

Distance Powers and Distance Matrices of Integral Cayley Graphs over Abelian Groups

Walter Klotz

Institut für Mathematik
Technische Universität Clausthal, Germany
klotz@math.tu-clausthal.de

Torsten Sander

Fakultät für Informatik
Ostfalia Hochschule für angewandte Wissenschaften, Germany
t.sander@ostfalia.de

Submitted: May 21, 2012; Accepted: Nov 1, 2012; Published: Nov 8, 2012

Mathematics Subject Classification: 05C25, 05C50

Abstract

It is shown that distance powers of an integral Cayley graph over an abelian group Γ are again integral Cayley graphs over Γ . Moreover, it is proved that distance matrices of integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups have integral spectrum.

1 Introduction

Eigenvalues of an undirected graph G are the eigenvalues of an arbitrary adjacency matrix of G . General facts about graph spectra can e.g. be found in [7] or [8]. Harary and Schwenk [10] defined G to be *integral* if all of its eigenvalues are integers. For a survey of integral graphs see [4]. In [2] the number of integral graphs on n vertices is estimated. Known characterizations of integral graphs are restricted to certain graph classes, see e.g. [1], [13], or [15]. Here we concentrate on integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups and their distance powers.

Let Γ be a finite, additive group, $S \subseteq \Gamma$, $-S = \{-s : s \in S\} = S$. The undirected *Cayley graph over Γ with shift set (or symbol) S* , $\text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$, has vertex set Γ . Vertices $a, b \in \Gamma$ are adjacent if and only if $a - b \in S$. For general properties of Cayley graphs we refer to Godsil and Royle [9] or Biggs [5]. Note that $0 \in S$ generates a loop at every vertex of $\text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$. Many definitions of Cayley graphs exclude this case, but its inclusion saves us from sacrificing clarity of presentation later on.

In our paper [12] we proved for an abelian group Γ that $\text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is integral if S belongs to the Boolean algebra $B(\Gamma)$ generated by the subgroups of Γ . Our conjecture

that the converse is true for all integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups has recently been proved by Alperin and Peterson [3].

Proposition 1. *Let Γ be a finite abelian group, $S \subseteq \Gamma$, $-S = S$. Then $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is integral if and only if $S \in B(\Gamma)$.*

Let $G = (V, E)$ be an undirected graph with vertex set V and edge set E , D a finite set of nonnegative integers. The *distance power* G^D of G is an undirected graph with vertex set V . Vertices x and y are adjacent in G^D , if their distance $d(x, y)$ in G belongs to D . We prove that if G is an integral Cayley graph over the abelian group Γ , then every distance power G^D is also an integral Cayley graph over Γ . Moreover, we show that in a very general sense distance matrices of integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups have integral spectrum. This extends an analogous result of Ilić [11] for integral circulant graphs, which are the integral Cayley graphs over cyclic groups. Finally, we show that the class of gcd-graphs, another subclass of integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups (see [13]), is also closed under distance power operations.

2 The Boolean Algebra $B(\Gamma)$

Let Γ be an arbitrary finite, additive group. We collect facts about the Boolean algebra $B(\Gamma)$ generated by the subgroups of Γ .

2.1 Atoms of $B(\Gamma)$

Let us determine the minimal elements of $B(\Gamma)$. To this end, we consider elements of Γ to be equivalent, if they generate the same cyclic subgroup. The equivalence classes of this relation partition Γ into nonempty disjoint subsets. We shall call these sets *atoms*. The atom represented by $a \in \Gamma$, $\text{Atom}(a)$, consists of the generating elements of the cyclic group $\langle a \rangle$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Atom}(a) &= \{b \in \Gamma : \langle a \rangle = \langle b \rangle\} \\ &= \{ka : k \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq k \leq \text{ord}_\Gamma(a), \text{gcd}(k, \text{ord}_\Gamma(a)) = 1\}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, \mathbb{Z} stands for the set of all integers. For a positive integer k and $a \in \Gamma$ we denote as usual by ka the k -fold sum of terms a , $(-k)a = -(ka)$, $0a = 0$. By $\text{ord}_\Gamma(a)$ we mean the order of a in Γ .

Each set $\text{Atom}(a)$ can be obtained by removing from $\langle a \rangle$ all elements of its proper subgroups. We bear in mind that every set $S \in B(\Gamma)$ can be derived from the cyclic subgroups of Γ by means of repeated union, intersection and complement (with respect to Γ). Thus we easily arrive at the following proposition [3].

Proposition 2. *For an arbitrary finite group Γ the following statements are true:*

1. $\text{Atom}(a) \in B(\Gamma)$ for every $a \in \Gamma$.

2. For no $a \in \Gamma$ there exists a nonempty proper subset of $\text{Atom}(a)$ that belongs to $B(\Gamma)$.
3. Every nonempty set $S \in B(\Gamma)$ is the union of some sets $\text{Atom}(a)$, $a \in \Gamma$.

2.2 Sums of Sets in $B(\Gamma)$

In this subsection Γ denotes a finite, additive, abelian group. We define the sum of nonempty subsets S, T of Γ :

$$S + T = \{s + t : s \in S, t \in T\}.$$

We are going to show that the sum of sets in $B(\Gamma)$ is again a set in $B(\Gamma)$.

Lemma 1. *If Γ is a finite abelian group and $a, b \in \Gamma$ then*

$$\text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b) \in B(\Gamma).$$

Proof. We know that Γ can be represented (see Cohn [6]) as a direct sum of cyclic groups of prime power order. This can be grouped as

$$\Gamma = \Gamma_1 \oplus \Gamma_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus \Gamma_r,$$

where Γ_i is a direct sum of cyclic groups, the order of which is a power of a prime p_i , $|\Gamma_i| = p_i^{\alpha_i}$, $\alpha_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$ and $p_i \neq p_j$ for $i \neq j$. Hence we can write each element $x \in \Gamma$ as an r -tuple (x_i) with $x_i \in \Gamma_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$.

The order of $x_i \in \Gamma_i$, $\text{ord}_{\Gamma_i}(x_i)$, is a divisor of $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. Therefore, integer factors in the i -th coordinate of x may be reduced modulo $p_i^{\alpha_i}$. The order of $x \in \Gamma$, $\text{ord}_{\Gamma}(x)$, is the least common multiple of the orders of its coordinates:

$$\text{ord}_{\Gamma}(x) = \text{lcm}(\text{ord}_{\Gamma_1}(x_1), \dots, \text{ord}_{\Gamma_r}(x_r)). \quad (1)$$

This implies that all prime divisors of $\text{ord}_{\Gamma}(x)$ belong to $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$.

Let $a = (a_i)$, $b = (b_i)$ be elements of Γ . The statement of the lemma becomes trivial for $a = 0$ or $b = 0$. So we may assume $a \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$. An arbitrary element $w \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$ has the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} w &= \lambda a + \mu b, \\ 1 &\leq \lambda \leq \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(a), \quad \text{gcd}(\lambda, \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(a)) = 1, \\ 1 &\leq \mu \leq \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(b), \quad \text{gcd}(\mu, \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(b)) = 1. \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

We have to show $\text{Atom}(w) \subseteq \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$. To this end, we choose the integer ν with $1 \leq \nu \leq \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(w)$, $\text{gcd}(\nu, \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(w)) = 1$, and show $\nu w \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$.

Case 1. $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r) \mid \text{ord}_{\Gamma}(w)$.

By $\gcd(\nu, \text{ord}_\Gamma(w)) = 1$ we know that ν has no prime divisor in $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. On the other hand all prime divisors of $\text{ord}_\Gamma(a)$ and of $\text{ord}_\Gamma(b)$ are in $\{p_1, \dots, p_r\}$. This implies $\gcd(\nu, \text{ord}_\Gamma(a)) = 1$ and $\gcd(\nu, \text{ord}_\Gamma(b)) = 1$. Setting $\lambda' = \nu\lambda$ and $\mu' = \nu\mu$ we achieve

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(\lambda', \text{ord}_\Gamma(a)) &= 1, \quad \lambda'a \in \text{Atom}(a), \\ \gcd(\mu', \text{ord}_\Gamma(b)) &= 1, \quad \mu'b \in \text{Atom}(b).\end{aligned}$$

Now we have by (2):

$$\nu w = \nu\lambda a + \nu\mu b = \lambda'a + \mu'b \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b).$$

Case 2. $(p_1 p_2 \cdots p_r) \nmid \text{ord}_\Gamma(w)$.

Trivially, for $w = 0 \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$ we have $\nu w \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$. Therefore, we may assume $w \neq 0$. Without loss of generality let

$$(p_1 \cdots p_k) \mid \text{ord}_\Gamma(w), \quad \gcd(\text{ord}_\Gamma(w), p_{k+1} \cdots p_r) = 1, \quad 1 \leq k < r. \quad (3)$$

Now (1) and (3) imply

$$\begin{aligned}w &= \lambda a + \mu b = (\lambda a_1 + \mu b_1, \dots, \lambda a_k + \mu b_k, 0, \dots, 0), \\ \lambda a_i + \mu b_i &\neq 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k.\end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

By $\gcd(\nu, \text{ord}_\Gamma(w)) = 1$ we know $\gcd(\nu, p_1 \cdots p_k) = 1$. If even more $\gcd(\nu, p_1 \cdots p_r) = 1$ then we deduce $\nu w \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$ as in Case 1. So we may assume that ν has at least one prime divisor in $\{p_{k+1}, \dots, p_r\}$. Without loss of generality let

$$\gcd(\nu, p_1 \cdots p_l) = 1, \quad (p_{l+1} \cdots p_r) \mid \nu, \quad k \leq l < r.$$

We define

$$\nu' = \nu + p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_l^{\alpha_l}. \quad (5)$$

If we observe that integer factors in the i -th coordinate of w can be reduced modulo $p_i^{\alpha_i}$, then we see by (4): $\nu'w = \nu w$. Moreover, (5) and the properties of ν imply $\gcd(\nu', p_1 \cdots p_r) = 1$. As in Case 1 we now conclude $\nu w = \nu'w \in \text{Atom}(a) + \text{Atom}(b)$. \square

Corollary 1. *If Γ is a finite abelian group with nonempty subsets $S, T \in B(\Gamma)$ then $S + T \in B(\Gamma)$.*

Proof. According to Proposition 2 the sets S and T are unions of atoms of $B(\Gamma)$.

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^k \text{Atom}(a_i), \quad T = \bigcup_{j=1}^l \text{Atom}(b_j).$$

Then we have

$$S + T = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq k, 1 \leq j \leq l} (\text{Atom}(a_i) + \text{Atom}(b_j)). \quad (6)$$

According to Lemma 1 the sum $\text{Atom}(a_i) + \text{Atom}(b_j)$ is an element of $B(\Gamma)$. Therefore, (6) implies $S + T \in B(\Gamma)$. \square

3 Distance Powers and Distance Matrices

We repeat the definition of the distance power G^D of an undirected graph $G = (V, E)$ from the Introduction. Let D be a set of nonnegative integers. The distance power G^D has vertex set V . Vertices x, y are adjacent in G^D , if their distance in G is $d(x, y) \in D$. If G is not connected, it makes sense to allow $\infty \in D$. Clearly, G^\emptyset is the graph without edges on V . The edge set of $G^{\{0\}}$ consists of a single loop at every vertex of G . If G has no loops then $G^{\{1\}} = G$.

Theorem 1. *If $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is an integral Cayley graph over the finite abelian group Γ and if D is a set of nonnegative integers (possibly including ∞), then the distance power G^D is also an integral Cayley graph over Γ .*

Proof. If $D = \emptyset$ then $G^D = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, \emptyset)$ is an integral Cayley graph over Γ . We now consider the case, where D has only one element,

$$D = \{d\}, \quad d \in \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\}.$$

In several steps we define $S^{(d)} \in B(\Gamma)$ such that $G^{\{d\}} = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S^{(d)})$ is an integral Cayley graph over Γ . If d is a distance not attained in G , then the assertion is confirmed by $G^{\{d\}} = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S^{(d)})$ with $S^{(d)} = \emptyset$. If $d = 0$ then we achieve our goal by $S^{(0)} = \{0\}$. Suppose now that $d = \infty$ and G is disconnected. If $U = \langle S \rangle$ is the subgroup generated by S in Γ , then G consists of disjoint subgraphs on the cosets of U , all of them isomorphic to $\text{Cay}(U, S)$. Vertices x, y in $G^{\{\infty\}}$ are adjacent if and only if they belong to different cosets of U , and this is true if and only if $x - y \notin U$. Therefore, we have

$$G^{\{\infty\}} = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S^{(\infty)}) \text{ with } S^{(\infty)} = \overline{U} = \Gamma \setminus U \in B(\Gamma).$$

Assume now that $d \geq 1$ is a finite distance attained between vertices x, y in G . The sequence of vertices in a shortest path P between x and y in $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ has the form

$$x, x + s_1, x + s_1 + s_2, \dots, x + s_1 + \dots + s_d = y, \quad s_i \in S \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq d.$$

This implies $y - x = s_1 + \dots + s_d \in dS$, where dS denotes the d -fold sum of the set S . To guarantee that there is no shorter path from x to y than P we remove from dS all multiples kS for $0 \leq k < d$, $0S = \{0\}$. Setting

$$S^{(d)} = dS \setminus \bigcup_{0 \leq k < d} kS \tag{7}$$

we achieve $G^{\{d\}} = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S^{(d)})$. If $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is integral, then we have $S \in B(\Gamma)$ by Proposition 1, $kS \in B(\Gamma)$ for every $k \geq 2$ by Corollary 1, and trivially $0S = \{0\} \in B(\Gamma)$. By (7) this implies $S^{(d)} \in B(\Gamma)$, so $G^{\{d\}}$ is an integral Cayley graph over Γ .

To complete our proof, let

$$D = \{d_1, \dots, d_r\} \subseteq \{0, 1, \dots, \infty\} \text{ and } S^{(D)} = \bigcup_{i=1}^r S^{(d_i)}.$$

Then we have $S^{(D)} \in B(\Gamma)$ and $G^D = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S^{(D)})$ is an integral Cayley graph over Γ by Proposition 1. \square

Let Γ be a finite additive group. A *character* ψ of Γ is a homomorphism from Γ into the multiplicative group of complex numbers. An abelian group Γ with n elements has exactly n distinct characters, which represent an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of eigenvectors for every Cayley graph over Γ . More precisely, we have (see e. g. [12] or [14])

Proposition 3. *Let ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n be the distinct characters of the additive abelian group $\Gamma = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$, $S \subseteq \Gamma$, $-S = S$. Assume that $A = (a_{i,j})$ is the adjacency matrix of $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ with respect to the given ordering of the vertex set $V(G) = \Gamma$. Then the vectors $(\psi_i(v_j))_{j=1, \dots, n}$, $1 \leq i \leq n$, constitute an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of eigenvectors of A . To the eigenvector $(\psi_i(v_j))_{j=1, \dots, n}$ belongs the eigenvalue $\psi_i(S) = \sum_{s \in S} \psi_i(s)$.*

Now we define a generalized distance matrix $\text{DM}(k, G)$ of a given undirected graph G with vertex set $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ as follows. Let $d_0 = 0 < d_1 < \dots < d_r$ be the sequence of possible distances between vertices in G , possibly $d_r = \infty$. If $k = (k_0, \dots, k_r)$ is a vector with integral entries, then we define the entries of $\text{DM}(k, G) = (d_{i,j}^{(k)})$ for $i, j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ by

$$d_{i,j}^{(k)} = k_t, \text{ if } d(v_i, v_j) = d_t.$$

The ordinary distance matrix $\text{DM}(G)$ for a connected graph G is established for $k = (0, 1, \dots, r)$, where r is the diameter of G .

Let $\Gamma = \{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ be an abelian group and consider some integral Cayley graph $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$. Any generalized distance matrix $\text{DM}(k, G)$ is an integer weighted sum of the adjacency matrices of the graphs $G^{\{d\}}$ with $d \in \{d_0, d_1, \dots, d_r\}$, assuming v_1, \dots, v_n as their common vertex order. To make it more precise, for $j = 0, \dots, r$ we denote by $A^{(j)}$ the adjacency matrix of the distance power $G^{\{d_j\}}$, $A^{(0)} = I_n$ is the $n \times n$ unit matrix. Then we have

$$\text{DM}(k, G) = k_0 A^{(0)} + k_1 A^{(1)} + \dots + k_r A^{(r)}.$$

By Theorem 1, all matrices $A^{(j)}$, $0 \leq j \leq r$, are adjacency matrices of integral Cayley graphs over Γ . According to Proposition 3, all Cayley graphs over Γ have a universal common basis of complex eigenvectors. As a result, integrality extends to $\text{DM}(k, G)$. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 2. *Let $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ be an integral Cayley graph over the abelian group Γ , $|\Gamma| = n$. Then every distance matrix $\text{DM}(k, G)$ as defined above has integral spectrum. Moreover, the characters ψ_1, \dots, ψ_n of Γ represent an orthogonal basis of \mathbb{C}^n consisting of eigenvectors of $\text{DM}(k, G)$.*

As we have seen in Theorem 1, the class of integral Cayley graphs over an abelian group is closed under distance power operations. We shall conclude this section by presenting a subclass which has the same closure property.

We introduce the class of *gcd-graphs* as in [13]. To this end, let the finite abelian group Γ be represented as the direct product of cyclic groups, $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$, $m_i \geq 1$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence the elements $x \in \Gamma$ take the form of r -tuples.

$$x = (x_i) = (x_1, \dots, x_r), \quad x_i \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} = \{0, 1, \dots, m_i - 1\}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq r.$$

Addition is coordinatewise modulo m_i . For $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \Gamma$ and $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ we define

$$\gcd(x, m) = (\gcd(x_1, m_1), \dots, \gcd(x_r, m_r)).$$

Here we agree upon $\gcd(0, m_i) = m_i$. For a divisor tuple $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ of m , $d \mid m$, we require $d_i \geq 1$ and $d_i \mid m_i$ for every $i = 1, \dots, r$. Every divisor tuple d of m defines an *elementary gcd-set* given by

$$S_\Gamma(d) = \{x \in \Gamma : \gcd(x, m) = d\}.$$

Clearly, the sets $S_\Gamma(d)$ with $d \mid m$ form a partition of the elements of Γ . We denote by $E_\Gamma(x)$ the unique elementary gcd-set that contains x , i.e. $E_\Gamma(x) = S_\Gamma(d)$ with $d = \gcd(x, m)$. A *gcd-set* is a union of elementary gcd-sets. By construction, the elementary gcd-sets are the atoms of the Boolean algebra $B_{\gcd}(\Gamma)$ consisting of all gcd-sets of Γ . According to Theorem 1 in [13], $B_{\gcd}(\Gamma)$ is a Boolean sub-algebra of $B(\Gamma)$. Hence by Proposition 1, all gcd-graphs $\text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$, $S \in B_{\gcd}(\Gamma)$, are integral.

Lemma 2. *If $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$ and $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \Gamma$ then*

$$E_\Gamma(x) = E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(x_1) \times \dots \times E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(x_r).$$

Proof. Let $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$ and $d = (d_1, \dots, d_r) = \gcd(x, m)$. Then we have $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r) \in E_\Gamma(x)$ if and only if $\gcd(y_i, m_i) = d_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, r$. This is equivalent to $y \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(d_1) \times \dots \times S_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(d_r)$, which is the same as $y \in E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(x_1) \times \dots \times E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(x_r)$. \square

Lemma 3. *For every finite abelian group Γ , any sum of its gcd-sets is again a gcd-set.*

Proof. As in the proof of Corollary 1 it suffices to show that any sum of elementary gcd-sets is a gcd-set. If Γ is cyclic, then $B_{\gcd}(\Gamma) = B(\Gamma)$ (see Theorem 3 in [13]) and the result follows from Lemma 1.

Now let $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$, $m = (m_1, \dots, m_r)$, $r \geq 2$. Further let $x = (x_1, \dots, x_r) \in \Gamma$, $\gcd(x, m) = d = (d_1, \dots, d_r)$ and let $y = (y_1, \dots, y_r) \in \Gamma$, $\gcd(y, m) = \delta = (\delta_1, \dots, \delta_r)$. By Lemma 2 we have

$$E_\Gamma(x) + E_\Gamma(y) = (E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(x_1) + E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(y_1)) \times \dots \times (E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(x_r) + E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(y_r)).$$

Since the cyclic case is already solved, it follows that $E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(x_i) + E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(y_i)$ is a gcd-set of \mathbb{Z}_{m_i} for $i = 1, \dots, r$. Hence $E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(x_i) + E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(y_i)$ is a disjoint union of elementary gcd-sets $E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(z_1^{(i)}), \dots, E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_i}}(z_{\varrho_i}^{(i)})$, with $z_j^{(i)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{m_i}$ for $j = 1, \dots, \varrho_i$. It follows that

$$E_\Gamma(x) + E_\Gamma(y) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j_k \leq \varrho_k, k=1, \dots, r} \left(E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_1}}(z_{j_1}^{(1)}) \times \dots \times E_{\mathbb{Z}_{m_r}}(z_{j_r}^{(r)}) \right).$$

Writing $z^{(j_1, \dots, j_r)} = (z_{j_1}^{(1)}, \dots, z_{j_r}^{(r)})$, we get by Lemma 2

$$E_\Gamma(x) + E_\Gamma(y) = \bigcup_{1 \leq j_k \leq \varrho_k, k=1, \dots, r} E_\Gamma(z^{(j_1, \dots, j_r)}) \in B_{\gcd}(\Gamma).$$

\square

The following theorem is readily deduced from Lemma 3 applying the same reasoning as in the proof of Theorem 1.

Theorem 3. *If $G = \text{Cay}(\Gamma, S)$ is a gcd-graph over $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}_{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{m_r}$ and if D is a set of nonnegative integers (possibly including ∞), then the distance power G^D is also a gcd-graph over Γ .*

References

- [1] ABDOLLAHI, A., AND VATANDOOST, E. Which Cayley graphs are integral? *Electron. J. Comb.* 16(1) (2009), R122, 1–17.
- [2] AHMADI, O., ALON, N., BLAKE, L. F., AND SHPARLINSKI, I. E. Graphs with integral spectrum. *Linear Alg. Appl.* 430 (2009), 547–552.
- [3] ALPERIN, R. C., AND PETERSON, B. L. Integral Sets and Cayley Graphs of Finite Groups. *Electron. J. Comb.* 19 (2012), #P44, 1–12.
- [4] BALINSKA, K., CVETKOVIĆ, D., RODOSAVLJEVIĆ, Z., SIMIĆ, S., AND STEVANOVIĆ, D. A survey on integral graphs. *Univ. Beograd, Publ. Elektrotehn. Fak. Ser. Mat* 13 (2003), 42–65.
- [5] BIGGS, N. *Algebraic graph theory. Second Edition.* Cambridge Mathematical Library. Cambridge University Press, 1993.
- [6] COHN, P. M. *Basic Algebra.* Springer, London, 2003.
- [7] CVETKOVIĆ, D., ROWLINSON, P., AND SIMIĆ, S. *Eigenspaces of graphs.* Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1997, new edition 2008.
- [8] VAN DAM, E. R., AND HAEMERS, W. Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? *Linear Algebra Appl.* 373 (2003), 241–272.
- [9] GODSIL, C., AND ROYLE, G. *Algebraic graph theory.* Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Vol 207. Springer, 2001.
- [10] HARARY, F., AND SCHWENK, A. J. Which graphs have integral spectra? *Lect. Notes Math.* 406, Springer Verlag (1974), 45–50.
- [11] ILIĆ, A. Distance Spectra and Distance Energy of Integral Circulant Graphs. *Linear Algebra Appl.* 433 (2010), 1005–1014.
- [12] KLOTZ, W., AND SANDER, T. Integral Cayley graphs over abelian groups. *Electron. J. Comb.* 17 (2010), R81, 1–13.
- [13] KLOTZ, W., AND SANDER, T. Integral Cayley graphs defined by greatest common divisors. *Electron. J. Comb.* 18 (2011), P94, 1–15.
- [14] LOVÁSZ, L. Spectra of graphs with transitive groups. *Periodica Mathematica Hungarica* 6 (1975), 191–195.
- [15] SO, W. Integral circulant graphs. *Discrete Math.* 306 (2005), 153–158.