

# Partitions of $\mathbf{Z}_m$ with the same weighted representation functions

Zhenhua Qu\*

Department of Mathematics  
Shanghai Key Laboratory of PMMP  
East China Normal University  
Shanghai 200241, P.R. China

zhqu@math.ecnu.edu.cn

Submitted: Feb 6, 2014; Accepted: Jun 19, 2014; Published: Jun 27, 2014

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11B34, 05A15

## Abstract

Let  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t)$  be a  $t$ -tuple of integers, and  $m$  be a positive integer. For a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  and any  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_m$ , let  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}}(n)$  denote the number of solutions of the equation  $k_1 a_1 + \dots + k_t a_t = n$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_t \in A$ . In this paper, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on  $(\mathbf{k}, m)$  such that there exists a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  satisfying  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ . This settles a problem of Yang and Chen.

**Keywords:** Representation function, Partition, Sárközy problem.

## 1 Introduction

We use  $\mathbf{N}$  to denote the set of nonnegative integers. For any subset  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$  and  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ , define the representation functions  $R_1(A, n)$ ,  $R_2(A, n)$  and  $R_3(A, n)$  to be the number of solutions of the equations

$$\begin{aligned}n &= a + a', \quad a, a' \in A, \\n &= a + a', \quad a, a' \in A, \quad a < a',\end{aligned}$$

and

$$n = a + a', \quad a, a' \in A, \quad a \leq a',$$

---

\*This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11101152.

respectively. Representation functions first appeared in the celebrated paper of Erdős and Turán [12], and were extensively studied by Erdős, Sárközy and Sós (see [7, 8, 11, 9, 10]).

Sárközy asked for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , whether there exist sets  $A$  and  $B$  with infinite symmetric difference such that  $R_i(A, n) = R_i(B, n)$  for all sufficiently large integers  $n$ . There have been quite some work around Sárközy's problem. Dombi [5] observed that the answer is negative for  $i = 1$ , and constructed a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$  such that  $R_2(A, n) = R_2(\mathbf{N} \setminus A, n)$  for all  $n \in \mathbf{N}$ . An analogous example for  $R_3(A, n)$  was constructed by Chen and Wang [3]. For  $i = 2, 3$ , Lev [6], Sándor [13] and Tang [14] determined all subsets  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$  such that  $R_i(A, n) = R_i(\mathbf{N} \setminus A, n)$  for all  $n \geq 2N - 1$ . The asymptotic behavior of the representation functions of these special sequences was studied by Chen and Tang (see [1, 2]).

Analogously, for any two positive integers  $k_1, k_2$ , any subset  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$ , one can define the weighted representation function  $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$  as the number of solutions of the equation  $n = k_1 a_1 + k_2 a_2$  with  $a_1, a_2 \in A$ . Cilleruelo and Rué [4] proved that  $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n)$  can not be eventually constant. Yang and Chen [15] proved that there exists a set  $A \subset \mathbf{N}$  such that  $r_{k_1, k_2}(A, n) = r_{k_1, k_2}(\mathbf{N} \setminus A, n)$  for all sufficiently large  $n$  if and only if  $k_1 \mid k_2$  and  $k_1 < k_2$ .

Let  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t)$  be a  $t$ -tuple of integers, and  $m$  be a positive integer. For any  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  and  $n \in \mathbf{Z}_m$ , denote the number of solutions of the equation  $k_1 a_1 + \dots + k_t a_t = n$  with  $a_1, \dots, a_t \in A$  by  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}}(n)$ . We call  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}}$  the weighted representation function on  $\mathbf{Z}_m$  with respect to  $A$  and weight  $\mathbf{k}$ . For  $t = 2$ ,  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2)$ , Yang and Chen [16] characterized all subsets  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  with the property that  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ .

Note that if  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  satisfying  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ , then  $m$  is even and  $|A| = \frac{m}{2}$ . Indeed, this follows from the fact that

$$|A|^t = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_m} r_A^{\mathbf{k}}(n) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_m} r_B^{\mathbf{k}}(n) = |B|^t.$$

For any nonzero integer  $k$ , we use  $v_2(k)$  to denote the largest nonnegative integer  $l$  such that  $2^l \mid k$ . The following result is also proved in [16].

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $k_1, k_2$  be nonzero integers, and  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2)$ . For a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  satisfying  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$  to exist, it is necessary and sufficient that one of the following holds:*

- (i)  $k_1 + k_2$  is even;
- (ii)  $k_1 + k_2$  is odd and  $v_2(k_1 k_2) < v_2(m)$ .

It is natural to consider the following problem suggested by Yang and Chen [16].

**Problem 2.** For  $t \geq 3$ , determine all  $\mathbf{k} = (k_1, k_2, \dots, k_t)$  and  $m$  such that there exists a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  with the property that  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ .

In this paper, we give a complete answer to this problem. Since  $k_1, \dots, k_t$  are only considered modulo  $m$ , we may assume  $k_1, \dots, k_t$  are all positive integers, and write

$$|\mathbf{k}| = k_1 + k_2 + \dots + k_t.$$

Let  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  be the set of all subsets  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  such that  $r_A^{\mathbf{k}} = r_{\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A}^{\mathbf{k}}$ . We also identify an integer with its canonical image in  $\mathbf{Z}_m$ . Our main result is the following.

**Theorem 3.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

- (i)  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  is nonempty;
- (ii)  $\{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \frac{m}{2} \rfloor - 1\} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$ ;
- (iii)  $m$  is even, and either  $|\mathbf{k}|$  is even, or  $0 < v_2(k_i) < v_2(m)$  for some  $i \in [1, t]$ .

Currently we have no answer for the following problem.

**Problem 4.** Determine the set  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$ .

We give an example illustrating the complexity of Problem 4. For any even divisor  $s \mid m$ , a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  is said to be *balanced modulo  $s$*  if for any integer  $k$ , we have

$$|\{a \in A : a \equiv k \pmod{s}\}| = |\{a \in A : a \equiv k + \frac{s}{2} \pmod{s}\}|.$$

**Example 5.** Let  $m = 2^l$ ,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $k_1 = 2$ ,  $k_2 = \dots = k_t = 1$ .

(i) If  $t$  is even, then  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $|A| = m/2$ , and  $A$  is balanced modulo 2, in other words,  $A$  has same number of odd elements and even elements.

(ii) If  $t$  is odd, then  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $|A| = m/2$ , and for any integer  $s \in [2, l]$ ,  $A$  is balanced modulo  $2^{s-1}$  or  $2^s$ , or both.

## 2 Proofs

For a subset  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$ , we always use  $B$  to denote the complement  $\mathbf{Z}_m \setminus A$ . Let

$$f_A(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a,$$

and

$$T(x) = \prod_{i=1}^t f_A(x^{k_i}) - \prod_{i=1}^t f_B(x^{k_i}).$$

These polynomials are considered in the ring  $\mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^m - 1)$ .

**Lemma 6.**  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $T(x) = 0$ .

*Proof.* Since

$$\prod_{i=1}^t f_A(x^{k_i}) = \sum_{a_1, \dots, a_t \in A} x^{k_1 a_1 + \dots + k_t a_t} = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_m} r_A^{\mathbf{k}}(n) x^n,$$

and similarly

$$\prod_{i=1}^t f_B(x^{k_i}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbf{Z}_m} r_B^{\mathbf{k}}(n) x^n,$$

we conclude that  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $T(x) = 0$ . □

Let  $d_i = (k_i, m)$ ,  $i \in [1, t]$ . For any positive integer  $d$ , we write  $\xi_d = e^{2\pi i/d}$ . For  $d \mid m$ , it makes sense to write  $f(\xi_d)$  for  $f(x) \in \mathbf{Z}[x]/(x^m - 1)$ , and we use  $I(d)$  to denote the set of indices  $i \in [1, t]$  such that  $d \nmid d_i$ .

**Lemma 7.** *For  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  with  $|A| = m/2$ ,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if for any positive divisor  $d \mid m$  with  $|I(d)|$  odd, there exists  $i \in I(d)$  such that  $f_A(\xi_{d/(d, d_i)}) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 6,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $T(x) = 0$ . This is true if and only if for every positive divisor  $d \mid m$ , we have  $T(\xi_d) = 0$ . For any  $d \mid m$ , if  $d \mid k_i$ , then

$$f_A(\xi_d^{k_i}) = f_B(\xi_d^{k_i}) = m/2. \quad (1)$$

If  $d \nmid k_i$ , then

$$f_A(\xi_d^{k_i}) + f_B(\xi_d^{k_i}) = \sum_{n=0}^{m-1} \xi_d^{nk_i} = 0,$$

thus

$$f_A(\xi_d^{k_i}) = -f_B(\xi_d^{k_i}). \quad (2)$$

Combining (1) and (2), we have

$$T(\xi_d) = \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{t-|I(d)|} (1 - (-1)^{|I(d)|}) \prod_{i \in I(d)} f_A(\xi_d^{k_i}).$$

If  $|I(d)|$  is even, it is always true that  $T(\xi_d) = 0$ . If  $|I(d)|$  is odd, then  $T(\xi_d) = 0$  if and only if  $f_A(\xi_d^{k_i}) = 0$  for some  $i \in I(d)$ . Since  $\xi_d^{k_i}$  is a  $d/(d, d_i)$ -th primitive root of unity and  $f$  has rational coefficients, any primitive  $d/(d, d_i)$ -th root of unity is a root of  $f_A$ . In particular  $f_A(\xi_{d/(d, d_i)}) = 0$  and vice versa. This completes the proof of Lemma 7.  $\square$

We are now ready to prove Theorem 3.

*Proof of Theorem 3.* (ii) $\Rightarrow$ (i) is trivial.

We now show that (i) $\Rightarrow$ (iii). Assuming  $\mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  is nonempty,  $m$  must be even. Suppose on the contrary that (iii) fails, then  $|\mathbf{k}|$  is odd and either  $v_2(k_i) = 0$  or  $v_2(k_i) \geq v_2(m)$  for every  $i \in [1, t]$ , and it is clear that the number of  $i \in [1, t]$  with  $v_2(k_i) = 0$  is odd. For any positive number  $s \leq v_2(m) =: l$ , consider  $d = 2^s \mid m$ . Since  $I(d) = \{i \in [1, t] : v_2(k_i) = 0\}$ ,  $|I(d)|$  is odd. By Lemma 7, we have  $f_A(\xi_d) = 0$ . Since this is true for all  $s \leq l$ , we conclude that the product of all  $2^s$ -th cyclotomic polynomials for  $s \in [1, l]$  divides  $f_A(x)$ , i.e.

$$1 + x + \cdots + x^{2^l - 1} \mid f_A(x).$$

For  $i \in [0, 2^l - 1]$ , let  $n_i$  denote the number of elements  $a \in A$  such that  $a \equiv i \pmod{2^l}$ . Then

$$f_A(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{2^l - 1} n_i x^i \pmod{1 + x + \cdots + x^{2^l - 1}},$$

hence

$$1 + x + \cdots + x^{2^l - 1} \mid \sum_{i=0}^{2^l - 1} n_i x^i.$$

It follows that  $n_0 = n_1 = \cdots = n_{2^l - 1} =: n$ ,  $|A| = 2^l n$ . However  $|A| = m/2$ ,  $v_2(|A|) = v_2(m) - 1 = l - 1$ , and this contradicts  $|A| = 2^l n$ , therefore (iii) is true.

Finally we show that (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). So  $m$  is even, and we put

$$A = \{0, 1, \dots, \frac{m}{2} - 1\}.$$

Then

$$f_B(x) = x^{m/2} f_A(x),$$

and

$$T(x) = (1 - x^{|\mathbf{k}|m/2}) \prod_{i=1}^t f_A(x^{k_i}).$$

If  $|\mathbf{k}|$  is even, then  $x^m - 1$  divides  $1 - x^{|\mathbf{k}|m/2}$ , thus  $T(x) = 0$ . By Lemma 6, we have  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$ . Now suppose  $|\mathbf{k}|$  is odd, and there exists  $j \in [1, t]$  such that  $0 < v_2(k_j) < v_2(m)$ . Let  $d$  be any positive divisor of  $m$  such that  $|I(d)|$  is odd. If  $d \mid m/2$ , then for any  $i \in I(d)$ , letting  $d' = d/(d, d_i)$ , we have

$$f_A(\xi_{d'}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m/2-1} \xi_{d'}^i = \frac{\xi_{d'}^{m/2} - 1}{\xi_{d'} - 1} = 0.$$

If  $d \nmid m/2$ , then  $v_2(d) = v_2(m)$ , and we have  $j \in I(d)$ . Since  $2 \mid (d_j, d)$ , therefore  $d/(d, d_j) \mid m/2$ . Let  $d' = d/(d_j, d)$ , then again,

$$f_A(\xi_{d'}) = \sum_{i=0}^{m/2-1} \xi_{d'}^i = \frac{\xi_{d'}^{m/2} - 1}{\xi_{d'} - 1} = 0.$$

By Lemma 7, we conclude that  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$ . This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

We now explain Example 5. Assume therefore that  $m = 2^l$ ,  $l \geq 2$ ,  $k_1 = 2$ ,  $k_2 = \cdots = k_t = 1$ , and  $A \subset \mathbf{Z}_m$  with  $|A| = m/2$ .

**Lemma 8.** *For any integer  $s \in [1, l]$ ,  $f_A(\xi_{2^s}) = 0$  if and only if  $A$  is balanced modulo  $2^s$ .*

*Proof.* For  $k \in [0, 2^s - 1]$ , let  $n_k$  denote the number of elements  $a \in A$  such that  $a \equiv k \pmod{2^s}$ .  $f_A(\xi_{2^s}) = 0$  if and only if  $(1 + x^{2^s-1}) \mid f_A(x)$ . We have

$$f_A(x) = \sum_{a \in A} x^a \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{2^s-1-1} (n_k - n_{k+2^s-1}) x^k \pmod{1 + x^{2^s-1}}.$$

It follows that  $(1 + x^{2^s-1}) \mid f_A(x)$  if and only if  $n_k = n_{k+2^s-1}$  for any  $k \in [0, 2^s-1 - 1]$ , i.e.  $A$  is balanced modulo  $2^s$ .  $\square$

*Explanation of Example 5.* If  $t$  is even, consider  $d \mid m$  with  $|I(d)|$  odd, it is easy to see that  $d = 2$ . By Lemma 7,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if  $f_A(\xi_2) = 0$ . By Lemma 8, this is equivalent to  $A$  being balanced modulo 2.

If  $t$  is odd, then  $d \mid m$  with  $|I(d)|$  odd if and only if  $d = 2^s$  such that  $2 \leq s \leq l$ . By Lemma 7,  $A \in \mathcal{A}(\mathbf{k}, m)$  if and only if for any  $s \in [2, l]$ , we have either  $f_A(\xi_{2^s}) = 0$  or  $f_A(\xi_{2^{s-1}}) = 0$ . By Lemma 8, this is equivalent to  $A$  being balanced modulo  $2^{s-1}$  or  $2^s$ , or both.  $\square$

## Acknowledgements

I am grateful to the referee for his/her detailed comments.

## References

- [1] Y.-G. Chen, *On the values of representation functions*, Sci. China Math. **54** (2011), 1317–1331.
- [2] Y.-G. Chen and M. Tang, *Partitions of natural numbers with the same representation functions*, J. Number Theory **129** (2009), 2689–2695.
- [3] Y.-G. Chen and B. Wang, *On additive properties of two special sequences*, Acta Arith. **110** (2003), 299–303.
- [4] J. Cilleruelo and J. Rué, *On a question of Sárközy and Sós for bilinear forms*, Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. **41** (2009), 274–280.
- [5] G. Dombi, *Additive properties of certain sets*, Acta Arith. **103** (2002), 137–146.
- [6] V.F. Lev, *Reconstructing integer sets from their representation functions*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), R78.
- [7] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, I*, Pacific J. Math. **118** (1985), 347–357.
- [8] P. Erdős and A. Sárközy, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, II*, Acta Math. Hungar. **48** (1986), 201–211.
- [9] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and V. T. Sós, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, IV*, Number Theory (Proceedings, Ootacamund, India), Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 1122, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984, pp. 85–104.
- [10] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and V. T. Sós, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, V*, Monatsh. Math. **102** (1986), 183–197.
- [11] P. Erdős, A. Sárközy, and V. T. Sós, *Problems and results on additive properties of general sequences, III*, Studia Sci. Math. Hungar. **22** (1987), 53–63.
- [12] P. Erdős and P. Turán, *On a problem of sidon in additive number theory and some related problems*, J. London Math. Soc. **16** (1941), 212–215.
- [13] C. Sándor, *Partitions of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Integers **4** (2004), A18.

- [14] M. Tang, *Partitions of the set of natural numbers and their representation functions*, Discrete Math. **308** (2008), 2614–2616.
- [15] Q.-H. Yang and Y.-G. Chen, *Partitions of natural numbers with the same weighted representation functions*, J. Number Theory **132** (2012), 3047–3055.
- [16] Q.-H. Yang and Y.-G. Chen, *Weighted representation functions on  $\mathbb{Z}_m$* , Taiwan. J. Math. **17** (2013), no. 4, 1311–1319, Erratum: to appear in Taiwan. J. Math.