On the functions with values in $[\alpha(G), \overline{\chi}(G)]$

V. Dobrynin, M. Pliskin and E. Prosolupov

St. Petersburg State University, St. Petersburg, Russia
{vdobr,pev}@oasis.apmath.spbu.ru

Submitted: Nov 25, 2003; Accepted: Mar 15, 2004; Published: Mar 22, 2004 MR Subject Classification: 05C50

Abstract

Let

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \{ X : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^T, I \le X \le I + A(G) \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \{ X : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^T, I - A(G) \le X \le I + A(G) \}$$

be classes of matrices associated with graph G. Here n is the number of vertices in graph G, and A(G) is the adjacency matrix of this graph. Denote $r(G) = \min_{X \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \operatorname{rank}(X)$, $r_+(G) = \min_{X \in \mathcal{B}(G)} \operatorname{rank}(X)$. We have shown previously that for every graph G, $\alpha(G) \leq r_+(G) \leq \overline{\chi}(G)$ holds and $\alpha(G) = r_+(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$. In this article we show that there is a graph G such that $\alpha(G) = r(G)$ but $\alpha(G) < \overline{\chi}(G)$. In the case when the graph G doesn't contain two chordless cycles C_4 with a common edge, the equality $\alpha(G) = r(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$. Corollary: the last statement holds for d(G) – the minimal dimension of the orthonormal representation of the graph G.

Let G be a graph with vertex set $V(G) = \{1, \ldots, n\}$ and edge set E(G). We are interested in studying the functions of the graph G whose values belong to the interval $[\alpha(G), \overline{\chi}(G)]$. Here $\alpha(G)$ is the size of the largest stable set in G and $\overline{\chi}(G)$ is the smallest number of cliques that cover the vertices of G.

It is well known (see, for example, [1]) that for some $\epsilon > 0$ it is impossible to approximate in polynomial time $\alpha(G)$ and $\overline{\chi}(G)$ within a factor of n^{ϵ} , assuming $P \neq NP$. We suppose that better approximation could be obtained for narrow classes of graphs determined on the basis of a system of functions of graph G "sandwiched" between $\alpha(G)$ and $\overline{\chi}(G)$.

One such function is the well known Lovász function $\theta(G)$ [7], which has many alternative definitions. One of them is based on the notion of the orthonormal labeling of the graph. Orthonormal labeling of dimension k of the graph G is a mapping

$$f: V(G) \to \mathbb{R}^k,$$

such that $||f(v)||_2 = 1$ for all $v \in V(G)$ and $f(v_i) \cdot f(v_j) = 0$ if $\{v_i, v_j\} \notin E(G)$.

Let $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a unit vector which is 0 in all coordinates except the *i*th coordinate which is equal 1. Then

$$\theta(G) = \min_{f} \max_{v \in V(G)} \frac{1}{(e_1 \cdot f(v))^2}$$

and

$$\alpha(G) \le \theta(G) \le d(G) \le \overline{\chi}(G),$$

where d(G) is the minimum dimension of the orthonormal labeling of the graph G. Let

$$\mathcal{B}(G) = \{ X : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^T, I \le X \le I + A(G) \}$$

and

$$\mathcal{C}(G) = \{ X : X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}, X = X^T, I - A(G) \le X \le I + A(G) \}$$

be classes of matrices associated with graph G. Here n is the number of vertices of graph G, I is identity matrix and A(G) is the adjacency matrix of this graph. Consider two functions of graph G based on these classes:

$$r(G) = \min_{X \in \mathcal{C}(G)} \operatorname{rank}(X),$$
$$r_+(G) = \min_{X \in \mathcal{B}(G)} \operatorname{rank}(X).$$

The function $r_+(G)$ was studied in [2]. It was shown that for every graph G

$$\alpha(G) \le r_+(G) \le \overline{\chi}(G)$$

holds and

$$\alpha(G) = r_+(G) \quad \text{implies} \quad \alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G).$$
 (1)

It is obvious that

$$\alpha(G) \le r(G) \le d(G), r_+(G) \le \overline{\chi}(G).$$

It is was shown in [3] that for i = 1, 2, 3 r(G) = i iff d(G) = i.

Recent results on well known related problem concerning upper bound on $\chi(G)$ in terms of rank of adjacency matrix A(G) are presented in [4, 5, 6].

In this paper we are interested in the following question: can we use the functions $\theta(G), r(G)$ and d(G) in (1) instead of $r_+(G)$? In the common case the answer is negative. The proof is based on the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 If $\alpha(G) = d(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$ for every graph G then for every set of unit vectors $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$ with $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ there exists an orthogonality-preserving mapping

$$\varphi: S \to \mathbb{R}^k_+$$

of vectors from S into non-negative unit vectors from \mathbb{R}^k such that $s_i \cdot s_j = 0$ implies $\varphi(s_i) \cdot \varphi(s_j) = 0$.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha(G) = d(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$ for every graph G. Then we can construct above mentioned mapping φ for every vector set S.

Let $S = \{s_1, \ldots, s_n\}$, $s_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ be a given vector set. Then we can construct graph G = (V(G), E(G)), where $V(G) = A \cup B$, $A \cap B = \emptyset, A = \{a_1, \ldots, a_k\}$, $B = \{b_1, \ldots, b_n\}$. Assign unit vector $e_i \in \mathbb{R}^k$ to vertex $a_i \in A$ and vector s_j to vertex $b_j \in B$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k, j = 1, \ldots, n$.

To form edge set E(G):

- join every vertex from A with every vertex from B;
- join b_i and b_j from B iff $s_i \cdot s_j \neq 0$.

It is obvious that A is a maximum stable set of the graph G and $\alpha(G) = d(G) = k$. Our assumption implies that $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$ and there exists a decomposition

$$B = B_1 \cup \cdots \cup B_k,$$

such that every B_i induces a clique in G. So $\varphi : \varphi(s_i) = e_j$ when $b_i \in B_j$, is the required orthogonality-preserving mapping of S into \mathbb{R}^k_+ . \Box

Now we'll construct a system of unit vectors from \mathbb{R}^3 such that orthogonal-preserving mapping of this set into \mathbb{R}^3_+ does not exists.

Lemma 2 Let

$$S = \{a, b_1, b_2, b_3, c_1, c_2, c_3, d_1, d_2, d_3, e_1, e_2, e_3\},\$$

be a system of vectors from \mathbb{R}^3 , where

$$a = (1, 1, 1)^{T},$$

$$b_{1} = (-1, 1, 0)^{T}, b_{2} = (1, 0, -1)^{T}, b_{3} = (0, -1, 1)^{T},$$

$$c_{1} = (1, 1, 0)^{T}, c_{2} = (1, 0, 1)^{T}, c_{3} = (0, 1, 1)^{T},$$

$$d_{1} = (-1, 1, 1)^{T}, d_{2} = (1, -1, 1)^{T}, d_{3} = (1, 1, -1)^{T},$$

$$e_{1} = (1, 0, 0)^{T}, e_{2} = (0, 1, 0)^{T}, e_{3} = (0, 0, 1)^{T}.$$

Then orthogonality-preserving mapping φ of the set S into a set of unit vectors from \mathbb{R}^3_+ does not exists.

Proof. Suppose that the above mentioned mapping φ exists. Then φ can be chosen in such a way that every vector from S is mapped into one of the vectors from $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Indeed, let φ' be a orthogonality-preserving mapping from S into a set of unit vectors from \mathbb{R}^3_+ . Then for every $s \in S$ and any i such that $e_i \cdot \varphi'(s) > 0$ let $\varphi(s) = e_i$.

We may suppose without loss of generality that $\varphi(e_i) = e_i$, i = 1, 2, 3.

Let's suppose that $\varphi(a) = e_1$. This implies $\varphi(b_1) = e_2$, $\varphi(b_2) = e_3$, $\varphi(c_1) = e_1$, $\varphi(c_2) = e_1$, $\varphi(d_2) = e_2$, $\varphi(d_3) = e_3$. But then $\varphi(c_3)$ has to be orthogonal to every vector from $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Let's suppose that $\varphi(a) = e_2$. This implies $\varphi(b_1) = e_1, \varphi(b_3) = e_3, \varphi(c_1) = e_2, \varphi(c_3) = e_2, \varphi(d_1) = e_1, \varphi(d_3) = e_3$. But then $\varphi(c_2)$ has to be orthogonal to every vector from $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$.

Let's suppose that $\varphi(a) = e_3$. This implies $\varphi(b_2) = e_1, \varphi(b_3) = e_2, \varphi(c_2) = e_3, \varphi(c_3) = e_3, \varphi(d_1) = e_1, \varphi(d_2) = e_2$. But then $\varphi(c_1)$ has to be orthogonal to every vector from $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$. \Box

Lemmas 1 and 2 imply the following theorem.

Theorem 1 There exists a graph G such that $\alpha(G) = d(G)$ and $\alpha(G) < \overline{\chi}(G)$.

Corollary 1 There exists graphs G such that $\alpha(G) = \theta(G) = r(G)$ and $\alpha(G) < \overline{\chi}(G)$.

The following theorem shows that implication (1) holds for the function r(G) (and, hence, for d(G)) in some cases.

Theorem 2 If the graph G is free of two chordless cycles C_4 with a common edge then $\alpha(G) = r(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$.

Proof. Suppose that $\alpha(G) = r(G)$ and $\alpha(G) = \operatorname{rank}(X)$, $X \in \mathcal{C}(G)$. Without loss of generality $M = \{1, \ldots, \alpha(G)\}$ is the maximum stable set of the graph G with n vertices. Then

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} I_{\alpha(G)} & Y \\ Y^T & Z \end{pmatrix}$$

and $Z = Y^T Y$.

This means that the following orthonormal labeling f of dimension $\alpha(G)$ of the graph G exists. If vertex $i \in M$ then $f(i) = e_i \in \mathbb{R}^{\alpha(G)}$, if vertex $j \in V \setminus M$ then f(j) is equal to the $(j - \alpha(G))$ th column of the matrix Y.

Let's show that for any three vertices l, i, j such that $l \in M$, $i, j \in V \setminus M$, if vertices i and j are non-adjacent and $e_l \cdot f(i) \neq 0$, $e_l \cdot f(j) \neq 0$ (hence, l is adjacent to i and j), then a vertex $m \in M$ ($m \neq l$) exists such that $e_m \cdot f(i) \neq 0$ and $e_m \cdot f(j) \neq 0$ (hence, m is adjacent to vertices i and j also).

Because i and j are non-adjacent, we have

$$f(i) \cdot f(j) = \sum_{s=1}^{\alpha(G)} (e_s \cdot f(i))(e_s \cdot f(j)) = 0.$$

But the summand $(e_l \cdot f(i))(e_l \cdot f(j))$ isn't equal 0 in the last sum. Hence, at least one more non-zero summand exists. Let it be *m*th summand

$$(e_m \cdot f(i))(e_m \cdot f(j)) \neq 0.$$

Hence, vertex $m \in M$ is adjacent to vertices i and j.

Let

$$V(G) = V_1 \cup \dots \cup V_q, \ q \ge \alpha(G)$$

be a decomposition of the vertex set of the graph G into q non-empty subsets such that

- $l \in V_l, \ l = 1, \ldots, \alpha(G);$
- if $i \in V(G) \setminus M$, $i \in V_l, 1 \le l \le \alpha(G)$, then $e_l \cdot f(i) \ne 0$;
- if $i, j \in V_l, 1 \leq l \leq q$, then vertices i and j are adjacent.

It is obvious that such a decomposition exists. For example, V(G) can be decomposed into n non-empty subsets.

Every V_i induces a clique in the G and, hence, $\overline{\chi}(G) \leq q$.

We suppose without loss of generality that no set V_i from $\{V_1, \ldots, V_{\alpha(G)}\}$ can be extended with vertices from $V_{\alpha(G)+1}, \ldots, V_q$.

Let's suppose that $\alpha(G) < \overline{\chi}(G)$. Then $S = V_{\alpha(G)+1} \cup \cdots \cup V_q \neq \emptyset$. Let $x \in S$ be an arbitrary vertex from S. Then vertex $l \in M$ exists such that $e_l \cdot f(x) \neq 0$ (because $f(x) \neq 0$). The set V_l can't be extended with vertex x. Hence the vertex $x_l \in V_l$ exists that isn't adjacent to x. Then the vertex $m \in M, m \neq l$ should exist that is adjacent to x and x_l and $e_m \cdot f(x) \neq 0$, $e_m \cdot f(x_l) \neq 0$.

A vertex $x_m \in V_m$ exists that is non-adjacent to x because the set V_m can't be extended with x. Then vertex $y \in M$ exists such that $y \neq m$ and y is adjacent to x and x_m . Note, that vertices l and y may coincide.

If $y \neq l$, then there are two chordless cycles C_4 with common edge in $G: (l, x_l, m, x, l)$ and (m, x, y, x_m, m) . If y = l, then such cycles exist also. They are (l, x_l, m, x, l) and (l, x, m, x_m, l) . \Box

Corollary 2 Let the graph G be free of two chordless cycles C_4 with a common edge. Then $\alpha(G) = d(G)$ implies $\alpha(G) = \overline{\chi}(G)$.

References

- Approximation Algorithms of NP-hard problems. Edited by D.S. Hochbaum, 1996, PWS Publishing Company, Boston, MA.
- [2] V. Dobrynin, On the function "sandwiched" between $\alpha(G)$ and $\overline{\chi}(G)$, Electron. J. Combinat., 4, R19 (1997), 3pp.
- [3] V. Dobrynin, On the rank of a matrix associated with a graph, Discrete Mathematics, 276 (2004), 169–175.
- [4] D.E. Fishkind, A. Kotlov, Rank, term rank, and chromatic number, Discrete Mathematics, 250 (2002), 253–257.
- [5] A. Kotlov, Rank and chromatic number of a graph, J. Graph Theory. 26 (1997) 1, 1–8.
- [6] A. Kotlov, L. Lovász, The rank and size of graphs, J. Graph Theory. 23 (1996) 2, 185–189.
- [7] L. Lovász, On the Sannon capacity of graphs, *IEEE Trans. Inform.* Theory, 25 (1979), 1–7.