

# A note on chromatic number and induced odd cycles

Baogang Xu\*

Institute of Mathematics, School of Mathematical Sciences  
Solatido College  
Nanjing Normal University, 1 Wenyuan Road, Nanjing, 210023, China  
baogxu@njnu.edu.cn OR baogxu@hotmail.com

Gexin Yu

Department of Mathematics, The College of William and Mary,  
Williamsburg, VA, 23185, USA  
School of Mathematics and Statistics, Huazhong Normal University, Wuhan, China  
gyu@wm.edu

Xiaoya Zha

Department of Mathematical Sciences  
Middle Tennessee State University, Murfreesboro, TN 37132, USA  
xzha@mtsu.edu

Submitted: Sep 8, 2015; Accepted: Oct 13, 2017; Published: Nov 3, 2017

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C15, 05C17, 05C69

## Abstract

An odd hole is an induced odd cycle of length at least 5. Scott and Seymour confirmed a conjecture of Gyárfás and proved that if a graph  $G$  has no odd holes then  $\chi(G) \leq 2^{2^{\omega(G)+2}}$ . Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas showed that if  $G$  has neither  $K_4$  nor odd holes then  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ . In this note, we show that if a graph  $G$  has neither triangles nor quadrilaterals, and has no odd holes of length at least 7, then  $\chi(G) \leq 4$  and  $\chi(G) \leq 3$  if  $G$  has radius at most 3, and for each vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , the set of vertices of the same distance to  $u$  induces a bipartite subgraph. This answers some questions in [17].

**Keywords:** chromatic number; induced odd cycles

---

\*Research partially supported by NSFC projects 11331003 and 11571180, and by a project funded by PAPD of Jiangsu Higher Education Institutions.

# 1 Introduction

Let  $G$  be a graph, and let  $k$  be an integer. A  $k$ -coloring of  $G$  is an assignment of  $k$  colors to the vertices of  $G$  such that adjacent vertices receive distinct colors. The *chromatic number*  $\chi(G)$  of  $G$  is the minimum integer  $k$  such that  $G$  admits a  $k$ -coloring. We use  $\omega(G)$  to denote the *clique number* of  $G$  which is the largest integer  $l$  such that  $G$  contains the complete graph  $K_l$  as a subgraph. It is certain that  $\chi(G) \geq \omega(G)$ . But the difference  $\chi(G) - \omega(G)$  may be arbitrarily large as there are triangle-free graphs with arbitrary large chromatic number (see [7, 23, 15]), and furthermore, Erdős [8] showed that for every positive integers  $k$  and  $l$  there exists a graph  $G$  with  $\chi(G) \geq k$  whose shortest cycle has length at least  $l$ .

There are still quite a lot of families of graphs whose chromatic numbers are bounded by a function of their clique numbers. For instance, the *Strong Perfect Graph Theorem* [2] asserts that  $\chi(G) = \omega(G)$  if  $G$  contains neither odd cycles of length at least 5 nor their complements as induced subgraphs, and Vizing's theorem [24] together with Beineke's characterization [1] shows that  $\chi(G) \leq \omega(G) + 1$  if  $G$  contains none of the nine given graphs as induced subgraphs. As a natural question, one may ask, for a given family of graphs  $\mathcal{G}$ , whether there is a function  $f$  such that  $\chi(G) \leq f(\omega(G))$  for each graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ? If such a function  $f$  does exist, then we say that the family  $\mathcal{G}$  is  $\chi$ -bounded, and call  $f$  a *binding function* of  $\mathcal{G}$ . In this literature, the family of perfect graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded with a binding function  $f(x) = x$ , and the family of line graphs is  $\chi$ -bounded with a binding function  $f(x) = x + 1$ .

For convenience, we say that a graph  $G$  induces a graph  $H$  if  $H$  is isomorphic to an induced subgraph of  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a family of graphs. A graph  $G$  is said to be  $\mathcal{F}$ -free if it induces no member of  $\mathcal{F}$ . For a finite family  $\mathcal{F}$ , Erdős [8] shows that if  $\mathcal{F}$ -free graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded then  $\mathcal{F}$  must contain a tree. Then, Gyárfás [10], and Sumner [22] independently, conjectured that  $F$ -free graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded for every forest  $F$ . There are some partial results about this conjecture (see [4, 12, 11, 13, 14, 18]).

A *hole* of a graph is an induced cycle of length at least four. Gyárfás [12] also proposed three conjectures on the relation between chromatic number and induced cycles in graphs. Let  $l$  be an integer of at least 4.

**Conjecture 1.** ([12]) {odd holes}-free graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded.

**Conjecture 2.** ([12]) {holes of length at least  $l$ }-free graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded.

**Conjecture 3.** ([12]) {odd holes of length at least  $l$ }-free graphs are  $\chi$ -bounded.

Scott [19] proved that for each  $l > 0$ , the family of graphs with neither odd holes nor hole of length at least  $l$  is  $\chi$ -bounded. Chudnovsky, Robertson, Seymour and Thomas [3] confirmed Conjecture 1 on graphs of clique number at most 3 and showed that if  $G$  is  $\{K_4, \text{odd holes}\}$ -free, then  $\chi(G) \leq 4$  (note that if  $G$  is  $\{K_3, \text{odd holes}\}$ -free, then it is bipartite).

In 2016, Scott and Seymour [20] proved that  $\chi(G) \leq 2^{2^{\omega(G)+2}}$  for {odd holes}-free graph  $G$  and thus confirmed Conjecture 1. As to Conjectures 2 and 3, Scott and Seymour [21]

first proved that for each  $l > 0$ , every triangle-free graph with sufficiently large chromatic number contains holes of  $l$  consecutive lengths, and thus confirmed them on triangle-free graphs. Finally, Chudnovsky, Scott and Seymour [5] confirmed Conjecture 2, and Chudnovsky, Scott, Seymour and Spirkl [6] confirmed Conjecture 3.

We use  $\mathcal{G}$  to denote the family of graphs that have neither triangles nor quadrilaterals, and have no odd holes of length larger than 5.

Robertson conjectured (see [16]) that the only 3-connected, internally 4-connected graph in  $\mathcal{G}$  is the Petersen graph. This conjecture is true if the requirement on internally 4-connectivity is replaced by cubic [16]. Plummer and Zha [17] presented a counterexample to Robertson's conjecture, and posed a few new questions including (1) whether every such graph has bounded chromatic number? and (2) how close to being perfect are these graphs?

In this note, we prove that, for every graph  $G \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $\chi(G) \leq 4$  and  $\chi(G) \leq 3$  if  $G$  has radius at most 3 (Theorem 6), which answers the first question of Plummer and Zha, and for each vertex  $u$  of  $G$ , the set of vertices of the same distance to  $u$  induces a bipartite subgraph (Lemma 4), which answers the second question in some sense.

We introduce some notations. Let  $S$  be a subset of  $V(G)$ , and let  $x$  be a vertex of  $G$ . We use  $G[S]$  to denote the subgraph of  $G$  induced by  $S$ , and let  $N_S(x)$  be the neighbors of  $x$  in  $S$ . Let  $x$  and  $y$  be two vertices of  $G$ . An  $xy$ -path refers to a path from  $x$  to  $y$ . We use  $d_G(x, y)$  to denote the length of a shortest  $xy$ -path which is referred to as the distance between the two vertices. A cycle of length  $k$  is simply called a  $k$ -cycle.

## 2 Proof of the main results

Recall that  $\mathcal{G}$  denotes the family of graphs that have neither  $C_3$  nor  $C_4$ , and have no odd holes of length at least 7. First, we have the following lemma on the structure of graphs in  $\mathcal{G}$ .

**Lemma 4.** *Let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{G}$ , let  $u$  be an arbitrary vertex of  $G$ , and let  $L_i = \{x : d_G(u, x) = i\}$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ . Then,  $G[L_i]$  is bipartite for every  $i$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $G$  has no  $C_3$ ,  $L_0 = \{u\}$ , and  $G[L_1]$  is an independent set. So the conclusion holds for  $i = 0, 1$ . Suppose that,  $G[L_i]$  is bipartite for each  $0 \leq i \leq h$  for some  $h \geq 1$ .

Let  $H = G[L_{h+1}]$ , and suppose that  $H$  is not bipartite. Then,  $H$  has an odd cycle and thus a 5-cycle, say  $u_1u_2u_3u_4u_5u_1$ . Let  $v_i$  be a neighbor of  $u_i$  in  $L_h$ . Since  $G$  has neither  $C_3$  nor  $C_4$ ,  $v_i \neq v_j$  if  $i \neq j$ .

Among all paths of length  $h$  from  $u$  to  $v_1$  or  $v_3$ , we choose  $P$  to be a  $uv_1$ -path, and  $P'$  to be a  $uv_3$ -path, such that  $P$  and  $P'$  have the most common vertices. Let  $w \in L_j$  be the last common vertex of  $P$  and  $P'$ , let  $P_w = x_jx_{j+1} \dots x_h$  be the segment of  $P$  from  $w$  to  $v_1$ , and let  $P'_w = y_jy_{j+1} \dots y_h$  be the segment of  $P'$  from  $w$  to  $v_3$ , where  $x_j = y_j = w$ ,  $x_h = v_1$  and  $y_h = v_3$ . Then,  $j \leq h - 1$ , and thus  $C = wP_wv_1u_1u_5u_4u_3v_3P'_w$  is an odd cycle of length  $2(h - j) + 5 \geq 7$ . Therefore,  $C$  has chords.

By the choice of  $P$  and  $P'$ , each chord of  $C$  must be of the form  $x_i y_i$  for some  $j + 2 \leq i \leq h$ . Let  $i_0$  be the largest index such that  $x_{i_0} y_{i_0} \in E(G)$ . If  $i_0 < h$ , then,

$$u_1 u_2 u_3 v_3 y_{h-1} \dots y_{i_0} x_{i_0} x_{i_0+1} \dots x_{h-1} v_1 u_1$$

is an odd hole of length at least 7. Therefore,  $i_0 = h$ , i.e.,  $v_1 v_3 \in E(G)$ .

With the same arguments, we can show that  $\{v_2 v_4, v_3 v_5, v_4 v_1, v_5 v_2\} \subseteq E(G)$ . It follows that  $G[L_h]$  has a 5-cycle  $v_1 v_3 v_5 v_2 v_4 v_1$ , a contradiction. Thus, Lemma 4 holds.  $\square$

To prove our theorem, we need the following generalization of Brook's theorem by Gallai [9]. A graph  $G$  is said to be  $k$ -vertex-critical if  $\chi(G) = k$  and  $\chi(G - v) < \chi(G)$  for each vertex  $v$ .

**Theorem 5.** ([9]) *Let  $G$  be a  $k$ -vertex-critical graph, and let  $V_1$  be the set of vertices of degree  $k - 1$  in  $G$ . Then every 2-connected induced subgraph of  $G[V_1]$  is either a complete graph or an odd hole.*

Now, we are ready to state and prove our theorem.

**Theorem 6.** *Let  $G$  be a graph in  $\mathcal{G}$ . Then,  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ , and  $\chi(G) \leq 3$  if  $G$  has radius at most 3.*

*Proof.* Let  $u$  be an arbitrary vertex of  $G$ , and let  $L_i = \{u : d_G(u, v) = i\}$  for an integer  $i \geq 0$ . By Lemma 4, the vertices with even distance to  $u$  induce a bipartite subgraph, and the vertices with odd distance to  $u$  induce a bipartite subgraph too. Therefore,  $\chi(G) \leq 4$ .

Next, we suppose that  $G$  has radius at most 3. Suppose that the conclusion does not hold. Let  $G$  be a counterexample in  $\mathcal{G}$  with the smallest order, i.e., every proper subgraph of  $G$  is 3-colorable, and let  $k = \max\{i : L_i \neq \emptyset\}$ . Then,

$$k \leq 3, G \text{ is } 4\text{-vertex-critical, and } \delta(G) \geq 3.$$

If  $k = 2$ , then  $\chi(G) \leq 3$  as we may color  $L_2 \cup \{u\}$  with two colors by Lemma 4, and color  $L_1$  with the third color. So, we suppose that  $k = 3$ .

We will show that

$$G[L_2 \cup L_3] \text{ is bipartite.}$$

Then, we may color  $L_2 \cup L_3 \cup \{u\}$  with two colors, and color  $L_1$  with the third color, and thus  $\chi(G) \leq 3$ .

Suppose to the contrary that  $G[L_2 \cup L_3]$  is not bipartite. Then,  $G[L_2 \cup L_3]$  has odd cycles, and thus has 5-cycles. We choose  $C$  to be a 5-cycle in  $G[L_2 \cup L_3]$  that maximizes  $|V(C) \cap L_2|$ . Let  $C = u_1 u_2 u_3 u_4 u_5 u_1$ , and let  $m = |V(C) \cap L_2|$ . By Lemma 4,

$$1 \leq m \leq 4.$$

We may assume that  $V(C) \cap L_2$  does not contain two nonadjacent vertices. For otherwise, let  $u_1, u_3 \in L_2$  by symmetry. Let  $P_1 = u w_1 u_1$  and  $P_2 = u w_2 u_3$  be two paths.

Since  $G$  has neither  $C_3$  nor  $C_4$ ,  $w_1 \neq w_2$ ,  $w_1w_2 \notin E(G)$ , and  $w_1u_1$  and  $w_2u_3$  are the only two edges from  $\{w_1, w_2\}$  to  $\{u_1, u_3\}$ . Now,  $uw_1u_1u_5u_4u_3w_2u$  is an odd hole, a contradiction.

It follows that  $m \leq 2$ , and if  $m = 2$  then the two vertices in  $V(C) \cap L_2$  must be adjacent.

Suppose that  $m = 2$ , and let  $u_1, u_2 \in L_2$  by symmetry. Let  $x$  be a neighbor of  $u_4$  in  $L_2$ . Let  $P_1 = uw_1u_1$ ,  $P_2 = uw_2u_2$ , and  $P_3 = ux'u$ . It is clear that  $w_1 \neq w_2$ , and so we suppose by symmetry that  $x' \neq w_1$ . Now,  $uw_1u_1u_5u_4xx'u$  is an odd hole.

Finally, the only remaining case is that  $m = 1$ , i.e.,

every 5-cycle of  $G[L_2 \cup L_3]$  has a unique vertex in  $L_2$ .

For convenience, we relabel the 5-cycle  $C$  as  $v_0u_0u_1u_2u_3v_0$ , and let  $v_0 \in L_2$  by symmetry. Let  $P = uw_0v_0$ , and let  $P_i = uw_iv_iu_i$  for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . It is certain that

$\{v_0, v_1, v_2\}$  is an independent set of size 3

as  $G$  has neither  $C_3$  nor  $C_4$ .

If  $N(v_1) \cap L_1 \neq \{w_0\}$ , we may choose  $P_1$  such that  $w_1 \neq w_0$ , then  $w_0v_1, w_1v_0 \notin E(G)$  for otherwise  $G[\{u, w_0, w_1, v_0, v_1\}]$  will have a  $C_4$ , and thus  $uw_0v_0u_0u_1v_1w_1u$  is an odd hole. The same contradiction occurs if  $N(v_2) \cap L_1 \neq \{w_0\}$ . Therefore, we have

$$(N(v_0) \cup N(v_1) \cup N(v_2)) \cap L_1 = \{w_0\}. \tag{1}$$

Recall that for a subset  $S$  of vertices and a vertex  $z$ ,  $N_S(z)$  denotes the neighbors of  $z$  in  $S$ .

Let  $B$  be the component of  $G[L_3]$  that contains  $u_0$ . We will show that

$$B \text{ is a cycle that can be labelled as } u_0u_1 \dots u_{3q-1} \text{ for some integer } q. \tag{2}$$

and for each integer  $i$  and each integer  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,

$$d(u_i) = 3, \text{ and } N_{L_2}(u_i) = \{v_j\} \text{ if } i \equiv j \pmod{3}. \tag{3}$$

We proceed to prove (2) and (3), and prove (3) by induction first.

If  $N_{L_2}(u_3) \neq \{v_0\}$ , we may choose  $P_3 = uw_3v_3u_3$  such that  $v_3 \neq v_0$ , then  $v_2 \neq v_3$ ,  $w_3 \neq w_0$ , and  $\{v_2w_3, w_0v_3, v_2v_3, w_0w_3\} \cap E(G) = \emptyset$  by (1) (as  $G$  has neither  $C_3$  nor  $C_4$ ). Now,  $uw_3v_3u_3u_2v_2w_0u$  is an odd hole (note that  $w_2 = w_0$  by (1)), a contradiction. The same happens if  $N_{L_2}(u_0) \neq \{v_0\}$ . So,

$$N_{L_2}(u_0) \cup N_{L_2}(u_3) = \{v_0\}. \tag{4}$$

Since  $\delta(G) \geq 3$  and  $k = 3$ , both  $|N_B(u_0)| > 1$  and  $|N_B(u_3)| > 1$ . Choose  $u_4 \in N_B(u_3) \setminus \{u_2\}$ , and let  $P_4 = uw_4v_4u_4$ . If  $N_{L_1}(v_4) \neq \{w_0\}$ , we may choose  $P_4$  such that  $w_4 \neq w_0$ , then it is easy to check that  $uw_0v_0u_3u_4v_4w_4u$  would be an odd hole. So,

$$N_{L_1}(v_4) = \{w_0\}.$$

If  $N_{L_2}(u_4) \neq \{v_1\}$ , we may choose  $P_4$  such that  $v_4 \neq v_1$ , then  $w_0v_4u_4u_3u_2u_1v_1w_0$  would be an odd hole, a contradiction. So, we may suppose, by symmetry, that

$$N_{L_2}(x) = \{v_1\} \text{ for every vertex } x \in N_B(u_3) \setminus \{u_2\}, \quad (5)$$

and

$$N_{L_2}(y) = \{v_2\} \text{ for every vertex } y \in N_B(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}. \quad (6)$$

Let  $u_{-1}$  be a vertex in  $N_B(u_0) \setminus \{u_1\}$ . If  $N_B(u_0) \neq \{u_{-1}, u_1\}$ , choose  $z_0 \in N_B(u_0) \setminus \{u_{-1}, u_1\}$ , then  $z_0v_2 \in E(G)$  by (6) and thus  $z_0v_2u_{-1}u_0z_0$  would be a  $C_4$ . Therefore, we may suppose, by (4) and by symmetry, that

$$N(u_0) = \{u_{-1}, u_1, v_0\} \text{ and } N(u_3) = \{u_2, u_4, v_0\}.$$

By applying the same arguments as that used on  $C$  to 5-cycles  $v_1u_1u_2u_3u_4v_1$  and  $v_2u_{-1}u_0u_1u_2v_2$ , we see that

$$N(u_1) = \{u_0, u_2, v_1\} \text{ and } N(u_2) = \{u_1, u_3, v_2\}.$$

We have proved (3) for  $i \in \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ . Suppose that (3) holds for  $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$ , where  $m \geq 3$ . Let  $i = m + 1$ , and suppose, without loss of generality, that  $m \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$ . Then,  $v_1u_{m-3}u_{m-2}u_{m-1}u_mu_1$  is a 5-cycle,  $N_{L_2}(u_{m-2}) = \{v_2\}$ , and  $N_{L_2}(u_{m-1}) = \{v_0\}$  by the inductive hypothesis. By applying the same argument to  $v_1u_{m-3}u_{m-2}u_{m-1}u_mu_1$  as that used on  $C$ , we see that

$$N_{L_2}(u_{m+1}) = \{v_2\} \text{ and } d(u_{m+1}) = 3.$$

Therefore, (3) holds for all  $i$ .

Since  $G$  is finite, there must be an integer  $j$  such that  $u_0u_j \in E(G)$ . Suppose that  $j \equiv r \pmod{3}$ . By (3),  $v_0u_0u_jv_0$  would be a  $C_3$  if  $r = 0$ , and  $u_0u_1v_1u_ju_0$  would be a  $C_4$  if  $r = 1$ . Therefore,  $r = 2$ , and so  $B$  is a cycle of length  $j + 1$  which is a multiple of 3. This completes the proof of (2).

Let  $H$  be the subgraph of  $G$  induced by the vertices of degree 3. Now,  $B$  is a 2-connected subgraph of  $H$ , which is neither complete nor an odd hole. This contradiction to Theorem 5 shows that  $G[L_2 \cup L_3]$  is bipartite. Now, by coloring  $L_2 \cup L_3 \cup \{u\}$  with two colors and coloring  $L_1$  with the third color, we can complete the proof of Theorem 6.  $\square$

Finally, we would like to mention that in [17], Plummer and Zha also conjectured that the graphs in  $\mathcal{G}$  may have chromatic number at most 3. This problem is still open in general.

## Acknowledgements

We thank the referees sincerely for their helpful suggestions.

## References

- [1] L. W. Beineke. Derived graphs and digraphs. In: *H. Sachs, Beiträge zur Graphentheorie*, page 17–33. Teubner Leibzig, 1968.
- [2] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas. The strong perfect graph theorem. *Annals of Mathematics*, 164:51–229, 2006.
- [3] M. Chudnovsky, N. Robertson, P. Seymour and R. Thomas.  $K_4$ -free graphs with no odd holes. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 100:313–331, 2010.
- [4] M. Chudnovsky, I. Penev, A. Scott and N. Trotignon. Substitution and  $\chi$ -boundedness. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 103:567–586, 2013.
- [5] M. Chudnovsky, A. D. Scott and P. Seymour. Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. III. long holes. to appear in *Combinatorica*.
- [6] M. Chudnovsky, A. D. Scott, P. Seymour and S. Spirkl. Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. VIII. long odd holes. manuscript.
- [7] B. Descartes. A three colour problem. *Eureka* 21, 1947.
- [8] P. Erdős. Graph theory and probability. *Canad. J. Math*, 11:34–38, 1959.
- [9] T. Gallai. Kritische graphen. *I. Publ. Math. Inst. Hungar. Acad. Sci.*, 8:165–102, 1963.
- [10] A. Gyárfás. On Ramsey covering-numbers. *Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai 10, Infinite and Finite Sets.*, pp. 801–816, North-Holland/American Elsevier, New York, 1975.
- [11] A. Gyárfás, E. Szemerédi and Tuza. Induced subtrees in graphs of large chromatic number. *Discrete Math.*, 30:235–244, 1980.
- [12] A. Gyárfás. Problems from the world surrounding perfect graphs. *Zastosow. Mat.*, 19:413–441.
- [13] H. A. Kierstead and S. G. Penrice. Radius two trees specify  $\chi(G)$ -bounded classes. *J. Graph Theory*, 18:110–120, 1994.
- [14] H. A. Kierstead and Y. Zhu. Radius three trees in graphs with large chromatic number. *SIAM J. Disc. Math.*, 17:571–581, 2004.
- [15] J. Mycielski. Sur le coloriage des graphes. *Colloq. Math.*, 3:161–162, 1955.
- [16] D. Nelson, M. Plummer, N. Robertson and X. Zha. On a conjecture concerning the Petersen graph. *The Electronic J. of Combin.*, 18:#P20, 2011.
- [17] M. Plummer and X. Zha. On a conjecture concerning the Petersen Graph: Part II. *The Electronic J. of Combin.*, 21:#P1.34, 2014.
- [18] A. D. Scott. Induced trees in graphs of large chromatic number. *J. Graph Theory*, 24:297–311, 1997.
- [19] A. D. Scott. Induced cycles and chromatic number. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 76:150–154, 1999.

- [20] A. D. Scott and P. Seymour. Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. I. odd holes. *J. Combinatorial Theory Ser. B*, 12168–84, 2016.
- [21] A. D. Scott and P. Seymour. Induced subgraphs of graphs with large chromatic number. IV. consecutive holes. <https://web.math.princeton.edu/~pds/papers/holeseq/paper.pdf>
- [22] D. P. Sumner. Subtrees of a graph and chromatic number, In *The Theory and Applications of Graphs*, pp. 557–576, John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1981.
- [23] A. A. Zykov. On some properties of linear complexes (in Russian). *Mat. Sbornik*, 24:313–319, 1949.
- [24] V. G. Vizing. The chromatic class of a multigraph. *Kibernetika(kiev)*, 329–39, 1965.