

# Apéry's Double Sum is Plain Sailing Indeed

Carsten Schneider\*

Research Institute for Symbolic Computation  
J. Kepler University Linz  
A-4040 Linz, Austria  
Carsten.Schneider@risc.uni-linz.ac.at

Submitted: Dec 12, 2006; Accepted: Jan 19, 2007; Published: Jan 29, 2007  
Mathematics Subject Classification: 65B10,33F10,68W30

## Abstract

We demonstrate that also the second sum involved in Apéry's proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$  becomes trivial by symbolic summation.

In his beautiful survey [4], van der Poorten explained that Apéry's proof [1] of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$  relies on the following fact: If

$$a(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2$$

and

$$b(n) = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 \left( H_n^{(3)} + \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}} \right) \quad (1)$$

where  $H_n^{(3)} = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i^3}$  are the harmonic numbers of order three, then both sums  $a(n)$  and  $b(n)$  satisfy the same recurrence relation

$$(n+1)^3 A(n) - (2n+3)(17n^2 + 51n + 39) A(n+1) + (n+2)^3 A(n+2) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Van der Poorten points out that Henri Cohen and Don Zagier showed this key ingredient by "some rather complicated but ingenious explanations" [4, Section 8] based on the creative telescoping method.

Due to Doron Zeilberger's algorithmic breakthrough [9], the  $a(n)$ -case became a trivial exercise. Also the  $b(n)$ -case can be handled by skillful application of computer algebra: In [10] Zeilberger was able to generalize the Zagier/Cohen method in the setting of

---

\*Supported by the SFB-grant F1305 and the grant P16613-N12 of the Austrian FWF

WZ-forms. Later developments for multiple sums [8, 7] together with holonomic closure properties [5, 3] enable alternative computer proofs of the  $b(n)$ -case; see, e.g., [2].

Nowadays, also the  $b(n)$ -case is completely trivialized: Using the summation package **Sigma** [6] we get plain sailing – instead of plane sailing, cf. van der Poorten’s statement in [4, Section 8]. Namely, after loading the package into the computer algebra system **Mathematica**

```
In[1]:= << Sigma.m
```

```
Sigma - A summation package by Carsten Schneider © RISC-Linz
```

```
we insert our sum mySum =  $b(n)$ 
```

```
In[2]:= mySum =  $\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 \left( H_n^{(3)} + \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}} \right);$ 
```

```
and produce the desired recurrence with
```

```
In[3]:= GenerateRecurrence[mySum]
```

```
Out[3]=  $\{(n+1)^3 \text{SUM}[n] - (2n+3)(17n^2+51n+39) \text{SUM}[n+1] + (n+2)^3 \text{SUM}[n+2] == 0\}$ 
```

where  $\text{SUM}[n] = b(n) = \text{mySum}$ . The correctness proof is immediate from the proof certificates delivered by **Sigma**.

*Proof.* Set  $h(n, k) := \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{n}{k}$ ,  $s(n, k) := \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}}$ , and let  $f(n, k)$  be the summand of (1), i.e.,  $f(n, k) = h(n, k)^2 (H_n^{(3)} + s(n, k))$ . The correctness follows by the relation

$$s(n+1, k) = s(n, k) - \frac{1}{(n+1)^3} - \frac{(-1)^{k-1}}{(n+1)^2(n+k+1)h(n, k)} \quad (3)$$

and by the creative telescoping equation

$$c_0(n)f(n, k) + c_1(n)f(n+1, k) + c_2(n)f(n+2, k) = g(n, k+1) - g(n, k) \quad (4)$$

with the proof certificate given by  $c_0(n) = (n+1)^3$ ,  $c_1(n) = 17n^2 + 51n + 39$ ,  $c_2(n) = (n+2)^3$ , and

$$g(n, k) = \frac{h(n, k)^2 \left[ p_0(n, k) H_n^{(3)} + p_1(n, k) \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}} \right] + (-1)^k h(n, k) p_2(n, k)}{(n+1)^2(n+2)(-k+n+1)^2(-k+n+2)^2}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} p_0(n, k) &= 4k^4(n+1)^2(n+2)(2n+3)(2k^2 - 3k - 4n^2 - 12n - 8), \\ p_1(n, k) &= 4k^4(n+1)^2(n+2)(2n+3)(2k^2 - 3k - 4n^2 - 12n - 8), \\ p_2(n, k) &= k(k+n+1)(2n+3)(-8n^4 + 24kn^3 - 48n^3 - 31k^2n^2 + 109kn^2 \\ &\quad - 104n^2 + 13k^3n - 100k^2n + 159kn - 96n + 21k^3 - 81k^2 + 74k - 32). \end{aligned}$$

Relation (3) is straightforward to check: Take its shifted version in  $k$ , subtract the original version, and then verify equality of hypergeometric terms. To conclude that (4) holds for

all  $0 \leq k \leq n$  and all  $n \geq 0$  one proceeds as follows: Express  $g(n, k + 1)$  in (4) in terms of  $h(n, k)$  and  $s(n, k)$  by using the relations  $h(n, k + 1) = \frac{(n-k)(n+k+1)}{(k+1)^2}h(n, k)$  and  $s(n, k + 1) = s(n, k) + \frac{(-1)^k}{2(k+1)^3h(n, k+1)}$ . Similarly, express the  $f(n + i, k)$  in (4) in terms of  $h(n, k)$  and  $s(n, k)$  by using the relations  $h(n + 1, k) = \frac{n+k+1}{n-k+1}h(n, k)$  and (3). Then verify (4) by polynomial arithmetic. Finally, summing (4) over  $k$  from 0 to  $n$  gives Out[3] or (2).  $\square$

In conclusion, we remark that the harmonic numbers  $H_n^{(3)}$  in (1) are crucial to obtain the recurrence relation (2). More precisely, for the input sum

$$\sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{k}^2 \binom{n}{k}^2 \sum_{m=1}^k \frac{(-1)^{m-1}}{2m^3 \binom{n+m}{m} \binom{n}{m}}$$

Sigma is only able to derive a recurrence relation of order four.

## References

- [1] R. Apéry. Irrationalité de  $\zeta(2)$  et  $\zeta(3)$ . *Astérisque*, 61:11–13, 1979.
- [2] F. Chyzak. Variations on the sequence of Apéry numbers. <http://algo.inria.fr/libraries/autocomb/Apery-html/Apery.html>.
- [3] C. Mallinger. Algorithmic manipulations and transformations of univariate holonomic functions and sequences. Master’s thesis, RISC, J. Kepler University, Linz, 1996.
- [4] A. van der Poorten. A proof that Euler missed... Apéry’s proof of the irrationality of  $\zeta(3)$ . *Math. Intelligencer*, 1:195–203, 1979.
- [5] B. Salvy and P. Zimmermann. Gfun: A package for the manipulation of generating and holonomic functions in one variable. *ACM Trans. Math. Software*, 20:163–177, 1994.
- [6] C. Schneider. Symbolic summation assists combinatorics. *Sém. Lothar. Combin.*, 56:1–36, 2007. Article B56b.
- [7] K. Wegschaider. Computer generated proofs of binomial multi-sum identities. Diploma thesis, RISC Linz, Johannes Kepler University, 1997.
- [8] H. Wilf and D. Zeilberger. An algorithmic proof theory for hypergeometric (ordinary and “q”) multisum/integral identities. *Invent. Math.*, 108:575–633, 1992.
- [9] D. Zeilberger. The method of creative telescoping. *J. Symbolic Comput.*, 11:195–204, 1991.
- [10] D. Zeilberger. Closed form (pun intended!). *Contemp. Math.*, 143:579–607, 1993.