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#### Abstract

Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$ not equal to $K_{\Delta+1}$ and let $P$ be a subset of vertices with pairwise distance, $d(P)$, between them at least 8 . Let each vertex $x$ be assigned a list of colors of size $\Delta$ if $x \in V \backslash P$ and 1 if $x \in P$. We prove that it is possible to color $V(G)$ such that adjacent vertices receive different colors and each vertex has a color from its list. We show that $d(P)$ cannot be improved. This generalization of Brooks' theorem answers the following question of Albertson positively: If $G$ and $P$ are objects described above, can any coloring of $P$ in at most $\Delta$ colors be extended to a proper coloring of $G$ in at most $\Delta$ colors?


We say that a vertex-coloring of a graph $G=(V, E)$ is proper if the colors used on adjacent vertices are distinct. For an assignment of a color set (typically called a list) $l(x)$ to each vertex $x \in V$, we say that vertices are colored from their lists by a coloring $c$ if $c(x) \in l(x)$ for each $x \in V ; c$ is called a list-coloring of $G$. A coloring $c$ of $V(G)$ extends a coloring $c^{\prime}$ of vertices in $P$ if it is a proper coloring with $c(x)=c^{\prime}(x)$ for each $x \in P$. We denote by $d_{G}(x)$ the degree of $x$ in a graph $G$ and by $G[X]$ the subgraph of $G$ induced by a set of vertices $X$.

The classic Brooks' theorem states that any simple connected graph $G$ with maximum degree $\Delta$ can be colored properly in at most $\Delta$ colors unless $G=K_{\Delta+1}$ or $G$ is an odd cycle. Recently, Albertson posed the following question. Take a graph described above, precolor a fixed set of vertices $P$ in $\Delta$ colors arbitrarily. Under what condition on $P$ can we extend that coloring to a proper coloring of $G$ in at most $\Delta$ colors? He asks whether this condition is a large distance between the vertices in $P$. Albertson noticed though, that the maximum degree of a graph should be at least three. Indeed, it is easy to see that one cannot obtain a proper coloring of a path with an even number of vertices in two colors if the end-points are precolored in the same color. Here, we show that if the maximum degree is at least three, then there is a positive answer to Albertson's question when the pairwise distance, $d(P)$, between vertices of $P$ is at least 8 ; moreover, this distance is optimal. The color extension problem is closely related to the concept of a list-coloring
of graphs. Indeed, we can reformulate Albertson's question the following way. For set $S=\{1, \cdots, \Delta\}$, let the vertices of $P$ be assigned lists of single colors from $S$ and let every other vertex be assigned list $S$. Can $G$ be properly list-colored from these lists if $d(P)$ is large enough? We answer this question by presenting a more general result. Our main tool is a corollary of the theorem about list-coloring of hypergraphs by Kostochka, Stiebitz and Wirth [4] which was also investigated independently by Borodin. The listcoloring version of Brooks' theorem was considered much earlier by Vizing [5]. We need a couple of definitions first. A block containing an edge $e$ is a maximum 2-connected subgraph containing that edge or an edge $e$ itself if such 2-connected subgraph does not exist. A separating vertex in a block is a vertex whose deletion disconnects the graph, i.e., a cutvertex of a graph. An end-block is a block with exactly one separating vertex. A Gallai tree is a graph all of whose blocks are either complete graphs, odd cycles, or single edges.

Theorem 1 (Kostochka, Stiebitz, Wirth). Let $G=(V, E)$ be a connected graph. For each $x \in V$, let $l(x)$ be an assigned list of colors, $|l(x)| \geq d(x)$. If $G$ is not list-colorable from these lists then it is a Gallai tree and $|l(x)|=d(x)$ for each $x \in V$.

Figure 1 depicts graphs illustrating the exactness of our results. Next we give a formal description of graph $G_{1}$ from the figure.

A general construction Consider $\Delta$ copies of $K_{\Delta+1} \backslash e$, say $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{\Delta}$, where the deleted edge of $B_{i}$ is $u_{i} v_{i}$ for each $i=1, \cdots, \Delta$. Let $B$ be a complete graph on vertices $w_{1}, \cdots, w_{\Delta}$. Then $G_{1}$ is formed from a disjoint union of $B, B_{1}, \cdots, B_{\Delta}$ and edges $u_{1} w_{1}$, $u_{2} w_{2}, \cdots, u_{\Delta} w_{\Delta}$. It is easy to see that the maximum degree of $G_{1}$ is $\Delta$ and $G_{1}$ is not equal to $K_{\Delta+1}$. Assign a list $\{1\}$ to each vertex in $P$ and a list $\{1, \cdots, \Delta\}$ to every other vertex. Then, under any $\Delta$-coloring $c$ of $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ from the corresponding lists, $c\left(u_{i}\right)=c\left(v_{i}\right)=1$. Thus $c\left(w_{i}\right) \neq 1$ for all $i=1, \cdots, \Delta$. Since we need $\Delta$ colors for $B$, all different from 1 , we need at least $\Delta+1$ colors altogether to color $G_{1}$.

Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a graph with maximum degree $\Delta \geq 3$, not equal to $K_{\Delta+1}$. Let $P \subseteq V, d(P) \geq 8$. Let vertices in $P$ and $V \backslash P$ be assigned arbitrary lists of sizes 1 and $\Delta$ respectively. Then $G$ can be properly colored from these lists.

Proof of Theorem 2. For each $x \in V$, let $l(x)$ be an assigned list of colors. The general idea of the proof is to list color all copies of $K_{\Delta+1} \backslash e$ in $G$ which share a vertex of degree $\Delta-1$ with $P$ and then use Theorem 1 to list-color the rest. Let $G$ have copies $B_{1}, \cdots, B_{t}$ of $K_{\Delta+1} \backslash e$ with $u_{i} v_{i}$ be the deleted edge, $u_{i} \in P$ for each $i=1, \cdots, t$. Note that all $B_{i} \mathrm{~s}$ are vertex disjoint.

First we treat the case when $\Delta \geq 4$. When $\Delta=3$ we need some more details to be considered separately. We shall color vertices of all $B_{i}$ s from their lists. For each $i=1, \cdots, t$ we delete $l\left(u_{i}\right)$ from the lists of vertices in $B_{i}-\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ obtaining lists of size at least $\Delta-1$. The degree of each vertex in $B_{i}-u_{i}$ is $\Delta-1$; moreover, the new lists have size at least $\Delta-1$ on $V\left(B_{i}\right)-\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ and $\Delta$ on $v_{i}$. Thus, by Theorem 1 we can properly


Figure 1: Two graphs with maximum degree $\Delta$, which are not properly colorable from the list $\{1, \cdots, \Delta\}$ assigned to all vertices of $V \backslash P$ and the list $\{1\}$ assigned to all vertices of $P$.
color $B_{i}-u_{i}$ from the above lists, obtaining a proper coloring of $B_{i}$ from the original lists. Let $a_{i}$ be a color of $v_{i}$ under some such coloring for each $i=1, \cdots, t$.

Now, we consider a new graph $G_{1}$ obtained from $G$ by deleting $V\left(B_{i}\right)-\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$. Let $P_{1}=P \cup\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right\}$. Note that $G_{1}$ does not have copies of $K_{\Delta+1} \backslash e$ sharing a vertex of degree $\Delta-1$ with $P_{1}$, and each vertex $u_{i}$ or $v_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, t$ is adjacent to at most one vertex in $G_{1}$. Now, we need to color $G_{2}$ induced by $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash P_{1}$. We assign the new lists to $V\left(G_{2}\right)$ as follows.

$$
l_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}l(x) \backslash l\left(u_{i}\right) & \text { if } x u_{i} \in E(G), x v_{i} \notin E(G), \\ l(x) \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\} & \text { if } x v_{i} \in E(G), x u_{i} \notin E(G), \\ l(x) \backslash\left(\left\{a_{i}\right\} \cup l\left(u_{i}\right)\right) & \text { if } x u_{i}, x v_{i} \in E(G) \\ l(x) \backslash l(p) & \text { if } x p \in E(G), p \in P \backslash\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{t}\right\} .\end{cases}
$$

Note that if $x \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$ is adjacent to more than one vertex of $P_{1}$, these vertices must be $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ for some $i$, so only one of the above cases can hold. Assume that $G_{2}$ is not properly colorable from the lists $l_{2}$. Then, by Theorem 1 it is a Gallai tree with $d_{G_{2}}(x)=\left|l_{2}(x)\right|$ for each $x \in V\left(G_{2}\right)$. Thus, $d_{G_{2}}(x)=\Delta, \Delta-1$ or $\Delta-2$ when $x$ is not adjacent to any vertex in $P_{1}$, when it is adjacent to one or two such vertices respectively. Thus each vertex in $G_{2}$ has degree at least 2.

We may assume that $G_{2}$ is connected since we can color the connected components separately. Let $B$ be an end-block with a separating vertex $x$ (if such exists) of $G_{2} . B$ is a complete graph, or an odd cycle; moreover, $|V(B)| \geq 3$. If $B=G_{2}$ there must be an edge between $V(B)$ and $P_{1}$ since $G$ is connected, if $B \neq G_{2}$ there is an edge between $V(B)$ and $P_{1}$ since $d_{B}(x)<d_{G_{2}}(x)$. Let $u v$ be an edge of $B$. If $u p, v q \in E(G)$ with $p, q \in P_{1}$, then either $p=q$ or $\{p, q\}=\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for some $i$, otherwise the distance condition will be violated. Moreover, since $d_{G_{1}}\left(u_{i}\right) \leq 1$ and $d_{G_{1}}\left(v_{i}\right) \leq 1$ for each $i=1, \cdots, t$, we have that all vertices of $B-x$ (or $B$ if $G_{2}=B$ ) are adjacent to the same vertex $p \in P$, and
$p \notin\left\{u_{1}, \cdots, u_{t}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right\}$. Therefore $d_{G_{2}}(v)=\Delta-1$ for each $v \in V(B-x)$, (or for each $v \in V(B)$ if $G_{2}=B$ ), i.e., $B=K_{\Delta}$. But then $V(B) \cup\{p\}$ induces $K_{\Delta+1} \backslash e$ if $B \neq G_{2}$, a contradiction to the way we constructed $G_{1}$ or, if $B=G_{2}, V(B) \cup\{p\}$ induces $K_{\Delta+1}$ a contradiction to the condition of the theorem.

Now we treat the case when $\Delta=3$. Assume, without loss of generality, that there are indices $1 \leq s^{\prime}<s \leq t$, vertices $w_{i}, i=1, \cdots, s$ and triangles $T_{i}=w_{i} w_{i}^{\prime} w_{i}^{\prime \prime}, i=s^{\prime}+1, \cdots, s$ such that $w_{i}$ is adjacent to both $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, s^{\prime}$, and $w_{i}^{\prime} u_{i}, w_{i}^{\prime \prime} v_{i} \in E(G)$ for $i=s^{\prime}+1, \cdots, s$. Note that all these $w_{i}$ 's are distinct. For each $i=1, \cdots, s^{\prime}$ let $L_{i}$ be induced by $V\left(B_{i}\right)$ and $w_{i}$, for each $i=s^{\prime}+1, \cdots, s$, let $L_{i}$ be induced by $V\left(B_{i}\right)$ and $V\left(T_{i}\right)$, and, finally, for each $i=s+1, \cdots, t$ let $L_{i}=B_{i}$. We properly color each $L_{i}, i=1, \cdots, t$ from the original lists $l(x)$ and assume that $w_{i}$ gets the color $b_{i}$ for $i=1, \cdots, s$ and $v_{i}$ gets the color $a_{i}$ for $i=s+1, \cdots, t$.

We create $G_{1}$ from $G$ by deleting vertices of $L_{i}-w_{i}$ for all $i=1, \cdots, s$ and vertices of $B_{i}-\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}$ for $i=s+1, \cdots, t$. Let $P_{1}=\left(P \cap V\left(G_{1}\right)\right) \cup\left\{w_{1}, \cdots, w_{s}\right\} \cup\left\{v_{s+1}, \cdots, v_{t}\right\}$. Now, consider $G_{2}$, the subgraph of $G_{1}$ induced by $V\left(G_{1}\right) \backslash P_{1}$. Note that each vertex in $G_{2}$ has at most one neighbor in $P_{1}$, otherwise we violate the distance condition. Again, we create new lists for $l_{2}(x)$ for each vertex $x$ of $G_{2}$ as follows.

$$
l_{2}(x)= \begin{cases}l(x) \backslash l\left(u_{i}\right) & \text { if } x u_{i} \in E(G) \\ l(x) \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\} & \text { if } x v_{i} \in E(G) \\ l(x) \backslash\left\{b_{i}\right\} & \text { if } x w_{i} \in E(G), \\ l(x) \backslash l(p) & \text { if } x p \in E(G), p \in P, p \neq u_{i}, v_{i}, \text { or } w_{i} \text { for any } i \in\{1, \cdots, t\}\end{cases}
$$

Assume now that $G_{2}$ is not colored properly from the lists $l_{2}$. Then, by Theorem 1 , we have $d_{G_{2}}(x)=\left|l_{2}(x)\right|=3$ or 2 . If $G_{2}$ is a block $B$, then it must be an odd cycle with all vertices adjacent to some vertices in $P_{1}$. It is easy to see that then all the vertices of $G_{2}$ must be adjacent to the same $p \in P_{1}$. In this case, we have $B \cup p$ induce $K_{4}$, a contradiction. If $G_{2}$ has a cut-vertex, let $B$ be an end-block with a separating vertex $x$. $B$ must be an odd cycle, either with all vertices in $B-x$ being adjacent to the same vertex in $P$ and resulting in $K_{4} \backslash e$, or with $V(B)-x=\{y, z\}$, where $y$ and $z$ are adjacent to $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$ respectively for some $i$. In this case we get $B=K_{3}$ and $V\left(B_{i}\right) \cup V(B)$ induce a graph isomorphic to some $L_{j}$, a contradiction to the way we constructed $G_{2}$.
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