Symmetric chain decomposition of necklace posets

Vivek Dhand

vivek.dhand@gmail.com

Submitted: Jun 24, 2011; Accepted: Jan 12, 2012; Published: Jan 21, 2012 Mathematics Subject Classification: 05E18, 06A07

Abstract

A finite ranked poset is called a symmetric chain order if it can be written as a disjoint union of rank-symmetric, saturated chains. If \mathcal{P} is any symmetric chain order, we prove that $\mathcal{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is also a symmetric chain order, where \mathbb{Z}_n acts on \mathcal{P}^n by cyclic permutation of the factors.

1 Introduction

Let $(\mathcal{P}, <)$ be a finite poset. A chain in \mathcal{P} is a sequence of the form $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n$ where each $x_i \in \mathcal{P}$. For $x, y \in \mathcal{P}$, we say y covers x (denoted $x \lessdot y$) if x < y and there does not exist $z \in \mathcal{P}$ such that x < z and z < y. A saturated chain in \mathcal{P} is a chain where each element is covered by the next. We say \mathcal{P} is ranked if there exists a function $\mathrm{rk}: \mathcal{P} \to \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ such that $x \lessdot y$ implies $\mathrm{rk}(y) = \mathrm{rk}(x) + 1$. The rank of \mathcal{P} is defined as $\mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{P}) = \max\{\mathrm{rk}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}\} + \min\{\mathrm{rk}(x) \mid x \in \mathcal{P}\}$. A saturated chain $\{x_1 \lessdot x_2 \lessdot \cdots \lessdot x_n\}$ in a ranked poset \mathcal{P} is said to be rank-symmetric if $\mathrm{rk}(x_1) + \mathrm{rk}(x_n) = \mathrm{rk}(\mathcal{P})$.

We say that \mathcal{P} has a *symmetric chain decomposition* if it can be written as a disjoint union of saturated, rank-symmetric chains. A *symmetric chain order* is a finite ranked poset for which there exists a symmetric chain decomposition.

A finite product of symmetric chain orders is a symmetric chain order. This result can be proved by induction [1] or by explicit constructions (e.g. [3]). Naturally, this raises the question of whether the quotient of a symmetric chain order under a given group action has a symmetric chain decomposition. For example, if X is a set then \mathbb{Z}_n acts on the set $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, X) \simeq X^n$. The elements of X^n/\mathbb{Z}_n are called *n-bead necklaces with labels in* X. A symmetric chain decomposition of the poset of binary necklaces was first constructed by K. Jordan [6], building on the work of Griggs-Killian-Savage [4]. There have been recent independent proofs and generalizations of these results [2, 5]. The main result of this paper is the following:

Theorem 1.1. If \mathcal{P} is a symmetric chain order, then $\mathcal{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is a symmetric chain order.

We give a brief outline of the proof. First, we show that the poset of n-bead binary necklaces is isomorphic to the poset of partition necklaces, i.e. n-bead necklaces labeled by positive integers which sum to n. It turns out to be convenient to exclude the maximal and minimal binary necklaces, which correspond to those partitions of n having n parts and 0 parts, respectively. Let Q(n) denote the poset of partition necklaces with these two elements removed. We decompose Q(n) into rank-symmetric sub-posets Q_{α} , running over partition necklaces α where 1 does not appear. This decomposition corresponds to the "block-code" decomposition of binary necklaces defined in [4].

We can also extend this idea to non-binary necklaces. In fact, the poset of n-bead (m+1)-ary necklaces embeds into the poset of nm-bead binary necklaces, and the image corresponds to the union of those $\mathfrak{Q}_{\alpha} \subset \mathfrak{Q}(mn)$ such that every part of α is divisible by m.

Next, we prove a "factorization property" for $\Omega_{\alpha} \subset \Omega(n)$. If P and Q are finite ranked posets, we say that P covers Q (or Q is covered by P) if there is a morphism of ranked posets from P to Q which is a bijection on the underlying sets. We denote this relation as $P \hookrightarrow Q$. Note that any ranked poset covered by a symmetric chain order is also a symmetric chain order. If α is aperiodic, then Ω_{α} is covered by a product of symmetric chains. If α is periodic of period d, then Ω_{α} is covered by the poset of (n/d)-bead necklaces labeled by Ω_{β} , for some aperiodic d-bead necklace β .

Finally, if \mathcal{P} is a symmetric chain order, then $\mathcal{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ has a decomposition into posets which are either products of chains, or posets of d-bead necklaces with labels in a product of chains (where d < n), or posets of n-bead (m+1)-ary necklaces for some $m \geq 1$. In each case, we apply induction to finish the proof.

2 Generalities on necklaces

We begin by recalling some basic facts about \mathbb{Z}_n -actions on sets. We will use additive notation for the group operation of \mathbb{Z}_n . The subgroups of \mathbb{Z}_n are of the form $\langle d \rangle$ where d is a positive divisor of n, and $\mathbb{Z}_n/\langle d \rangle \simeq \mathbb{Z}_d$. If X is a set with \mathbb{Z}_n -action, let $X^{\langle d \rangle}$ denote the set of $\langle d \rangle$ -fixed points in X. Equivalently:

$$X^{\langle d \rangle} = \{ x \in X \mid \langle d \rangle \subset Stab_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(x) \}.$$

Note that $X^{\langle c \rangle} \subset X^{\langle d \rangle}$ if c is a divisor of d. Next, we define:

$$X^{\{d\}} = \{ x \in X \mid \langle d \rangle = Stab_{\mathbb{Z}_n}(x) \}.$$

Of course, we have:

$$X = \bigsqcup_{d \mid n} X^{\{d\}}$$

and the \mathbb{Z}_n action on $X^{\{d\}}$ factors through \mathbb{Z}_d . In other words, we have a bijection:

$$X/\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq \bigsqcup_{d|n} X^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

Now consider the special case where $X = Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$ for some arbitrary set Y, where \mathbb{Z}_n acts on the first factor. In other words,

$$(af)(b) = f(a+b)$$

for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $f : \mathbb{Z}_n \to Y$. Now the previous paragraph implies that:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{d|n} Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{d\}}$$

and

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigsqcup_{d|n} Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

The elements of $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n$ are called *n-bead necklaces with labels in* Y. An element of $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d$ is said to be *periodic of period* d. An element of $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{n\}}/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is said to be *aperiodic*. Given a map $g: \mathbb{Z}_n \to Y$, let [g] denote the corresponding necklace in $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n$. A *n*-bead necklace with labels in Y can be visualized as a sequence of n elements of Y placed evenly around a circle, where we discount the effect of rotation by any multiple of $\frac{2\pi}{n}$ radians. Given $(y_1, \ldots, y_n) \in Y^n$, let $[y_1, \ldots, y_n]$ denote the corresponding n-bead necklace.

Our first observation is that an n-bead necklace of period d is uniquely determined by any sequence of d consecutive elements around the circle. Moreover, as we rotate the circle, these d elements will behave exactly like an aperiodic d-bead necklace.

Proposition 2.1. There is a natural bijection between n-bead necklaces of period d and aperiodic d-bead necklaces.

Proof. Recall the following general fact: if G is a group, H is a normal subgroup of G, and Y is an arbitrary set, then there is an isomorphism of G-sets:

$$Map(G,Y)^H \simeq Map(G/H,Y)$$

$$f \mapsto (gH \mapsto f(g)).$$

Moreover, the action of G on each side factors through G/H. In particular, there is an isomorphism of \mathbb{Z}_n -sets:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\langle d \rangle} \simeq Map(\mathbb{Z}_d, Y)$$

where the \mathbb{Z}_n -action factors through \mathbb{Z}_d . Looking at elements of period d, we get:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{d\}} \simeq Map(\mathbb{Z}_d, Y)^{\{d\}}$$

and so:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d \simeq Map(\mathbb{Z}_d, Y)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d.$$

Now suppose that Y is a disjoint union of non-empty subsets:

$$Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} Y_i$$

where I is a finite set. Equivalently, we have a surjective map $\pi: Y \to I$, where $Y_i = \pi^{-1}(i)$ for each $i \in I$. It follows that there is a surjective map:

$$\pi_*: Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \to Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)$$

$$\pi_*(f) = \pi \circ f.$$

Given a map $g: \mathbb{Z}_n \to I$, we define:

$$Map_{g}(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, Y) = \pi_{*}^{-1}(g) = \{ f : \mathbb{Z}_{n} \to Y \mid \pi \circ f = g \}.$$

In other words, $f \in Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$ if and only if $f(a) \in Y_{g(c)}$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. Since π_* is surjective, we have a decomposition:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{g \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)} Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y).$$

Note that $Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$ is not necessarily stable under the action of \mathbb{Z}_n . If $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ and $f \in Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$, then:

$$a(f)(b) = f(a+b) \in Y_{q(a+b)}$$

so we have a bijection:

$$Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \simeq Map_{ag}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$$

induced by the action of $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. We define:

$$Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_n} Map_{ag}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y).$$

Note that \mathbb{Z}_n acts on $Map_{[q]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$.

Remark 2.2. We recall a basic observation which will make it easier to define maps on sets of necklaces. Suppose S and T are sets equipped with equivalence relations \sim and \approx , respectively. Let U be a subset of S which has a non-trivial intersection with each equivalence class in S. Then U inherits the equivalence relation \sim and the natural map from U/\sim to S/\sim is a bijection. Given a map $f:U\to T$ such that $u_1\sim u_2\implies f(u_1)\approx f(u_2)$ for all $u_1,u_2\in U$, we obtain a map $(S/\sim)\simeq (U/\sim)\to (T/\approx)$.

Remark 2.3. If α is a periodic *n*-bead necklace of period *d* with labels in *I*, then:

$$\alpha = [\underline{\beta, \dots, \beta}]$$

$$\frac{n}{d} \text{ times}$$

where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ is a d-tuple of elements in I such that $[\beta]$ is aperiodic.

Lemma 2.4. Let $\pi: Y \to I$ be a surjective map where I is finite.

(1) There is a natural decomposition:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \left(\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d} Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n \right).$$

(2) If $\alpha = [\beta, \dots, \beta] \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d$, where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, then there is a bijection:

$$Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq (Y_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Proof. (1) Since

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{g \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)} Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$$

and

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I) = \bigsqcup_{d|n} Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}$$

we see that:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \left(\bigsqcup_{g \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}} Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \right).$$

As noted above, in order to make this an equality of \mathbb{Z}_n -sets we need to take the coarser decomposition:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \left(\bigsqcup_{[g] \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d} Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \right).$$

Now we simply take the quotient by \mathbb{Z}_n on both sides:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \left(\bigsqcup_{[g] \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d} Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)/\mathbb{Z}_n \right).$$

Note that we are simply organizing the n-bead Y-labeled necklaces by looking at the periods of the underlying n-bead I-labeled necklaces.

(2) Let $g \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}$ and let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. By definition, ag = (a+x)g if and only if $x \in \langle d \rangle$. So:

$$Map_{ag}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = Map_{(a+x)g}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$$

if $x \in \langle d \rangle$. On the other hand, if

$$h \in Map_{ag}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \cap Map_{(a+x)g}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$$

for some $x \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, then $\pi \circ h = ag = (a+x)g$, which implies that $x \in \langle d \rangle$. The upshot is that we can actually write $Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$ as a disjoint union over \mathbb{Z}_d :

$$Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) = \bigsqcup_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_d} Map_{ag}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y).$$

Now consider the sequence of values g(a) for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$. This sequence is of the form (β, \ldots, β) , where $\beta = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_d)$. Therefore:

$$Map_g(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \simeq (Y_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}$$

and so:

$$Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y) \simeq \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{d-1} (Y_{\beta_{j+1}} \times \cdots \times Y_{\beta_d} \times Y_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\beta_j})^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Let us apply Remark 2.2 to the following sets:

$$S = \bigsqcup_{j=0}^{d-1} (Y_{\beta_{j+1}} \times \dots \times Y_{\beta_d} \times Y_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times Y_{\beta_j})^{\frac{n}{d}} \quad \text{and} \quad T = (Y_{\beta_1} \times \dots \times Y_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

The equivalence relations on S and T are defined by group actions: \mathbb{Z}_n acts on $S \simeq Map_{[g]}(\mathbb{Z}_n, Y)$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}$ acts on T by cyclic permutation of the factors. Let U be the subset of S corresponding to the j=0 component:

$$U = (Y_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times Y_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Each element of S is equivalent to an element of U, and the restricted equivalence relation on U is given by the action of the subgroup $\langle d \rangle$ which is exactly the same as the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}$ by cyclic permutation of the factors. Therefore:

$$S/\mathbb{Z}_n \simeq U/\langle d \rangle \simeq T/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Remark 2.5. We can visualize the above result as follows: we choose a place to "cut" an n-bead Y-labeled necklace in order to get an n-tuple of elements of Y. We can always rotate the original necklace so that the underlying I-labeled necklace has a given position with respect to the cut. Moreover, if the underlying I-labeled necklace has period d, then we can break the n-tuple into segments of size d so that the corresponding I-labeled d-bead necklaces are aperiodic. As we rotate the original necklace by multiples of $\frac{2\pi}{d}$ radians, we will permute these segments among each other.

3 Partition necklaces

Let n be a positive integer. Consider the set of ordered partitions of n into r positive parts:

$$\mathcal{P}(n,r) = \{(a_1, \dots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r \mid \sum_{i=1}^r a_i = n\}$$

Define:

$$\mathcal{P}(n) = \bigsqcup_{r=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(n,r)$$

In other words, $\mathcal{P}(n)$ is the set of non-empty ordered partitions of n into positive parts, where at least one part is greater than 1. Note that refinement of partitions defines a partial order on $\mathcal{P}(n)$, and the rank of a partition is given by the number of parts.

Let Q(n) denote the set of necklaces associated to P(n):

$$Q(n) = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{n-1} \mathcal{P}(n,r)/\mathbb{Z}_r$$

In other words:

$$Q(n) = \{ [a_1, \dots, a_r] \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}^r / \mathbb{Z}_r \mid 1 \le r \le n - 1, \sum_{i=1}^r a_i = n \}$$

where $[a_1, \ldots, a_r]$ denotes the \mathbb{Z}_r -orbit of (a_1, \ldots, a_r) .

The elements of Q(n) are called *partition necklaces*. Note that Q(n) inherits the structure of a ranked poset from P(n).

Let $\mathcal{N}(n,1)$ denote the set of *n*-bead binary necklaces with the necklaces $[0,\ldots,0]$ and $[1,\ldots,1]$ removed.

Proposition 3.1. For any $n \ge 1$, there is an isomorphism of ranked posets:

$$\psi_n: \mathcal{N}(n,1) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(n).$$

Proof. Given a non-empty n-bead binary necklace β of rank r, let $\psi_n(\beta)$ be the necklace whose entries are given by the number of steps between consecutive non-zero entries of β . More precisely, ψ_n is given by:

$$[1, 0^{c_1}, 1, 0^{c_2}, \dots, 1, 0^{c_r}] \mapsto [c_1 + 1, \dots, c_r + 1]$$

Note that the right hand side is the necklace of a partition of n into r positive parts. The inverse of ψ_n is given by:

$$[a_1, \dots, a_r] \mapsto [1, 0^{a_1-1}, 1, 0^{a_2-1}, \dots, 1, 0^{a_r-1}].$$

Moreover, changing a "zero" to a "one" in a binary necklace corresponds to a refinement of the corresponding partition necklace, so the above bijection is compatible with the partial orders and rank functions on each poset. \Box

An ordered partition (a_1, \ldots, a_r) and the corresponding partition necklace $[a_1, \ldots, a_r]$ are said to be *fundamental* if each $a_i \geq 2$. Let $\mathcal{F}(n)$ denote the set of fundamental partition necklaces in $\mathcal{Q}(n)$.

Now we apply Remark 2.2 to the case where $S = \mathcal{P}(n)$ and T is the subset of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ consisting of fundamental partitions. Equip each set with the necklace equivalence relation, so $(S/\sim) = \mathcal{Q}(n)$ and $(T/\approx) = \mathcal{F}(n)$. Define the subset:

$$U = \{(1^{n_1}, m_1, 1^{n_2}, m_2, ..., 1^{n_k}, m_k) \in \mathcal{P}(n) \mid n_i \ge 0, m_i \ge 2 \text{ for all } 1 \le i \le k\}$$

Since we have excluded (1, ..., 1) from $\mathcal{P}(n)$, we see that any element of $\mathcal{P}(n)$ is equivalent to some element in U. Now define:

$$f:U\to T$$

$$(1^{n_1}, m_1, 1^{n_2}, m_2, ..., 1^{n_k}, m_k) \mapsto (m_1 + n_1, ..., m_k + n_k).$$

Since f is compatible with the respective equivalence relations, we obtain a map:

$$\pi_n: \mathcal{Q}(n) \to \mathcal{F}(n)$$

$$[1^{n_1}, m_1, 1^{n_2}, m_2, \dots, 1^{n_k}, m_k] \mapsto [m_1 + n_1, m_2 + n_2, \dots, m_k + n_k].$$

Note that π_n restricts to the identity on $\mathcal{F}(n)$. In particular, π_n is surjective. Therefore, we get a decomposition of $\mathcal{Q}(n)$:

$$Q(n) = \bigsqcup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(n)} Q_{\alpha}$$

where $Q_{\alpha} = \pi_n^{-1}(\alpha)$. This decomposition is the same as the decomposition for binary necklaces defined in [4]. Indeed, the map $\pi_n \circ \psi_n$ is essentially the necklace version of the "block-code" construction.

If $m \geq 1$, a fundamental partition necklace $[a_1, \ldots, a_r] \in \mathcal{F}(n)$ is said to be *divisible* by m if each a_i is divisible by m. Define the following sub-poset of $\mathcal{Q}(n)$:

$$Q(n,m) = \{\alpha \in Q(n) \mid \pi_n(\alpha) \text{ is divisible by } m\} = \bigsqcup_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{F}(n) \\ m \mid \alpha}} Q_{\alpha}.$$

Let $\mathcal{N}(n,m)$ denote the set of n-bead (m+1)-ary necklaces with the necklaces $[0,\ldots,0]$ and $[m,\ldots,m]$ removed. We have the following generalization of Proposition 3.1.

Lemma 3.2. For any $n, m \ge 1$, there is an isomorphism of ranked posets:

$$\psi_{n,m}: \mathcal{N}(n,m) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(mn,m).$$

Proof. Given an *n*-bead (m+1)-ary necklace, we construct an mn-bead binary necklace via the substitution: $j \mapsto 1^{j}0^{m-j}$, and then we apply the map ψ_{mn} from Proposition 3.1. This composition is clearly a morphism of ranked posets. Here is an explicit formula for $\psi_{n,m}$:

$$[b_1, 0^{c_1}, b_2, 0^{c_2}, \dots, b_r, 0^{c_r}] \mapsto [1^{b_1-1}, m(c_1+1) - b_1 + 1, \dots, 1^{b_r-1}, m(c_r+1) - b_r + 1]$$

where each $b_i \geq 1$ and $c_i \geq 0$. The sum of the terms in the partition necklace is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} (b_i - 1 + m(c_i + 1) - b_i + 1) = m(r + \sum_{i=1}^{r} c_i) = mn$$

as desired. Let us check that $\pi_{mn} \circ \psi_{n,m}(\alpha)$ is divisible by m for all $\alpha \in \mathcal{N}(n,m)$. Consider the element:

$$\alpha = [b_1, 0^{c_1}, b_2, 0^{c_2}, \dots, b_r, 0^{c_r}].$$

If $c_i > 0$ or $b_i < m$, then the terms 1^{b_i-1} and $m(c_i+1) - b_i + 1$ in $\psi_{m,n}(\alpha)$ merge together under π_{mn} to give $m(c_i+1)$. On the other hand, whenever $b_i = m$ and $c_i = 0$, we will get a 1^m term in $\psi_{m,n}(\alpha)$. Applying π_{mn} will result in adding m to the next occurrence of $m(c_i+1)$, where $c_i > 1$. In other words:

$$\pi_{mn}(\psi_{n,m}(\alpha)) = [me_1, \dots, me_s]$$

where $\pi_n(c_1+1,\ldots,c_r+1)=[e_1,\ldots,e_s]$, and this result is indeed divisible by m.

By reversing the above process, we get a formula for the inverse of $\psi_{n,m}$. An arbitrary element of $\Omega(mn, m)$ is of the form:

$$[1^{n_1}, m_1, 1^{n_2}, m_2, \dots, 1^{n_k}, m_k]$$

where each $m_i \geq 2$, each $m_i + n_i$ is divisible by m, and $\sum_{i=1}^k (m_i + n_i) = mn$. The corresponding mn-bead binary necklace is:

$$[1^{n_1+1}, 0^{m_1-1}, \dots, 1^{n_k+1}, 0^{m_k-1}].$$

Now we need to apply the substitution $1^j 0^{m-j} \mapsto j$. Since $m_i + n_i$ is divisible by m, we can apply this to each block $(1^{n_i+1}, 0^{m_i-1})$ separately. Furthermore, we should break each block into segments of size m and apply the substitution to each segment. Therefore, $(1^{n_i+1}, 0^{m_i-1})$ looks like:

$$(\underbrace{1^m, 1^m, \dots, 1^m}_{q_i \text{ times}}, 1^{r_i}, 0^{m-r_i}, 0^{m_i-1-(m-r_i)}).$$

where q_i is the quotient of the division of $n_i + 1$ by m and r_i is the remainder. Note that $m_i - 1 - (m - r_i) = m_i - 1 - m + (n_i + 1 - mq_i) = m_i + n_i - mq_i - m$, which is divisible by m. Therefore, the inverse of $\psi_{n,m}$ is given by the following formula:

$$[1^{n_1}, m_1, 1^{n_2}, m_2, \dots, 1^{n_k}, m_k] \mapsto [m^{q_1}, r_1, 0^{t_1}, \dots, m^{q_k}, r_k, 0^{t_k}]$$

where:

$$n_i + 1 = mq_i + r_i$$
 such that $0 \le r_i < m$

and

$$t_i = \frac{m_i + n_i}{m} - q_i - 1.$$

Note that the number of beads in the above necklace is:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \left(q_i + 1 + \frac{m_i + n_i}{m} - q_i - 1 \right) = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^{k} (m_i + n_i) = \frac{mn}{m} = n$$

as desired. \Box

Lemma 3.3. Let $\alpha = [a_1, \ldots, a_r] \in \mathcal{F}(n)$. If α is aperiodic, then:

$$Q_{[a_1]} \times \cdots \times Q_{[a_r]} \hookrightarrow Q_{\alpha}.$$

If α is periodic of period d and $\alpha = [\underbrace{\beta, \dots, \beta}_{\frac{r}{2} \text{ times}}]$, then:

$$Q_{[\beta]}^{\frac{r}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{d}} \hookrightarrow Q_{\alpha}.$$

Proof. If $m \geq 2$, note that $Q_{[m]}$ is a chain with m-1 vertices. We will apply Lemma 2.4 to the following set:

$$Q = \bigsqcup_{m=2}^{n} Q_{[m]}.$$

Note that our indexing set is $I = \{2, ..., n\}$. Let $\alpha = [a_1, ..., a_r] \in \mathcal{F}(n)$. Since $a_1 + ... + a_r = n$, we know that each $a_i \leq n$, which implies that α is labeled by elements of I. If α is aperiodic, it follows from part (2) of Lemma 2.4 that we have a rank-preserving bijection:

$$Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_r, \Omega)/\mathbb{Z}_r \simeq \Omega_{[a_1]} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{[a_r]}.$$

On the other hand, if $\alpha = [\beta, \dots, \beta] \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_r, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d$, where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, then we have rank-preserving bijections:

$$Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_r, \Omega)/\mathbb{Z}_r \simeq (\Omega_{[\beta_1]} \times \cdots \times \Omega_{[\beta_d]})^{\frac{r}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{d}} \simeq \Omega_{[\beta]}^{\frac{r}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{r}{d}}$$

where the second bijection exists due to the fact that $[\beta]$ is aperiodic. It remains to check that the poset relations are preserved. Indeed, any covering relation among two necklaces labeled by $Q_{[\beta_1]} \times \cdots \times Q_{[\beta_d]}$ will correspond to a covering relation within a chain $Q_{[\beta_i]}$ for some i, which will also be a covering relation among the corresponding Q-labeled necklaces.

Remark 3.4. The above Lemma provides an explanation of why it is easier to find a symmetric chain decomposition of n-bead binary necklaces if n in prime [4]. Indeed, in this case all non-trivial necklaces are aperiodic, so each Q_{α} is covered by a product of symmetric chains and we can apply the Greene-Kleitman rule.

4 Proof of the theorem

Theorem 4.1. If \mathcal{P} is a symmetric chain order, then $\mathcal{P}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$ is a symmetric chain order.

Proof. The statement is trivial for n=1. Assume that the theorem is true for any n' < n. Let C_1, \ldots, C_r denote the chains in a symmetric chain decomposition of \mathcal{P} . We may assume that:

$$\mathcal{P} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^{r} C_i.$$

If we let $I = \{1, 2, ..., r\}$ and apply part (1) of Lemma 2.4 to \mathcal{P} , we obtain:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n = \bigsqcup_{d|n} \left(\bigsqcup_{\alpha \in Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, I)^{\{d\}}/\mathbb{Z}_d} Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n \right).$$

Now we apply part (2) of Lemma 2.4. If $\alpha = [a_1, \ldots, a_n]$ is an aperiodic *n*-bead necklace with labels in I, then:

$$C_{a_1} \times \cdots \times C_{a_n} \hookrightarrow Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathfrak{P}).$$

Since $C_{a_1} \times \cdots \times C_{a_n}$ is a symmetric chain order, it follows that $Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})$ is a symmetric chain order. Also note that $C_{a_1} \times \cdots \times C_{a_n}$ is a centered subposet of $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n$. On the other hand, if $\alpha = [\beta, \dots, \beta]$ is a periodic *n*-bead necklace with labels in *I*, where $\beta = (\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$, then:

$$(C_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times C_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}} \hookrightarrow Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathfrak{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n.$$

Again, note that this poset is a centered subposet of $Map(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathcal{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n$ since it is a cyclic quotient of a centered subposet of \mathcal{P}^n .

If d > 1, then $\frac{n}{d} < n$ and $(C_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times C_{\beta_d})$ is a symmetric chain order, so

$$(C_{\beta_1} \times \cdots \times C_{\beta_d})^{\frac{n}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}$$

is a symmetric chain order by induction.

If d=1, then:

$$C^n/\mathbb{Z}_n \hookrightarrow Map_{\alpha}(\mathbb{Z}_n, \mathfrak{P})/\mathbb{Z}_n$$

where C is a chain with m+1 vertices, for some $m \ge 1$. It suffices to consider the centered subposet $\mathcal{N}(n,m)$. By Lemma 3.2, we have:

$$\mathcal{N}(n,m) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(mn,m).$$

If $\Omega_{\alpha} \subset \Omega(mn, m)$, then $\alpha = [ma_1, \dots, ma_s]$, where $a_1 + \dots + a_s = n$. In particular, note that $s \leq n$. By Lemma 3.3, there are two possibilities for Ω_{α} . If α is aperiodic, Ω_{α} is a product of chains, so it is a symmetric chain order. If α is periodic of period d, then:

$$Q_{[\beta]}^{\frac{s}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{s}{d}} \hookrightarrow Q_{\alpha}$$

where $[\beta]$ is a d-bead aperiodic necklace. In particular, $\mathfrak{Q}_{[\beta]}$ is itself a product of chains (hence a symmetric chain order). We know that $\beta = (mc_1, \ldots, mc_d)$, where $c_1 + \cdots + c_d = \frac{dn}{s}$. There are three possible cases:

(i) If d > 1, then $\frac{s}{d} < n$. Since $Q_{[\beta]}$ is a symmetric chain order, by induction we conclude that

$$Q_{[\beta]}^{\frac{s}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{s}{d}}$$

is a symmetric chain order.

- (ii) If d=1 and s< n then $Q_{[\beta]}$ is a single chain, so $Q_{[\beta]}^s/\mathbb{Z}_s$ is a symmetric chain order by induction.
 - (iii) If d=1 and s=n, then $\beta=(m)$ and $\alpha=[m,\ldots,m]$. In this case:

$$Q_{[m]}^n/\mathbb{Z}_n \hookrightarrow Q_{\alpha}.$$

Since $Q_{[m]}$ is a chain with m-1 vertices, we see that we have returned to the case of the \mathbb{Z}_n -quotient of the n-fold power of a single chain. However, note that the we have managed to decrease the length of the chain by two, i.e. from m+1 vertices to m-1 vertices. Now we can again apply Lemma 3.2 and Lemma 3.3 to the centered subposet $\mathcal{N}(n, m-2)$, etc.

Eventually, after we go through this argument enough times, we will eventually reach the case of:

$$C^n/\mathbb{Z}_n$$

where C is a chain with one or two vertices. If |C| = 1, there is nothing to show. So we are left with the case where C is a chain with two vertices, i.e. the poset of binary necklaces. It suffices to look at the centered subposet $\mathcal{N}(n,1)$. By Proposition 3.1,

$$\mathcal{N}(n,1) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(n)$$
.

Again, we consider the subposets Q_{α} . As usual, if α is aperiodic then Q_{α} is covered by a product of symmetric chains. If $\alpha = [\beta, \dots, \beta]$ is periodic of period d then

$$Q_{[\beta]}^{\frac{n}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}} \hookrightarrow Q_{\alpha}$$

where $[\beta]$ is an aperiodic d-bead necklace and $\Omega_{[\beta]}$ is a product of chains. If d > 1, then $\frac{n}{d} < n$ so

$$Q_{[\beta]}^{\frac{n}{d}}/\mathbb{Z}_{\frac{n}{d}}$$

is a symmetric chain order by induction. Finally, if α is periodic of period d=1 then α is an n-bead partition necklace of period 1 whose entries sum to n, so $\alpha=[1,1,\ldots,1]$, but this element was explicitly excluded from the set $\Omega(n)$.

Example 4.2. Suppose \mathcal{P} is a disjoint union of 3 symmetric chains C_1, C_2 , and C_3 . Let $I = \{1, 2, 3\}$. Then the poset

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_4, I)/\mathbb{Z}_4$$

has three necklaces of period 1, three necklaces of period 2, and 18 aperiodic necklaces.

Therefore $Map(\mathbb{Z}_4, \mathcal{P})/\mathbb{Z}_4$ can be decomposed as a disjoint union of three types of centered subposets:

$$\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{3} C_i^4/\mathbb{Z}_4$$

$$\bigsqcup_{1 \leq i < j \leq 3} (C_i \times C_j)^2/\mathbb{Z}_2$$

$$\bigsqcup_{\substack{[i_1,i_2,i_3,i_4] \text{aperiodic} \\ \text{aperiodic}}} C_{i_1} \times C_{i_2} \times C_{i_3} \times C_{i_4}.$$

In the third case we have a product of chains, which has a symmetric chain decomposition by the Greene-Kleitman rule. Similarly, $C_i \times C_j$ also has a symmetric chain decomposition and the number of chains is equal to $\min(|C_i|, |C_j|)$. The components in the second case will be of the form $C \times C'$ or C^2/\mathbb{Z}_2 for some chains C and C'. In each case, we are reduced to the case of cyclic quotients of powers of a single chain.

Example 4.3. Let $C = \{0 < 1 < 2 < 3 < 4\}$ be a chain with 5 vertices. We will construct a symmetric chain decomposition of the poset of 6-bead 5-ary necklaces:

$$Map(\mathbb{Z}_6, C)/\mathbb{Z}_6.$$

By removing the necklaces [0,0,0,0,0,0] and [4,4,4,4,4,4], we get the centered subposet:

$$\mathcal{N}(6,4) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(24,4).$$

We can ignore any aperiodic α since the corresponding Q_{α} will covered by a product of chains. So we need to list all the periodic fundamental partition necklaces α whose entries add up to 24 and are all divisible by 4. They are:

$$[24] [12,12] [8,8,8] [4,8,4,8] [4,4,4,4,4,4].$$

Now $Q_{[24]}$ is a chain, and three of the others are covered by a poset of the form P^d/\mathbb{Z}_d where P is a chain or a product of chains and d is a proper divisor of 6:

The final poset still involves \mathbb{Z}_6 but it involves a chain which has 5-2=3 vertices:

$$Q_{[4]}^6/\mathbb{Z}_6 \hookrightarrow Q_{[4,4,4,4,4,4]}.$$

Note that $Q_{[4]}^6/\mathbb{Z}_6$ is the poset of 6-bead ternary necklaces. Removing the extremal elements, we get the poset:

$$\mathcal{N}(6,2) \simeq \mathcal{Q}(12,2)$$

whose periodic fundamental partition necklaces are:

$$[12] [6,6] [4,4,4] [2,4,2,4] [2,2,2,2,2,2].$$

As before, the first four posets can be dealt with inductively, and the final poset:

$$Q_{[2,2,2,2,2,2]} \simeq Q_{[2]}^6/\mathbb{Z}_6$$

has exactly one element.

Acknowledgements. I would like to thank the Department of Mathematics at Michigan State University for their hospitality. I am especially grateful to Bruce Sagan for his encouragement while this project was under way. This paper also benefited greatly from several referee comments.

References

- [1] N. G. de Bruijn, Ca. van Ebbenhorst Tengbergen, and D. Kruyswijk. On the set of divisors of a number. *Nieuw Arch. Wiskunde* (2), 23:191-193, 1951.
- [2] Dwight Duffus, Jeremy McKibben-Sanders, and Kyle Thayer. Some Quotients of the Boolean Lattice are Symmetric Chain Orders. http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.1098.
- [3] Curtis Greene and Daniel J. Kleitman. Strong versions of Sperner's theorem. J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. A, 20(1):80-88, 1976.
- [4] Jerrold R. Griggs, Charles E. Killian, and Carla D. Savage. Venn diagrams and symmetric chain decompositions in the Boolean lattice. *Electron. J. Combin.* 11 (2004).
- [5] Patricia Hersh and Anne Schilling. Symmetric chain decomposition for cyclic quotients of Boolean algebras and relation to cyclic crystals. http://arxiv.org/abs/1107.4073.
- [6] Kelly Kross Jordan. The necklace poset is a symmetric chain order. *J. Combin. Theory* Ser. A 117 (2010), no. 6, 625-641.