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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{F}$ be a triple system on an $n$ element set. Suppose that $\mathcal{F}$ contains more than $(1 / 3-\epsilon)\binom{n}{3}$ triples, where $\epsilon>10^{-6}$ is explicitly defined and $n$ is sufficiently large. Then there is a set of four points containing at least three triples of $\mathcal{F}$. This improves previous bounds of de Caen [1] and Matthias [7].


Given an $r$-graph $\mathcal{F}$, the Turán number $\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})$ is the maximum number of edges in an $n$ vertex $r$-graph containing no member of $\mathcal{F}$. The Turán density $\pi(\mathcal{F})=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\operatorname{ex}(n, \mathcal{F})}{\binom{n}{r}}$. When $\pi(\mathcal{F}) \neq 0$, and $r>2$, determining $\pi(\mathcal{F})$ is a notoriously hard problem, even for very simple $r$-graphs $\mathcal{F}$ (see [5] for a survey of results). Determining the Turán density of complete $r$-graphs is a fundamental question about set-systems. In fact, this is not known in any nontrivial case when $r \geq 3$.

Perhaps the most well-known problem in this area is to determine $\pi(\mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{K}$ is the complete 3 -graph on four vertices (the smallest nontrivial complete $r$-graph). It is known that $5 / 9 \leq \pi(\mathcal{K}) \leq(3+\sqrt{17}) / 12=0.59359$. ., where the lower bound is due to Turán and the recent upper bound is due to Chung and $\mathrm{Lu}[2]$. However, even the Turán density of $H(4,3)$, the 3 -graph on four vertices with three edges, is not known. One could argue that this problem is even more basic, since $H(4,3)$ is the smallest (in the sense of both vertices and edges) 3 -graph with positive Turán density (for applications of $\pi(H(4,3))$ to computer science, see $[9,6])$.

[^0]The upper bound $\pi(H(4,3)) \leq 1 / 3$ was proved by de Caen [1], and this was improved to $1 / 3-10^{-10}$ by Matthias [7]. Frankl and Füredi [4] gave a fairly complicated recursive construction yielding $\pi(H(4,3)) \geq 2 / 7$. In an attempt to improve de Caen's bound, the author and Rödl [8] proved that $\pi\left(\left\{C_{5}, H(4,3)\right\}\right) \leq 10 / 31$, where $C_{5}$ is the 3-graph 123, 234, 345, 451, 512.

In this note, we present a short argument that improves the best upper bound slightly.
Theorem $1 \pi(H(4,3)) \leq 1 / 3-\left(0.45305 \times 10^{-5}\right)$.
Proof: Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a triple system on $n$ vertices containing no copy of $H(4,3)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{H}$ has $\alpha\binom{n}{3}$ edges. We will prove that $\alpha \leq 1 / 3-\left(0.45305 \times 10^{-5}\right)+o(1)$. The result then follows by taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $d_{x, y}$ denote the number of triples containing both $x$ and $y$. For $i=1,2$, let $q_{i}$ denote the number of sets of four vertices that induce exactly $i$ edges. Then

$$
\alpha\binom{n}{3}(n-3)=|\mathcal{H}|(n-3)=q_{1}+2 q_{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{x, y}\binom{d_{x, y}}{2}=q_{2}
$$

Using these equalities, $\sum_{x, y} d_{x, y}=3 \alpha\binom{n}{3}$, and convexity of binomial coefficients, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha\binom{n}{3}(n-3) \geq q_{1}+3 \alpha^{2}\binom{n}{3}(n-2)-3 \alpha\binom{n}{3} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $q_{1} \geq 0$, dividing (1) by $n^{4}$ and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ gives de Caen's bound $\alpha \leq 1 / 3$.

The improvement arises by proving that a positive proportion of quadruples contribute to $q_{1}$. By (1) this immediately lowers the bound of $1 / 3$. Our primary tool is a result of Frankl and Füredi [4] stating that every $m$ vertex triple system, $m \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$, such that every four points span 0 or 2 edges, has at most $10(\mathrm{~m} / 6)^{3}$ edges (their result is quite a bit stronger, but this version suffices for our purposes). We will use this on subhypergraphs of $\mathcal{H}$ to lower bound $q_{1}$. This technique, called supersaturation, was developed by Erdős and Simonovits [3] (although frequently used in earlier papers as well).

Claim: Suppose that $\delta>0$ and $12 \leq m \equiv 0(\bmod 6)$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta\left(m^{2}-6 m\right)}{18(m-1)(m-2)}+\frac{5 m^{2}}{18(m-1)(m-2)} \leq \alpha \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then at least $\delta\binom{n}{m}$ sets of $m$ vertices of $\mathcal{H}$ have greater than $10(m / 6)^{3}$ edges.
Proof of Claim: Otherwise, using the precise upper bound of [1] which states that $\operatorname{ex}(m, H(4,3)) \leq\left(m /(3(m-2))\binom{m}{3}\left(<10(m / 6)^{3}\right.\right.$ for $\left.m \geq 12\right)$, we obtain

$$
|\mathcal{H}|<\frac{\delta\binom{n}{m} \frac{m}{3(m-2)}\binom{m}{3}+(1-\delta)\binom{n}{m} 10(m / 6)^{3}}{\binom{n-3}{m-3}} \leq \alpha\binom{n}{3} .
$$

This contradiction proves the Claim.

For each $m$-set $S$ to which the Claim applies, [4] implies that $S$ contains a 4 -element set with precisely one edge. Consequently,

$$
q_{1} \geq \frac{\delta\binom{n}{m}}{\binom{n-4}{m-4}}=\frac{\delta}{\binom{m}{4}}\binom{n}{4} .
$$

Using this lower bound in (1) yields

$$
\alpha\binom{n}{3}(n-3) \geq \frac{\delta}{\binom{m}{4}}\binom{n}{4}+3 \alpha^{2}\binom{n}{3}(n-2)-3 \alpha\binom{n}{3}
$$

Dividing by $n\binom{n}{3}$ and taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ we get

$$
\alpha \geq \frac{\delta}{4\binom{m}{4}}+3 \alpha^{2}
$$

Choose $m=18$ and $\delta=68 \alpha / 3-15 / 2$. Then (2) is satisfied (with equality) and therefore

$$
\alpha \geq \frac{136 \alpha}{(18)_{4}}-\frac{45}{(18)_{4}}+3 \alpha^{2} .
$$

Solving this quadratic, we obtain $\alpha \leq 0.3333288028=1 / 3-\left(0.45305 \times 10^{-5}\right)$.

## Remarks:

- In order to simplify the presentation, we have not optimized the constants in the proof. Moreover, the upper bound is certainly far from being sharp. The value of Theorem 1 lies only in presenting a short proof that improves the previous best upper bound for this basic problem.
- It is mentioned in [4] that Erdős and Sós made the following conjecture: if $\mathcal{H}$ is an $n$ vertex 3 -graph where $N(x)=\{y z: x y z \in \mathcal{H}\}$ is bipartite for every vertex $x$, then $|\mathcal{H}|<$ $n^{3} / 24$. There exist triple systems $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying this property with $|\mathcal{H}|>(1 / 4-o(1))\binom{n}{3}$, so Erdős and Sós' conjecture, if true, would be asymptotically sharp. Since $H(4,3)$ has a vertex $x$ where $N(x)$ is a triangle, $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \operatorname{ex}(n, H(4,3))$. As far as we know, this is the best known upper bound for $\mathcal{H}$. Thus Theorem 1 improves the upper bound for this problem as well.

Conjecture 2 For infinitely many $n$, the construction from [4] has the most edges among $n$ vertex triple systems with no copy of $H(4,3)$. In particular, $\pi(H(4,3))=2 / 7$.
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