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#### Abstract

Let $F_{p}$ be a finite field of $p$ elements with $p$ prime. In this paper we show that for $A, B \subset F_{p}$ with $|B| \leq|A|<p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then $$
\max (|A+B|,|A B|) \gtrsim\left(\frac{|B|^{14}}{|A|^{13}}\right)^{1 / 18}|A| .
$$

This gives an explicit exponent in a sum-product estimate for different sets by Bourgain.


## 1 Introduction

The sum-product phenomenon has been intensively investigated, since Erdős and Szemerèdi made their well known conjecture that

$$
\max (|A+A|,|A A|) \geq C_{\epsilon}|A|^{2-\epsilon} \quad \forall \epsilon>0
$$

where $A$ is a finite subset of integers and

$$
A+A=\{a+b: a \in A, b \in A\}
$$

and

$$
A A=\{a b: a \in A, b \in A\}
$$

Much work has been done to find the explicit exponents and the best result to date is due to Solymosi [12] who showed that

$$
\max (|A+A|,|A A|) \geq C_{\epsilon}|A|^{\frac{4}{3}-\epsilon}
$$

From the work of Bourgain, Katz and Tao [1], with subsequent refinement by Bourgain, Glibichuk and Konyagin [2], it is known that one has the following sum-product result:

Theorem 1.1 If $A$ is a subset of $F_{p}$, the field of $p$ elements with $p$ prime and if $|A|<p^{1-\delta}$, where $\delta>0$, then one has the sum product estimate

$$
\max (|A+A|,|A A|) \geq|A|^{1+\epsilon}
$$

for some $\epsilon>0$.
Since then there are several generalizations and applications.(e.g. [1]-[4], [13]). For example, it was shown by Bourgain [3] that if $A, B \subset F_{p}$ and $P^{\delta}<|B| \leq|A|<p^{1-\delta}$, then for some $\epsilon>0$, one has

$$
\max (|A+B|,|A B|) \geq p^{\epsilon}|A|
$$

Nets Katz and the author [10] also obtained an analogous result in the sets of fields which are not necessarily of prime order under additional hypotheses, since it is known that the problem becomes more complicated in fields not of prime order due to the presence of non-trivial subfields or their dilates. Recently many quantitative versions of sum-product estimates in prime fields have been given (e.g. [4]-[11]). For example, in the paper [11] the author showed that if $A \subset F_{p}$ with $|A|<p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then

$$
\max (|A+A|,|F(A, A)|) \gtrsim|A|^{\frac{13}{12}} .
$$

where $\mathrm{F}: F_{p} \times F_{p}$ to $F_{p},(x, y) \rightarrow x(f(x)+b y)$, f is any function and $b \in F_{p}^{*}$. In the paper [7] Garaev showed that if $A, B \subset F_{p}^{*}$ then

$$
\max (|A+A|,|A B|) \gtrsim\left(\min \left\{|B|, \frac{p}{|A|}\right\}\right)^{1 / 25}|A|
$$

In this paper we give an explicit exponent on Bourgain's sum-product estimate and extend the result by Garaev from comparing $|A B|$ with $|A+A|$ to $|A B|$ with $|A+B|$, namely :

Theorem 1.2 Let $F_{p}$ be a finite field of $p$ elements with $p$ prime. Then for $A, B \subset F_{p}$ with $|B| \leq|A|<p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ we have

$$
\max (|A+B|,|A B|) \gtrsim\left(\frac{|B|^{14}}{|A|^{13}}\right)^{1 / 18}|A| .
$$

Remark 1.3 Taking $|B| \gtrsim|A|^{\frac{13}{14}+\delta}$ for some $\delta>0$, we get a generalization of the result by Bourgain, Katz and Tao [1].

## 2 Preliminaries

Throughout this paper $A$ will denote a fixed set in the field $F_{p}$ of $p$ elements with $p$ prime. For $B$, any set, we will denote its cardinality by $|B|$. Whenever $X$ and $Y$ are quantities we will use

$$
X \lesssim Y
$$

to mean

$$
X \leq C Y
$$

where the constant $C$ is universal (i.e. independent of $p$ and $A$ ). The constant $C$ may vary from line to line. We will use

$$
X \lesssim Y,
$$

to mean

$$
X \leq C(\log |A|)^{\alpha} Y
$$

and $X \approx Y$ to mean $X \lesssim Y$ and $Y \lesssim X$, where $C$ and $\alpha$ may vary from line to line but are universal.

We give some preliminary lemmas. The first two can be found in [9].
Lemma 2.1 Let $A_{1} \subset F_{p}$ with $1<\left|A_{1}\right|<p^{\frac{1}{2}}$. Then for any elements $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ so that

$$
\frac{b_{1}-b_{2}}{a_{1}-a_{2}}+1 \notin \frac{A_{1}-A_{1}}{A_{1}-A_{1}}
$$

we have that for any $A^{\prime} \subset A_{1}$ with $\left|A^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim\left|A_{1}\right|$

$$
\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) A^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim\left|A_{1}\right|^{2} .
$$

In particular such $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2}$ exist unless $\frac{A_{1}-A_{1}}{A_{1}-A_{1}}=F_{p}$. In case $\frac{A_{1}-A_{1}}{A_{1}-A_{1}}=F_{p}$, we may find $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in A_{1}$ so that

$$
\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A_{1}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) A_{1}\right| \gtrsim\left|A_{1}\right|^{2} .
$$

Lemma 2.2 Let $X, B_{1}, \ldots, B_{k}$ be any subsets of $F_{p}$. Then there is $X^{\prime} \subset X$ with $\left|X^{\prime}\right|>$ $\frac{1}{2}|X|$ so that

$$
\left|X^{\prime}+B_{1}+\ldots B_{k}\right| \lesssim \frac{\left|X+B_{1}\right| \ldots\left|X+B_{k}\right|}{|X|^{k-1}}
$$

Lemma 2.3 Let $C$ and $D$ be sets with $|D| \gtrsim \frac{|C|}{K_{1}}$ and with $|C+D| \leq K_{2}|C|$. Then there is $C^{\prime} \subset C$ with $\left|C^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{9}{10}|C|$ so that $C^{\prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K_{1} K_{2}$ translates of $D$. Similarly there is $C^{\prime \prime} \subset C$ of the same size so that $-C^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K_{1} K_{2}$ translates of $D$.

Proof. To prove the first half of the statement, it suffices to show that we can find one translate of $D$ whose intersection with $C$ is at least $|C| / K_{1} K_{2}$. Once we find such a translate, we remove the intersection and then iterate. We stop when the size of the remaining part of $C$ is less than $|C| / 10$. To prove the second half of the statement we have to show there is a translate of D whose intersection with $-C$ is at least $|C| / K_{1} K_{2}$. First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$
\left|\left(c, d, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \in C \times D \times C \times D: c+d=c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{|C|^{2}|D|^{2}}{|C+D|}
$$

which implies that

$$
\left|\left(c, d, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime}\right) \in C \times D \times C \times D: c+d=c^{\prime}+d^{\prime}\right| \geq \frac{|C||D|^{2}}{K_{2}}
$$

The quantity on the left hand side is equal to

$$
\sum_{c \in C} \sum_{d^{\prime} \in D}\left|(c+D) \cap\left(C+d^{\prime}\right)\right| .
$$

Thus we can find $c \in C$ and $d^{\prime} \in D$ so that

$$
\left|(c+D) \cap\left(C+d^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq \frac{|D|}{K_{2}} \gtrsim \frac{|C|}{K_{1} K_{2}} .
$$

Hence, $\left|\left(c-d^{\prime}+D\right) \cap C\right| \gtrsim|C| / K_{1} K_{2}$ which is just what we wanted to prove. To prove the second half of the statement we start with the inequality

$$
\sum_{d \in D} \sum_{c \in C}|(C-d) \cap(c-D)| \geq \frac{|C||D|^{2}}{K_{2}}
$$

Proceeding as above, we find $c \in C$ and $d \in D$ such that

$$
|(c+d-D) \cap C| \gtrsim \frac{|C|}{K_{1} K_{2}}
$$

and the result follows.

## 3 Proof of Main Theorem

Proof. We start with $|A+B| \leq K|A|$ and $|A B| \leq K|A|$. Then by using Plünnecke's inequality (see Ch $6,[14]$ ), we have $|B+B+B+B| \leq K^{4}|A|$ and $|B+B+B+B+B+B| \leq$ $K^{6}|A|$. First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$
\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{a^{\prime} \in A}\left|a B \cap a^{\prime} B\right| \geq \frac{|A||B|^{2}}{K}
$$

Therefore, following Garaev's arguments [5], we can find $A^{\prime} \subset A, a_{0} \in A$ so that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim K^{-\beta}|B|
$$

for some $\beta \geq 0$ and for every $a \in A^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left|a B \cap a_{0} B\right| \gtrsim K^{\beta-1} \frac{|B|^{2}}{|A|}
$$

In the argument as in Garaev [5], the worst case is $\beta=0$, so let us assume that for simplicity. Now there are two cases. In the first case, we have

$$
\frac{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}=F_{p}
$$

If so, applying Lemma 2.1, we can find $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in A^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) A^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|a_{1} A^{\prime}-a_{2} A^{\prime}+b_{1} A^{\prime}-b_{2} A^{\prime}\right|
$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.3 to find $A^{\prime \prime}$ whose size is at least $6 / 10$ of $A^{\prime}$ so that each of $a_{1} A^{\prime \prime}$, $-a_{2} A^{\prime \prime}, b_{1} A^{\prime \prime}$ and $-b_{2} A^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K^{2} \frac{|A|^{2}}{|B|^{2}}$ translates of $a_{1} B \cap a_{0} B, a_{2} B \cap a_{0} B$, $b_{1} B \cap a_{0} B$ and $b_{2} B \cap a_{0} B$ respectively. Therefore $a_{1} A^{\prime \prime}-a_{2} A^{\prime \prime}+b_{1} A^{\prime \prime}-b_{2} A^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K^{8}\left(\frac{|A|^{2}}{|B|^{2}}\right)^{4}$ translates of $a_{1} B \cap a_{0} B+a_{2} B \cap a_{0} B+b_{1} B \cap a_{0} B+b_{2} B \cap a_{0} B$. Hence we have

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim K^{8}\left(\frac{|A|^{2}}{|B|^{2}}\right)^{4}|B+B+B+B| \leq K^{12} \frac{|A|^{9}}{|B|^{8}}
$$

which gives that

$$
K \gtrsim\left(\frac{|B|^{10}}{|A|^{9}}\right)^{\frac{1}{12}}
$$

So that we have more than we need in this case. Now we are left with the case that

$$
\frac{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}} \neq F_{p}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we can find $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in A^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right) A^{\prime}\right|
$$

We apply Lemma 2.2 with $X=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}$ and proceed as above, we get

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim K^{12}\left(\frac{|A|^{2}}{|B|^{2}}\right)^{6}|B+B+B+B+B+B| \lesssim K^{18} \frac{|A|^{13}}{|B|^{12}}
$$

which implies

$$
K \gtrsim\left(\frac{|B|^{14}}{|A|^{13}}\right)^{\frac{1}{18}}
$$

and this completes the proof.
We note that from the result in [11] and Plünnecke sumset inequality ( see Ch 6, [14]), we have that if $|B| \sim|A|<p^{1 / 2}$ then

$$
\max (|A+B|,|A B|) \gtrsim|A|^{25 / 24}
$$

Here we show that by using Lemma 2.3 we can get a better exponent.

Theorem 3.1 Let $A, B \subset F_{p}$ with $|B| \sim|A|<p^{\frac{1}{2}}$ then

$$
\max (|A+B|,|A B|) \gtrsim|A|^{\frac{15}{14}}
$$

Remark 3.2 Taking $A=B$, it corresponds to the result by Garaev [5] who showed that

$$
\max (|A+A|,|A A|) \gtrsim|A|^{\frac{15}{14}}
$$

Proof. We start with $|A+B| \leq K|A|$ and $|A B| \leq K|A|$. By using Plünnecke's inequality (see Ch $6,[14]$ ), we have $|A+A| \leq K^{2}|A|$ and $|B+B+B+B| \leq K^{4}|A|$. First, by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, we have that

$$
\sum_{a \in A} \sum_{a^{\prime} \in A}\left|a B \cap a^{\prime} B\right| \geq \frac{|A|^{3}}{K} .
$$

Therefore, following the same arguments as Garaev's [5], we can find $A^{\prime} \subset A$ and $a_{0} \in A$ and a number $N \gtrsim \frac{|A|}{K}$ so that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right| \gtrsim|A|
$$

and for every $a \in A^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left|a B \cap a_{0} B\right| \sim N
$$

Now there are two cases. In the first case, we have

$$
\frac{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}=F_{p}
$$

If so, applying Lemma 2.1, we can find $a_{1}, a_{2}, b_{1}, b_{2} \in A^{\prime}$ so that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(b_{1}-b_{2}\right) A^{\prime}\right| \leq\left|a_{1} A^{\prime}-a_{2} A^{\prime}+b_{1} A^{\prime}-b_{2} A^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.3 to find $A^{\prime \prime}$ whose size is at least $6 / 10$ of $A^{\prime}$ so that each of $a_{1} A^{\prime \prime}$, $-a_{2} A^{\prime \prime}, b_{1} A^{\prime \prime}$ and $-b_{2} A^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K^{2}$ translates of $a_{1} B \cap a_{0} B, a_{2} B \cap a_{0} B$, $b_{1} B \cap a_{0} B$ and $b_{2} B \cap a_{0} B$ respectively. Then $a_{1} A^{\prime \prime}-a_{2} A^{\prime \prime}+b_{1} A^{\prime \prime}-b_{2} A^{\prime \prime}$ can be covered by $\sim K^{8}$ translates of $a_{0} B+a_{0} B+a_{0} B+a_{0} B$. Since $\left|4 a_{0} B\right|=|B+B+B+B| \lesssim K^{4}|A|$. Thus we get $K \gtrsim|A|^{1 / 12} \gtrsim|A|^{1 / 14}$, so that we have more than we need in this case. Now we are left with the case that

$$
\frac{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}}{A^{\prime}-A^{\prime}} \neq F_{p}
$$

Applying Lemma 2.1, we can find $a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}, a_{4} \in A^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right) A^{\prime}\right| .
$$

Now we apply Lemma 2.2 with $X=\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}$ to get

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim \frac{|A+A|}{\left|A^{\prime}\right|}\left|\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) A^{\prime}+\left(a_{3}-a_{4}\right) A^{\prime}\right|
$$

Proceeding as above, we get

$$
\left|A^{\prime}\right|^{2} \lesssim K^{14}|A|
$$

which implies that $K \gtrsim|A|^{1 / 14}$.
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