Proof of the List Edge Coloring Conjecture for Complete Graphs of Prime Degree

Uwe Schauz

Department of Mathematical Science
Xían Jiaotong-Liverpool University
Suzhou 215123, China
uwe.schauz@xjtlu.edu.cn

Dedicated to the memory of David Cariolaro

Abstract

We prove that the list-chromatic index and paintability index of K_{p+1} is p, for all odd primes p. This implies that the List Edge Coloring Conjecture holds for complete graphs with less than 10 vertices. It also shows that there exist arbitrarily big complete graphs for which the conjecture holds, even among the complete graphs of class 1. Our proof combines the Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz with the Paintability Nullstellensatz and a group action on symmetric Latin squares. It displays various ways of using different Nullstellensätze. We also obtain a partial proof of a version of Alon and Tarsi's Conjecture about even and odd Latin squares.

1   Introduction

Given a graph $G = (V, E)$ and a nonempty *list* (set) L_e for every edge $e \in E$, we set $L := \prod_{e \in E} L_e$ and say that G is *L-list-edge colorable* or *edge L-choosable* if it is possible to assign to each edge $e \in E$ a *color* (an element) from the list L_e in such a way that any two adjacent edges of G receive different colors. We call the Cartesian product L a *k-list product* if $|L_e| = k$ for all $e \in E$. If G is edge L-choosable for every k-list product L, we say G is *k-list-edge colorable* or *edge k-choosable*. The *list-chromatic index* of G, denoted by $\chi'_\ell(G)$, is the smallest positive integer k such that G is k-list-edge colorable.

Obviously, $\chi'_\ell(G) \geqslant \chi'(G)$, where $\chi'(G)$ is the (ordinary) chromatic index of G, refereing to the special situation of equal lists, $L_e = \{1, 2, \ldots, k\}$ for all $e \in E$. The opposite, i.e. that $\chi'_\ell(G) \leqslant \chi'(G)$, was conjectured independently by several researchers [JeTo, Section 12.20]:

Conjecture 1.1 (List Coloring Conjecture). $\chi'_\ell(G) = \chi'(G)$ *for every multigraph G.*

The List Coloring Conjecture is a long standing open conjecture. The biggest partial success was achieved by Galvin, who could prove it for all bipartite graphs in [Ga]. It also holds asymptotically in some sense [Ka]. However, even for relatively small single graphs it can be very difficult to find a proof. Problems with small complete graphs like K_6 may surprise even more, since complete graphs, as complement of empty graphs, do not carry any structure. These difficulties might indicate that the core of the problem does not lie in the graph theoretic structure. Certainly, a complicated graph structure will not make things easier but there could be a more primal problem contained in the List Coloring Conjecture. This combinatorial problem may occur in its purest form in the study of complete graphs as graphs without structure. Therefore, it seems reasonable to investigate the List Coloring Conjecture for complete graphs first. Moreover, the study of this special case is of interest in its own right, too. For example, it has applications in time scheduling of complete tournaments, as described in [Sch4]. The purpose of this paper is to present some progress in this direction.

It is well known (see e.g. [FiWi]) that the chromatic index of the complete graph K_n on $n > 1$ vertices is given by

$$\chi'(K_n) = \begin{cases} n - 1 & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ n & \text{if } n \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \tag{1}$$

Hence, restricted to complete graphs, Conjecture 1.1 states that the list-chromatic index of K_n should equal the quantity indicated above. For complete graphs of class 2 (odd n) this was proven by Häggkvist and Janssen, who presented the following upper bound for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ in [HäJa] (the generalization to paintability can be found in [Sch4]):

Theorem 1.2. $\chi'_\ell(K_n) \leqslant n$ *for every positive integer n.*

This result leaves the question open for complete graphs of even order only, the complete graphs of class 1. For even n, Häggkvist and Janssen's inequality would need to be improved by one. To clarify the task, we state this case separately, as a special case of the List Coloring Conjecture:

Conjecture 1.3. $\chi'_\ell(K_{2m}) = 2m - 1$ *for every positive integer m.*

Note that the Häggkvist-Janssen Theorem can easily be deduced from Conjecture 1.3, because $\chi'_\ell(H) \leqslant \chi'_\ell(G)$ if $H \subseteq G$, and $K_{2m-1} \subseteq K_{2m}$. However, previously known are only the cases $m = 1, 2, 3$ of Conjecture 1.3. The case $m = 1$ is trivial. The case $m = 2$ follows from the fact that every 1-factorable planar graph satisfies Conjecture 1.1, a fact established by Ellingham and Goddyn in [ElGo]. A short elementary proof of the case $m = 2$ was also given by David Cariolaro and Ko-Wei Lih in [CaLi]. The case $m = 3$ was proven by David Cariolaro et al. in [CCSS]. The author of the present paper has tried to prove Conjecture 1.3 before he became colleague and friend of David Cariolaro, but had already given up after many attempts. It was then David Cariolaro who encouraged us to

try it again, and we wrote the paper [CCSS] together. Sadly, after his tragic early death, we cannot show him the newest progress anymore. We dedicate the current paper to his memory.

Our main objective in this paper is to prove Conjecture 1.3 for K_{p+1} $(2m = p + 1)$, $(2m = p + 1)$, for all odd primes p, i.e. to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.4. $\chi'_\ell(K_{p+1}) = p$ *for every odd prime p.*

Together with the observations and results mentioned above, this implies that the List Coloring Conjecture (Conjecture 1.1) holds for complete graphs with less than 10 vertices. It also shows that there exist arbitrarily big complete graphs for which the conjecture holds, even among the complete graphs of class 1. Moreover, we can easily generalize Theorem 1.4 to *paintability*, a concept that we introduced in [Sch3] and [Sch1], and that later also was called *on-line list coloring*. In the concept of paintability, the lists can be modified during an interactive coloration process. We explain this briefly for vertex colorings:

The idea is that, if only positive numbers are allowed as colors, we may try to use color 1 at first, of course, only for vertices v whose lists L_v contain color 1. Afterwards, we allow changes of the remaining lists $L_v \setminus \{1\}$ that do not change their cardinalities, before we extend the partial coloring with color 2. This extension process is then repeated with color 3, color 4 and so forth, where in between, the remaining tails of the color lists may be altered in arbitrary, possible unfortunate ways. Surprisingly, the great majority of all list coloring theorems in graph theory could already be generalized to paintability, see e.g. [Ca, HKS, HWZ, RiSch, Sch3, Sch4, Sch5, Zhu]. Our generalization is interesting for theoretical reasons, but might find practical applications in time scheduling as well, as discussed in [Sch4] in connection with complete tournament graphs. An elegant recursive way to define k-paintability might even improve clarity in some proofs of list coloring theorems. Again, if one colors edges and not vertices, the smallest number k for which k-paintability is given is called *index* – the *paintability index* – denoted $\chi'_P(G)$. We will prove the following strengthening of Theorem 1.4:

χ'_P

Theorem 1.5. $\chi'_P(K_{p+1}) = p$ *for every odd prime p.*

The general polynomial approach to coloring problems is explained in the next section, Section 2. There, we also provide some useful corollaries of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz and explain how to use them. This will lead us to the consideration of a certain "leading coefficient", in a certain polynomial associated to K_{p+1}. This coefficient is then reinterpreted in Section 3 as the number of so called idempotent symmetric Latin squares (with some of them counted negative). Theorem 3.1 will accumulate these reinterpretations and will provide a sufficient condition to Conjecture 1.3. Eventually, we will show in Section 4 that this sufficient condition is fulfilled if p is an odd prime. To achieve this, we have to count the idempotent symmetric Latin squares. Actually, we will count only modulo p, which will allow us to ignore the nontrivial orbits under a certain group action. Hence, we will only have to count the symmetric Latin squares that are fixed under that group action. We found this crucial trick in [Dr] (see also [StWa]), where Drisko used it

to give a partial proof of Alon and Tarsi's Conjecture about even and odd Latin squares. The main result of Section 4, Theorem 4.2, may be seen as a partial proof of a version of this conjecture, which is stated explicitly at the end of the paper. Theorem 4.2 will yield, in combination with Theorem 3.1, our main results, Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5.

2   The Polynomial Approach

In this section, we introduce the edge distance polynomial of graphs and some Combinatorial Nullstellensätze (plural of Nullstellensatz, a German expression meaning Root Theorem). We explain how they can be used to detect graph colorings.

To prove that $\chi'_\ell(K_{p+1}) = p$ for odd primes p, we will examine the edge distance polynomial $P_{L(K_{p+1})}$ of the line graph $L(K_{p+1})$ of K_{p+1}. Here the edge distance polynomial P_G of a graph G on vertices $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n$ is a polynomial in the variables $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n$, with one variable x_i for each vertex v_i. It is defined as the product over all differences $x_i - x_j$ with $v_i v_j \in E(G)$ and $i < j$. It is also called the graph polynomial and was introduced in [Pe]. We may view it as a polynomial over any integral domain R. If P_G is non-zero at a point $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ then the assignment $v_i \mapsto x_i$ is a proper vertex coloring of G. If $P_{L(G)}$ is non-zero at a point $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ then the assignment $e_i \mapsto x_i$ is a proper edge coloring of G. If the colors x_i are supposed to lie in certain lists L_{e_i}, or L_i for short, then the point $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_m)$ just has to be taken from the list product $L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_m$. Here, we simple need to assume that the sets L_i lie in R, or in an extension ring of R. This is no restriction, as one can easily embed the color lists (and their full union $\bigcup_i L_i$) into any big enough ring R. We might just take $R = \mathbb{Z}$.

To prove that $K_{p+1} = (V, E)$ is edge p-choosable if p is prime, we will show that the coefficient of the monomial $\prod_{e \in E} X_e^{p-1}$ in $P_{L(K_{p+1})}$ is non-zero. The famous *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz* [Al2] will then guarantee a non-zero in any p-list product L. This Nullstellensatz can elegantly be formulated as follows:

Theorem 2.1 (Combinatorial Nullstellensatz)**.** *If $x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$ occurs as a monomial of maximal degree in a polynomial $P = P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$ over a field $\mathbb{F}$, then P has a non-zero in any domain $L := L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_n \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n$ with $|L_j| > d_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.*

In this theorem, the monomial $x^d := x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$ (with given exponents $d_j \in \mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2 \ldots\}$) has maximal degree in $P = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} P_\delta x^\delta \in R[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ if

$$\deg(P) \leqslant d_1 + d_2 + \cdots + d_n. \tag{2}$$

This condition is usually easy to verify. However, x^d must occur in P in the first place, i.e. the coefficient P_d of x^d must be nonzero,

$$P_d \neq 0. \tag{3}$$

The calculation of P_d is the difficult part in all known applications (except in proofs of non-uniqueness, where one better uses [Sch2, Corollary 3.4 or 3.5]). Astonishingly,

this main hypothesis of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz can sometimes be calculated by another application of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. More precisely, the Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz [Sch2, Sch1] is needed here:

Theorem 2.2 (Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz). *Let $L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_n$ be finite nonempty subsets of a field $\mathbb{F}$ and $L := L_1 \times L_2 \times \cdots \times L_n$. Define $d := (d_1, d_2, \ldots, d_n)$ via $d_j := |L_j| - 1 \in \mathbb{N}$. For every fixed polynomial $P = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} P_\delta x^\delta \in \mathbb{F}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ of total degree $\deg(P) \leqslant \sum_j d_j$,*

$$P_d = \sum_{x \in L} N(x)^{-1} P(x),$$

where

$$N(x) := \prod_{j=1}^{n} \prod_{\xi \in L_j \setminus \{x_j\}} (x_j - \xi).$$

One easily spots the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz as corollary of Theorem 2.2. If $P_d \neq 0$, the sum in the Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz is nonzero, so that at least one summand must be nonzero and P cannot vanish at all points of L. However, as said, we will us this formula to calculate the "leading coefficient" P_d first. This calculation simplifies if we chose the domain L cleverly. A sufficient simplification can already be obtained by choosing equal lists, $L_1 = L_2 = \cdots = L_n$. This will allow us to express P_d as the number of usual edge colorings of K_{p+1}, but with some colorings counted negative.

The next task is to count the edge colorings of K_{p+1}. Unfortunately, the set of all edge colorings of K_{p+1} with p colors is not known. Therefore, we continue by reinterpreting colorings as certain Latin squares and, eventually, as certain permutations. To prove that the number of these permutation (with odd ones counted negative) does not vanish, we want to use Theorem 2.2 again, but need to simplify it further, at first. In [Sch2], we calculated the function $N(x)$ in that formula for important domains L. If all L_j are finite fields, then $N(x)$ is constant and equal to $(-1)^n$, by [Sch2, Lemma 1.4(iv)]. In particular, this yields the following very well known special case of Theorem 2.2:

Theorem 2.3. *Let $\mathbb{F}_p$ be the prime field with p elements, $P = \sum_{\delta \in \mathbb{N}^n} P_\delta X^\delta$ a polynomial over a field extension of $\mathbb{F}_p$ and $d := (p-1, p-1, \ldots, p-1)$. If $\deg(P) \leqslant n(p-1)$, then*

$$P_d = (-1)^n \sum_{x \in \mathbb{F}_p^n} P(x).$$

With an additional double application of this simplified Quantitative Nullstellensatz, and some other tricks, we will be able to prove that $P_d \neq 0$. After that, a single application of the usual Combinatorial Nullstellensatz will yield our main result, Theorem 1.4. The disadvantage in using Theorem 2.3 is that it restricts us to primes p and the graphs K_{p+1}. Therefore, we prefer to use Theorem 2.2 as long as possible and switch to the simpler more special version Theorem 2.3 only in the last section, where we do not see another way. Actually, we hope that the general Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz can be used to generalize our results. In the literature, e.g. in [Wi] and [Su], one can find

generalizations from prime characteristic to prime power characteristic in results around Chevaley and Warning's Theorem and Olson's Theorem. The generalized polynomials in [Sch6] can also be used to achieve such generalizations. However, the situation in the present paper seems to be complicated. We could not yet generalize our results, not even to prime powers p^α and the graphs $K_{p^\alpha+1}$.

In [Sch5], we also provided a paintability version of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz. If we use this version in the very last step of our calculation, instead of the usual Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, we obtain Theorem 1.5 instead of the weaker Theorem 1.4. The alternative usage of the Paintability Nullstellensatz does not provide any additional difficulty. The formulation of that Theorem only requires the generalization of the painting concept from graphs to polynomials, as described in [Sch5]. It can then be stated as follows, where, for simplicity, k is just a constant here:

Theorem 2.4 (Paintability Nullstellensatz). *If $x_1^{d_1} x_2^{d_2} \cdots x_n^{d_n}$ occurs as a monomial of maximal degree in a polynomial $P(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_n)$, then P is k-paintable for every $k > \max_j d_j$.*

3 Interpreting the Coefficient

At the end of this section, we provide with Theorem 3.1 a result that in combination with Theorem 4.2 proves our main theorems, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.4. In the whole section, p is only required to be an odd integer greater then 1. Let

$$\bar{I}_p := \{\Diamond, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \tag{4}$$

be the set of vertices of K_{p+1}, where $\Diamond$ is just a symbol. The edges of K_{p+1} can be written as

$$ij = ji := \{i, j\}, \text{ with } i, j \in \bar{I}_p \text{ and } i \neq j. \tag{5}$$

These edges are also the vertices of the line graph

$$L(K_{p+1}) =: (V, E). \tag{6}$$

Hence, the variables of the edge distance polynomial

$$P := P_{L(K_{p+1})} \in \mathbb{Z}[x_e \mid e \in V] \tag{7}$$

can be written as

$$x_{ij} = x_{ji}, \text{ with } ij = ji \in V \text{ (i.e. with } i, j \in \bar{I}_p, i \neq j). \tag{8}$$

Since

$$\deg(P) = |V|(p-1), \tag{9}$$

we only have to show that the coefficient P_d of the monomial

$$x^d = \prod_{e \in V} x_e^{p-1} \qquad \left(d := (p-1, p-1, \ldots, p-1) \right) \tag{10}$$

<div style="text-align: right">
p

$\bar{I}_p$

$\Diamond$

ij

V

E

P

x_{ij}
</div>

inside P is non-zero, as explained in Section 2.

To calculate P_d, we use Theorem 2.2 with $|V| = \binom{p+1}{2}$ identical lists L_v of cardinality p. We write L_0 for such a list, so that the appropriate corresponding domain of P is

$$L := L_0^V. \tag{11}$$

By the definition of P, a point $x = (x_e)_{e \in V} \in L$ is a non-zero of P if and only if $e \longmapsto x_e$ a proper edge coloring of K_{p+1}. Therefore, we may restrict the summation range in the Quantitative Combinatorial Nullstellensatz to the set $\mathcal{C}_p \subseteq L$ of these colorings. As in a proper edge coloring $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$ every color occurs $(p+1)/2$ many times, we can calculate $N(x)$ in Theorem 2.2 without further information about $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$. Up to the sign, the function $N(x)$ in Theorem 2.2, as function on $\mathcal{C}_p$, is equal to the $(p+1)^{\text{th}}$ power of

$$N_0 := \prod_{\substack{\zeta,\xi \in L_0 \\ \zeta > \xi}} (\zeta - \xi) \neq 0, \tag{12}$$

where $>$ is any strict linear order on L_0. With that

$$P_d = \sum_{x \in L} N(x)^{-1} P(x) = \pm N_0^{-(p+1)} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}_p} P(x). \tag{13}$$

Now, for every fixed $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$,

$$P(x) = \pm N_0^{p+1}. \tag{14}$$

This is easy to see. Indeed, the line graph of K_{p+1} is the edge disjoint union of $p+1$ many copies of K_p, one copy for every vertex $i \in \bar{I}_p$ of K_{p+1}. Hence, P factors accordingly,

$$P = \pm \prod_{i \in \bar{I}_p} P_i \tag{15}$$

where P_i is the edge distance polynomial of the complete graph on the vertex set

$$\{i\Diamond, i0, i1, \ldots, \cancel{ii}, \ldots, i(p-1)\} \subseteq V,$$

$$P_i := P_{K_p}(x_{i\Diamond}, x_{i0}, x_{i1}, \ldots, \cancel{x_{ii}}, \ldots, x_{i(p-1)}). \tag{16}$$

Here, for every fixed $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$,

$$P_i(x_{i\Diamond}, x_{i0}, x_{i1}, \ldots, \cancel{x_{ii}}, \ldots, x_{i(p-1)}) = \pm N_0, \tag{17}$$

which explains Equation (14).

Our findings also gives rise to the definitions

$$\text{sgn}_i(x) := N_0^{-1} P_i(x_{i\Diamond}, x_{i0}, x_{i1}, \ldots, \cancel{x_{ii}}, \ldots, x_{i(p-1)}) \in \{+1, -1\}, \tag{18}$$

for $i = \Diamond, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$, and

$$\mathrm{sgn}(x) := \prod_{i \in \bar{I}_p} \mathrm{sgn}_i(x). \tag{19}$$

Here the functions $\mathrm{sgn}_i \colon \mathcal{C}_p \longrightarrow \{+1, -1\}$ also can be expressed independently from P, up to a neglectable constant factor of ± 1. This is not difficult, but, to simplify notation, we assume additionally that, from here on,

$$L_0 := I_p := \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} \subseteq \mathbb{Z}. \tag{20}$$

Then

$$\mathrm{sgn}_i(x) = \pm \mathrm{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 2 & \ldots & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i0} & x_{i1} & \ldots & x_{i(i-1)} & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix}, \tag{21}$$

where the sign $\pm$ before the function sgn does not depend on x. The sign $\pm$ is constant, it is either $+$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$ or $-$ for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$. Indeed, both sides of this equation have absolute value 1, depend only on the p (different) values of $x_{i\Diamond}, x_{i0}, x_{i1}, \ldots, \cancel{x_{ii}}, \ldots, x_{i(p-1)}$ and react in the same way if we permute these values. Hence, if we choose the prefixed sign in such a way that the equation holds in one point x, then it holds for all $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$. Now, with our new definitions, we can write

$$P_d = \pm \sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(x). \tag{22}$$

Our calculations show that, in order to guarantee edge p-choosability (and edge p-paintability) of K_{p+1}, we only have to verify that

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{C}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(x) \neq 0. \tag{23}$$

In fact, this insight can even be formulated for arbitrary p-regular graphs in the place of K_{p+1}. This is a straight forward generalization. It was first observed using another approach in [Al]. We include this calculation here since it explains the central position of the function $\mathrm{sgn}(x)$ in this paper. (Remarkably, the $\mathrm{sgn}(x)$ takes the same value for all edge colorings x if the regular graph is additionally planar, [ElGo], [Sch4, Theorem 3.12].)

Next, we write the proper edge colorings $x \in \mathcal{C}_p$ of K_{p+1} as *symmetric Latin squares* $M = M(x)$, i.e. as symmetric $(p+1) \times (p+1)$-matrices in which every row and every column contains every symbol of $\bar{I}_p$ exactly once. We define $M(x) = (M_{i,j}) \in \bar{I}_p^{\bar{I}_p \times \bar{I}_p}$ via

$$M_{i,j} := \begin{cases} x_{ij} & \text{if } i \neq j, \\ \Diamond & \text{if } i = j, \end{cases} \tag{24}$$

where we view $\Diamond$ as first index, corresponding to the first row and the first column of M, 0 as second index, etc. Obviously, M is a *unipotent* symmetric Latin square, i.e. it has

the symbol $\Diamond$ in all diagonal cells. If we write USLS_p for the set of these Latin squares in USLS$_p$ $\bar{I}_p^{\bar{I}_p \times \bar{I}_p}$, then the map

$$\mathcal{C}_p \longrightarrow \mathrm{USLS}_p, \ x \longmapsto M(x) \tag{25}$$

is bijective. The *row sign* $\mathrm{sgn}(M)$ of $M = (M_{i,j}) \in \mathrm{USLS}_p$ is the product of the signs of its rows $M_{i,*}$ as permutations of the symbols $\Diamond, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$ in their usual order. Hence, $M_{i,*}$ $\mathrm{sgn}(M)$ is the product over the $p+1$ many signs

$$\mathrm{sgn}(M_{i,*}) := \ \mathrm{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} \Diamond & 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i0} & x_{i1} & \ldots & x_{i(i-1)} & \Diamond & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{26}$$

with $i = \Diamond, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Here we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{sgn}(M_{i,*}) &= \ \pm\, \mathrm{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} \Diamond & 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ \Diamond & x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i0} & \ldots & x_{i(i-2)} & x_{i(i-1)} & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= \ \pm\, \mathrm{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i0} & \ldots & x_{i(i-2)} & x_{i(i-1)} & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&\overset{(21)}{=} \ \pm\, \mathrm{sgn}_i(x),
\end{aligned}
\tag{27}
$$

where, again, $\pm$ is always $+$ or always $-$, independently of x. Hence, our bijection preserves the sign, up to a neglectable constant factor of ± 1,

$$\mathrm{sgn}(M(x)) \ = \ \pm\, \mathrm{sgn}(x), \tag{28}$$

and

$$P_d \ = \ \pm \sum_{M \in \mathrm{USLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M). \tag{29}$$

We even go a bit further. We call a Latin square $M = (M_{i,j})$ over $\bar{I}_p$ *reduced* if its first row is

$$M_{\Diamond,*} \ = \ [\Diamond, 0, 1, \ldots, p-1]. \tag{30}$$

We write RUSLS_p for the set of all reduced unipotent symmetric Latin squares over $\bar{I}_p$. RUSLS$_p$ We always can bring an $M \in \mathrm{USLS}_p$ into reduced form by applying an appropriate permutation of the p elements in I_p to all entries of M. The set USLS_p is partitioned into the orbits under this group action, and RUSLS_p is a transversal of this partition. Moreover, the described group action preserves the sign. Indeed, if we apply any permutation to all entries of an $M \in \mathrm{USLS}_p$, the signs of the rows will either all change or all remain unchanged, so that the product over all even many row signs will not change. This yields

$$\sum_{M \in \mathrm{USLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M) \ = \ p! \sum_{M \in \mathrm{RUSLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M). \tag{31}$$

To study the reduced unipotent symmetric Latin Squares over $\bar{I}_p$, we will use a bijection φ from the set RUSLS_p of these Latin squares into the set ISLS_p of *idempotent* symmetric ISLS$_p$

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS **21(3)** (2014), #P3.43

9

Latin squares over $I_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\}$, which are the symmetric Latin squares $M = (M_{i,j}) \in I_p^{I_p \times I_p}$ with

$$M_{i,i} = i \quad \text{for all } i \in I_p. \tag{32}$$

Our bijection φ is given by

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
\Diamond & 0 & 1 & 2 & \cdots & p-1 \\
0 & \Diamond & * & * & \cdots & * \\
1 & * & \Diamond & * & \cdots & * \\
2 & * & * & \Diamond & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
p-1 & * & * & * & \cdots & \Diamond
\end{bmatrix}
\overset{\varphi}{\longmapsto}
\begin{bmatrix}
0 & * & * & \cdots & * \\
* & 1 & * & \cdots & * \\
* & * & 2 & \cdots & * \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\
* & * & * & \cdots & p-1
\end{bmatrix},
\tag{33}
$$

where the first row and the first column are deleted, but their elements take the place of the old diagonal elements $\Diamond$. The off-diagonal entries behind the stars $*$ remain unchanged. If we define the sign of a Latin square over I_p in the same way as for Latin squares over $\bar{I}_p$, we see that, for $i \in I_p$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{sgn}(M_{i,*}) &= \mathrm{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} \Diamond & 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i0} & x_{i1} & \ldots & x_{i(i-1)} & \Diamond & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -\mathrm{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} \Diamond & 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ \Diamond & x_{i0} & x_{i1} & \ldots & x_{i(i-1)} & x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -\mathrm{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & i-1 & i & i+1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_{i0} & x_{i1} & \ldots & x_{i(i-1)} & x_{i\Diamond} & x_{i(i+1)} & \ldots & x_{i(p-1)} \end{pmatrix} \\
&= -\mathrm{sgn}\big(\varphi(M)_{i,*}\big).
\end{aligned}
\tag{34}
$$

Therefore, and because the sign of the first row of a reduced matrix is 1,

$$\mathrm{sgn}(M) = -\mathrm{sgn}(\varphi(M)). \tag{35}$$

Summarizing, all this yields

$$P_d = \pm p! \sum_{M \in \mathrm{ISLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M). \tag{36}$$

Therefore, in order to prove Conjecture 1.3, it remains to show that

$$\sum_{M \in \mathrm{ISLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M) \neq 0. \tag{37}$$

We will do this for odd primes p in the next section, in Theorem 4.2, by showing that this sum is not dividable by p. This will entail Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.5. We conclude this section by summarizing its main content:

Theorem 3.1. *For every odd $p > 1$,*

$$\sum_{M \in \mathrm{ISLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M) \neq 0 \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \chi'_P(K_{p+1}) = \chi'_\ell(K_{p+1}) = p.$$

To be very precise, this theorem and its underlying definitions do not really depend on any structure on the set $L_0 = I_p$ of symbols, one can replace it with any other set of p symbols.

4   A group action on Latin squares

At the end of this section, we provide with Theorem 4.2 a result about symmetric Latin squares that, in combination with Theorem 3.1, proves our main theorems, Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.4. To accomplish this task, we investigate some group action and apply Theorem 2.3 twice. From here on p always denotes a fixed odd prime. We examine the $p \times p$-matrices $M \in \mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$, where

$$\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \ldots, p-1\} := \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}. \tag{38}$$

Here, in contrast to the situation in the last section, it will become important that the set $\mathbb{Z}_p$ of symbols caries the algebraic structure of a field, as we will see later. The symmetric group $S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$ on the set $\mathbb{Z}_p$ acts on the set $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p}$ of all matrices M by simultaneous permutation of rows, columns and symbols. More precisely, for $g \in S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$, the image $g(M) = M^g = (M_{i,j}^g)$ of $M = (M_{i,j})$ under g is the matrix with the entries

$$M_{i,j}^g := g\big(M_{g^{-1}(i), g^{-1}(j)}\big). \tag{39}$$

If g is the cyclic permutation $(0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$ then

$$g(M) = \begin{bmatrix} M_{p-1,p-1} + 1 & M_{p-1,0} + 1 & M_{p-1,1} + 1 & \cdots & M_{p-1,p-2} + 1 \\ M_{0,p-1} + 1 & M_{0,0} + 1 & M_{0,1} + 1 & \cdots & M_{0,p-2} + 1 \\ M_{1,p-1} + 1 & M_{1,0} + 1 & M_{1,1} + 1 & \cdots & M_{1,p-2} + 1 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ M_{p-2,p-1} + 1 & M_{p-2,0} + 1 & M_{p-2,1} + 1 & \cdots & M_{p-2,p-2} + 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{40}$$

Apparently, a matrix M is fixed under $(0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$, and under the subgroup

$$G := \langle (0, 1, \ldots, p-1) \rangle \leqslant S_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \tag{41}$$

generated by $(0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$, if and only if it is diagonally cyclic (see [Wa]), i.e. of the form

$$M(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) := \begin{bmatrix} x_0 & x_1 & x_2 & \cdots & x_{p-1} \\ x_{p-1} + 1 & x_0 + 1 & x_1 + 1 & \cdots & x_{p-2} + 1 \\ x_{p-2} + 2 & x_{p-1} + 2 & x_0 + 2 & \cdots & x_{p-3} + 2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & & \vdots \\ x_1 + p - 1 & x_2 + p - 1 & x_3 + p - 1 & \cdots & x_0 + p - 1 \end{bmatrix}. \tag{42}$$

This even holds for non-prime p.

Obviously, $M(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ is symmetric if and only if

$$x_{p-i} = x_i - i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, p - 1. \tag{43}$$

In this system of equations, the first equation and the last equation are the same, and also the second and the second to the last, etc. Hence, with the abbreviation

$\check{p}$

$$\check{p} := (p - 1)/2, \tag{44}$$

we can rewrite the requirement for symmetry as

$$x_{p-i} = x_i - i \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, \check{p}. \tag{45}$$

Every row of $M(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ is a permutation of the sequence $0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$ if and only if the sequence $x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}$ is a permutation of the sequence $0, 1, \ldots, p-1$. Hence, if $M(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ is additionally symmetric, then its columns are also permutations of the sequence $0, 1, \ldots, p - 1$, i.e. $M(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ is a Latin square. We write J_p for J_p the set of all tuples $(x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with the corresponding two necessary and sufficient properties

(a) $x_i \neq x_j$ for $i \neq j$,

(b) $x_{p-i} = x_i - i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, \check{p}$.

Obviously, the set ISLS_p of idempotent symmetric Latin squares M over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ ($M_{i,i} = i$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}_p$) is invariant under the action of G and can be decomposed into the different orbits under the group action of G. As $|G| = p$, these orbits either have length p or length 1. The orbits of length 1 are basically given by the set of fixpoints $\text{Fix}_G(\text{ISLS}_p)$ in ISLS_p. Fix_G We have seen that

$$\text{Fix}_G(\text{ISLS}_p) = \left\{ M(0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \mid (0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p \right\}, \tag{46}$$

where x_0 is replaced by 0 to guarantee idempotence.

Next, we examine the row sign $\text{sgn}(M)$ of Latin squares M, i.e. the product of the $\text{sgn}(M)$ signs of its rows as permutations of the symbols in their usual order. This sign is invariant under the action of G (even under the action of $S_{\mathbb{Z}_p}$), which is easy to check. Therefore, all elements in any given orbit of G have the same sign. Since the non-trivial orbits have length p, this yields

$$\sum_{M \in \text{ISLS}_p} \text{sgn}(M) \equiv \sum_{M \in \text{Fix}_G(\text{ISLS}_p)} \text{sgn}(M) \pmod{p}. \tag{47}$$

If the Latin square M is of the form $M(0, x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_{p-1})$, then all its rows have the same sign. That is because the $(i + 1)^{\text{th}}$ row can be obtained from the ith row by, first, applying the cyclic permutation $(0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$ to each element in the ith row and, second,

permuting the positions of these p modified entries cyclically. One does not even need to know that the cyclic permutation $(0, 1, \ldots, p-1)$ is even to see that this transformation does not change the sign. All rows have the same sign as the first row (see also [Wa, Theorem 12]). Since p is odd, it follows that

$$\operatorname{sgn}(M(0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1})) \;=\; \operatorname{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ 0 & x_1 & \ldots & x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} \;=\; \operatorname{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_1 & \ldots & x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (48)$$

Hence,

$$\sum_{M \in \operatorname{Fix}_G(\operatorname{ISLS}_p)} \operatorname{sgn}(M) \;=\; \sum_{(0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p} \operatorname{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (49)$$

Now, we also can prove the following lemma:

Lemma 4.1.

$$p \quad \text{does not divide} \quad \sum_{(0, x_1, \ldots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p} \operatorname{sgn} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \ldots & p-1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \ldots & x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Proof. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, we set $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\quad a, s, t$

$$A_a(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) \;:=\; \prod_{1 \leqslant i < j \leqslant t} (y_j - x_i - a), \quad A := A_0, \quad (50) \qquad A$$

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad B$$

$$B(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) \;:=\; A(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) A(y_1, \ldots, y_t \,;\, x_1, \ldots, x_t), \quad (51)$$

$$\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad C$$

$$C_a(x_1, \ldots, x_s) \;:=\; A_a(x_1, \ldots, x_s \,;\, x_1, \ldots, x_s), \quad C := C_0 \quad (52)$$

and $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad D$

$$D_a(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) \;:=\; C_a(x_1, \ldots, x_t) B(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) C_{-a}(y_1, \ldots, y_t). \quad (53)$$

It is easy to see that, with $D := D_0$,

$$D(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, y_1, \ldots, y_t) \prod_{i=1}^{t} (y_i - x_i) \;=\; \pm C(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,,\, y_1, \ldots, y_t), \quad (54)$$

where the polynomial on the right side is the C-polynomial in $s = 2t$ variables (while the C-polynomials inside D on the left side have $s = t$ many variables). We further have that

$$B(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, x_1, \ldots, x_t) \;=\; C^2(x_1, \ldots, x_t) \quad (55)$$

and

$$D_a(x_1, \ldots, x_t \,;\, x_1, \ldots, x_t) \;=\; C^2(x_1, \ldots, x_t) C_a(x_1, \ldots, x_t) C_{-a}(x_1, \ldots, x_t). \quad (56)$$

With these polynomials, we can go back to the sign of the permutation in the lemma. If m denotes its number of inversions, so that $(-1)^m$ is its sign, then

$$\mathrm{sgn}\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & \dots & p-1 \\ x_1 & x_2 & \dots & x_{p-1} \end{pmatrix} = (-1)^m = \frac{C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1})}{C(1, 2, \dots, p-1)}. \tag{57}$$

As $C(1, 2, \dots, p-1) \neq 0$ in $\mathbb{Z}_p$, this leaves us with the calculation of the sum

$$\sum_{(0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p} C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}). \tag{58}$$

However, if $(0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p$ then, by Equation (54) and Property (a) and (b) of J_p,

$$
\begin{aligned}
C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}) &= \pm \tfrac{1}{(p-1)!} C(x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}}, x_{p-1}, x_{p-2}, \dots, x_{\check{p}+1}) \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} x_i \\
&= \pm \tfrac{1}{(p-1)!} C(x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}}, x_1 - 1, x_2 - 2, \dots, x_{\check{p}} - \check{p}) \prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i - i) \\
&= \pm \tfrac{\check{p}!}{(p-1)!} D(x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}} ; x_1 - 1, x_2 - 2, \dots, x_{\check{p}} - \check{p}) \prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i - i) \\
&= \pm \tfrac{\check{p}!}{(p-1)!} \widehat{D}(x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}}),
\end{aligned}
\tag{59}
$$

where $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\widehat{D}$

$$\widehat{D}(x_1, \dots, x_{\check{p}}) := D(x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}} ; x_1 - 1, x_2 - 2, \dots, x_{\check{p}} - \check{p}) \prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i - i). \tag{60}$$

Now, $\widehat{D}(x_1, \dots, x_{\check{p}})$ is non-zero at a point $(x_1, \dots, x_{\check{p}})$ of the domain $\qquad\qquad L$

$$L := \mathbb{Z}_p^{\check{p}} \tag{61}$$

only if $(0, x_1, x_2 \dots, x_{\check{p}}, x_{\check{p}} - \check{p}, \dots, x_2 - 2, x_1 - 1) \in J_p$. This follows from the definition of D and from the insight that the additional factor $\prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i - i)$ guarantees that all x_i, all $x_{p-i} := x_i - i$ and all differences $x_{p-i} - x_i = i$ are non-zero for $i = 1, 2, \dots, \check{p}$. It follows that

$$\sum_{(0, x_1, \dots, x_{p-1}) \in J_p} C(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{p-1}) = \pm \tfrac{\check{p}!}{(p-1)!} \sum_{(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{\check{p}}) \in L} \widehat{D}(x_1, \dots, x_{\check{p}}) = \pm \tfrac{\check{p}!}{(p-1)!} \widehat{D}_d, \tag{62}$$

where $\widehat{D}_d$ denotes the coefficient of $x^d = x_1^{p-1} x_2^{p-1} \cdots x_{\check{p}}^{p-1}$ in $\widehat{D}(x_1, \dots, x_{\check{p}})$. Here, for the last equality in that line, we actually had to know that p is prime to be able to use Theorem 2.3. To calculate $\widehat{D}_d$, as required, we change the polynomial $\widehat{D}$ slightly, but without changing its homogenous component of maximal degree. We set $\qquad\qquad\qquad\qquad\tilde{D}$

$$\widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}) := D_1(x_1,x_2\ldots,x_{\check{p}}\,;\,x_1-0,x_2-0,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}-0)\prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i-1). \qquad (63)$$

With that, again by Theorem 2.3,

$$\widehat{D}_d \;=\; \widetilde{D}_d \;=\; \pm \sum_{(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})\in L} \widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}), \qquad (64)$$

and this sum can be calculated easily. Using Equation (56), we see that

$$\widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}) \;=\; C^2(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})C_1(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})C_{-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})\prod_{i=1}^{\check{p}} x_i(x_i-1) \qquad (65)$$

is non-zero in L only if all x_i are different from 0 and from each other by more than
1. This leaves only one possibility open for the set of the $\check{p}$ many x_i. Necessarily, if
$\widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}) \neq 0$,

$$\{x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}\} \;=\; \{2,4,6,\ldots,p-1\}. \qquad (66)$$

Thus, we have $\check{p}!$ many ways to choose the x_i. However, if we permute the x_i in $\widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})$
then the value of $\widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})$ does not change, because the factor $C^2(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})$ does
not change, and the factor

$$C_1(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})C_{-1}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}) \;=\; \prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant\check{p}} \big((x_j-x_i)^2-1\big) \qquad (67)$$

does not change either. Therefore,

$$\sum_{(x_1,x_2,\ldots,x_{\check{p}})\in L} \widetilde{D}(x_1,\ldots,x_{\check{p}}) \;=\; \check{p}!\,\widetilde{D}(2,4,\ldots,p-1) \neq 0 \in \mathbb{Z}_p. \qquad (68)$$

This concludes our calculation. We only have to check our main steps (57), (62), (64) and
(68) to confirm that the lemma holds. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.1 together with Equation (49) and Congruence (47) yields the following theorem:

Theorem 4.2. *For every odd prime p,*

$$p \quad \textit{does not divide} \sum_{M\in\mathrm{ISLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M).$$

This theorem about the row signs of idempotent symmetric Latin squares shows that
the sum $\sum_{M\in\mathrm{ISLS}_p}\mathrm{sgn}(M)$ is nonvanishing for odd primes p. To encourage further research,
we make the following conjecture:

Conjecture 4.3. *For every odd number $p\in\mathbb{N}$,*

$$\sum_{M\in\mathrm{ISLS}_p} \mathrm{sgn}(M) \;\neq\; 0.$$

Obviously, the restriction to odd numbers p in Conjecture 4.3 is necessary. The set ISLS_p is just empty for even p, because idempotence and symmetry together imply that every symbol occurs odd many times in a matrix M, so that there also must be odd many rows and columns if M shall be a Latin square.

Acknowledgement: Thanks to Detlef Schauz for some proof-reading.

References

[Al]   N. Alon: *Restricted Colorings of Graphs.* In: Surveys in combinatorics, 1993. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes Ser. 187, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge 1993, 1–33.

[Al2]   N. Alon: *Combinatorial Nullstellensatz.* Combin. Probab. Comput. 8, No. 1–2 (1999), 7–29.

[CCSS]   D. Cariolaro, G. Cariolaro, U. Schauz, X. Sun: *The List-Chromatic Index of K_6.* Discrete Mathematics 322 (2014), 15–18.

[CaLi]   D. Cariolaro and K.-W. Lih: *The Edge-Choosability of the Tetrahedron.* Math. Gazette, 92:525 (2008), 543–546.

[Ca]   J. Carraher, S. Loeb, T. Mahoney, G. Puleo, M.-T. Tsai, D. West: *Extending Graph Choosability Results to Paintability.* Manuscript 2011.

[Dr]   A. A. Drisko: *On the Number of Even and Odd Latin Squares of Order p+1.* Advances in Mathematics 128 (1997), 20–35.

[ElGo]   M. N. Ellingham, L. Goddyn: *List Edge Colourings of Some 1-Factorable Multigraphs.* Combinatorica 16 (1996), 343–352.

[FiWi]   S. Fiorini and R. J. Wilson: *Edge-Colourings of Graphs.* Research Notes in Mathematics, Pitman, 1977.

[Ga]   F. Galvin: *The List Chromatic Index of a Bipartite Multigraph.* J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 63 (1995), 153–158.

[HäJa]   R. Häggkvist and J. Janssen: *New Bounds on the List-Chromatic Index of the Complete Graph and Other Simple Graphs.* Combin. Probab. Comput. 6 (1997), 295–313.

[HKS]   J. Hladký, D. Král', U. Schauz: *Brooks' Theorem via the Alon-Tarsi Theorem.* Discrete Mathematics 310 (2010), 3426–3428.

[HWZ]   P.-Y. Huang, T.-L. Wong, X. Zhu: *Application of Polynomial Method to On-Line List Colouring of Graphs.* European Journal of Combinatorics 33(5) (2012), 872–883.

[JeTo]   T. R. Jensen, B. Toft: *Graph Coloring Problems.* Wiley, New York 1995.

[Ka]   J. Kahn: *Asymptotically Good List-Colorings.* J. Comb. Theory, Ser. A 73(1) (1996), 1–59.

[Pe]   J. Petersen: *Die Theorie der regularen Graphs.* Acta Math. 15 (1891), 193–220.

[RiSch] A. Riasat, U. Schauz: *Critically Paintable, Choosable or Colorable Graphs.* Discrete Mathematics 312 (2012), 3373–3383.

[Sch1]   U. Schauz: *Doctoral Thesis: Algebraically Solvable Problems.* `https://publikationen.uni-tuebingen.de/xmlui/handle/10900/49068`

[Sch2]   U. Schauz: *Algebraically Solvable Problems: Describing Polynomials as Equivalent to Explicit Solutions* The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 15 (2008), #R10.

[Sch3]   U. Schauz: *Mr. Paint and Mrs. Correct.* The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 15 (2008), #R145.

[Sch4]   U. Schauz: *Flexible Color Lists in Alon and Tarsi's Theorem, and Time Scheduling with Unreliable Participants.* The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 17/1 (2010), #R13.

[Sch5]   U. Schauz: *A Paintability Version of the Combinatorial Nullstellensatz, and List Colorings of k-partite k-uniform Hypergraphs.* The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 17/1 (2010), #R176.

[Sch6]   U. Schauz: *Classification of Polynomial Mappings between Commutative Groups.* Journal of Number Theory 139 (2014), p. 1–28.

[StWa] D. S. Stones, I. M. Wanless: *How Not to Prove the Alon-Tarsi Conjecture.* Nagoya Math. J. 205 (2012), 1–24.

[Su]   Z. W. Sun: *Zero-Sum Problems for Abelian p-Groups and Covers of the Integers by Residue classes.* Israel J. Math. 170 (2009), 235–252.

[Wa]   I. M. Wanless: *Diagonally Cyclic Latin Squares.* European Journal of Combinatorics, 25 (2004), 393–413.

[Wi]   M. Wilson: *A Lemma on Polynomials Modulo p^m and Applications to Coding Theory.* Discrete Mathematics 306 (23) (2006), 3154–3165.

[Zhu]   Xuding Zhu: *On-Line List Colouring of Graphs.* The Electronic Journal of Combinatorics 16/1 (2009), #R127.