Proof of Gessel's γ -positivity conjecture

Zhicong Lin

School of Science, Jimei University Xiamen 361021, P.R. China

CAMP, National Institute for Mathematical Sciences Daejeon 305-811, Republic of Korea

lin@nims.re.kr

Submitted: Dec 17, 2015; Accepted: Jun 30, 2016; Published: Jul 22, 2016 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A19

Abstract

We prove a conjecture of Gessel, which asserts that the joint distribution of descents and inverse descents on permutations has a fascinating refined γ -positivity.

Keywords: descents; inverse descents; Eulerian polynomials; γ -positivity

1 Introduction

Let \mathfrak{S}_n denote the set of all permutations of $[n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. A permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ will be represented here in one line notation as $\pi = \pi_1 \cdots \pi_n$. For a permutation $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$, an index $i \in [n-1]$ is a descent of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$. Denote by $\operatorname{des}(\pi)$ the number of descents of π . The descent polynomial on \mathfrak{S}_n

$$A_n(t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} t^{\operatorname{des}(\pi) + 1}$$

is known as the classical Eulerian polynomial (cf. [14, Section 1.3]) of order n. Foata and Schützenberger [7] proved the following beautiful γ -positivity result, which implies the symmetry and unimodality (see [2] for definitions) of the Eulerian polynomials.

Theorem 1 (Foata–Schützenberger). For $n \ge 1$,

$$A_n(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor (n+1)/2 \rfloor} \gamma_{n,i} t^i (1+t)^{n+1-2i},$$
(1.1)

where $\gamma_{n,i}$ are nonnegative integers.

Foata and Strehl [8] later constructed an elegant combinatorial proof of (1.1) via a group action, which has sparked various interesting extensions [1,5,6,10]. For many other γ -positivity results and problems arising in enumerative and geometric combinatorics, we refer the reader to the excellent exposition by Petersen [13]. Regarding the joint distribution of descents and inverse descents on permutations, Gessel (see [1,2,12,15]) has conjectured the following refined γ -positivity:

Conjecture 2 (Gessel 2005). Let

$$A_n(s,t) := \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} s^{\operatorname{des}(\pi^{-1}) + 1} t^{\operatorname{des}(\pi) + 1}.$$

Then, for $n \ge 1$

$$A_n(s,t) = \sum_{\substack{i \ge 1, j \ge 0 \\ j+2i \le n+1}} \gamma_{n,i,j}(st)^i (1+st)^j (s+t)^{n+1-j-2i}, \tag{1.2}$$

where $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ are nonnegative integers.

These refined Eulerian polynomials $A_n(s,t)$, that we shall call double Eulerian polynomials, were first studied by Carlitz, Roselle and Scoville [4]. Conjecture 2 has received considerable attention since the publication of Brändén [1] in 2008. The existence of integers $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ satisfying (1.2) follows from symmetry properties of $A_n(s,t)$ and Lemma 5. The open problem is nonnegativity. For example, we have:

$$A_1(s,t) = st,$$

$$A_2(s,t) = st(1+st),$$

$$A_3(s,t) = st(1+st)^2 + 2(st)^2,$$

$$A_4(s,t) = st(1+st)^3 + 7(st)^2(1+st) + (st)^2(s+t),$$

$$A_5(s,t) = st(1+st)^4 + 16(st)^2(1+st)^2 + 6(st)^2(1+st)(s+t) + 16(st)^3.$$

In this note, we give a proof of Conjecture 2.

Using Eulerian operators and a homogenized multivariate refinement for $A_n(s,t)$, Visontai [15] derived a recurrence for the coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}$, from which the nonnegativity does not follow immediately. But surprisingly, we are able to deduce the nonnegativity of $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ from his recurrence. Even more, we characterize completely when the coefficient $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ is positive. Generalizations of Conjecture 2 will also be proved (see Theorems 6 and 10). The question of finding a combinatorial proof of expansion (1.2) is still open.

2 Proof of Conjecture 2

We shall first provide a new direct approach to the following recurrence relation due to Visontai and then apply it to give a proof of Conjecture 2.

Lemma 3 (Theorem 10 of [15]). Let $n \ge 1$. For all $i \ge 1$ and $j \ge 0$, we have

$$(n+1)\gamma_{n+1,i,j} = (n+i(n+2-i-j))\gamma_{n,i,j-1} + (i(i+j)-n)\gamma_{n,i,j} + (n+4-2i-j)(n+3-2i-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1} + (n+2i+j)(n+3-2i-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j} + (j+1)(2n+2-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1} + (j+1)(j+2)\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2},$$
(2.1)

where $\gamma_{1,1,0} = 1$, $\gamma_{1,i,j} = 0$ (unless i = 1 and j = 0) and $\gamma_{n,i,j} = 0$ if i < 1 or j < 0.

Proof. We will use the following recurrence of $A_n(s,t)$ computed by Petersen [12, Equation (9)] via the machine of balls in boxes (or 2-D barred permutations):

$$nA_{n}(s,t) = (n^{2}st + (n-1)(1-s)(1-t))A_{n-1}(s,t) + nst(1-s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}A_{n-1}(s,t) + nst(1-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A_{n-1}(s,t) + st(1-s)(1-t)\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial s\partial t}A_{n-1}(s,t).$$
(2.2)

Let $\Gamma_n(X,Y) := \sum_{i,j} \gamma_{n,i,j} X^i Y^j$. Observe that decomposition (1.2) is equivalent to the following relationship:

$$A_n(s,t) = (s+t)^{n+1} \Gamma_n(X,Y)$$
 with $X = \frac{st}{(s+t)^2}$ and $Y = \frac{1+st}{s+t}$.

Substituting this into (2.2) and dividing both sides by $(s+t)^{n+1}$, we get

$$n\Gamma_{n}(X,Y) = \alpha_{1}\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y) + \alpha_{2}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y)}{\partial X} + \alpha_{3}\frac{\partial\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y)}{\partial Y} + \alpha_{4}\frac{\partial^{2}\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y)}{\partial X^{2}} + \alpha_{5}\frac{\partial^{2}\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y)}{\partial Y^{2}} + \alpha_{6}\frac{\partial^{2}\Gamma_{n-1}(X,Y)}{\partial X\partial Y},$$

$$(2.3)$$

where

$$\alpha_1 = \frac{n^2 st + (n-1)(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} + \frac{n^2 st(2-s-t)}{(s+t)^2} + \frac{n(n-1)st(1-s)(1-t)}{(s+t)^3}$$
$$= (n-1)(Y-1) + n(n-1)XY + (n^2+n)X,$$

$$\begin{split} \alpha_2 &= \frac{nst}{s+t} \left((1-s) \frac{\partial X}{\partial s} + (1-t) \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{nst(1-s)(1-t)}{(s+t)^2} \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial X}{\partial s} \right) + \\ &\quad + \frac{st(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} \frac{\partial^2 X}{\partial s \partial t} = (n-1)XY + (6-4n)X^2Y + X - 6X^2, \end{split}$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{nst}{s+t} \left((1-s) \frac{\partial Y}{\partial s} + (1-t) \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} \right) + \frac{nst(1-s)(1-t)}{(s+t)^2} \left(\frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial s} \right) + \frac{st(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} \frac{\partial^2 Y}{\partial s \partial t} = (-2n+2)XY^2 + 2nX - 2XY,$$

$$\alpha_4 = \frac{st(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} \frac{\partial X}{\partial s} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} = 4X^3(Y-1) - X^2(Y-1),$$

$$\alpha_5 = \frac{st(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial s} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} = -X(Y-1) + XY^2(Y-1)$$

and

$$\alpha_6 = \frac{st(1-s)(1-t)}{s+t} \left(\frac{\partial X}{\partial s} \frac{\partial Y}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial Y}{\partial s} \frac{\partial X}{\partial t} \right) = -XY(Y-1) + 4X^2Y(Y-1).$$

Extracting the coefficient of X^iY^j in both sides of (2.3), we get (2.1) after shifting the index n by one.

The same manipulations above can be applied to deduce the recurrence relations for the γ -coefficients of two extensions of $A_n(s,t)$ in the next section. It does not follow immediately from recurrence relation (2.1) that $\gamma_{n+1,i,j}$ is a nonnegative integer: the left-hand side has the multiplicative factor (n+1) and the coefficient i(i+j)-n in the right-hand side may assume negative values. Nevertheless, the crucial observation that $\gamma_{n,i,j} \neq 0$ only if when $i(i+j) \geqslant n$ will lead to the nonnegativity of $\gamma_{n,i,j}$, as stated in the following.

Theorem 4. For $n \ge 1$, the coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ are nonnegative. Moreover, the coefficient $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ is positive if and only if $i \ge 1, j \ge 0, 2i + j \le n + 1$ and $i(i + j) \ge n$.

Proof. We will prove this result by induction on n using recurrence relation (2.1) for the coefficient $\gamma_{n,i,j}$.

Clearly, the result is true for $n \le 5$ by the first formulae produced in the introduction. Suppose that this result is true for some n with $n \ge 5$. We need to show the result for n+1. We can assume that $i \ge 1, j \ge 0$ and $2i+j \le n+2$, otherwise $\gamma_{n+1,i,j}=0$. There are three cases to be considered.

Case 1: If i(i+j) = n, then the inductive hypothesis implies that all $\gamma_{n,i,j-1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2}$ are 0 (except $\gamma_{n,i,j}$ may not be zero), since now

$$i(i+j-1) < n$$
, $(i-1)(i+j-2) < n$, $(i-1)(i+j+1) < n$.

Thus, $\gamma_{n+1,i,j} = 0$ if i(i+j) = n.

Case 2: If i(i+j) < n, then the inductive hypothesis implies that all $\gamma_{n,i,j-1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}$ and $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2}$, including $\gamma_{n,i,j}$, are 0, which forces $\gamma_{n+1,i,j} = 0$.

Case 3: If $i(i+j) \ge n+1$, then we need further to distinguish two subcases. Subcase I: $2i+j \le n+1$. In this case, the expression $(i(i+j)-n)\gamma_{n,i,j}$ in the right-hand side of (2.1) is positive by the inductive hypothesis, and so $\gamma_{n+1,i,j} > 0$. Subcase II: 2i+j=n+2. In this case, as

$$i(i+j-1) = i(n+1-i) \ge n$$
 and $2i+j-1 = n+1$,

we have $(n+i(n+2-i-j))\gamma_{n,i,j-1} > 0$ (again by the inductive hypothesis) in the right-hand side of (2.1), and therefore $\gamma_{n+1,i,j} > 0$. This ends the proof by induction. \square

For the sake of completeness, we provide a proof of the following fundamental result regarding the basis

$$\mathcal{B}_n := \{ (st)^i (1+st)^j (s+t)^{n-j-2i} : i, j \geqslant 0, j+2i \leqslant n \}.$$

Lemma 5. The set \mathcal{B}_n is a basis (over \mathbb{Z}) for polynomials $A(s,t) = \sum_{k,l \ge 0}^n a_{k,l} s^k t^l \in \mathbb{Z}[s,t]$ with symmetries

$$a_{k,l} = a_{l,k}$$
 and $a_{k,l} = a_{n-k,n-l}$ for all $k, l \ge 0$. (2.4)

Proof. Clearly, all polynomials in \mathcal{B}_n satisfy the symmetries (2.4), as well as their linear combinations. It remains to show that each polynomial with symmetries (2.4) can be expanded uniquely in \mathcal{B}_n .

Let $b_{n,i,j} := (st)^i (1+st)^j (s+t)^{n-j-2i}$. We order the polynomials in \mathcal{B}_n as:

$$b_{n,i,j}$$
 is before $b_{n,u,v}$ if $i < u$ or $i = u$ but $j < v$

so that the term $s^{n-i}t^{i+j}$ does not appear in any polynomial after $b_{n,i,j}$ in this order. Let \mathcal{A}_n be the set of all polynomials in $\mathbb{Z}[s,t]$ with symmetries (2.4). We say a polynomial $A(s,t) \in \mathcal{A}_n$ has *complexity*

$$(|(n+2)/2|-i)(|(n+3)/2|-i)-j$$

if it contains the term $s^{n-i}t^{i+j}$ but does not contain any term s^kt^l satisfying k > n-i or k = n-i but l < i+j. For such a polynomial A(s,t), consider the polynomial $A(s,t) - a_{n-i,i+j}b_{n,i,j}$ (obviously in \mathcal{A}_n). The complexity of this new polynomial reduces at least by one, since the term $s^{n-i}t^{i+j}$ vanishes. Therefore, by induction on the complexity, we can show that each polynomial from \mathcal{A}_n can be expanded uniquely in \mathcal{B}_n .

3 Generalizations

Fix a positive integer $k \leq n$. Define the generalized double Eulerian polynomial $A_n^{(k)}(s,t)$ by the identity

$$\sum_{i,j\geqslant 0} {ij+n-k \choose n} s^i t^j = \frac{A_n^{(k)}(s,t)}{(1-s)^{n+1}(1-t)^{n+1}}.$$
 (3.1)

The generalized double Eulerian polynomials first arise implicitly in [11]. Gessel [15] (see also [9]) further noticed that the generalized double Eulerian polynomials have the following nice interpretation

$$A_n^{(k)}(s,t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} s^{\deg(\pi^{-1})+1} t^{\deg(\pi\sigma)+1},$$

where $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ is any fixed permutation with $\operatorname{des}(\sigma) = k - 1$. Note that $A_n^{(1)}(s,t) = A_n(s,t)$. This suggests the following more general form of γ -positivity, first appeared as Conjecture 10.2 (also due to Gessel) in [1].

Theorem 6 (Generalization of Conj. 2). For $n \ge 1$ and $1 \le k \le n$, we have

$$A_n^{(k)}(s,t) = \sum_{\substack{i \ge 1, j \ge 0\\ j+2i \le n+1}} \gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}(st)^i (1+st)^j (s+t)^{n+1-j-2i}, \tag{3.2}$$

where $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ are nonnegative integers.

For s=1 or t=1, expansion (3.2) reduces to the classical result (1.1) with $\gamma_{n,i}=\sum_{j\geqslant 0}\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$. Thus, the coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ are refinements of the triangle $\gamma_{n,i}$. We decompose the proof of Theorem 6 into the following three lemmas.

Lemma 7. The generalized double Eulerian polynomial $A_n^{(k)}(s,t)$ satisfies the recurrence relation

$$nA_n^{(k)}(s,t) = (n^2st + (n-k)(1-s)(1-t))A_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t) + nst(1-s)\frac{\partial}{\partial s}A_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t) + nst(1-t)\frac{\partial}{\partial t}A_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t) + st(1-s)(1-t)\frac{\partial^2}{\partial s\partial t}A_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t),$$
(3.3)

where

$$A_k^{(k)}(s,t) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_k} s^{\operatorname{des}(\pi^{-1})+1} t^{k-\operatorname{des}(\pi)} = t^{k+1} A_k(s,1/t).$$
(3.4)

Proof. In the special case when $\sigma = k(k-1)\cdots 21$, we have $\operatorname{des}(\pi\sigma) = k-1-\operatorname{des}(\pi)$ for each $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_k$ and (3.4) follows. For simplicity, the left-hand side of (3.1) is denoted as $F_n^{(k)}(s,t)$. Since

$$n \binom{ij+n-k}{n} = (ij+n-k) \frac{(ij+n-k-1)!}{(n-1)!(ij-k)!}$$

$$= ij \binom{ij+n-k-1}{n-1} + (n-k) \binom{ij+n-k-1}{n-1},$$

we have

$$\begin{split} nF_n^{(k)}(s,t) &= \sum_{i,j\geqslant 0} n\binom{ij+n-k}{n} s^i t^j \\ &= \sum_{i,j\geqslant 0} ij \binom{ij+n-k-1}{n-1} s^i t^j + \sum_{i,j\geqslant 0} (n-k) \binom{ij+n-k-1}{n-1} s^i t^j \\ &= st \frac{\partial^2}{\partial s \partial t} F_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t) + (n-k) F_{n-1}^{(k)}(s,t). \end{split}$$

Substituting $F_n^{(k)}(s,t) = A_n^{(k)}(s,t)(1-s)^{-n-1}(1-t)^{-n-1}$ into the above relation, we get (3.3) after simplification.

Lemma 8. Fix a positive integer k. Let $n \ge k$. Then, for all $i \ge 1$ and $j \ge 0$

$$(n+1)\gamma_{n+1,i,j}^{(k)} = (n+1-k+i(n+2-i-j))\gamma_{n,i,j-1}^{(k)} + (i(i+j)-(n+1-k))\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)} + (n+4-2i-j)(n+3-2i-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}^{(k)} + (n+2i+j)(n+3-2i-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j}^{(k)} + (j+1)(2n+2-j)\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}^{(k)} + (j+1)(j+2)\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2}^{(k)},$$

$$(3.5)$$

where $\gamma_{k,i,j}^{(k)} = \gamma_{k,i,k+1-2i-j}$.

Proof. Follows from Lemma 7 by the same manipulations as the proof of Lemma 3. Note that $\gamma_{k,i,j}^{(k)} = \gamma_{k,i,k+1-2i-j}$ is equivalent to (3.4).

If we sum up both side of (3.5) for all possible j, then we go back to the recurrence relation for $\gamma_{n,i}$ [7, Remarque 5.3]:

$$\gamma_{n+1,i} = i\gamma_{n,i} + 2(n+3-2i)\gamma_{n,i-1}.$$

Note that in recurrence (3.5) the integer i(i+j) - (n+1-k) may assume negative value. The nonnegativity of coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ is confirmed by the following lemma based on Theorem 4.

Lemma 9. Fix a positive integer k. Let $n \ge k$. Then, for $i \ge 1, j \ge 0$ and $2i + j \le n + 1$:

- (i) all the coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ are nonnegative;
- (ii) the coefficient $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ vanishes if i(i+j) < n+1-k.

We will prove this result by induction on n (for $n \ge k$) using recurrence relation (3.5) for the generalized coefficients $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$.

Proof. As $\gamma_{k,i,j}^{(k)} = \gamma_{k,i,k+1-2i-j}$, the two statements are true for n=k by Theorem 4. Suppose that this result is true for some n with $n \ge k$. We need to show the two statements for n+1. It suffices to show statement (ii) in view of recurrence (3.5). We distinguish two cases.

Case 1: If i(i+j) = n+1-k, then the inductive hypothesis implies that all $\gamma_{n,i,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2}^{(k)}$ vanish (except $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$ may be positive), since now

$$\max\{i(i+j-1),(i-1)(i+j-2),(i-1)(i+j+1)\} < n+1-k.$$

Thus, $\gamma_{n+1,i,j}^{(k)} = 0$ if i(i+j) = n+1-k.

Case 2: If i(i+j) < n+1-k, then the inductive hypothesis implies that all $\gamma_{n,i,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j-1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}^{(k)}$, $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+1}^{(k)}$, and $\gamma_{n,i-1,j+2}^{(k)}$, including $\gamma_{n,i,j}^{(k)}$, vanish, which forces $\gamma_{n+1,i,j}^{(k)} = 0$.

Thus, the proof is completed by induction.

3.1 Type B analog

Consider the Type B Coxeter group \mathfrak{B}_n , whose elements are regarded as signed permutations of [n]. The type B descent statistic of $\pi \in \mathfrak{B}_n$, denoted $\operatorname{des}_B(\pi)$, is defined as

$$des_B(\pi) := \#\{i \in [n-1] : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\} + \chi(\pi_1 < 0).$$

This type B descent statistic was introduced by Brenti [3]. Gessel [15] noted that the Type B double Eulerian polynomials $B_n(s,t) := \sum_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{B}_n} s^{\operatorname{des}_B(\pi^{-1})} t^{\operatorname{des}_B(\pi)}$ has the generating function

$$\sum_{i,j\geqslant 0} {2ij+i+j+n \choose n} s^i t^j = \frac{B_n(s,t)}{(1-s)^{n+1} (1-t)^{n+1}}.$$
 (3.6)

We have the following type B analog of Conjecture 2, which also implies the γ -positivity of $B_n(1,t)$, a result known previously [5,6].

Theorem 10 (Type B analog of Conj. 2). For $n \ge 1$,

$$B_n(s,t) = \sum_{\substack{i,j \ge 0 \\ i+2i < n}} \widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j}(st)^i (1+st)^j (s+t)^{n-j-2i},$$

where $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j}$ are nonnegative integers. Moreover, $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j}$ is positive if and only if $i, j \ge 0$, $2i + j \le n$ and $2i(i + j + 1) + j \ge n$.

For instance, the first few expansions of $B_n(s,t)$ are

$$B_1(s,t) = 1 + st,$$

$$B_2(s,t) = (1+st)^2 + 4st,$$

$$B_3(s,t) = (1+st)^3 + 16st(1+st) + 4st(s+t),$$

$$B_4(s,t) = (1+st)^4 + 41st(1+st)^2 + 30st(s+t)(1+st) + st(s+t)^2 + 80(st)^2.$$

We have the following recursion for the type B γ -coefficients $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j}$.

Lemma 11. Let $n \ge 2$. For all $i, j \ge 0$, we have

$$n\widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j} = (2n - j + 2i(n - i - j))\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i,j-1} + (2i(i + j + 1) + j + 1 - n)\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i,j} + 2(n + 2 - 2i - j)(n + 1 - 2i - j)\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i-1,j-1} + 2(n + 2i + j)(n + 1 - 2i - j)\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i-1,j} + (j + 1)(4n - 2j)\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i-1,j+1} + 2(j + 1)(j + 2)\widetilde{\gamma}_{n-1,i-1,j+2}.$$

$$(3.7)$$

Proof. By (3.6) using similar approach as Lemma 3. All the computations are routine and tedious which we omit.

Proof of Theorem 10. The proof is essentially the same as that of Theorem 4 by induction on n, but using recursion (3.7) for $\widetilde{\gamma}_{n,i,j}$ instead.

Acknowledgement

I thank Ira M. Gessel, T. Kyle Petersen and Jiang Zeng for their comments. I also would like to thank the referees for their suggestions to improve the paper. This work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation of China grant 11501244.

References

- [1] P. Brändén, Actions on permutations and unimodality of descent polynomials, European J. Combin., **29** (2008), 514–531.
- [2] P. Brändén, Unimodality, log-concavity, real-rootedness and beyond, *Handbook of Enumerative Combinatorics*, CRC Press Book. (arXiv:1410.6601)
- [3] F. Brenti, q-Eulerian polynomials arising from Coxeter groups, European J. Combin., **15** (1994), 417–441.
- [4] L. Carlitz, D.P. Roselle and R.A. Scoville, Permutations and sequences with repetitions by number of increases, J. Combinatorial Theory, 1 (1966), 350–374.
- [5] C.-O. Chow, On certain combinatorial expansions of the Eulerian polynomials, Adv. in Appl. Math., **41** (2008), 133–157.
- [6] K. Dilks, T.K. Petersen and J.R. Stembridge, Affine descents and the Steinberg torus, Adv. in Appl. Math., **42** (2009), 423–444.
- [7] D. Foata and M.-P. Schützenberger, *Théorie géométrique des polynômes eulériens*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 138, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1970.
- [8] D. Foata and V. Strehl, Rearrangements of the symmetric group and enumerative properties of the tangent and secant numbers, Math. Z., **137** (1974), 257–264.
- [9] I.M. Gessel, Multipartite *P*-partitions and inner products of skew Schur functions, Combinatorics and algebra (Boulder, Colo., 1983), 289–317, Contemp. Math., 34, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1984.
- [10] Z. Lin and J. Zeng, The γ -positivity of basic Eulerian polynomials via group actions, J. Combin. Theory, Ser. A, **135** (2015), 112–129.
- [11] B. Mielnik and J. Plebański, Combinatorial approach to Baker-Campbell-Hausdorff exponents, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Sect. A (N.S.), **12** (1970), 215–254.
- [12] T.K. Petersen, Two-sided Eulerian numbers via balls in boxes, Math. Mag., 86 (2013), 159–176.
- [13] T.K. Petersen, *Eulerian numbers*. With a foreword by Richard Stanley. Birkhäuser Advanced Texts: Basler Lehrbücher. Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2015.
- [14] R.P. Stanley, *Enumerative combinatorics*, Vol. 1, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [15] M. Visontai, Some remarks on the joint distribution of descents and inverse descents, Electron. J. Combin., **20** (2013), #P52.