

A Note on The Rogers-Fine Identity

Jian-Ping Fang*

Department of Mathematics, Huaiyin Teachers College, Huaian, Jiangsu 223300, P. R. China
Department of Mathematics, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, P. R. China
fjp7402@163.com

Submitted: May 29, 2006; Accepted: Jul 30, 2007; Published: Aug 9, 2007

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A30; 33D15; 33D60; 33D05

Abstract

In this paper, we derive an interesting identity from the Rogers-Fine identity by applying the q -exponential operator method.

1 Introduction and main result

Following Gasper and Rahman [7], we write

$$(a; q)_0 = 1, \quad (a; q)_n = (1 - a)(1 - aq) \cdots (1 - aq^{n-1}), n = 1, \dots, \infty,$$
$${}_r\Phi_s \left(\begin{matrix} a_1, \dots, a_r \\ b_1, \dots, b_s \end{matrix}; q, x \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_r; q)_n}{(q, b_1, \dots, b_s; q)_n} \left[(-1)^n q^{n(n-1)/2} \right]^{1+s-r} x^n.$$

For convenience, we take $|q| < 1$ in this paper.

Recall that the Rogers-Fine identity [1, 2, 6, 10] is expressed as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha; q)_n}{(\beta; q)_n} \tau^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha; q)_n (q\alpha\tau/\beta; q)_n (1 - \alpha\tau q^{2n})}{(\beta; q)_n (\tau; q)_{n+1}} (\beta\tau)^n q^{n^2-n}. \quad (1)$$

This identity (1) is one of the fundamental formulas in the theory of the basic hypergeometric series. In this paper, we derive an interesting identity from (1) by applying the q -exponential operator method. As application, we give an extension of the terminating very-well-poised ${}_6\Phi_5$ summation formula. The main result of this paper is:

*Jian-Ping Fang supported by Doctorial Program of ME of China 20060269011.

Theorem 1.1. Let $a_{-1}, a_0, a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{2t+2}$ be complex numbers, $|a_{2i}| < 1$ with $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t + 1$, then for any non-negative integer M , we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}, c, a_2, a_4, \dots, a_{2t+2}; q)_n}{(\beta, b, a_1, a_3, \dots, a_{2t+1}; q)_n} \tau^n \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_m (\tau q^{1-M}/\beta; q)_m (1 - \tau q^{2m-M})}{(\beta; q)_m (\tau; q)_{m+1}} (\beta\tau)^m q^{m^2-m} \\ & \times \prod_{j=0}^{t+1} \frac{(a_{2j}; q)_m}{(a_{2j-1}; q)_m} \sum_{m_1=0}^m \frac{(q^{-m}, q^{1-m}/\beta, b/c; q)_{m_1}}{(q, q^{1-M}\tau/\beta, q^{1-m}/c; q)_{m_1}} \sum_{0 \leq m_{t+2} \leq m_{t+1} \leq \dots \leq m_2 \leq m_1} \\ & \prod_{i=1}^{t+1} \frac{(q^{-m_i}, q^{1-m_i}/a_{2i-3}, a_{2i-1}/a_{2i}; q)_{m_{i+1}}}{(q, q^{1-m_i}/a_{2i}, q^{1-m_i}a_{2i-2}/a_{2i-3}; q)_{m_{i+1}}} q^{m_1+m_2+\dots+m_{t+2}}, \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

where $t = -1, 0, 1, 2, \dots, \infty$, $c = a_0$ and $b = a_{-1}$.

2 The proof of the theorem and its application

Before our proof, let's first make some preparations. The q -differential operator D_q and q -shifted operator η (see [3, 4, 8, 9]), acting on the variable a , are defined by:

$$D_q \{f(a)\} = \frac{f(a) - f(aq)}{a} \quad \text{and} \quad \eta \{f(a)\} = f(aq).$$

Rogers [9] first used them to construct the following q -operator

$$E(d\theta) = (-d\theta; q)_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{(n-1)n/2} (d\theta)^n}{(q; q)_n}, \quad (3)$$

where $\theta = \eta^{-1}D_q$. Note that, Rogers used the symbol $q\delta$ to denote θ [9]. Then he applied it to derive relationships between special functions involving certain fundamental q -symmetric polynomials. This operator theory was developed by Chen and Liu [4] and Liu [8]. They employed (3) to obtain many classical q -series formulas. Later Bowman [3] studied further results of this operator and gave convergence criteria. He used it to obtain results involving q -symmetric expansions and q -orthogonal polynomials. Inspired by their work, we constructed the following q -exponential operator [5]

Definition 2.1. Let $\theta = \eta^{-1}D_q$, b, c are complex numbers. We define

$${}_1\Phi_0 \left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix} ; q, -c\theta \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b; q)_n (-c\theta)^n}{(q; q)_n}. \quad (4)$$

In [5], we have applied it to obtain some formal extensions of q -series formulas. Notice that the operator $E(d\theta)$ follows (4) by setting $c = dh$, $b = 1/h$, and taking $h = 0$. The following operator identities were given in [5]:

Lemma 2.1. If $s/\omega = q^{-N}$, $|cst/\omega| < 1$, where N is a non-negative integer, then

$$\begin{aligned} & {}_1\Phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -c\theta\right) \left\{ \frac{(as, at; q)_\infty}{(a\omega; q)_\infty} \right\} \\ &= \frac{(as, at, bct; q)_\infty}{(a\omega, ct; q)_\infty} {}_3\Phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} b, & s/\omega, & q/at \\ & q/ct, & q/a\omega \end{matrix}; q, q\right). \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Lemma 2.2. For $|cs| < 1$,

$${}_1\Phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -c\theta\right) \{(as; q)_\infty\} = \frac{(as, bcs; q)_\infty}{(cs; q)_\infty}. \quad (6)$$

Now let's return to the proof of Theorem 1.1. Employing

$$(q/a; q)_n = (-a)^{-n} q^{n(n+1)/2} \frac{(q^{-n}a; q)_\infty}{(a; q)_\infty}, \quad (7)$$

and setting $\alpha = q^{-M}$ in (1), we rewrite the new expression as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^M (q^{-M}; q)_n (\beta q^n; q)_\infty \tau^n &= \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (1 - q^{2n-M}\tau)}{(\tau; q)_{n+1}} (-q^{-M}\tau^2)^n \\ &\quad \times q^{n(3n-1)/2} \frac{(\beta q^{M-n}/\tau, \beta q^n; q)_\infty}{(\beta q^M/\tau; q)_\infty}. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

Applying the operator ${}_1\Phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -c\theta\right)$ to both sides of (8) with respect to the variable β then we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=0}^M (q^{-M}; q)_n \tau^n {}_1\Phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -c\theta\right) \{(\beta q^n; q)_\infty\} \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (1 - \tau q^{2n-M})}{(\tau; q)_{n+1}} (-q^{-M}\tau^2)^n \\ &\quad \times q^{n(3n-1)/2} {}_1\Phi_0\left(\begin{matrix} b \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -c\theta\right) \left\{ \frac{(\beta q^{M-n}/\tau, \beta q^n; q)_\infty}{(q^M\beta/\tau; q)_\infty} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

By (5) and (6), we have the relation

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}, c; q)_n}{(\beta, bc; q)_n} \tau^n &= \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (q^{1-M}\tau/\beta, c; q)_n (1 - \tau q^{2n-M})}{(\beta, bc; q)_n (\tau; q)_{n+1}} (\beta\tau)^n q^{n^2-n} \\ &\quad \times {}_3\Phi_2\left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, b, q^{1-n}/\beta \\ q^{1-M}\tau/\beta, q^{1-n}/c \end{matrix}; q, q\right). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

Using (7) again , we rewrite (9) as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}, c; q)_n}{(\beta; q)_n} \tau^n (bcq^n; q)_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (q^{1-M} \tau / \beta, c; q)_n (1 - \tau q^{2n-M})}{(\beta; q)_n (\tau; q)_{n+1}} (\beta \tau)^n q^{n^2-n} \\ \times \sum_{n_1=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} / \beta; q)_{n_1} q^{n_1}}{(q, q^{1-M} \tau / \beta, q^{1-n} / c; q)_{n_1}} \frac{(b, bcq^n; q)_\infty}{(bq^{n_1}; q)_\infty}. \quad (10)$$

Applying the operator ${}_1\Phi_0 \left(\begin{matrix} a_1 \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -a_2\theta \right)$ to both sides of (10) with respect to the variable b , from (5) and (6) and simplifying then we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}, c, a_2c; q)_n}{(\beta, bc, a_1a_2c; q)_n} \tau^n = \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (q^{1-M} \tau / \beta, c, a_2c; q)_n (1 - \tau q^{2n-M})}{(\beta, bc, a_1a_2c; q)_n (\tau; q)_{n+1}} (\beta \tau)^n q^{n^2-n} \\ \times \sum_{n_1=0}^n \frac{(q^{-n}, q^{1-n} / \beta, b; q)_{n_1}}{(q, q^{1-M} \tau / \beta, q^{1-n} / c; q)_{n_1}} q^{n_1} \sum_{n_2=0}^{n_1} \frac{(q^{-n_1}, q^{1-n} / bc, a_1; q)_{n_2}}{(q, q^{1-n} / a_2c, q^{1-n_1} / b; q)_{n_2}} q^{n_2}. \quad (11)$$

Replacing bc by b in (9), we have the case of $t = -1$. If we replace (bc, a_2c, a_1a_2c) by (b, a_2, a_1) in (11) respectively, we obtain the case of $t = 0$.

By induction, similar proof can be performed to get the equation (2).

Letting $t = -1$ in (2), and then setting $b = q^{1-M} \tau / \beta$, we have the following identity:

Corollary 2.1. If $|c| < 1$, then

$$\sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}, c; q)_n}{(\beta, q^{1-M} c \tau / \beta; q)_n} \tau^n \\ = \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(q^{-M}; q)_n (q^{1-M} \tau / \beta, \beta / c; q)_n (1 - \tau q^{2n-M})}{(\beta, q^{1-M} c \tau / \beta; q)_n (\tau; q)_{n+1}} (-c \tau)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}. \quad (12)$$

Combined with (12), we can get the following extension of the terminating very-well-poised ${}_6\Phi_5$ summation formula:

Theorem 2.1. For $|c| < 1$, $|e| < 1$ and $|\tau| < 1$

$$\sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(1 - \tau q^{2n})(\tau, q^{-M}; q)_n}{(1 - \tau)(q, \tau q^{M+1}; q)_n} (-c \tau q^M)^n q^{n(n-1)^2} \frac{(q/c, e \tau; q)_n}{(c \tau, de \tau; q)_n} \\ \times {}_3\Phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & q^{1-n} / c \tau, & d \\ & q^{1-n} / e \tau, & q/c \end{matrix}; q, q \right) = \frac{(\tau q, e \tau; q)_M}{(c \tau, de \tau; q)_M}. \quad (13)$$

Proof. Setting $\beta = q$ and replacing τ by τq^M in (12), we have

$$\frac{(\tau q; q)_M}{(c \tau; q)_M} = \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(1 - \tau q^{2n})(\tau, q/c, q^{-M}; q)_n}{(1 - \tau)(q, c \tau, \tau q^{M+1}; q)_n} (-c \tau q^M)^n q^{n(n-1)/2}. \quad (14)$$

Employing (7), we rewrite (14) as follows:

$$(\tau q; q)_M (c\tau q^M; q)_\infty = \sum_{n=0}^M \frac{(1 - \tau q^{2n})(\tau, q^{-M}; q)_n}{(1 - \tau)(q, \tau q^{M+1}; q)_n} (\tau q^M)^n q^{n^2} \frac{(cq^{-n}, c\tau q^n; q)_\infty}{(c; q)_\infty}. \quad (15)$$

Applying the operator ${}_1\Phi_0 \left(\begin{matrix} d \\ - \end{matrix}; q, -e\theta \right)$ to both sides of (15) with respect to the variable c , using (5) and (6) and simplifying then we complete the proof.

Taking $d = q/c$ then setting $e = cf/q$ in (13), we have

Corollary 2.2 (The terminating very-well-poised ${}_6\Phi_5$ summation formula).

$${}_6\Phi_5 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-M}, \tau, q\sqrt{\tau}, -q\sqrt{\tau}, q/c, q/f \\ \tau q^{M+1}, \sqrt{\tau}, -\sqrt{\tau}, c\tau, f\tau \end{matrix}; q, cf\tau q^{M-1} \right) = \frac{(\tau q, cf\tau/q; q)_M}{(c\tau, f\tau; q)_M}.$$

Remark: In the context of this paper, convergence of the basic hypergeometric series is no issue at all because they are terminating q -series.

Acknowledgements: I would like to thank the referees for their many valuable comments and suggestions. And I am grateful to professor D. Bowman who presented me some information about reference [3].

References

- [1] G. E. Andrews, *A Fine Dream*, Int. J. Number Theory, In Press, 2006.
- [2] B. C. Berndt, Ae Ja Yee, *Combinatorial Proofs of Identities in Ramanujan's Lost Notebook Associated with the Rogers-Fine Identity and False Theta Functions*, Ann. Comb., 7 (2003), 409–423.
- [3] D. Bowman, *q-Differential Operators, Orthogonal Polynomials, and Symmetric Expansions*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc., 159 (2002).
- [4] W. Y. C. Chen, Z.-G. Liu, *Parameter Augmentation For Basic Hypergeometric Series I*, In: B. E. Sagan, R. P. Stanley (Eds.), *Mathematical Essays in Honor of Gian-Carlo Rota*, Progr. Math., 161 (1998), 111–129.
- [5] J.-P. Fang, *q-Differential operator identities and applications*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 332 (2007), 1393–1407.
- [6] N. J. Fine, *Basic Hypergeometric Series and Applications*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1988.
- [7] G. Gasper, M. Rahman, *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, Ma, 1990.
- [8] Z.-G. Liu, *Some Operator Identities and q-Series Transformation Formulas*, Discrete Math., 265 (2003), 119–139.
- [9] L. J. Rogers, *On the expansion of some infinite products*, Proc. London Math.Soc., 24 (1893), 337–352.
- [10] L. J. Rogers, *On two theorems of combinatory analysis and some allied identities*, Proc. London Math.Soc., 16 (1917), 315–336.