# Explicit Cayley covers of Kautz digraphs

Josep M. Brunat<sup>\*</sup>

Departament de Matemàtica Aplicada II Universitat Politècnica de Catalunya

Josep.M.Brunat@upc.edu

Submitted: Mar 3, 2010; Accepted: Apr 27, 2011; Published: May 8, 2011 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05E18, 05C25, 05C20

#### Abstract

Given a finite set V and a set S of permutations of V, the group action graph GAG(V, S) is the digraph with vertex set V and arcs  $(v, v^{\sigma})$  for all  $v \in V$  and  $\sigma \in S$ . Let  $\langle S \rangle$  be the group generated by S. The Cayley digraph  $Cay(\langle S \rangle, S)$  is called a Cayley cover of GAG(V, S). We define the Kautz digraphs as group action graphs and give an explicit construction of the corresponding Cayley cover. This is an answer to a problem posed by Heydemann in 1996.

## 1 Introduction

The importance of graph symmetry from theoretical and applied points of view has been emphasized many times; see, for instance, [1, 2, 11, 12, 14]. Furthermore, the idea of associating a Cayley digraph to a non-symmetric digraph in such a way that the properties of one gives information about the other has been frequently used. For instance, Fiol et al. [7, 8, 9] have shown that, in the context of dynamic memory networks, the idea of associating a Cayley digraph on a permutation group on the set of vertices of the network plays a central role in a unified approach to the topic. The idea of symmetrization of a digraph is used by Espona and Serra in [6] to construct Cayley covers of the de Bruijn digraphs, and by Mansilla and Serra in the context of k-arc transitivity [15, 16]. The group action graphs defined by Annexstein et al. in [2], give a way to associate to each non-symmetric digraph a number of Cayley graphs.

The de Bruijn and Kautz digraphs are the iterated line digraphs from the complete digraph with and without loops, respectively [10]. They are dense digraphs, and they have high connectivity, and many other good properties [3]. But, in general, they are not symmetric. Serra and Fiol have calculated the permutation groups of the de Bruijn

<sup>\*</sup>Work partially supported by Project Gen. Cat. DGR 2009SGR1040 and by Ministerio de Ciencia y Tecnología under project MICINT 400826.

digraph [18]. From them, an explicit construction of the Cayley digraph associated to the de Bruijn digraphs as group action graphs is known. For Kautz digraphs K(d, n), it is known for which values of d and n they are Cayley digraphs (see [4]), and, in the case that d+1 is a prime number, Mansilla and Serra [15] gave an explicit construction of the corresponding Cayley digraph.

The problem 47 posed by Heydemann in [13], consists in giving an explicit construction of the Cayley digraph associated to the Kautz digraphs K(d, n) considered as group action graph. Our goal here is to solve this problem for all values of d and n.

The paper is organized as follows. In the next section we give definitions, known results and a suitable representation of the Kautz digraphs K(d, n). Section 3 is devoted to an explicit construction of a group  $\Sigma = \Sigma(d, n)$  and a generating system  $\mathcal{G}$  for  $\Sigma$ . In Section 4 we show that the Cayley digraph Cay  $(\Sigma, \mathcal{G})$  is a Cayley regular cover of K(d, n), the explicit construction asked by Heydemann.

For undefined concepts about group theory we refer to [17], and for undefined concepts about graph theory we refer to [5].

### 2 Group action graphs and Kautz digraphs

Given a (finite) set V and a set S of permutations of V, the group action graph (GAG for short) defined by V and S is the digraph with vertex set V and arcs  $(v, v^{\sigma})$  for all  $v \in V$ and  $\sigma \in S$ ; it is denoted by GAG(V, S). If we admit multiple arcs, a GAG is regular, the degree being the cardinality of S. In a natural way, the group  $\langle S \rangle$  generated by S acts on V, and GAG(V, S) is strongly connected if and only if the action of  $\langle S \rangle$  on V is transitive. In this paper, all digraphs considered are strongly connected.

The concepts of arc-coloring and decompositions into permutations, are closely related to GAG. Let  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  be a *d*-regular digraph. An *arc-coloring* of  $\Gamma$  is an assignment of an element in  $\{0, \ldots, d-1\}$  to each arc of E in such a way that, for all  $v \in V$ , the *d* arcs incident to v have different assignments, and the *d* arcs incident from v have different assignments as well. The element assigned to an arc is called the *color* of the arc. A set  $S = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$  of *d* permutations of *V* is a *decomposition into permutations* of  $\Gamma$  if, for every vertex  $v \in V$ , (i)  $(v, v^{\sigma_a}) \in E$  for all  $a \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ ; (ii)  $v^{\sigma_a} = v^{\sigma_b}$  implies a = b for all  $a, b \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ .

The two concepts, arc-coloring and decomposition into permutations, are equivalent. Indeed, given an arc-coloring of  $\Gamma$ , for each color  $a \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$  and each  $v \in V$ , let  $v^{\sigma_a}$  be the unique vertex adjacent from v by an arc of color a. Then, the map  $\sigma_a: V \to V$  defined by  $v \mapsto v^{\sigma_a}$  is a permutation of V, and the set  $S = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$  is a decomposition of  $\Gamma$  into permutations. Conversely, given a decomposition into permutations  $S = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$  of  $\Gamma$ , if we assign to the arc  $(v, v^{\sigma_a})$  the color a, then we obtain an arc-coloring of  $\Gamma$ . It is well known that, as a consequence of Hall's matching theorem, every d-regular digraph admits an arc-coloring (in fact, in general, it admits many arc-colorings).

A decomposition into permutations S of a d-regular digraph  $\Gamma = (V, E)$  allows us to see  $\Gamma$  as a GAG. Indeed,  $\Gamma$  is just the GAG defined by V and S, that is,  $\Gamma = \text{GAG}(V, S)$ . As, in general,  $\Gamma$  admits many decompositions into permutations, the digraph  $\Gamma$  can be seen as a GAG in many ways.

Given a group  $\Omega$ , and a generating system S for  $\Omega$ , the Cayley digraph Cay  $(\Omega, S)$  is the digraph which has  $\Omega$  as set of vertices and each vertex v is adjacent to the vertices vs, with  $s \in S$ . If  $\Gamma$  is a d-regular digraph and S is a decomposition into permutations of  $\Gamma$ , the Cayley graph Cay $(\langle S \rangle, S)$  is called a Cayley regular cover of  $\Gamma$ . As pointed out in [2], the digraph  $\Gamma$  is a quotient digraph of each of its regular covers. Indeed, fixed a vertex v, the map  $f: \operatorname{Cay}(\langle S \rangle, S) \to \Gamma$  defined by  $f(\sigma) = v^{\sigma}$  is a digraph homomorphism onto  $\Gamma$ , and, for all  $u \in V$ , the set  $f^{-1}(u)$  has the cardinality of the stabilizer in  $\langle S \rangle$  of v.

The de Bruijn digraph B(d, n) is the digraph with vertex set  $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$  and each vertex  $z_0 \ldots z_{n-1}$  is adjacent to the *d* vertices  $z_1 \ldots z_n$  with  $z_n \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ . Clearly, B(d, n) is *d*-regular. The digraph B(d, 1) is the complete digraph with loops on *d* vertices  $K_d^+ = \operatorname{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_d, \mathbb{Z}_d)$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , the digraphs B(d, n) are iterated line digraphs  $B(d, n) = LB(d, n-1) = L^{n-1}B(d, 1)$ , see [10]. For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ , the map  $\sigma_a: \mathbb{Z}_d^n \to \mathbb{Z}_d^n$  defined by  $(z_0 \ldots z_{n-1})^{\sigma_a} = z_1 \ldots z_{n-1}(z_0+a)$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^n$ , and the set  $S = \{\sigma_0, \ldots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$  is a decomposition of B(d, n) into permutations. The Cayley regular cover  $\operatorname{Cay}(\langle S \rangle, S)$  associated to these permutations is known, see [15].

The Kautz digraph K(d, n) is the *d*-regular digraph with vertex set  $V = \{z_0 \dots z_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}^n : z_i \neq z_{i+1} \text{ for } i = 0, \dots, n-2\}$ , and each vertex  $z_0 \dots z_{n-1}$  is adjacent to the *d* vertices  $z_1 \dots z_{n-1} z_n$  with  $z_n \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \setminus \{z_{n-1}\}$ . The digraph K(d, 1) is the complete digraph without loops on d + 1 vertices  $K_{d+1} = \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}, \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \setminus \{0\})$ . For  $n \geq 2$ , the digraphs K(d, n) are iterated line digraphs  $K(d, n) = LK(d, n-1) = L^{n-1}K(d, 1)$ , see [10]. The trivial case d = 1 gives  $K(1, n) \simeq K(1, 1) \simeq \text{Cay}(\mathbb{Z}_2, \{1\})$ , so in what follows we assume  $d \geq 2$ . When d + 1 is a prime number, Fiol et al. [8] give a representation of K(d, n) and a decomposition of K(d, n) into permutations for which the corresponding Cayley regular cover is explicitly obtained by Mansilla and Serra in [15]. Our explicit construction for all values of (d, n) is based in a similar description of the Kautz digraph and in a decomposition into permutations which uses this description.

To avoid inconsistencies of notation, for an integer  $m \ge 2$ , we take the set of integers  $\{0, 1, \ldots, m-1\}$  (and not equivalence classes of integers) as the elements of the cyclic group  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  of order m generated by 1. In this way, as a set  $\mathbb{Z}_d$  is a subset (but not a subgroup) of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$  and, if  $c \in \mathbb{Z}_d$  and and  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$ , the sum z + c in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$  has a non-ambigous meaning.

For  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ , the map  $\tau_a: \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \to \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$  defined by  $x^{\tau_a} = x + a + 1$  is a permutation of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$ , and the set  $S = \{\tau_0, \ldots, \tau_{d-1}\}$  is a decomposition of  $K_{d+1}$  into permutations, and the arc  $(z, z^{\tau_a})$  is said to be of color a. As  $K(d, n) = L^{n-1}K(d, 1)$ , each vertex in K(d, n) is a walk  $z_0 \ldots z_{n-1}$  in K(d, 1), which is completely determined by the *initial vertex*  $z_0$  and the sequence of colors  $\mathbf{c} = c_0 \ldots c_{n-2}$  of the successive arcs  $(z_0, z_1), \ldots, (z_{n-2}, z_{n-1})$  in K(d, 1). Denoting the vertex  $z_0 \ldots z_{n-1}$  of K(d, n) by  $(z_0, \mathbf{c}) = (z_0, c_0 \ldots c_{n-2})$ , the vertex set of K(d, n) can be identified with  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , and each vertex  $(z, c_0 \ldots c_{n-2})$  is adjacent in K(d, n) to the d vertices  $(z + c_0 + 1, c_1 \ldots c_{n-2}c_{n-1})$  with  $c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ . From now on, we take this description for K(d, n).

Let  $V = \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  be the vertex set of K(d, n). For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ , the map  $\sigma_a : V \to V$ 

defined by  $(z, c_0 \dots c_{n-2})^{\sigma_a} = (x+c_0+1, c_1 \dots c_{n-2}(c_0+a))$  is a permutation of V, and the set  $S = \{\sigma_0, \dots, \sigma_{d-1}\}$  is a decomposition of K(d, n) into permutations. Thus, K(d, n) is the group action graph K(d, n) = GAG(V, S). In what follows we give an explicit description of the Cayley regular cover  $\text{Cay}(\langle S \rangle, S)$  of K(d, n) = GAG(V, S).

# 3 The group

Let  $\psi$  be the shift automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  defined by  $\psi(a_0, \ldots, a_{n-2}) = (a_1, \ldots, a_{n-2}, a_0)$ . The map  $i \mapsto \psi^i$  is a group homomorphism from  $\mathbb{Z}_{n-1}$  to Aut  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , the automorphism group of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , so we can form the semidirect product  $\mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  with the operation defined by  $(i, \mathbf{a})(j, \mathbf{b}) = (i + j, \psi^j(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b})$ .

Let  $H_{d+1}^d$  be the subgroup of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}^d$  formed by the elements with sum of coordinates equal to zero:

$$H_{d+1}^d = \{ (x_0, \dots, x_{d-1}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}^d : x_0 + \dots + x_{d-1} = 0 \}.$$

The group  $H_{d+1}^d$  has order  $|H_{d+1}^d| = (d+1)^{d-1}$ . Let  $\phi$  be the shift automorphism of  $H_{d+1}^d$  defined by  $\phi(x_0, \ldots, x_{d-1}) = (x_1, \ldots, x_{d-1}, x_0)$ . If  $\mathbf{a} = (a_0, \ldots, a_{n-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , we define

$$\phi^{\mathbf{a}} = (\phi^{a_0}, \phi^{a_1}, \dots, \phi^{a_{n-2}}) \colon (H^d_{d+1})^{n-1} \to (H^d_{d+1})^{n-1}$$

by

$$\phi^{\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{x}_0,\ldots,\mathbf{x}_{n-2})=(\phi^{a_0}(\mathbf{x}_0),\ldots,\phi^{a_{n-2}}(\mathbf{x}_{n-2})).$$

Clearly,  $\phi^{\mathbf{a}}$  is an automorphism of  $(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ . Denote by  $\psi$  the shift automorphism of  $(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$  defined by  $\psi(\mathbf{x}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}) = (\mathbf{x}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}, \mathbf{x}_0)$ . (Note that we use the same symbol  $\psi$  for the shift automorphism of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  and for the shift automorphism of  $(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ . In both cases  $\psi$  is a shift of vectors of length n-1, while  $\phi$  is applied to vectors of length d.) For each  $(i, \mathbf{a}) \in \mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , the map  $\psi^{-i}\phi^{-\mathbf{a}}$  is an automorphism of  $(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ . Moreover, from the fact that  $\phi^{\mathbf{a}}\psi = \psi\phi^{\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{a})}$ , the map  $f:\mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \rtimes (\mathbb{Z}_d)^{n-1} \to \operatorname{Aut}(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ , defined by  $f(i, \mathbf{a}) = \psi^{-i}\phi^{-\mathbf{a}}$  is a group homomorphism. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(i,\mathbf{a})f(j,\mathbf{b}) &= (\psi^{-i}\phi^{-\mathbf{a}})(\psi^{-j}\phi^{-\mathbf{b}}) \\ &= \psi^{-i}(\phi^{-\mathbf{a}}\psi^{-j})\phi^{-\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \psi^{-i}\psi^{-j}\phi^{\psi^{j}(-\mathbf{a})}\phi^{-\mathbf{b}} \\ &= \psi^{-(i+j)}\phi^{-(\psi^{j}(\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{b})} \\ &= f(i+j,\psi^{j}(\mathbf{a})+\mathbf{b}) \\ &= f((i,\mathbf{a})(j,\mathbf{b})). \end{aligned}$$

Consider the semidirect product  $\Sigma'(d, n) = (\mathbb{Z}_{n-1} \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}) \rtimes (H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ , with the operation defined by

$$(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})(j, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Y}) = (i + j, \psi^{j}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{X} + \psi^{-i}\phi^{-\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{Y}))$$

Let  $\Sigma(d, n)$  be the direct product  $\Sigma'(d, n) \times \mathbb{Z}_2$  if d is odd and n is even, and  $\Sigma(d, n) = \Sigma'(d, n)$  otherwise. The order of  $\Sigma(d, n)$  is

$$|\Sigma(d,n)| = \begin{cases} 2(n-1)d^{n-1}(d+1)^{(d-1)(n-1)}, & \text{if } d \text{ is odd and } n \text{ is even};\\ (n-1)d^{n-1}(d+1)^{(d-1)(n-1)}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Now, we shall show a generating system for  $\Sigma(d, n)$ . First, let us introduce some notation to make it easier to write some elements in  $\Sigma(d, n)$ . Let  $\mathbf{e}_0 = 10 \dots 0, \dots, \mathbf{e}_{n-2} = 0 \dots 01$ , be the vectors of the canonical base of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ . If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ , then  $a\mathbf{e}_i$  is the vector  $0 \dots 0a0 \dots 0$  with a in the *i*-th position (positions are counted from 0 to n-2). Both the zero vector of  $\mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  and the zero vector of  $H_{d+1}^d$  are denoted by  $\mathbf{0}$ , while the zero vector of  $(H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$  is denoted by  $\mathbf{0}$ . With this notation, the neutral element of  $\Sigma(d, n)$ is  $O = (0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 0)$  if d is odd and n even and  $O = (0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0})$  otherwise. We define  $\mathbf{v} =$  $1 \dots 12 \in H_{d+1}^d$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}) \in (H_{d+1}^d)^{n-1}$ . For each  $j \in \{0, \dots, d-2\}$ , let  $\mathbf{g}_j = 0 \dots 010 \dots d$  be the vector of  $H_{d+1}^d$  with the *j*-th coordinate equal to 1, the last coordinate equal to d = -1, and the remaining coordinates equal to zero. Note that the vectors  $\mathbf{g}_0, \dots, \mathbf{g}_{d-2}$  form a generating system for  $H_{d+1}^d$ .

For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$  define  $G(a) \in \Sigma(d, n)$  by  $G(a) = (1, a\mathbf{e}_{n-2}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}}, 1)$  if d is odd and n is even, and  $G(a) = (1, a\mathbf{e}_{n-2}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}})$  otherwise.

#### **Proposition 1** The set $\{G(0), G(1)\}$ is a generating system for $\Sigma(d, n)$ .

**Proof** It is sufficient to define elements U, E(r)  $(0 \le r \le n-2)$ , and F(r,s)  $(0 \le r \le n-2)$ and  $0 \le s \le d-2$ , in the subgroup  $\langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$  of  $\Sigma = \Sigma(d, n)$  generated by G(0) and G(1) and to show that the elements U, E(r) and F(r, s) form a generating system for  $\Sigma$ .

First consider the case when d is even or n is odd, i.e., when  $\Sigma$  does not have the factor  $\mathbb{Z}_2$ . Direct calculations give

$$\begin{aligned} G(1)^{n-2} &= (n-2, \ 01 \dots 1, \ (\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0})), \\ G(0)G(1)^{n-2} &= (0, \ 01 \dots 1, \ (\mathbf{v}, \dots, \mathbf{v})), \\ \left(G(0)G(1)^{n-2}\right)^d &= (0, \ \mathbf{0}, \ (-\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})) = (0, \ \mathbf{0}, \ -\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}}). \end{aligned}$$

Define  $W = (G(0)G(1)^{n-2})^d = (0, \mathbf{0}, -\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}})$  and  $U = WG(0) = (1, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{O})$ . Clearly,  $U \in \langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$ , and for any element  $(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})U = (i+1, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}). \tag{1}$$

Let  $E(0) = WG(1)U^{-1} = (0, \mathbf{e}_0, \mathbf{O})$  and, for  $1 \le r \le n - 2$ , define

$$E(r) = U^r E(0) U^{-r} = (0, \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{O})$$

Then,  $E(r) \in \langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$  and, for any element  $(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})E(r) = (i, \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{e}_r, \mathbf{X}).$$
(2)

The electronic journal of combinatorics 18 (2011), #P105

Now, for  $0 \le r \le n-2$  and  $0 \le s \le d-2$ , we define

$$F(r,s) = U^{r} W E(0)^{s+1} W^{-1} E(0)^{-(s+1)}$$
  
=  $U^{r} (0, (s+1)\mathbf{e}_{0}, -\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}}) (0, -(s+1)\mathbf{e}_{0}, \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}})$   
=  $(r, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{O}) (0, \mathbf{0}, (\mathbf{g}_{s}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0}))$   
=  $(r, \mathbf{0}, \psi^{-r}(\mathbf{g}_{s}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})).$ 

Clearly,  $F(r,s) \in \langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$ , and, for any element  $(0, 0, \mathbf{X}) \in \Sigma$ , we have

$$(0, 0, \mathbf{X})F(r, s) = (r, 0, \mathbf{X} + \psi^{-r}(\mathbf{g}_s, 0, \dots, 0)).$$
(3)

Note that if  $\mathbf{X} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2})$ , then  $\mathbf{X} + \psi^{-r}(\mathbf{g}_s, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$  is the vector obtained from  $\mathbf{X}$  by adding  $\mathbf{g}_s$  to  $\mathbf{x}_r$ , that is, by adding 1 to the *s*-th coordinate of  $\mathbf{x}_r$  and -1 to the last coordinate of  $\mathbf{x}_r$ .

Let  $(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) \in \Sigma$ . Since  $\mathbf{g}_0, \ldots, \mathbf{g}_{d-2}$  is a generating system for  $H^d_{d+1}$ , according to (3), an appropriate product of  $F(r_1, s_1) \cdots F(r_k, s_k)$  gives an element of the form  $(j, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{X})$ . Because of (2), multiplying on the right by an appropriate product  $E(r_1) \cdots E(r_\ell)$  we can obtain  $(j, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})$ . Finally, because of (1), a product on the right by  $U^{i-j}$  gives  $(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})$ . We conclude that the elements U, E(r), and F(r, s) in  $\langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$  form a generating system for  $\Sigma$ . Hence,  $\langle G(0), G(1) \rangle = \Sigma$ .

Now consider the case when d is odd and n is even; in this case, both n-1 and d(n-1) are odd. Therefore,

$$G(1)^{n-1} = (0, 1...1, (\mathbf{v}, ..., \mathbf{v}), 1),$$
  

$$G(1)^{(n-1)d} = (0, \mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}, 1).$$

Define U, E(r) and F(r, s) in terms of G(0) and G(1) in the same way as before. Given any element  $A = (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}, \alpha) \in \Sigma$ , a suitable product of F(r, s)'s, E(r)'s and U's gives an element  $(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}, \beta)$ . Now, multiplying by  $G(1)^{(n-1)d}$  if necessary, we obtain A. So, in this case,  $\Sigma = \langle G(0), G(1) \rangle$ , as well.  $\Box$ 

By Proposition 1, it is clear that the set  $S = \{G(0), \ldots, G(d-1)\}$  is a generating system for  $\Sigma(d, n)$ , too.

### 4 The Cayley cover

Recall that we have defined K(d, n) as the digraph with  $V = \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  as vertex set and each vertex  $(z, c_0 \dots c_{n-2})$  adjacent to the vertices  $(z + c_0 + 1, c_1 \dots c_{n-2}c_{n-1})$  with  $c_{n-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_d$ . Moreover, we consider the arc-coloring corresponding to the permutations  $\sigma_a$ defined by  $(z, c_0 \dots c_{n-2})^{\sigma_a} = (z + c_0 + 1, c_1 \dots c_{n-2}(c_0 + a))$ .

To define an action of the group  $\Sigma = \Sigma(d, n)$  constructed in the previous section on V, the following notation will be used. Let  $\mathbf{h} = (1, \ldots, d-2, d-1, 0) \in \mathbb{Z}_{d+1}^d$ . For  $\mathbf{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_{d-1}) \in H_{d+1}^d$ , define  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \mathbf{x} = x_0 + 2x_1 + \cdots + (d-1)x_{d-2}$  with operations in  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$ . Moreover, when d is odd, we denote by m the element m = (d+1)/2 of  $\mathbb{Z}_{d+1}$ .

The action is defined depending on the parity of d and n. Define  $\rho: V \times \Sigma \to V$ ,  $\rho(v, A) = vA$  as follows:

• if d is even,

$$(z, \mathbf{c}) (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) = \left( z + \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}), \ \mathbf{a} + \psi^{i}(\mathbf{c}) \right);$$

• if d and n are odd,

$$(z, \mathbf{c}) (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) = \left( z + \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \varepsilon(i)m, \ \mathbf{a} + \psi^{i}(\mathbf{c}) \right),$$

where  $\varepsilon(i) = 0$  if *i* is even and  $\varepsilon(i) = 1$  if *i* is odd.

• if d is odd and n is even,

$$(z, \mathbf{c}) (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}, \alpha) = \left( z + \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \alpha m, \ \mathbf{a} + \psi^{i}(\mathbf{c}) \right).$$

Then, we have:

**Proposition 2** The map  $\rho$  is a faithful action of  $\Sigma$  on V.

**Proof** First, we show that  $\rho$  is an action. In all cases it is easy to check that if O is the neutral element in  $\Sigma$ , then  $\rho(v, O) = v$  for every vertex v. We shall check that  $\rho(\rho(v, A), B) = \rho(v, AB)$  for every vertex v and all  $A, B \in \Sigma$ . Consider first the case when d is even, and put  $v = (z, \mathbf{c}), A = (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X})$  and  $B = (j, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Y})$ . We have,

$$\begin{split} \left[ (z,\mathbf{c}) \left( i,\mathbf{a},\mathbf{X} \right) \right] (j,\mathbf{b},\mathbf{Y}) &= \left( z+\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}), \ \mathbf{a} + \psi^{i}(\mathbf{c}) \right) (j,\mathbf{b},\mathbf{Y}) \\ &= \left( z+\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-a_{\ell}-c_{\ell+i}}(\mathbf{y}_{\ell}), \\ &\psi^{j}(\mathbf{a} + \psi^{i}(\mathbf{c})) + \mathbf{b} \right). \\ &= \left( z+\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-a_{\ell-i}-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{y}_{\ell-i}), \\ &\psi^{j}(\mathbf{a}) + \psi^{i+j}(\mathbf{c}) + \mathbf{b} \right). \\ &= \left( z+\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell} + \phi^{-a_{\ell-i}}(\mathbf{y}_{\ell-i})), \\ &\psi^{i+j}(\mathbf{c}) + \psi^{j}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b} \right) \\ &= \left( z, \mathbf{c} \right) \left( i+j, \ \psi^{j}(\mathbf{a}) + \mathbf{b}, \ \mathbf{X} + \psi^{-i}\phi^{-\mathbf{a}}(\mathbf{Y}) \right) \\ &= \left( z, \mathbf{c} \right) \left[ (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) \left( j, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{Y} \right) \right]. \end{split}$$

The electronic journal of combinatorics  $\mathbf{18}$  (2011), #P105

Consider the second case, when both d and n are odd. By the same argument as in the case d even, now one must add  $\varepsilon(i)m + \varepsilon(j)m$  to the initial vertex of  $[(z, \mathbf{c})A]B$ . Since m has order 2, we have  $m\varepsilon(i) + m\varepsilon(j) = m\varepsilon(i+j)$ , which is what must be added to the initial vertex of  $(z, \mathbf{c})(AB)$ . Thus, in this case,  $\rho$  is an action, as well.

An analogous argument applies to the third case, when d is odd and n is even. If  $A = (i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}, \alpha)$  and  $B = (j, \mathbf{B}, \mathbf{Y}, \beta)$ , one must add  $m\alpha + m\beta$  to the initial vertex of  $[(z, \mathbf{c})A]B$ , and  $m(\alpha + \beta) = m\alpha + m\beta$ , to the initial vertex of  $(z, \mathbf{c})(AB)$ .

Now we shall see that the action is faithful. Consider first the case when d is even. Assume that  $(z, \mathbf{c})(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{X}) = (z, \mathbf{c})$  for all vertices  $(z, \mathbf{c})$ . By taking  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{0}$ , we get  $\mathbf{0} = \mathbf{c} = \mathbf{a} + \psi^i(\mathbf{c}) = \mathbf{a} + \mathbf{0} = \mathbf{a}$ . For  $\mathbf{c} = \mathbf{e}_0$ , we have  $\mathbf{e}_0 = \mathbf{a} + \psi^i(\mathbf{e}_0) = \psi^i(\mathbf{e}_0)$ , so i = 0. Finally, take z = 0 and  $\mathbf{c} = q\mathbf{e}_j$ . Then

$$0 = \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) = \mathbf{h} \cdot \left( \phi^{-q}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell \neq j} \mathbf{x}_{\ell} \right).$$
(4)

Analogously, for z = 0 and  $\mathbf{c} = (q+1)\mathbf{e}_i$ , we have

$$0 = \mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) = \mathbf{h} \cdot \left( \phi^{-q-1}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell \neq j} \mathbf{x}_{\ell} \right).$$
(5)

Subtracting (5) from (4), we obtain

$$\mathbf{h} \cdot \left(\phi^{-q}(\mathbf{x}_j) - \phi^{-q-1}(\mathbf{x}_j)\right) = 0.$$
(6)

If  $\mathbf{y} = (y_0, \dots, y_{d-1}) = \phi^{-q}(\mathbf{x}_j)$ , since  $\mathbf{y} \in H^d_{d+1}$ , we have  $y_{d-1} = -(y_0 + \dots + y_{d-2})$ . Then,

$$0 = \mathbf{h} \cdot (\mathbf{y} - \phi^{-1}(\mathbf{y}))$$
  
=  $1(y_0 - y_{d-1}) + 2(y_1 - y_0) + \dots + (d-2)(y_{d-1} - y_{d-2})$   
=  $-y_0 - y_1 - \dots - y_{d-2} - (d-1)y_{d-1}$   
=  $-y_{d-1} - (d-1)y_{d-1}$   
=  $y_{d-1}$ .

Thus, the (d-1)-rst coordinate of  $\mathbf{y} = \phi^{-q}(\mathbf{x}_j)$  is 0, that is, the (j-q)-th coordinate of  $\mathbf{x}_j$  is zero. Since this is for all  $j \in \{0, \ldots, n-1\}$  and  $q \in \{0, \ldots, d-1\}$ , we obtain that  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O}$ .

Consider now the case when d is odd. By the same argument as in the d even case, the values i = 0 and  $\mathbf{a} = \mathbf{0}$  are deduced. If n is also odd, then  $m\varepsilon(i) = m\varepsilon(0) = 0$ , and equalities (4) and (5) can be obtained. By the same argument we get  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O}$ . If n is even, equations (4) and (5) must be changed to

$$0 = \mathbf{h} \cdot \left( \phi^{-q}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell \neq j} \mathbf{x}_{\ell} \right) + m\alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad 0 = \mathbf{h} \cdot \left( \phi^{-q-1}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \sum_{\ell \neq j} \mathbf{x}_{\ell} \right) + m\alpha,$$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 18 (2011), #P105

and, by subtraction, the equality (6) is obtained. In the same way, we get  $\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{O}$ . Then, we have  $(0, \mathbf{0}) = (0, \mathbf{0})(i, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{O}, \alpha) = (0, \mathbf{0})(0, \mathbf{O}, \mathbf{O}, \alpha) = (m\alpha, \mathbf{0})$ . As  $\alpha \in \{0, 1\}$  and m is of order 2, we obtain  $\alpha = 0$ .  $\Box$ 

Next, we check that the permutations on V defined by the elements G(a) in  $\Sigma$  act correctly.

**Proposition 3** For each  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_d$  and each vertex  $(z, \mathbf{c})$  of K(d, n), the vertex adjacent from  $(z, \mathbf{c})$  by an arc of color a is  $(z, \mathbf{c})^{\sigma_a} = (z, \mathbf{c})G(a)$ .

**Proof** Let  $\mathbf{c} = c_0 \dots c_{n-2} \in \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$  and  $\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{v}} = (\mathbf{x}_0, \dots, \mathbf{x}_{n-2}) = (\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{0}, \dots, \mathbf{0})$ . First, we calculate  $\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_\ell}(\mathbf{x}_\ell)$ .

For even d,

$$\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) = \mathbf{h} \cdot \phi^{-c_{0}}(\mathbf{v})$$

$$= (1+2+\dots+(d-1)) + c_{0}$$

$$= (d-1)\frac{d}{2} + c_{0}$$

$$= (d+1)\frac{d-2}{2} + 1 + c_{0}$$

$$= 1 + c_{0}.$$

If d and n are odd,

$$\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + \varepsilon(1)m = (1+2+\dots+(d-1)) + c_{0} + m$$
$$= d\frac{d-1}{2} + c_{0} + \frac{d+1}{2}$$
$$= (d+1)\frac{d-1}{2} + 1 + c_{0}$$
$$= 1 + c_{0}.$$

Analogously, if d is odd and n is even,

$$\mathbf{h} \cdot \sum_{\ell=0}^{n-2} \phi^{-c_{\ell}}(\mathbf{x}_{\ell}) + m = 1 + c_0.$$

Thus, in any case,

$$(z, \mathbf{c})G(a) = (z + c_0 + 1, \ a\mathbf{e}_{n-2} + \phi(\mathbf{c}) = (z + c_0 + 1, \ c_1 \dots c_{n-2}(c_0 + a)) = (z, \mathbf{c})^{\sigma_a}.$$

Putting together the description of K(d, n) as a GAG, and Propositions 1, 2, and 3, we have the main theorem:

- **Theorem 1** (i) The Kautz digraph is the group action graph K(d, n) = GAG(V, S)where  $V = \mathbb{Z}_{d+1} \times \mathbb{Z}_d^{n-1}$ , and S is the set  $S = \{\sigma_a : a \in \mathbb{Z}_d\}$  of permutations of V defined by  $(z, c_0 \dots c_{n-2})^{\sigma_a} = (z + c_0 + 1, c_1 \dots c_{n-2}(c_0 + a)).$ 
  - (ii) The group generated by S is  $\Sigma(d, n)$ , and

Cay  $(\Sigma(d, n), \{G(0), \dots, G(d-1)\})$ 

is a Cayley regular cover of K(d, n) = GAG(V, S).

# References

- [1] S. B. Akers and B. Krishnamurthy. A group theoretic model for symmetric interconnection networks. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, 38,4:555–565, 1989.
- [2] F. Annexstein, M.Baumslag, and A.L. Rosenberg. Group action graphs and parallel architectures. SIAM J. Comput., 19:544–569, 1990.
- [3] J. C. Bermond and C. Peyrat. De Bruijn and Kautz networks: A competitor for the hypercube? *Hypercube Dist. Comput.*, 3:279–293, 1989.
- [4] J. M. Brunat, M. Espona, M. A. Fiol, and O. Serra. On Cayley line digraphs. Discrete Math., 138:147–159, 1995.
- [5] G. Chartrand and L. Lesniak. Graphs & Digraphs. Chapman & Hall, London, third edition, 1996.
- [6] M. Espona and O. Serra. Cayley digraphs based on the de Bruijn networks. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 11(2):305–317, May 1998.
- [7] M. A. Fiol, J. Fàbrega, O. Serra, and J. L. A. Yebra. A unified approach to the design and control of dynamic memory networks. *Parallel Processing Letters*, 3(4):445–456, 1993.
- [8] M. A. Fiol, J. Fàbrega, and J. L. A. Yebra. Cayley and Kautz digraphs as models for dynamic memory networks. In M. H. Hamza, editor, *Proceedings of the IASTED International Symposium: Applied Informatics*, Innsbruck, 1986.
- [9] M. A. Fiol, J. Fàbrega, and J. L. A. Yebra. The design and control of dynamic memory networks. In Proc. 1988 IEEE Int. Symp. on Circuits and Systems, pages 175–179, Espoo, Finland, June 1988.
- [10] M. A. Fiol, J. L. A. Yebra, and I. Alegre. Line digraph iterations and the (d, k) digraph problem. *IEEE Trans. Comput.*, C-33:400–403, 1984.
- [11] E. Fuller and B. Krishnamurthy. Symmetries in Graphs: An Annotated bibliography. Technical report, Computer Research Laboratory, Tektronics Laboratories, Beaverton, OR, 1986.
- [12] G. Hahn and G. Sabidussi, editors. *Graph Symmetry: Algebraic Methods and Applications*, volume 497 of *NATO ASI Series C*, Montréal, 1996. Kluwer Academic.

- [13] M. C. Heydemann. Cayley graphs and interconnection networks. In G. Hahn and G. Sabidussi, editors, *Graph Symmetry: Algebraic Methods and Applications*, volume 497 of NATO ASI Series C, pages 167–224, Montréal, 1996. Kluwer Academic.
- [14] S. Lakshmivarahan, Jung Sing Jwo, and S. K. Dhall. Symmetry in interconnexion networks based on Cayley graphs of permutation groups: A survey. *Parallel Computing*, 19:361–407, 1993.
- [15] S. P. Mansilla and O. Serra. Construction of k-arc transitive digraphs. Discrete Math., 231(1-3):337-349, 2001.
- [16] S. P. Mansilla and O. Serra. Automorphism groups of k-arc transitive covers. Discrete Math., 276(1–3):273–285, 2004.
- [17] D. J. S. Robinson. A Course in the Theory of Groups, volume 80 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, New York, Heidelberg, second edition, 1982.
- [18] O. Serra and M. A. Fiol. On some groups associated to arc-colored digraphs. In *Combinatorics 88*, volume 2 of *Research and Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 413–420, Comenda di Rende, 1991. Mediterranean Press.