t-2}}$. The *projective norm graph* $NG(q, s)$ has vertex set $\mathbb{F}_{q^{s-1}} \times \mathbb{F}_q^*$, where \mathbb{F}_q^* is \mathbb{F}_q with 0 removed. Two vertices (A, a) and (B, b) are joined by an edge if $N(A+B) = ab$. Alon, Rónyai, and Szabó [3] showed that this graph is $K_{s, (s-1)!+1}$ -free. There are $q^{s-1}(q-1)$ vertices and, as before, results proved for $NG(q, s)$ give an asymptotic result for general n , due to the high density of prime powers among integers. Every vertex of $NG(q, s)$ has degree $q^{s-1} - 1$ or $q^{s-1} - 2$, which gives a lower bound on the number of edges.

Unlike the Füredi graph, it is often difficult to estimate the number of copies of

certain subgraphs of $NG(q, s)$. For example, it is not known whether $NG(q, s)$ contains a $K_{s, (s-1)!}$. However, as stated in Section 4.7, Alon and Pudlák [2] showed the following pseudo-random property of projective norm graphs: any graph H of maximum degree less than $(s + 1)/2$, satisfies $\mathcal{N}(H, NG(q, s)) = \Theta(q^{s|V(H)|-e(H)})$. This property was used by Alon and Shikhelman [4] to give a lower bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{s,t})$ when $r \leq s + 1$ (and of course $t > (s - 1)!$). They also gave a lower bound¹ on $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t})$ when $a \leq b < (s + 1)/2$. Bayer, Mészáros, Rónyai, and Szabó [7] extended the pseudo-random property to additional graphs H . Zhang and Ge [212] used another algebraic construction to prove that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, K_{2,t}) = \Theta(n^{3/2})$ if $t \geq 2r - 3 \geq 3$.

We conclude this subsection by briefly summarizing a random algebraic construction due to Bukh [18]. This gives another $K_{s,t}$ -free graph with $\Theta(n^{2-1/s})$ edges when t is large enough compared to s . The threshold on t is larger than $(s - 1)! + 1$, so we do not immediately obtain a better bound on $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t})$ (although a variant of this method was used in [19] to obtain an improvement). It turns out that this construction is particularly suitable for generalized Turán problems. Ma, Yuan, and Zhang [169] showed that the above pseudo-random property extends to every graph H for this construction. More precisely, they showed that for any graph H , if t is large enough compared to s and $e(H)$, then $\text{ex}(n, H, K_{s,t}) = \Omega(n^{|V(H)|-e(H)/s})$.

Let $f(x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s)$ be a symmetric polynomial over \mathbb{F}_q with $2s$ variables and let \mathcal{P} denote the family of such polynomials with degree at most $2d$. Select a polynomial f uniformly randomly from \mathcal{P} and define G_f as the graph with vertex set \mathbb{F}_q^s , where (x_1, \dots, x_s) is joined by an edge to (y_1, \dots, y_s) if and only if $f(x_1, \dots, x_s, y_1, \dots, y_s) = 0$. From here, the goal is to show that G_f contains many copies of H , but few copies of $K_{s,t}$. A key lemma states that for every set U of pairs of vertices, if $|U|$ is small enough compared to q and s , then the probability that every pair in U forms an edge of G_f is $q^{-|U|}$. This statement does not depend on the structure of H , but it can be used to calculate the expected number of copies of H .

Let f_1, \dots, f_s be polynomials of degree at most d in s variables over \mathbb{F}_q and let $W = \{y \in \mathbb{F}_q^s : f_1(y) = f_2(y) = \dots = f_s(y) = 0\}$. Another key lemma states that there exists a C such that $|W| \leq C$ or $|W| \geq q - C\sqrt{q}$. Let S be a set of s vertices and consider the polynomials $f(u, \cdot)$ with $u \in S$. Then the common neighborhood of the vertices of S has order at most C or at least $q - C\sqrt{q}$. This gives an upper bound on the number of s -sets with common neighborhood of order greater than C (i.e., at least $q - C\sqrt{q}$). From here we can remove a vertex from each such s -set to obtain a $K_{s,C+1}$ -free graph. Showing that there are still many copies of H completes the probabilistic argument.

6 Open problems

We conclude this survey with open problems. First, the non-degenerate case, i.e., when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$. In Section 2.1, we saw that in this case it is permitted to have

¹We note that the conditions are incorrectly stated in their paper [4].

graphs H and F such that $\chi(H) \geq \chi(F)$, but we are not aware of any results besides those for $\text{ex}(n, C_{2r+1}, C_{2k+1})$ and consequences of Theorem 2.2. There are many individual open problems of this flavor. For example, the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, C_5, B_k)$ is unknown (the asymptotics follow from Theorem 2.2 and the value of $\text{ex}(n, C_5, C_3)$).

Recall that a graph H is F -Turán-good if, for n large enough, $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is attained by the Turán graph with $\chi(F) - 1$ partition classes and H is weakly F -Turán-good if, for n large enough, $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is attained by an n -vertex complete $(\chi(F) - 1)$ -partite graph. The following concrete conjecture on Turán-goodness appears in [111] (see Subsection 3.2 for more details).

Conjecture 6.1 (Grzesik, Györi, Salia, and Tompkins [111]). *Any bipartite graph H with diameter at most 4 is K_3 -Turán-good.*

Let $k(H)$ be the minimum threshold such that if $k \geq k(H)$, then H is K_k -Turán good. It is known (see [175]) that $\chi(H) + 1 < k(H) \leq 300|V(H)|^9$ for all H . Similar thresholds exist (see [93]) for the related notions of weakly K_k -Turán-good and (weakly) K_k -Turán-stable. It would be interesting to give improvements to any of these four thresholds.

The threshold $k(H)$ can be viewed as a statement that among the n -vertex K_k -free graphs, the graph that maximizes the number of copies of H also maximizes the number of copies of K_2 , provided that k and n are large enough. One can ask more generally: given H , is there a threshold $r(H)$ such that $\text{EX}(n, H, F) \cap \text{EX}(n, K_2, F) \neq \emptyset$ provided $\chi(F) > r(H)$ and n is large enough? If this does not hold for every H , does it hold for some $H \neq K_2$? Or are there graphs $H \neq H'$ such that $\text{EX}(n, H, F) \cap \text{EX}(n, H', F) \neq \emptyset$ provided $\chi(F)$ is above some threshold and n is large enough? Or does this hold if we prescribe some other property of F instead of, or in addition to, the assumption on $\chi(F)$?

There are examples of graphs H with chromatic number $k - 1$ that are not weakly K_k -Turán-good [121, 111, 87]. However, in such cases, we do not actually know the value of $\text{ex}(n, H, K_k)$, only a construction that contains more copies of H than any $(k - 1)$ -partite graph. Each of these constructions is an unbalanced blowup of some fixed graph. Is an extremal graph always a blowup of some fixed graph G_0 ? If so, what can we say about $|V(G_0)|$, for example?

What happens when F is not a clique? For F with a color-critical edge we have:

Conjecture 6.2 (Gerbner [87]). *If H is weakly K_k -Turán-good, then H is weakly F -Turán-good for every k -chromatic graph F with a color-critical edge.*

The above conjecture is true asymptotically using the Removal Lemma, but the converse does not hold.

The following problem appears in Section 3: characterize the pairs of graphs H, F such that

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \mathcal{N}(H, K_{\sigma(F)-1} + T(n - \sigma(F) + 1, k - 1)),$$

where $\sigma(F)$ is the minimum number of vertices needed to delete from F to obtain a graph with a smaller chromatic number. This property can be seen as a variant of Turán-

goodness. By a theorem in [86], it is known that for every graph F there exists a graph H that satisfies the equality, but the problem is wide open in general.

Recall that the *treewidth* $\text{tw}(G)$ of a graph G is one less than the size of the largest clique in a chordal graph containing G with the smallest clique number. Gao, Wu, and Wue [72] showed that if a graph F satisfies $\text{tw}(F) \leq r - 1$, then $\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = O(n^{r-1})$. They also posed the following question (reformulated as a conjecture here).

Conjecture 6.3 (Gao, Wu, and Wue [72]). *If F is a graph with $\chi(F) = r \geq 3$ and $\text{tw}(F) \geq r$, then*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, F) = \Omega(n^{r-1}).$$

Now the degenerate case, i.e., when $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n^{|V(H)|})$. Alon and Shikhelman [4] characterized the graphs F with $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = O(n)$ (see Section 4.1). There are similar characterizations for graphs besides K_3 ; namely, forests, cycles, and $K_{2,t}$. What about other graphs, particularly larger cliques? We can also replace linearity with other functions of n . For example, which graphs F force $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = O(n^2)$ and which force $\text{ex}(n, K_3, F) = o(n^2)$? In general, for any H , we know the graphs F that demand $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = o(n)$ (which are exactly the graphs F with $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = O(1)$ by results of Gerbner and Methuku [94]).

There are examples of graphs H and F for which $\text{ex}(n, H, F) \neq \Theta(n^\alpha)$ for any α . For example, in [4] it was shown that $n^{2-o(1)} \leq \text{ex}(n, K_3, B_k) \leq o(n^2)$ for $k \geq 2$. Let T_i denote the graph obtained from K_3 by attaching i pendant edges to one of the vertices. Gerbner [78] showed that $n^{2+i-o(1)} \leq \text{ex}(n, T_i, B_k) \leq o(n^{2+i})$. For which α do we have graphs H and F such that $n^{\alpha-o(1)} \leq \text{ex}(n, H, F) \leq o(n^\alpha)$? Recall the following generalization of the *rational exponents conjecture* from Subsection 2.1.

Conjecture 2.9. *For every rational $\alpha \geq 1$, there are graphs H and F such that*

$$\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^\alpha).$$

Spiro and English [39] propose the following improvement of Theorem 2.10.

Conjecture 6.4 (Spiro and English [39]). *If H is a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 1$, then every rational in the interval*

$$\left[|V(H)| - \frac{e(H)}{\Delta}, |V(H)| \right]$$

is realizable for H .

There are further conjectures for classical Turán numbers where the analogous statement in the generalized setting is worth investigating. Another is the Erdős-Sós conjecture. It was shown in [95] that if each subtree of a k -vertex tree T satisfies the Erdős-Sós conjecture (Conjecture 1.10), then $\text{ex}(n, K_r, T) \leq \frac{1}{k-1} \binom{k-1}{r} n$. However, since one of the conjectured nearly extremal graphs for $\text{ex}(n, T)$ is $\lfloor \frac{n}{k-1} \rfloor K_{k-1}$, which contains many copies of K_r , it might actually be easier to prove the bound for $r > 2$. Indeed, for $r = k - 1$ it is trivial. We make the following conjecture.

Conjecture 6.5. *Let T be a k -vertex tree let $r \geq 3$. For $n = a(k - 1) + b$ with $b < k - 1$,*

$$\text{ex}(n, K_r, T) = \mathcal{N}(K_r, aK_{k-1} \cup K_b).$$

Spiro and English [39] pose the question whether for any graph H and finite family of graphs \mathcal{F} that contains a forest that either $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{F}) = \Theta(n^t)$ for some integer t or $\text{ex}(n, H, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all sufficiently large n .

We now consider problems when we fix one of the graphs, but the other can be (almost) any graph. What can we say in this case? When the counting graph H is fixed, we do not have a full characterization of the order of magnitude, even if H is a single edge. However, for some graphs H we may be able to say something about the relationship between $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ and $\text{ex}(n, F)$. For H a matching M_r , we have $\text{ex}(n, M_r, F) = \Theta(\text{ex}(n, F)^r)$, moreover, $\text{ex}(n, M_r, F) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{r!} \text{ex}(n, F)^r$ if F is a tree or not a forest, due to [78, 84]. For $H = S_r$, the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, S_r, F)$ was almost completely determined in [66]. What about other graphs H ?

Consider now the case where F is fixed. If $F = K_r$ and H is K_r -free, then blowups of H are also K_r -free, thus by Proposition 2.3 we have that $\text{ex}(n, H, F) = \Theta(n^{|V(H)|})$. The case $F = tK_r$ is more complicated, but Gerbner [83] determined the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, tK_r)$ for every H . Letzter [151] obtained a similar characterization for trees F . What about other graphs F ?

There are other phenomena worth investigating. Recall that Chase [26] showed that $\text{ex}(n, K_r, S_k)$ is given by vertex-disjoint copies of K_{k-1} and a smaller clique, assuming $r > 2$. Depending on n and r , it is possible that the remaining smaller clique has order less than r , thus there may exist vertices and edges that are not in any copy of K_r . More generally, when do we have that a graph in $\text{EX}(n, H, F)$ contains some *useless* vertices or edges, i.e., vertices or edges that are not contained in any copy of H ? Even more generally, we can examine useless copies of some graph H' , i.e., copies of H' that do not share any vertex with any copy of H .

Finally, let us state more specific questions. Some of the fundamental problems regarding $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ are when H and F come from the same family of graphs. If H and F are both cliques, then Zykov's theorem determines $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ exactly. If H and F are both cycles, the order of magnitude of $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ is determined in [105] unless $H = K_3$. As we have described in Subsection 4.4, $\text{ex}(n, K_3, C_5) = \Theta(n^{3/2})$, and the upper bound, after several papers, is quite close to the lower bound. We know that C_{2r} is C_{2k+1} -Turán-good [74] which gives an exact result. There are other exact and asymptotic results for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, C_k)$ when k or r are small. Perhaps the exact value of $\text{ex}(n, C_6, C_8)$ is the natural next question. Recall that $\text{ex}_{\text{bip}}(n, C_6, C_8)$ was determined in [116].

Just like cycles, the first open case for paths is $\text{ex}(n, P_6, P_8)$. The asymptotics of $\text{ex}(n, P_r, P_k)$ were determined in [122]. Moreover, they found the exact value in several cases and, for $k \geq 5$ odd, they conjectured the extremal graph for $\text{ex}(n, P_{k-1}, P_k)$. Let us describe their construction. Begin with a clique $K_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$ and identify one of its vertices v . Add m new independent vertices each adjacent to v and then $n - m - \lfloor k/2 \rfloor$ further independent vertices adjacent to all vertices of the clique $K_{\lfloor k/2 \rfloor}$ except for v . The number

of copies of P_{k-1} depends on m and so let $H_{n,k}$ be such a graph that maximizes the number of copies of P_{k-1} . They proved this statement for $k = 5$ and $k = 7$ which leaves the following:

Conjecture 6.6 (Győri, Salia, Tompkins, and Zamora [122]). *For $k \geq 9$ odd, the extremal number $\text{ex}(n, P_{k-1}, P_k)$ is attained by the graph $H_{n,k}$.*

For stars and matchings, the problem is trivial. For $\text{ex}(n, K_{a,b}, K_{s,t})$, there are several open cases. We highlight the case $1 < s < a \leq b < t$, where knowledge is the most limited. In this range, we have the upper bound $O(n^s)$ and there are several lower bounds in different ranges of a, b, s, t , each of order of magnitude at most $n^{3/2}$.

We do not make an exhaustive list the remaining open problems on $\text{ex}(n, H, F)$ for H and F from distinct graph classes where there are no prior results. However, we note that there are hardly any results for $\text{ex}(n, K_{s,t}, C_{2k})$ when $s, t > 2$, for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, K_{s,t})$ when $s \neq 2$, for $\text{ex}(n, C_r, K_k)$ when $k > 3$, or for $\text{ex}(n, P_r, K_{s,t})$ when $s > 2$.

Acknowledgments

The work of the first author was supported by the National Research, Development and Innovation Office - NKFIH under the grant KKP-133819. The work of the second author was supported by a grant from the Simons Foundation #712036.

References

- [1] A. Ali, I. Gutman, E. Milovanovic, and I. Milovanovic. Sum of powers of the degrees of graphs: Extremal results and bounds. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem*, 80:5–84, 2018.
- [2] N. Alon and P. Pudlák. Constructive lower bounds for off-diagonal Ramsey numbers. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 122(1):243–251, 2001.
- [3] N. Alon, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs: variations and applications. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 76(2):280–290, 1999.
- [4] N. Alon and C. Shikhelman. Many T copies in H -free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 121:146–172, 2016.
- [5] N. Alon and J. H. Spencer. *The probabilistic method*. John Wiley & Sons, 2016.
- [6] J. Balogh, S. Jiang, and H. Luo. On the maximum number of r -cliques in graphs free of complete r -partite subgraphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 348(8):114508, 2025.
- [7] T. Bayer, T. Mészáros, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Exploring projective norm graphs. *Acta Math. Univ. Comenianae*, 88(3):437–441, 2019.
- [8] C. Beke and O. Janzer. On the generalized Turán problem for odd cycles. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 38(3):2416–2428, 2024.

- [9] B. Bollobás. *Modern graph theory*, volume 184 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1998. doi:10.1007/978-1-4612-0619-4.
- [10] B. Bollobás. *Extremal graph theory*. Courier Corporation, 2004.
- [11] B. Bollobás and E. Gyóri. Pentagons vs. triangles. *Discrete Mathematics*, 308(19):4332–4336, 2008.
- [12] J. A. Bondy. Counting subgraphs a new approach to the Caccetta–Häggkvist conjecture. *Discrete Mathematics*, 165:71–80, 1997.
- [13] J. A. Bondy and M. Simonovits. Cycles of even length in graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 16(2):97–105, 1974.
- [14] B. Borovicanin, K. C. Das, B. Furtula, and I. Gutman. Bounds for Zagreb indices. *MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem*, 78(1):17–100, 2017.
- [15] G. Brooks and W. Linz. Some exact and asymptotic results for hypergraph Turán problems in ℓ_2 -norm. arXiv:2310.09379, 2023.
- [16] J. I. Brown and A. Sidorenko. The inducibility of complete bipartite graphs. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 18(6):629–645, 1994.
- [17] V. Bruyere and H. Mélot. Turán graphs, stability number, and Fibonacci index. In *International Conference on Combinatorial Optimization and Applications*, pages 127–138. Springer, 2008.
- [18] B. Bukh. Random algebraic construction of extremal graphs. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 47(6):939–945, 2015.
- [19] B. Bukh. Extremal graphs without exponentially small bicliques. *Duke Mathematical Journal*, 173(11):2039–2062, 2024.
- [20] J. Byrne, D. N. Desai, and M. Tait. A general theorem in spectral extremal graph theory. arXiv:2401.07266, 2024.
- [21] A. Calbet and R. Goenka. The maximum number of triangles in $K_{1,s,t}$ -free graphs. arXiv:2508.10611, 2025.
- [22] S. Cambie, R. de Joannis de Verclos, and R. J. Kang. Regular Turán numbers and some Gan–Loh–Sudakov-type problems. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 102(1):67–85, 2023.
- [23] Y. Caro and R. Yuster. A Turán type problem concerning the powers of the degrees of a graph. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 7:#R47, 2000.
- [24] D. Chakraborti and D. Q. Chen. Exact results on generalized Erdős–Gallai problems. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 120:103955, 2024.
- [25] T.-W. Chao and Z. Dong. A simple proof of the Gan–Loh–Sudakov conjecture. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 29(3):#P3.59, 2022.
- [26] Z. Chase. The maximum number of triangles in a graph of given maximum degree. *Advances in Combinatorics*, 2020.
- [27] Y.-H. Chen, J.-B. Yang, L.-T. Yuan, and P. Zhang. Exact generalized Turán numbers for even linear forests. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(7):113974, 2024.

- [28] L. H. Clark, R. C. Entringer, J. E. McCanna, and L. A. Székely. Extremal problems for local properties of graphs. *Australasian J. Combinatorics*, 4:25–32, 1991.
- [29] D. Conlon, J. Fox, B. Sudakov, and Y. Zhao. The regularity method for graphs with few 4-cycles. *Journal of the London Mathematical Society*, 104(5):2376–2401, 2021.
- [30] Y. Cui, X. Duan, and W. Yang. Dependent random choice and generalized Turán numbers of even wheels. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 9:444–450, 2020.
- [31] J. Cutler, J. D. Nir, and A. J. Radcliffe. Supersaturation for subgraph counts. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 38(3):65, 2022.
- [32] J. Cutler and A. J. Radcliffe. Extremal problems for independent set enumeration. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 18(1):#P169, 2011.
- [33] A. Dainyak. Estimates of the number of independent sets in graphs with a fixed independence number. *Moscow University Computational Mathematics and Cybernetics*, 33(2):97–100, 2009.
- [34] M. K. de Carli Silva, F. M. de Oliveira Filho, and C. M. Sato. Flag algebras: a first glance. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskd. (5)*, 17(3):193–195, 2016.
- [35] S. De Winter, F. Lazebnik, and J. Verstraëte. An extremal characterization of projective planes. *Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 15:#R143, 2008.
- [36] X. Duan, J. Wang, and W. Yang. The maximum number of triangles in graphs without large linear forests. [arXiv:1812.09089](https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.09089), 2018.
- [37] Q. Dubroff, B. Gunby, B. Narayanan, and S. Spiro. Clique supersaturation. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 39(3):1787–1805, 2025.
- [38] S. English, A. Halfpap, and R. A. Krueger. Rational exponents for cliques. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 39(2):1246–1268, 2025.
- [39] S. English and S. Spiro. Rational Exponents for General Graphs. [arXiv:2506.19061](https://arxiv.org/abs/2506.19061), June 2025.
- [40] P. Erdős. On sequences of integers no one of which divides the product of two others and on some related problems. *Isvestia Nauchno-Issl. Inst. Mat. i Meh. Tomsk*, 2:74–82, 1938.
- [41] P. Erdős. On the number of complete subgraphs contained in certain graphs. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl*, 7(3):459–464, 1962.
- [42] P. Erdős. Extremal problems in graph theory. In *Theory of Graphs and its Applications (Proceedings of the Symposium in Smolenice)*. Publishing House of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, Prague, 1964.
- [43] P. Erdős. On extremal problems of graphs and generalized graphs. *Israel Journal of Mathematics*, 2(3):183–190, 1964.
- [44] P. Erdős. Some recent results on extremal problems in graph theory (Results). In *Theory of Graphs, International Symposium, Rome*, pages 118–123, 1966.

- [45] P. Erdős. On some new inequalities concerning extremal properties of graphs. In *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, pages 77–81, 1968.
- [46] P. Erdős. Problems and results in graph theory and combinatorial analysis. *Proc. British Combinatorial Conj., 5th*, pages 169–192, 1975.
- [47] P. Erdős. On some problems in graph theory, combinatorial analysis and combinatorial number theory. *Graph Theory and Combinatorics (Cambridge, 1983)*, Academic Press, London, pages 1–17, 1984.
- [48] P. Erdős. Two problems in extremal graph theory. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 2(1):189–190, 1986.
- [49] P. Erdős. Problems and results in combinatorial analysis and graph theory. *Annals of Discrete Mathematics*, 38:81–92, 1988.
- [50] P. Erdős. Some of my favourite problems in various branches of combinatorics. *Le Matematiche*, 47(2):231–240, 1992.
- [51] P. Erdős, Z. Füredi, R. J. Gould, and D. S. Gunderson. Extremal graphs for intersecting triangles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 64(1):89–100, 1995.
- [52] P. Erdős and T. Gallai. On maximal paths and circuits of graphs. *Acta Mathematica Academiae Scientiarum Hungarica*, 10(3-4):337–356, 1959.
- [53] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. In *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.* Citeseer, 1965.
- [54] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Some extremal problems in graph theory. *Col. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 4:377–390, 1969.
- [55] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Compactness results in extremal graph theory. *Combinatorica*, 2(3):275–288, 1982.
- [56] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Cube-supersaturated graphs and related problems. *Progress in graph theory (Waterloo, Ont., 1982)*, pages 203–218, 1984.
- [57] P. Erdős and A. H. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc*, 52(1):1087–1091, 1946.
- [58] B. Ergemlidze, E. Gyóri, A. Methuku, and N. Salia. A note on the maximum number of triangles in a C_5 -free graph. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 90(3):227–230, 2019.
- [59] B. Ergemlidze and A. Methuku. Triangles in C_5 -free graphs and hypergraphs of girth six. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 99:26–39, 2022.
- [60] X. Fang, X. Zhu, and Y. Chen. Generalized Turán problem for a path and a clique. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 127:104137, 2025.
- [61] G. Fiorini. *On some extremal properties of bipartite graphs of large girth*. PhD thesis, University of Delaware, 1993.
- [62] G. Fiorini and F. Lazebnik. On a bound for the maximum number of C_8 's in a 4-cycle free bipartite graph. *Congressus Numerantium*, pages 191–197, 1994.

- [63] G. Fiorini and F. Lazebnik. An extremal characterization of the incidence graphs of projective planes. *Acta Applicandae Mathematica*, 52:257–260, 1998.
- [64] Z. Füredi. New asymptotics for bipartite Turán numbers. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 75(1):141–144, 1996.
- [65] Z. Füredi, M. X. Goemans, and D. J. Kleitman. On the maximum number of triangles in wheel-free graphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 3(1):63–75, 1994.
- [66] Z. Füredi and A. Kündgen. Moments of graphs in monotone families. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 51(1):37–48, 2006.
- [67] Z. Füredi and L. Özkahya. On 3-uniform hypergraphs without a cycle of a given length. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 216:582–588, 2017.
- [68] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits. The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems. In *Erdős Centennial*, pages 169–264. Springer, 2013.
- [69] Z. Füredi and D. B. West. Ramsey theory and bandwidth of graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 17(3):463–471, 2001.
- [70] W. Gan, P.-S. Loh, and B. Sudakov. Maximizing the number of independent sets of a fixed size. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 24(3):521–527, 2015.
- [71] J. Gao, X. Liu, J. Ma, and O. Pikhurko. Phase transition of degenerate Turán problems in p -norms. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 39(3):1712–1736, 2025.
- [72] J. Gao, Z. Wu, and Y. Xue. Counting cliques without generalized theta graphs. [arXiv:2311.15289](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.15289), 2023.
- [73] Z. Gao, P. Li, C. Lu, R. Sun, and L.-T. Yuan. The maximum number of cliques in graphs that avoid vertex-disjoint copies of path of length two. *Discrete Mathematics*, 349:114859, 2026.
- [74] D. Gerbner. On Turán-good graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(8):112445, 2021.
- [75] D. Gerbner. A note on the number of triangles in graphs without the suspension of a path on four vertices. *Discrete Mathematics Letters*, 10:32–34, 2022.
- [76] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán problems for $K_{2,t}$. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 30:#P1.34, 2023.
- [77] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán problems for double stars. *Discrete Mathematics*, 346(7):113395, 2023.
- [78] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán problems for small graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 43:549–572, 2023.
- [79] D. Gerbner. On generalized Turán problems with bounded matching number. [arXiv:2309.09113](https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.09113), 2023.
- [80] D. Gerbner. Paths are Turán-good. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 39(3):56, 2023.
- [81] D. Gerbner. Some exact results for non-degenerate generalized Turán problems. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 30(4):#P4.39, 2023.

- [82] D. Gerbner. Some stability and exact results in generalized Turán problems. *Studia Scientiarum Mathematicarum Hungarica*, 60(1):16–26, 2023.
- [83] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán results for disjoint cliques. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(7):114024, 2024.
- [84] D. Gerbner. Generalized Turán results for matchings. *Discrete Mathematics Letters*, 14:44–49, 2024.
- [85] D. Gerbner. A non-aligning variant of generalized Turán problems. *Annals of Combinatorics*, 28(2):351–366, 2024.
- [86] D. Gerbner. On the extremal graphs in generalized Turán problems. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(6):114021, 2024.
- [87] D. Gerbner. On weakly Turán-good graphs. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 44:1539–1550, 2024.
- [88] D. Gerbner. The Turán number of Berge book hypergraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 38(4):2896–2912, 2024.
- [89] D. Gerbner. Degree powers and number of stars in graphs with a forbidden broom. *Discrete Mathematics*, 348(1):114232, 2025.
- [90] D. Gerbner. On degree powers and counting stars in F -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 126:104135, 2025.
- [91] D. Gerbner. On extremal values of some degree-based topological indices with a forbidden or a prescribed subgraph. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 360:459–466, 2025.
- [92] D. Gerbner, E. Győri, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán problems for even cycles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 145:169–213, 2020.
- [93] D. Gerbner and H. Hama Karim. Stability from graph symmetrization arguments in generalized Turán problems. *Journal of Graph Theory*, pages 1–12, 2024.
- [94] D. Gerbner and A. Methuku. A note on constant values of the generalized Turán function. in preparation.
- [95] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, and C. Palmer. General lemmas for Berge–Turán hypergraph problems. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 86:103082, 2020.
- [96] D. Gerbner, A. Methuku, and M. Vizer. Generalized Turán problems for disjoint copies of graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(11):3130–3141, 2019.
- [97] D. Gerbner, Z. L. Nagy, and M. Vizer. Unified approach to the generalized Turán problem and supersaturation. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(3):112743, 2022.
- [98] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Survey of generalized Turán problems - counting subgraphs II. in preparation.
- [99] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Extremal results for Berge hypergraphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 31(4):2314–2327, 2017.
- [100] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Counting copies of a fixed subgraph in F -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 82:103001, 2019.

- [101] D. Gerbner and C. Palmer. Some exact results for generalized Turán problems. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 103:103519, 2022.
- [102] D. Gerbner and B. Patkós. Generalized Turán problems for complete bipartite graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 38(5):164, 2022.
- [103] D. Gerbner and B. Patkós. Generalized Turán results for intersecting cliques. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(1):113710, 2024.
- [104] A. Girão, Z. Hunter, and Y. Wigderson. Blowups of triangle-free graphs. [arXiv:2408.12913](https://arxiv.org/abs/2408.12913), 2024.
- [105] L. Gishboliner and A. Shapira. A generalized Turán problem and its applications. In *Proceedings of the 50th Annual ACM SIGACT Symposium on Theory of Computing*, pages 760–772. ACM, 2018.
- [106] A. W. Goodman. On sets of acquaintances and strangers at any party. *Amer. Math. Monthly*, 66:778–783, 1959. [doi:10.2307/2310464](https://doi.org/10.2307/2310464).
- [107] I. Gorgol. Turán numbers for disjoint copies of graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 27(5):661–667, 2011.
- [108] D. Gorovoy, A. Grzesik, and J. Jaworska. On triangle-free graphs maximizing embeddings of bipartite graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 31(2):#P2.50, 2024.
- [109] W. T. Gowers and B. Janzer. Generalizations of the Ruzsa–Szemerédi and rainbow Turán problems for cliques. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 30(4):591–608, 2021.
- [110] A. Grzesik. On the maximum number of five-cycles in a triangle-free graph. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 102(5):1061–1066, 2012.
- [111] A. Grzesik, E. Győri, N. Salia, and C. Tompkins. Subgraph densities in K_r -free graphs. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 30(1):#P1.51, 2023.
- [112] A. Grzesik, O. Janzer, and Z. L. Nagy. The Turán number of blow-ups of trees. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 156:299–309, 2022.
- [113] A. Grzesik and B. Kielak. On the maximum number of odd cycles in graphs without smaller odd cycles. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 99(2):240–246, 2022.
- [114] B. D. Guiduli. *Spectral extrema for graphs*. PhD thesis, University of Chicago, Department of Mathematics, 1996.
- [115] E. Győri. On the number of C_5 's in a triangle-free graph. *Combinatorica*, 9(1):101–102, 1989.
- [116] E. Győri, Z. He, Z. Lv, N. Salia, C. Tompkins, K. Varga, and X. Zhu. Exact results for generalized extremal problems forbidding an even cycle. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 2022.
- [117] E. Győri, G. Y. Katona, and N. Lemons. Hypergraph extensions of the Erdős-Gallai theorem. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 58:238–246, 2016.

- [118] E. Győri and N. Lemons. 3-uniform hypergraphs avoiding a given odd cycle. *Combinatorica*, 32(2):187–203, 2012.
- [119] E. Győri and N. Lemons. Hypergraphs with no cycle of a given length. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21(1-2):193–201, 2012.
- [120] E. Győri and H. Li. The maximum number of triangles in C_{2k+1} -free graphs. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 21(1-2):187–191, 2012.
- [121] E. Győri, J. Pach, and M. Simonovits. On the maximal number of certain subgraphs in K_r -free graphs. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 7(1):31–37, 1991.
- [122] E. Győri, N. Salia, C. Tompkins, and O. Zamora. The maximum number of P_t copies in P_k -free graphs. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 21, 2019.
- [123] E. Győri, R. Wang, and S. Woolfson. Extremal problems of double stars. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 24(2):#4, 2023.
- [124] N. Hadziivanov. A generalization of Turán’s theorem on graphs. *CR Acad. Bulgare Sci*, 29(11):1567–1570, 1976.
- [125] A. Halfpap and C. Palmer. On supersaturation and stability for generalized Turán problems. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 97(2):232–240, 2021.
- [126] H. Hatami, J. Hladký, D. Král’, S. Norine, and A. Razborov. On the number of pentagons in triangle-free graphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 120(3):722–732, 2013.
- [127] P. E. Haxell. A note on a conjecture of Gallai. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 11(1):53–57, 1995.
- [128] K. Haymaker, M. Tait, and C. Timmons. Hypergraphs of girth 5 and 6 and coding theory. [arXiv:2404.01839](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.01839), 2024.
- [129] Z. He. A new upper bound on extremal number of even cycles. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, #P2.41, 2021.
- [130] D. Hei and X. Hou. The cycle of length four is strictly F -Turán-good. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society*, 47(1):5, 2024.
- [131] D. Hei, X. Hou, and B. Liu. Some exact results of the generalized Turán numbers for paths. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 110:103682, 2023.
- [132] D. Hei, X. Hou, and Y. Ma. The generalized Turán number for K_3 in graphs without suspensions of a path on five vertices. [arXiv:2509.03851](https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.03851), 2025.
- [133] C. Helliar and X. Liu. A generalized Turán extension of the Deza–Erdős–Frankl theorem. [arXiv:2404.02762](https://arxiv.org/abs/2404.02762), 2024.
- [134] E. K. Hng and D. M. Cecchelli. Density of small diameter subgraphs in K_r -free graphs. [arXiv:2207.14297](https://arxiv.org/abs/2207.14297), 2022.
- [135] M. Hofmeister. Spectral radius and degree sequence. *Mathematische Nachrichten*, 139(1):37–44, 1988.

- [136] J. Hou, C. Yang, and Q. Zeng. Counting triangles in graphs without vertex disjoint odd cycles. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(7):114015, 2024.
- [137] S. Huang and J. Qian. The maximum number of stars in a graph without linear forest. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 38(6):1–12, 2022.
- [138] Y.-L. Jin and X.-D. Zhang. The number of maximal cliques and spectral radius of graphs with certain forbidden subgraphs. *Discrete Mathematics, Algorithms and Applications*, 10(06):1850071, 2018.
- [139] P. Keevash. Hypergraph Turán problems. *Surveys in combinatorics*, 392:83–140, 2011.
- [140] O. Khormali and C. Palmer. Turán numbers for hypergraph star forests. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 102:103506, 2022.
- [141] R. Kirsch. Maximizing subgraph density in graphs of bounded degree and clique number. [arXiv:2504.10290](https://arxiv.org/abs/2504.10290), 2025.
- [142] R. Kirsch and J. D. Nir. A localized approach to generalized Turán problems. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 31(3):#P3.34, 2024.
- [143] R. Kirsch and A. J. Radcliffe. Maximizing the density of K_t 's in graphs of bounded degree and clique number. *Discrete Mathematics*, 343(6):111803, 2020.
- [144] J. Kollár, L. Rónyai, and T. Szabó. Norm-graphs and bipartite Turán numbers. *Combinatorica*, 16(3):399–406, 1996.
- [145] J. Komlós, A. Shokoufandeh, M. Simonovits, and E. Szemerédi. The regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory. In *Summer School on Theoretical Aspects of Computer Science*, pages 84–112. Springer, 2000.
- [146] J. Komlós and M. Simonovits. Szemerédi's regularity lemma and its applications in graph theory. In *Paul Erdős is Eighty (Volume 2), Keszthely (Hungary) (1993)*, pages 295–352. Bolyai Society, 1996.
- [147] A. Kostochka, D. Mubayi, and J. Verstraëte. Turán problems and shadows III: expansions of graphs. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 29(2):868–876, 2015.
- [148] T. Kövári, V. Sós, and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. In *Colloquium Mathematicum*, volume 3, pages 50–57, 1954.
- [149] Y. Lan, H. Liu, Z. Qin, and Y. Shi. Degree powers in graphs with a forbidden forest. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(3):821–835, 2019.
- [150] F. Lazebnik and J. Verstraëte. On hypergraphs of girth five. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 10(1):#R25, 2003.
- [151] S. Letzter. Many H -copies in graphs with a forbidden tree. *SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics*, 33(4):2360–2368, 2019.
- [152] X. Li and Y. Shi. A Turán-type problem on degree sequence. [arXiv:1302.1687](https://arxiv.org/abs/1302.1687), 2013.
- [153] Y. Li and Y. Peng. New proofs of stability theorems on spectral graph problems. [arXiv:2203.03142](https://arxiv.org/abs/2203.03142), 2022.

- [154] B. Lidický and K. Murphy. Maximizing five-cycles in K_r -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 97:103367, 2021.
- [155] B. Lidický and F. Pfender. Pentagons in triangle-free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 74:85–89, 2018.
- [156] E. L. Liu and J. Wang. The generalized Turán problem of two intersecting cliques. *Discussiones Mathematicae Graph Theory*, 45(2):565–594, 2025.
- [157] X. Liu. New short proofs to some stability theorems. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 96:103350, 2021.
- [158] X. Liu, J. Song, and L.-T. Yuan. Exact results for some extremal problems on expansions I. *Communications in Mathematics and Statistics*, pages 1–38, 2025.
- [159] Y. Liu and J. Yin. The generalized Turán number of $2S_\ell$. *AIMS Mathematics*, 8:23707–23712, 2023.
- [160] Y. Liu and L. Zhang. The maximum number of complete multipartite subgraphs in graphs with given circumference or matching number. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(1):113734, 2024.
- [161] Y.-J. Liu and J.-H. Yin. On the generalized Turán number of star forests. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 364:213–221, 2025.
- [162] C. Lu, L.-T. Yuan, and P. Zhang. The maximum number of copies of $K_{r,s}$ in graphs without long cycles or paths. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 28(4):#P4.4, 2021.
- [163] Y. Lu, L. Kang, and Y. Xue. On generalized Turán problems with bounded matching number and circumference. [arXiv:2503.07386](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.07386), 2025.
- [164] R. Luo. The maximum number of cliques in graphs without long cycles. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 128:219–226, 2018.
- [165] Z. Lv, E. Győri, Z. He, N. Salia, C. Tompkins, K. Varga, and X. Zhu. Generalized Turán numbers for the edge blow-up of a graph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(1):113682, 2024.
- [166] Z. Lv, E. Győri, Z. He, N. Salia, C. Xiao, and X. Zhu. The maximum number of cliques in graphs with bounded odd circumference. *Annals of Combinatorics*, pages 1–7, 2024.
- [167] Z. Lv, Z. He, and M. Lu. Many triangles in C_5 -free graphs. *Advances in Applied Mathematics*, 159:102740, 2024.
- [168] J. Ma and Y. Qiu. Some sharp results on the generalized Turán numbers. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 84:103026, 2020.
- [169] J. Ma, X. Yuan, and M. Zhang. Some extremal results on complete degenerate hypergraphs. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series A*, 154:598–609, 2018.
- [170] Y. Ma and X. Hou. Generalized Turán problem with bounded matching number. [arXiv:2301.05625](https://arxiv.org/abs/2301.05625), 2023.
- [171] W. Mantel. Problem 28. *Wiskundige Opgaven*, 10:60–61, 1907.

- [172] T. Michael. Cycles of length 5 in triangle-free graphs: A sporadic counterexample to a characterization of equality. *Bulletin of the Institute of Combinatorics and its Applications*, 67:6–8, 2013.
- [173] J. Moon and L. Moser. On a problem of Turán. *Magyar Tud. Akad. Mat. Kutató Int. Közl.*, 7:283–286, 1962.
- [174] J. W. Moon and L. Moser. On cliques in graphs. *Israel journal of Mathematics*, 3(1):23–28, 1965.
- [175] N. Morrison, J. D. Nir, S. Norin, P. Rzażewski, and A. Wesolek. Every graph is eventually Turán-good. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 162:231–243, 2023.
- [176] D. Mubayi and S. Mukherjee. Triangles in graphs without bipartite suspensions. *Discrete Mathematics*, 346(6):113355, 2023.
- [177] S. Mukherjee. Exact generalized Turán number for K_3 versus suspension of P_4 . *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(4):113866, 2024.
- [178] K. Murphy and J. Nir. Paths of length three are K_{r+1} -Turán-good. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 28(4):#P4.34, 2021.
- [179] V. Nikiforov. Some inequalities for the largest eigenvalue of a graph. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 11(2):179–189, 2002. doi:[10.1017/S0963548301004928](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0963548301004928).
- [180] V. Nikiforov. A spectral condition for odd cycles in graphs. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 428(7):1492–1498, 2008.
- [181] V. Nikiforov. Degree powers in graphs with a forbidden even cycle. *The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics*, 16(1):#R107, 2009.
- [182] V. Nikiforov. A spectral Erdős-Stone-Bollobás theorem. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 18(3):455, 2009.
- [183] V. Nikiforov. The spectral radius of graphs without paths and cycles of specified length. *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, 432(9):2243–2256, 2010.
- [184] V. Nikiforov. *Some new results in extremal graph theory*, page 141-182. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series. Cambridge University Press, 2011. doi:[10.1017/CB09781139004114.005](https://doi.org/10.1017/CB09781139004114.005).
- [185] B. Ning and X. Peng. Extensions of the Erdős-Gallai theorem and Luo’s theorem with applications. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 29(1):128–136, 2020.
- [186] C. Palmer, M. Tait, C. Timmons, and A. Z. Wagner. Turán numbers for Berge-hypergraphs and related extremal problems. *Discrete Mathematics*, 342(6):1553–1563, 2019.
- [187] O. Pikhurko, J. Sliáčan, and K. Tyros. Strong forms of stability from flag algebra calculations. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 135:129–178, 2019.
- [188] B. Qian, C. Xie, and G. Ge. Some results on k -Turán-good graphs. *Discrete Mathematics*, 344(9):112509, 2021.

- [189] A. A. Razborov. Flag algebras. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 72(4):1239–1282, 2007.
- [190] S. Roman. The maximum number of q -cliques in a graph with no p -clique. *Discrete Mathematics*, 14(4):365–371, 1976.
- [191] I. Z. Ruzsa and E. Szemerédi. Triple systems with no six points carrying three triangles. *Combinatorics (Keszthely, 1976), Coll. Math. Soc. J. Bolyai*, 18:939–945, 1978.
- [192] N. Sauer. A generalization of a theorem of Turán. *Journal of Combinatorial Theory, Series B*, 10(2):109–112, 1971.
- [193] M. Simonovits. A method for solving extremal problems in graph theory, stability problems. In *Theory of Graphs (Proc. Colloq., Tihany, 1966)*, pages 279–319, 1968.
- [194] M. Simonovits. Extremal graph problems, degenerate extremal problems, and supersaturated graphs. *Progress in graph theory (Waterloo, Ont., 1982)*, Academic Press, Toronto, ON, pages 419–437, 1984.
- [195] M. Simonovits. Paul Erdős’ Influence on Extremal Graph Theory. In *The Mathematics of Paul Erdős II*, pages 245–311. Springer, 2013.
- [196] K. Siy. The Erdős pentagon problem. Master’s thesis, University of Waterloo, 2018.
- [197] J. Solymosi and C. Wong. Cycles in graphs of fixed girth with large size. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 62:124–131, 2017.
- [198] E. Szemerédi. Regular partitions of graphs. In *Proc. Colloq. Inter. CNRS*, number 260 in Problèmes Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes, pages 399–401, 1976.
- [199] P. Turán. On an extremal problem in graph theory (in Hungarian). *Matematikai és Fizikai Lapok*, 48:0436–452, 1941.
- [200] B. van der Beek and A. Bishnoi. Rational exponents for generalized Turán numbers. [arXiv:2510.19621](https://arxiv.org/abs/2510.19621), 2025.
- [201] B. Wang and J. Yin. Degree powers of graphs without $B_{\ell,s}$. *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, 435:127449, 2022.
- [202] J. Wang. The shifting method and generalized Turán number of matchings. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 85:103057, 2020.
- [203] R. M. Wang. *Applications of flag algebras in extremal graph theory*. Bachelor’s thesis, Harvard College, 2019.
- [204] S. Wang and Z. Zhang. Generalized Turán problems for disjoint even wheels, and for disjoint bowties. *DISCUSSIONES MATHEMATICAE GRAPH THEORY*, 2025.
- [205] Y. Xue and L. Kang. On generalized Turán problems with bounded matching number. [arXiv:2410.12338](https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.12338), 2024.
- [206] C. Yang and J. Zeng. Generalized Turán results for disjoint copies of degenerate graphs. [arXiv:2508.06043](https://arxiv.org/abs/2508.06043), 2025.
- [207] F. Yang, Q. Sun, and C. Zhang. The edge-girth-regularity of Wenger graphs. [arXiv:2311.04401](https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.04401), 2023.

- [208] X. Yuan and Y. Peng. On generalized Turán numbers of intersecting cliques. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 41(1):1–13, 2025.
- [209] X. Yuan and W. Yang. On generalized Turán number of two disjoint cliques. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, 38(4):1–9, 2022.
- [210] F. Zhang, Y. Chen, E. Győri, and X. Zhu. Maximum cliques in a graph without disjoint given subgraph. *Discrete Mathematics*, 347(4):113863, 2024.
- [211] H. Zhang, Y. Wang, X. Zhao, and M. Lu. Counting induced subgraphs with given intersection sizes. [arXiv:2509.15466](https://arxiv.org/abs/2509.15466), 2025.
- [212] T. Zhang and G. Ge. Some extremal results on $K_{s,t}$ -free graphs. [arXiv:1903.03233](https://arxiv.org/abs/1903.03233), 2019.
- [213] X. Zhao and M. Lu. Generalized Turán problems for a matching and long cycles. [arXiv:2412.18853](https://arxiv.org/abs/2412.18853), 2024.
- [214] Y. Zhao. *Graph theory and additive combinatorics—exploring structure and randomness*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2023. [doi:10.1049/cth2.12562](https://doi.org/10.1049/cth2.12562).
- [215] Y. Zhao and X. Zhang. Counting cliques with prescribed intersection sizes. [arXiv:2503.16229](https://arxiv.org/abs/2503.16229), 2025.
- [216] B. Zhou. A counter example to a conjecture of Gallai. *Ars Combinatoria*, 39:93–96, 1995.
- [217] X. Zhu and Y. Chen. Generalized Turán number for linear forests. *Discrete Mathematics*, 345(10):112997, 2022.
- [218] X. Zhu and Y. Chen. Extremal problems for a matching and any other graph. *Journal of Graph Theory*, 2024.
- [219] X. Zhu, Y. Chen, D. Gerbner, E. Győri, and H. Hama Karim. The maximum number of triangles in F_k -free graphs. *European Journal of Combinatorics*, 114:103793, 2023.
- [220] X. Zhu, E. Győri, Z. He, Z. Lv, N. Salia, and C. Xiao. Stability version of Dirac’s theorem and its applications for generalized Turán problems. *Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society*, 2023.
- [221] X. Zhu, F. Zhang, and Y. Chen. Generalized Turán number of even linear forests. *Graphs and Combinatorics*, pages 1–13, 2021.
- [222] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes, in Russian. *Matematicheskii sbornik*, 66(2):163–188, 1949.
- [223] A. A. Zykov. Some properties of linear complexes, English translation. *American Mathematical Society translations*, 79:1–33, 1952.