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#### Abstract

We study the transition matrix of a quantum walk on strongly regular graphs. It is proposed by Emms, Hancock, Severini and Wilson in 2006, that the spectrum of $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$, a matrix based on the amplitudes of walks in the quantum walk, distinguishes strongly regular graphs. We probabilistically compute the spectrum of the line intersection graphs of two non-isomorphic generalized quadrangles of order $\left(5^{2}, 5\right)$ under this matrix and thus provide strongly regular counter-examples to the conjecture.
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## 1 Introduction

A discrete-time quantum walk is a quantum process on a graph whose state vector is governed by a matrix, called the transition matrix. In [3, 2] Emms, Severini, Wilson and Hancock propose that the quantum walk transition matrix can be used to distinguish between non-isomorphic strongly regular graphs. After experiments on a large set of

[^0]graphs, no strongly regular graph was known to have a cospectral mate with respect to this invariant. In this paper we will compute the spectrum of $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$ for two particular non-isomorphic graphs and show that they are not distinguished by the spectrum of $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$.

A discrete quantum walk is a process on a graph $X$ governed by a unitary matrix, $U$, which is called the transition matrix. For $u v$ and $w x \operatorname{arcs}$ in the digraph of $X$, the transition matrix is defined to be:

$$
U_{w x, u v}= \begin{cases}\frac{2}{d(v)} & \text { if } v=w \text { and } u \neq x \\ \frac{2}{d(v)}-1 & \text { if } v=w \text { and } u=x \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Let $U(X)$ and $U(H)$ be the transition matrices of quantum walks on $X$ and $H$ respectively. Given a matrix $M$, the positive support of $M$, denoted $S^{+}(M)$, is the matrix obtained from $M$ as follows:

$$
\left(S^{+}(M)\right)_{i, j}= \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } M_{i, j}>0 \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

It is easy to see that if $X$ and $H$ are isomorphic regular graphs, then $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and $S^{+}\left(U(H)^{3}\right)$ are cospectral. For convenience, we will define $S:=S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and we will write $S$ or $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$ to mean $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ when the context is clear. The authors of $[2,3]$ propose that this spectrum is also a complete invariant for strongly regular graphs; they conjecture that the spectrum of the matrix $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ distinguishes strongly regular graphs. A graph $X$ on $n$ vertices is strongly regular if it is neither complete nor empty, each vertex has $k$ neighbours, each pair of adjacent vertices has a common neighbours and each pair of non-adjacent vertices has $c$ neighbours. The tuple ( $n, k, a, c$ ) is said to be the parameter set of $X$.

In his Ph.D. thesis [12], Jamie Smith constructs an infinite family of graphs which are not distinguishable by the procedure of Emms et al. These graphs are not strongly regular but are close, in that they have diameter two and four eigenvalues. The eigenvalues of $S^{+}(U)$ and $S^{+}\left(U^{2}\right)$ were studied by two of the authors in [4]. Pairs of small regular (but not strongly regular) counterexamples are given in [6]. Computations in Sage [14] show that Hadamard graphs of order $n$ are also not distinguished by the procedure of Emms et al. for $n=4,8,16,20$ and we conjecture that it is true for all $n$.

In this article, we give strongly regular counterexamples to the conjecture of Emms et al. by finding a pair of non-isomorphic strongly regular graphs with parameter set $(756,130,4,26)$ which have the same spectrum with respect to $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$. These strongly regular graphs are the line intersection graphs of the two generalized quadrangles of order $\left(5^{2}, 5\right)$. The line intersection graphs of the two generalized quadrangles of order $\left(5^{2}, 5\right)$ are not distinguished by the procedure of Emms et al.

Since the transition matrices of these graphs are $98280 \times 98280$, our computation of their minimal polynomials were done probabilistically. We then determined the eigenvalues and their multiplicities of both matrices, given the minimal polynomials.

## 2 Generalized quadrangles

The spectrum of $S^{+}\left(U^{3}\right)$ distinguishes strongly regular graphs for many parameter sets. In particular, the conjecture of Emms et al. was checked for the small strongly regular graphs on less than or equal to 64 vertices found in [13]. Note that the collection of strongly regular graphs in [13] is not complete for graphs up to 64 vertices; for example, the class of strongly regular graphs with parameter set $(57,24,11,9)$, consisting of graphs constructed from Steiner triple systems $S(2,3,19)$ is missing. Nevertheless, the procedure of Emms et al. distinguishes many classes of strongly regular graphs and we are motivated to search for strongly regular graphs with more regularity.

It is known for a strongly regular graph that when the Krein bound holds with equality for the diagonal Krein parameter, the second neighbourhood of any vertex is also strongly regular. The parameter set $(756,130,4,26)$ is the smallest parameter set with a pair of non-isomorphic graphs having the property of vanishing Krein parameter. See [1]. We focus on strongly regular graphs with vanishing Krein parameter since the Hadamard graphs, which were also not distinguished by the procedure of Emms. et al. are distanceregular graphs with vanishing Krein parameter.

A generalized quadrangle of order $(s, t)$ is an incidence structure where every point lies on $s+1$ lines and every line contains $t+1$ points. We construct the line intersection graph of a generalized quadrangle by taking the lines to be the vertices and two lines are adjacent if they have a common point. The line intersection graph of a generalized quadrangle of order $(s, t)$ is strongly regular with parameter set $((t+1)(s t+1), s(t+1), t-1, s+1)$. See [11] for the standard text on finite generalized quadrangles.

There are two non-isomorphic generalized quadrangles of order $\left(5^{2}, 5\right)$ which are known in the literature as $H\left(3,5^{2}\right)$ and $\operatorname{FTWKB}(5)$. The description of $H\left(3,5^{2}\right)$ is given in Section 3.1 of [11]. The generalized quadrangle FTWKB(5) was first discovered by Kantor in [8] and a construction as a flock generalized quadrangle can be found in Section 3.6 of [15]. The graph6 strings available upon request from the second author.

## 3 Eigenvalue computations

In this section, we describe our computations for the line intersection graphs of the two generalized quadrangles of order $\left(5^{2}, 5\right)$, namely $H\left(3,5^{2}\right)$ and FTWKB(5).

We used several computer programs, implemented in C++ and using OpenMP [10] and GMP 6.1.0 [5], to do the computations described in the rest of this section:

- A program that, given an implementation of $x \mapsto A x$ and a prime $p<2^{31}$, generates Krylov spaces of random vectors over $G F(p)$.
- A program that, given an implementation of $x \mapsto A x$, a prime $p<2^{31}$ such that the minimal polynomial of $A$ splits over $G F(p)$, and the distinct eigenvalues of $A$ over $G F(p)$, consumes Krylov spaces and reports lower bounds on eigenspace dimensions.
- A program that, given a graph $Z$, computes the matrix $S^{+}\left(U^{3}(Z)\right)^{2}$ and thereby $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U^{3}(Z)\right)^{1}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U^{3}(Z)\right)^{2}\right), \operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U^{3}(Z)\right)^{3}\right)$, and $\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U^{3}(Z)\right)^{4}\right)$.
- A program for enumerating all solutions to the integer system of equations and inequalities that arises later in this section.

These programs are tailored to the computation described in this section and are not general-purpose; they have been written for inputs corresponding to $H\left(3,5^{2}\right)$ and FTWKB(5). The programs, as well as the graph6 strings of the graphs, are publicly available on the third author's github repository[9].

If $\mathbb{F}$ is a field, $A$ is an $n \times n$ integer matrix and $v \in \mathbb{F}^{n}$, then the minimal polynomial of $A$ on the Krylov space generated by $v$ is the nonzero monic polynomial $\psi$ of least degree such that $\psi(A) v=0$. This coincides with the minimal polynomial of the restriction of $A$ to the Krylov subspace $\operatorname{Span}\left(\left\{v, A v, A^{2} v, \ldots, A^{n} v\right\}\right)$. One can compute the vectors $v$, $A v, A^{2} v, \ldots$ until a linearly dependent set is found. The first linear dependence found yields a relation of the form $A^{k} v+a_{k-1} A^{k-1} v+\cdots+a_{0} v$; the minimal polynomial of $A$ on the Krylov space generated by $v$ is then $x^{k}+a_{k-1} x^{k-1}+\cdots+a_{0}$.

Note that, if one chooses $v$ uniformly at random from $\mathbb{F}^{n}$, then the minimal polynomial of $A\left(\right.$ on $\left.\mathbb{F}^{n}\right)$ differs from the minimal polynomial of $A$ on the Krylov space generated by $v$ with probability at most $n /|F|$.

A closely-related approach is Wiedemann's algorithm [16]; Wiedemann's algorithm computes, with high probability, the minimal polynomial of a matrix on the Krylov space generated by a vector when $\mathbb{F}$ is a finite field. See [7] for a probabilistic analysis of Wiedemann's algorithm.

Let $X$ be the line intersection graph of $H\left(3,5^{2}\right)$ and let $Y$ be the line intersection graph of FTWKB(5). We probabilistically compute that the minimal polynomials of both $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)$, modulo every prime between 1999999000 and 1999999180 , is as follows:

$$
\begin{gather*}
(x-1)(x-15)(x-125)(x-127)(x-68005)(x+25)(x+23)(x+9) \\
\left(x^{2}-5426 x+7128229\right)\left(x^{3}+799 x^{2}+122869 x-7632765\right) . \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

Since the minimal polynomial is square-free, $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)$ are both diagonalizable over every finite field over which the quadratic and cubic factors of (1) split- $G F(1999999151)$ for example.

We further deterministically computed $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)^{2}$ and $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)^{2}$ and obtained the following:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)\right)=98280 \\
\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)^{2}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)^{2}\right)=6670853280 \\
\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)^{3}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)^{3}\right)=318986389121400
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)^{4}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)^{4}\right)=21401273663621790120
$$

Let $x_{i}$ be the multiplicity of the $i$ th factor appearing in (1) as a factor of the characteristeristic polynomial of $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$. Since $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)$ are both irreducible matrices with entries in $\{0,1\}$ the Perron-Frobenius theorem implies that the largest
eigenvalue in amplitude (68005) has multiplicity 1 and so $m_{5}=1$. We probabilistically compute that $m_{9}=105$ and $m_{10}=680$; we generated 2000 random Krylov spaces modulo 1999999151 and only generated 105 eigenvectors for the 9th factor and 680 eigenvectors for the 10th factor. We get the following system of linear equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
& m_{1}+m_{2}+m_{3}+m_{4}+m_{5}+m_{6}+m_{7}+m_{8}+2 m_{9}+3 m_{10}=98280 \\
& m_{1}+15 m_{2}+125 m_{3}+127 m_{4}+68005 m_{5}-25 m_{6}-23 m_{7}-9 m_{8}+5426 m_{9}-799 m_{10}=98280 \\
& m_{1}+225 m_{2}+15625 m_{3}+16129 m_{4}+4624680025 m_{5} \\
&+625 m_{6}+529 m_{7}+81 m_{8}+15185018 m_{9}+392663 m_{10}=6670853280 \\
& m_{1}+3375 m_{2}+1953125 m_{3}+2048383 m_{4}+314501365100125 m_{5} \\
&-15625 m_{6}-12167 m_{7}-729 m_{8}+43716137114 m_{9}-192667111 m_{10}=318986389121400 \\
& m_{1}+50625 m_{2}+244140625 m_{3}+260144641 m_{4}+21387665333634000625 m_{5} \\
&+390625 m_{6}+279841 m_{7}+6561 m_{8}+128961474307442 m_{9}+99596332307 m_{10}=21401273663621790120
\end{aligned}
$$

We substitute the values of $m_{5}, m_{9}$ and $m_{10}$ and simplify to obtain the following linear system of 5 equations in 7 variables:

$$
\begin{align*}
59241 m_{1}+17575 m_{7}+72896 m_{8} & =2544438125 \\
-10780 m_{2}+1665 m_{7}+4556 m_{8} & =88344500 \\
8525 m_{3}+570 m_{7}+1088 m_{8} & =74452850  \tag{2}\\
-11172 m_{4}+703 m_{7}+1340 m_{8} & =-20869720 \\
12350 m_{6}+10545 m_{7}+2278 m_{8} & =626911750 .
\end{align*}
$$

We deterministically computed lower bounds of the remaining multiplicities; we generated Krylov spaces at random and found 2000 linearly independent eigenvectors for each remaining eigenvalue modulo 1999999151. We obtain that $m_{i} \geqslant 2000$ for $i \in$ $\{1,2,3,4,6,7,8\}$. Solving (2), we find only one positive integer solution satisfying this condition:
$m_{1}=15625, m_{2}=2625, m_{3}=4914, m_{4}=5460, m_{6}=24570, m_{7}=27300, m_{8}=15625$.
The same computations were done for $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)$ and the same arguments follow and so $S^{+}\left(U(X)^{3}\right)$ and $S^{+}\left(U(Y)^{3}\right)$ are cospectral.
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