

# On bipartite $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs with diameter 9, 10, or 11

Štefko Miklavič \*

Andrej Marušič Institute, University of Primorska  
Muzejski trg 2, 6000 Koper, Slovenia, and  
Institute of Mathematics, Physics and Mechanics  
Jadranska 19, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

stefko.miklavic@upr.si

Submitted: Sep 20, 2017; Accepted: Feb 19, 2018; Published: Mar 2, 2018

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C50, 05E30

## Abstract

Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $D$ . In [J. S. Caughman, Bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **20** (2004), 47–57], Caughman showed that if  $D \geq 12$ , then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if one of the following (i)-(iv) holds: (i)  $\Gamma$  is the ordinary  $2D$ -cycle, (ii)  $\Gamma$  is the Hamming cube  $H(D, 2)$ , (iii)  $\Gamma$  is the antipodal quotient of the Hamming cube  $H(2D, 2)$ , (iv) the intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy  $c_i = (q^i - 1)/(q - 1)$ ,  $b_i = (q^D - q^i)/(q - 1)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ), where  $q$  is an integer at least 2. In this paper we show that the above result is true also for bipartite distance-regular graphs with  $D \in \{9, 10, 11\}$ .

**Keywords:** bipartite distance-regular graph;  $Q$ -polynomial property

## 1 Introduction

As a classification of all distance-regular graphs is currently beyond our reach, classifications of some subclasses of distance-regular graphs are also very important projects. One such subclass is the class of  $Q$ -polynomial bipartite distance-regular graphs. This paper is part of an effort to understand and classify  $Q$ -polynomial bipartite distance-regular graphs (see [3, 4, 5, 6, 7] for relevant literature). A crucial step towards a classification of this class was made by Caughman, who proved the following result.

---

\*This work is supported in part by the Slovenian Research Agency (research program P1-0285 and research projects N1-0032, N1-0038, N1-0062, J1-6720, and J1-7051).

**Theorem 1.1** ([7, Theorem 1.1]) Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 12$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if one of the following (i)-(iv) holds:

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is the ordinary  $2D$ -cycle.
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is the Hamming cube  $H(D, 2)$ .
- (iii)  $\Gamma$  is the antipodal quotient of the Hamming cube  $H(2D, 2)$ .
- (iv) The intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$c_i = \frac{q^i - 1}{q - 1}, \quad b_i = \frac{q^D - q^i}{q - 1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D),$$

where  $q$  is an integer at least 2.

In this paper we prove an analogue of Theorem 1.1 for bipartite distance-regular graphs with diameter  $D \in \{9, 10, 11\}$ . We follow the ideas of Caughman [7] and use the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$  to prove our result. Generalization of Theorem 1.1 to bipartite distance-regular graphs with diameter less than 12 is also mentioned as an open problem in the recent survey paper *Distance-regular graphs* by van Dam, Koolen and Tanaka, see [10, Section 18.3].

The paper is organized as follows. In Sections 2, 3, 4 we review some basic definitions and results about distance-regular graphs, the  $Q$ -polynomial property of distance-regular graphs, and the Terwilliger algebra of distance-regular graphs. In Section 5 we review and prove some results concerning multiplicities of irreducible modules of the Terwilliger algebra. In Sections 6 and 7 we prove our main result.

## 2 Preliminaries

In this section we review some definitions and basic concepts. See the book of Brouwer, Cohen and Neumaier [2] for more background information.

Throughout this paper,  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  will denote a finite, undirected, connected graph, without loops or multiple edges, with vertex set  $X$ , edge set  $R$ , path length distance function  $\partial$ , and diameter  $D := \max\{\partial(x, y) | x, y \in X\}$ . For a vertex  $x \in X$  define  $\Gamma_i(x)$  to be the set of vertices at distance  $i$  from  $x$ . We abbreviate  $\Gamma(x) := \Gamma_1(x)$ . Let  $k$  denote a nonnegative integer. Then  $\Gamma$  is said to be *regular* with *valency*  $k$  whenever  $|\Gamma(x)| = k$  for all  $x \in X$ . The graph  $\Gamma$  is said to be *distance-regular* whenever for all integers  $h, i, j$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ), and all  $x, y \in X$  with  $\partial(x, y) = h$ , the number

$$p_{ij}^h := |\{z | z \in X, \partial(x, z) = i, \partial(y, z) = j\}| \tag{1}$$

is independent of  $x, y$ . The constants  $p_{ij}^h$  are known as the *intersection numbers* of  $\Gamma$ . For convenience, set  $c_i := p_{1i-1}^i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq D$ ,  $a_i := p_{1i}^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ ,  $b_i := p_{1i+1}^i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D - 1$ ,  $k_i := p_{ii}^0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ , and  $c_0 = b_D = 0$ . We observe  $a_0 = 0$ ,  $c_1 = 1$ .

Moreover,  $\Gamma$  is regular with valency  $k = b_0$ , and  $c_i + a_i + b_i = k$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . It is well-known that

$$k_i = \frac{b_0 \cdots b_{i-1}}{c_1 \cdots c_i} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D). \quad (2)$$

Observe that  $\Gamma$  is bipartite if and only if  $a_i = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . In this case  $b_i + c_i = k$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ .

From now on we assume  $\Gamma$  is distance-regular with diameter  $D \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$ . We recall the Bose-Mesner algebra of  $\Gamma$ . Let  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra consisting of the matrices over  $\mathbb{C}$  which have rows and columns indexed by  $X$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $A_i$  denote the matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $x, y$  entry

$$(A_i)_{xy} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) = i, \\ 0 & \text{if } \partial(x, y) \neq i \end{cases} \quad (x, y \in X). \quad (3)$$

We call  $A_i$  the  $i$ -th *distance matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . We abbreviate  $A = A_1$  and call  $A$  the *adjacency matrix* of  $\Gamma$ . The matrices  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$  form a basis for a commutative semi-simple  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra  $M$ , known as the *Bose-Mesner algebra*, see for example [11, Lemma 11.2.2]. The algebra  $M$  has a second basis  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  such that  $E_i E_j = \delta_{ij} E_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ), see [2, Theorem 2.6.1]. The  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  are known as the *primitive idempotents* of  $\Gamma$ , and  $E_0$  is the *trivial idempotent*.

For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  define a real number  $\theta_i$  by  $A = \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i E_i$ . Then  $A E_i = E_i A = \theta_i E_i$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ . The scalars  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$  are distinct, since  $A$  generates  $M$  [1, p. 197]. The  $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_D$  are known as the *eigenvalues* of  $\Gamma$ . We remark  $k \geq \theta_i \geq -k$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D$ , and  $\theta_0 = k$  [2, p. 45].

Let  $\theta$  denote an eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$ , and let  $E$  denote the associated primitive idempotent. For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  define a real number  $\theta_i^*$  by  $E = |X|^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^D \theta_i^* A_i$ . We call the sequence  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  the *dual eigenvalue sequence* associated with  $\theta, E$ .

### 3 The $Q$ -polynomial property

In this section we recall the  $Q$ -polynomial property of distance-regular graphs. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$  and valency  $k \geq 3$ , and let  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$  denote the distance matrices of  $\Gamma$ . Observe that  $A_i \circ A_j = \delta_{ij} A_i$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ), where  $\circ$  denotes entrywise multiplication, and so algebra  $M$  is closed under  $\circ$ . Let  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  denote the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ . The *Krein parameters*  $q_{ij}^h$  ( $0 \leq h, i, j \leq D$ ) of  $\Gamma$  are defined by

$$E_i \circ E_j = |X|^{-1} \sum_{h=0}^D q_{ij}^h E_h \quad (0 \leq i, j \leq D). \quad (4)$$

We say  $\Gamma$  is  *$Q$ -polynomial* (with respect to the given ordering  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents), whenever for all distinct integers  $i, j$  ( $0 \leq i, j \leq D$ ) the following

holds:  $q_{ij}^1 \neq 0$  if and only if  $|i - j| = 1$ . Let  $E$  denote a nontrivial primitive idempotent of  $\Gamma$ . We say  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E$  whenever there exists an ordering  $E_0, E_1 = E, \dots, E_D$  of the primitive idempotents of  $\Gamma$ , with respect to which  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial.

We have the following important result about bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, see [7, Lemma 3.2, Lemma 3.3].

**Lemma 3.1** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 4$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ , and intersection numbers  $b_i, c_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ). We assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $\theta_i$  denote the eigenvalue associated with  $E_i$ . Let  $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_D^*$  denote the dual eigenvalue sequence associated with  $E_1$ . Assume  $\Gamma$  is not the  $D$ -cube or the antipodal quotient of the  $2D$ -cube. Then there exist scalars  $q, s^* \in \mathbb{R}$  such that (i)–(iii) hold below.*

$$(i) \quad |q| > 1, \quad s^* q^i \neq 1 \quad (2 \leq i \leq 2D + 1);$$

$$(ii) \quad \theta_i = h(q^{D-i} - q^i), \quad \theta_i^* = \theta_0^* + h^*(1 - q^i)(1 - s^* q^{i+1})q^{-i} \quad \text{for } 0 \leq i \leq D, \text{ where}$$

$$h = \frac{1 - s^* q^3}{(q - 1)(1 - s^* q^{D+2})}, \quad h^* = \frac{(q^D + q^2)(q^D + q)}{q(q^2 - 1)(1 - s^* q^{2D})}, \quad \theta_0^* = \frac{h^*(q^D - 1)(1 - s^* q^2)}{q(q^{D-1} + 1)};$$

$$(iii) \quad k = c_D = h(q^D - 1), \text{ and}$$

$$c_i = \frac{h(q^i - 1)(1 - s^* q^{D+i+1})}{1 - s^* q^{2i+1}}, \quad b_i = \frac{h(q^D - q^i)(1 - s^* q^{i+1})}{1 - s^* q^{2i+1}} \quad (1 \leq i \leq D - 1).$$

## 4 The Terwilliger algebra

In this section we recall the Terwilliger algebra of a distance-regular graph. Let  $\Gamma$  denote a distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 3$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ , and distance matrices  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_D$ . Fix any vertex  $x \in X$ . For  $0 \leq i \leq D$  let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  denote the diagonal matrix in  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  with  $y, y$  entry  $(A_i)_{xy}$  ( $y \in X$ ). Let  $T = T(x)$  denote the subalgebra of  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  generated by  $A$  and  $E_0^*, \dots, E_d^*$ . We call  $T$  the *Terwilliger algebra* of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ . We remark that  $T$  is finite dimensional and semisimple.

Let  $V$  denote the  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space consisting of the column vectors over  $\mathbb{C}$  which have rows indexed by  $X$ . Observe that  $\text{Mat}_X(\mathbb{C})$  acts on  $V$  by left multiplication. We refer to  $V$  as the *standard module* of  $T$ . By a  $T$ -module we mean a subspace  $W$  of the standard module  $V$  such that  $BW \subseteq W$  for all  $B \in T$ . Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module. Then  $W$  is said to be *irreducible* whenever  $W$  is nonzero and  $W$  contains no  $T$ -modules other than zero and  $W$ .

Let  $W$  and  $W'$  denote  $T$ -modules. By a  $T$ -isomorphism from  $W$  to  $W'$ , we mean a vector space isomorphism  $\sigma : W \rightarrow W'$  such that  $(\sigma B - B\sigma)W = 0$  for all  $B \in T$ . The modules  $W$  and  $W'$  are said to be *isomorphic* whenever there exists a  $T$ -isomorphism from  $W$  to  $W'$ .

Let  $W$  denote a  $T$ -module and let  $W'$  denote a  $T$ -module contained in  $W$ . Then the orthogonal complement of  $W'$  in  $W$  is a  $T$ -module. From this we find  $W$  is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. Taking  $W = V$  we find  $V$  is an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. By the *multiplicity* with which  $W$  appears in  $V$ , we mean the number of irreducible  $T$ -modules in this sum which are isomorphic to  $W$ . It is known that the multiplicity of  $W$  is independent of the decomposition of  $V$ .

Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. We define the *endpoint*  $r$  and the *diameter*  $d$  of  $W$  by  $r = \min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}$  and  $d = |\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_i^*W \neq 0\}| - 1$ . Similarly, the *dual endpoint*  $t$  and *dual diameter*  $d^*$  of  $W$  are defined by  $t = \min\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_iW \neq 0\}$  and  $d^* := |\{i \mid 0 \leq i \leq D, E_iW \neq 0\}| - 1$ . We say  $W$  is *thin*, whenever  $\dim(E_i^*W) \leq 1$  for every  $0 \leq i \leq D$ .

Assume now that our distance-regular graph  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $r$ , dual endpoint  $t$ , diameter  $d$  and dual diameter  $d^*$ . Then  $W$  is thin by [4, Theorem 9.3]. We comment on  $r, t, d$  and  $d^*$ . By [4, Lemma 5.1(ii)] we have  $2r + d^* \geq D$ , and by [4, Lemma 9.2(ii)] we have that  $d = d^*$ . It follows that  $(D - d)/2 \leq r$ . It is also clear that  $r + d \leq D$ , and so we have that

$$\frac{D - d}{2} \leq r \leq D - d.$$

We have  $2t + d = D$  by [4, Theorem 9.4(ii)], and so  $D - d$  is even. By [4, Theorem 13.1], the isomorphism class of  $W$  is determined by  $r$  and  $d$ . For the rest of the paper we will consider the following situation.

**Notation 4.1** Let  $\Gamma = (X, R)$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 4$ , valency  $k \geq 3$ , intersection numbers  $b_i, c_i$ , and distance matrices  $A_i$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ). We fix  $x \in X$  and let  $E_i^* = E_i^*(x)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq D$ ) and  $T = T(x)$  denote the dual idempotents and the Terwilliger algebra of  $\Gamma$  with respect to  $x$ , respectively. Let  $V$  denote the standard module for  $\Gamma$ . Let  $H(D, 2)$  denote the  $D$ -dimensional hypercube, and let  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$  denote the antipodal quotient of  $H(2D, 2)$ .

## 5 Multiplicities of the irreducible $T$ -modules

With reference to Notation 4.1, assume that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. In this section we review and prove some results about the multiplicities of irreducible  $T$ -modules (see also [4, Section 14]).

Fix a decomposition of the standard module  $V$  into an orthogonal direct sum of irreducible  $T$ -modules. Let  $W$  denote an irreducible  $T$ -module. Recall that the multiplicity of  $W$  equals the number of irreducible  $T$ -modules in this sum which are isomorphic to  $W$ . As the isomorphism class of  $W$  is determined by its endpoint and diameter, we introduce the following notation. For any integers  $r, d$  ( $0 \leq r, d \leq D$ ), we define  $\text{mult}(r, d)$  to be the number of irreducible  $T$ -modules in this decomposition which have endpoint  $r$  and diameter  $d$ . If no such modules exist, then we set  $\text{mult}(r, d) = 0$ . Note that if  $W$  has endpoint  $r$  and diameter  $d$ , then the multiplicity of  $W$  equals  $\text{mult}(r, d)$ .

**Definition 5.1** ([4, Definition 14.2]) *With reference to Notation 4.1, assume that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial. Define a set  $\Upsilon$  by*

$$\Upsilon := \{(r, d) \in \mathbb{Z}^2 \mid 0 \leq d \leq D, D - d \text{ even}, \frac{D - d}{2} \leq r \leq D - d\}.$$

Observe that  $\text{mult}(r, d) = 0$  for all integers  $r, d$  such that  $(r, d) \notin \Upsilon$ . We define a partial order  $\preceq$  on  $\Upsilon$  by

$$(r', d') \preceq (r, d) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad r' \leq r \text{ and } r + d \leq r' + d'.$$

To further describe  $\text{mult}(r, d)$ , we need a definition. Let  $(r, d) \in \Upsilon$ . Following [4, pp. 87-88] we define scalars  $c_i(r, d)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq d$ ) and  $b_i(r, d)$  ( $0 \leq i \leq d - 1$ ) by

$$c_i(r, d) = \frac{\theta_t(\theta_{r+i+1}^* - \theta_{r+1}^*) - \theta_{t+1}(\theta_{r+i}^* - \theta_r^*)}{\theta_{r+i+1}^* - \theta_{r+i-1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad (5)$$

$$b_i(r, d) = \frac{\theta_t(\theta_{r+1}^* - \theta_{r+i-1}^*) + \theta_{t+1}(\theta_{r+i}^* - \theta_r^*)}{\theta_{r+i+1}^* - \theta_{r+i-1}^*} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad (6)$$

where  $t = (D - d)/2$ . We also set  $b_0(r, d) = c_d(r, d) = \theta_t$ ,  $b_d(r, d) = c_0(r, d) = 0$ . Assume now that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Then using Lemma 3.1(ii) we get that

$$c_i(r, d) = \frac{h(q^i - 1)(1 - s^*q^{2r+d+i+1})}{q^{d+t}(1 - s^*q^{2r+2i+1})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad (7)$$

$$b_i(r, d) = \frac{h(q^d - q^i)(1 - s^*q^{2r+i+1})}{q^{d+t}(1 - s^*q^{2r+2i+1})} \quad (1 \leq i \leq d - 1), \quad (8)$$

and  $b_0(r, d) = c_d(r, d) = h(q^{-t} - q^{t-D})$ ,  $b_d(r, d) = c_0(r, d) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.2** ([4, Theorem 14.7]) *With reference to Definition 5.1, fix any  $(r, d) \in \Upsilon$ . Then*

$$k_r \prod_{i=r}^{r+d-1} b_i c_{r+d-i} = \sum_{\substack{(r', d') \in \Upsilon \\ (r', d') \preceq (r, d)}} \text{mult}(r', d') \prod_{i=r-r'}^{r+d-r'-1} b_i(r', d') c_{i+1}(r', d').$$

**Corollary 5.3** *With reference to Definition 5.1, fix any  $(r, d) \in \Upsilon$  such that  $r + d = D$  ( $1 \leq r \leq D - 1$ ). Then  $r$  is even and*

$$k_r \prod_{i=r}^{D-1} b_i c_{D-i} = \sum_{\ell=0}^{r/2} \text{mult}(2\ell, D - 2\ell) \prod_{i=r-2\ell}^{D-2\ell-1} b_i(2\ell, D - 2\ell) c_{i+1}(2\ell, D - 2\ell).$$

**PROOF.** Recall that  $r = D - d$  is even by definition of the set  $\Upsilon$ . As  $r + d = D$ , it follows from the definition of  $\preceq$  that the only pairs  $(r', d') \in \Upsilon$  such that  $(r', d') \preceq (r, d)$  are pairs with  $r' \leq r$  and  $r' + d' = D$ . Note that  $r' + d' = D$  implies  $r'$  is even, and so the result follows from Theorem 5.2. ■

**Lemma 5.4** *With reference to Definition 5.1, fix any  $(r, d) \in \Upsilon$  such that  $r + d = D$  ( $1 \leq r \leq D - 1$ ). Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . If there exists an irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint  $r$  and diameter  $d$ , then*

$$\prod_{i=0}^{D-r-1} b_i(r, D-r)c_{i+1}(r, D-r) \neq 0.$$

PROOF. Let  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Recall that  $|q| > 1$  and  $s^*q^i \neq 1$  for  $2 \leq i \leq 2D + 1$ . Using this, equations (7), (8) and  $b_0(r, d) = c_d(r, d) = h(q^{-t} - q^{t-D})$ , we find that  $b_i(r, D-r) \neq 0$  and  $c_{i+1}(r, D-r) \neq 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq D-r-1$ . The result follows. ■

**Corollary 5.5** *With reference to Definition 5.1, fix any  $(r, d) \in \Upsilon$  such that  $r + d = D$  ( $1 \leq r \leq D - 1$ ). Assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Then  $\text{mult}(r, d)$  is equal to the quantity*

$$k_r \prod_{i=r}^{D-1} b_i c_{D-i} - \sum_{\ell=0}^{r/2-1} \text{mult}(2\ell, D-2\ell) \prod_{i=r-2\ell}^{D-2\ell-1} b_i(2\ell, D-2\ell)c_{i+1}(2\ell, D-2\ell),$$

divided by the quantity

$$\prod_{i=0}^{D-r-1} b_i(r, D-r)c_{i+1}(r, D-r).$$

PROOF. Immediately from Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 5.4. ■

We now give explicit formulae for  $\text{mult}(r, d)$  for some specific values of  $r, d$ . To do this we need the following definition. For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$  and for a non-negative integer  $n$  we set

$$(a; b)_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (1 - ab^{i-1}).$$

**Theorem 5.6** *With reference to Definition 5.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i)  $\text{mult}(0, D) = 1$ .

(ii) *If  $r \in \{2, 4\}$ , then*

$$\text{mult}(r, D-r) = \frac{(-1)^t q^{t(t-1)} (1 - s^*q^{2r})(q^{d+1}; q)_r (-s^*q^{D+1}; q)_t (s^*q^2; q^2)_{t-1}}{(q^2; q^2)_t (s^*q^{D+t+1}; q)_t (s^*q^{D+d+2}; q^2)_t};$$

where  $d = D - r$  and  $t = (D - d)/2 = r/2$ .

(iii) *If  $r \in \{6, 8\}$  and  $D \in \{9, 10, 11\}$ , then*

$$\text{mult}(r, D-r) = \frac{(-1)^t q^{t(t-1)} (1 - s^*q^{2r})(q^{d+1}; q)_r (-s^*q^{D+1}; q)_t (s^*q^2; q^2)_{t-1}}{(q^2; q^2)_t (s^*q^{D+t+1}; q)_t (s^*q^{D+d+2}; q^2)_t};$$

where  $d = D - r$  and  $t = (D - d)/2 = r/2$ .

PROOF. (i), (ii) This is [4, Theorem 15.6 (i),(iii),(vii)].

(iii) The proof (although a bit tedious and lengthy) follows straightforward from Corollary 5.5 using (7), (8). We omit the details. ■

**Remark 5.7** *With reference to Definition 5.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . We conjecture that the formula for  $\text{mult}(r, D - r)$  given in Theorem 5.6 holds for any diameter  $D$  and for any even number  $r \leq D$ . See also [4, Conjecture 15.8] for an extended conjecture about the multiplicities of irreducible  $T$ -modules of  $\Gamma$ . However, for the purpose of this paper, Theorem 5.6 suffices.*

## 6 Some results about parameter $s^*$

With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial, and let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. In this section we derive some restrictions on parameter  $s^*$ . We first recall some results of Caughman.

**Theorem 6.1** ([7, Theorem 4.1, Lemma 5.1, Lemma 6.6]) *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Then the following (i)–(iii) hold.*

(i) *If  $D \geq 6$ , then  $q > 1$ .*

(ii) *If  $q > 1$ , then  $-q^{-D-1} \leq s^* < q^{-2D-1}$ .*

(iii) *If  $D \geq 7$  and  $-q^{-13} \leq s^* \leq q^{-13}$ , then  $s^* = 0$ .*

**Lemma 6.2** *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Set  $\beta = q + 1/q$ . If  $D \geq 5$ , then  $\beta$  is a rational number.*

PROOF. Assume that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial with respect to  $E_0, E_1, \dots, E_D$  and let  $\theta_1$  denote the eigenvalue of  $\Gamma$  corresponding to  $E_1$ . By [6, Lemma 3.2] we have that  $\theta_1 \neq -1$  and

$$\beta = \frac{\theta_1^2 + c_2\theta_1 + b_2(k-2)}{b_2(\theta_1 + 1)}.$$

If  $D \geq 5$  then  $\theta_1$  is integer by [9, Theorem 8.1.3], see also [6, Lemma 3.3(i)]. The result follows. ■

We note that it is easy to see that  $q^2 + q^{-2} = \beta^2 - 2$ ,  $q^3 + q^{-3} = \beta^3 - 3\beta$  and  $q^4 + q^{-4} = \beta^4 - 4\beta^2 + 2$ . Also, if  $D \geq 6$ , then  $q > 1$  by Theorem 6.1(i), and so  $\beta > 2$  in this case.

The following result was proved by Lang.

**Proposition 6.3** ([12, Lemma 9.3]) *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. If  $D \geq 5$ , then  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-3}$ .*

**Proposition 6.4** *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. If  $D \geq 9$ , then  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-2}$ .*

PROOF. Assume on contrary that  $D \geq 9$  and  $s^* = -q^{-D-2}$ . Observe that this implies  $s^* < 0$  as  $q > 1$  by Theorem 6.1(i). If  $D \geq 11$ , then  $s^* = -q^{-D-2}$  implies  $-q^{-13} \leq s^* \leq q^{-13}$ . But then  $s^* = 0$  by Theorem 6.1(iii), a contradiction.

If  $D \in \{9, 10\}$  then the proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 6.3 for the case  $D = 9$ . Let  $\beta$  be as in Lemma 6.2. Assume first that  $D = 10$ . In this case we have

$$2c_2 = \beta^2 + 2\beta - 1 - \frac{2\beta^2 - \beta - 3}{\beta^3 - \beta^2 - 2\beta + 1},$$

which shows that  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer. As  $\beta$  is rational by Lemma 6.2, this implies that  $\beta$  is an integer, and so  $(2\beta^2 - \beta - 3)/(\beta^3 - \beta^2 - 2\beta + 1)$  is an integer. Observe that  $2\beta^2 - \beta - 3$  and  $\beta^3 - \beta^2 - 2\beta + 1$  are both positive for  $\beta \geq 2$ , so  $2\beta^2 - \beta - 3 \geq \beta^3 - \beta^2 - 2\beta + 1$ . But this implies  $\beta = 2$ , a contradiction (recall that  $\beta > 2$ ).

Assume now  $D = 9$ . In this case we have

$$2c_2 = \beta^2 + 2\beta - 1 - \frac{2\beta^2 + \beta - 4}{\beta(\beta^2 - 3)},$$

which again shows that  $\beta$  is an algebraic integer. As  $\beta$  is rational by Lemma 6.2, this implies that  $\beta$  is an integer, and so  $(2\beta^2 + \beta - 4)/(\beta(\beta^2 - 3))$  is an integer. Observe that  $2\beta^2 + \beta - 4$  and  $\beta(\beta^2 - 3)$  are both positive for  $\beta \geq 2$ , so  $2\beta^2 + \beta - 4 \geq \beta(\beta^2 - 3)$ . But this implies  $\beta = 2$ , a contradiction. This shows that  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-2}$ . ■

**Proposition 6.5** *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. If  $D \geq 6$ , then  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-1}$ .*

PROOF. We assume  $s^* = -q^{-D-1}$  and derive a contradiction. By Theorem 5.6(ii) we find that  $\text{mult}(2, D-2) = 0$ . But now  $\Gamma$  has, up to isomorphism, a unique irreducible  $T$ -module with endpoint 2, and this module has diameter  $D-4$ . By [8, Theorem 3.12],  $\Gamma$  is 2-homogeneous in the sense of Nomura [13]. However, as  $D \geq 6$  we have that  $\Gamma$  is  $H(D, 2)$  by [14, Theorem 1.2] (see also [8, Theorem 4.1]), a contradiction. This shows that  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-1}$ . ■

## 7 Proof of the main theorem

In this section we prove our main theorem. To do this we first need the following result.

**Theorem 7.1** *With reference to Notation 4.1 assume  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and assume that  $\Gamma$  is not  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. Assume further that  $D \in \{9, 10, 11\}$ . Then  $s^* \geq -q^{-D-4}$ .*

PROOF. The result obviously holds if  $s^* \geq 0$  (recall that  $q > 1$  by Theorem 6.1(i)), so assume that  $s^* < 0$ . Consider  $\text{mult}(2, D - 2)$  and recall that this number is non-negative. It follows from Theorem 5.6(ii) that

$$(1 + s^*q^{D+1}) \geq 0.$$

As  $s^* \neq -1/q^{D+1}$  by Proposition 6.5, we have that  $s^* > -q^{-D-1}$ .

Consider now  $\text{mult}(4, D - 4)$  and recall that this number is non-negative. It follows from Theorem 5.6(ii) that

$$(1 + s^*q^{D+1})(1 + s^*q^{D+2}) \geq 0.$$

We have just proved that  $s^* > -q^{-D-1}$  and so  $1 + s^*q^{D+1} > 0$ , implying that  $1 + s^*q^{D+2} \geq 0$ . As  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-2}$  by Proposition 6.4, this shows that  $s^* > -q^{-D-2}$ .

Consider now  $\text{mult}(6, D - 6)$  and recall that this number is non-negative. It follows from Theorem 5.6(iii) that

$$(1 + s^*q^{D+1})(1 + s^*q^{D+2})(1 + s^*q^{D+3}) \geq 0.$$

We have just proved that  $s^* > -q^{-D-2}$  and so  $(1 + s^*q^{D+1})(1 + s^*q^{D+2}) > 0$ , implying that  $1 + s^*q^{D+3} \geq 0$ . As  $s^* \neq -q^{-D-3}$  by Proposition 6.3, this shows that  $s^* > -q^{-D-3}$ .

Finally, consider  $\text{mult}(8, D - 8)$  and recall that this number is non-negative. It follows from Theorem 5.6(iii) that

$$(1 + s^*q^{D+1})(1 + s^*q^{D+2})(1 + s^*q^{D+3})(1 + s^*q^{D+4}) \geq 0.$$

We have just proved that  $s^* > -q^{-D-3}$  and so  $(1 + s^*q^{D+1})(1 + s^*q^{D+2})(1 + s^*q^{D+3}) > 0$ , implying that  $1 + s^*q^{D+4} \geq 0$ . This shows that  $s^* \geq -q^{-D-4}$ . ■

We are now ready to prove our main result.

**Theorem 7.2** *Let  $\Gamma$  denote a bipartite distance-regular graph with diameter  $D \geq 9$ . Then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial if and only if one of the following (i)–(iv) holds:*

- (i)  $\Gamma$  is the ordinary  $2D$ -cycle.
- (ii)  $\Gamma$  is the Hamming cube  $H(D, 2)$ .
- (iii)  $\Gamma$  is the antipodal quotient of the Hamming cube  $H(2D, 2)$ .
- (iv) The intersection numbers of  $\Gamma$  satisfy

$$c_i = \frac{q^i - 1}{q - 1}, \quad b_i = \frac{q^D - q^i}{q - 1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D), \quad (9)$$

where  $q$  is an integer at least 2.

PROOF. If  $D \geq 12$  then this is [7, Theorem 1.1], therefore we assume  $D \in \{9, 10, 11\}$ . Assume first that  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial and that  $\Gamma$  is not a  $2D$ -cycle,  $H(D, 2)$  or  $\overline{H}(2D, 2)$ . Let parameters  $q, s^*$  be as in Lemma 3.1. By Theorem 7.1 we have  $s^* \geq -q^{-D-4}$ . Together with Theorem 6.1(ii) this implies that  $-q^{-13} \leq s^* \leq q^{-13}$ , and so  $s^* = 0$  by Theorem 6.1(iii). It follows from Lemma 3.1 that

$$c_i = \frac{q^i - 1}{q - 1}, \quad b_i = \frac{q^D - q^i}{q - 1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq D).$$

But now  $c_2 = q + 1$ , and so  $q$  is an integer. As  $q > 1$  we have that  $q \geq 2$ .

Concerning the converse, assume that one of the cases (i)-(iv) holds. If (i) or (iii) holds, then  $\Gamma$  is  $Q$ -polynomial by [2, Corollary 8.5.3(i),(iii)]. If (ii) or (iv) holds, then  $\Gamma$  has classical parameters and it is  $Q$ -polynomial by [2, Corollary 8.4.2]. ■

## Acknowledgement

The author thanks John Caughman for giving this paper a close reading and offering valuable suggestions.

## References

- [1] E. Bannai, T. Ito, *Algebraic Combinatorics I: Association Schemes*, The Benjamin-Cummings Lecture Notes Ser. 58, Menlo Park, CA, 1984.
- [2] A. E. Brouwer, A. M. Cohen, and A. Neumaier, *Distance-Regular Graphs*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, 1989.
- [3] J. S. Caughman, Spectra of bipartite  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial association schemes, *Graphs Combin.* **14** (1998), 321–343.
- [4] J. S. Caughman, The Terwilliger algebras of bipartite  $P$ - and  $Q$ -polynomial schemes, *Discrete Math.* **196** (1999), 65–95.
- [5] J. S. Caughman, Bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial quotients of antipodal distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **76** (1999), 291–296.
- [6] J. S. Caughman, The parameters of bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, *J. Algebraic Combin.* **15** (2002), 223–229.
- [7] J. S. Caughman, Bipartite  $Q$ -polynomial distance-regular graphs, *Graphs Combin.* **20** (2004), 47–57.
- [8] B. Curtin, Almost 2-homogeneous bipartite distance-regular graphs, *European J. Combin.* **21** (2000), 865–876.
- [9] G. Dickie,  *$Q$ -polynomial structures for association schemes and distance-regular graphs*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Wisconsin, 1995.
- [10] E. R. van Dam, J. H. Koolen, and H. Tanaka, Distance-regular graphs, *Electron. J. Combin.* #DS22 (2016).

- [11] C. D. Godsil, *Algebraic combinatorics*, Chapman and Hall, New York, 1993.
- [12] M. S. Lang, Bipartite distance-regular graphs: The  $Q$ -polynomial property and pseudo primitive idempotents, *Discrete Math.* **331** (2014), 27–35.
- [13] K. Nomura, Homogeneous graphs and regular near polygons, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **60** (1994), 63–71.
- [14] K. Nomura, Spin models on bipartite distance-regular graphs, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **64** (2) (1994), 300–313.