The Scattering Matrix of a Graph

Hirobumi Mizuno

Iond University, Tokyo, Japan

Iwao Sato

Oyama National College of Technology, Oyama, Tochigi 323-0806, Japan

isato@oyama-ct.ac.jp

Submitted: May 25, 2008; Accepted: Jul 16, 2008; Published: Jul 28, 2008 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C50, 15A15

Abstract

Recently, Smilansky expressed the determinant of the bond scattering matrix of a graph by means of the determinant of its Laplacian. We present another proof for this Smilansky's formula by using some weighted zeta function of a graph. Furthermore, we reprove a weighted version of Smilansky's formula by Bass' method used in the determinant expression for the Ihara zeta function of a graph.

1 Introduction

Graphs treated here are finite. Let G = (V(G), E(G)) be a connected graph (possibly multiple edges and loops) with the set V(G) of vertices and the set E(G) of unoriented edges uv joining two vertices u and v. For $uv \in E(G)$, an arc (u, v) is the oriented edge from u to v. Set $R(G) = \{(u, v), (v, u) \mid uv \in E(G)\}$. For $b = (u, v) \in R(G)$, set u = o(b) and v = t(b). Furthermore, let $\hat{b} = (v, u)$ be the *inverse* of b = (u, v).

A path P of length n in G is a sequence $P=(b_1,\cdots,b_n)$ of n arcs such that $b_i \in R(G)$, $t(b_i)=o(b_{i+1})(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$, where indices are treated $mod\ n$. Set |P|=n, $o(P)=o(b_1)$ and $t(P)=t(b_n)$. Also, P is called an (o(P),t(P))-path. We say that a path $P=(b_1,\cdots,b_n)$ has a backtracking or back-scatter if $\hat{b}_{i+1}=b_i$ for some $i(1 \leq i \leq n-1)$. A (v,w)-path is called a v-cycle (or v-closed path) if v=w. The inverse cycle of a cycle $C=(b_1,\cdots,b_n)$ is the cycle $\hat{C}=(\hat{b}_n,\cdots,\hat{b}_n)$.

We introduce an equivalence relation between cycles. Two cycles $C_1 = (e_1, \dots, e_m)$ and $C_2 = (f_1, \dots, f_m)$ are called *equivalent* if there exists k such that $f_j = e_{j+k}$ for all j. The inverse cycle of C is in general not equivalent to C. Let [C] be the equivalence class which contains a cycle C. Let C be the cycle obtained by going C times around a cycle C. Such a cycle is called a *power* of C. A cycle C is reduced if C has no backtracking.

Furthermore, a cycle C is *primitive* if it is not a power of a strictly smaller cycle. Note that each equivalence class of primitive, reduced cycles of a graph G corresponds to a unique conjugacy class of the fundamental group $\pi_1(G, u)$ of G at a vertex u of G. Furthermore, an equivalence class of primitive cycles of a graph G is called a *primitive periodic orbit* of G(see [13]).

The *Ihara zeta function* of a graph G is a function of a complex variable t with $\mid t \mid$ sufficiently small, defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G,t) = \mathbf{Z}_G(t) = \prod_{[p]} (1 - t^{|p|})^{-1},$$

where [p] runs over all primitive periodic orbits without back-scatter of G(see [8]).

Ihara zeta functions of graphs started from Ihara zeta functions of regular graphs by Ihara [8]. Originally, Ihara presented p-adic Selberg zeta functions of discrete groups, and showed that its reciprocal is a explicit polynomial. Serre [12] pointed out that the Ihara zeta function is the zeta function of the quotient T/Γ (a finite regular graph) of the one-dimensional Bruhat-Tits building T (an infinite regular tree) associated with $GL(2, k_p)$.

A zeta function of a regular graph G associated with a unitary representation of the fundamental group of G was developed by Sunada [15,16]. Hashimoto [7] treated multivariable zeta functions of bipartite graphs. Bass [2] generalized Ihara's result on the zeta function of a regular graph to an irregular graph, and showed that its reciprocal is again a polynomial.

Theorem 1 (Bass) Let G be a connected graph. Then the reciprocal of the zeta function of G is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}(G,t)^{-1} = (1-t^2)^{r-1} \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{C}(G) + t^2(\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{I})).$$

where r and $\mathbf{C}(G)$ are the Betti number and the adjacency matrix of G, respectively, and $\mathbf{D} = (d_{ij})$ is the diagonal matrix with $d_{ii} = v_i = \deg u_i$ where $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$.

Various proofs of Bass' Theorem were given by Stark and Terras [14], Foata and Zeilberger [4], Kotani and Sunada [9].

Let G be a connected graph. We say that a path $P = (b_1, \dots, b_n)$ has a bump at $t(b_i)$ if $b_{i+1} = \hat{b}_i$ $(1 \le i \le n)$. The cyclic bump count $cbc(\pi)$ of a cycle $\pi = (\pi_1, \dots, \pi_n)$ is

$$cbc(\pi) = |\{i = 1, \dots, n \mid \pi_i = \hat{\pi}_{i+1}\}|,$$

where $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$. Then the *Bartholdi zeta function* of G is a function of two complex variables u, t with |u|, |t| sufficiently small, defined by

$$\zeta_G(u,t) = \zeta(G,u,t) = \prod_{[C]} (1 - u^{cbc(C)}t^{|C|})^{-1},$$

where [C] runs over all primitive periodic orbits of G(see [1]). If u = 0, then the Bartholdi zeta function of G is the Ihara zeta function of G.

Bartholdi [1] gave a determinant expression of the Bartholdi zeta function of a graph.

Theorem 2 (Bartholdi) Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m unoriented edges. Then the reciprocal of the Bartholdi zeta function of G is given by

$$\zeta(G, u, t)^{-1} = (1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{C}(G) + (1 - u)(\mathbf{D} - (1 - u)\mathbf{I})t^2).$$

In the case of u = 0, Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.

Sato [11] defined a new zeta function of a graph by using not an infinite product but a determinant.

Let G be a connected graph and $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$. Then we consider an $n \times n$ matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = (w_{ij})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ with ij entry the complex variable w_{ij} if $(u_i, u_j) \in R(G)$, and $w_{ij} = 0$ otherwise. The matrix $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ is called the weighted matrix of G. For each path $P = (u_{i_1}, \dots, u_{i_r})$ of G, the norm w(P) of P is defined as follows: $w(P) = w_{i_1 i_2} w_{i_2 i_3} \cdots w_{i_{r-1} i_r}$. Furthermore, let $w(u_i, u_j) = w_{ij}$, $u_i, u_j \in V(G)$ and $w(b) = w_{ij}, b = (u_i, u_j) \in R(G)$.

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m unoriented edges, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a weighted matrix of G. Two $2m \times 2m$ matrices $\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{B}(G) = (\mathbf{B}_{e,f})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ and $\mathbf{J}_0 = \mathbf{J}_0(G) = (\mathbf{J}_{e,f})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ are defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{B}_{e,f} = \begin{cases} w(f) & \text{if } t(e) = o(f), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, \mathbf{J}_{e,f} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } f = \hat{e}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then the zeta function of G is defined by

$$\mathbf{Z}_1(G, w, t) = \det(\mathbf{I}_n - t(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0))^{-1}.$$

If w(e) = 1 for any $e \in R(G)$, then the zeta function of G is the Ihara zeta function of G.

Theorem 3 (Sato) Let G be a connected graph, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ be a weighted matrix of G. Then the reciprocal of the zeta function of G is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_1(G, w, t)^{-1} = (1 - t^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - t\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) + t^2(\tilde{\mathbf{D}} - \mathbf{I}_n)),$$

where n = |V(G)|, m = |E(G)| and $\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = (d_{ij})$ is the diagonal matrix with $d_{ii} = \sum_{o(b)=u_i} w(e)$, $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$.

The spectral determinant of the Laplacian on a quantum graph is closely related to the Ihara zeta function of a graph(see [3,5,6,13]).

Smilansky [13] considered spectral zeta functions and trace formulas for (discrete) Laplacians on ordinary graphs, and expressed some determinant on the bond scattering matrix of a graph G by using the characteristic polynomial of its Laplacian.

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges, $V(G) = \{u_1, \ldots, u_n\}$ and $R(G) = \{b_1, \ldots, b_m, b_{m+1}, \ldots, b_{2m}\}$ such that $b_{m+j} = \hat{b}_j (1 \leq j \leq m)$.

The Laplacian (matrix) $\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(G)$ of G is defined by

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{L}(G) = -\mathbf{C}(G) + \mathbf{D}.$$

Let λ be a eigenvalue of **L** and $\psi = (\psi_1, \dots, \psi_n)$ the eigenvector corresponding to λ . For each arc $b = (u_i, u_l)$, one associates a bond wave function

$$\psi_b(x) = a_b e^{i\pi x/4} + a_{\hat{b}} e^{-i\pi x/4}, \ x = \pm 1$$

under the condition

$$\psi_b(1) = \psi_i, \psi_b(-1) = \psi_l.$$

We consider the following three conditions:

- 1. uniqueness: The value of the eigenvector at the vertex u_j , ψ_j , computed in the terms of the bond wave functions is the same for all the arcs emanating from u_i .
- 2. ψ is an eigenvector of L;
- 3. consistency: The linear relation between the incoming and the outgoing coefficients (1) must be satisfied simultaneously at all vertices.

By the uniqueness, we have

$$a_{b_1} \mathrm{e}^{i\pi/4} + a_{\hat{b}_1} \mathrm{e}^{-i\pi/4} = a_{b_2} \mathrm{e}^{i\pi/4} + a_{\hat{b}_2} \mathrm{e}^{-i\pi/4} = \dots = a_{b_{v_j}} \mathrm{e}^{i\pi/4} + a_{\hat{b}_{v_j}} \mathrm{e}^{-i\pi/4},$$

where $b_1, b_2, \ldots, b_{v_j}$ are arcs emanating from u_j , and $v_j = \deg u_j$, $i = \sqrt{-1}$. By the condition 2, we have

$$-\sum_{k=1}^{v_j} (a_{b_k} e^{-i\pi/4} + a_{\hat{b}_k} e^{i\pi/4}) = (\lambda - v_j) \frac{1}{v_j} \sum_{k=1}^{v_j} (a_{b_k} e^{i\pi/4} + a_{\hat{b}_k} e^{-i\pi/4}).$$

Thus, for each arc b with $o(b) = u_i$,

$$a_b = \sum_{t(c)=u_j} \sigma_{b,c}^{(u_j)}(\lambda) a_c, \tag{1}$$

where

$$\sigma_{b,c}^{(u_j)}(\lambda) = i(\delta_{\hat{b},c} - \frac{2}{v_j} \frac{1}{1 - i(1 - \lambda/v_j)}),$$

and $\delta_{\hat{b},c}$ is the Kronecker delta. The bond scattering matrix $\mathbf{U}(\lambda) = (U_{ef})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ of G is defined by

$$U_{ef} = \begin{cases} \sigma_{e,f}^{(t(f))} & \text{if } t(f) = o(e), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By the consistency, we have

$$\mathbf{U}(\lambda)\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{a}$$
.

where $\mathbf{a} = {}^{t}(a_{b_1}, a_{b_2}, \dots, a_{b_{2m}})$. This holds if and only if

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 0.$$

4

Theorem 4 (Smilansky) Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then the characteristic polynomial of the bond scattering matrix of G is given by

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{D})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (v_j - iv_j + \lambda i)} = \prod_{[p]} (1 - a_p(\lambda)),$$

where [p] runs over all primitive periodic orbits of G, and

$$a_p(\lambda) = \sigma_{b_1,b_n}^{(t(b_n))} \sigma_{b_n,b_{n-1}}^{(t(b_{n-1}))} \cdots \sigma_{b_2,b_1}^{(t(b_1))}, \ p = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n).$$

In this paper, we reprove Smilansky's formula for the characteristic polynomial of the bond scattering matrix of a graph and its weighted version by using some zeta functions of a graph. In Section 2, we consider a new zeta function of a graph G, and present another proof of Smilansky's formula for some determinant on the bond scattering matrix of a graph by means of the Laplacian of G. Furthermore, we give Smilansky's formula for the case of a regular graph by using Bartholdi zeta function of a graph. In Section 3, we present a decomposition formula for some determinant on the bond scattering matrix of a semiregular bipartite graph. In Section 4, we give another proof for a weighted version of the above Smilansky's formula by Bass' method used in the determinant expression for the Ihara zeta function of a graph. In Section 5, we express a new zeta function of a graph by using the Euler product.

2 The scattering matrix of a graph

We present a proof of Theorem 4 by using Theorem 3, which is different from a proof in [13].

Theorem 5 (Smilansky) Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges. Then, for the bond scattering matrix of G,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{D})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (v_j - iv_j + \lambda i)}.$$

Proof. Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m edges, $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $R(G) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_m\}$. Set $v_j = \deg u_j$ and

$$x_j = x_{u_j} = \frac{2}{v_i} \frac{1}{1 - i(1 - \lambda/v_i)}$$

for each j = 1, ..., n. Then we consider a $2m \times 2m$ matrix $\mathbf{B} = (B_{ef})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ given by

$$B_{ef} = \begin{cases} x_{o(f)} & \text{if } t(e) = o(f), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 3, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0)) = (1 - u^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - u\mathbf{W}_x(G) + u^2(\mathbf{D}_x - \mathbf{I}_n)),$$

where $\mathbf{W}_x(G) = (w_{jk})$ and $\mathbf{D}_x = (d_{jk})$ are given as follows:

$$w_{jk} = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } (u_j, u_k) \in R(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}, d_{jk} = \begin{cases} v_j x_j & \text{if } j = k, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(^{t}\mathbf{B} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0})) = (1 - u^{2})^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_{n} - u\mathbf{W}_{x}(G) + u^{2}(\mathbf{D}_{x} - \mathbf{I}_{n})), \tag{2}$$

where ${}^{t}\mathbf{B}$ is the transpose of **B**. Note that

$$v_j x_j = \frac{2}{1 - i(1 - \lambda/v_j)} \ (1 \le j \le n).$$

But, since

$$i\mathbf{U}(\lambda) + \mathbf{J}_0 = {}^t\mathbf{B},$$

we have

$${}^{t}\mathbf{B} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0} = i\mathbf{U}(\lambda).$$

Substituting u = -i in (2), we obtain

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n + i\mathbf{W}_x(G) - (\mathbf{D}_x - \mathbf{I}_n)).$$
(3)

Now, we have

$$\mathbf{W}_x(G) = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{array} \right] \mathbf{C}(G)$$

and

$$\mathbf{D}_x = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{array} \right] \mathbf{D}.$$

Let

$$\mathbf{X} = \left[\begin{array}{ccc} x_1 & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & x_n \end{array} \right].$$

Then it follows that

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^{m-n} \det(2\mathbf{I}_n + i\mathbf{X}\mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{X}\mathbf{D})$$

$$= 2^{m-n}i^n \det \mathbf{X} \det(-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} + \mathbf{C}(G) + i\mathbf{D}) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} + \mathbf{C}(G) + i\mathbf{D})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (v_j - iv_j + \lambda i)}.$$

Since $2x_j^{-1} = v_j - iv_j + \lambda i$, we have

$$-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} = -i(1-i)\mathbf{D} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_n$$

and so

$$-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} + \mathbf{C}(G) + i\mathbf{D} = \lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{D}.$$

Hence

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{D})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (v_i - iv_i + \lambda i)}.$$

Q.E.D.

We present some determinant on the bond scattering matrix of a regular graph G by using the Bartholdi zeta function of G.

Corollary 1 (Smilansky) Let G be an r-regular graph with n vertices and m edges. Then, for the bond scattering matrix of G,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^m i^n (r - ir + \lambda i)^{-n} \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - r \mathbf{I}_n).$$

Proof. Let G be an r-regular graph with n vertices and m edges, $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $R(G) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_m\}$. Then we have

$$x = x_j = x_{u_j} = \frac{2}{r} \frac{1}{1 - i(1 - \lambda/r)}$$

for each j = 1, ..., n. Thus, each $\sigma_{b,c}^{(t(c))}(\lambda)$ in (1) are given by

$$\sigma_{b,c}^{(t(c))} = \begin{cases} -ix & \text{if } t(c) = o(b), \\ i(1-x) & \text{if } c = \hat{b}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

By Theorem 4, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda))^{-1} = \prod_{[p]} (1 - a_p(\lambda))^{-1},$$

where [p] runs over all primitive periodic orbits of G. Since

$$a_p(\lambda) = \sigma_{b_1,b_n}^{(t(b_n))} \sigma_{b_n,b_{n-1}}^{(t(b_{n-1}))} \cdots \sigma_{b_2,b_1}^{(t(b_1))}, \ p = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n),$$

we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \prod_{[p]} \left(1 - \left(i(1-x) \right)^{cbc(p)} (-ix)^{|p| - cbc(p)} \right)^{-1}$$
$$= \prod_{[p]} \left(1 - \left(\frac{i(1-x)}{-ix} \right)^{cbc(p)} (-ix)^{|p|} \right)^{-1}.$$

Now, let

$$u = \frac{i(1-x)}{-ix}, \ t = -ix.$$

By Theorem 2, since u = 1 + i/t, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = (1 - (1 - u)^2 t^2)^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - t\mathbf{C}(G) + (1 - u)t^2 (r\mathbf{I}_n - (1 - u)\mathbf{I}_n))$$

$$= 2^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - t\mathbf{C}(G) - i(rt + i)\mathbf{I}_n)$$

$$= 2^{m-n} \det(2\mathbf{I}_n - t(\mathbf{C}(G) + ir\mathbf{I}_n))$$

$$= 2^{m-n} (-t)^n \det(-2/t\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) + ir\mathbf{I}_n)$$

Since

$$-\frac{2}{t} = -i(r - ri + \lambda i),$$

we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^{m-n} i^n (r - ri + \lambda)^{-n} \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{C}(G) - r\mathbf{I}_n).$$

Q.E.D.

3 The scattering matrix of a semiregular bipartite graph

We present a decomposition formula for some determinant on the scattering matrix of a semiregular bipartite graph.

A graph G is called *bipartite*, denoted by $G = (V_1, V_2)$ if there exists a partition $V(G) = V_1 \cup V_2$ of V(G) such that $uv \in E(G)$ if and only if $u \in V_1$ and $v \in V_2$. A bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2)$ is called $(q_1 + 1, q_2 + 1)$ -semiregular if $\deg_G v = q_i + 1$ for each $v \in V_i (i = 1, 2)$. For a $(q_1 + 1, q_2 + 1)$ -semiregular bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2)$, let $G^{[i]}$ be the graph with vertex set V_i and an edge between two vertices in $G^{[i]}$ if there is a path of length two between them in G for i = 1, 2. Then $G^{[1]}$ is $(q_1 + 1)q_2$ -regular, and $G^{[2]}$ is $(q_2 + 1)q_1$ -regular.

By Theorem 5, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 6 Let $G = (V_1, V_2)$ be a connected $(q_1 + 1, q_2 + 1)$ -semiregular bipartite graph with ν vertices and ϵ edges. Set $|V_1| = n$, $|V_2| = m(n \le m)$. Then

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2\epsilon} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^m i^n (\lambda - q_2 - 1)^{m-n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda^2 - (q_1 + q_2 - 2)\lambda + (q_1 + 1)(q_2 + 1) - \lambda_j^2)}{((q_1 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda_i)^n ((q_2 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda_i)^m}.$$

where
$$Spec(G) = \{\pm \lambda_1, \cdots, \pm \lambda_n, 0, \cdots, 0\}.$$

Proof. The argument is an analogue of Hashimoto's method [7]. By Theorem 5, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2\epsilon} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^{\epsilon} i^{\nu} \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_{\nu} + \mathbf{C}(G) - \mathbf{D})}{((q_1 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^n ((q_2 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^m}.$$

Let $V_1 = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $V_2 = \{s_1, \dots, s_m\}$. Arrange vertices of G as follows: $u_1, \dots, u_n; v_1, \dots, v_m$. We consider the matrix $\mathbf{C}(G)$ under this order. Then, with the definition, we can see that

$$\mathbf{C}(G) = \left[\begin{array}{cc} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{B} \\ {}^{t}\mathbf{B} & \mathbf{0} \end{array} \right].$$

Since C(G) is symmetric, there exists a orthogonal matrix $U \in U(m)$ such that

$$\mathbf{BU} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{C} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mu_1 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ & \ddots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ \star & & \mu_n & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now, let

$$\mathbf{P} = \left[egin{array}{cc} \mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0} \ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{U} \end{array}
ight].$$

Then we have

$${}^{t}\mathbf{PC}(G)\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} \\ {}^{t}\mathbf{F} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix},$$

where ${}^{t}\mathbf{F}$ is the transpose of \mathbf{F} . Furthermore, we have

$$^{t}\mathbf{P}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{P}=\mathbf{D}.$$

Thus,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2\epsilon} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^{m}i^{n}(\lambda - q_{2} - 1)^{m-n}}{((q_{1} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{n}((q_{2} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{m}} \det\begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - q_{1} - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n} & -\mathbf{F} \\ - {}^{t}\mathbf{F} & (\lambda - q_{2} - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2^{m}i^{n}(\lambda - q_{2} - 1)^{m-n}}{((q_{1} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{n}((q_{2} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{m}}$$

$$\times \det\begin{bmatrix} (\lambda - q_{1} - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n} & \mathbf{0} \\ - {}^{t}\mathbf{F} & (\lambda - q_{2} - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n} - (\lambda - q_{1} - 1)^{-1t}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \frac{2^{m}i^{n}(\lambda - q_{2} - 1)^{m-n}}{((q_{1} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{n}((q_{2} + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^{m}} \det((\lambda - q_{1} - 1)(\lambda - q_{2} - 1)\mathbf{I}_{n} - {}^{t}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}).$$

Since C(G) is symmetric, ${}^{t}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$ is Hermitian and positive definite, i.e., the eigenvalues of ${}^{t}\mathbf{F}\mathbf{F}$ are of form:

$$\lambda_1^2, \cdots, \lambda_n^2(\lambda_1, \cdots, \lambda_n \ge 0).$$

Therefore it follows that

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2\epsilon} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^m i^n (\lambda - q_2 - 1)^{m-n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda^2 - (q_1 + q_2 - 2)\lambda + (q_1 + 1)(q_2 + 1) - \lambda_j^2)}{((q_1 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^n ((q_2 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^m}.$$

But, we have

$$\det(\lambda \mathbf{I} - \mathbf{C}(G)) = \lambda^{(m-n)} \det(\lambda^2 \mathbf{I} - {}^t \mathbf{F} \mathbf{F}),$$

and so

$$Spec(G) = \{\pm \lambda_1, \cdots, \pm \lambda_n, 0, \cdots, 0\}.$$

Therefore, the result follows. Q.E.D.

4 A weighted version of the scattering matrix of a graph

Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m unoriented edges, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a symmetric weighted matrix of G with all nonnegative elements. Then $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ is called a non-negative symmetric weighted matrix of G. Set $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$, $R(G) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m, \hat{b}_1, \dots, \hat{b}_m\}$. and

$$v_j = \sum_{o(b)=u_j} w(b) \text{ for } j = 1, \dots, n.$$

Smilansky [13] considered a weighted version of the characteristic polynomial of the bond scattering matrix of a regular graph G, and expressed it by using the characteristic polynomial of its weighted Laplacian of G.

The weighted bond scattering matrix $\mathbf{U}(\lambda) = (U_{ef})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ of G is defined by

$$U_{ef} = \begin{cases} i(\delta_{\hat{e},f} - x_{t(f)}\sqrt{w(e)}\sqrt{w(f)}) & \text{if } t(f) = o(e), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

where

$$x_j = x_{u_j} = \frac{2}{v_i} \frac{1}{1 - i(1 - \lambda/v_i)}$$

for each $j = 1, \ldots, n$.

Smilansky [13] stated a formula for some determinant on the weighted scattering matrix of a graph G without a proof.

Theorem 7 (Smilansky) Let G be a connected graph with n vertices and m unoriented edges and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a non-negative symmetric weighted matrix of G. Then, for the weighted scattering matrix of G,

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) - \tilde{\mathbf{D}})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (v_i - iv_i + \lambda i)}.$$

Proof. The argument is an analogue of Bass' method [2].

Let ρ be a unitary representation of Γ , and d the degree of ρ . Furthermore, let $V(G) = \{u_1, \dots, u_n\}$ and $R(G) = \{b_1, \dots, b_m, b_{m+1}, \dots, b_{2m}\}$ such that $b_{m+i} = \hat{b}_i (1 \leq i \leq m)$. Let $\mathbf{K} = (\mathbf{K}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 2l; 1 \leq j \leq n}$ be the $2l \times n$ matrix defined as follows:

$$\mathbf{K}_{i,j} := \begin{cases} \sqrt{w(b_i)} & \text{if } t(b_i) = u_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Next we define two $2m \times n$ matrices $\mathbf{L} = (\mathbf{L}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 2m; 1 \leq j \leq n}$ and $\mathbf{H} = (\mathbf{H}_{i,j})_{1 \leq i \leq 2m; 1 \leq j \leq n}$ as follows:

$$\mathbf{L}_{i,j} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{w(b_i)} x_{u_j} & \text{if } o(b_i) = u_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right., \mathbf{H}_{i,j} := \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \sqrt{w(b_i)} & \text{if } o(b_i) = u_j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{array} \right.$$

Note that

$$\mathbf{L} = \mathbf{H} \begin{bmatrix} x_{u_1} & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & x_{u_n} \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{H} \mathbf{X}. \tag{4}$$

Then we have

$$\mathbf{L}^{t}\mathbf{K} = {}^{t}\mathbf{B}.\tag{5}$$

and

$${}^{t}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{K} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G), \tag{6}$$

where two matrices $\mathbf{B} = (B_{ef})_{e,f \in R(G)}$ and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) = (w_{us})_{u,s \in V(G)}$ are given by

$$B_{ef} := \begin{cases} x_{t(e)} \sqrt{w(e)w(f)} & \text{if } t(e) = o(f), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, w_{uv} := \begin{cases} w(u,s) & \text{if } (u,s) \in R(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Furthermore,

$$^{t}\mathbf{H}\mathbf{H} = \tilde{\mathbf{D}}.$$
 (7)

Next, we have

$${}^{t}\mathbf{KL} = {}^{t}\mathbf{W}_{x}(G) \tag{8}$$

and

$$^{t}\mathbf{HL} = \mathbf{D}_{x},$$
 (9)

where two matrices $\mathbf{W}_x = ((w_x)_{us})_{u,s\in V(G)}$ and $\mathbf{D}_x = (d_{us})_{u,s\in V(G)}$ are given by

$$(w_x)_{us} := \begin{cases} w(u,s)x_u & \text{if } (u,s) \in R(G), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}, d_{us} := \begin{cases} v_ux_u & \text{if } u = s, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, let

$$\mathbf{J} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & w(b_1)x_{o((\hat{b}_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus w(b_m)x_{o(\hat{b}_m)} \\ w(b_1)x_{o(b_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus w(b_m)x_{o(b_m)} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$T = B - J$$
.

Then we have

$$\mathbf{L}^{t}\mathbf{H} = {}^{t}\mathbf{T}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0} + (w(b_{1})x_{o(b_{1})} \oplus \cdots \oplus w(\hat{b}_{m})x_{o(\hat{b}_{m})}). \tag{10}$$

We introduce two $(2m+n) \times (2m+n)$ matrices as follows:

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} (1 - u^2)\mathbf{I}_n & -{}^t\mathbf{K} + u \ ^t\mathbf{H} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{2m} \end{bmatrix}, \mathbf{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_n & {}^t\mathbf{K} - u \ ^t\mathbf{H} \\ u\mathbf{L} & (1 - u^2)\mathbf{I}_{2m} \end{bmatrix}$$

By (8) and (9), we have

$$\mathbf{PQ} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - u \ {}^t\mathbf{K}\mathbf{L} + u^2 \ {}^t\mathbf{H}\mathbf{L} & \mathbf{0} \\ u\mathbf{L} & (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_{2m} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_n - u \ {}^t\mathbf{W}_x(G) + u^2\mathbf{D}_x \\ u\mathbf{L} & (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_{2m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

By (5) and (10),

$$\mathbf{QP} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ u(1-u^2)\mathbf{L} & -u\mathbf{L}^t\mathbf{K} + u^2\mathbf{L}^t\mathbf{H} + (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_{2m} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since

$$w(b_1)x_{o(b_1)} \oplus \cdots \oplus w(\hat{b}_m)x_{o(\hat{b}_m)} = {}^t\mathbf{J}^t\mathbf{J}_0$$

and $({}^t\mathbf{J}_0)^2 = \mathbf{I}_{2m}$, we have

$$-u\mathbf{L}^{t}\mathbf{K} + u^{2}\mathbf{L}^{t}\mathbf{H} + (1 - u^{2})\mathbf{I}_{2m}$$

$$= \mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(^{t}\mathbf{T} + {}^{t}\mathbf{J}) + u^{2}(^{t}\mathbf{T}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0} + {}^{t}\mathbf{J}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0}{}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0})$$

$$= (\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(^{t}\mathbf{T} + {}^{t}\mathbf{J} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0}))(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0}).$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{QP} = \begin{bmatrix} (1-u^2)\mathbf{I}_n & \mathbf{0} \\ u(1-u^2)\mathbf{L} & (\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u({}^t\mathbf{T} + {}^t\mathbf{J} - {}^t\mathbf{J}_0))(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u{}^t\mathbf{J}_0) \end{bmatrix}.$$

Since $det(\mathbf{PQ}) = det(\mathbf{QP})$, we have

$$(1 - u^2)^{2m} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - u^t \mathbf{W}_x(G) + (\mathbf{D}_x - \mathbf{I}_n)u^2)$$
$$= (1 - u^2)^n \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^t \mathbf{T} + {}^t \mathbf{J} - {}^t \mathbf{J}_0) \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u^t \mathbf{J}_0).$$

But.

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u \, {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0}) = \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{m} & u\mathbf{I}_{m} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{I}_{m} \end{bmatrix}\right) \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{m} & -u\mathbf{I}_{m} \\ -u\mathbf{I}_{m} & \mathbf{I}_{m} \end{bmatrix}\right)$$
$$= \det\left(\begin{bmatrix} (1 - u^{2})\mathbf{I}_{m} & \mathbf{0} \\ * & \mathbf{I}_{m} \end{bmatrix}\right) = (1 - u^{2})^{m}.$$

Therefore it follows that

$$(1 - u^2)^{2m} \det(\mathbf{I}_n - u^t \mathbf{W}_x(G) + (\mathbf{D}_x - \mathbf{I}_n)u^2) = (1 - u^2)^{(m+n)} \det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(t^T + t^T - t^T - t^T)u^2)$$

Hence

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - u(^{t}\mathbf{B} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0})) = (1 - u^{2})^{(m-n)}\det(\mathbf{I}_{n} - u\mathbf{W}_{x}(G) + (\mathbf{D}_{x} - \mathbf{I}_{n}))u^{2}).$$
(11)

But, since

$$i\mathbf{U}(\lambda) + \mathbf{J}_0 = {}^t\mathbf{B},$$

we have

$${}^{t}\mathbf{B} - {}^{t}\mathbf{J}_{0} = i\mathbf{U}(\lambda).$$

Substituting u = -i in (11), we obtain

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^{m-n} \det(\mathbf{I}_n + i\mathbf{W}_x(G) - (\mathbf{D}_x - \mathbf{I}_n)). \tag{12}$$

By (4), (6) and (8), we have

$$\mathbf{W}_x(G) = {}^t \mathbf{L} \mathbf{K} = {}^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{H} \mathbf{K} = \mathbf{X} \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G).$$

Furthermore, by (4), (7) and (9), we have

$$\mathbf{D}_x = {}^t \mathbf{L} \mathbf{H} = {}^t \mathbf{X}^t \mathbf{H} \mathbf{H} = \mathbf{X} \tilde{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Thus, we have

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^{m-n} \det(2\mathbf{I}_n + \mathbf{X}\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) + i\mathbf{X}\tilde{\mathbf{D}})$$
$$= 2^{m-n}i^n \det\mathbf{X} \det(-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) + i\tilde{\mathbf{D}}) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(2\mathbf{X}^{-1} + i\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) - \tilde{\mathbf{D}})}{\prod_{i=1}^n (v_j - iv_j + \lambda i)}.$$

Since $2x_j^{-1} = v_j - iv_j + \lambda i$, we have

$$-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} = -i(1-i)\tilde{\mathbf{D}} + \lambda \mathbf{I}_n$$

and so

$$-2i\mathbf{X}^{-1} + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) + i\tilde{\mathbf{D}} = \lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) - \tilde{\mathbf{D}}.$$

Hence

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = \frac{2^m i^n \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) - \tilde{\mathbf{D}})}{\prod_{j=1}^n (d_j - id_j + \lambda i)}.$$

Q.E.D.

Let G be a connected graph and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a weighted matrix of G. Then G is called a r-regular weighted graph if $\sum_{o(b)=u} w(b) = r$ for each $u \in V(G)$.

By Theorem 7, the following result holds.

Corollary 2 Let G be an r-regular weighted graph with n vertices and m edges, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a non-negative symmetric weighted matrix of G. Then

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2m} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^m i^n (r - ir + \lambda i)^{-n} \det(\lambda \mathbf{I}_n + \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G) - r\mathbf{I}_n).$$

Let $G = (V_1, V_2)$ be a bipartite graph. Then G is called a $(q_1 + 1, q_2 + 1)$ -semiregular weighted bipartite graph if $\sum_{o(e)=v} w(e) = q_i + 1$ for each $v \in V_i (i = 1, 2)$.

Similarly to the proof of Theorem 6, the following result holds.

Corollary 3 Let $G = (V_1, V_2)$ be a connected (q_1+1, q_2+1) -semiregular weighted bipartite graph with ν vertices and ϵ edges, and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a real symmetric weighted matrix of G. Set $|V_1| = n$, $|V_2| = m(n \leq m)$. Then

$$\det(\mathbf{I}_{2\epsilon} - \mathbf{U}(\lambda)) = 2^m i^n (\lambda - q_2 - 1)^{m-n} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^n (\lambda^2 - (q_1 + q_2 - 2)\lambda + (q_1 + 1)(q_2 + 1) - \lambda_j^2)}{((q_1 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^n ((q_2 + 1)(1 - i) + \lambda i)^m}.$$

where
$$Spec(\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)) = \{\pm \lambda_1, \cdots, \pm \lambda_n, 0, \cdots, 0\}.$$

5 The Euler product for a new zeta function

We present the Euler product for a new zeta function of a graph.

Foata and Zeilberger [4] gave a new proof of Bass's Theorem by using the algebra of Lyndon words. Let X be a finite nonempty set, < a total order in X, and X^* the free monoid generated by X. Then the total order < on X derive the lexicographic order < on X^* . A Lyndon word in X is defined to a nonempty word in X^* which is prime, i.e., not the power l^r of any other word l for any $r \ge 2$, and which is also minimal in the class of its cyclic rearrangements under < (see [9]). Let L denote the set of all Lyndon words in X.

Let **F** be a square matrix whose entries $b(x, x')(x, x' \in X)$ form a set of commuting variables. If $w = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_m$ is a word in X^* , define

$$\beta(w) = b(x_1, x_2)b(x_2, x_3) \cdots b(x_{m-1}, x_m)b(x_m, x_1).$$

Furthermore, let

$$\beta(L) = \prod_{l \in L} (1 - \beta(l)).$$

The following theorem played a central role in [4].

Theorem 8 (Foata and Zeilbereger) $\beta(L) = \det(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{F})$.

Let G be a connected graph and $\tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ a weighted matrix of G. Then, let w(e, f) be the (e, f)-array of the matrix $\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0$.

Theorem 9 Let G be a connected graph, and let $\tilde{\mathbf{C}} = \tilde{\mathbf{C}}(G)$ be a weighted matrix of G. Then the reciprocal of the zeta function of G is given by

$$\mathbf{Z}_1(G, w, t) = \prod_{[p]} (1 - w_p t^{|p|})^{-1},$$

where [p] runs over all primitive periodic orbits of G, and

$$w_p = w(b_1, b_2)w(b_2, b_3) \cdots w(b_{n-1}, b_n), \ p = (b_1, b_2, \dots, b_n)$$

Proof. Let $R(G) = \{b_1, \dots, b_{2m}\}$ such that $b_{m+j} = \hat{b}_j (1 \leq j \leq m)$, and $b_1 < b_2 < \dots < b_{2m}$ a total order of R(G). We consider the free monid $R(G)^*$ generated by R(G), and the lexicographic order on $R(G)^*$ derived from <. If a cycle p is primitive, then there exists a unique cycle in [p] which is a Lyndon word in R(G).

For $z \in R(G)^*$, let

$$\beta(z) = \begin{cases} w_z t^{|z|} & \text{if } z \text{ is a primitive cycle,} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\beta(L) = \prod_{l \in L} (1 - \beta(l)) = \prod_{[p]} (1 - w_p t^{|p|}),$$

where [p] runs over all primitive periodic orbits of G. Furthermore, we define variables $b(x, x')(x, x' \in R(G))$ as follows:

$$b(x, x') = \begin{cases} w(x, x') & \text{if } t(x) = o(x'), \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Theorem 8 implies that

$$\prod_{[p]} (1 - w_p t^{|p|}) = \det(\mathbf{I} - t\mathbf{F}) = \det(\mathbf{I} - t(\mathbf{B} - \mathbf{J}_0)).$$

Q.E.D.

Acknowledgment

This work is supported by Grant-in-Aid for Science Research (C) in Japan. We would like to thank the referee for valuable comments and helpful suggestions.

References

- [1] L. Bartholdi, Counting paths in graphs, Enseign. Math. 45 (1999), 83-131.
- [2] H. Bass, The Ihara-Selberg zeta function of a tree lattice, Internat. J. Math. 3 (1992), 717-797.
- [3] A. Comtet, J. Desbois and C. Texier, Functionals of the Brownian motion, localization and metric graphs, preprint [arXiv: cond-mat/0504513v2].
- [4] D. Foata and D. Zeilberger, A combinatorial proof of Bass's evaluations of the Ihara-Selberg zeta function for graphs, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 351 (1999), 2257-2274.
- [5] J. Desbois, Spectral determinant on graphs with generalized boundary conditions, Eur. Phys. J. B 24 (2001), 261-266.
- [6] J. M. Harrison, U. Smilansky and B. Winn, Quantum graphs where back-scattering is prhibited, J. Phys. A:Math. Theor. 40 (2007), 14181-14193.
- [7] K. Hashimoto, Zeta Functions of Finite Graphs and Representations of p-Adic Groups, in "Adv. Stud. Pure Math". Vol. 15, pp. 211-280, Academic Press, New York, 1989.
- [8] Y. Ihara, On discrete subgroups of the two by two projective linear group over p-adic fields, J. Math. Soc. Japan 18 (1966), 219-235.
- [9] M. Kotani and T. Sunada, Zeta functions of finite graphs, J. Math. Sci. U. Tokyo 7 (2000), 7-25.
- [10] M. Lothaire, Combinatorics on Words, Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass., 1983.
- [11] I. Sato, A new zeta function of a graph, preprint.
- [12] J.-P. Serre, Trees, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1980.
- [13] U. Smilansky, Quantum chaos on discrete graphs, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 40 (2007), F621-F630.
- [14] H. M. Stark and A. A. Terras, Zeta functions of finite graphs and coverings, Adv. Math. 121 (1996), 124-165.
- [15] T. Sunada, L-Functions in Geometry and Some Applications, in "Lecture Notes in Math"., Vol. 1201, pp. 266-284, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.
- [16] T. Sunada, Fundamental Groups and Laplacians(in Japanese), Kinokuniya, Tokyo, 1988.