On Subsequence Sums of a Zero-sum Free Sequence II

Weidong Gao[1], Yuanlin Li[2], Jiangtao Peng[3] and Fang Sun[4]

[1,3,4]Center for Combinatorics, LPMC Nankai University,
Tianjin, P.R. China

[2]Department of Mathematics Brock University,
St. Catharines, Ontario Canada L2S 3A1

[1]gao@cfc.nankai.edu.cn, [2]yli@brocku.ca,
[3]pjt821111@cfc.nankai.edu.cn, [4]sunfang2005@163.com

Submitted: Apr 29, 2008; Accepted: Sep 2, 2008; Published: Sep 15, 2008
Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B

Abstract

Let G be an additive finite abelian group with exponent $\exp(G) = n$. For a sequence S over G, let $\mathsf{f}(S)$ denote the number of non-zero group elements which can be expressed as a sum of a nontrivial subsequence of S. We show that for every zero-sum free sequence S over G of length $|S| = n + 1$ we have $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 3n - 1$.

1 Introduction and Main results

Let G be an additive finite abelian group with exponent $\exp(G) = n$ and let S be a sequence over G (we follow the conventions of [5] concerning sequences over abelian groups; details are recalled in Section 2). We denote by $\Sigma(S)$ the set of all subsums of S, and by $\mathsf{f}(G, S) = \mathsf{f}(S)$ the number of nonzero group elements which can be expressed as a sum of a nontrivial subsequence of S (thus $\mathsf{f}(S) = |\Sigma(S) \setminus \{0\}|$).

In 1972, R.B. Eggleton and P. Erdős (see [2]) first tackled the problem of determining the minimal cardinality of $\Sigma(S)$ for squarefree zero-sum free sequences (that is for zero-sum free subsets of G), see [7] for recent progress. For general sequences the problem was first studied by J.E. Olson and E.T. White in 1977 (see Lemma 2.5). In a recent new approach [16], the fourth author of this paper proved that every zero-sum free sequence S over G of length $|S| = n$ satisfies $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 2n - 1$. A main result of the present paper runs as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{n_r}$ be a finite abelian group with $1 < n_1 \mid \ldots \mid n_r$. If $r \ge 2$ and $n_{r-1} \ge 3$, then every zero-sum free sequence S over G of length $|S| = n_r + 1$ satisfies $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 3n_r - 1$.*

This partly confirms a former conjecture of B. Bollobás and I. Leader, which is outlined in Section 6. All information on the minimal cardinality of $\Sigma(S)$ can successfully applied to the investigation of a great variety of problems in combinatorial and additive number theory. In the final section of this paper we will discuss applications to the study of $\Sigma_{|G|}(S)$, a topic which has been studied by many authors (see [14], [3], [13], [12], [10], [11] and the surveys [5, 8]). In particular, Theorem 1.1 and a result of B. Bollobás and I. Leader (see Theorem **A** in Section 6) has the following consequence.

Corollary 1.2. *Let G be a finite abelian group with exponent $\exp(G) = n$, and let S be a sequence over G of length $|S| = |G| + n$. Then, either $0 \in \sum_{|G|}(S)$ or $|\sum_{|G|}(S)| \ge 3n - 1$.*

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we fix notation and gather the necessary tools from additive group theory. In Section 3 we prove a crucial result (Theorem 3.2) whose corollary answers a question of H. Snevily. In Section 4 we continue to present some more preliminary results which will be used in the proof of the main result 1.1, which will finally be given in Section 5. In Section 6 we briefly discuss some applications.

Throughout this paper, let G denote an additive finite abelian group.

2 Notation and some results from additive group theory

Our notation and terminology are consistent with [5] and [9]. We briefly gather some key notions and fix the notation concerning sequences over abelian groups. Let $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of positive integers and let $\mathbb{N}_0 = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. For real numbers $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $[a, b] = \{x \in \mathbb{Z} \mid a \le x \le b\}$.

Throughout, all abelian groups will be written additively. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let C_n denote a cyclic group with n elements.

Let $A, B \subset G$ be nonempty subsets. Then $A + B = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in B\}$ denotes their *sumset*. The *stabilizer* of A is defined as $\mathrm{Stab}(A) = \{g \in G \mid g + A = A\}$, A is called *periodic* if $\mathrm{Stab}(A) \ne \{0\}$, and we set $-A = \{-a \mid a \in A\}$.

An s-tuple $(e_1, \ldots, e_s)$ of elements of G is said to be *independent* if $e_i \ne 0$ for all $i \in [1, s]$ and, for every s-tuple $(m_1, \ldots, m_s) \in \mathbb{Z}^s$,

$$m_1 e_1 + \ldots + m_s e_s = 0 \qquad \text{implies} \qquad m_1 e_1 = \ldots = m_s e_s = 0\,.$$

An s-tuple $(e_1, \ldots, e_s)$ of elements of G is called a *basis* if it is independent and $G = \langle e_1 \rangle \oplus \ldots \oplus \langle e_s \rangle$.

Let $\mathcal{F}(G)$ be the multiplicative, free abelian monoid with basis G. The elements of $\mathcal{F}(G)$ are called *sequences* over G. We write sequences $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ in the form

$$S = \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathsf{v}_g(S)}\,, \quad \text{with} \quad \mathsf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \quad \text{for all} \quad g \in G\,.$$

We call $\mathsf{v}_g(S)$ the *multiplicity* of g in S, and we say that S *contains* g if $\mathsf{v}_g(S) > 0$. A sequence S_1 is called a *subsequence* of S if $S_1 \,|\, S$ in $\mathcal{F}(G)$ (equivalently, $\mathsf{v}_g(S_1) \le \mathsf{v}_g(S)$ for all $g \in G$). Given two sequences $S, T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, we denote by $\gcd(S,T)$ the longest subsequence dividing both S and T. If a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is written in the form $S = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_l$, we tacitly assume that $l \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $g_1, \ldots, g_l \in G$.

For a sequence

$$S \;=\; g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_l \;=\; \prod_{g \in G} g^{\mathsf{v}_g(S)} \;\in \mathcal{F}(G)\,,$$

we call

$$|S| = l = \sum_{g \in G} \mathsf{v}_g(S) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \qquad \text{the } \textit{length} \text{ of } S\,,$$

$$\mathsf{h}(S) = \max\{\mathsf{v}_g(S) \mid g \in G\} \in [0, |S|]$$

$$\text{the } \textit{maximum of the multiplicities} \text{ of } S\,,$$

$$\operatorname{supp}(S) = \{g \in G \mid \mathsf{v}_g(S) > 0\} \subset G \qquad \text{the } \textit{support} \text{ of } S\,,$$

$$\sigma(S) = \sum_{i=1}^{l} g_i = \sum_{g \in G} \mathsf{v}_g(S) g \in G \qquad \text{the } \textit{sum} \text{ of } S\,,$$

$$\Sigma_k(S) = \Big\{ \sum_{i \in I} g_i \;\Big|\; I \subset [1,l] \text{ with } |I| = k \Big\}$$

$$\text{the } \textit{set of } k\textit{-term subsums} \text{ of } S\,, \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}\,,$$

$$\Sigma_{\le k}(S) = \bigcup_{j \in [1,k]} \Sigma_j(S)\,, \qquad \Sigma_{\ge k}(S) = \bigcup_{j \ge k} \Sigma_j(S)\,,$$

and

$$\Sigma(S) = \Sigma_{\ge 1}(S) \;\; \text{the } \textit{set of (all) subsums} \text{ of } S\,.$$

The sequence S is called

- *zero-sum free* if $0 \notin \Sigma(S)$,
- a *zero-sum sequence* if $\sigma(S) = 0$,
- a *minimal zero-sum sequence* if $1 \ne S$, $\sigma(S) = 0$, and every $S' | S$ with $1 \le |S'| < |S|$ is zero-sum free.

We denote by $\mathcal{A}(G) \subset \mathcal{F}(G)$ the set of all minimal zero-sum sequences over G. Every map of abelian groups $\varphi\colon G \to H$ extends to a homomorphism $\varphi\colon \mathcal{F}(G) \to \mathcal{F}(H)$ where $\varphi(S) = \varphi(g_1) \cdot \ldots \cdot \varphi(g_l)$. If φ is a homomorphism, then $\varphi(S)$ is a zero-sum sequence if and only if $\sigma(S) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$.

Let $\mathsf{D}(G)$ denote the smallest integer $l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that every sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ of length $|S| \ge l$ has a zero-sum subsequence. Equivalently, we have $\mathsf{D}(G) = \max\{|S| \mid S \in \mathcal{A}(G)\}$, and $\mathsf{D}(G)$ is called the *Davenport constant* of G.

We shall need the following results on the Davenport constant (proofs can be found in [9, Proposition 5.1.4 and Proposition 5.5.8.2.(c)]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a zero-sum free sequence.*

1. *If $|S| = \mathsf{D}(G) - 1$, then $\Sigma(S) = G \setminus \{0\}$, and hence $\mathsf{f}(S) = |G| - 1$.*

2. *If G is a p-group and $|S| = \mathsf{D}(G) - 2$, then there exist a subgroup $H \subset G$ and an element $x \in G \setminus H$ such that $G \setminus \big(\Sigma(S) \cup \{0\}\big) \subset x + H$.*

Lemma 2.2. *Let $G = C_{n_1} \bigoplus C_{n_2}$ with $1 \le n_1 \mid n_2$, and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$.*

1. $\mathsf{D}(C_{n_1} \bigoplus C_{n_2}) = n_1 + n_2 - 1$.

2. *If S has length $|S| = 2n_1 + n_2 - 2$, then S has a zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| \in [1, n_2]$.*

3. *If S has length $|S| = n_1 + 2n_2 - 2$, then S has a zero-sum subsequence W of length $|W| \in \{n_2, 2n_2\}$.*

Proof. 1. and 2. follow from [9, Theorem 5.8.3].
3. See [5, Theorem 6.7]. □

Proofs of the two following classical addition theorems can be found in [9, Theorem 5.2.6 and Corollary 5.2.8].

Lemma 2.3. *Let $A, B \subset G$ be nonempty subsets.*

1. *(Cauchy-Davenport) If G is cyclic of order $|G| = p \in \mathbb{P}$, then $|A+B| \ge \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\}$.*

2. *(Kneser) If $H = \mathrm{Stab}(A + B)$ denotes the stabilizer of $A + B$, then $|A + B| \ge |A + H| + |B + H| - |H|$.*

We continue with some crucial definitions going back to R.B. Eggleton and P. Erdős. For a sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ let

$$\mathsf{f}(G, S) = \mathsf{f}(S) = |\Sigma(S) \setminus \{0\}| \quad \text{be the } \textit{number of nonzero subsums} \text{ of } S\,.$$

Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. We define

$$\mathsf{F}(G, k) = \min\big\{|\Sigma(S)| \;\big|\; S \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is a zero-sum free and squarefree sequence of length } |S| = k\big\}\,,$$

and we denote by $\mathsf{F}(k)$ the minimum of all $\mathsf{F}(A, k)$ where A runs over all finite abelian groups A having a squarefree and zero-sum free sequence of length k. Furthermore, we set

$$\mathsf{f}(G, k) = \min\big\{|\Sigma(S)| \;\big|\; S \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is zero-sum free of length } |S| = k\big\}\,.$$

By definition, we have $\mathsf{f}(G,k) \le \mathsf{F}(G,k)$. Since there is no zero-sum sequence S of length $|S| \ge \mathsf{D}(G)$, we have $\mathsf{f}(G,k) = 0$ for $k \ge \mathsf{D}(G)$. The following simple example provides an upper bound for $\mathsf{f}(G,\cdot)$ which will be used frequently in the sequel (see also Conjecture 6.2).

Example 1. Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{n_r}$ with $r \ge 2$, $1 < n_1 \mid \ldots \mid n_r$ and let $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ be a basis of G with $\mathrm{ord}(e_i) = n_i$ for all $i \in [1,r]$. For $k \in [0, n_{r-1}-2]$ we set

$$S = e_r^{n_r-1} e_{r-1}^{k+1} \in \mathcal{F}(G) \,.$$

Clearly, S is zero-sum free, $|S| = n_r + k$ and $\mathsf{f}(S) = (k+2)n_r - 1$. Thus we get $\mathsf{f}(G, n_r+k) \le (k+2)n_r - 1$.

Lemma 2.4. *[9, Theorem 5.3.1] If $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $S = S_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot S_t \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is zero-sum free, then*

$$\mathsf{f}(S) \ge \mathsf{f}(S_1) + \ldots + \mathsf{f}(S_t) \,.$$

Lemma 2.5. *[15] Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be zero-sum free. If $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S) \rangle$ is not cyclic, then*

$$|\Sigma(S)| \ge 2|S| - 1 \,.$$

Lemma 2.6. *[7, Lemma 2.3] Let $S = S_1 S_2 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, $H = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1) \rangle$ and let $\varphi \colon G \to G/H$ denote the canonical epimorphism. Then we have*

$$\mathsf{f}(S) \ge \big(1 + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(S_2))\big)\mathsf{f}(S_1) + \mathsf{f}\big(\varphi(S_2)\big) \,.$$

Lemma 2.7.

1. *$\mathsf{F}(1) = 1$, $\mathsf{F}(2) = 3$, $\mathsf{F}(3) = 5$ and $\mathsf{F}(4) = 8$.*

2. *If $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is squarefree, zero-sum free of length $|S| = 3$ and contains no elements of order 2, then $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 6$.*

3. *$\mathsf{F}(5) = 13$ and $\mathsf{F}(6) = 19$.*

Proof. 1. See [9, Corollary 5.3.4.1].
2. See [9, Proposition 5.3.2.2].
3. See [7]. □

The proof of the following lemma follows the lines of the proof of [7, Theorem 1.3].

Lemma 2.8. *Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be zero-sum free of length $|S| \ge 2$. If $\mathsf{f}(S) \le 3|S| - 5$, then $\mathsf{h}(S) \ge \max\{2, \frac{3|S|+5}{17}\}$.*

Proof. Let $q \in \mathbb{N}_0$ be maximal such that S has a representation in the form $S = S_0 S_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot S_q$ with $S_0 \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and squarefree, zero-sum free sequences $S_1, \ldots, S_q \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ of length $|S_\nu| = 6$ for all $\nu \in [1, q]$. Among all those representations of S choose one for which $d = |\operatorname{supp}(S_0)|$ is maximal, and set $S_0 = g_1^{r_1} \cdot \ldots \cdot g_d^{r_d}$, where $g_1, \ldots, g_d \in G$ are pairwise distinct, $d \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $r_1 \geq \cdots \geq r_d \in \mathbb{N}$. Since q is maximal, we have $d \in [0, 5]$.

Assume to the contrary that $r_1 \leq 1$. Then either $d = 0$ or $r_1 = \ldots = r_d = 1$, and for convenience we set $\mathsf{F}(0) = 0$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 2.7, we obtain that

$$\mathsf{f}(S) \geq \mathsf{f}(S_1) + \ldots + \mathsf{f}(S_q) + \mathsf{F}(d) \geq 19q + \mathsf{F}(d) = 3|S| - 4 + q + \mathsf{F}(d) - 3d + 4 \geq 3|S| - 4\,,$$

a contradiction.

Therefore, $\mathsf{h}(S) \geq r_1 \geq 2$, and we set $g = g_1$. We assert that $\mathsf{v}_g(S_i) \geq 1$ for all $i \in [1, q]$. Assume to the contrary that there exists some $i \in [1, q]$ with $g \nmid S_i$. Since $|S_i| = 6 > d$, there is an $h \in \operatorname{supp}(S_i)$ with $h \nmid S_0$. Since S may be written in the form

$$S = (hg^{-1}S_0)S_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot S_{i-1}(gh^{-1}S_i)S_{i+1} \cdot \ldots \cdot S_q\,,$$

and $|\operatorname{supp}(hg^{-1}S_0)| > |\operatorname{supp}(S_0)|$, we get a contradiction to the maximality of $|\operatorname{supp}(S_0)|$. Therefore, $\mathsf{h}(S) \geq \mathsf{v}_g(S) = q + r_1 \geq 2$.

Clearly, S_0 allows a product decomposition

$$S_0 = \prod_{i=1}^{5} T_i^{q_i}\,,$$

where, for all $i \in [1, 5]$, $T_i = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_i$ and $q_i = r_i - r_{i+1}$, with $r_6 = 0$. Thus we get $q_1 + \ldots + q_5 = r_1 = \mathsf{v}_g(S_0)$, $q_1 + 2q_2 + 3q_3 + 4q_4 + 5q_5 = |S_0|$ and

$$q_1 + 2q_2 + 3q_3 + 4q_4 + 5q_5 + 6q = |S|\,.$$

By Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.7 we obtain that

$$q_1 + 3q_2 + 5q_3 + 8q_4 + 13q_5 + 19q \leq \mathsf{f}(S) \leq 3|S| - 5\,.$$

Using the last two relations we infer that

$$\begin{aligned}&17q + 17q_5 + 16q_4 + 13q_3 + 9q_2 + 5q_1 = \\ &6(q_1 + 2q_2 + 3q_3 + 4q_4 + 5q_5 + 6q) - (q_1 + 3q_2 + 5q_3 + 8q_4 + 13q_5 + 19q) \geq 3|S| + 5\,,\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\mathsf{h}(S) \geq \mathsf{v}_g(S) = q + r_1 = q + q_1 + \ldots + q_5 \geq \frac{3|S| + 5}{17}\,. \qquad \square$$

3 Sums and Element Orders

Theorem 3.2 in this section will be used repeatedly to deduce Theorem 1.1 and it also has its own interest. Moreover, its corollary answers a question of H. Snevily. We first prove a lemma.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $A \subset G$ be a finite nonempty subset.*

1. *If $x + A = A$ for some $x \in G$, then $|A|x = 0$.*
2. *Let $r \in \mathbb{N}$, $y_1, \ldots, y_r \in G$ and $k = \min\{\operatorname{ord}(y_i) \mid i \in [1, r]\}$. Then $|\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A| \geq \min\{k, r + |A|\}$.*

Proof. 1. Since $x + A = A$, we have that

$$|A|x + \sum_{a \in A} a = \sum_{a \in A}(x + a) = \sum_{a \in A} a.$$

Therefore, $|A|x = 0$.

2. We proceed by induction on r. Let $r = 1$. If $|\sum(0y_1) + A| \geq 1 + |A|$ then we are done. Otherwise, $\sum(0y_1) + A = (y_1 + A) \cup A = A$. This forces that $y_1 + A = A$. By 1., we have $|A|y_1 = 0$. Therefore, $k \leq \operatorname{ord}(y_1) \leq |A|$, and thus $|\sum(0y_1)+A| = |A| \geq \operatorname{ord}(y_1) \geq k$. So, $|\sum(0y_1) + A| \geq \min\{k, 1 + |A|\}$.

Suppose that $r \geq 2$ and that the assertion is true for $r - 1$. Let $B = \sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{r-1}) + A$. If $|\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A| \geq 1 + |B|$, then by induction hypothesis, we have that $|\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A| \geq 1 + |B| \geq 1 + \min\{k, r - 1 + |A|\} \geq \min\{k, r + |A|\}$ and we are done. So, we may assume that $|\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A| \leq |B|$. Note that $\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A = (y_r + (\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{r-1}) + A)) \cup (\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_{r-1}) + A) = (y_r + B) \cup B$. We must have $y_r + B = B$. By 1., we have $|B|y_r = 0$, and thus $k \leq \operatorname{ord}(y_r) \leq |B|$. Therefore, $|\sum(0y_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot y_r) + A| \geq |B| \geq k$. This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 3.2. *Let $S = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_k \in \mathcal{F}(G \setminus \{0\})$ be a sequence of length $|S| = k \geq 2$, and set $q = |\{0\} \cup \sum(S)|$.*

1. *If T is a proper subsequence of S such that $|\{0\} \cup \sum(U)| = |\{0\} \cup \sum(T)|$ for every subsequence U of S with $T|U$ and $|U| = |T| + 1$, then $\{0\} \cup \sum(T) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$.*
2. *For any nontrivial subsequence V_0 of S, there is a subsequence V of S with $V_0|V$, such that $|\{0\} \cup \sum(V)| - |V| \geq |\{0\} \cup \sum(V_0)| - |V_0|$ and $\{0\} \cup \sum(V) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$.*
3. *Suppose that $q \leq |S|$. Then there is a proper subsequence W of S such that $\{0\} \cup \sum(W) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$ and $|W| \leq q - 1$. Moreover, $qx = 0$ for every term $x \in SW^{-1}$.*
4. *If $q \leq |S|$ and $a_i \notin \{a_1, -a_1\}$ for some $i \in [2, k]$, then we can find a W with all properties stated in (3) such that $|W| \leq q - 2$.*
5. *Suppose that $q \leq |S|$. There is a subsequence T of S with $|T| \geq |S| - q + 2$ such that $|\langle \operatorname{supp}(T) \rangle| \mid q$.*

Proof. 1. Let $ST^{-1} = g_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot g_l$. By the assumption,

$$\{0\} \cup \sum(g_i T) = \{0\} \cup \sum(T)$$

holds for every $i \in [1, l]$, or equivalently,

$$\{0\} \cup \{g_i\} + \{0\} \cup \sum(T) = \{0\} \cup \sum(T)$$

for every $i \in [1, t]$. Therefore,

$$\{0\} \cup \sum(S) = \{0\} \cup \{g_1\} + \{0\} \cup \{g_2\} + \ldots + \{0\} \cup \{g_t\} + \{0\} \cup \sum(T) = \{0\} \cup \sum(T).$$

2. Let V be a subsequence of S with maximal length such that $V_0|V$ and $|\{0\} \cup \sum(V)| - |V| \geq |\{0\} \cup \sum(V_0)| - |V_0|$. If $V = S$, then clearly the result holds. Next, we may assume that V is a proper subsequence. It is not hard to show that V satisfies the assumption in 1.. By 1. we conclude that $\{0\} \cup \sum(V) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$.

3. Let W be a subsequence of S with maximal length such that $|\{0\} \cup \sum(W)| \geq |W| + 1$. Then $|W| \leq |\{0\} \cup \sum(W)| - 1 \leq |\{0\} \cup \sum(S)| - 1 = q - 1 < |S|$. Therefore, W is a proper subsequence of S.

Using the maximality of W, we can easily verify that W satisfies the assumption in 1.. It follows from 1. that $\{0\} \cup \sum(W) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$. Since for each $x \in SW^{-1}$, $|x + \{0\} \cup \sum(S)| = |\{0\} \cup \sum(S)|$ and $x + \{0\} \cup \sum(S) = x + \{0\} \cup \sum(W) \subset \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$, we obtain that $x + \{0\} \cup \sum(S) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$. It now follows from Lemma 3.1 that $qx = 0$ holds for every $x \in SW^{-1}$.

4. Let $V_0 = a_1 a_i$. Then $|\{0\} \cup \sum(V_0)| - |V_0| = 4 - 2 = 2$. By 2., there exists a subsequence W such that $|\{0\} \cup \sum(W)| - |W| \geq 2$ and $\{0\} \cup \sum(W) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$. Thus $|W| \leq q - 2 \leq |S| - 2$, and therefore, clearly W is a proper subsequence of S. As in 3., we can prove that $qx = 0$ holds for every $x \in SW^{-1}$.

5. If $a_i \in \{a_1, -a_1\}$ holds for every $i \in [2, k]$, then by 3. we have that $qa_i = 0$ for some i. Since $a_i = \pm a_1$, we have $qa_1 = 0$ and $\mathrm{ord}(a_1)$ divides q. Let $T = S$. Then $|\langle \mathrm{supp}(T) \rangle| = |\langle a_1 \rangle| = \mathrm{ord}(a_1)$ divides q. Next we assume that $a_i \notin \{a_1, -a_1\}$ for some $i \in [2, k]$, by 4. there is a proper subsequence W of S with $\{0\} \cup \sum(W) = \{0\} \cup \sum(S)$ and $|W| \leq q - 2$. Let $T = SW^{-1}$. Then,

$$|T| = |S| - |W| \geq |S| - q + 2.$$

For every term y in T, as shown in 3. we have that

$$y + \{0\} \cup \sum(U) = \{0\} \cup \sum(U).$$

Therefore,

$$\langle \mathrm{supp}(T) \rangle + \{0\} \cup \sum(W) = \{0\} \cup \sum(W).$$

Since the left hand side is a union of some cosets of $\langle \mathrm{supp}(T) \rangle$, we conclude that $|\langle \mathrm{supp}(T) \rangle|$ divides $|\{0\} \cup \sum(U)| = q$ as desired. □

The following result answers a question of H. Snevily, formulated in a private communication to the first author.

Corollary 3.3. *Let $S = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_r \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, and suppose that* $\operatorname{ord}(a_i) \ge r$ *holds for every* $i \in [1, r]$. *Then,* $|\{a_i\} \cup (a_i + \sum(Sa_i^{-1}))| \ge r$ *holds for every* $i \in [1, r]$.

Proof. Let $q = |0 \cup \sum(Sa_i^{-1})|$. If $q \le r - 1$, then by Theorem 3.2.3, $qa_j = 0$ for some $j \ne i$. Thus $q \ge \operatorname{ord}(a_j) \ge r$, giving a contradiction. Therefore, $q \ge r$ and thus $|\{a_i\} \cup (a_i + \sum(Sa_i^{-1}))| = |0 \cup \sum(Sa_i^{-1})| \ge r$ as desired. □

4 Zero-sum free sequences over groups of rank two

Lemma 4.1. *Let $G = C_m \oplus C_n$ with $1 < m \,|\, n$. Suppose that $\mathsf{f}(C_m \oplus C_m, m + k) = (k+2)m - 1$ for every positive integer $k \in [1, m-2]$ and $n \ge m(1 + \frac{km+3}{\mathsf{f}(N, m+k+1)+1-(k+2)m})$. Then $\mathsf{f}(G, n + k) = (k + 2)n - 1$.*

Proof. Clearly, we have $n \ge 2m$. Let $k \in [1, m-2]$ and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be zero-sum free of length

$$|S| = n + k = (\frac{n}{m} - 3)m + (3m - 2) + 2 + k\,. \qquad (*)$$

By Example 1, we obtain that $\mathsf{f}(G, n + k) \le (k + 2)n - 1$, and so we need only show that $\mathsf{f}(S) = |\sum(S)| \ge (k + 2)n - 1$. Let $\varphi\colon G \to N$ be an epimorphism with $N \cong C_m \oplus C_m$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi) \cong C_{\frac{n}{m}}$.

By $(*)$ and Lemma 2.2.1 (for details see [9, Lemma 5.7.10]), S allows a product decomposition $S = S_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot S_{n/m-2}T$, where $S_1, \ldots, S_{n/m-2}, T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ and, for every $i \in [1, n/m - 2]$, $\varphi(S_i)$ has sum zero and length $|S_i| \in [1, m]$. Note that $|T| \ge 2m + k$. We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: $|T| \ge 3m - 2$.

Applying Lemma 2.2.1 to $\varphi(T)$, we can find a subsequence of T, say $S_{\frac{n}{m}-1}$, such that

$$1 \le |S_{\frac{n}{m}-1}| \le m \quad \text{ and } \quad \sigma(S_{\frac{n}{m}-1}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)\,.$$

We claim that $\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})$ is zero-sum free. Otherwise, if $\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})$ is not zero-sum free, or equivalently, if $TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1}$ has a nontrivial subsequence $S_{\frac{n}{m}}$ (say) such that $\sigma(S_{\frac{n}{m}}) \in \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$, then the sequence $\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{m}} \sigma(S_i)$ of $\frac{n}{m}$ elements in $\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$ is not zero-sum free. Therefore, S is not zero-sum free, giving a contradiction. Hence, $\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})$ is zero-sum free as claimed. Note that $|\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})| \ge 2m + k - m = m + k$. By the hypothesis of the lemma,

$$\mathsf{f}(\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})) \ge \mathsf{f}(N, m + k) \ge (k + 2)m - 1.$$

Let $R_1 = \prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{m}-1} \sigma(S_i)$. Then $|R_1| = \frac{n}{m} - 1$ and R_1 is zero-sum free. Therefore, $|\langle \operatorname{supp}(R_1)\rangle| \ge \mathsf{f}(R_1) + 1 \ge |R_1| + 1 = \frac{n}{m} = |\operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)|$ and then $\langle \operatorname{supp}(R_1)\rangle = \operatorname{Ker}(\varphi)$. Let $R_2 = TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1}$. Now applying Lemma 2.6 to the sequence R_1R_2, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(R_1R_2) \ge (1 + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(R_2)))\mathsf{f}(R_1) + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(R_2)) \\ &\ge (1 + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})))(\frac{n}{m} - 1) + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(TS_{\frac{n}{m}-1}^{-1})) \ge (k + 2)n - 1\,. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $|T| \in [2m+k, 3m-3]$.
If $\varphi(T)$ has a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length not exceeding m, then by repeating the argument used in the above case we can prove the result, i.e. $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq (k+2)n-1$. So, we may assume that $\varphi(T)$ has no nontrivial zero-sum subsequence of length not exceeding m.

Next, consider the sequence $T0^{3m-2-|T|}$ of $3m-2$ elements in G. Then $\varphi(T0^{3m-2-|T|})$ is a sequence of length $3m-2$ in $N = C_m \oplus C_m$. By applying Lemma 2.2.2 to $\varphi(T0^{3m-2-|T|})$, we obtain that $T0^{3m-2-|T|}$ has a subsequence W such that $\sigma(\varphi(W)) = 0$ and $|W| \in \{m, 2m\}$. If $|W| = m$, then $\varphi(T)$ has a nontrivial zero-sum subsequence $\varphi(W \cap T)$ of length not exceeding m, a contradiction. Therefore, $|W| = 2m$ and

$$\sigma(W) \in \mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)\,.$$

Let $W_1 = \gcd(W, T)$. Then $|W_1| \geq |W| - (3m-2-|T|) \geq m+k+2$, and $\varphi(W_1)$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence. Since $\varphi(T)$ has no nontrivial zero-sum subsequences of length not exceeding m, we can choose a subsequence W_2 of W_1 with $|W_2| = m+k+1$ such that the subgroup generated by $\varphi(TW_2^{-1})$ is not cyclic. Let $T_1 = TW_2^{-1}$. Clearly, $|T_1| \geq m-1$ and $\mathsf{f}(\varphi(W_2)) \geq \mathsf{f}(N, m+k+1)$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 , Lemma 2.5 and Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{f}(S) &\geq \mathsf{f}(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \sigma(S_i) W_2 T_1) \geq \mathsf{f}(\prod_{i=1}^{\frac{n}{m}-2} \sigma(S_i) W_2) + \mathsf{f}(T_1) \\
&\geq (1+\mathsf{f}(\varphi(W_2)))(\frac{n}{m}-2) + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(W_2)) + \mathsf{f}(T_1) \\
&\geq (1+\mathsf{f}(N, m+k+1))(\frac{n}{m}-2) + \mathsf{f}(N, m+k+1) + (2m-3) \\
&\geq (k+2)n-1.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Let $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ with $n \geq 2$. We say that G has Property **B** if every minimal zero-sum sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ of length $|S| = \mathsf{D}(G) = 2n-1$ contains some element with multiplicity $n-1$. This property was first addressed in [4], and it is conjectured that every group (of the above form) satisfies Property **B**. The present state of knowledge on Property **B** is discussed in [8, Section 7]). In particular, if $n \in [4, 7]$, then G has Property **B**. Here we need the following characterization (for a proof see [9, Theorem 5.8.7]).

Lemma 4.2. *Let $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ with $n \geq 2$. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

1. *If $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, $|S| = 3n-3$ and S has no zero-sum subsequence T of length $|T| \geq n$, then there exists some $a \in G$ such that $0^{n-1}a^{n-2} \mid S$.*
2. *If $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is zero-sum free and $|S| = 2n-2$, then $a^{n-2} \mid S$ for some $a \in G$.*
3. *If $S \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ and $|S| = 2n-1$, then $a^{n-1} \mid S$ for some $a \in G$.*

4. If $S \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ *and* $|S| = 2n-1$, *then there exists a basis* (e_1, e_2) *of* G *and integers* $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in [0, n-1]$ *with* $x_1 + \ldots + x_n \equiv 1 \mod n$ *such that*

$$S = e_1^{n-1} \prod_{\nu=1}^{n} (x_\nu e_1 + e_2)\,.$$

Lemma 4.3. *Let* $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ *with* $n \geq 2$ *and suppose that* G *satisfies Property* **B**. *Let* $S \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ *with length* $|S| = 2n-1$. *If* T *is a subsequence of* S *such that* $|T| = n+k$, *where* $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, *then*

$$\mathsf{f}(T) \geq (k+2)n - 1.$$

Furthermore, if W *is a zero-sum free sequence over* G *with* $|W| = 2n-3$, *then*

$$\mathsf{f}(W) \geq n^2 - n - 1.$$

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{A}(G)$ be of length $|S| = 2n-1$. Then by Lemma 4.2, there is a basis (e_1, e_2) of G such that $S = {e_1}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (e_1 + a_i e_2)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 1 \mod n$. Without loss of generality, let $S = {e_2}^{n-1} \prod_{i=1}^{n} (e_1 + a_i e_2)$ and let $V = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(e_1 + a_i e_2)$. Then $T = {e_2}^{n+k-l} \prod_{i=1}^{l} (e_1 + a_i e_2)$, where $l \in [k+1, n]$. Let $\varphi \colon G \to \langle e_2 \rangle$ be the canonical epimorphism.

Case 1: $l = n$.

Then $T = {e_2}^k \prod_{i=1}^{n} (e_1 + a_i e_2) = {e_2}^k V$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 1 \mod n$, we have $\sigma(V) = e_2$. Therefore, $|\langle e_2 \rangle \cap \Sigma(T)| \geq k+1$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i \equiv 1 \mod n$ we infer that $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ are not all equal to the same number modulo n. Without loss of generality, we may assume that $a_{n-1} \not\equiv a_n \mod n$. So, for every $i \in [1, n-1]$ we have $|(ie_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle) \cap \Sigma(V)| \geq |\{ie_1 + (a_1 + \ldots + a_{i-1} + a_{n-1})e_2, ie_1 + (a_1 + \ldots + a_{i-1} + a_n)e_2\}| = 2$. By Lemma 3.1.2, we have $|(ie_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle) \cap \Sigma(T)| \geq |(ie_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle) \cap \Sigma(V) + \Sigma(0{e_2}^k)| \geq k+2$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}|\Sigma(T)| \geq & |\langle e_2 \rangle \cap \Sigma(T)| + |(e_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle) \cap \Sigma(T)| + \ldots + |((n-1)e_1 + \langle e_2 \rangle) \cap \Sigma(T)| \\ \geq & k + 1 + (k+2) \times (n-1) = (k+2)n - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2: $l \leq n-1$.

Then $k+2 \leq l+1 \leq n$. Let $S_1 = {e_2}^{n+k-l}$ and $S_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{l}(e_1 + a_i e_2)$. Then $\mathsf{f}(S_1) = n+k-l$ and $\mathsf{f}(\varphi(S_2)) = l$. By Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathsf{f}(T) &\geq (1 + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(S_2)))\mathsf{f}(S_1) + \mathsf{f}(\varphi(S_2)) \\ &= (n+k-l)(l+1) + l \\ &= (n+k-l+1)(l+1) - 1 \\ &\geq (k+2)n - 1.\end{aligned}$$

Next, suppose that $W \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is zero-sum free of length $|S| = 2n-3$. If $G \setminus \{0\} \subset \Sigma(W)$, then $\mathsf{f}(W) \geq n^2 - 1 > n^2 - n - 1$ and we are done. So, we may assume there exists $g \in G \setminus \{0\}$, such that $-g \notin \Sigma(W)$. Then gW is zero-sum free, and thus, $gW(-g-\sigma(W))$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence of length $2n-1$. It follows from the first part of this lemma that $\mathsf{f}(W) \geq n^2 - n - 1$ as desired. $\square$

Lemma 4.4. *Let G be cyclic of order $|G| = p \in \mathbb{P}$ and $T \in \mathcal{F}(G \setminus \{0\})$. If $a \in G \setminus \{0\}$, then*

$$|\Sigma(Ta) \setminus \{0\}| \ge \min\{p-1, 1 + |\Sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}|\}.$$

Proof. Let $A = \{0\} \cup (\Sigma(T) \setminus \{0\})$ and $B = \{0, a\}$. By Lemma 2.3.1, $|A+B| \ge \min\{p, |A| + |B| - 1\} = \min\{p, 2 + |\Sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}|\}$. Therefore, $|\Sigma(Ta) \setminus \{0\}| = |A+B| - 1 \ge \min\{p - 1, 1 + |\Sigma(T) \setminus \{0\}|\}$. □

Lemma 4.5. *If $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ with $n \in [4, 7]$, then $\mathsf{f}(G, n+2) = 4n - 1$.*

Proof. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be zero-sum free of length $|S| = n + 2$ with $n \in [4, 7]$. As noted above G satisfies Property **B**. By Example 1, it suffices to show that $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 4n - 1$. If $n = 4$, then $n + 2 = 6 = \mathsf{D}(C_4 \oplus C_4) - 1$. By Lemma 2.1.1, $\mathsf{f}(S) = 16 - 1 = 15$ as desired. If $n = 5$, then $|S| = 2m - 3$, and thus, the result follows immediately from Lemma 4.3.

Now suppose that $n = 6$, and assume to the contrary that $\mathsf{f}(S) \le 22$. Then, $|-\Sigma(S)| = |\Sigma(S)| = \mathsf{f}(S) \le 22$ and $|G \setminus (\{0\} \cup (-\Sigma(S)))| \ge 13$. Let $A = \{x_1, \ldots, x_{13}\} \subset G \setminus (\{0\} \cup (-\Sigma(S)))$. Then $x_i S$ is zero-sum free for every $i \in [1, 13]$. If there exist $i, j \in [1, 13]$ such that $x_i x_j S$ is zero-sum free, then $x_i x_j S(-\sigma(x_i x_j S))$ is a minimal zero-sum sequence. Thus, the result follows from Lemma 4.3.

Next, assume that $x_i x_j S$ is not zero-sum free for any $i, j \in [1, 13]$. Since $x_i S$, $x_j S$ is zero-sum free, we must have $x_i + x_j \in -\Sigma(S)$. This implies $A + A \subset -\Sigma(S)$. Then

$$|A + A| \le |-\Sigma(S)| = |\Sigma(S)| = \mathsf{f}(S) \le 22.$$

We set $H = \mathrm{Stab}(A + A)$. Then, by Lemma 2.3.2, we have

$$|A + A| \ge 2|A + H| - |H|\,,$$

and since H is a subgroup of G, we get $|H| \in \{36, 18, 12, 9, 6, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$.

If $|H| \in \{18, 36\}$, then $|G/H| \in \{1, 2\}$, and thus $H \subset (A + H) + (A + H)$. Hence, $0 \in H \subset A + H + A + H = A + A \subset -\Sigma(S)$. Therefore, $0 \in \Sigma(S)$, a contradiction.

We now assume that $|H| \in \{12, 9, 6, 4, 3, 2, 1\}$. Note that

$$|A + H| \ge \left\lceil \frac{|A|}{|H|} \right\rceil |H|.$$

We have

$$|A + A| \ge 2|A + H| - |H| \ge \left(2 \left\lceil \frac{|A|}{|H|} \right\rceil - 1\right) |H| > 22,$$

giving a contradiction.

It remains to consider the case that $n = 7$.

Let S_1 be the maximal subsequence of S such that $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1) \rangle$ is cyclic. Then $N = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1) \rangle \cong C_7$. Since there are exactly 8 distinct subgroups of order 7 and $|S| = 9$, we must have $\mathsf{f}(S_1) \ge |S_1| \ge 2$. Let $S_2 = S S_1^{-1} = b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_w$ and let $\varphi \colon G \to G/N$ be the canonical epimorphism. Then none of the terms of S_2 is in N, and thus $\varphi(S_2)$ a sequence of non-zero elements in G/N. Let $q = |\{0\} \bigcup \sum \varphi(S_2)|$.

If $\mathsf{f}(S_1) \geq 3$ and $q \geq 7$, then by Lemma 2.6 we have that $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 \geq 27$ and we are done. If $\mathsf{f}(S_1) \geq 3$ and $q \leq 6$, then by Theorem 3.2, $|S_2| + 1 \leq q \leq 6$, and thus $4 \leq |S_1| \leq 6$. Again by Lemma 2.6, we have that $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 \geq (10 - |S_1|)(|S_1| + 1) - 1 \geq 27$ as desired.

Next we may assume that $\mathsf{f}(S_1) = 2$. Choose a basis (f_1, f_2) of G with $f_2 \mid S_1$. Then, $S_1 = {f_2}^2$ and $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1) \rangle = \langle f_2 \rangle = N$. Now

$$S = {f_2}^2 \prod_{i=1}^{k} (a_i f_1 + b_i f_2)$$

with $a_i \neq 0$ for every $i \in [1, k]$, and $S_2 = \prod_{i=1}^{7} (a_i f_1 + b_i f_2)$. Let $r_j = |\Sigma(S) \cap (jf_1 + N)|$ and $s_j = |\Sigma(S_2) \cap (jf_1 + N)|$, where $j \in [0, 6]$. Then

$$\mathsf{f}(S) = \Sigma_{j=0}^{6} r_j.$$

By Lemma 4.4, we have $\Sigma\left(\prod_{i=1}^{7} a_i\right) \cong C_7$, so $s_j = |\Sigma(S_2) \cap (jf_1 + N)| \geq 1$ for every $j \in [0, 6]$. By Lemma 3.1.2, $r_j \geq \min\{\mathrm{ord}(f_2), 2 + s_j\} \geq 3$ for every $j \in [0, 6]$.

Case 1: $\mathsf{h}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{7} a_i\right) \geq 3$.

Without loss of generality, let $a = a_1 = a_2 = a_3$. Since $\mathsf{h}(S) = 2$, we may assume $b_1 \neq b_2$. Then $\left|(af_1 + N) \cap \Sigma(S_2)\right| \geq 2$. By Lemma 3.1.2, $r_a \geq 4$.

By Lemma 4.4, we have $\left|\Sigma\left(\prod_{i=3}^{7} a_i\right) \setminus \{0\}\right| \geq 5$. Assume that $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5\} \subset \Sigma\left(\prod_{i=3}^{7} a_i\right) \setminus \{0\}$. Then $|((a + x_j)f_1 + N) \cap \Sigma(S_2)| \geq 2$ for every $j \in [1, 5]$. By Lemma 3.1.2, $r_{a+x_j} \geq 4$.

Note that $a, a+x_1, \ldots, a+x_5$ are pairwise distinct, we have $\mathsf{f}(S) = \Sigma_{j=0}^{6} r_j \geq 6 \times 4 + 3 = 27$ as desired.

Case 2: $\mathsf{h}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{7} a_i\right) \leq 2$.

Since $a_i \neq 0$ for every $i \in [1, 7]$ we infer that $\mathsf{h}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{7} a_i\right) = 2$. So, we may assume a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4 are pairwise distinct and $a_1 + a_2 = 0$. Therefore, $(a_1 f_1 + b_1 f_2) + (a_2 f_1 + b_2 f_2) = (b_1 + b_2) f_2 \in N$. By Lemma 2.3.1, we have $\Sigma\left(\prod_{i=3}^{7} a_i\right) \geq 6$. Let $\{x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_5, x_6\} \subset \Sigma\left(\prod_{i=3}^{7} a_i\right)$. For every $j \in [1, 6]$, by Lemma 3.1.2, $r_{x_j} \geq |\sum(0S_1((b_1 + b_2)f_2)) + (x_j f_1 + N) \cap \Sigma(S_2)| \geq 3 + |(x_j f_1 + N) \cap \Sigma(S_2)| \geq 4$. Therefore $\mathsf{f}(S) = \Sigma_{j=1}^{7} r_j \geq 6 \times 4 + 3 = 27$.

Lemma 4.6. *Let $G = C_4 \oplus C_8$. Then $\mathsf{f}(G, 9) = 23$.*

Proof. Assume to the contrary that $\mathsf{f}(G, 9) \neq 23$. By Example 1, there is a zero-sum free sequence $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ of length $|S| = 9$ such that $\mathsf{f}(S) = |\sum(S)| \leq 22$. By Lemma 2.1.2, $G \setminus (\sum(S) \cup \{0\}) \subset x + H$ for some subgroup $H \subset G$ and some $x \in G \setminus H$. Therefore,

$$22 \geq |\sum(S)| \geq |G| - 1 - |x + H| = 31 - |H|,$$

and hence, $|H| \geq 9$. Since $|H|$ divides $|G| = 32$, it follows that $|H| = 16$. Therefore, $G = H \cup (x + H)$. From $G \setminus (\sum(S) \cup \{0\}) \subset x + H$ we infer that

$$H \setminus \{0\} \subset \sum(S).$$

Hence,

$$|\sum(S)\cap H| = 15.$$

Since $\mathsf{D}(H) \le 8+2-1 = 9 = |S|$, we infer that there is at least one term of S is not in H. Let $y \in S$ with $y \in G \setminus H$. Let $T = Sy^{-1}$. Then, $\mathsf{f}(T) \ge \mathsf{f}(G, 8) \ge 2 \times 8 - 1 = 15$. Note that $G = H \cup (x+H)$. We obtain that, $|\sum(T)\cap(x+H)| \ge 8$ or $|\sum(T)\cap H| \ge 8$. This together with $S = Ty$ and $y \in G \setminus H$ implies $|\sum(S)\cap(x+H)| \ge 8$. Therefore, $|\sum(S)| = |\sum(S)\cap H| + |\sum(S)\cap(x+H)| \ge 15+8 = 23$, a contradiction. □

5 Proof of Theorem 1.1.

Let $G = C_{n_1}\oplus\ldots\oplus C_{n_r}$ with $1 < n_1 \mid \ldots \mid n_r$, $r \ge 2$, $n_{r-1} \ge 3$, and we set $n = \exp(G) = n_r$. Let $S = a_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot a_{n+1} \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a zero-sum free sequence of length $|S| = n+1$. By Example 1, we need only prove that $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 3n-1$. Assume to the contrary that

$$\mathsf{f}(S) \le 3n-2.$$

By Lemma 2.8, we have

$$\mathsf{h}(S) \ge \max\{2, \frac{3|S|+5}{17}\} = \max\{2, \frac{3n+8}{17}\}. \tag{1}$$

Let S_1 be a subsequence of S with maximal length such that $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1)\rangle$ is cyclic. We set $N = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1)\rangle$ and $S_2 = SS_1^{-1}$. As before, we have $S = S_1S_2$, and all terms of S_1 are in N, but none of the terms of S_2 is in N. Clearly, $|S_1| \ge \mathsf{h}(S) \ge \frac{3n+8}{17}$. Let $\varphi\colon G \to G/N$ denote the canonical epimorphism, and put

$$S_2 = b_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot b_w \quad \text{and} \quad q = |\{0\} \cup \sum(\varphi(S_2))|\,.$$

By Theorem 3.2, there is a subsequence W_0 of S_2 with $|W_0| \le q-1$ such that

$$|\{0\} \bigcup \sum(\varphi(W_0))| = q\,.$$

From (1) we have that $|S_1| \ge \max\{2, \frac{3n+8}{17}\} \ge 2$. By Lemma 2.6, we can prove that $q \le |S_2|$. Therefore, $|W_0| \le q-1 \le |S_2|-1$. It follows from Theorem 3.2 that

$$\gcd(q,n) > 1 \text{ and } 2 \le q \le \min\{|S_2|, n-2\}. \tag{2}$$

Using Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned}
3n-2 \ge \mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \\
&\ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \\
&\ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + |S_2| - |W_0| \\
&\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + |S_2| - |W_0| \\
&\ge q|S_1| + |S_2| \\
&= (q-1)|S_1| + n + 1.
\end{aligned}$$

This gives that $|S_1| \le \frac{2n-3}{q-1}$. Therefore

$$\frac{2n-3}{q-1} \ge |S_1| \ge \frac{3n+8}{17}. \tag{3}$$

Hence, $q \le 12$. Next we distinguish cases according to the value of $q \in [1, 12]$.

Case 1: $9 \le q \le 12$.

We distinguish subcases according to the value taken by n.

Subcase 1.1: $n \ge 15$.

Then $|S_2W_0^{-1}| \ge n+1-\frac{2n-3}{q-1}-(q-1) > \frac{2n-3}{q-1} \ge |S_1|$ (since $n \ge 15$). By the maximality of $|S_1|$, the subgroup generated by $\mathrm{supp}(S_2W_0^{-1})$ is not cyclic. By Lemma 2.5 we have $\mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \ge 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1$. It follows from Lemma 2.4 and Lemma 2.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1 \\
&\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + 2(n+1-|S_1|) - 2(q-1) - 1 = (q-2)(|S_1|-1) + 2n \\
&\ge 7(\tfrac{3n+8}{17} - 1) + 2n > 3n - 2 (\text{since } n \ge 10),
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 1.2: $n = 14$.

By (3) we obtain that $|S_1| = 3$ and $q = 9$. In a similar way to above we derive that $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_2W_0^{-1})\rangle$ is not cyclic and $\mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \ge 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1 \\
&\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + 2(n+1-|S_1|) - 2(q-1) - 1 = (q-2)(|S_1|-1) + 2n \\
&\ge 7(3-1) + 2n \ge 3n - 1,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 1.3: $n \in \{11, 12, 13\}$.

By (3) we have that $2 \ge |S_1| \ge 3$, a contradiction.

Subcase 1.4: $n \le 10$.

By (2), $q \le n - 2 \le 8$, a contradiction.

Case 2: $q = 8$.

By (2), n is even and $n \ge 10$. We distinguish subcases according to the value of n.

Subcase 2.1: $n \ge 21$.

By (3), $|S_1| \le \frac{2n-3}{7}$. Hence, $|S_2W_0^{-1}| \ge n + 1 - \frac{2n-3}{7} - 7 > \frac{2n-3}{7} \ge |S_1|$ (since $n \ge 13$). By the maximality of $|S_1|$ we know that the subgroup generated by $\mathrm{supp}(S_2W_0^{-1})$ is not cyclic. By Lemma 2.5 we have $\mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \ge 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned}
3n - 2 \ge \mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \\
&\ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + \mathsf{f}(S_2W_0^{-1}) \\
&\ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1 \\
&\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2(q-1) - 1| \\
&= q|S_1| + 2(n+1-|S_1|) - (q-1) - 1 = (q-2)|S_1| + 2n + 2 - q \\
&= 6(|S_1| - 1) + 2n > 3n - 2 \text{ (since } n \ge 21) ,
\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2.2: $10 \le n \le 20$ and $n \neq 16$.

By (3) we have that $|S_1| \ge \frac{3n+8}{17}$. If $\varphi(b_i) \in \{\varphi(b_1), -\varphi(b_1)\}$ holds for every $i \in [2, w]$, then $\varphi(b_i) \in \langle \varphi(b_1) \rangle$ for every $i \in [1, w]$, and by Theorem 3.2 we have $8\varphi(b_1) = 0$. This together with $n\varphi(b_1) = 0$ gives that $\gcd(8, n)\varphi(b_1) = 0$. Therefore, $8 = q = |\{0\} \cup \sum(\varphi(S_2))| \le |\langle \varphi(b_1) \rangle| \le \gcd(8, n) < 8$, a contradiction. Thus, $\varphi(b_i) \notin \{\varphi(b_1), -\varphi(b_1)\}$ for some $i \in [2, w]$. By Theorem 3.2, we can take W_0 such that $|W_0| \le q - 2$ and $\{0\} \cup \sum(\varphi(W_0)) = \{0\} \cup \sum(\varphi(S_2))$. As above, we derive that $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \rangle$ is not cyclic and $\mathsf{f}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \ge 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1 W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1 \\ &\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + 2(n + 1 - |S_1|) - 2(q - 2) - 1 = (q - 2)(|S_1| - 1) + 2n + 2 \\ &\ge 6(\frac{3n+8}{17} - 1) + 2n + 2 \ge 3n - 1\,, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 2.3: $n = 16$.

By (3) we have that $|S_1| = 4$. As above, we can take W_0 such that $|W_0| \le q - 1$, and derive that $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \rangle$ is not cyclic and thus, $\mathsf{f}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \ge 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathsf{f}(S) &\ge \mathsf{f}(S_1 W_0) + \mathsf{f}(S_2 W_0^{-1}) \ge q\mathsf{f}(S_1) + q - 1 + 2|S_2| - 2|W_0| - 1 \\ &\ge q|S_1| + q - 1 + 2(n + 1 - |S_1|) - 2(q - 1) - 1 = (q - 2)(|S_1| - 1) + 2n \\ &\ge 6(4 - 1) + 2n \ge 3n - 1\,, \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Case 3: $q \le 7$.

So, we must have that for every subsequence W of S_2,

$$|\{0\} \bigcup \sum \varphi(W)| \le q \le 7. \tag{4}$$

By Theorem 3.2, there is a subsequence U of S_2 with $|U| \ge |S_2| - q + 1$ such that

$$|\langle \varphi(U) \rangle| \mid q. \tag{5}$$

Let $K = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1 U) \rangle$. It follows from (5) that

$$|K| = |N||\langle \varphi(U) \rangle| \mid q|N|. \tag{6}$$

As before, write $S = T_1 T_2$ where all terms of T_1 are in K, but none of the terms of T_2 is in K. Then $\langle \mathrm{supp}(T_1) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1 U) \rangle = K$, and $|T_1| \ge |S_1 U| \ge n + 2 - q$. Therefore,

$$|T_1| \ge n + 2 - q \ge n - 5. \tag{7}$$

Let $\psi \colon G \to G/K$ be the canonical epimorphism and let $T_2 = c_1 \cdot \ldots \cdot c_{t_2}$.

We distinguish two subcases.

Subcase 3.1: $|T_2| = 0$.

Then
$$K = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S_1U) \rangle = \langle \mathrm{supp}(S) \rangle.$$

Set $\ell = \exp(K)$. Then $|N| \mid \ell \mid n$. Let $K = C_\ell \oplus R$ where R is a finite abelian group with $\exp(R) \mid \ell$. By (6) we have
$$|R| \,|\, q.$$

Assume to the contrary, that R is not cyclic. Since $|R| \mid q \le 7$, we must have $R = C_2^2$ and $K = C_\ell \oplus C_2 \oplus C_2$. From $\mathsf{D}(K) = \ell + 2 \ge n+1$ we infer that $\ell = n$. Hence, $\mathsf{D}(K) = n+2$. By Lemma 2.1.1, $\mathsf{f}(S) = |K| - 1 = 4n - 1 > 3n - 1$, a contradiction.

Therefore, R is cyclic. If $n = q$, since $|S_1| \ge 2$, by Lemma 2.6 we have that $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge q|S_1| + q - 1 \ge 3q - 1 = 3n - 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $n = fq$ for some $f \ge 2$.

Since $n + 1 \le \mathsf{D}(K) - 1 = \ell + |R| - 2$, $|R| \mid |q|$, $\ell \mid n$ and $n \ge 2q$, we infer that $\ell = n$ and $|R| \ge 3$. If $|R| < q$, then we must have $|R| = 3$. It follows from Lemma 2.1.1 that $\mathsf{f}(S) = |K| - 1 = 3n - 1$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|R| = q \ge 4$ and $K = C_n \oplus C_q$. By Lemma 4.5 and Lemma 4.1, we have that $n \in \{q, 2q\}$, and therefore, $n = 2q$. We distinguish subcases according to the value $q \le 7$.

Subcase 3.1.1: $q \in \{5, 6, 7\}$.

By (3), $|S_1| \in \{3, 4\}$. Since $|S_2| = |S| - |S_1| \ge 2q + 1 - 4 \ge q > |S_1|$, $\langle \mathrm{supp}(S_2) \rangle$ is not cyclic. By Lemma 2.5, we have $|\Sigma(S_2)| \ge 2|S_2| - 1$.

From $|N| | n$, $|K| = nq$ and (6), we obtain that $N \cong C_n$ and $K/N \cong C_q$. Let $K = (g_0 + N) \cup \ldots \cup (g_{q-1} + N)$ be the decomposition of cosets of N, where $g_i \in K$ and $g_0 \in N$. Let $r_i = |(g_i + N) \cap \Sigma(S_2)|$ and $s_i = |(g_i + N) \cap \Sigma(S)|$. Then $|\Sigma(S_2)| = \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1} r_i$ and $|\Sigma(S)| = \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i$. Since $\Sigma(\varphi(S_2)) = G/N \cong C_q$, we have $r_i \ge 1$.

Subcase 3.1.1.1: $|S_1| = 4$.

If $\mathsf{f}(S_1) \ge 5$, then by Lemma 2.6, $\mathsf{f}(S) \ge 5q + q - 1 = 6q - 1 = 3n - 1$, and we are done. So we may assume $S_1 = h^4$, where $\mathrm{ord}(h) = |N| = 2q$. By Lemma 3.1.2, $s_i \ge \min\{2q, r_i + 4\} \ge 5$ for every $i \in [0, q-1]$. If $r_i + 4 \ge 2q$ for some $i \in [0, q-1]$, then
$$|\Sigma(S)| = \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i \ge 2q + 5(q-1) = 7q - 5 \ge 6q - 1 = 3n - 1,$$
a contradiction. Next, we may assume $r_i + 4 < 2q$ for all $i \in [0, q-1]$. We have
$$\begin{aligned}|\Sigma(S)| = \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i \ge \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1}(r_i + 4) &= |\Sigma(S_2)| + 4q \ge 2|S_2| - 1 + 4q \\ &= 2(2q + 1 - 4) - 1 + 4q = 8q - 7 \ge 6q - 1,\end{aligned}$$
a contradiction again.

Subcase 3.1.1.2: $|S_1| = 3$.

Since $\mathsf{h}(S) \ge \lceil \frac{3n+8}{17} \rceil \ge 3$, we may assume that $S_1 = h^3$, where $\mathrm{ord}(h) = 2q$. By Lemma 3.1.2, $s_i \ge \min\{2q, r_i + 3\} \ge 4$ for every $i \in [0, q-1]$.

If $r_i + 3 > 2q$ holds for at least two distinct indices $i \in [0, q-1]$, then
$$|\Sigma(S)| = \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1} s_i \ge 2q + 2q + 4(q-2) = 8q - 8 \ge 6q - 1,$$

a contradiction. If $r_i+3\le 2q$ for every $i\in[0,q-1]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}|\Sigma(S)| &= \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1}s_i \ge \Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1}(r_i+3) = |\Sigma(S_2)|+3q \ge 2|S_2|-1+3q\\ &= 2(2q+1-3)-1+3q = 7q-5\ge 6q-1,\end{aligned}$$

a contradiction. So we may assume that $r_i+3>2q$ holds exactly for one $i\in[0,q-1]$.

If $\varphi(b_i)\in\{\varphi(b_1),-\varphi(b_1)\}$ for every $i\in[1,2q-2]$. We may assume that $\varphi(b_1)=\ldots=\varphi(b_t)$ and $\varphi(b_{t+1})=\ldots=\varphi(b_{2q-2})=-\varphi(b_1)$. Since $\mathsf{v}_g(S_2)\le 3$, and $q-1\ge 4$, we may assume $b_1\ne b_2$. Next, we show that

$$|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge 2 \tag{8}$$

holds for every $b\in\{g_0,g_1,\ldots,g_{q-1}\}$.

Note that $\mathrm{ord}(\varphi(b_1))=q$, we have that $N, b_1+N,\ldots,(q-1)b_1+N$ are pairwise disjoint. Therefore, $b+N=jb_1+N=(q-j)(-b_1)+N$ for some $j\in[1,q]$. We may assume that $t\ge q-1$. If $1\le j\le q-2$, then $\{b_3+\ldots+b_{3+j-1}+b_1, b_3+\ldots+b_{3+j-1}+b_2\}\subset(jb_1+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)=(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)$. Hence, $|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge 2$. If $j=q-1$ and $t\ge q$ then $|\Sigma(S_2)|=|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge|\{b_3+\ldots+b_q+b_1, b_3+\ldots+b_q+b_2\}|=2$. If $j=q-1$ and $t=q-1$ then $\varphi(b_q)=\ldots=\varphi(b_{2q})=-\varphi(b_1)$. Since $q-1\ge 4$ we may assume that $b_q\ne b_{q+1}$. We now have $|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge|\{b_q,b_{q+1}\}|=2$ as desired. Next, assume that $j=q$. If $t\ge q+1$, then as above we can prove that $|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge 2$. Otherwise, $t\le q$ and $\varphi(b_{q+1})=-\varphi(b_1)$. Thus, we have that $|(b+N)\cap\Sigma(S_2)|\ge|\{b_{q+1}+b_1, b_{q+1}+b_2\}|=2$. This proves (8). Therefore

$$|\Sigma(S)|=\Sigma_{i=0}^{q-1}s_i\ge(2+3)(q-1)+2q=7q-5\ge 6q-1,$$

a contradiction.

Next, we may assume $\varphi(b_j)\notin\{\varphi(b_1),-\varphi(b_1)\}$ for some $j\in[1,2q-2]$. Then we can choose a subsequence W_0 of S_2 with $|W_0|\le q-2$ such that $|\{0\}\cup\sum(\varphi(W_0))|=q$, so $\Sigma(W_0)\cap(g_i+N)\ne\emptyset$ for every $i\in[1,q-1]$. Since $|S_2W_0^{-1}|\ge q=|\varphi(G)|$, $S_2W_0^{-1}$ has a nontrivial subsequence W_1 with $\sigma(W_1)\in N=\mathrm{Ker}(\varphi)$. Thus, $r_i\ge 2$ for every $i\in[1,q-1]$, and therefore,

$$|\Sigma(S)|=\Sigma_{i=0}^{q-2}s_i\ge 4+(2+3)(q-2)+2q=7q-6\ge 6q-1,$$

a contradiction.

Subcase 3.1.2: $q=4$.

Then S is a zero-sum free sequence of length 9 in $K\cong C_4\oplus C_8$, a contradiction to Lemma 4.6.

Subcase 3.2: $|T_2|\ge 1$.

If $\varphi(b_i)\in\{\varphi(b_1),-\varphi(b_1)\}$ for every $i\in[1,w]$, then we can take $U=S_2$, and this reduces to Subcase 3.1. Next, assume that $\varphi(b_i)\notin\{\varphi(b_1),-\varphi(b_1)\}$ for some $i\in[1,w]$. By Theorem 3.2, we can choose W_0 such that $|W_0|\le q-2$, so $|T_1|\ge n+3-q$.

We first assume that $n\ge 3q-9$. By the maximality of S_1, we know that K is not cyclic. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathsf{f}(T_1)\ge 2|T_1|-1$. It follows from Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.4 that

$\mathsf{f}(S) \geq 2\mathsf{f}(T_1)+1+|T_2|-1 \geq 4|T_1|-2+|T_2| = 3|T_1|+n-1 \geq 3(n+3-q)+n-1 \geq 3n-1$ (since $n \geq 3q-9$), giving a contradiction.

Next, we assume that $n \leq 3q-10$. It follows from (2) that

$$q+2 \leq n \leq 3q-10. \tag{9}$$

Thus, $q \geq 6$. Hence, $q \in \{6,7\}$. Let

$$\lambda = |\{0\} \cup \sum(\psi(T_2))|.$$

By Theorem 3.2, there is a subsequence X of T_2 with $|X| \leq \lambda - 1$ such that

$$|\{0\} \bigcup \sum(\psi(X))| = \lambda.$$

We next distinguish subcases according to the possible value of $q \in \{6,7\}$.

Subcase 3.2.1: $q = 6$.

From (9), we obtain that $n = 8$. By Lemma 2.6, we obtain that $q|S_1| + q - 1 \leq 3 \times 8 - 2$. This gives that $|S_1| \leq 2$, so $|S_1| = 2$. Again, by Lemma 2.6, we obtain that $\lambda\mathsf{f}(T_1) + \lambda - 1 \leq 22$. By Lemma 2.5, $\mathsf{f}(T_1) \geq 2|T_1| - 1$. Since $\lambda \geq 2$, $4|T_1| - 1 \leq 22$, and thus $|T_1| \leq 5$. Note that $|T_1| \geq n + 3 - q = 5$. We have $|T_1| = 5$ and $\lambda = 2$. Therefore, $|X| = 1$. By Lemma 2.6 and Lemma 2.4, we obtain that $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq 2\mathsf{f}(T_1) + 1 + \mathsf{f}(T_2X^{-1})$. Since $|T_2X^{-1}| = 3$ and $|S_1| = 2$, by the maximality of S_1 we infer that no element could occur more than two times in T_2X^{-1}. It now follows from Lemma 2.7 and Lemma 2.4 that $\mathsf{f}(T_2X^{-1}) \geq 4$. Therefore, $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq 2\mathsf{f}(T_1)+1+\mathsf{f}(T_2X^{-1}) \geq 4|T_1|-1+4 = 23 = 3n-1$, giving a contradiction.

Subcase 3.2.2: $q = 7$.

From (9), we obtain that $n \in \{9, 10, 11\}$. So, we have $\gcd(q, n) = 1$, giving a contradiction to (2). In all cases, we are able to find a contradiction. Therefore, we must have $\mathsf{f}(S) \geq 3n - 1$, so $\mathsf{f}(G, n + 1) = 3n - 1$ as desired. □

6 On $\Sigma_{|G|}(S)$ and proof of Corollary 1.2.

We briefly point out the relationship between the invariants $\mathsf{f}(G,k)$ and the study of $|\Sigma_{|G|}(S)|$ for suitable $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$. To do so we need the following result, conjectured in [1] and proved by W. Gao and I. Leader in [6].

Theorem A. Let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a sequence. If $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S)$, then there is a zero-sumfree sequence $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ of length $|T| = |S| - |G| + 1$ such that $|\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \geq |\Sigma(T)|$.

Note that for $S = 0^{|G|-1}T$, where $T \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ is zero-sum free, we have $|\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| = |\Sigma(T)|$. Thus for every $k \in [1, \mathsf{D}(G) - 1]$ we have

$$\begin{aligned}&\min\{\Sigma_{|G|}(S) \mid S \in \mathcal{F}(G), |G| + k - 1, 0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S)\} = \\ &\min\{|\Sigma(T)| \bigm| T \in \mathcal{F}(G) \text{ is zero-sum free of length } |T| = k\} = \mathsf{f}(G,k)\,.\end{aligned}$$

Now we are in a position to prove Corollary 1.2.

Proof of Proposition 1.2. Let $\exp(G) = n$ and let $S \in \mathcal{F}(G)$ be a sequence of length $|S| = |G| + n$. Suppose that $0 \notin \Sigma_{|G|}(S)$. Then [9, Theorem 5.8.3]) implies that G is neither cyclic nor congruent to $C_2 \oplus C_n$. Thus it follows that $n+1 \le \mathsf{D}(G) - 1$. Therefore the above considerations (applied with $k = n+1$) show that $|\Sigma_{|G|}(S)| \ge \mathsf{f}(G, n+1)$, and by Theorem 1.1 we have $\mathsf{f}(G, n+1) \ge 3n - 1$. $\square$

We recall a conjecture by B. Bollobás and I. Leader, stated in [1].

Conjecture 6.1. *Let $G = C_n \oplus C_n$ with $n \ge 2$ and let (e_1, e_2) be a basis of G. If $k \in [0, n-2]$ and $S = e_1^{n-1} e_2^{k+1} \in \mathcal{F}(G)$, then $\mathsf{f}(G, n+k) = \mathsf{f}(S)$.*

If S is as above, then clearly $\mathsf{f}(S) = (k+2)n - 1$. Thus [16], Theorem 1.1 and Lemma 4.3 imply that conjecture for $k \in \{0, 1, n-2\}$. We generalize this conjecture as follows (see Example 1).

Conjecture 6.2. *Let $G = C_{n_1} \oplus \ldots \oplus C_{n_r}$ with $r \ge 2$ and $1 < n_1 \mid \ldots \mid n_r$. Let $(e_1, \ldots, e_r)$ be a basis of G with $\mathrm{ord}(e_i) = n_i$ for all $i \in [1, r]$, $k \in [0, n_{r-1} - 2]$ and*

$$S = e_r^{n_r - 1} e_{r-1}^{k+1} \in \mathcal{F}(G)\,.$$

Then we have $\mathsf{f}(G, n_r + k) = \mathsf{f}(S) = (k+2)n_r - 1$.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.

The authors would like to thank the referee for very useful suggestion. We would like to express our thanks to Dr. Fang Chen for pointing out Lemma 2.5 to us. This paper was completed when the first author visited the Fields Institute. He would like to thank the host Institution for the hospitality. This work was supported in part by the 973 Project, the PCSIRT Project of the Ministry of Education, the Ministry of Science and Technology, the National Science Foundation of China, the funds of Fields Institute and a discovery grant from NSERC in Canada.

References

[1] B. Bollobás and I. Leader, *The number of k-sums modulo k*, J. Number Theory **78** (1999), 27 – 35.

[2] R.B. Eggleton and P. Erdős, *Two combinatorial problems in group theory*, Acta Arith. **21** (1972), 111 – 116.

[3] W. Gao, *Addition theorems for finite abelian groups*, J. Number Theory **53** (1995), 241 – 246.

[4] W. Gao and A. Geroldinger, *On long minimal zero sequences in finite abelian groups*, Period. Math. Hung. **38** (1999), 179 – 211.

[5] ______, *Zero-sum problems in finite abelian groups: a survey*, Expo. Math. **24** (2006), 337 – 369.

[6] W. Gao and I. Leader, *Sums and k-sums in abelian groups of order k*, J. Number Theory **120** (2006), 26 – 32.

[7] W. Gao, Y. Li, J. Peng, and F. Sun, *Subsums of a zero-sum free subset of an abelian group*, Electron. J. Combin. **15** (2008), R116.

[8] A. Geroldinger, *Additive group theory and non-unique factorizations*, to appear in Advanced Courses in Mathematics CRM Barcelona.

[9] A. Geroldinger and F. Halter-Koch, *Non-Unique Factorizations. Algebraic, Combinatorial and Analytic Theory*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 700p, vol. 278, Chapman & Hall/CRC, 2006.

[10] D.J. Grynkiewicz, *On a conjecture of Hamidoune for subsequence sums*, Integers **5(2)** (2005), Paper A07, 11p.

[11] D.J. Grynkiewicz, E. Marchan, and O. Ordaz, *Representation of finite abelian group elements by subsequence sums*, manuscript.

[12] Y.O. Hamidoune, *Subsequence sums*, Comb. Probab. Comput. **12** (2003), 413 – 425.

[13] Y.O. Hamidoune, O. Ordaz, and A. Ortuño, *On a combinatorial theorem of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv*, Comb. Probab. Comput. **7** (1998), 403 – 412.

[14] J.E. Olson, *On a combinatorial problem of Erdős, Ginzburg and Ziv*, J. Number Theory **8** (1976), 52 – 57.

[15] J.E. Olson and E.T. White, *Sums from a sequence of group elements*, Number Theory and Algebra (H. Zassenhaus, ed.), Academic Press, 1977, pp. 215 – 222.

[16] Fang Sun, *On subsequence sums of a zero-sumfree sequence*, Electron. J. Comb. **14** (2007), R52.