# On matchings in stochastic Kronecker graphs

Justyna Banaszak\*

Faculty of Mathematics and Computer Science Adam Mickiewicz University Poznań, Poland

just.banaszak@gmail.com

Submitted: Nov 5, 2015; Accepted: Sep 29, 2016; Published: Oct 14, 2016 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C80; 05C70; 05C40

#### Abstract

The stochastic Kronecker graph is a random structure whose vertex set is a hypercube and the probability of an edge depends on the structure of its ends. We prove that when a.a.s. the stochastic Kronecker graph becomes connected it a.a.s. contains a perfect matching.

Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $N = 2^n$ , and let  $0 \le \alpha, \beta, \gamma \le 1$ , where  $\gamma \le \alpha$  be some constants. Denote by **P** a symmetric matrix

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{array}{cc} 1 & 0 \\ 1 & \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \beta & \gamma \end{pmatrix}, \end{array}$$

where 0's and 1's are labels of rows and columns of **P**. A stochastic Kronecker graph  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  is a random graph with vertex set  $V = \{0, 1\}^n$ , the set of all binary sequences of length n, where the probability that two vertices  $u = (u_1, \ldots, u_n), v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in V$  are adjacent is given by

$$p_{u,v} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} \mathbf{P}[u_i, v_i].$$

This model was introduced by Leskovec, Chakrabarti, Kleinberg and Faloutsos in [4]. They showed empirically that the desired graph properties of real world networks hold in this model (see [5], [6]).

In [2] Mahdian and Xu showed that the threshold for connectivity of stochastic Kronecker graph is  $\beta + \gamma = 1$ . They also proved that if  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$  are such that  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  is asymptotically almost surely (a.a.s.) connected, and moreover  $\gamma \leqslant \beta \leqslant \alpha$ , then the graph has a.a.s. a constant diameter. Horn and Radcliffe [7] studied the emergence of the giant

<sup>\*</sup>Partially supported by grant NCN Maestro 2012/06/A/ST1/00261.

component and verified that a.a.s. it appears in  $K(n, \mathbf{P})$  as soon as  $(\alpha + \beta)(\beta + \gamma) > 1$ . Kang, Karoński, Koch and Makai [8] showed that the degree distribution of  $K(n, \mathbf{P})$  does not obey a power-law and determined the threshold for the existence of some small subgraphs.

In this paper we denote by d(v, u) the Hamming distance between two vertices v and u and by w(v) the weight of a vertex  $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  the number of 1's in its label

$$w(v) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_i.$$

Note that the expected degree of a vertex v with weight w = w(v) is

$$\mathbb{E}(\deg(v)) = \sum_{i=0}^{w} {w \choose i} \alpha^i \beta^{w-i} \sum_{j=0}^{n-w} {n-w \choose j} \gamma^j \beta^{n-w-j} = (\alpha+\beta)^w (\beta+\gamma)^{n-w}. \tag{1}$$

We start with stating the connectivity result. As we have already mentioned Mahdian and Xu [2] showed that if  $\beta + \gamma > 1$ , then a.a.s.  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  is connected while for  $\beta + \gamma < 1$  a.a.s. it contains isolated vertices. Later their result was supplemented (in much larger generality by Radcliffe and Young [9]). From their result we can derive the following observation concerning the connectivity of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  at the threshold, i.e. when  $\beta + \gamma = 1$ .

## Theorem 1.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}(n,\mathbf{P}) \text{ is connected}) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} 0 & \text{if } \beta+\gamma=1, \ \beta\neq 1 \text{ or } \beta=1, \ \alpha=\gamma=0 \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta=1, \ \alpha>0 \text{ and } \gamma=0. \end{array} \right.$$

We shall show that the threshold for the emergence of a perfect matching in  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  is basically the same as the connectivity threshold. Our main result can be stated as follows.

### Theorem 2.

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}(n,\mathbf{P}) \text{ contains a perfect matching}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta+\gamma \leqslant 1 \text{ and } \beta \neq 1 \\ 1 & \text{if } \beta+\gamma > 1 \text{ or } \beta = 1. \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\beta + \gamma \leq 1$  and  $\beta \neq 1$ . In the proof of Theorem 1, Radcliffe and Young have shown that a.a.s.  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  contains an isolated vertex and so a.a.s. it does not contain a perfect matching.

Let  $\beta = 1$ . Then every vertex  $v = (v_1, \dots, v_n) \in V(\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P}))$  is with probability  $\beta^n = 1$  connected to  $\bar{v} = (1 - v_1, \dots, 1 - v_n)$ . Thus, with probability 1,  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  contains a perfect matching.

Now let us consider the most interesting case,  $\beta + \gamma > 1$ . The main idea of our argument is the following. We shall choose a dense bipartite subgraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  and show that it contains a perfect matching by verifying Hall's condition.

To this end for a given odd number t let H = H(n,t) denote a graph with a vertex set  $\{0,1\}^n$ , and edges between the pairs of vertices of Hamming distance d(u,v) = t. Denote by  $V_1$  and  $V_2$  the subsets of vertices of H of odd and even weights respectively. Since t is odd, all the edges in H must have one end in  $V_1$  and the other in  $V_2$ , i.e. H is bipartite. Now let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(t, n, \mathbf{P})$  be a subgraph of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$ , which contains only the edges between the vertices of Hamming distance t, i.e.  $\mathcal{H}$  contains only those edges of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  which belong to H. Clearly,  $\mathcal{H}$  is bipartite. We shall show that for

$$t = 2\left[\frac{\beta}{2(\beta + \gamma)}n\right] + 1,$$

which is basically the value of t which maximizes the expected number of edges in  $\mathcal{H}$ , the random bipartite graph  $\mathcal{H}$  a.a.s. fulfills Hall's condition, and so a.a.s. it contains a perfect matching.

In order to do that we show first that the underlying bipartite graph H has good expanding properties. Let us first introduce some notation. For two subsets W and U of the vertex set of H, let  $e_H(W,U)$  denote the number of edges with one end in W and another in U. Let  $\overline{W}$  denote the complement of W in the vertex set of H. By Vol(W) we denote the sum of vertex degrees of W.

Let us recall that a graph G is edge-transitive, if for any two edges  $e^1, e^2 \in E(G)$  there exists a graph automorphism  $F: V(G) \to V(G)$ , which transforms  $e^1$  into  $e^2$ . The following result of Chung [1] (Theorem 7.1) is crucial for our argument.

**Theorem 3.** Let G be an edge-transitive graph with diameter D. Then for every  $W \subseteq V(G)$ , such that  $Vol(W) \leqslant \frac{Vol(V(G))}{2}$ ,

$$\frac{e_G(W, \overline{W})}{\operatorname{Vol}(W)} \geqslant \frac{1}{2D}.$$

In order to apply this result we need to check if H is edge transitive and has small diameter.

**Lemma 4.**  $H\left(n, 2\left\lceil \frac{\beta}{2(\beta+\gamma)}n\right\rceil + 1\right)$  is edge-transitive and its diameter can be bounded from above by a constant D which depends only on constants  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  but not on n.

Proof. Clearly, for  $i \in [n]$  the function  $\tau_i : \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  that maps  $(v_1,\ldots,v_i,\ldots,v_n)$  to  $(v_1,\ldots,1-v_i,\ldots,v_n)$  is an automorphism of H. Also, for any permutation  $\sigma:[n] \to [n]$ , the map  $\operatorname{Aut}(\sigma): \{0,1\}^n \to \{0,1\}^n$  that maps the vertex  $(v_1,\ldots,v_i,\ldots,v_n)$  to  $(v_{\sigma(1)},\ldots,v_{\sigma(i)},\ldots,v_{\sigma(n)})$  is an automorphism of H. We show that the group generated by all automorphisms of the above two kinds acts transitively on edges of H.

Although it is a rather easy observation let us prove it more formally. Let  $e^1 = \{u^1, v^1\}$ ,  $e^2 = \{u^2, v^2\}$  be two edges of H. For  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ , there exist precisely t positions j such that  $u_j^i \neq v_j^i$ . Let  $I_i \subseteq [n]$  be the set of those positions (for  $i \in \{1, 2\}$ ). Let  $\phi$  be a permutation of [n] such that  $\phi(I_1) = I_2$  and  $\phi^* = \operatorname{Aut}(\phi)$  be the automorphism of H induced by  $\phi$ . This automorphism is uniquely defined for fixed permutation  $\phi$ . Note that

the pairs  $\{\phi^*(u^1), \phi^*(v^1)\}$  and  $\{u^2, v^2\}$  differ on the same positions, i.e.  $\phi^*(u^1)_j \neq \phi^*(v^1)_j$  if and only if  $u_j^2 \neq v_j^2$ . Define  $\psi : \{0, 1\}^n \to \{0, 1\}^n$  by putting

$$\psi(x)_j = \begin{cases} x_j & \text{if } \phi^*(u^1)_j = u_j^2 \\ 1 - x_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Clearly  $\psi(\phi^*(u^1)) = u^2$ . Moreover  $\psi(x)_j = x_j$  iff  $\phi^*(u^1)_j = u_j^2$  and it happens iff  $\phi^*(v^1)_j = v_j^2$ . Thus  $\psi(\phi^*(v^1)) = v^2$  so  $\psi \circ \phi^*$  is an automorphism of H which maps  $e^1$  into  $e^2$ . Hence H is edge-transitive.

It remains to find an upper bound for the diameter of H. Let v, v' be two vertices of H such that d(v, v') is even. We show that they are connected by a short path. We split our argument into several cases.

Case 1.  $d(v, v') \leq \min\{2t, 2n - 2t\}$ .

In this case there exists a vertex v'' which is adjacent to both v and v'. Indeed, to find v'' it is enough to change v on d(v, v')/2 positions on which v and v' differ and t - d(v, v')/2 positions on which they coincide.

Case 2. d(v, v') > 2t (which is possible only if  $\gamma > \beta$ ).

For each pair of such vertices v and v' there exists a vertex v'' adjacent to v such that d(v'', v') = d(v, v') - t. To get v'' we only need to change v on t positions on which v and v' differ. Applying this observation 2r times, where

$$2r \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{n-2t}{t} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{t} - 2 \right\rceil + 1 \leqslant \frac{n}{\frac{\beta}{\beta+\gamma}n} = \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta}$$

one can construct a path  $vv_1 \cdots v_{2r}$  in H such that for every  $1 \leqslant i \leqslant 2r$ , we have  $d(v_i, v') = d(v_{i-1}, v') - t$  and  $d(v_{2r}, v') \leqslant 2t$ . Notice that in this case 2t < n, so 2t < 2n - 2t and thus  $d(v_{2r}, v') \leqslant \min\{2t, 2n - 2t\}$ . As  $d(v_{2r}, v')$  is even, one can connect vertices  $v_{2r}$  and v' by a path of length two using the argument from Case 1.

Case 3.  $2n - 2t < d(v, v') \leq 2t$  (which is possible only if  $\beta > \gamma$ ).

For each such v and v' there exist a path  $vv_1v_2$  such that  $d(v_2, v') = d(v, v') - 2(n-t)$ . To obtain  $v_1$  from v, we need to change all n - d(v, v') positions on which v, v' do not differ and t - n + d(v, v') among other positions. Then,  $d(v, v_1) = n - d(v, v') + t - n + d(v, v') = t$ . To obtain  $v_2$  from  $v_1$ , we need to change all n - d(v, v') positions on which v, v' do not differ, all n - t positions on which v and  $v_1$  are the same and 2t - 2n + d(v, v') > 0 other positions. Then, indeed  $d(v_1, v_2) = n - d(v, v') + n - t + 2t - 2n + d(v, v') = t$  and  $d(v_2, v') = 2t - 2n + d(v, v')$ .

Arguing in the same way we find a path  $vv_1 \cdots v_{2s}$  of length

$$2s \leqslant \left\lceil \frac{n - (2n - 2t)}{n - t} \right\rceil + 1 = \left\lceil \frac{n}{n - t} - 2 \right\rceil + 1 \leqslant \frac{n}{\frac{\gamma}{\beta + \gamma}n - 2} \leqslant \frac{2(\beta + \gamma)}{\gamma}$$

such that for every  $i \leq s$ ,  $d(v_{2i}, v') = d(v_{2i-2}, v') - 2(n-t)$  and  $d(v_{2s}, v') \leq 2n - 2t$ . Now, since  $d(v_{2s}, v') \leq \min\{2t, 2n - 2t\}$ , and  $d(v_{2s}, v')$  is even, we can apply Case 1 to connect  $v_{2s}$  and v' by a path of length two.

Consequently, we have shown that the diameter D of H is bounded from above by

$$D \leqslant 2\frac{\beta + \gamma}{\gamma} + 3 \qquad \Box$$

As a direct consequence of Theorem 3 and Lemma 4 we get the following result on expansion properties of H.

**Lemma 5.** Let W be a subset of the vertex set of H such that

$$|W| \le |V(H)|/2 = 2^{n-1}$$
.

Then there exists a constant  $c = c(\beta, \gamma) > 0$  such that

$$e_H(W, \overline{W}) \geqslant c|W| \binom{n}{t}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $W, |W| \leq 2^{n-1}$ , be a set of vertices of H. Since H is an  $\binom{n}{t}$ -regular graph,

$$Vol(W) = \binom{n}{t}|W| \leqslant \binom{n}{t}\frac{|V(H)|}{2} = \frac{Vol(V(H))}{2}.$$

Since H is edge transitive, by Theorem 3 we get

$$\frac{e_H(W,\overline{W})}{\operatorname{Vol}(W)} \geqslant \frac{1}{2D},$$

where D is the diameter of H. By Lemma 4, D is bounded above by a constant, so for some positive constant c we have

$$e_H(W, \overline{W}) \geqslant \frac{1}{2D} \text{Vol}(W) \geqslant c|W| \binom{n}{t}$$

Let us return to the random graph  $\mathcal{H}$ . Recall that  $\mathcal{H}$  is a bipartite graph with a bipartition  $(V_1, V_2)$ , where  $|V_1| = |V_2| = 2^{n-1}$ . We will use the Hall's condition, which states that a bipartite graph G(V, U), |V| = |U| does not have a perfect matching iff there exists a set  $R \subseteq V$  or  $R \subseteq U$  such that

$$|N_G(R)| < |R|, \tag{2}$$

where  $N_G(R)$  is the set of all vertices adjacent in G to the vertices from R. Suppose G does not have a perfect matching. Let S be the smallest set  $S \subseteq V$  or  $S \subseteq U$  which satisfies (2). Without loss of generality, suppose  $S \subseteq V$ . Assume  $|N_G(S)| < |S| - 1$ . Then we can delete any  $|S| - |N_G(S)| - 1$  vertices from S to obtain a set smaller than S which also satisfies (2). Since S is the smallest set satisfying (2) this situation is impossible, so  $|N_G(S)| = |S| - 1$ . Moreover the set  $S' = U \setminus N_G(S)$  does not have neighbours in S, i.e.  $N_G(S') \subseteq V \setminus S$ , so  $|N_G(S')| \leq |V| - |S|$ , while  $|S'| = |U| - |S| + 1 > |N_G(S')|$ . Hence

|S'| also satisfies (2). |S'| + |S| = |U| + 1, so as S is the smallest set which satisfies (2),  $|S| \leq |U|/2$ .

Therefore if  $\mathcal{H}$  does not have a perfect matching, there exists a set  $S \subseteq V_1$  or  $S \subseteq V_2$  such that  $|N_{\mathcal{H}}(S)| = |S| - 1$  and  $|S| \leq |V_1|/2 = 2^{n-2}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be the event that such a subset S exists in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_1$  be the event that such a subset  $S \subseteq V_1$  exists. Let  $\mathcal{A}_2$  be the event that such a subset  $S \subseteq V_2$  exists. Then  $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_1) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_2)$ , hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{H} \text{ does not contain a perfect matching}) = \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant 2\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_1).$$

For two fixed sets  $S \subseteq V_1$ ,  $|S| \leqslant 2^{n-2} = N/4$  and  $T \subseteq V_2$ , |T| = |S| - 1, let  $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$  denote the event that T is the neighbourhood of S in the random graph  $\mathcal{H}$ . In order to estimate the probability of  $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$  we apply Lemma 5 to the set  $W = S \cup T$ . Clearly |W| = 2|S| - 1 < N/2.

For deterministic H we have

$$e_H(S, V_2 \setminus T) + e_H(T, V_1 \setminus S) = e_H(W, \overline{W}) \geqslant c \binom{n}{t} |W| = c \binom{n}{t} (2|S| - 1),$$
 (3)

while from the regularity of H we get

$$e_H(S,\overline{S}) = e_H(S,V_2 \setminus T) + e_H(S,T) = \binom{n}{t}|S|, \tag{4}$$

and

$$e_H(T,\overline{T}) = e_H(T,V_1 \setminus S) + e_H(T,S) = \binom{n}{t}|T| = \binom{n}{t}(|S|-1).$$
 (5)

Adding (3) and (4) and subtracting (5), we obtain that in H,

$$e_H(S, V_2 \setminus T) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \binom{n}{t} \left( |S| + 2c|S| - c - |S| + 1 \right) \geqslant c' \binom{n}{t} |S|,$$

for some constant c' > 0. Thus if  $\mathcal{A}_{S,T}$  occurs,  $c'\binom{n}{t}|S|$  fixed pairs of vertices which are adjacent in H are not adjacent in  $\mathcal{H}$ . Observe that for each pair u,v of vertices with Hamming distance t, the probability that there exists an edge  $\{u,v\}$  is at least  $\beta^t \gamma^{n-t}$ . Thus, the probability of  $\mathcal{A}$  that Hall's condition fails for some set  $S, |S| \leq 2^{n-2} = N/4$ , is bounded from above by

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant 2 \sum_{\substack{S \subseteq V_1 \\ |S| \leqslant N/4}} \sum_{\substack{T \subseteq V_2 \\ |T| = |S| - 1}} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}_{S,T})$$

$$\leqslant 2 \sum_{s=1}^{N/4} \binom{N/2}{s} \binom{N/2}{s-1} (1 - \beta^t \gamma^{n-t})^{c's\binom{n}{t}}$$

$$\leqslant 2 \sum_{s=1}^{N/4} N^{2s} \exp\left(-c's\binom{n}{t}\beta^t \gamma^{n-t}\right).$$

Since

$$\sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} \beta^{i} \gamma^{n-i} = (\beta + \gamma)^{n}$$

and t was chosen to correspond the largest term in the sum, so for n large enough

$$\binom{n}{t}\beta^t\gamma^{n-t} \geqslant (\beta+\gamma)^n/n .$$

Hence

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant 2 \sum_{s=1}^{N/4} \left( 2^{2n} \exp\left(-c'(\beta + \gamma)^n/n\right) \right)^s$$

and since the term in brackets is exponentially small, it is maximal when s=1 that is

$$\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{A}) \leqslant 2 \sum_{s=1}^{N/4} \left( 2^{2n} \exp\left( -c'(\beta + \gamma)^n / n \right) \right)^s \leqslant 2^n 2^{2n} \exp\left( -c'(\beta + \gamma)^n / n \right) = o(1).$$

Consequently, a.a.s.  $\mathcal{H}$ , and thus also  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$ , contains a perfect matching.

In the proof we have found a perfect matching in a bipartite subgraph  $\mathcal{H}$  of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$ , containing only the edges joining vertices which are at Hamming distance

$$t = 2\left\lceil \frac{\beta}{2(\beta + \gamma)} n \right\rceil + 1$$

in the hypercube. Note however that if we take k edge-disjoint subgraphs  $\mathcal{H}_{\ell}$ , for  $\ell \in [k]$ , containing the edges of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  joining vertices at Hamming distance

$$t = t(\ell) = 2 \left\lceil \frac{\beta}{2(\beta + \gamma)} n \right\rceil + 2\ell + 1.$$

respectively, we can mimic our argument to construct k edge-disjoint perfect matchings.

Thus, let k-PM denote the property, that a graph contains k edge-disjoint perfect matchings.

**Theorem 6.** Let  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $k \ge 2$  be a constant.

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P}) \text{ has } k\text{-PM property}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta + \gamma \leq 1\\ 1 & \text{if } \beta + \gamma > 1. \end{cases}$$

In particular

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P}) \text{ contains } k\text{-factor}) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } \beta + \gamma \leq 1\\ 1 & \text{if } \beta + \gamma > 1. \end{cases}$$

Note the difference between the cases k = 1 and  $k \ge 2$  for  $\beta = 1$  and  $\gamma = 0$  when, as we have already observed, a.a.s. the minimum degree of  $\mathcal{K}(n, \mathbf{P})$  is one.

## Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Professor Tomasz Łuczak for stimulating discussion and valuable comments.

## References

- [1] F. Chung. Spectral Graph Theory. CBMS Conference on Recent Advances in Spectral Graph Theory Vol. 92, AMS Publications, 1997.
- [2] M. Mahdian and Y. Xu. Stochastic Kronecker Graphs. Random Structures and Algorithms, 38(4): 453–466, 2011.
- [3] P. Stanica. Good lower and upper bounds on binomial coefficients. *JIPAM. Journal of Inequalities in Pure and Applied Mathematics*, 2(3): 1–4, 2001.
- [4] D. Chakrabarti, C. Faloutsos, J. Kleinberg and J. Leskovec. Realistic, mathematically tractable graph generation and evolution, using Kronecker multiplication. *Proceedings of the European Conference on Principles and Practice of Knowledge Discovery in Databases*: 133–145, 2005.
- [5] D. Chakrabarti, C. Faloutsos, Z. Ghahramani, J. Kleinberg and J. Leskovec. Kronecker graphs: an approach to modeling networks. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 11: 985–1042, 2010.
- [6] T. Kolda, A. Pinar and C. Seshadhri. An in-depth analysis of stochastic Kronecker graphs. *Journal of the ACM*, 60(2): 1–32, 2013.
- [7] P. Horn and M. Radcliffe. Giant components in Kronecker graphs. *Random Structures* and Algorithms, 40(3): 385–397, 2012.
- [8] M. Kang, M. Karoński, Ch.Koch and T.Makai. Properties of stochastic Kronecker graphs. *Journal of Combinatorics*, 4(6): 395–432, 2015.
- [9] M. Radcliffe, S. Young. Connectivity and giant components of Stochastic Kronecker Graphs. *Journal of Combinatorics*, 6(4): 457–482, 2015.