# Forestation in hypergraphs: linear k-trees

Ojas Parekh

Department of Mathematics and Computer Science Emory University, Atlanta, USA ojas@mathcs.emory.edu

Submitted: Sep 14, 2001; Accepted: Sep 1, 2003; Published: Sep 23, 2003 MR Subject Classifications: 05C65, 05E99

#### Abstract

We present a new proof of a result of Lovász on the maximum number of edges in a k-forest. We also apply a construction used in our proof to generalize the notions of a k-hypertree and k-forest to a class which extends some properties of trees, to which both specialize when k=2.

### 1 Introduction

Let X = [n] and  $\mathcal{F}$  be a k-uniform hypergraph on X. We say an edge  $e \in \mathcal{F}$  crosses a k-partition,  $X = X_1 \cup \cdots \cup X_k$ , if  $|e \cap X_i| = 1$  for  $1 \leq i \leq k$ .  $\mathcal{F}$  is a k-forest if for each  $e \in \mathcal{F}$  there is some k-partition  $X = X_1^e \cup \cdots \cup X_k^e$  such that e is the unique edge crossing it. What is the maximum number of edges in  $\mathcal{F}$ ?

This problem was initially posed to László Lovász by Ronald Graham [2]. Lovász's novel algebraic proof appeared in [3] in 1979, and our proof remains algebraic in nature; however, it relies on homogeneous multilinear polynomials over  $\mathbb{F}_2$  rather than tensors. The reader is encouraged to consult [1] for an introduction to and extensive applications of linear algebra in combinatorics.

**Theorem 1.1.** A k-forest  $\mathcal{F}$  on X has at most  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges.

*Proof.* We open with a few definitions. By  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  we mean the space of multilinear homogeneous polynomials of degree k-1 in  $\mathbb{F}_2[x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}]$ . We make use of the shorthand  $p(\mathbf{x})$  to denote  $p(x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1})$ , where  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{n-1}$  and  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ . Finally, for  $e \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $\mathbb{1}_e$  denotes the incidence vector of e.

For each edge  $e \in \mathcal{F}$  we pick a k-partition  $\pi_e = (X_1^e, \ldots, X_k^e)$ , such that e is the unique edge crossing it. For simplicity we assume  $X_1^e$  contains the element n. We then define a polynomial,

$$p_e(x_1, \dots, x_{n-1}) = \prod_{i=2}^k \sum_{j \in X_i^e} x_j.$$

The electronic journal of combinatorics 10 (2003), #N12

For each e in  $\mathcal{F}$ ,  $p_e$  is in  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , hence it suffices to demonstrate the independence of these polynomials. To that end we seek to show that if  $e, f \in \mathcal{F}$ , then  $p_e(\mathbb{1}_{f \setminus \{n\}}) = 1$  if and only if f = e. We have

$$p_e(\mathbb{1}_{f\setminus\{n\}}) = \prod_{i=2}^{\kappa} (|f \cap X_i^e| \mod 2).$$

Clearly  $p_e(\mathbb{1}_{e \setminus \{n\}}) = 1$ . If  $f \neq e$  there must be some *i* for which  $|f \cap X_i^e| = 0$ , since *f* does not cross  $\pi_e$ . In this case there also exists a  $j \neq i$  such that  $|f \cap X_j^e| \mod 2 = 0$ . Thus  $p_e(\mathbb{1}_{f \setminus \{n\}}) = 0$ .

Our agenda for the remainder of the paper is to first consider a generalization of kforests which preserves certain properties of forests and to then proceed to compare our
generalization with existing ones.

### 2 Linear *k*-trees

In light of the result of the previous section a natural question arises. What can one say about the maximum k-forests, those with exactly  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges? We could begin by considering small examples. It is not difficult to verify that a 2-forest is indeed a forest. In this case any maximal forest is a tree, which one may define in several ways. A basic result in graph theory is that a graph which exhibits any two of

- (i) acyclicity
- (ii) exactly n-1 edges
- (iii) connectivity

necessarily exhibits the third.

We already have analogues of (i) and (ii) that we could use in defining a k-tree for k > 2, and one might conjecture that for a k-uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  on X, any two of

- (i')  $\mathcal{H}$  is a k-forest.
- (ii')  $\mathcal{H}$  has exactly  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges.
- (iii) For each k-partition of X,  $\mathcal{H}$  contains an edge that crosses it.

implies the third. Unfortunately this is not true.

**Counterexample 2.1.** The 3-uniform hypergraph

$$\mathcal{H} = \{\{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 5\}, \{1, 3, 5\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{2, 3, 4\}, \{3, 4, 5\}\}\}$$

over  $\{1, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  satisfies (ii') and (iii') but not (i').

Why does this generalization fail? Conditions (i')-(iii') extend the notion of a cut in a graph, which is implicit in (i)-(iii), to that of a k-partition. We do have that a 2-partition is indeed a cut; however, this is not the whole story. The proof of Theorem 1.1 offers some insight into the matter. The multilinear polynomial space  $\mathbb{P}_1^{n-1}$  consists entirely of polynomials which correspond to 2-partitions; however, the reader may verify that an analogous statement is not true for even  $\mathbb{P}_2^{n-1}$ . Guided by this discrepancy, we say an edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  crosses a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  if  $p(\mathbb{1}_{e \setminus \{n\}}) = 1$ , and we relax (i'): The hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  is a *linear k-forest* (vs. a k-forest) if for each edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$ , there is a polynomial  $p_e \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  (vs. a k-partition) such that e is the unique edge in  $\mathcal{H}$  crossing  $p_e$ . We accordingly strengthen (iii'): The hypergraph  $\mathcal{H}$  is *linearly k-connected*, or simply k-connected, if for each polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , there is an edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  which crosses p. The scrutinizing reader might have sensed something amiss in the preceding definitions. The polynomial space  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  is defined with respect to a distinguished element  $n \in X$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** A hypergraph is a linear k-forest or k-connected independently of the choice of distinguished element used in defining  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ .

Proof. Let  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ . We will demonstrate a  $p'(x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_n) \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^n$  such that  $\{e \in \binom{X}{k} \mid p(\mathbb{1}_{e \setminus \{n\}}) = 1\} = \{e \in \binom{X}{k} \mid p'(\mathbb{1}_{e \setminus \{i\}}) = 1\}$ . We divide p by  $x_i$  to yield  $p = x_i q + r$  where  $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-2}^{n-1}$ ,  $r \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , and neither contain the variable  $x_i$ . We can represent q as a sum of monomials, that is there exist sets  $Y_s \in \binom{X \setminus \{i,n\}}{k-2}$  for s in some index set S such that  $q = \sum_{s \in S} \prod_{j \in Y_s} x_j$ . Notice that an edge crosses the polynomial  $(\prod_{j \in Y_s} x_j)(\sum_{j \notin Y_s \cup \{i\}} x_j)$  if and only if it crosses the monomial  $x_i(\prod_{j \in Y_s} x_j)$ . This provides us the construction we seek, and we set

$$p'(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1},x_{i+1},\ldots,x_n) = r + \sum_{s\in S} \left(\prod_{j\in Y_s} x_j\right) \left(\sum_{j\notin Y_s\cup\{i\}} x_j\right).$$

We will henceforth use  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  to refer to a multilinear polynomial space in n-1 variables, the indices of which will be clear from context. We now have the following.

**Theorem 2.3.** For  $\mathcal{H}$ , a k-uniform hypergraph on X, any two of

- (i)  $\mathcal{H}$  is a linear k-forest.
- (ii)  $\mathcal{H}$  has exactly  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges.
- (iii)  $\mathcal{H}$  is k-connected.

implies the third.

Proof.

- (i),(ii) implies (iii): For each edge e let  $p_e$  be a polynomial for which e is the unique edge in  $\mathcal{H}$  crossing  $p_e$ . For a polynomial  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , let  $\mathcal{H}(p)$  denote  $\{e \in \mathcal{H} \mid e \text{ crosses } p\}$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1.1 we have the independence of the polynomials  $p_e$  for  $e \in \mathcal{H}$ , hence  $|\{p_e \mid e \in \mathcal{H}\}| = {\binom{n-1}{k-1}}$  by (ii). The set  $\{p_e \mid e \in \mathcal{H}\}$  is a basis for  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ , so for any  $q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  we must have  $\mathcal{H}(q) \neq \emptyset$ .
- (ii),(iii) implies (i): First we establish  $p \neq q$  implies  $\mathcal{H}(p) \neq \mathcal{H}(q)$ , for polynomials  $p,q \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$ . Proceeding by contrapositive, if  $\mathcal{H}(p) = \mathcal{H}(q)$  then  $\mathcal{H}(p+q) = \emptyset$ , hence p = q. There are exactly  $2^{\binom{n-1}{k-1}} - 1$  polynomials in  $\mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  and  $|\mathcal{H}| = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , so by (iii)  $\{\mathcal{H}(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}\} = 2^{\mathcal{H}} \setminus \{\emptyset\}$ , where  $2^{\mathcal{H}}$  is the powerset of  $\mathcal{H}$ . Thus for each edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}, \{e\} \in \{\mathcal{H}(p) \mid p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}\}.$
- (iii),(i) implies (ii): From the proof of the first part we have (i) implies  $|\mathcal{H}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ ; from that of the second we have (iii) implies  $|\mathcal{H}| \ge \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ .

We are finally in position to call a hypergraph  $\mathcal{T}$  that satisfies any two conditions above a linear k-tree. The third part of the proof of the theorem hints at two other characterizations of linear k-trees.

### Theorem 2.4.

- (i) Every k-connected hypergraph contains a linear k-tree.
- (ii) Every linear k-forest is contained in a linear k-tree.

#### Proof.

- (i): For the sake of contradiction, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a minimal k-uniform hypergraph over X that is k-connected but does not contain a linear k-tree. We let  $\mathbb{P}(H)$  represent  $\{p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1} \mid \text{some } e \in H \text{ crosses } p\}, \text{ where } H \subseteq \mathcal{H}; \text{ we omit braces for singleton}$ arguments. Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is not a linear k-forest, there is some  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $\mathbb{P}(e) \subseteq$  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} \setminus \{e\})$ , hence  $\mathcal{H} \setminus \{e\}$  is also a counterexample.
- (ii): For the sake of contradiction, let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a maximal k-uniform hypergraph over X that is a linear k-forest but is not contained in a linear k-tree. Since  $\mathcal{H}$  is not k-connected, there is some  $p \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  such that  $\mathcal{H}(p) = \emptyset$ . Let  $f \in \binom{X}{k}$  be some set such that  $p(\mathbb{1}_{f\setminus\{n\}}) = 1$ , and for  $e \in \mathcal{H}$  let  $p_e$  be a polynomial such that  $\mathcal{H}(p_e) = \{e\}$ . We set  $p'_f = p$ , and for each edge  $e \in \mathcal{H}$ , we set

$$p'_e = \begin{cases} p_e + p & \text{if } p_e(\mathbb{1}_{f \setminus \{n\}}) = 1\\ p_e & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

which renders e the unique edge in  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{f\}$  crossing  $p'_e$  and  $\mathcal{H} \cup \{f\}$  a counterexample.

4

Thus we may also think of linear k-trees as maximal linear k-forests or minimally k-connected hypergraphs.

### 3 All trees are not created equal

A linear k-tree is only one of a multitude of possible generalizations of trees to hypergraphs; in this section we explore the connection between linear k-trees and a generalization which exists in the literature.

The combinatorial structure known as a k-hypertree was introduced in [4] as a tool for developing Bonferroni type inequalities. A k-hypertree is a k-uniform hypergraph  $\mathcal{T}$ on X such that for k = 2,  $\mathcal{T}$  is a tree with vertex set X and for  $k \geq 3$ ,  $\mathcal{T}$  is defined recursively as follows:

(i) If  $X = \{1, \ldots, k\}$  then  $\mathcal{T}$  has a unique edge  $\{1, \ldots, k\}$ .

(ii) If  $|X| \ge k+1$  then there exists an element  $i \in X$  such that if  $e_1, \ldots, e_q$  denote all edges containing i then  $e_1 \setminus \{i\}, \ldots, e_q \setminus \{i\}$  induce an (k-1)-hypertree with vertex set  $X \setminus \{i\}$  and the remaining edges of  $\mathcal{T}$  induce a k-hypertree with vertex set  $X \setminus \{i\}$ . A k-hypertree has exactly  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$  edges.

The notion was augmented [5] by imposing a total ordering  $\mu$  on X, yielding several nice characterizations of k-hypertrees which generalize properties of trees. We show that linear k-trees generalize k-hypertrees. We denote the classes of linear k-trees and k-hypertrees on X by  $\mathcal{LKT}(k, n)$  and  $\mathcal{HT}(k, n)$  respectively.

**Theorem 3.1.**  $\mathcal{HT}(k,n) \subset \mathcal{LKT}(k,n)$ .

*Proof.* We show inclusion by induction. We have that  $\mathcal{HT}(k,k) = \mathcal{LKT}(k,k)$ , so let us consider some  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{HT}(k,n)$  for k < n. Since  $|\mathcal{T}| = \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ , by Theorem 2.3 it suffices to show  $\mathcal{T}$  is k-connected. Let  $l \in X$  be an element such that  $\mathcal{T}_l = \{e \setminus \{l\} \mid l \in e \in \mathcal{T}\}$  and  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{l}} = \{e \in \mathcal{T} \mid l \notin e\}$  are respectively (k-1)- and k-hypertrees over  $X \setminus \{l\}$ .

We seek to show that for a polynomial  $p(x_1, \ldots, x_{n-1}) \in \mathbb{P}_{k-1}^{n-1}$  there is some edge in  $\mathcal{T} = \mathcal{T}_l \dot{\cup} \mathcal{T}_{\bar{l}}$  that crosses it. Note that we may assume  $l \neq n$  by Lemma 2.2. Dividing by  $x_l$  gives us  $p = x_l q(x_1, \ldots, x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1}) + r(x_1, \ldots, x_{l-1}, x_{l+1}, \ldots, x_{n-1})$ . If  $r \equiv 0$  then  $e \cup \{l\}$  crosses  $p = x_l q$  for some  $e \in \mathcal{T}_l$ , since  $\mathcal{T}_l \in \mathcal{KT}(k-1, n-1)$  by the induction hypothesis. Otherwise some  $e \in \mathcal{T}_{\bar{l}}$  crosses r, since  $\mathcal{T}_{\bar{l}} \in \mathcal{KT}(k, n-1)$  by the induction hypothesis. In this case  $l \notin e$ , hence e crosses  $p = x_l q + r$ .

As for strict inclusion, we leave it to the reader to verify that

$$\mathcal{T} = \{\{1, 2, 3\}, \{1, 2, 4\}, \{1, 2, 6\}, \{1, 4, 5\}, \{1, 5, 6\} \\ \{2, 3, 5\}, \{2, 3, 6\}, \{3, 4, 5\}, \{3, 4, 6\}, \{4, 5, 6\}\}$$

is a linear 3-tree but not a 3-hypertree.

The class  $\mathcal{LKT}(k, n)$  may be a practically significant generalization of  $\mathcal{HT}(k, n)$ . Given a cost function  $c: \binom{X}{k} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ , it is *NP*-complete to decide whether there is a k-hypertree of cost at most l for  $n > k \ge 3$  [6]. This is known as the minimum spanning k-hypertree

problem and for k = 2 reduces to the polynomial time solvable minimum spanning tree problem. Replacing 'k-hypertree' with 'linear k-tree' in the above definition drastically reduces the complexity of the problem. By Theorems 2.4 and 2.3 the linear k-forests on X comprise a matroid, hence we can apply a greedy algorithm to solve the minimum spanning linear k-tree problem in polynomial time for constant k.

We close by offering a conjecture. A k-tree is a k-forest of size  $\binom{n-1}{k-1}$ . We let  $\mathcal{KT}(k, n)$  denote the class of k-trees on X. From Theorem 2.3, Counterexample 2.1, and the fact that  $\{e \in \binom{X}{k} \mid 1 \in e\} \in \mathcal{HT}(k, n) \cap \mathcal{KT}(k, n)$ , we derive the following properties.

- $\mathcal{KT}(k,n) \subset \mathcal{LKT}(k,n) \tag{1}$
- $\mathcal{HT}(k,n) \setminus \mathcal{KT}(k,n) \neq \emptyset$ (2)
- $\mathcal{HT}(k,n) \cap \mathcal{KT}(k,n) \neq \emptyset$  (3)

Unfortunately these leave the precise interaction of  $\mathcal{HT}(k, n)$  and  $\mathcal{KT}(k, n)$  uncertain. Yet if one could show that for every  $\mathcal{T} \in \mathcal{KT}(k, n)$  there is some  $i \in X$  that is contained in exactly  $\binom{n-2}{k-2}$  edges, then induction would yield the following.

Conjecture 3.2.  $\mathcal{KT}(k,n) \subset \mathcal{HT}(k,n)$ .

## Acknowledgements

The author is grateful to Tom Bohman for exposing him to the tools and the trade.

### References

- L. Babai and P. Frankl. *Linear Algebra Methods in Combinatorics*. Dept. of Computer Science, The Univ. of Chicago, Chicago, 1992.
- [2] R. Graham. Personal Communication. 2000.
- [3] L. Lovász. Topological and Algebraic Methods in Graph Theory. In Graph theory and related topics (Proc. Conf., Univ. Waterloo, Waterloo, Ont., 1977), pp. 1–14, Academic Press, New York-London, 1979.
- [4] I. Tomescu. Hypertrees and Bonferroni inequalities. J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 41:209–217, 1986.
- [5] I. Tomescu. Ordered h-Hypertrees. Discrete Mathematics, 195:241–248, 1992.
- [6] I. Tomescu and M. Zimand. Minimum spanning hypertrees. Discrete Appl. Math., 54.1:67–76, 1994.