

# The cycle polynomial of a permutation group

Peter J. Cameron

School of Mathematics and Statistics  
University of St Andrews  
North Haugh  
St Andrews, Fife, U.K.  
pjc20@st-andrews.ac.uk

Jason Semeraro

Department of Mathematics  
University of Leicester  
Leicester, U.K.  
jpgs1@leicester.ac.uk

Submitted: Sep 8, 2017; Accepted: Dec 28, 2017; Published: Jan 25, 2018  
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20B05, 05C31

## Abstract

The cycle polynomial of a finite permutation group  $G$  is the generating function for the number of elements of  $G$  with a given number of cycles:

$$F_G(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},$$

where  $c(g)$  is the number of cycles of  $g$  on  $\Omega$ . In the first part of the paper, we develop basic properties of this polynomial, and give a number of examples.

In the 1970s, Richard Stanley introduced the notion of reciprocity for pairs of combinatorial polynomials. We show that, in a considerable number of cases, there is a polynomial in the reciprocal relation to the cycle polynomial of  $G$ ; this is the *orbital chromatic polynomial* of  $\Gamma$  and  $G$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a  $G$ -invariant graph, introduced by the first author, Jackson and Rudd. We pose the general problem of finding all such reciprocal pairs, and give a number of examples and characterisations: the latter include the cases where  $\Gamma$  is a complete or null graph or a tree.

The paper concludes with some comments on other polynomials associated with a permutation group.

## 1 The cycle polynomial and its properties

The *cycle index* of a permutation group  $G$  acting on a set  $\Omega$  of size  $n$  is a polynomial in  $n$  variables which keeps track of all the cycle lengths of elements. If the variables are  $s_1, \dots, s_n$ , then the cycle index is given by

$$Z_G(s_1, \dots, s_n) = \sum_{g \in G} \prod_{i=1}^n s_i^{c_i(g)},$$

where  $c_i(g)$  is the number of cycles of length  $i$  in the cycle decomposition of  $G$ . (It is customary to divide this polynomial by  $|G|$  but we prefer not to do so here.)

Define the *cycle polynomial* of a permutation group  $G$  to be  $F_G(x) := Z_G(x, x, \dots, x)$ ; that is

$$F_G(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)},$$

where  $c(g)$  is the number of cycles of  $g$  on  $\Omega$  (including fixed points). Clearly the cycle polynomial is a monic polynomial of degree  $n$ .

**Proposition 1.** *If  $a$  is an integer, then  $F_G(a)$  is a multiple of  $|G|$ .*

*Proof.* Consider the set of colourings of  $\Omega$  with  $a$  colours (that is, functions from  $\Omega$  to  $\{1, \dots, a\}$ ). There is a natural action of  $G$  on this set. A colouring is fixed by an element  $g \in G$  if and only if it is constant on the cycles of  $g$ ; so there are  $a^{c(g)}$  colourings fixed by  $g$ . Now the orbit-counting Lemma shows that the number of orbits of  $G$  on colourings is

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} a^{c(g)};$$

and this number is clearly a positive integer. The fact that  $f(a)$  is an integer for all  $a$  follows from [7, Proposition 1.4.2].  $\square$

Note that the combinatorial interpretation of  $F_G(a)/|G|$  given in the proof of Proposition 1 is the most common application of Pólya's theorem.

**Proposition 2.**  *$F_G(0) = 0$ ;  $F_G(1) = |G|$ ; and  $F_G(2) \geq (n + 1)|G|$ , with equality if and only if  $G$  is transitive on sets of size  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ .*

*Proof.* The first assertion is clear.

There is only one colouring with a single colour.

If there are two colours, say red and blue, then the number of orbits on colourings is equal to the number of orbits on (red) subsets of  $\Omega$ . There are  $n + 1$  possible cardinalities of subsets, and so at least  $n + 1$  orbits, with equality if and only if  $G$  is transitive on sets of size  $i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . (Groups with this property are called set-transitive and were determined by Beaumont and Petersen [1]; there are only the symmetric and alternating groups and four others with  $n = 5, 6, 9, 9$ .)  $\square$

Now we consider values of  $F_G$  on negative integers. Note that the *sign*  $\text{sgn}(g)$  of the permutation  $g$  is  $(-1)^{n-c(g)}$ ; a permutation is even or odd according as its sign is  $+1$  or  $-1$ . If  $G$  contains odd permutations, then the even permutations in  $G$  form a subgroup of index 2.

**Proposition 3.** *If  $G$  contains no odd permutations, then  $F_G$  is an even or odd function according as  $n$  is even or odd; in other words,*

$$F_G(-x) = (-1)^n F_G(x).$$

*Proof.* The degrees of all terms in  $F_G$  are congruent to  $n \pmod 2$ . □

In particular, we see that if  $G$  contains no odd permutations, then  $F_G(x)$  vanishes only at  $x = 0$ . However, for permutation groups containing odd permutations, there may be negative roots of  $F_G$ .

**Theorem 4.** *Suppose that  $G$  contains odd permutations, and let  $N$  be the subgroup of even permutations in  $G$ . Then, for any positive integer  $a$ , we have  $0 \leq (-1)^n F_G(-a) < F_G(a)$ , with equality if and only if  $G$  and  $N$  have the same number of orbits on colourings of  $\Omega$  with  $a$  colours.*

*Proof.* Let  $\Delta$  denote the set of  $a$ -colourings of  $\Omega$ . Say that an orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $G$  on  $\Delta$  is split if the  $G$ - and  $N$ -orbits of  $\omega$  do not coincide for some (and hence any)  $\omega \in \mathcal{O}$ . The number of split orbits is the number of  $N$ -orbits on  $\Delta$  less the number of  $G$ -orbits on  $\Delta$ , namely

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in N} 2 \cdot |\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)| - \frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} |\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)|,$$

where  $\text{Fix}_\Delta(g)$  denotes the number of fixed points of  $g$  on  $\Delta$ . This, in turn, is equivalent to

$$\frac{1}{|G|} \sum_{g \in G} \text{sgn}(g) a^{c(g)} = \frac{1}{|G|} (-1)^n F_G(-a),$$

and the result follows from this. □

**Proposition 5.** *If  $G$  is a permutation group containing odd permutations, then the set of negative integer roots of  $F_G$  consists of all integers  $\{-1, -2, \dots, -a\}$  for some  $a \geq 1$ .*

*Proof.*  $F_G(-1) = 0$ , since  $G$  and  $N$  have equally many orbits (namely 1) on colourings with a single colour.

Now suppose that  $F_G(-a) = 0$ , so that  $G$  and  $N$  have equally many orbits on colourings with  $a$  colours; thus every  $G$ -orbit is an  $N$ -orbit. Now every colouring with  $a - 1$  colours is a colouring with  $a$  colours, in which the last colour is not used; so every  $G$ -orbit on colourings with  $a - 1$  colours is an  $N$ -orbit, and so  $F_G(-a + 1) = 0$ . The result follows. □

The property of having a root  $-a$  is preserved by overgroups:

**Proposition 6.** *Suppose that  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are permutation groups on the same set, with  $G_1 \leq G_2$ . Suppose that  $F_{G_1}(-a) = 0$ , for some positive integer  $a$ . Then also  $F_{G_2}(-a) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* It follows from the assumption that  $G_1$  (and hence also  $G_2$ ) contains odd permutations. Let  $N_1$  and  $N_2$  be the subgroups of even permutations in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Then  $N_2 \cap G_1 = N_1$ , and so  $N_2 G_1 = G_2$ . By assumption,  $G_1$  and  $N_1$  have the same orbits on  $a$ -colourings. Let  $K$  be an  $a$ -colouring, and  $g \in G_2$ ; write  $g = hg'$ , with  $h \in N_2$  and  $g' \in G_1$ . Now  $Kh$  and  $Khg'$  are in the same  $G_1$ -orbit, and hence in the same  $N_1$ -orbit; so there exists  $h \in N_1$  with  $Kg = Khg' = Khh'$ . Since  $hh' \in N_2$ , we see that the  $G_2$ -orbits and  $N_2$ -orbits on  $a$ -colourings are the same. Hence  $F_{G_2}(-a) = 0$ . □

The cycle polynomial has nice behaviour under direct product, which shows that the property of having negative integer roots is preserved by direct product.

**Proposition 7.** *Let  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  be permutation groups on disjoint sets  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$ . Let  $G = G_1 \times G_2$  acting on  $\Omega_1 \cup \Omega_2$ . Then*

$$F_G(x) = F_{G_1}(x) \cdot F_{G_2}(x).$$

*In particular, the set of roots of  $F_G$  is the union of the sets of roots of  $F_{G_1}$  and  $F_{G_2}$ .*

*Proof.* This can be done by a calculation, but here is a more conceptual proof. It suffices to prove the result when a positive integer  $a$  is substituted for  $x$ . Now a  $G$ -orbit on  $a$ -colourings is obtained by combining a  $G_1$ -orbit on colourings of  $\Omega_1$  with a  $G_2$ -orbit of colourings of  $\Omega_2$ ; so the number of orbits is the product of the numbers for  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .  $\square$

The result for the wreath product, in its imprimitive action, is obtained in a similar way.

**Proposition 8.**

$$F_{G \wr H}(x) = |G|^m F_H(F_G(x)/|G|).$$

*Proof.* Again it suffices to prove that, for any positive integer  $a$ , the equation is valid with  $a$  substituted for  $x$ .

Let  $\Delta$  be the domain of  $H$ , with  $|\Delta| = m$ . An orbit of the base group  $G^m$  on  $a$ -colourings is an  $m$ -tuple of  $G$ -orbits on  $a$ -colourings, which we can regard as a colouring of  $\Delta$ , from a set of colours whose cardinality is the number  $F_G(a)/|G|$  of  $G$ -orbits on  $a$ -colourings. Then an orbit of the wreath product on  $a$ -colourings is given by an orbit of  $H$  on these  $F_G(a)/|G|$ -colourings, and so the number of orbits is  $(1/|H|)F_H(F_G(a)/|G|)$ . Multiplying by  $|G \wr H| = |G|^m |H|$  gives the result.  $\square$

**Corollary 9.** *If  $n$  is odd,  $m > 1$ , and  $G = S_n \wr S_m$ , then  $F_G(x)$  has roots  $-1, \dots, -n$ .*

*Proof.* In Proposition 12 to come, we show that  $F_{S_n}(x) = x(x+1) \cdots (x+n-1)$ . Therefore  $F_{S_n}(x)$  divides  $F_G(x)$ , so we have roots  $-1, \dots, -n+1$ . Also, there is a factor

$$|S_n|(F_{S_n}(x)/|S_n| + 1) = x(x+1) \cdots (x-n+1) + n!.$$

Substituting  $x = -n$  and recalling that  $n$  is odd, this is  $-n! + n! = 0$ .  $\square$

The next corollary shows that there are imprimitive groups with arbitrarily large negative roots.

**Corollary 10.** *Let  $n$  be odd and let  $G$  be a permutation group of degree  $n$  which contains no odd permutations. Suppose that  $F_G(a)/|G| = k$ . Then, for  $m > k$ , the polynomial  $F_{G \wr S_m}(x)$  has a root  $-a$ .*

*Proof.* By Proposition 3,  $F_G(-a)/|G| = -k$ . Now for  $m > k$ , the polynomial  $F_{S_m}(x)$  has a factor  $x+k$ ; the expression for  $F_{G \wr S_m}$  shows that this polynomial vanishes when  $x = -a$ .  $\square$

The main question which has not been investigated here is:

What about non-integer roots?

It is clear that  $F_G(x)$  has no positive real roots; so if  $G$  contains no odd permutations, then  $F_G(x)$  has no real roots at all, by Proposition 3. When  $G = A_n$  we have the following result which was communicated to us by Valentin Féray [4].

**Theorem 11.** *If  $F_{A_n}(a) = 0$  for some complex number  $a$  then  $\Re(a) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* We show that this result is a particular case of [8, Theorem 3.2]. In the notation of that theorem, set  $d := n - 1$  and  $g(t) := t^d + 1$  so that the hypotheses are clearly satisfied and  $m = 0$ . Now

$$P(q) = (E^d + 1) \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q + i) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q - i) + \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (q + i) = 2F_{A_n}(q),$$

where  $E$  is the backward shift operator on polynomials in  $q$  given by  $Ef(q) = f(q - 1)$ . [8, Theorem 3.2(a)] now delivers the result.  $\square$

## 2 Some examples

**Proposition 12.** *For each  $n$  we have,*

$$F_{S_n}(x) = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (x + i).$$

*Proof.* By induction and Proposition 6,  $F_{S_n}(-a) = 0$  for each  $0 \leq a \leq n - 2$ . Since  $F_{S_n}(x)$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$  and the coefficient of  $x$  in  $F_{S_n}(x)$  is  $(n - 1)!$  we must have that  $n - 1$  is the remaining root.

Several other proofs of this result are possible. We can observe that the number of orbits of  $S_n$  on  $a$ -colourings of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  (equivalently,  $n$ -tuples chosen from the set of colours with order unimportant and repetitions allowed) is  $\binom{n+a-1}{n}$ . Or we can use the fact that the number of permutations of  $\{1, \dots, n\}$  with  $k$  cycles is the unsigned *Stirling number of the first kind*  $u(n, k)$ , whose generating function is well known to be

$$\sum_{k=1}^n u(n, k)x^k = x(x + 1) \cdots (x + n - 1). \quad \square$$

**Proposition 13.** *For each  $n$  we have*

$$F_{C_n}(x) = \sum_{d|n} \phi(d)x^{n/d},$$

where  $\phi$  is Euler's totient function.

*Proof.* This is a consequence of the well-known formula for the cycle index of a cyclic group.  $\square$

**Proposition 14.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime and  $G$  be the group  $\text{PGL}_2(p)$  acting on a set of size  $p + 1$ . Then  $F_G(x)$  is given by*

$$\frac{p(p+1)}{2}x^2F_{C_{p-1}}(x) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}F_{C_{p+1}}(x) + (p^2-1)(x^2 - x^{p+1})$$

*Proof.* Each semisimple element of  $\text{GL}_2(p)$  either has eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}_p$  and lies in a torus isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_p^\times \times \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  or else it has eigenvalues in  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2} \setminus \mathbb{F}_p$  and lies in a torus isomorphic to  $\mathbb{F}_{p^2}^\times$ . If  $T$  is either kind of torus then  $N_{\text{GL}_2(p)}(T)$  is generated by  $T$  and an automorphism which inverts each element, so there are  $|\text{GL}_2(p)|/2|T|$  conjugates of  $T$ . Distinct tori intersect in the subgroup of scalar matrices  $Z(\text{GL}_2(p))$ . Hence, ignoring the identity, the images of semisimple elements of  $\text{GL}_2(p)$  in  $G$  can be partitioned into  $|\text{GL}_2(p)|/2(p-1)^2 = p(p+1)/2$  tori of the first type, each generated by an element of cycle type  $(p-1, 1^2)$  and  $|\text{GL}_2(p)|/2(p^2-1) = p(p-1)/2$  tori of the second type, each generated by an element of cycle type  $(p+1)$ . This leaves the elements of order  $p$ , all self-centralizing and of cycle type  $(p, 1)$ . Therefore

$$F_G(x) = x^2\frac{p(p+1)}{2}F_{C_{p-1}}(x) + \frac{p(p-1)}{2}F_{C_{p+1}}(x) + (p-1)(p+1)x^2 - ax^{p+1},$$

where  $a = p^2 - 1$  corrects for over-counting the identity.  $\square$

### 3 Reciprocal pairs

Richard Stanley, in a 1974 paper [6], explained (polynomial) combinatorial reciprocity thus:

*A polynomial reciprocity theorem* takes the following form. Two combinatorially defined sequences  $S_1, S_2, \dots$  and  $\bar{S}_1, \bar{S}_2, \dots$  of finite sets are given, so that the functions  $f(n) = |S_n|$  and  $\bar{f}(n) = |\bar{S}_n|$  are polynomials in  $n$  for all integers  $n \geq 1$ . One then concludes that  $\bar{f}(n) = (-1)^d f(-n)$ , where  $d = \deg f$ .

We will see that, in a number of cases, the cycle polynomial satisfies a reciprocity theorem.

#### 3.1 The orbital chromatic polynomial

First, we define the polynomial which will serve as the reciprocal polynomial in these cases. A (*proper*) *colouring* of a graph  $\Gamma$  with  $q$  colours is a map from the vertices of  $\Gamma$  to the set of colours having the property that adjacent vertices receive different colours. Note that, if  $\Gamma$  contains a loop (an edge joining a vertex to itself), then it has no proper colourings. Birkhoff observed that, if there are no loops, then the number of colourings

with  $q$  colours is the evaluation at  $q$  of a monic polynomial  $P_\Gamma(x)$  of degree equal to the number of vertices, the *chromatic polynomial* of the graph.

Now suppose that  $G$  is a group of automorphisms of  $\Gamma$ . For  $g \in G$ , let  $\Gamma/g$  denote the graph obtained by “contracting” each cycle of  $g$  to a single vertex; two vertices are joined by an edge if there is an edge of  $\Gamma$  joining vertices in the corresponding cycles. The chromatic polynomial  $P_{\Gamma/g}(q)$  counts proper  $q$ -colourings of  $\Gamma$  fixed by  $g$ . If any cycle of  $g$  contains an edge, then  $\Gamma/g$  has a loop, and  $P_{\Gamma/g} = 0$ . Now (with a small modification of the definition in [3]) we define the *orbital chromatic polynomial* of the pair  $(\Gamma, G)$  to be

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} P_{\Gamma/g}(x). \quad (1)$$

The orbit-counting Lemma immediately shows that  $P_{\Gamma,G}(q)/|G|$  is equal to the number of  $G$ -orbits on proper  $q$ -colourings of  $\Gamma$ .

Now, motivated by Stanley’s definition, we say that the pair  $(\Gamma, G)$ , where  $\Gamma$  is a graph and  $G$  a group of automorphisms of  $\Gamma$ , is a *reciprocal pair* if

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = (-1)^n F_G(-x),$$

where  $n$  is the number of vertices of  $\Gamma$ .

*Remark 15.* An alternative definition of reciprocity is obtained using the polynomial

$$\bar{P}_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} \text{sgn}(g) \bar{P}_{\Gamma/g}(x)$$

where  $\bar{P}_\Delta(x) = (-1)^n P(-x)$  is the dual chromatic polynomial, first defined by Stanley [6] enumerating certain coloured acyclic orientations of  $\Delta$ . It is a straightforward exercise to see that reciprocity is equivalent to

$$\bar{P}_{\Gamma,G}(x) = F_G(x).$$

**Problem** Find all reciprocal pairs.

This problem is interesting because, as we will see, there are a substantial number of such pairs, for reasons not fully understood. In the remainder of the paper, we present the evidence for this, and some preliminary results on the above problem.

A basic result about reciprocal pairs is the following.

**Lemma 16.** *Suppose that  $(G, \Gamma)$  is a reciprocal pair. Then the number of edges of  $\Gamma$  is the sum of the number of transpositions in  $G$  and the number of transpositions  $(i, j)$  in  $G$  for which  $\{i, j\}$  is a non-edge.*

*Proof.* Whitney [9] showed that the leading terms in the chromatic polynomial of a graph  $\Gamma$  with  $n$  vertices and  $m$  edges are  $x^n - mx^{n-1} + \dots$ . This follows from the inclusion-exclusion formula for the chromatic polynomial:  $x^n$  is the total number of colourings of

the vertices of  $\Gamma$ , and for each edge  $\{i, j\}$ , the number of colourings in which  $i$  and  $j$  have the same colour is  $x^{n-1}$ . So in the formula (1) for  $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$ , the identity element of  $G$  contributes  $x^n - mx^{n-1} + \dots$ . The only additional contributions to the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$  come from elements  $g$  of  $G$  such that only a single edge of  $\Gamma$  is contracted to obtain  $\Gamma/g$  and these are transpositions. A transposition  $(i, j)$  makes a non-zero contribution if and only if  $\{i, j\}$  is a non-edge. So the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  is  $-m + t^0(G)$ , where  $t^0(G)$  is the number of transpositions with this property.

On the other hand, the coefficient of  $x^{n-1}$  in  $F_G(x)$  is the number of permutations in  $G$  with  $n - 1$  cycles, that is, the total number  $t(G)$  of transpositions. So the coefficient in  $(-1)^n F_G(-x)$  is  $-t(G)$ .

Equating the two expressions gives  $m = t(G) + t^0(G)$ , as required.  $\square$

We remark that the converse to Lemma 16 does not hold: consider the group  $G = S_3 \wr S_3$  acting on 3 copies of  $K_3$  (see Proposition 8: but note that  $(3K_3, S_3 \wr C_3)$  is a reciprocal pair, by Proposition 21). We also observe the following corollary to Lemma 16.

**Corollary 17.** *If  $\Gamma$  is not a complete graph and  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair then  $\Gamma$  has at most  $\frac{(n-1)^2}{2}$  edges.*

*Proof.* If  $\Gamma$  has  $\binom{n}{2} - \delta$  edges then by Lemma 16,

$$\binom{n}{2} - \delta = t(G) + t^0(G) \leq t(G) + \delta.$$

If  $0 < \delta < \frac{n-1}{2}$  then

$$t(G) \geq \binom{n}{2} - 2\delta > \binom{n}{2} - (n-1) = \binom{n-1}{2}.$$

It is well-known that a permutation group of degree  $n$  containing at least  $\binom{n-1}{2} + 1$  transpositions must be the full symmetric group. But this implies that  $\Gamma$  is a complete graph, a contradiction.  $\square$

According to Lemma 16, if  $\Gamma$  is not a null graph and  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair, then  $G$  contains transpositions. Now as is well-known, if a subgroup  $G$  of  $S_n$  contains a transposition, then the transpositions generate a normal subgroup  $N$  which is the direct product of symmetric groups whose degrees sum to  $n$ . (Some degrees may be 1, in which case the corresponding factor is absent.)

A  $G$ -invariant graph must induce a complete or null graph on each of these sets. Moreover, between any two such sets, we have either all possible edges or no edges.

Suppose that  $n_1, \dots, n_r$  are the sizes of the  $N$ -orbits carrying complete graphs and  $m_1, \dots, m_s$  the orbits containing null graphs. Then Lemma 16 shows that the total number of edges of the graph is

$$\sum_{i=1}^r \binom{n_i}{2} + 2 \sum_{j=1}^s \binom{m_j}{2}.$$



The first term counts edges within  $N$ -orbits, so the second term counts edges between different  $N$ -orbits.

### 3.2 Examples

**Proposition 18.** *The following hold:*

- (a) *Let  $\Gamma$  be a null graph, and  $G$  a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Then  $P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = F_G(x)$ .*
- (b) *Let  $\Gamma$  be a complete graph, and  $G$  a subgroup of the symmetric group  $S_n$ . Then  $P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ , independent of  $G$ .*

*Proof.* (a) The chromatic polynomial of a null graph on  $n$  vertices is  $x^n$ . So, if  $g \in G$  has  $c(g)$  cycles, then  $\Gamma/g$  is a null graph on  $c(g)$  vertices. Thus

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} x^{c(g)} = F_G(x).$$

- (b) In the formula (1) for  $P_{\Gamma,G}(x)$ ,  $P_{\Gamma/g}(x)$  is 0 unless  $g$  is the identity element of  $G$ , when it is  $x(x-1)\cdots(x-n+1)$ . □

**Corollary 19.** *The following hold:*

- (a) *If  $\Gamma$  is a null graph, then  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair if and only if  $G$  contains no odd permutations.*
- (b) *If  $\Gamma$  is a complete graph, then  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair if and only if  $G$  is the symmetric group.*

*Proof.* (a) This follows from Proposition 3.

- (b) We saw in the preceding section that, if  $G = S_n$ , then  $F_G(x) = x(x+1)\cdots(x+n-1)$ . Thus, we see that  $(G, \Gamma)$  is a reciprocal pair if and only if  $G$  is the symmetric group. □

**Proposition 20.** *Let  $\Gamma$  be the disjoint union of graphs  $\Gamma_1, \dots, \Gamma_r$ , and  $G$  the direct product of groups  $G_1, \dots, G_r$ , where  $G_i \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_i)$ . Then*

$$P_{\Gamma,G}(x) = \prod_{i=1}^r P_{\Gamma_i, G_i}(x).$$

*In particular, if  $(\Gamma_i, G_i)$  is a reciprocal pair for  $i = 1, \dots, r$ , then  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair.*

The proof is straightforward; the last statement follows from Proposition 7. The result for wreath products is similar:

**Proposition 21.** Let  $\Gamma$  be the disjoint union of  $m$  copies of the  $n$ -vertex graph  $\Delta$ . Let  $G \leq \text{Aut}(\Delta)$ , and  $H$  a group of permutations of degree  $m$ . Then

$$P_{\Gamma, G \wr H}(x) = |G|^m F_H(P_{\Delta, G}(x)/|G|).$$

In particular, if  $(\Delta, G)$  is a reciprocal pair and  $H$  contains no odd permutations, then  $(\Gamma, G \wr H)$  is a reciprocal pair.

*Proof.* Given  $q$  colours, there are  $P_{\Delta, G}(q)/|G|$  orbits on colourings of each copy of  $\Delta$ ; so the overall number of orbits is the same as the number of orbits of  $H$  on an  $m$ -vertex null graph with  $P_{\Delta, G}(q)/|G|$  colours available.

For the last part, the hypotheses imply that  $P_{\Delta, G}(q) = (-1)^n F_G(-q)$ , and that the degree of each term in  $F_H$  is congruent to  $m \pmod{2}$ . So the expression evaluates to  $|G|^m F_H(F_G(-x)/|G|)$  if either  $m$  or  $n$  is even, and the negative of this if both are odd; that is,  $(-1)^{mn} |G|^m F_H(F_G(-x)/|G|)$ .  $\square$

We now give some more examples of reciprocal pairs.

**Example 22.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a 4-cycle, and  $G$  its automorphism group, the dihedral group of order 8. There are 4 edges in  $\Gamma$ , and 2 transpositions in  $G$ , each of which interchanges two non-adjacent points (an opposite pair of vertices of the 4-cycle); so the equality of the lemma holds. Direct calculation shows that

$$P_{\Gamma, G}(x) = x(x-1)(x^2-x+2), \quad F_G(x) = x(x+1)(x^2+x+2),$$

so  $P_{\Gamma, G}(x) = (-1)^n F_G(-x)$  holds in this case.

The 4-cycle is also the complete bipartite graph  $K_{2,2}$ . We note that, for  $n > 2$ ,  $(K_{n,n}, S_n \wr S_2)$  is not a reciprocal pair. This can be seen from the fact that  $F_{S_n \wr S_2}(x)$  has factors  $x, x+1, \dots, x+n-1$ , whereas  $K_{n,n}$  has chromatic number 2 and so  $x-2$  is not a factor of  $P_{K_{n,n}, G}(x)$  for any  $G \leq S_n \wr S_2$ .

We do not know whether other complete multipartite graphs support reciprocal pairs.

**Example 23.** Let  $\Gamma$  be a path with 3 vertices and  $G$  its automorphism group which is cyclic of order 2. Direct calculation shows that

$$P_{\Gamma, G}(x) = x^2(x-1), \quad F_G(x) = x^2(x+1).$$

This graph is an example of a star graph, for which we give a complete analysis in the next section.

**Example 24.** Write  $N_n$  for the null graph on  $n$  vertices and let  $\Gamma$  be the disjoint union of  $K_m$  and  $N_n$  together with all edges in between and set  $G = S_m \times S_n \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma)$ . Then  $\Gamma$  has  $\binom{m}{2} + mn$  edges and  $G$  has  $\binom{m}{2} + \binom{n}{2}$  transpositions of which  $\binom{n}{2}$  correspond to non-edges in  $\Gamma$ . Thus, according to Lemma 16 we need  $n = m+1$ . Now the only elements  $g \in G$  which give non-zero contribution to  $P_{\Gamma, G}(x)$  lie in the  $S_n$  component. We get:

$$P_{\Gamma, G}(x) = x(x-1) \cdots (x-(m-1)) \cdot \sum_{g \in S_n} (x-m)^{c(g)}.$$

Using Proposition 18(b) and  $m = n - 1$  this becomes

$$(-1)^m F_{S_m}(-x) F_{S_n}(-x) \cdot (-1)^n,$$

which is equal to  $-F_G(-x)$  by Proposition 7.

## 4 Reciprocal pairs containing a tree

In this section we show that the only trees that can occur in a reciprocal pair are stars, and we determine the groups that can be paired with them.

**Theorem 25.** *Suppose  $\Gamma$  is a tree and  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair. Let  $n$  be the number of vertices in  $\Gamma$  and assume  $n \geq 3$ . The following hold:*

- (a)  $n$  is odd;
- (b)  $\Gamma$  is a star;
- (c)  $(C_2)^k \leq G \leq C_2 \wr S_k$  where  $n = 2k + 1$ .

Conversely any pair  $(\Gamma, G)$  which satisfies conditions (a)-(c) is reciprocal.

In what follows we assume the following:

- $\Gamma$  is a tree;
- $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair;
- $n$  is the number of vertices in  $\Gamma$  and  $n \geq 3$ .

Note that any two vertices interchanged by a transposition are non-adjacent. For suppose that a transposition flips an edge  $\{v, w\}$ . If the tree is central, then there are paths of the same length from the centre to  $v$  and  $w$ , creating a cycle. If it is bicentral, then the same argument applies unless  $\{v, w\}$  is the central edge, in which case the tree has only two vertices, a contradiction.

**Lemma 26.** *If  $n$  is odd then  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair if and only if*

$$x(F_G(x - 1) + F_G(-x)) = F_G(-x). \quad (2)$$

*Proof.* The chromatic polynomial of a tree with  $r$  vertices is easily seen to be  $x(x - 1)^{r-1}$ . Hence

$$P(\Gamma/g) = x(x - 1)^{c(g)-1}$$

for each  $g \in G$  and then

$$P_{\Gamma, G}(x) = \sum_{g \in G} P(\Gamma/g) = \sum_{g \in G} x(x - 1)^{c(g)-1} = \frac{x}{x - 1} F_G(x - 1).$$

Rearranging (and using that  $n$  is odd) yields the Lemma. □

**Lemma 27.**  $G$  has  $\frac{n-1}{2}$  transpositions; in particular,  $n$  is odd.

*Proof.* As in Lemma 16, let  $t(G)$  be the number of transpositions in  $G$  and  $t^0(G)$  be the number of transpositions  $(i, j)$  in  $G$  for which  $i \not\sim j$  in  $\Gamma$ . If  $G$  fixes an edge  $(u, v)$  of  $\Gamma$  then  $(u, v) \in G$  implies  $n = 2$ , a contradiction. Thus  $(u, v) \notin G$ , and every transposition in  $G$  is a non-edge. Hence  $t^0(G) = t(G)$  so  $2t(G) = n - 1$  by Lemma 16.  $\square$

**Lemma 28.**  $(C_2)^k \leq G \leq C_2 \wr S_k$  where  $n = 2k + 1$ .

*Proof.* The transpositions in a permutation group  $G$  generate a normal subgroup  $H$  which is a direct product of symmetric groups. If there are two non-disjoint transpositions in  $G$ , one of the direct factors is a symmetric group with degree at least 3, and hence  $F_H(x)$  has a root  $-2$  by Propositions 12 and 7. Then by Proposition 6,  $F_G(x)$  has a root  $-2$ . By Lemma 26 with  $x = 2$ ,

$$0 = F_G(-2) = 2(F_G(1) + F_G(-2)) = 2F_G(1) = 2|G|,$$

a contradiction. So the transpositions are pairwise disjoint, and generate a subgroup  $(C_2)^k$  with  $n = 2k + 1$  by Lemma 27. Thus the conclusion of the lemma holds.  $\square$

**Lemma 29.**  $\Gamma$  is a star.

*Proof.* Let  $v$  be the unique fixed point of  $G$ . By Lemma 28, for each  $u \neq v$  there exists a unique vertex  $u'$  with  $(u, u') \in G$ . This is possible only if each  $u$  has distance 1 from  $v$ . Hence  $\Gamma$  is a star.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 25.* (a),(b) and (c) follow from Lemmas 27, 29 and 28 respectively. Conversely, suppose that (a),(b) and (c) hold. Then  $G = C_2 \wr K$  for some permutation group  $K$  of degree  $k$ . By Proposition 8,  $F_G(x) = x \cdot 2^k F_K(x(x+1)/2)$ . Now it is clear that

$$-\frac{F_G(-x)}{x} = 2^k \cdot F_K\left(\frac{x(x-1)}{2}\right) = \frac{F_G(x-1)}{x-1},$$

so that (2) holds and we deduce from Lemma 26 that  $(\Gamma, G)$  is a reciprocal pair. Our proof is complete.  $\square$

Given a set of reciprocal pairs  $(\Gamma_1, G_1), \dots, (\Gamma_m, G_m)$  with each  $\Gamma_i$  a star we can take direct products and wreath products (using Propositions 20 and 21) to obtain reciprocal pairs  $(\Gamma, G)$  with  $\Gamma$  a forest. We do not know whether all such pairs arise in this way.

## Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the anonymous referees for their valuable comments and suggestions.

## References

- [1] R. A. Beaumont and R. P. Peterson, Set-transitive permutation groups, *Canad. J. Math.* **7** (1955), 35–42.
- [2] P. J. Cameron, *Permutation Groups*, London Math. Soc. Student Texts **45**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1999.
- [3] P. J. Cameron, B. Jackson and J. D. Rudd, Orbit-counting polynomials for graphs and codes, *Discrete Math.* **308** (2008), 920–930.
- [4] V. Féray, *private communication*.
- [5] C. M. Harden and D. B. Penman, Fixed point polynomials of permutation groups, *Electronic J. Combinatorics* **20(2)** (2013), #P26.
- [6] R. P. Stanley, Combinatorial reciprocity theorems, *Combinatorics* (ed. M. Hall Jr. and J. H. van Lint), pp. 307–318, Mathematical Centre, Amsterdam, 1974.
- [7] R. P. Stanley, *Enumerative Combinatorics Vol. 1*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1997.
- [8] R. P. Stanley, Two Enumerative Results on Cycles of Permutations, *European J. Combinatorics* **32(6)** (2011), 937–943.
- [9] H. Whitney, A logical expansion in mathematics, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **38** (1932), 572–579.