

# The intersection structure of $t$ -intersecting families

John Talbot

Department of Mathematics  
University College London, London, UK  
talbot@math.ucl.ac.uk

Submitted: Jun 30, 2004; Accepted: Sep 24, 2005; Published: Oct 13, 2005

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05D05, 05C65

## Abstract

A family of sets is  $t$ -*intersecting* if any two sets from the family contain at least  $t$  common elements. Given a  $t$ -intersecting family of  $r$ -sets from an  $n$ -set, how many distinct sets of size  $k$  can occur as pairwise intersections of its members? We prove an asymptotic upper bound on this number that can always be achieved. This result can be seen as a generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem.

## 1 Introduction

For integers  $1 \leq r \leq n$  we define  $[n] := \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $[n]^{(r)} = \{A \subseteq [n] : |A| = r\}$ . In this short note we give an asymptotically sharp answer to the following extremal question: if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)}$  is  $t$ -intersecting and  $t \leq k \leq r$  then how many distinct sets of size  $k$  can occur as pairwise intersections of members of  $\mathcal{A}$ ?

For example if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(6)}$  is 2-intersecting then how many 4-sets can occur as pairwise intersections of members of  $\mathcal{A}$ ? (The answer in this case is at most  $21\binom{n}{2} + O(n)$  and this can be achieved.)

Let  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)}$  be  $t$ -intersecting and  $t \leq k \leq r$ . The family of  $k$ -*intersections* of  $\mathcal{A}$  is

$$\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle = \{C \in [n]^{(k)} : \exists A, B \in \mathcal{A} \text{ such that } A \cap B = C\}.$$

If  $\mathcal{A}$  is  $t$ -intersecting then trivially the smallest pairwise intersections of members of  $\mathcal{A}$  have size  $t$ . These play an important role when trying to bound the size of  $|\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle|$  in general. In particular it is useful to define the following quantity for  $1 \leq t \leq r$

$$\alpha_t^{(r)} = \max_{n \geq r} \{|\mathcal{A}\langle t \rangle| : \mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)} \text{ is } t\text{-intersecting}\}.$$

The fact that  $\alpha_t^{(r)}$  is well-defined follows from a result of Lovász [2] which implies that  $\alpha_1^{(m)}$  exists for all  $m \geq 1$ . It is easy to then check that  $\alpha_t^{(r)} \leq \alpha_1^m$ , for  $m = \binom{r}{t}$ , and so  $\alpha_t^{(r)}$  exists for all  $1 \leq t \leq r$ . The following general upper bound for  $\alpha_t^{(r)}$  was given in [3].

**Theorem 1** *If  $1 \leq t < r$  then*

$$\alpha_t^{(r)} \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{2r-t}{r-t} \binom{r}{t}.$$

## 2 Result

**Theorem 2** *If  $1 \leq t \leq k \leq r \leq n$  and  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)}$  is  $t$ -intersecting then*

$$|\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle| \leq \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)} \binom{n}{k-t} + O(n^{k-t-1}). \quad (1)$$

*Moreover this bound can always be achieved.*

*Proof.* We first prove the upper bound using induction on  $k$ . The result holds trivially for  $k = t$  so suppose  $k \geq t + 1$ . For  $i \in [n]$  define

$$\mathcal{A}'_i = \{A \setminus \{i\} : i \in A \text{ and } A \in \mathcal{A}\}.$$

If  $V = \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}\langle t \rangle} A$ , then  $|V| \leq t\alpha_t^{(r)} = O(1)$  by Theorem 1 (as  $n$  tends to infinity). Note that if  $i \in [n] \setminus V$  then  $\mathcal{A}'_i$  is a  $t$ -intersecting family of  $(r-1)$ -sets and hence by our inductive hypothesis for  $k-1$

$$\sum_{i \in [n] \setminus V} |\mathcal{A}'_i\langle k-1 \rangle| \leq \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)} \binom{n}{k-t-1} (n - |V|). \quad (2)$$

We now consider how often a set  $A \in \mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle$  is counted in the left-hand side of (2). Partition  $\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle$  as  $\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle = \mathcal{B} \dot{\cup} \mathcal{C}$ , where

$$\mathcal{B} = \{A \in \mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle : |A \cap V| \leq t\} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{C} = \{A \in \mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle : |A \cap V| \geq t+1\}.$$

If  $A \in \mathcal{B}$  then  $A$  is counted at least  $k-t$  times in the left-hand side of (2) while

$$|\mathcal{C}| \leq \binom{|V|}{k} \binom{n-|V|}{k-t-1} = O(n^{k-t-1}).$$

Hence we have

$$|\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle| \leq \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)} \binom{n}{k-t} + O(n^{k-t-1}).$$

The upper bound then follows by induction on  $k$ .

The following simple construction shows that the upper bound (1) can always be achieved. Let  $\mathcal{B}$  be a  $t$ -intersecting family of  $(r-k+t)$ -sets satisfying  $|\mathcal{B}\langle t \rangle| = \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)}$ . If  $m = |\bigcup_{B \in \mathcal{B}} B|$  then we may suppose that  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq [m]^{(r-k+t)}$ . Let  $n \geq m + k - t$  and consider the family

$$\mathcal{A} = \{A \in [n]^{(r)} : \exists B \in \mathcal{B} \text{ such that } B \subseteq A\}. \quad (3)$$

Since  $\mathcal{B}$  is  $t$ -intersecting so  $\mathcal{A}$  is also  $t$ -intersecting.

To see that the family  $\mathcal{A}$  gives equality in (1) consider any  $k$ -set  $C = D \cup E$ , where  $D \in \mathcal{B}\langle t \rangle$  and  $E \in \{m+1, m+2, \dots, n\}^{(k-t)}$ . By definition of  $\mathcal{B}\langle t \rangle$  there exist  $B_1, B_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  such that  $B_1 \cap B_2 = D$ . Moreover  $B_1 \cup E, B_2 \cup E \in \mathcal{A}$  and hence  $C = D \cup E = (B_1 \cup E) \cap (B_2 \cup E) \in \mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle$ . Hence for each  $D \in \mathcal{B}\langle t \rangle$  and each  $E \in \{m+1, \dots, n\}^{(k-t)}$  we obtain a unique set  $C = D \cup E \in \mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle$ . Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}\langle k \rangle| &\geq \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)} \binom{n-m}{k-t} \\ &= \alpha_t^{(r-k+t)} \binom{n}{k-t} + O(n^{k-t-1}). \end{aligned}$$

The first part of this theorem then implies that equality holds in (1) for  $\mathcal{A}$ . □

### 3 Remarks

The case  $r = k$  of Theorem 2 says that if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)}$  is  $t$ -intersecting then

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n}{r-t} + O(n^{r-t-1}).$$

This is essentially a version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem [1].

For  $t = 1$  we can prove a stronger result (see [4]). Namely, if  $\mathcal{A} \subseteq [n]^{(r)}$  is intersecting then either  $\mathcal{A}$  is constructed as in the example (3) given above, or  $\mathcal{A}$  has far fewer  $k$ -intersections than this example.

For the bound in Theorem 2 to be explicitly calculated we need to know the value of  $\alpha_t^{(r-k+t)}$ . This is an important problem in its own right. The values that are currently known are:  $\alpha_t^{(t)} = 1$ ,  $\alpha_t^{(t+1)} = \binom{t+2}{2}$ ,  $\alpha_1^{(3)} = 7$ ,  $\alpha_1^{(4)} = 16$  and  $\alpha_2^{(4)} = 21$ . An upper bound for  $\alpha_t^{(r)}$  is given by Theorem 1 while the best known bounds for  $\alpha_1^{(r)}$  are due to Tuza [5].

**Theorem 3 (Tuza [5])** *If  $r \geq 4$  then*

$$2 \binom{2r-4}{r-2} + 2r - 4 \leq \alpha_1^{(r)} \leq \binom{2r-1}{r-1} + \binom{2r-4}{r-1}.$$

### References

- [1] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **12** (1961), 313-320.
- [2] L. Lovász, “Combinatorial Problems and Exercises”, North Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1979.
- [3] J. Talbot, A new Bollobás-type inequality and applications to  $t$ -intersecting families of sets, *Discrete Math.* **285**, 1-3, (2004), 349-353.

- [4] J. Talbot, The number of  $k$ -intersections of an intersecting family of  $r$ -sets, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, **106** 2 (2004), 277-286.
- [5] Zs. Tuza, Critical hypergraphs and intersecting set-pair systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, **39** (1985), 134-145.