

MUTUALLY UNBIASED BUSH-TYPE HADAMARD MATRICES AND ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

HADI KHARAGHANI, SARA SASANI, AND SHO SUDA

ABSTRACT. It was shown by LeCompte, Martin, and Oweans in 2010 that the existence of mutually unbiased Hadamard matrices and the identity matrix, which coincide with mutually unbiased bases, is equivalent to that of a Q -polynomial association scheme of class four which is both Q -antipodal and Q -bipartite. We prove that the existence of a set of mutually unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices is equivalent to that of an association scheme of class five. As an application of this equivalence, we obtain the upper bound of the number of mutually unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ to be $2n - 1$. This is in contrast to the fact that the upper bound of mutually unbiased Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ is $2n^2$. We also discuss a relation of our scheme to some fusion schemes which are Q -antipodal and Q -bipartite Q -polynomial of class 4.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification 05B20, 05E30

1. INTRODUCTION

A *Hadamard* matrix is a matrix H of order n with entries in $(-1, 1)$ and orthogonal rows in the usual inner product on \mathbb{R}^n . Two Hadamard matrices H and K of order n are called *unbiased* if $HK^t = \sqrt{n}L$ for some Hadamard matrix L , where K^t denotes the transpose of K . In this case, it follows that n must be a perfect square. A Hadamard matrix of order n for which the row sums and column sums are all the same, necessarily \sqrt{n} , is called *regular*, see [11].

Definition 1.1. A *Bush-type* Hadamard matrix is a block matrix $H = [H_{ij}]$ of order $4n^2$ with block size $2n$, $H_{ii} = J_{2n}$ and $H_{ij}J_{2n} = J_{2n}H_{ij} = 0$, $i \neq j$, $1 \leq i \leq 2n$, $1 \leq j \leq 2n$ where J_{2n} is the $2n$ by $2n$ matrix of all 1 entries.

It is known that for odd values of n there is no pair of unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ [2]. However, on the contrary for even values of n , there are unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ [8]. (In [8, Theorem 13], an assumption is needed. The modified version will be presented in Section 3.) One very important property of unbiased

*Hadi Kharaghani is supported by an NSERC Discovery Grant.

Bush-type Hadamard matrices, as is shown in section 3, is the fact that they are closed under the property of being of Bush-type.

It was shown by LeCompte, Martin, and Oweans in [9] that the existence of mutually unbiased Hadamard matrices and the identity matrix, which coincide with mutually unbiased bases, is equivalent to that of a Q -polynomial association scheme of class four which is both Q -antipodal and Q -bipartite.

Our aim in this paper is to show that the existence of unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices is equivalent to the existence of a certain association scheme of class five. As an application of this equivalence, we obtain the upper bound of the number of mutually unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ is $2n - 1$, whereas the upper bound of mutually unbiased Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$ is $2n^2$ [8, Theorem 2]. Also we discuss a relation of our scheme to such association schemes of class four.

2. ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

A *symmetric d -class association scheme*, see [1], with vertex set X of size n and d classes is a set of symmetric $(0, 1)$ -matrices A_0, \dots, A_d , which are not equal to zero matrix, with rows and columns indexed by X , such that:

- (1) $A_0 = I_n$, the identity matrix of order n .
- (2) $\sum_{i=0}^d A_i = J_n$, the matrix of order n with all one's entries.
- (3) For all i, j , $A_i A_j = \sum_{k=0}^d p_{ij}^k A_k$ for some p_{ij}^k 's.

It follows from property (3) that the A_i 's necessarily commute. The vector space spanned by A_i 's forms a commutative algebra, denoted by \mathcal{A} and called the *Bose-Mesner algebra* or *adjacency algebra*. There exists a basis of \mathcal{A} consisting of primitive idempotents, say $E_0 = (1/n)J_n, E_1, \dots, E_d$. Since $\{A_0, A_1, \dots, A_d\}$ and $\{E_0, E_1, \dots, E_d\}$ are two bases in \mathcal{A} , there exist the change-of-bases matrices $P = (P_{ij})_{i,j=0}^d$, $Q = (Q_{ij})_{i,j=0}^d$ so that

$$A_j = \sum_{i=0}^d P_{ij} E_i, \quad E_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^d Q_{ij} A_i.$$

Since disjoint $(0, 1)$ -matrices A_i 's form a basis of \mathcal{A} , the algebra \mathcal{A} is closed under the entrywise multiplication denoted by \circ . The *Krein parameters* q_{ij}^k 's are defined by $E_i \circ E_j = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=0}^d q_{ij}^k E_k$. The *Krein matrix* B_i^* is defined as $B_i^* = (q_{ij}^k)_{j,k=0}^d$.

Each of the matrices A_i 's can be considered as the adjacency matrix of some graph without multiedges. The scheme is *imprimitive* if, on viewing the A_i 's as adjacency matrices of graphs G_i on vertex set X , at least one of the G_i 's, $i \neq 0$, is disconnected. Then there exists a set I of indices such that 0 and such i are elements of I and $\sum_{j \in I} A_j = I_p \otimes J_q$ for some

p, q with $p < n$. Thus the set of n vertices X are partitioned into p subsets called *fibers*, each of which has size q . The set I defines an equivalence relation on $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ by $j \sim k$ if and only if $p_{ij}^k \neq 0$ for some $i \in I$. Let $I_0 = I, I_1, \dots, I_t$ be the equivalent classes on $\{0, 1, \dots, d\}$ by \sim . Then by [1, Theorem 9.4] there exist $(0, 1)$ -matrices \bar{A}_j ($0 \leq j \leq t$) such that

$$\sum_{i \in I_j} A_i = \bar{A}_j \otimes J_q,$$

and the matrices \bar{A}_j ($0 \leq j \leq t$) define an association scheme on the set of fibers. This is called the *quotient association scheme* with respect to I

For fibers U and V , let $I(U, V)$ denote the set of indices of adjacency matrices that has an edge between U and V . We define a $(0, 1)$ -matrix A_i^{UV} by

$$(A_i^{UV})_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } (A_i)_{xy} = 1, x \in U, y \in V, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.1. An imprimitive association scheme is called uniform if its quotient association scheme is class 1 and there exist integers a_{ij}^k such that for all fibers U, V, W and $i \in I(U, V), j \in I(V, W)$, we have

$$A_i^{UV} A_j^{VW} = \sum_k a_{ij}^k A_k^{UW}.$$

3. CLASS 5 ASSOCIATION SCHEME

Let $\{H_1, H_2, \dots, H_m\}$ be a set of Mutually Unbiased Regular Hadamard (MURH) matrices of order $4n^2$ with $m \geq 2$. Let

$$(3.1) \quad M = \begin{bmatrix} I \\ H_1/2n \\ H_2/2n \\ \vdots \\ H_m/2n \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} I & H_1^t/2n & H_2^t/2n & \dots & H_m^t/2n \end{bmatrix}.$$

be the *Gramian* of the set of matrices $\{I, \frac{1}{2n}H_1, \frac{1}{2n}H_2, \dots, \frac{1}{2n}H_m\}$. Let $B = 2n(M - I)$. Then B is a symmetric $(0, -1, 1)$ -matrix. Let

$$B = B_1 - B_2,$$

where B_1 and B_2 are disjoint $(0, 1)$ -matrices. By reworking a result of Mathon, see [3], we have the following:

Lemma 3.1. Let $I = I_{4n^2(m+1)}$, B_1, B_2 and $B_3 = I_{m+1} \otimes J_{4n^2} - I_{4n^2(m+1)}$. Then, I, B_1, B_2, B_3 form a 3-class association scheme.

Proof. The intersection numbers are:

$$\begin{aligned}
B_1^2 &= (2n^2 + n)mI + (n^2 + \frac{3}{2}n)(m-1)B_1 \\
&\quad + (n^2 + n/2)(m-1)B_2 + (n^2 + n)B_3, \\
B_2^2 &= (2n^2 - n)mI + (n^2 - \frac{1}{2}n)(m-1)B_1 \\
&\quad + (n^2 - \frac{3}{2}n)(m-1)B_2 + (n^2 - n)B_3, \\
B_1B_2 &= (n^2 - n/2)(m-1)B_1 \\
&\quad + (n^2 + n/2)(m-1)B_2 + n^2mB_3, \\
B_1B_3 &= (2n^2 + n - 1)B_1 + (2n^2 + n)B_2, \\
B_2B_3 &= (2n^2 - n)B_1 + (2n^2 - n - 1)B_2. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

We now impose a further structure on the regular Hadamard matrices H_i s and assume that they are all of Bush-type. First we need the following.

Lemma 3.2. *Let H and K be two unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$. Let L be a $(1, -1)$ -matrix so that $HK^t = 2nL$. Then L is a Bush-type Hadamard matrix.*

Proof. Let $X = I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n}$, then L is of Bush-type if and only if $LX = XL = 2nX$. We calculate LX .

$$LX = \frac{1}{2n}HK^tX = 2nX.$$

Similarly, we have $XL = 2nX$. Thus L is a Bush-type Hadamard matrix. \square

This enables us to add two more classes and we have the following.

Theorem 3.3. *Let B_1, B_2 denote the matrices defined above. Let*

- $A_0 = I_{4n^2(m+1)}$
- $A_1 = I_{m+1} \otimes I_{2n} \otimes (J_{2n} - I_{2n})$
- $A_2 = I_{m+1} \otimes (J_{2n} - I_{2n}) \otimes J_{2n}$
- $A_3 = (J_{m+1} - I_{m+1}) \otimes I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n}$
- $A_4 = B_1 - A_3$
- $A_5 = B_2$

Then, $A_0, A_1, A_2, A_3, A_4, A_5$ form a 5-class association scheme.

Proof. We work out the intersection numbers, using some of the relations in Lemma 3.1. Note that $A_0 + A_1, A_3, (A_0 + A_1 + A_2)$ are block matrices of block size $2n$, ($4n^2$, respectively), where each block is either the zero or the all one's matrix. On the other hand A_4 and A_5 are block matrices of block

size $2n$, where the blocks are either the zero matrix or of row and column sum n . So, it is straightforward computation to see the following:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_1A_1 &= (2n-1)A_0 + (2n-2)A_1. \\
 A_1A_2 &= (2n-1)A_2. \\
 A_1A_3 &= (2n-1)A_3. \\
 A_1A_4 &= (n-1)A_4 + nA_5. \\
 A_1A_5 &= nA_4 + (n-1)A_5. \\
 A_2A_2 &= 2n(2n-1)A_0 + 2n(2n-1)A_1 + 2n(2n-2)A_2. \\
 A_2A_3 &= 2n(A_4 + A_5). \\
 A_2A_4 &= (2n-1)nA_3 + (2n-2)n(A_4 + A_5). \\
 A_2A_5 &= (2n-1)nA_3 + (2n-2)n(A_4 + A_5). \\
 A_3A_3 &= 2mn(A_0 + A_1) + 2n(m-1)A_3. \\
 A_3A_4 &= mnA_2 + (m-1)n(A_4 + A_5). \\
 A_3A_5 &= mnA_2 + (m-1)n(A_4 + A_5).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using these, the facts that $A_3 + A_4 = B_1$, $A_5(A_3 + A_4) = B_2B_1$, and the intersection numbers in Lemma 3.1 we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4A_5 &= n^2mA_1 + m(n^2 - n)A_2 + (n^2 - \frac{n}{2})(m-1)A_3 \\
 &\quad + (n^2 - \frac{3n}{2})(m-1)A_4 + (n^2 - \frac{n}{2})(m-1)A_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally, noting that $A_4 - A_5$ is a block matrix of block size $2n$, where the blocks are either the zero matrix or of row and column sum zero, it follows that

$$(A_4 + A_5)(A_4 - A_5) = 0,$$

so we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 A_4A_4 &= A_5A_5 = (2n^2 - n)mI + (n^2 - n)m(A_1 + A_2) + \\
 &\quad (n^2 - \frac{n}{2})(m-1)(A_3 + A_4) + (n^2 - \frac{3n}{2})(m-1)A_5.
 \end{aligned}$$

□

The existence and a construction method for MUBH matrices to use mutually suitable Latin squares were given in [8, Theorem 13]. However, in order to obtain Bush-type Hadamard matrices as defined here, an additional assumption on the MSLS is needed as follows.

Proposition 3.4. *If there are m mutually suitable Latin squares of size $2n$ with all one entries on diagonal and a Hadamard matrix of order $2n$, then there are m mutually unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices of order $4n^2$.*

The construction is exactly same as [8, Theorem 13]. The resulting mutually unbiased Hadamard matrices are all of Bush-type. Indeed, each Latin square has the entries 1 on diagonal, thus the resulting Hadamard matrix has the all ones matrices on diagonal blocks.

The equivalence of MOLS and MSLS was given in [8, Lemma 9]. The assumption on MOLS corresponding to MSLS with all ones entries on diagonal is that each Latin square has $(1, 2, \dots, n)$ as the first row. The MOLS having this property is constructed by the use of finite fields as follows. For each $\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q \setminus \{0\}$, define L_α as (i, j) -entry equal to $\alpha i + j$, where $i, j \in \mathbb{F}_q$. By switching rows so that the first row corresponds to $0 \in \mathbb{F}_q$ and mapping \mathbb{F}_q to $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ such that each first row becomes $(1, 2, \dots, n)$, we obtain the desired MOLS. Thus we have the same conclusion as [8, Corollary 15].

Remark 3.5. (1) Rewriting $A_4 A_4 = A_5 A_5$ as:

$$\begin{aligned} A_4 A_4 = A_5 A_5 = & n^2 m I + (n^2 - n) m J + n \left(\frac{m+1}{2} - n \right) (A_3 + A_4) \\ & + n \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{m}{2} - n \right) A_5. \end{aligned}$$

It is seen that, for $m = 2n - 1$, A_5 is the adjacency matrix of a strongly regular graph and A_4 is the adjacency matrix of a Deza Graph, see [5, 6]. This is true for $n = 2^k$, for each integer $k \geq 1$.

- (2) The association scheme of class 5 is uniform. Any two fibers define a coherent configuration, which is a strongly regular design of the second kind, see [7]. The first and second eigenmatrices and B_5^* are as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} P = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n-1 & 2n(2n-1) & 2nm & n(2n-1)m & n(2n-1)m \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & nm & -nm \\ 1 & 2n-1 & -2n & 2nm & -nm & -nm \\ 1 & 2n-1 & -2n & -2n & n & n \\ 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 & -n & n \\ 1 & 2n-1 & 2n(2n-1) & -2n & -n(2n-1) & -n(2n-1) \end{pmatrix}, \\ Q = & \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n(2n-1) & 2n-1 & (2n-1)m & 2n(2n-1)m & m \\ 1 & -2n & 2n-1 & (2n-1)m & -2nm & m \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & -m & 0 & m \\ 1 & 0 & 2n-1 & -2n+1 & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2n & -1 & 1 & -2n & -1 \\ 1 & -2n & -1 & 1 & 2n & -1 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

$$B_5^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m & m-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 & 0 & m-1 & 0 \\ m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the association scheme certainly satisfies [4, Proposition 4.7]. Since the Krein number $q_{1,2}^1 = \frac{2n-m-1}{m+1}$ must be positive, we obtain $m \leq 2n-1$ holds. This means that the number of MUBH matrices of order $4n^2$ is at most $2n-1$. The example attaining the upper bound is given in [8, Corollary 15].

- (3) The first, second eigenmatrices and the Krein matrix B_1^* of the class 3 association scheme are as follows:

$$P = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & n(2n+1)m & n(2n-1)m & 4n^2-1 \\ 1 & nm & -nm & -1 \\ 1 & -n & n & -1 \\ 1 & -n(2n+1) & -n(2n-1) & 4n^2-1 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4n^2-1 & (4n^2-1)m & m \\ 1 & 2n-1 & -2n+1 & 1 \\ 1 & -2n-1 & 2n+1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & -m & m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_1^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 4n^2-1 & \frac{2(2n^2-m-1)}{m+1} & \frac{4n^2}{m+1} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{4n^2m}{m+1} & \frac{(4n^2-2)m-2}{m+1} & 4n^2-1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This association scheme is a Q -antipodal Q -polynomial scheme of class 3. By [3, Theorem 5.8], this scheme comes from a linked systems of symmetric designs.

Next we show the converse implication as follows.

Theorem 3.6. *Assume that there exists an association scheme with the same eigenmatrices in Remark 3.5. Then there exists a set of MUBH $\{H_1, \dots, H_m\}$ of order $4n^2$.*

Proof. Let A_0, \dots, A_5 be the adjacency matrices of an association scheme with the same eigenmatrices in Remark 3.5. Let $B_0 = A_0$, $B_1 = A_3 + A_4$, $B_2 = A_5$ and $B_3 = A_1 + A_2$. By Remark 3.5 B_i 's form a linked system of symmetric designs. Thus we rearrange the vertices so that $B_3 = I_{m+1} \otimes J_{4n^2} - I_{4n^2(m+1)}$.

We first determine the form of A_3 . Since A_1 is the adjacency matrix of an imprimitive strongly regular graph with eigenvalues $2n-1, -1$ with multiplicities $2n(m+1), 2n(2n-1)(m+1)$, A_1 is $I_{2n(m+1)} \otimes (J_{2n} - I_{2n})$ after rearranging the vertices. By $B_3 = I_{m+1} \otimes J_{4n^2} - I_{4n^2(m+1)} = A_1 + A_2$, A_2 has the desired form. Since B_3 and A_3 are disjoint and $A_2 A_3 = 2n(A_4 + A_5)$, we obtain $A_3 = (J_{m+1} - I_{m+1}) \otimes I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n}$.

Letting $G = (m+1)(E_0 + E_1 + E_2)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} G &= (m+1)(E_0 + E_1 + E_2) \\ &= \frac{1}{4n^2} \sum_{i=0}^5 (P_{0,i} + P_{1,i} + P_{2,i}) A_i \\ &= A_0 + \frac{1}{2n} A_3 + \frac{1}{2n} A_4 - \frac{1}{2n} A_5. \end{aligned}$$

Since $A_3 + A_4 + A_5 = (J_{m+1} - I_{m+1}) \otimes J_{2n} \otimes J_{2n}$, G is the following form

$$G = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & \frac{1}{2n} H_{1,2} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2n} H_{1,m+1} \\ \frac{1}{2n} H_{2,1} & I_{2n} & \cdots & \frac{1}{2n} H_{2,m+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{1}{2n} H_{m+1,1} & \frac{1}{2n} H_{m+1,2} & \cdots & I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$$

where $H_{i,j}$ ($i \neq j$) is a $(1, -1)$ -matrix.

We claim that $H_k := H_{k+1,1}$ ($1 \leq k \leq m$) are mutually unbiased Bush-type Hadamard matrices. Let \bar{A} denote the matrix obtained by restricted to the indices on the first and $(k+1)$ -st blocks. We consider the principal submatrix \bar{G} . Since the association scheme is uniform, the restricting to indices on the first and second blocks yields an association scheme with the eigenmatrix $\bar{P} = (\bar{P}_{ij})_{i,j=0}^5$ obtained by putting $m = 1$.

Since $\bar{G} = (m+1)(\bar{E}_0 + \bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_2)$ holds and $\frac{m+1}{2} \bar{E}_i$ ($i = 0, 1, 2$) are primitive idempotents of the subscheme, we have $\bar{G}^2 = 2\bar{G}$. Expanding the left hand-side to use the form $\bar{G} = \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & \frac{1}{2n} H_k^t \\ \frac{1}{2n} H_k & I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}$, we obtain

$$\begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} + \frac{1}{4n^2} H_k^t H_k & \frac{1}{n} H_k^t \\ \frac{1}{n} H_k & I_{2n} + \frac{1}{4n^2} H_k H_k^t \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2I_{2n} & \frac{1}{n} H_k^t \\ \frac{1}{n} H_k & 2I_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

This implies that H_k is a Hadamard matrix of order $4n^2$.

Next we show H_k is of Bush-type. Now we calculate $\bar{A}_3\bar{G}$ in two ways. First we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{A}_3\bar{G} &= (m+1)\bar{A}_3(\bar{E}_0 + \bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_2) \\
 &= (m+1)\left(\sum_{i=0}^5 \bar{P}_{i3}\bar{E}_i\right)(\bar{E}_0 + \bar{E}_1 + \bar{E}_2) \\
 &= (m+1)\left(\sum_{i=0}^2 \bar{P}_{i3}\bar{E}_i\right) \\
 &= 2n(m+1)(\bar{E}_0 + \bar{E}_2) \\
 &= 2n(m+1)\left(\frac{1}{4n^2(m+1)}\sum_{i=0}^5 (\bar{Q}_{i,0} + \bar{Q}_{i,2})\bar{A}_i\right) \\
 &= (A_0 + A_1 + A_3) \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} & I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} \\ I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} & I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Second we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \bar{A}_3\bar{G} &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} \\ I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_{2n} & \frac{1}{2n}H_k^t \\ \frac{1}{2n}H_k & I_{2n} \end{pmatrix} \\
 &= \begin{pmatrix} \frac{1}{2n}(I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n})H_k & I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} \\ I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n} & \frac{1}{2n}(I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n})H_k^t \end{pmatrix}.
 \end{aligned}$$

Comparing these two equations yields

$$(I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n})H_k = (I_{2n} \otimes J_{2n})H_k^t = 2nI_{2n} \otimes J_{2n}.$$

This implies that H_k is of Bush-type by Lemma 3.2.

Finally we show H_1, \dots, H_m are unbiased. Let k, k' be integers such that $1 \leq k < k' \leq m$. From now on, the overbar of matrices means the matrix obtained by restricted to the indices on the first, $(k+1)$ -th, and $(k'+1)$ -th blocks. We then have $\bar{G}^2 = 3\bar{G}$. Comparing the $(2,3)$ -block, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{4n^2}H_kH_{k'}^t + I_{2n}H_{k+1,k'+1} + H_{k+1,k'+1}I_{2n} = 3H_{k+1,k'+1},$$

namely $\frac{1}{4n^2}H_kH_{k'}^t = H_{k+1,k'+1}$. Since $H_{k+1,k'+1}$ is a $(-1, 1)$ -matrix, H_k and $H_{k'}$ are unbiased. \square

4. 8 CLASS ASSOCIATION SCHEMES

Linked systems of symmetric designs with specific parameters have the extended Q -bipartite double which yields an association scheme of mutually unbiased bases [10, Theorem 3.6]. Next we show an association

scheme from our association schemes of class 5 has a double cover and show a relation to an association scheme of class 4 as a fusion scheme.

Theorem 4.1. *Let A_0, A_1, \dots, A_5 be the adjacency matrices of the association scheme in Theorem 3.3. Define*

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{A}_0 &= \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & 0 \\ 0 & A_0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ 0 & A_1 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_1 \\ A_1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & A_2 \\ A_2 & A_2 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{A}_4 &= \begin{pmatrix} A_3 & 0 \\ 0 & A_3 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_5 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_3 \\ A_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_6 = \begin{pmatrix} A_4 & A_5 \\ A_5 & A_4 \end{pmatrix}, \tilde{A}_7 = \begin{pmatrix} A_5 & A_4 \\ A_4 & A_5 \end{pmatrix}, \\ \tilde{A}_8 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & A_0 \\ A_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\tilde{A}_0, \dots, \tilde{A}_8$ form an association scheme.

Proof. Follows from the calculation in Theorem 3.3. \square

Remark 4.2. (1) The association scheme of class 8 is also uniform. The second eigenmatrix and B_8^* are as follows:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2n(2n-1) & 2n & 2n-1 & 2n(2n-1)(m+1) & (2n-1)m & 2nm & 2n(2n-1)m & m \\ 1 & -2n & 2n & 2n-1 & -2n(m+1) & (2n-1)m & 2nm & -2nm & m \\ 1 & 2n & -2n & 2n-1 & -2n(m+1) & (2n-1)m & -2nm & 2nm & m \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 & -m & 0 & 0 & m \\ 1 & 0 & 2n & 2n-1 & 0 & -2n+1 & -2n & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 & -2n & 2n-1 & 0 & -2n+1 & 2n & 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 2n & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & -2n & -1 \\ 1 & -2n & 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 2n & -1 \\ 1 & -2n(2n-1) & -2n & 2n-1 & 2n(2n-1)(m+1) & (2n-1)m & -2nm & -2n(2n-1)m & m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$B_7^* = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & m & 0 & m-1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 & m-1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m-1 & 0 \\ m & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & m-1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus the association scheme certainly satisfies [4, Proposition 4.7].

(2) Letting $\tilde{B}_1 = \tilde{A}_1 + \tilde{A}_2 + \tilde{A}_3$, $\tilde{B}_2 = \tilde{A}_4 + \tilde{A}_6$ and $\tilde{B}_3 = \tilde{A}_5 + \tilde{A}_7$, $\tilde{B}_4 = \tilde{A}_8$, we obtain a fusion association scheme of class 4. The second

eigenmatrix of the class 4 fusion association scheme is as follows:

$$Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 4n^2 & (4n^2 - 1)(m + 1) & 4n^2m & m \\ 1 & 0 & -m - 1 & 0 & m \\ 1 & 2n & -0 & -2n & -1 \\ 1 & -2n & 0 & 2n & -1 \\ 1 & -4n^2 & (4n^2 - 1)(m + 1) & -4n^2m & m \end{pmatrix}.$$

This association scheme is a Q -antipodal and Q -bipartite Q -polynomial scheme of class 4, see [9].

REFERENCES

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes, Benjamin/Cummings, Menro Park, CA, 1984.
- [2] Darcy Best, Hadi Kharaghani, Unbiased complex Hadamard matrices and bases, Cryptography and Communications - Discrete Structures, Boolean Functions and Sequences, **2** (2010), 199–209.
- [3] E. van. Dam, Three-class association schemes, *J. Algebraic. Combin.* 10(1) (1999), 69–107.
- [4] E. van Dam, W. Martin, M. Muzychuk, Uniformity in association schemes and coherent configurations: cometric Q -antipodal schemes and linked systems, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* **120** (2013), no. 7, 1401–1439.
- [5] A. Deza, M. Deza, The ridge graph of the metric polytope and some relatives. Polytopes: abstract, convex and computational (Scarborough, ON, 1993), 359–372.
- [6] M. Erickson, S. Fernando, W. H. Haemers, D. Hardy, J. Hemmeter, Deza graphs: a generalization of strongly regular graphs. *J. Combin. Des.* 7 (1999), no. 6, 395–405.
- [7] D. G. Higman, Strongly regular designs of the second kind. *European J. Combin.* 16 (1995), no. 5, 479–490.
- [8] W. H. Holzmann, H. Kharaghani, W. Orrick, On the real unbiased Hadamard matrices. Combinatorics and graphs, 243–250, Contemp. Math., 531, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2010.
- [9] N. LeCompte, W. J. Martin and W. Owens, On the equivalence between real mutually unbiased bases and a certain class of association schemes. *European J. Combin.* 31 (2010), no. 6, 1499–1512.
- [10] W. J. Martin, M. Muzychuk, J. Williford, Imprimitve cometric association schemes: constructions and analysis. *J. Algebraic Combin.* 25 (2007), no. 4, 399–415.
- [11] J. Seberry and M. Yamada, *Hadamard matrices, sequences, and block designs*, in Contemporary Design Theory: A Collection of Surveys, J. H. Dinitz and D. R. Stinson, eds., John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1992, pp. 431–560.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA, T1K 3M4, CANADA

E-mail address: kharaghani@uleth.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS AND COMPUTER SCIENCE, UNIVERSITY OF LETHBRIDGE, LETHBRIDGE, ALBERTA, T1K 3M4, CANADA

E-mail address: sasani@uleth.ca

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS EDUCATION, AICHI UNIVERSITY OF EDUCATION, KARIYA, AICHI, 448-8542, JAPAN

E-mail address: suda@aecc.aichi-edu.ac.jp