

On cross-intersecting families of set partitions

Cheng Yeaw Ku *

Department of Mathematics
National University of Singapore
Singapore 117543.

matkcy@nus.edu.sg

Kok Bin Wong

Institute of Mathematical Sciences
University of Malaya
50603 Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia

kbwong@um.edu.my

Submitted: Mar 29, 2012; Accepted: Dec 8, 2012; Published: Dec 31, 2012

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05D05

Abstract

Let $\mathcal{B}(n)$ denote the collection of all set partitions of $[n]$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$ are cross-intersecting i.e. for all $A_1 \in \mathcal{A}_1$ and $A_2 \in \mathcal{A}_2$, we have $A_1 \cap A_2 \neq \emptyset$. It is proved that for sufficiently large n ,

$$|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq B_{n-1}^2$$

where B_n is the n -th Bell number. Moreover, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ consists of all set partitions with a fixed singleton.

Keywords: cross-intersecting family, Erdős-Ko-Rado, set partitions

1 Introduction

1.1 Finite sets

Let $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\binom{[n]}{k}$ denote the family of all k -subsets of $[n]$. A fundamental result in extremal combinatorial set theory is the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem ([6], [7], [22]) which asserts that if a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ is t -intersecting (i.e. $|A \cap B| \geq t$ for any $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$), then $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \binom{n-t}{k-t}$ for $n \geq (k-t+1)(t+1)$. Recently, there are several Erdős-Ko-Rado type results (see [2, 4, 5, 9, 11, 13, 15, 17, 20, 21]), most notably is the result of Ellis, Friedgut and Pilpel [5], which states that for sufficiently large n depending on t , a t -intersecting family $\mathcal{A}$ of permutations has size at most $(n-t)!$, with equality if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ is a coset of the stabilizer of t points, thus settling an old conjecture of Deza and Frankl [3].

*corresponding author

Let $\mathcal{A}_i \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, r$. We say that the families $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r$ are *r-cross t-intersecting* if $|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_r| \geq t$ holds for all $A_i \in \mathcal{A}_i$. When $t = 1$, we will just say *r-cross intersecting* instead of *r-cross 1-intersecting*. Furthermore when $r = 2$ and $t = 1$, we will just say *cross-intersecting* instead of *2-cross intersecting*. It has been shown by Frankl and Tokushige [8] that if $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k}$ are *r-cross intersecting*, then for $n \geq rk/(r-1)$,

$$\prod_{i=1}^r |\mathcal{A}_i| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}^r.$$

For differing values of k 's, we have the following result.

Theorem 1.1 (Bey [1], Matsumoto and Tokushige [18], Pyber [19]). *Let $\mathcal{A}_1 \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k_1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \binom{[n]}{k_2}$ be cross-intersecting. If $k_1, k_2 \leq n/2$, then*

$$|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \binom{n-1}{k_1-1} \binom{n-1}{k_2-1}.$$

Equality holds for $k_1 + k_2 < n$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}_1$ and $\mathcal{A}_2$ consist of all k_1 -element resp. k_2 -element sets containing a fixed element.

1.2 Set partitions

A set partition of $[n]$ is a collection of pairwise disjoint nonempty subsets (called *blocks*) of $[n]$ whose union is $[n]$. Let $\mathcal{B}(n)$ denote the family of all set partitions of $[n]$. It is well-known that the size of $\mathcal{B}(n)$ is the n -th Bell number, denoted by B_n . A block of size one is also known as a *singleton*. We denote the number of all set partitions of $[n]$ which are singleton-free (i.e. without any singleton) by $\tilde{B}_n$.

A family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$ is said to be *t-intersecting* if any two of its members have at least t blocks in common. Ku and Renshaw [14, Theorem 1.7 and Theorem 1.8] proved the following analogue of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for set partitions.

Theorem 1.2 (Ku-Renshaw). *Suppose $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$ is a t-intersecting family. Then, for $n \geq n_0(t)$,*

$$|\mathcal{A}| \leq B_{n-t},$$

with equality if and only if $\mathcal{A}$ consists of all set partitions with t fixed singletons.

Recently, Ku and Wong [16, Theorem 1.4] proved a generalization of Theorem 1.2, which is an analogue of the Hilton-Milner Theorem [10] for set partitions.

In this paper, we will prove the following analogue of Theorem 1.1 for set partitions.

Theorem 1.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2 \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$ be cross-intersecting. Then, for $n \geq n_0$,*

$$|\mathcal{A}_1||\mathcal{A}_2| \leq B_{n-1}^2.$$

Moreover, equality holds if and only if $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ consists of all set partitions with a fixed singleton.

2 Splitting operation

In this section, we will prove some important results regarding the splitting operation for r -cross t -intersecting families of set partitions. These results are the ‘cross’ version of [14, Proposition 3.1, 3.2, 3.3, 3.4].

Let $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$, and $P \in \mathcal{B}(n)$. Denote by $P_{[i]}$ the block of P which contains i . We define the (i, j) -split of P to be the following set partition:

$$s_{ij}(P) = \begin{cases} P \setminus \{P_{[i]}\} \cup \{\{i\}, P_{[i]} \setminus \{i\}\} & \text{if } j \in P_{[i]}, \\ P & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For a family $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$, let $s_{ij}(\mathcal{A}) = \{s_{ij}(P) : P \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Any family $\mathcal{A}$ of set partitions can be decomposed with respect to given $i, j \in [n]$ as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} = (\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{ij}) \cup \mathcal{A}_{ij},$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{ij} = \{P \in \mathcal{A} : s_{ij}(P) \notin \mathcal{A}\}$. Define the (i, j) -splitting of $\mathcal{A}$ to be the family

$$S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}) = (\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{A}_{ij}) \cup s_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_{ij}).$$

It is not hard to see that $|S_{ij}(\mathcal{A})| = |\mathcal{A}|$.

Let $I(n, r, t)$ denote the set of all r -cross t -intersecting families of set partitions of $[n]$. Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\} \in I(n, r, t)$. We set

$$S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) = \{S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1), S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_2), \dots, S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_r)\},$$

and write $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$ if $S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_l) = \mathcal{A}_l$ for $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$.

We define $|\mathbf{A}| = \prod_{l=1}^r |\mathcal{A}_l|$. It is not hard to see that

$$|\mathbf{A}| = \prod_{i=1}^r |S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_l)|.$$

An element $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\} \in I(n, r, t)$ is said to be *trivial*, if $\mathcal{A}_1 = \mathcal{A}_2 = \dots = \mathcal{A}_r$ and $\mathcal{A}_1$ consists of all set partitions containing t fixed singletons.

Proposition 2.1. *Let $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$. If $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, r, t)$, then $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) \in I(n, r, t)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\}$. For each $l = 1, 2, \dots, r$, choose an $A_l \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_l)$. If $A_l \in \mathcal{A}_l$ for all l , then $|A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_r| \geq t$. Without loss of generality, suppose $A_l \in s_{ij}((\mathcal{A}_l)_{ij})$ for $l = 1, \dots, q$, and $A_l \in \mathcal{A}_l \setminus (\mathcal{A}_l)_{ij}$ for $l = q+1, \dots, r$. Then $A_l = s_{ij}(P_l)$ for $l = 1, \dots, q$, where $P_l \in (\mathcal{A}_l)_{ij} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_l$.

Now there are at least t blocks, say $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t$, that are all contained in $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_q \cap A_{q+1} \cap \dots \cap A_r$. If $\{i, j\} \not\subseteq M_y$ for $y = 1, \dots, t$, then $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t \in A_l$ for $l = 1, \dots, q$. This implies that $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t$ are contained in $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_q \cap A_{q+1} \cap \dots \cap A_r$, and thus $|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r| \geq t$.

Suppose one of the M_y contains $\{i, j\}$. We may assume that $\{i, j\} \subseteq M_1$. If $q = r$, then $\{i\}, M_2, \dots, M_t$ are contained in $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r$, and thus $|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r| \geq t$. Suppose

$1 \leq q < r$. Since $A_l \in \mathcal{A}_l \setminus (\mathcal{A}_l)_{ij}$ for $l \geq q+1$, we must have $s_{ij}(A_l) \in \mathcal{A}_l$. Note that $M_2, \dots, M_t$ are contained in $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_q \cap s_{ij}(A_{q+1}) \cap \dots \cap s_{ij}(A_r)$. Since $|P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_q \cap s_{ij}(A_{q+1}) \cap \dots \cap s_{ij}(A_r)| \geq t$, there is a block M_{t+1} disjoint from $M_1, M_2, \dots, M_t$, that is contained in $P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_q \cap s_{ij}(A_{q+1}) \cap \dots \cap s_{ij}(A_r)$. Now M_{t+1} is a block in $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_q \cap A_{q+1} \cap \dots \cap A_r$, for $\{i, j\} \not\subseteq M_{t+1}$. Hence $|A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r| \geq t$. $\square$

Proposition 2.2. *Let $n \geq t+1$. Suppose $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, r, t)$ and $|\mathbf{A}| > 1$. Let $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$. If $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A})$ is trivial, then $\mathbf{A}$ is trivial.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\}$. Then $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) = \{S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1), S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_2), \dots, S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_r)\}$ and by Proposition 2.1, $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) \in I(n, r, t)$. Since $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A})$ is trivial, $S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1) = S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_2) = \dots = S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_r)$ and $S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$ consists of all set partitions containing t fixed singletons, say $\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \dots, \{x_t\}$. Note that $T = \{\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \dots, \{x_t\}, [n] \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}\} \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. If $T \in s_{ij}((\mathcal{A}_1)_{ij})$, then $T = s_{ij}(P)$ for a $P \in (\mathcal{A}_1)_{ij} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$. Note that P will have exactly t blocks. Now, if $Q_l \in \mathcal{A}_l$ for $l = 2, \dots, r$, then $P = Q_2 = \dots = Q_r$, for $|P \cap Q_2 \cap \dots \cap Q_r| \geq t$. Therefore $\mathcal{A}_2 = \mathcal{A}_3 = \dots = \mathcal{A}_r = \{P\}$, and this implies that $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{P\}$. So $|\mathbf{A}| = \prod_{l=1}^r |\mathcal{A}_l| = 1$, a contradiction. So we may assume that $T \in \mathcal{A}_1 \setminus (\mathcal{A}_1)_{ij} \subseteq \mathcal{A}_1$. Similarly, $T \in \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{A}_r$.

Suppose $\mathcal{A}_1 \neq S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Then there is a $P \in \mathcal{A}_1$ with $s_{ij}(P) \notin \mathcal{A}_1$. Now

$$|P \cap \overbrace{T \cap \dots \cap T}^{r-1}| \geq t,$$

for $T \in \mathcal{A}_2 \cap \dots \cap \mathcal{A}_r$. Suppose $[n] \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ is a block in P . Since T has exactly $t+1$ blocks, we deduce that $P = T$. This means that $T \in (\mathcal{A}_1)_{ij}$, and $s_{ij}(T) \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. So $T \notin S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$, a contradiction.

Suppose $[n] \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_t\}$ is not a block in P . Then $\{x_1\}, \{x_2\}, \dots, \{x_t\}$ are blocks in P . This implies that $P \in S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$, for $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A})$ is trivial. Since $P \in \mathcal{A}_1$, we must have $s_{ij}(P) \in \mathcal{A}_1$, a contradiction. Hence $\mathcal{A}_1 = S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_1)$. Similarly $\mathcal{A}_l = S_{ij}(\mathcal{A}_l)$ for $l = 2, \dots, r$. $\square$

An element $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, r, t)$ is said to be *compressed* if for any $i, j \in [n]$, $i \neq j$, we have $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{A}$. For a set partition P , let $\sigma(P) = \{x : \{x\} \in P\}$ denote the union of its singletons (block of size 1). For a family $\mathcal{A}$ of set partitions, let $\sigma(\mathcal{A}) = \{\sigma(P) : P \in \mathcal{A}\}$. Note that $\sigma(\mathcal{A})$ is a family of subsets of $[n]$. Now for $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\}$, where $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r \subseteq \mathcal{B}(n)$, set $\sigma(\mathbf{A}) = \{\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_r)\}$. We say $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is *r-cross t-intersecting* if $\sigma(\mathcal{A}_1), \dots, \sigma(\mathcal{A}_r)$ are *r-cross t-intersecting*.

Proposition 2.3. *Given an element $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, r, t)$, by repeatedly applying the splitting operations, we eventually obtain a compressed $\mathbf{A}^* \in I(n, r, t)$ with $|\mathbf{A}^*| = |\mathbf{A}|$.*

Proof. Note that if $S_{ij}(\mathbf{A}) \neq \mathbf{A}$, then the (i, j) -splits of some partitions are finer than the originals and therefore will move down in the partition lattice. Eventually this results in a compressed family of partitions. $\square$

For a compressed $\mathbf{A}$, its *r-cross t-intersecting* property can be transferred to $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$, thus allowing us to access the structure of $\mathbf{A}$ via the structure of $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$.

Proposition 2.4. *If $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, r, t)$ is compressed, then $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is r -cross t -intersecting.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\}$. Assume, for a contradiction, that there exist $P_l \in \mathcal{A}_l$, $l = 1, \dots, r$ such that $|\sigma(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \sigma(P_l)| < t$. Since $|P_1 \cap \dots \cap P_r| \geq t$, there are $s \geq t - |\sigma(P_1) \cap \dots \cap \sigma(P_l)|$ common blocks of $P_1, \dots, P_r$ (each of size at least 2), say $M_1, \dots, M_s$, which are disjoint from $\sigma(P_1) \cup \dots \cup \sigma(P_r)$. Fix two distinct points x_e, y_e from each M_e . Then $P_1^* = s_{x_s y_s}(\dots(s_{x_1 y_1}(P_1))\dots) \in \mathcal{A}_1$, for $\mathbf{A}$ is compressed. Now $|P_1^* \cap P_2 \cap \dots \cap P_r| < t$, a contradiction. $\square$

3 Proof of main result

Recall that the size of $\mathcal{B}(n)$ is the n -th Bell number, denoted by B_n , and the number of all set partitions of $[n]$ which are singleton-free (i.e. without any singleton) is denoted by $\tilde{B}_n$.

The following identities for B_n and $\tilde{B}_n$ are straightforward.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $n \geq 2$. Then*

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k}, \quad (1)$$

$$\tilde{B}_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-1-k}, \quad (2)$$

with the conventions $B_0 = \tilde{B}_0 = 1$.

Note in passing that $\tilde{B}_1 = 0$. By (1) and (2),

$$B_n = \tilde{B}_n + \tilde{B}_{n+1}. \quad (3)$$

Given a real number x , we shall denote the greatest integer less than or equal to x , by $\lfloor x \rfloor$. Note that $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x < \lfloor x \rfloor + 1$. Some useful inequalities involving B_n can be found in [12]. However we just need the following inequality.

Lemma 3.2. *There is a positive integer n_0 such that for $n \geq n_0$,*

$$\tilde{B}_{n-1} > 8^n \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k}.$$

Proof. By (2),

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k} &\leq \tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor+2} \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \\ &\leq 2^n \tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor+2}. \end{aligned}$$

So it is sufficient to show that $\tilde{B}_{n-1}/\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2} > (16)^n$.

Again by (2), for any fixed q , $\tilde{B}_m/\tilde{B}_{m-2} > q$ for sufficiently large m . Therefore

$$\frac{\tilde{B}_{n-1}}{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2}} \geq \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2u}}{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2u-2}} \right) \cdots \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 6}}{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 4}} \right) \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 4}}{\tilde{B}_{n-\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor + 2}} \right) > q^{u-1},$$

where $u = \lfloor \frac{1}{2}(\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 3) \rfloor$. Clearly $u - 1 \geq \frac{n}{8}$. So if we choose $q = (16)^8$, then for sufficiently large n , the lemma follows. $\square$

Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_r\} \in I(n, r, t)$ be compressed. We say $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is *trivial* if there is a fixed t -set, say T , such that $T \subseteq \sigma(P_l)$ for all $P_l \in \mathcal{A}_l$, $l = 1, \dots, r$.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, 2, 1)$ be compressed. If $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is non-trivial, then*

$$|\mathbf{A}| < B_{n-1}^2.$$

Proof. Let $\mathbf{A} = \{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2\}$. For $k \geq 1$, let $\mathcal{F}_{lk} = \sigma(\mathcal{A}_l) \cap \binom{[n]}{k}$. If $\mathcal{F}_{l1} \neq \emptyset$ for $l = 1, 2$, then $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is trivial. So we may assume that $\mathcal{F}_{21} = \emptyset$. By Proposition 2.4, $\sigma(\mathbf{A})$ is cross-intersecting. Note that $|\mathcal{A}_1| \leq \sum_{1 \leq k \leq n} |F_{1k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k}$ and $|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \sum_{2 \leq k \leq n} |F_{2k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{A}_1| &\leq \sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{1k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k} + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} |F_{1k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k} \\ &\leq \sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{1k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k} + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k}, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$|\mathcal{A}_2| \leq \sum_{2 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{2k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k} + \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k}.$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} Q &= \sum_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor \leq k \leq n} \binom{n}{k} \tilde{B}_{n-k} \\ M_1 &= \sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{1k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k} \\ M_2 &= \sum_{2 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{2k}| \tilde{B}_{n-k}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathbf{A}| &\leq (M_1 + Q)(M_2 + Q) \\ &= M_1 M_2 + M_1 Q + M_2 Q + Q^2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that by (2) and (3),

$$\begin{aligned}
M_l &\leq \tilde{B}_n \sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} |F_{lk}| \\
&\leq \tilde{B}_n \sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n}{k} \\
&\leq 2^n \tilde{B}_n \\
&\leq 2^n B_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 3.2 and (3), $Q \leq \frac{1}{8^n} \tilde{B}_{n-1} < \frac{1}{8^n} B_{n-1} < B_{n-1}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 Q + M_2 Q + Q^2 &< (2^n + 2^n + 1) B_{n-1} \left(\frac{\tilde{B}_{n-1}}{8^n} \right) \\
&< \frac{1}{2} B_{n-1} \tilde{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 1.1,

$$\begin{aligned}
M_1 M_2 &\leq \sum_{\substack{1 \leq k_1 < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \\ 2 \leq k_2 < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor}} \binom{n-1}{k_1-1} \binom{n-1}{k_2-1} \tilde{B}_{n-k_1} \tilde{B}_{n-k_2} \\
&= \left(\sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \tilde{B}_{n-k} \right) \left(\sum_{2 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \tilde{B}_{n-k} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

By (1),

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{1 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \tilde{B}_{n-k} &< B_{n-1} \\
\sum_{2 \leq k < \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \binom{n-1}{k-1} \tilde{B}_{n-k} &< B_{n-1} - \tilde{B}_{n-1}.
\end{aligned}$$

So $M_1 M_2 \leq (B_{n-1} - \tilde{B}_{n-1}) B_{n-1}$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
|\mathbf{A}| &< B_{n-1}^2 - \tilde{B}_{n-1} B_{n-1} + \frac{1}{2} (B_{n-1}) \tilde{B}_{n-1} \\
&< B_{n-1}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Proof of Theorem 1.3.

Let $\mathbf{A} \in I(n, 2, 1)$ be of maximum size. We may assume that $\mathbf{A}$ has size at least B_{n-1}^2 . Repeatedly apply the splitting operations until we obtain an $\mathbf{A}^* \in I(n, 2, 1)$ such that

$\mathbf{A}^*$ is compressed (Proposition 2.3). By Proposition 2.4, $\sigma(\mathbf{A}^*)$ is cross-intersecting. If $\sigma(\mathbf{A}^*)$ non-trivial, by Theorem 3.3, $|\mathbf{A}| < B_{n-1}^2$, a contradiction. So $\sigma(\mathbf{A}^*)$ is trivial. This implies that $\mathbf{A}^*$ is trivial, for $\mathbf{A}$ is of maximum size. It then follows from Proposition 2.2 that $\mathbf{A}$ is trivial.

Acknowledgement

We would like to thank the anonymous referee for the comments that helped us make several improvements to this paper.

References

- [1] C. Bey, On cross-intersecting families of sets, *Graphs Combin.* **21** (2005), 161–168.
- [2] P. J. Cameron and C. Y. Ku, Intersecting families of permutations, *European J. Combin.* **24** (2003), 881–890.
- [3] M. Deza and P. Frankl, On the maximum number of permutations with given maximal or minimal distance, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **22** (1977), 352–360.
- [4] D. Ellis, Stability for t-intersecting families of permutations, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 208–227.
- [5] D. Ellis, E. Friedgut and H. Pilpel, Intersecting families of permutations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **24** (2011), 649–682.
- [6] P. Erdős, C. Ko and R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser. 2* **12** (1961), 313–318.
- [7] P. Frankl, The Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem is true for $n = ckt$, *Col. Soc. Math. J. Bolyai* **18** (1978), 365–375.
- [8] P. Frankl and N. Tokushige, On r -cross intersecting families of sets, *Combin. Probab. Comput.* **20** (2011), 749–752.
- [9] C. Godsil and K. Meagher, A new proof of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for intersecting families of permutations, *European J. Combin.* **30** (2009), 404–414.
- [10] A. J. W. Hilton and E. C. Milner, Some intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2)* **18** (1967), 369–384.
- [11] G. Hurlbert and V. Kamat, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorems for chordal graphs and trees, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 829–841.
- [12] M. Klazar, Counting set systems by weight, *Electron. J. Combin.* **12** (2005), #R11.
- [13] C. Y. Ku and I. Leader, An Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for partial permutations, *Discrete Math.* **306** (2006), 74–86.
- [14] C. Y. Ku and D. Renshaw, Erdős-Ko-Rado theorems for permutations and set partitions, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **115** (2008), 1008–1020.

- [15] C. Y. Ku and T. W. H. Wong, Intersecting families in the alternating group and direct product of symmetric groups, *Electron. J. Combin.* **14** (2007), #R25.
- [16] C. Y. Ku and K. B. Wong, An Analogue of Hilton-Milner Theorem for Set Partitions, preprint available at [arXiv:1109.0417](https://arxiv.org/abs/1109.0417).
- [17] B. Larose and C. Malvenuto, Stable sets of maximal size in Kneser-type graphs, *European J. Combin.* **25** (2004), 657–673.
- [18] M. Matsumoto and N. Tokushige, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem for cross-intersecting families, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **52** (1989), 90–97.
- [19] L. Pyber, A new generalization of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **43** (1986), 85–90.
- [20] N. Tokushige, A product version of the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **118** (2011), 1575–1587.
- [21] J. Wang and S. J. Zhang, An Erdős-Ko-Rado-type theorem in Coxeter groups, *European J. Combin.* **29** (2008), 1112–1115.
- [22] R.M. Wilson, The exact bound in the Erdős-Ko-Rado theorem, *Combinatorica* **4** (1984), 247–257.