# Neighborhood Complexes of Some Exponential Graphs 

Nandini Nilakantan<br>Department of Mathematics and Statistics<br>Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India, nandini@iitk.ac.in<br>Samir Shukla<br>Department of Mathematics and Statistics Indian Institute of Technology Kanpur, India samirs@iitk.ac.in

Submitted: Jun 7, 2016; Accepted: Apr 13, 2016; Published: May 13, 2016 Mathematics Subject Classifications: primary 05C15, secondary 57M15


#### Abstract

In this article, we consider the bipartite graphs $K_{2} \times K_{n}$. We first show that the connectedness of $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)=0$. Further, we show that $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \times K_{n}, K_{m}\right)$ is homotopic to $S^{m-2}$, if $2 \leqslant m<n$.
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## 1 Introduction

Determining the chromatic number of a graph is a classical problem in graph theory and finds applications in several fields. The Kneser conjecture posed in 1955 and solved by Lovaśz [14] in 1978, dealt with the problem of computing the chromatic number of a certain class of graphs, now called the Kneser graphs. To prove this conjecture, Lovaśz first constructed the neighborhood complex $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of a graph $G$, which is a simplicial complex and then related the connectivity of this complex to the chromatic number of $G$.

In [1], Lovaśz introduced the notion of a prodsimplicial complex called the Hom complex, denoted by $\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ for graphs $G$ and $H$, which generalized the notion of a neighborhood complex. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, G\right)$ (where $K_{2}$ denotes a complete graph with 2 vertices) and $\mathcal{N}(G)$ are homotopy equivalent. The idea was to be able to estimate the chromatic number of an arbitrary graph $G$ by understanding the connectivity of the Hom complex from some standard graph into $G$. Taking $H$ to be the complete graph $K_{n}$ makes each of the complexes $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K_{n}\right)$ highly connected. In [1] Babson and Kozlov made the following conjecture.

Conjecture 1. For a graph $G$ with maximal degree $d, \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K_{n}\right)$ is at least $(n-d-2)$ connected.

In [4], Cukić and Kozlov presented a proof for the above conjecture. They further showed that in the case when $G$ is an odd cycle, $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K_{n}\right)$ is $(n-4)$-connected for all $n \geqslant 3$. From [12], it is seen that for any even cycle $C_{2 m}, \operatorname{Hom}\left(C_{2 m}, K_{n}\right)$ is $(n-4)$-connected for all $n \geqslant 3$.

It is natural to ask whether it is possible to classify the class of graphs $G$ for which the Hom complexes $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K_{n}\right)$ are exactly $(n-d-2)$-connected. In this article, we consider the bipartite graphs $K_{2} \times K_{n}$, which are $n-1$ regular graphs. Since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \times K_{n}, K_{m}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right)$, it is sufficient to determine the connectedness of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right)$ which is the same as the connectedness of $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right)$. The main results of this article are

Theorem 2. Let $n \geqslant 4$ and $p=\frac{n!(n-1) n}{2}$. Then

$$
H_{k}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}Z_{2} & \text { if } k=0,1 \text { or } n-1 \\ Z_{2}^{p-n!+1} & \text { if } k=2 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise } .\end{cases}
$$

Theorem 3. $\operatorname{conn}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)\right)=0$ for all $n \geqslant 2$.

Corollary 4. Let $n \geqslant 2$ and $2 \leqslant m \leqslant n+1$. Then

$$
\operatorname{conn}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \times K_{n}, K_{m}\right)\right)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } m=n+1 \\ -1 & \text { if } m=n \\ m-3 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

We make the following conjecture.
Conjecture 5. The lower bounds given in [4] are exact for all bipartite graphs of the type $K_{2} \times K_{n}$.

## 2 Preliminaries

A graph $G$ is a pair $(V(G), E(G))$, where $V(G)$ is the set of vertices of $G$ and $E(G) \subset$ $V(G) \times V(G)$ denotes the set of edges. If $(x, y) \in E(G)$, it is also denoted by $x \sim y$ and $x$ is said to be adjacent to $y$. The degree of a vertex $v$ is defined as $\operatorname{deg}(v)=\mid\{y \in$ $V(G) \mid x \sim y\} \mid$.

- A graph homomorphism from $G$ to $H$ is a function $\phi: V(G) \rightarrow V(H)$ such that,

$$
(v, w) \in E(G) \Longrightarrow(\phi(v), \phi(w)) \in E(H)
$$

- A finite abstract simplicial complex $X$ is a collection of finite sets where $\tau \in X$ and $\sigma \subset \tau$, implies $\sigma \in X$. The elements of $X$ are called the simplices of $X$. If $\sigma \in X$ and $|\sigma|=k+1$, then $\sigma$ is said to be $k$ dimensional. A $k-1$ dimensional subset of a $k$ simplex $\sigma$ is called a facet of $\sigma$.
- A prodsimplicial complex is a polyhedral complex each of whose cells is a direct product of simplices ([13]).
- Let $v$ be a vertex of a graph $G$. The neighborhood of $v$ is defined as $N(v)=$ $\{w \in V(G) \mid(v, w) \in E(G)\}$. If $A \subset V(G)$, the neighborhood $A$ is defined as $N(A)=\{x \in V(G) \mid(x, a) \in E(G) \forall a \in A\}$.
- The neighborhood complex $\mathcal{N}(G)$ of a graph $G$ is the abstract simplicial complex whose elements are $N(A)$, for all subsets $A$ of $V(G)$.
- Let $G$ be a graph and $N(u) \subset N(v)$ for $u, v \in V(G)$. The graph $G \backslash\{u\}$ is called a fold of $G$. Here, $V(G \backslash\{u\})=V(G) \backslash\{u\}$ and the edges in the subgraph $G \backslash\{u\}$ are all those edges of $G$ which do not contain $u$.
- Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\tau, \sigma \in X$ such that $\sigma \subsetneq \tau$ and $\tau$ is the only maximal simplex in $X$ that contains $\sigma$. A simplicial collapse of $X$ is the simplicial complex $Y$ obtained from $X$ by removing all those simplices $\gamma$ of $X$ such that $\sigma \subseteq \gamma \subseteq \tau . \sigma$ is called a free face of $\tau$ and $(\sigma, \tau)$ is called a collapsible pair and is denoted by $X \searrow Y$.
- For any two graphs $G$ and $H, \operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ is the polyhedral complex whose cells are indexed by all functions $\eta: V(G) \rightarrow 2^{V(H)} \backslash\{\emptyset\}$, such that if $(v, w) \in E(G)$ then $\eta(v) \times \eta(w) \subset E(H)$.
Elements of $\operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$ are called cells and are denoted by $\left(\eta\left(v_{1}\right), \ldots \eta\left(v_{k}\right)\right)$, where $V(G)=\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k}\right\}$. A cell $\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{k}\right)$ is called a face of $B=\left(B_{1}, \ldots B_{k}\right)$, if $A_{i} \subset B_{i} \forall 1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. The Hom complex is often referred to as a topological space. Here, we are referring to the geometric realisation of the order complex of the poset. The simplicial complex whose simplices are the chains of the poset $P$ is called the order complex of $P$.
- A topological space $X$ is said to be $n$ connected if $\pi_{*}(X)=0$ for all $* \leqslant n$.

By convention, $\pi_{0}(X)=0$ means $X$ is connected. The connectivity of a topological space $X$ is denoted by $\operatorname{conn}(X)$, i.e., conn $(X)$ is the largest integer $m$ such that $X$ is $m$-connected. If $X$ is a non empty disconnected space, it is said to be ( -1 )connected and if it is empty, it is said to be $-\infty$ connected.

We now review some of the constructions related to the existence of an internal hom which is related to the categorical product. Details can be found in $[8,9,15]$.

- The categorical product of two graphs $G$ and $H$, denoted by $G \times H$ is the graph where $V(G \times H)=V(G) \times V(H)$ and $(g, h) \sim\left(g^{\prime}, h^{\prime}\right)$ in $G \times H$ if $g \sim g^{\prime}$ and $h \sim h^{\prime}$ in $G$ and $H$ respectively.
- If $G$ and $H$ are two graphs, then the exponential graph $H^{G}$ is defined to be the graph where $V\left(H^{G}\right)$ contains all the set maps from $V(G)$ to $V(H)$. Any two vertices $f$ and $f^{\prime}$ in $V\left(H^{G}\right)$ are said to be adjacent, if $v \sim v^{\prime}$ in $G$ implies that $f(v) \sim f^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)$ in $H$.

Using tools from poset topology ([3]), it can be shown that given a poset $P$ and a poset map $c: P \rightarrow P$ such that $c \circ c=c$ and $c(x) \geqslant x, \forall x \in P$, there is a strong deformation retract induced by $c: P \rightarrow c(P)$ on the relevant spaces. Here, $c$ is called the closure map.

From [5, Proposition 3.5] we have a relationship between the exponential graph and the categorical product in the Hom-complex.

Proposition 6. Let $G$, $H$ and $K$ be graphs. Then $\operatorname{Hom}(G \times H, K)$ can be included in $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K^{H}\right)$ so that $\operatorname{Hom}(G \times H, K)$ is the image of the closure map on $\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K^{H}\right)$. In particular, there is a strong deformation retract $|\operatorname{Hom}(G \times H, K)| \hookrightarrow\left|\operatorname{Hom}\left(G, K^{H}\right)\right|$.

From [1, Proposition 5.1] we have the following result which allows us to replace a graph by a subgraph in the Hom complex.

Proposition 7. Let $G$ and $H$ be graphs such that $u, v$ are distinct vertices of $G$ and $N(u) \subset N(v)$. The inclusion $i: G \backslash\{u\} \hookrightarrow G$ respectively, the homomorphism $\phi: G \rightarrow$ $G \backslash\{u\}$ which maps $v$ to $u$ and fixes all the other vertices, induces the homotopy equivalence $i_{H}: \operatorname{Hom}(G, H) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G \backslash\{u\}, H)$, respectively $\phi_{H}: \operatorname{Hom}(G \backslash\{u\}, H) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}(G, H)$.

## 3 Tools from Discrete Morse Theory

We introduce some tools from Discrete Morse Theory which have been used in this article. R. Forman in [6] introduced what has now become a standard tool in Topological Combinatorics, Discrete Morse Theory. The principal idea of Discrete Morse Theory (simplicial) is to pair simplices in a complex in such a way that they can be cancelled by elementary collapses. This will reduce the original complex to a homotopy equivalent complex, which is not necessarily simplicial, but which has fewer cells. More details of discrete Morse theory can be found in [10] and [13].

Definition 8. A partial matching in a poset $P$ is a subset $\mathcal{M}$ of $P \times P$ such that

- $(a, b) \in \mathcal{M}$ implies $b \succ a$, i.e. $a<b$ and $\nexists c$ such that $a<c<b$.
- Each element in $P$ belongs to at most one element in $\mathcal{M}$.

In other words, if $\mathcal{M}$ is a partial matching on a poset $P$ then there exists $A \subset P$ and an injective map $f: A \rightarrow P \backslash A$ such that $x \prec f(x)$ for all $x \in A$.

Definition 9. An acyclic matching is a partial matching $\mathcal{M}$ on the Poset $P$ such that there does not exist a cycle

$$
x_{1} \prec f\left(x_{1}\right) \succ x_{2} \prec f\left(x_{2}\right) \succ x_{3} \cdots \prec f\left(x_{t}\right) \succ x_{1}, t \geqslant 2 .
$$

Given an acyclic partial matching on $P$, those elements of $P$ which do not belong to the matching are said to be critical. To obtain the desired homotopy equivalence, the following result is used.

Theorem 10. (Main theorem of Discrete Morse Theory)[6] Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an acyclic matching such that the empty set is not critical. Then, $X$ is homotopy equivalent to a cell complex which has a d-dimensional cell for each $d$ -dimensional critical face of $X$ together with an additional 0 -cell.

## 4 Main Result

To prove the Theorems 2 and 3, we first construct an acyclic matching on the face poset of $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)$ after which we construct the Morse Complex corresponding to this acyclic matching and use this complex to compute the homology groups.

In this article $n \geqslant 3$ and $[n]$ denotes the set $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$. Any vertex in the exponential graph $K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}$ is a set map $f: K_{n} \rightarrow K_{n+1}$.

Lemma 11. The graph $K_{m}^{K_{n}}$ can be folded onto the graph $G$, where the vertices $f \in V(G)$ have images of cardinality either 1 or $n$.

Proof. Consider the vertex $f$ such that $1<|\operatorname{Im} f|<n$. Since $f$ is not injective there exist distinct $i, j \in[n]$ such that $f(i)=f(j)=\alpha$. Consider $\tilde{f} \in V\left(K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right)$ such that $\tilde{f}([n])=\alpha$. By the definition of the exponential graph, any neighbor $h$ of $f$ will not have $\alpha$ in its image and therefore $h$ will be a neighbor of $\tilde{f}$ thereby showing that $N(f) \subset N(\tilde{f}) . K_{m}^{K_{n}}$ can be folded to the subgraph $K_{m}^{K_{n}} \backslash\{f\}$. Repeating the argument for all noninjective, non constant maps from $[n]$ to $[m], K_{m}^{K_{n}}$ can be folded to the graph $G$ whose vertices are either constant or injective maps from $[n]$ to $[m]$.

From Proposition 7, we observe that $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) \simeq \mathcal{N}(G)$. Hence, it is sufficient to study the homotopy type of $\mathcal{N}(G)$.

Henceforth, if $f \in V(G)$ and $f([n])=\{x\}, f$ shall be denoted by $\langle x\rangle$. In the other cases the string $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ will denote the vertex $f$ where $a_{i}=f(i), 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Hence, if the notation $a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ is used, it is understood that for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n, a_{i} \neq a_{j}$

Let $f=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \in V(G)$ and $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Define $A_{i}^{f}$ to be the set

$$
\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, \hat{a_{i}}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}=\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\} \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\} .
$$

The map $f_{k}$ is defined on $[n]$ by

$$
f_{k}(i)= \begin{cases}f(i), & \text { if } k \neq i \\ x, & \text { if } k=i\end{cases}
$$

We first consider the maximal simplices of $\mathcal{N}(G)$.

Lemma 12. Let $f \in V(G)$. Then
(i) $f=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}, x \notin \operatorname{Im} f \Rightarrow N(f)=\left\{f,\langle x\rangle, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$.
(ii) $f=\langle x\rangle \Rightarrow N(f)=\{\langle y\rangle \mid y \neq x\} \cup\{g \in V(G) \mid x \notin \operatorname{Im} g\}$.

Proof.
(i) Since $a_{i} \neq a_{j}, \forall i \neq j, f \sim f$. If $g=\langle x\rangle$, then $g(i) \neq f(j)$ for $i \neq j$ and thus $\langle x\rangle \in N(f)$. For any $l \in[n], f_{l}(i) \neq f(j)$ for $i \neq j$ which implies $f_{l} \in N(f)$. Thus $\left\{f,\langle x\rangle, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\} \subset N(f)$. Conversely if $\tilde{f} \in N(f)$, then $\tilde{f}(i) \in\left\{a_{i}, x\right\}$. Since $|\operatorname{Im} \tilde{f}|=1$ or $n$, if $\tilde{f} \neq\langle x\rangle$ then $\tilde{f}$ has to be $f$ or $f_{l}$ for some $l \in[n]$.
(ii) Let $f=\langle x\rangle$. Clearly, $\langle y\rangle \sim\langle x\rangle$ for all $y \neq x$. If $g \in V(G)$ and $x \notin \operatorname{Im} g$, then $g \sim\langle x\rangle$. Conversely if $\tilde{f} \in N(f)$, then $x$ cannot belong to the image of $\tilde{f}$. Since $|\operatorname{Im} \tilde{f}|$ has to be either 1 or $n$ from Lemma 11, the proof follows.

We now determine the free faces in $\mathcal{N}(G)$.
Lemma 13. Let $f \in V(G)$. Then
(i) $f=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \Rightarrow\left(\left\{f_{s}, f_{t}\right\}, N(f)\right)$ is a collapsible pair $\forall 1 \leqslant s<t \leqslant n$.
(ii) $f=\langle y\rangle, g \neq \tilde{g} \in V(G)$ non constant neighbors of $f$ implies that $(\{g, \tilde{g}\}, N(f))$ is a collapsible pair.

Proof. (i) From Lemma $12, N(f)=\left\{f,\langle x\rangle, f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots, f_{n}\right\}$, where $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. All the maximal simplices of $\mathcal{N}(G)$ are of the form $N(g)$, where $g \in V(G)$. Suppose there exists $\tilde{f} \in V(G)$ such that $\left\{f_{s}, f_{t}\right\} \subset N(\tilde{f})$, for some $1 \leqslant s<t \leqslant n$. Since $A_{s}^{f_{s}}=[n+1] \backslash\left\{a_{s}, x\right\}$ and $A_{i}^{f_{s}}=[n+1] \backslash\left\{a_{i}, a_{s}\right\}$ if $i \neq s$, then for each $i \in[n]$ at least one of the sets $A_{i}^{f_{s}}$ or $A_{i}^{f_{t}}$ contains $x$. Therefore $A_{i}^{f_{s}} \cup A_{i}^{f_{t}}=[n+1] \backslash\left\{a_{i}\right\} \forall 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Since $\tilde{f}$ is a neighbor of both $f_{s}$ and $f_{t}, \tilde{f}(i) \neq f_{s}(j), f_{t}(j) \forall j \neq i$, which implies that $\tilde{f}(i)=a_{i}=f(i)$. Hence $\left\{f_{s}, f_{t}\right\}$ is free in $N(f)$.
(ii) Since $g, \tilde{g}$ are neighbors of $f$ and $i \sim j$ in $K_{n} \forall i \neq j, f(j) \neq g(i), \tilde{g}(i)$ implies that $y \notin \operatorname{Im} g, \operatorname{Im} \tilde{g}$, which shows that $\operatorname{Im} g=\operatorname{Im} \tilde{g}$. Let $h \in V(G)$ such that $g, \tilde{g} \in N(h)$. Since $g, \tilde{g}$ are distinct and injective there exist $s \neq t \in[n]$ such that $g(s) \neq \tilde{g}(s)$ and $g(t) \neq \tilde{g}(t) . A_{s}^{g}=[n+1] \backslash\{y, g(s)\}$ and $A_{s}^{\tilde{g}}=[n+1] \backslash\{y, \tilde{g}(s)\}$. Since $g(s) \neq \tilde{g}(s)$, $A_{s}^{g} \cup A_{s}^{\tilde{g}}=[n+1] \backslash\{y\} . h$ is a neighbor of $g$ and $\tilde{g}$ and $i \sim s$ in $K_{n} \forall i \neq s$, implies $h(s) \neq g(i), \tilde{g}(i)$. In particular, $h(s) \notin A_{s}^{g} \cup A_{s}^{\tilde{g}}$ and therefore $h(s)=y$ (similarly $h(t)=y)$. Therefore $h(i)=y \forall i \in[n]$ and is equal to $f$.

Let $M(X)$ be the set of maximal simplices in the simplicial complex $X$.
Lemma 14. In a simplicial complex $X$, let $\sigma=\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{t}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\}, t \geqslant 2$ be a maximal simplex such that $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma$ for $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant t$. X collapses to the subcomplex $Y$ where $M^{\prime}=M(X) \backslash\{\sigma\}$ and $M(Y)=M^{\prime} \cup\left\{\left\{x_{i}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\} \mid 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t\right\}$.

Proof. We first consider collapses with the faces $\left\{x_{1}, x_{j}\right\}, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant t$.
Claim 15. $X \searrow X^{\prime}$ with $M\left(X^{\prime}\right)=M^{\prime} \cup\left\{x_{1}, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\}$.
Since $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma, X \searrow X_{12}$ with $M\left(X_{12}\right)=M^{\prime} \cup\left\{\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}\right\}\right\}$. In $X_{12},\left\{x_{1}, x_{3}\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}\right\}$ and hence $X \searrow X_{13}$ with $M\left(X_{13}\right)=M^{\prime} \cup\{\sigma \backslash$ $\left.\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\} \cup \sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}, x_{3}\right\}$. Inductively, we assume that $M\left(X_{1 l}\right)=M^{\prime} \cup\left\{\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\} \cup \sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}, \ldots x_{l}\right\}$. In $X_{1 l},\left\{x_{1}, x_{l+1}\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}, \ldots x_{l}\right\}$. Hence $X_{1 l} \searrow X_{1 l+1}$ with $M\left(X_{1 l+1}\right)=$ $M^{\prime} \cup\left\{\sigma \backslash\left\{x_{1}\right\}\right\} \cup \sigma \backslash\left\{x_{2}, \ldots x_{l+1}\right\}$. This proves the claim.

For $2 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$, considering the pairs $\left\{x_{i}, x_{j}\right\}, i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant t$ and using Claim 15, the lemma follows.

The Lemmas 13 and 14 show that $\mathcal{N}(G)$ collapses to a subcomplex $\Delta_{1}$ with $M\left(\Delta_{1}\right)$ $=M_{1} \cup M_{2}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}=\left\{\left\{f, f_{s},\langle x\rangle\right\} \mid f \in V(G), x \notin \operatorname{Im} f \text { and } s \in[n]\right\} \text { and } \\
& M_{2}=\left\{\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle, g\right\} \mid \operatorname{Im} g=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

For any simplex $\sigma_{g}=\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle, g\right\}$ in $M_{2}$, if $1 \in \operatorname{Im} g$, let $y_{1}=1$ and if $1 \notin \operatorname{Im} g$, let $y_{1}=2$. In $\sigma_{g}$ for all $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant n,\left\{\left\langle y_{i}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{j}\right\rangle, g\right\}$ are free faces. Considering the faces $\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{j}\right\rangle, g\right\}, 3 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, we get the following result.

Claim 16. $\Delta_{1} \searrow \Delta^{\prime}$ and $M\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=M_{1} \cup\left\{M_{2} \backslash\left\{\sigma_{g}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\{g\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle\right\} \cup\right.$ $\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, g\right\}$.

Proof. Let $Y=M_{1} \cup\left\{M_{2} \backslash\left\{\sigma_{g}\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\{g\}\right\}$. Since $\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle, g\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma_{g}$, $\Delta_{1} \searrow \Delta_{1,3}$ where $M\left(\Delta_{1,3}\right)=Y \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$. In $\Delta_{1,3},\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{4}\right\rangle, g\right\}$ is a free face of $\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$ and so $\Delta_{1,3} \searrow \Delta_{1,4}$ where $M\left(\Delta_{1,4}\right)=Y \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle\left\langle y_{4}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$. Inductively, assume that $\Delta_{1} \searrow \Delta_{1, n-1}$ where $M\left(\Delta_{1, n-1}\right)=Y \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\right.$ $\left.\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{4}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\}\right\}$. In $\Delta_{1, n-1}, \alpha=\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle, g\right\}$ is a free face of $\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{4}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n-1}\right\rangle\right\}$. By a simplicial collapse, we get the complex $\Delta^{\prime}$ where $M\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=Y \cup\left\{\sigma_{g} \backslash\left\{\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle\right\}\right\} \cup\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, g\right\}$.

For $3 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$, using Claim 16 for the simplices $\left\{\left\langle y_{i}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{j}\right\rangle, g\right\}, i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant n$, we get $\Delta_{1} \searrow Z$ where $M(Z)=Y \cup\left\{\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{i}\right\rangle, g\right\} \mid i \in\{2,3,4, \ldots, n\}\right\}$. Repeating the above argument for the remaining elements of $M_{2}, \mathcal{N}(G)$ collapses to the subcomplex $\Delta, M(\Delta)$ $=M_{1} \cup A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}$, where

- $A_{1}=\{\{\langle 1\rangle,\langle y\rangle, g\} \mid 1, y \in \operatorname{Im} g\}$,
- $A_{2}=\{\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle, g\} \mid 2, y \in \operatorname{Im} g$ and $1 \notin \operatorname{Im} g\}$ and
- $A_{3}=\left\{\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle\right\} \mid y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \in[n+1]\right\}$.

Since $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)$ is homotopy equivalent to $\mathcal{N}(G)$ which collapses to $\Delta$, we have $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) \simeq$ $\Delta$. We now construct an acyclic matching on the face poset of $\Delta$ to compute the Morse Complex corresponding to this matching.

Let $(P, \subset)$ denote the face poset of $\Delta$. Define $S_{1} \subset P$ to be the set $\{\sigma \in P \mid\langle 1\rangle \notin$ $\sigma, \sigma \cup\langle 1\rangle \in \Delta\}$ and the map $\mu_{1}: S_{1} \rightarrow P \backslash S_{1}$ by $\mu_{1}(\sigma)=\sigma \cup\langle 1\rangle . \mu_{1}$ is injective and $\left(S_{1}, \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)$ is a partial matching on $P$. Let $S^{\prime}=P \backslash\left(S_{1} \cup \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)\right)$.

Lemma 17. Any 1-cell $\sigma$ of $S^{\prime}$ will be of one of the following types.

> (I) $\{f,\langle x\rangle\}, 1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f, x \neq 1$.
> (II) $\{f,\langle x\rangle\}, x \notin \operatorname{Im} f, x \neq 1$.
> (III) $\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}, a_{k}=1, i \neq k$.

Proof. Let $\tau$ be a maximal simplex and $\sigma \subsetneq \tau$. From the above discussion, $\tau$ has to be an element in one of the sets $M_{1}, A_{1}, A_{2}$ or $A_{3}$.
$\tau \notin A_{1}$ since $\sigma \notin \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) \cup S_{1}$. If $\tau \in A_{3}$, then $\sigma$ has to be of the form $\{\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle\}$ for some $x, y \neq 1$. There exists $z \neq 1, x, y$ such that $\sigma \cup\langle 1\rangle \in N(\langle z\rangle)$, which implies $\sigma \in S_{1}$, a contradiction. Hence $\tau \notin A_{3}$.

If $\tau \in M_{1}$, then $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$, where $f=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n}$ and $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Clearly $x \neq 1$, as if $x=1$, then $\sigma \in S_{1} \cup \mu\left(S_{1}\right)$, a contradiction. Let $a_{k}=1$. Since $\sigma \subset \tau, \sigma=$ $\{f,\langle x\rangle\},\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ or $\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}$.
(i) $\sigma=\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$.

If $i \neq k$, then $a_{i} \neq 1, x \Rightarrow \sigma \cup\langle 1\rangle \in N\left(\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right) \Rightarrow \sigma \in S_{1}$, a contradiction.
If $i=k$, then $A_{k}^{f_{k}}=[n+1] \backslash\{1, x\} \Rightarrow N\left(f_{k},\langle x\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\right)=\emptyset$ and thus $\sigma$ is of the type (I).
(ii) $\sigma=\{f,\langle x\rangle\}$.

Since $x \neq 1$, and $A_{k}^{f} \cup\{x\} \cup\{1\}=[n+1], \sigma \notin S_{1} \cup \mu\left(S_{1}\right)$. Hence $\sigma \in S^{\prime}$ and is of the type (II).
(iii) $\sigma=\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}$.
$a_{k}=1 \Rightarrow 1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f_{k} \Rightarrow\langle 1\rangle \in N\left(f_{k}\right) \Rightarrow\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(f_{k}\right)$. Since $\sigma \in S^{\prime}, \sigma$ cannot be $\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}$ and hence $i \neq k$. $A_{k}^{f_{i}}=[n+1] \backslash\left\{1, a_{i}\right\}$ and $A_{k}^{f_{k}}=[n+1] \backslash\{1, x\} \Rightarrow$ $A_{k}^{f} \cup A_{k}^{f_{i}} \cup\{1\}=[n+1] \Rightarrow N\left(f, f_{i},\langle 2\rangle\right)=\emptyset$. Here $\sigma=\left\{f, f_{i}\right\} \in S^{\prime}$ is of the type (III).

Finally, consider the case when $\tau \in A_{2}$. There exists $f=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}, a_{i} \neq 1 \forall i \in[n]$, such that $\tau=\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle, f\}$, where $y \neq 1$.

For any $z \in[n+1] \backslash\{1,2, y\}, N(\langle 1\rangle)$ contains $\langle 1\rangle,\langle 2\rangle$ and $\langle y\rangle$ which implies $\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle\} \in$ $S_{1}$. Since $\sigma \notin S_{1}, \sigma$ is either $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\}$ or $\{f,\langle y\rangle\}$.
$A_{i}^{f}=[n+1] \backslash\{1,2\}$, where $a_{i}=2$ which implies $N(f,\langle 2\rangle,\langle 1\rangle)=\emptyset$ implying that $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\} \notin S_{1}$. Hence $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\} \in S^{\prime}$ is of the form $(I)$.
$A_{j}^{f}=[n+1] \backslash\{1, y\}$, where $a_{j}=y$ which implies $N(f,\langle y\rangle,\langle 1\rangle)=\emptyset$ thereby showing $\{f,\langle y\rangle\} \in S^{\prime}$ and $\sigma$ is of the type $(I)$.

Let $S_{2}$ be the set of all the 1-cells in $S^{\prime}$ except those of the type $\left\{f,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}, a_{i} \neq 1,1 \leqslant$ $i \leqslant n$. We now define the map $\mu_{2}: S_{2} \longrightarrow P \backslash S_{2}$, as
(i) $\mu_{2}\left(\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}, & \text { if } x>a_{i} \\ \left\{f, f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}, & \text { if } x<a_{i},\end{cases}$ where $a_{k}=1, \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{x\}$ and $i \neq k$.
(ii) $\mu_{2}(\{f,\langle x\rangle\})=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ where $a_{k}=1, \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{x\}$.
(iii) $\mu_{2}(\{f,\langle y\rangle\})=\{f,\langle y\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\}$ where $y \neq 1,2$ and $1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f$.

Claim 18. $\mu_{2}$ is injective.
From the definition of $\mu_{2}$, for any $\sigma \in S_{2}$, $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mu_{2}(\sigma)\right)=2$ and $\sigma \subset \mu_{2}(\sigma)$. Therefore $\mu_{2}(\sigma) \succ \sigma$, for each $\sigma$. Let $\mu_{2}\left(\sigma_{1}\right)=\mu_{2}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\tau$ for some $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \in S_{2}$. There are three possibilities for $\tau \in \operatorname{Im} \mu_{2}$.

1. $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}, x \notin \operatorname{Im} f, a_{k}=1, i \neq k, x>a_{i}$.
$\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \in S_{1}$ (since $\left.\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(\left\langle y_{i}\right\rangle\right)\right)$ and $\{f,\langle x\rangle\} \in S_{2}$ imply that both $\mu\left(\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}\right)$ and $\mu\left(\{f,\langle x\rangle\}\right.$ are not equal to $\tau$. Hence $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}$.
If $x<a_{i}$, then $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}=\left\{f_{i},\left(f_{i}\right)_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ and the same argument as the one above holds.
2. $\tau=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}, x \notin \operatorname{Im} f, a_{k}=1$.
$1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f_{k} \Rightarrow\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(f_{k}\right) \Rightarrow\left\{f, f_{k}\right\} \in S_{1} \Rightarrow \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2} \neq\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}$.
If $x \neq 2$, then $\mu_{2}\left(\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}\right)=\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\right\} \neq \tau$ and when $x=2$, then $\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\} \notin S_{2}$. Hence both $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ have to be $\{f,\langle x\rangle\}$.
3. $\tau=\{f,\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle\}, y \in \operatorname{Im} f, y \neq 1,1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f$.

Since $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\} \notin S_{2}$ and $\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle\} \in S_{1}, \sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}=\{f,\langle y\rangle\}$.
From Claim 18, $\mu_{2}: S_{2} \longrightarrow P \backslash S_{2}$ is a partial matching.
Since $S_{2} \cap \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right), \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right) \cap \mu_{2}\left(S_{2}\right)=\emptyset$, the map $\mu: S_{1} \cup S_{2} \longrightarrow P$ defined by $\mu_{1}$ on $S_{1}$ and $\mu_{2}$ on $S_{2}$ is a partial matching on $P$. This map is well defined since $S_{1} \cap S_{2}=\emptyset$.

Lemma 19. $\mu$ is an acyclic matching.

Proof. Let $C=P \backslash\left\{S_{1}, S_{2}, \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right), \mu_{2}\left(S_{2}\right)\right\}$. Suppose there exists a sequence of cells $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{t} \in P \backslash C$ such that $\mu\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \succ \sigma_{2}, \mu\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \succ \sigma_{3}, \ldots, \mu\left(\sigma_{t}\right) \succ \sigma_{1}$. If $\sigma_{i} \in S_{1}$, then $\mu\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\sigma_{i} \cup\langle 1\rangle \succ \sigma_{i+1(\bmod t)}$ which implies $\langle 1\rangle \in \sigma_{i+1(\bmod t)}$, which is not possible by the construction of $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$. Hence $\sigma_{i}$ has to be in $S_{2}$, for each $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$. From Lemma $17, \sigma$ has the following three forms.

1. $\sigma_{i}=\{f,\langle y\rangle\}, 1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f, y \neq 1$.

Since $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\} \notin S_{1} \cup S_{2} \cup \mu\left(S_{1}\right) \cup \mu\left(S_{2}\right), y \neq 2$. Further, $\mu\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\{f,\langle y\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\}$ shows that $\sigma_{i+1}$ has to be $\{\langle y\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\}$ or $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\}$, both of which are impossible. Hence, $\sigma_{i}$ is not of this form.
2. $\sigma_{i}=\{f,\langle x\rangle\}, x \notin \operatorname{Im} f, x \neq 1, a_{k}=1$.
$\mu\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ implies that $\sigma_{i+1}$ has to be either $\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ or $\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}$. Since $1 \notin$ $\operatorname{Im} f_{k}$ and $x \neq 1, \sigma_{i+1} \neq\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ (from the above case). Further, $\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in$ $N\left(f_{k}\right)$ implies that $\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}$ is an element of $S_{1}$ and therefore this case too is not possible.
3. $\sigma_{i}=\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}, a_{k}=1, \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{x\}, i \neq k$.

$$
\mu\left(\sigma_{i}\right)=\mu_{2}\left(\sigma_{i}\right)= \begin{cases}\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}, & \text { if } x>a_{i} \\ \left\{f, f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}, & \text { if } x<a_{i} .\end{cases}
$$

If $x>a_{i}$, case (2) shows that $\sigma_{i+1} \neq\{f,\langle x\rangle\}$. Since $a_{i} \neq 1, x$, and $a_{i} \notin \operatorname{Im} f_{i}$, $\left\{f_{i},\langle 1\rangle,\langle x\rangle\right\} \in N\left(\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right)$ which implies that $\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \in S_{1}$. A similar argument shows that the case $x<a_{i}$ is also not possible.

Our assumption that the above sequence $\sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \ldots, \sigma_{t}$ exists is wrong. Therefore $(S, \mu)$ where $S=S_{1} \cup S_{2}$, is an acyclic matching.

Every element of $C$ is a critical cell corresponding to this matching. We now describe the structure of the elements of $C$.

For any 0 -cell $\langle x\rangle \neq\langle 1\rangle$ in $\Delta$, if $y \neq 1, x$ then $\{\langle x\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\} \in N(\langle y\rangle)$, thereby implying that $\langle x\rangle \in S_{1}$. If $f$ is a 0 -cell with $|\operatorname{Im} f|=n$ then either $f,\langle 1\rangle \in N(<[n+1] \backslash \operatorname{Im} f>)$ or $\langle 1\rangle, f \in N(f)$ accordingly as $1 \in \operatorname{Im} f$ or not. In both cases $f \in S_{1}$ and therefore $\langle 1\rangle$ is the only critical 0 -cell.

Any 2-cell $\sigma$ of $\Delta$ belongs to $M_{1} \cup A_{1} \cup A_{2} \cup A_{3}$. Each element of $A_{1}$ belongs to $\mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)$. If $\sigma \in A_{2}$, then $\sigma=\{f,\langle 2\rangle,\langle y\rangle\}$ with $\operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{1\}, y \neq 1,2$. Since $\mu_{2}(\{f,\langle y\rangle\})=\sigma$, $\sigma \notin C$. Therefore, if $\sigma$ has to be a critical 2-cell, then $\sigma$ has to belong to either $M_{1}$ or $A_{3}$.

If $\sigma \in M_{1}$, then $\sigma=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ and $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Clearly, $x \neq 1$. Let $a_{k}=1$. $\mu(\{f,\langle x\rangle\})=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}, i \neq k$. If $x>a_{i}$, then $\mu\left(\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}\right)=\sigma$. If $x<a_{i}$ then $\left\{f_{i},\langle 1\rangle,\langle x\rangle\right\} \in N\left(\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right)$ which implies that $\sigma \notin \mu(S)$. Further, $\sigma \notin S_{1}, S_{2}$ and therefore $\sigma \in M_{1}$ will be a critical 2-cell if and only if $\sigma=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$, where $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f, a_{k}=1$, $i \neq k$ and $x<a_{i}$.

Finally from $A_{3}$, there exists exactly one critical cell $\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ of dimension $n-1$, where $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n} \in[n+1] \backslash\{1\}$ (since any proper subset of $\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ belongs to $S_{1}$ ).

Therefore the $\langle z\rangle$ set of critical cells $C=\{\langle 1\rangle\} \cup C_{1} \cup C_{2} \cup C_{3}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{1}=\{\{f,\langle 2\rangle\} \mid \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{1\}\}, \\
& C_{2}=\left\{\left\{f, f_{i},\langle y\rangle\right\} \mid \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{x\}, a_{k}=1, i \neq k \text { and } x<a_{i}\right\}, \\
& C_{3}=\left\{\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle\right\} \mid[n+1] \backslash\{1\}=\left\{y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{n}\right\}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence the critical cells are of dimension $0,1,2$ and $n-1$.
Clearly $\left|C_{1}\right|=n$ ! and $\left|C_{3}\right|=1$. For each fixed $x \neq 1$, let $r=|\{s \in[n+1] \mid s>x\}|$ and $Q=\{f \in V(G) \mid \operatorname{Im} f=[n+1] \backslash\{x\}\}$. The cardinality of $Q$ is $n!$. For $f \in Q$,
$\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \in C_{2}$ if and only if $x<f(i)$. Hence $\mid\left\{\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \mid\{x\}=[n+1] \backslash \operatorname{Im}\right.$ $\left.f, \tau \in C_{2}\right\} \mid=r(n!)$. Therefore $\left|C_{2}\right|=n!\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} r=\frac{n!(n-1) n}{2}$.

We now describe the Morse Complex $\mathcal{M}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{i}, \partial\right)$ corresponding to this acyclic matching on the poset $P$. If $c_{i}$ denotes the number of critical $i$ cells of $C$, then the free abelian group generated by these critical cells is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{i}$. Our objective now is to first compute the $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ homology groups of the Morse complex $\mathcal{M}$. We use the following version of Theorem 10, from which we explicitly compute the boundary maps in the Morse Complex $\mathcal{M}$.

Proposition 20. (Theorem 11.13 [13]) Let $X$ be a simplicial complex and $\mu$ be an acyclic matching on the face poset of $X \backslash \emptyset$. Let $c_{i}$ denote the number of critical $i$ cells of $X$. Then
(a) $X$ is homotopy equivalent to $X_{c}$, where $X_{c}$ is a $C W$ complex with $c_{i}$ cells in dimension $i$.
(b) there is a natural indexing of cells of $X_{c}$ with the critical cells of $X$ such that for any two cells $\tau$ and $\sigma$ of $X_{c}$ satisfying $\operatorname{dim} \tau=\operatorname{dim} \sigma+1$, the incidence number $[\tau: \sigma]$ is given by

$$
[\tau: \sigma]=\sum_{c} w(c) .
$$

The sum is taken over all (alternating) paths $c$ connecting $\tau$ with $\sigma$ i.e., over all sequences $c=\left\{\tau, x_{1}, \mu\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{t}, \mu\left(x_{t}\right), \sigma\right\}$ such that $\tau \succ x_{1}, \mu\left(x_{t}\right) \succ \sigma$, and $\mu\left(x_{i}\right) \succ x_{i+1}$ for $i=1, \ldots, t-1$. The quantity $w(c)$ associated to this alternating path is defined by

$$
w(c):=(-1)^{t}[\tau: \sigma]\left[\mu\left(x_{t}\right): \sigma\right] \prod_{i=1}^{t}\left[\mu\left(x_{i}\right): x_{i}\right] \prod_{i=1}^{t-1}\left[\mu\left(x_{i}\right): x_{i+1}\right]
$$

where all the incidence numbers are taken in the complex $X$.
We now determine all the possible alternating paths between any two critical cells.
Lemma 21. Let $\gamma \in \Delta$ be a $k$-simplex, $k>0$, such that $\langle 1\rangle \in \gamma$. Then $\gamma$ does not belong to any alternating path connecting two critical cells.

Proof. Given two critical cells $\tau$ and $\sigma$, let $c=\left\{\tau, x_{1}, \mu\left(x_{1}\right), \ldots, x_{t}, \mu\left(x_{t}\right), \sigma\right\}$ be an alternating path and let $\gamma \in c$. Since $\langle 1\rangle \in \gamma, \gamma \in \mu_{1}\left(S_{1}\right)$, and therefore $\gamma \neq \tau, \sigma$. For some $i \in[t-1]$, there exists $x_{i} \in c$ such that $\gamma=\mu\left(x_{i}\right)$. Since $\left[\mu\left(x_{i}\right): x_{i}\right]= \pm 1, x_{i}$ has to be $\gamma \backslash\langle 1\rangle$. Any facet of $\gamma$ different from $x_{i}$ must contain $\langle 1\rangle$. But since $x_{i+1}<\mu\left(x_{i}\right)$, $x_{i+1}$ has to be a facet of $\mu\left(x_{i}\right)$ and therefore must belong to $S$, which is impossible, as $\langle 1\rangle$ $\in x_{i+1}$ implies $x_{i+1} \in \mu(S)$ and $\mu(S) \cap S=\emptyset$. Hence $\gamma \notin c$.

Lemma 22. Let $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$ be a critical 2-cell with $i \neq k$ and $a_{k}=1$. There exists exactly one alternating path from $\tau$ to each of exactly 2 critical 1-cells $\alpha=\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}$ and $\beta=\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}$.

Proof. Let $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \in C_{2}$ be a critical 2-cell. For any alternating path $c$ from $\tau$ to a critical 1 cell, $\tau \succ x_{1}$, 1.e. $x_{1}$ is a facet of $\tau$. We have three choices for $x_{1}$.

1. $x_{1}=\{f,\langle x\rangle\}$.

Since $x_{2}$ has to be a facet of $\mu\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$, it is either $\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}$ or $\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\}$. In the former case, $\mu\left(\left\{f, f_{k}\right\}\right)=\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\}$ (since $\left.\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(f_{k}\right)\right)$ which contradicts Lemma 21.
If $x=2$, then $x_{2}=\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}$ is a critical 1- cell and the alternating path is $\left\{\tau, x_{1}=\right.$ $\left.\{f,\langle 2\rangle\},\left\{f, f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\},\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}\right\}$.
If $x>2$, then $\mu\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\right\}$ and $x_{3}$ has to be the critical 1-cell $\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}$ (since $\{\langle x\rangle,\langle 2\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\} \in N(\langle y\rangle), y \neq 1,2, x)$. The alternating path is

$$
\left\{\tau,\{f,\langle x\rangle\},\left\{f,\langle x\rangle, f_{k}\right\},\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle\right\},\left\{f_{k},\langle x\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\right\},\left\{f_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}\right\}
$$

2. $x_{1}=\left\{f, f_{i}\right\}$.
$\mu\left(x_{1}\right)=\left\{f, f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ forces $x_{2}$ to be $\left\{f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$ (as $\left\{f,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N(\langle x\rangle) \Rightarrow x_{2} \neq$ $\left.\left\{f,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}\right) . \mu\left(x_{2}\right)=\left\{f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\left(f_{i}\right)_{k}\right\}$ implies that $x_{3}=\left\{f_{i},\left(f_{i}\right)_{k}\right\}$ or $\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},,\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$. But, $\left\{f_{i},\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(\left(f_{i}\right)_{k}\right)$ shows that $x_{3}=\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\}$. Since $x<a_{i}$ and $x \neq 1, a_{i}$ is not 2 and thus $\mu\left(x_{3}\right)=\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\right\}$. Since $\left\{\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\langle 2\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\right\}$ is a simplex in $\Delta$, $x_{4}$ has to be the critical cell $\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}$. The alternating path is

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left\{\tau,\left\{f, f_{i}\right\},\left\{f, f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\},\left\{f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\},\left\{f_{i},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\left(f_{i}\right)_{k}\right\},\right. \\
\left.\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right\},\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle,\langle 2\rangle\right\},\left\{\left(f_{i}\right)_{k},\langle 2\rangle\right\}\right\} .
\end{array}
$$

3. $x_{1}=\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\}$.

Since $a_{i} \notin \operatorname{Im} f_{i}$ and $a_{i} \neq 1,\left\{f_{i},\langle x\rangle,\langle 1\rangle\right\} \in N\left(\left\langle a_{i}\right\rangle\right)$. Thus $x_{1}$ can not be an element of $c$.

Hence, for each critical 2-cell $\tau=\left\{f, f_{i},\langle x\rangle\right\} \in C_{2}$, there exist unique alternating paths from $\tau$ to exactly 2 critical 1-cells.

Consider $\tau=\left\{\left\langle y_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle y_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots,\left\langle y_{n}\right\rangle\right\} \in C_{3}$. There exists no alternating path from $\tau$ to any critical cell because each facet of $\tau$ belongs to $S_{1}$.

If $\alpha=\{f,\langle 2\rangle\}$ is a critical 1-cell, then $1 \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Since $n \geqslant 3$, there exists $i \neq k$ such that $a_{k} \neq 2$ and $a_{i}=n+1$. Since $a_{k}<a_{i}$, the 2-cell $\left\{f_{k},\left(f_{k}\right)_{i},\langle f(k)\rangle\right\}$ is a critical cell. From Lemma 22, there exists an alternating path between these two cells, showing that there exists at least one alternating path to each critical 1-cell.

Let $W_{n}=\left\{a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n} \in V(G) \mid\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}=[n+1] \backslash\{1\}\right\}$, where $n \in \mathbb{N}, n \geqslant 2$. Define a relation $\sim$ on $W_{n}$ by, $a \sim b \Longleftrightarrow \exists i, j \in[n], i \neq j$ such that $a_{i}=b_{j}, a_{j}=b_{i}$ and $a_{k}=b_{k}$ for all $k \neq i, j$. The cardinality of $W_{n}$ is easily seen to be $n!$.

Lemma 23. The $n$ ! elements of $W_{n}, \alpha=\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{n!}$ can be ordered in such a way that $\alpha_{i} \sim \alpha_{i+1}$, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n!-1$.

Proof. If $n=2$, then $W_{n}=\{23,32\}$ and $23 \sim 32$. Let us assume that $n \geqslant 3$. The proof is by induction on $n$.

Let $W_{n, i}=\left\{f \in W_{n} \mid a_{1}=i\right\}$. Clearly $W_{n}=\bigcup_{i=2}^{n+1} W_{n, i}$, where each $W_{n, i}$ is in bijective correspondence with $W_{n-1}$. By the inductive hypothesis, assume that $W_{n-1}$ has the required ordering $\alpha_{1} \sim \alpha_{2} \sim \cdots \sim \alpha_{(n-1)!}$, where $\alpha_{1}=a_{1} a_{2} \ldots a_{n-1}, a_{i} \in\{2,3, \ldots n\}$. For a fixed first element $i w_{2} w_{3} \ldots w_{n} \in W_{n, i}$, the map $\phi_{i}:[n+1] \backslash\{1, i\} \rightarrow\{2,3, \ldots n\}$ defined by $\phi_{i}\left(w_{j}\right)=a_{j-1}$ is bijective. Using the ordering in $W_{n-1}$ and the map $\phi_{i}$, we get an ordering in $W_{n, i}$. Beginning with $23 \ldots n+1=2 w_{2} \ldots w_{n} \in W_{n, 2}$ and using the map $\phi_{2}$ we order $W_{n, 2}$. Let $2 w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime} \ldots w_{n}^{\prime}$ be the last element of this ordering and $w_{j}^{\prime}=3$. Then, $2 w_{2}^{\prime} w_{3}^{\prime} \ldots w_{n} \sim 3 w_{2}^{\prime} \ldots w_{j-1}^{\prime} 2 w_{j+1}^{\prime} w_{n}^{\prime}$. Using the map $\phi_{3}$ in the above method, we get an ordering for $W_{n, 3}$. Repeating this argument for $4 \leqslant i \leqslant n+1$, we have the required ordering in $W_{n}$.

Since every critical 1-cell contains $\langle 2\rangle$, henceforth a critical 1-cell $\{f,\langle 2\rangle\}$ shall be denoted by $f$.
Remark 24. There exist alternating paths from a critical 2 -cell $\tau$ to $\alpha$ and $\beta$ if and only if $\alpha \sim \beta$.

The set of critical 1-cells $C_{1}=\left\{\alpha_{i}=\left\{f_{i},\langle 2\rangle\right\} \mid f_{i} \in W_{n}\right\}$ is in bijective correspondence with $W_{n}$. From Lemma 23, we have an ordering $\alpha_{1} \sim \alpha_{2} \sim \ldots \sim \alpha_{n!}$ of the elements of $C_{1}$. Let $C_{2}=\left\{\tau_{1}, \tau_{2}, \ldots, \tau_{n!(n-1) n}^{2}\right\}$ and $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ be a matrix of order $\left|C_{1}\right| \times\left|C_{2}\right|$, where $a_{i j}=1$, if there exists an alternating path from $\tau_{j}$ to $\alpha_{i}$ and 0 if no such path exists. Using Lemma 22 each column of $A$ contains exactly two non zero elements which are 1. The rows of the matrix $A$ are denoted by $R_{\alpha_{i}}$ and the columns are denoted by $C_{\tau_{i}}$.

Lemma 25. The set $B=\left\{R_{\alpha_{2}}, \ldots, R_{\alpha_{n}!}\right\}$ is a basis for the row space of $A$ over the field $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Proof. In each column exactly two entries are 1 and all other entries are 0 and thus column sum is zero $(\bmod 2)$ and hence $\operatorname{rank}(A)<n!$.

Assume that $\sum_{i=2}^{n!} a_{i} R_{\alpha_{i}}=0, a_{i} \in\{0,1\}$. For $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n!-1$, let $\tau_{i}$ be the critical 2-cell which has alternating paths to $\alpha_{i}$ and $\alpha_{i+1}$. The column $C_{\tau_{i}}$ has the $i$ and $(i+1)^{\text {th }}$ entry equal to 1 and all other entries equal to zero. $\sum_{i=2}^{n!} a_{i} R_{\alpha_{i}}=0$, implies $a_{2}=a_{2}+a_{3}=$ $a_{3}+a_{4}=\ldots=a_{(n-1)!}+a_{n!}=0$. Hence $a_{2}=a_{3}=\ldots a_{n!}=0$ and $B$ is a basis for the row space of $A$.

Let the Discrete Morse Complex corresponding to the acyclic matching $\mu$ on $\Delta$ be $\mathcal{M}=\left(\mathcal{M}_{n}, \partial_{n}\right), n \geqslant 0$ where $\mathcal{M}_{i}$ denotes the free abelian groups over $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ generated by
the critical $i$-cells. The only non trivial groups are $\mathcal{M}_{0}, \mathcal{M}_{1}, \mathcal{M}_{2}, \mathcal{M}_{n-1}$. For any two critical cells $\tau$ and $\sigma$ such that $\operatorname{dim}(\tau)=\operatorname{dim}(\sigma)+1$, the incidence number $[\tau: \sigma]$ is either 0 or 1 .

We have developed all the necessary tools to prove the main results.
Proof of Theorem 2. The graph $K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}$ folds to graph $G$, by Lemma 11 and therefore Hom $\left(K_{2}, K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, G\right)$. Further since $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, G\right) \simeq \mathcal{N}(G)$ and $\mathcal{N}(G) \simeq \Delta$, from Proposition 20, it is sufficient to compute the homology groups of the Morse Complex $\mathcal{M}$.

For all $y \neq z \in[n],\{\langle y\rangle,\langle z\rangle\} \in \mathcal{N}(G)$, thereby showing that $\{\langle y\rangle,\langle z\rangle\}$ is an edge in $G$. If $f \in V(G)$ such that $|\operatorname{Im} f|=n$, then $\{f,\langle x\rangle\}$ is an edge, where $x \notin \operatorname{Im} f$. Since $\{\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle\}$ is an edge for all $x, y \in[n+1]$, any two vertices of $G$ are connected by an edge path and therefore $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is connected which implies $H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}(G) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Since $H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}(G) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong\left(\mathcal{M}_{0}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$, $\operatorname{Ker} \partial_{1} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n!}$, where $\partial_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{0}$ is a boundary map.

Since $n \geqslant 4$, any critical 2 -cell belongs to $C_{2}$. Further since any critical 2 -cell is connected by alternating paths to exactly two 1-cells, from Lemma 25 , the rank of the group homomorphism $\partial_{2}: \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{p} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{n!}$ is $n!-1$. Therefore $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{M} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

If $n=4$, then $\tau=\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle 3\rangle,\langle 4\rangle,\langle 5\rangle\}$ is the only critical 3 -cell and $\mathcal{M}_{3} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Since each facet of $\tau$ belongs to $S_{1}$, there will be no alternating path from $\tau$ to any critical 2 -cell which implies that the incidence number $[\tau: \alpha]=0$, for any critical 2 -cell $\alpha$ and $\partial_{3}: \mathcal{M}_{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{2}$ is the zero map. $\operatorname{Rank}\left(\partial_{2}\right)=n!-1$ and therefore Ker $\partial_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{p-n!+1}$. Thus $H_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{p-n!+1}$.

If $n>4, \tau=\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle 3\rangle, \ldots,\langle n+1\rangle\}$ is the only $n-1$ critical cell. $\mathcal{M}_{n-2}$ and $\mathcal{M}_{n}$ are trivial groups and therefore $H_{n-1}\left(\mathcal{M}, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Corollary 26.

$$
H_{k}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z}_{2}, & \text { if } k=0,1 \\ \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{14}, & \text { if } k=2 \\ 0, & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Proof. Since $n=3$ in this case, $\left|C_{1}\right|=6,\left|C_{2}\right|=18$ and $C_{3}=\{\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle 3\rangle,\langle 4\rangle\}\}$. There exist 19 critical 2-cells and therefore $\mathcal{M}_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{19}$. Since $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right)$ is path connected, $H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Each facet of $\tau=\{\langle 2\rangle,\langle 3\rangle,\langle 4\rangle\}$ belongs to $S_{1}$ and therefore there exists no path from $\tau$ to any critical 1-cell $\alpha$ and therefore the incidence number $[\tau: \alpha]=0$ for any critical 1-cell $\alpha$. Hence $\partial_{2}(\tau)=0$ i.e. $\tau \in \operatorname{Ker} \partial_{2}$. From Lemma 25, rank $\left(\partial_{2}\right)=5$. Since $H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\mathcal{M}_{0}, \partial_{1}=0$. Therefore $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

The rank of $\partial_{2}=5$ shows that Ker $\partial_{2} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{14}$. Further, there is no critical cell of dimension greater than $2, \mathcal{M}_{i}=0$, for all $i>2$. Hence, $H_{2}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}^{14}$ and $H_{k}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{4}^{K_{3}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$, for all $k>2$.

We recall the following result to prove Theorem 3.

Proposition 27. (Theorem 3A.3, [7])
If $C$ is a chain complex of free abelian groups, then there exist short exact sequences

$$
0 \longrightarrow H_{n}(C ; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \longrightarrow H_{n}\left(C ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow \operatorname{Tor}\left(H_{n-1}(C ; \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \longrightarrow 0
$$

for all $n$ and these sequences split.

Proof of Theorem 3. Since $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)$ is path connected, we only need to show that $\pi_{1}(\mathcal{N}$ $\left.\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)\right) \neq 0$. If $n=2$, then $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{3}^{K_{2}}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \times K_{2}, K_{3}\right) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \sqcup K_{2}, K_{3}\right) \simeq$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, K_{3}\right) \times \operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2}, K_{3}\right) \simeq S^{1} \times S^{1}$. Hence $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{3}^{K_{2}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}\right.$.

Let $n \geqslant 3$. Since $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is the abelianization of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)\right)$, it is enough to show that $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \neq 0$. From Proposition $27, H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ;\right.$ $\mathbb{Z}) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \operatorname{Tor}\left(H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right.$. Since $H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$, $\operatorname{Tor}\left(H_{0}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$. So $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)=0$, implies that $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=0$, which is a contradiction to Theorem 2 and Corollary 26. Therefore, $H_{1}\left(\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right) ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \neq 0$.

The maximum degree $d$ of the graph $K_{2} \times K_{n}$ is $n-1$ and $\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{2} \times K_{n}, K_{n+1}\right) \simeq$ $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{n+1}^{K_{n}}\right)$. Hence Hom $\left(K_{2} \times K_{n}, K_{n+1}\right)$ is exactly $(n+1-d-2)$-connected.

Proof of Corollary 4. Theorem 3 gives the result for the case $m=n+1$. If $m=n$, then for any $f \in V\left(K_{n}^{K_{n}}\right)$ with $\operatorname{Im} f=[n], N(f)=\{f\}$. Since $n \geqslant 2, \mathcal{N}\left(K_{n}^{K_{n}}\right)$ is disconnected.

If $m<n$, Lemma 11 shows that $K_{m}^{K_{n}}$ can be folded to the graph $G$, where $V(G)=$ $\{\langle x\rangle \mid x \in[m]\}$. Then $N(\langle x\rangle)=\{\langle y\rangle \mid y \in[m] \backslash\{x\}\}$, for all $\langle x\rangle \in V(G)$ and therefore $\mathcal{N}(G)$ is homotopic to the simplicial boundary of $(m-1)$-simplex. Hence, $\mathcal{N}\left(K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right) \simeq$ $\mathcal{N}(G) \simeq S^{m-2}$. Therefore, $\operatorname{conn}\left(\operatorname{Hom}\left(K_{m}^{K_{n}}\right)\right)=m-3$.
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