

A classification of Motzkin numbers modulo 8

Ying Wang

School of Mathematical Sciences
Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, PR China
wangying.cnu@gmail.com

Guoce Xin*

School of Mathematical Sciences
Capital Normal University
Beijing 100048, PR China
guoce.xin@gmail.com

Submitted: Jun 10, 2017; Accepted: Dec 9, 2017; Published: Mar 16, 2018
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A10, 11B50

Abstract

The well-known Motzkin numbers were conjectured by Deutsch and Sagan to be nonzero modulo 8. The conjecture was first proved by Sen-Peng Eu, Shu-chung Liu and Yeong-Nan Yeh by using the factorial representation of the Catalan numbers. We present a short proof by finding a recursive formula for Motzkin numbers modulo 8. Moreover, such a recursion leads to a full classification of Motzkin numbers modulo 8.

Keywords: Motzkin numbers, congruence classes

1 Introduction

Much work has been done in calculating the congruences of various combinatorial numbers modulo a prime power p^r . We begin by introduce some notations. We will use the p -adic notations $[n]_p = \langle n_d n_{d-1} \cdots n_0 \rangle_p$ to denote the sequence of digits representing n in base p [15]. The p -adic order or p -adic valuation $\omega_p(n)$ of n is defined by

$$\omega_p(n) = \max\{t \in \mathbb{N} : p^t | n\}.$$

In words, it is the highest power of p dividing n , or equivalently, the number of 0's to the right of the rightmost nonzero digit in $[n]_p$. The value $\omega_p(n)$ indicates the divisibility by powers of p , which can be found in many previous studies [5].

Many results have been established for the binomial coefficients. The most famous as well as age-old one is the Pascal's fractal which is formed by the parities of the binomial coefficients $\binom{n}{k}$ [20]. Pascal's triangle also has versions modulo 4 and 8 [3, 10]. The behavior of Pascal's triangle modulo higher powers of p is more complicated. Some rules

*This work is partially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (11171231).

for this behavior are discussed by Granville [9]. Kummer computed the p -adic order of $\binom{m+n}{m}$ [13], by counting the number of carries that occur when $[m]_p$ and $[n]_p$ are added. The elegant result of Lucas [15] states that $\binom{n}{k} \equiv_p \prod_i \binom{n_i}{k_i}$ where n_i and k_i come from $[n]_p$ and $[k]_p$, and \equiv_p denotes the congruence class modulo p . A generalization of Lucas' theorem for a prime power was established by Davis and Webb [2].

The most useful combinatorial numbers other than the binomial coefficients are the well-known *Catalan numbers*

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \binom{2n}{n} = \frac{(2n)!}{n!(n+1)!}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

They have more than 200 combinatorial interpretations, as collected by Stanley in [18]. The congruence class of C_n modulo 2^r was studied in [6, 11, 14, 21]. Several other combinatorial numbers have been studied for their congruences, for example, Apéry numbers [8, 16], Central Delannoy numbers [7] and weighted Catalan numbers [17].

In this paper we will focus on the well-known *Motzkin numbers*

$$M(n) = M_n = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{2k} C_k, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (1)$$

Their congruences were only studied very recently. Klazar and Luca proved that the Motzkin numbers are never periodic modulo any prime number [12]. Deutsch and Sagan [4] studied the congruences of M_n modulo 2, 3 and 5 and made the following two conjectures.

Conjecture 1 ([4]). *We have $M_n \equiv_4 0$ if and only if $n = (4i + 1)4^{j+1} - 1$ or $n = (4i + 3)4^{j+1} - 2$, where i and j are nonnegative integers.*

Conjecture 2 ([4]). *The Motzkin numbers are never congruent to 0 modulo 8.*

The two conjectures were first proved by Eu-Liu-Yeh in [6]. They first derived the congruence class of the Catalan numbers C_n modulo 8 by using their factorial representations. Then they proved Conjecture 1 by carefully analyzing formula (1) modulo 8. Finally they proved Conjecture 2 by confirming that $M(n) \equiv_8 4$ when n belongs to the two cases in Conjecture 1.

Our main result is the following explicit formula for M_n modulo 8, from which Conjectures 1 and 2 clearly follow.

Theorem 3. *The congruence class of $M(n)$ modulo 8 can be characterized as follows:*

$$M(4s) \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha) - 2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1) + 4\alpha, & s = 2\alpha, \\ 1 - 4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha) - 2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1), & s = 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases}$$

$$M(4s + 1) \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha) - 2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1) + 4\alpha, & s = 2\alpha, \\ 1 - 4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha) - 2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1) + 4, & s = 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases}$$

$$M(4s+2) \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 4, & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j}-1, \\ 2-4\|\alpha\|, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j}-1, \\ -1+4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha)+2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1), & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j+1}-1, \\ 3+4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha)+2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1)+4\alpha, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j+1}-1. \end{cases}$$

$$M(4s+3) \equiv_8 \begin{cases} -2+4\|\alpha\|, & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j}-1, \\ 4, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j}-1, \\ -1+4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha)+2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1), & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j+1}-1, \\ -1+4\mathcal{Z}(\alpha)+2\chi(\|\alpha\| \equiv_2 1)+4\alpha, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j+1}-1. \end{cases}$$

Here $\chi(S)$ equals 1 if the statement S is true and equals 0 if otherwise, $\|\alpha\|$ is the sum of the digits of $[\alpha]_2$, and $\mathcal{Z}(\alpha)$ is the number of zero runs of α as described later in Proposition 11.

Our approach is along the line of [21], by using the following recursive formula:

$$C_{n+1} = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \binom{n}{2i} 2^{n-2i} C_i.$$

This formula can be easily proved by using Zeilberger's creative telescoping method [22], or by two different combinatorial interpretations of C_n (see [21]). By combining the above formula with (1), we derive the following recursive formulas for $M(n)$.

$$M(2k+2) - M(2k) \equiv_8 (-1)^k M(k) + f(k), \tag{2}$$

$$f(k) = 4 \left(\binom{k+1}{2} - (-1)^k k \right) M(k-1) - 4 \binom{k}{2} M(k-2);$$

$$M(2k+1) \equiv_8 (2k+1)M(2k) + g(k), \tag{3}$$

$$g(k) = -2 \binom{2k+1}{2} M(2k-1) + 4 \binom{2k+1}{3} M(2k-2).$$

By using these recursive formulas, we give a simple way to compute the congruences of $M(n)$ modulo 2, 4, 8.

The paper is organized as follow. In Section 2, we derive the recurrence formulas of M_n , which are the starting point of our approach. We also introduce basic tools for further calculations. In Section 3, we compute the congruences classes of Motzkin numbers modulo 2 and 4. Finally, we compute the congruences classes of Motzkin numbers modulo 8 in Section 4.

2 Weighted Motzkin paths and the recursion

Let $F(x; u) = \sum_{n \geq 0} M_u(n)x^n$ be the unique power series defined by the functional equation

$$F(x; u) = \frac{1}{1 - ux - x^2 F(x; u)}.$$

Then $F(x; u)$ is the generating function of weighted Motzkin paths (see, e.g. [19]). That is, $M_u(n)$ counts weighted lattice paths from $(0, 0)$ to $(n, 0)$ that never go below the horizontal axis and use only steps $U = (1, 1)$, $H = (1, 0)$, or $D = (1, -1)$ and weights $1, u, 1$ respectively.

The well-known Motzkin number $M(n)$ is our $M_1(n)$, and the Catalan number C_n is our $M_0(2n)$. We also have $C_{n+1} = M_2(n)$, which is written as

$$M_0(2n) = M_2(n - 1), \quad \text{for } n \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

Lemma 4. *For any constants u and v , we have*

$$M_{u+v}(n) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} v^i M_u(n - i), \quad (5)$$

$$M_u(2k + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{2k + 1}{i} (-2)^{i-1} u^i M_u(2k + 1 - i). \quad (6)$$

Proof. Equation (5) is routine. For (6), we need the easy fact $M_{-u}(n) = (-1)^n M_u(n)$. By setting $v = -2u$ in (5), we obtain

$$M_{-u}(n) = M_u(n) + \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{n}{i} (-2u)^i M_u(n - i).$$

Thus for $n = 2k + 1$, we obtain

$$M_u(2k + 1) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{2k + 1}{i} (-2)^{i-1} u^i M_u(2k + 1 - i).$$

This is equation (6). □

Theorem 5. *We have the recursion (2) and (3) with initial condition $M(0) = 1$.*

Proof. Setting $u = 1$ in (6) and simplifying gives

$$M(2k + 1) \equiv_8 (2k + 1)M(2k) - 2 \binom{2k + 1}{2} M(2k - 1) + 4 \binom{2k + 1}{3} M(2k - 2).$$

This is (3). Note that no recursion for $M(2k)$ can be obtained in this way.

For (2), we start with

$$\begin{aligned} M(2k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{2k}{2i} C_{k-i} \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{j=0}^i 2^{2j} \binom{k}{2j} \binom{k-2j}{i-j} C_{k-i}, \end{aligned}$$

which can be easily proved using Zeilberger's creative telescoping method [22]. When reduced to modulo 8, this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
M(2k) &\equiv_8 \sum_{i=0}^k \binom{k}{i} C_{k-i} + 4 \binom{k}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \binom{k-2}{i-1} C_{k-i} \\
&\equiv_8 1 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(\sum_{j=1}^i \binom{k-j}{i-j+1} \right) M_2(k-i-1) + 4 \binom{k}{2} \sum_{i'=0}^{k-2} \binom{k-2}{i'} M_2(k-2-i') \\
&\equiv_8 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \sum_{i=j}^{k-1} \binom{k-j}{i-j+1} M_2((k-j) - (i-j+1)) + 4 \binom{k}{2} M_3(k-2) \\
&\equiv_8 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} M_3(k-j) + 4 \binom{k}{2} M_3(k-2).
\end{aligned}$$

(We remark that the computation modulo 2^r when $r \geq 4$ becomes complicated.) Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
M(2k+2) - M(2k) &\equiv_8 M_3(k) + 4 \binom{k+1}{2} M_3(k-1) - 4 \binom{k}{2} M_3(k-2) \\
&\equiv_8 M_{-1}(k) + 4 \binom{k}{1} M_{-1}(k-1) + 4 \binom{k+1}{2} M(k-1) - 4 \binom{k}{2} M(k-2) \\
&\equiv_8 (-1)^k M(k) + 4 \left(\binom{k+1}{2} - (-1)^k k \right) M(k-1) - 4 \binom{k}{2} M(k-2).
\end{aligned}$$

This is just equation (2). □

We derive explicit formulas of $M(n) \pmod{2^r}$ successively for $r = 1, 2, 3$. The idea is based on the fact that

$$2^{r-r'} M(n) \pmod{2^r} = 2^{r-r'} (M(n) \pmod{2^{r'}}), \quad \text{for } r' < r.$$

This fact will be frequently used without mentioning.

Lemma 6. *We keep the notations from Theorem 5. Assume that we have obtained explicit formulas for $M(n) \pmod{2^{r-1}}$. Then there are explicit formulas for $f(k)$ and $g(k)$. Moreover, the recursion is reduced as follows.*

$$M(2k+1) \equiv_8 (2k+1)M(2k) + g(k), \tag{7}$$

$$M(4s) \equiv_{2^r} M(0) + \sum_{j=2}^{2s-1} f(j) - \sum_{j=1}^{s-1} (2jM(2j) + g(j)), \tag{8}$$

$$M(4s+2) \equiv_{2^r} M(2\beta-2) + \sum_{i=0}^a (M(\beta 2^{i+2} - 4) + f(\beta 2^{i+1} - 2)), \tag{9}$$

where in (9), $s+1 = \beta 2^a$ for some odd number β and $a \geq 0$.

Proof. By (7), we can eliminate those $M(2k + 1)$ so that our formulas only involve $f(k)$, $g(k)$ and $M(2k)$. We have to split by cases $k = 2s$ and $k = 2s + 1$ in (2):

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s + 4) - M(4s + 2) &\equiv_{2^r} -M(2s + 1) + f(2s + 1) \\ &\equiv_{2^r} -(2s + 1)M(2s) - g(s) + f(2s + 1), \\ M(4s + 2) - M(4s) &\equiv_{2^r} M(2s) + f(2s) \equiv_{2^r} M(2s) + f(2s). \end{aligned}$$

Taking the sum of the above two equations gives the following recursion:

$$M(4s + 4) - M(4s) \equiv_{2^r} -2sM(2s) - g(s) + f(2s) + f(2s + 1). \quad (8')$$

(Note that we have explicit formulas of $-2sM(2s) \pmod{2^r}$ by the induction hypothesis.) This is equivalent to (8).

Next for $M(4s + 2)$ we rewrite as follows:

$$M(4(s + 1) - 2) - M(4s) \equiv_{2^r} M(2(s + 1) - 2) + f(2s).$$

If $s = \beta 2^a - 1$ with β odd and $a \geq 0$, then the above equation can be rewritten as

$$M(\beta 2^{a+2} - 2) - M(\beta 2^{a+1} - 2) \equiv_{2^r} M(\beta 2^{a+2} - 4) + f(\beta 2^{a+1} - 2). \quad (9')$$

This is equivalent to (9). □

We remark that (8') and (9') are easier to use than (8) and (9).

3 Motzkin numbers modulo 2, 4

Recall that $\omega_2(n) = a$ if $n = (2\alpha + 1)2^a$. Note that $\omega_2(0)$ is not defined. The following properties are easy to check and will be frequently used without mentioning.

Lemma 7. *For nonnegative integer α we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_2(2\alpha + 1) &= 0, & \omega_2(2\alpha) &= \omega_2(\alpha) + 1, & \alpha\omega_2(\alpha) &\equiv_2 0; \\ \omega_2(\alpha!) &= \sum_{i=1}^{\alpha} \omega_2(i), & \omega_2((2\alpha + 1)!) &= \omega_2((2\alpha)!) = \omega_2(\alpha!) + \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The first, second and fourth formulas follow easily by definition. The third formula follows from the first two formulas by discussing the parity of α . Finally,

$$\omega_2((2\alpha + 1)!) = \omega_2((2\alpha)!) = \omega_2((2\alpha)!!) = \omega_2(\alpha!) + \alpha,$$

where in the second equality, we removed all the odd factors to get $(2\alpha)!! = 2^\alpha \alpha!$. □

3.1 Motzkin numbers modulo 2

Proposition 8. *We have*

$$M(2k + 1) \equiv_2 M(2k) \equiv_2 \omega_2(2k + 2).$$

In particular $M(4s) \equiv_2 M(4s + 1) \equiv_2 1$.

Proof. We apply Theorem 5 and Lemma 6 and follow the notations there. Clearly, we have $f(k) \equiv_2 0$ and $g(k) \equiv_2 0$. Thus we have

$$M(4s) \equiv_2 M(0) = 1 = \omega_2(4s + 2),$$

$$M(4s + 2) \equiv_2 M(2\beta - 2) + \sum_{i=0}^a (M(\beta 2^{i+2} - 4)) = a + 2 = \omega_2(4s + 4),$$

where in the second equation, $s + 1 = \beta 2^a$ for some odd number β and $a \geq 0$. The proposition then follows. \square

3.2 Motzkin numbers modulo 4

Lemma 9. *We have the following characterization of Motzkin numbers modulo 4.*

$$M(4s) \equiv_4 1 + 2\omega_2(s!) \equiv_4 1 + 2L(s) + 2s,$$

$$M(4s + 1) \equiv_4 M(4s),$$

$$M(4s + 2) \equiv_4 \begin{cases} 2\alpha + 2, & s = (2\alpha + 1)2^{2a} - 1, a \geq 0, \\ 2\alpha + 2L(\alpha) + 3, & s = (2\alpha + 1)2^{2a+1} - 1, a \geq 0. \end{cases}$$

$$M(4s + 3) \equiv_4 -M(4s + 2) + 2,$$

where $L(r) = \sum_{i=1}^{r-1} M(2i)$.

Consequently, $M(n) \equiv_4 0$ if and only if $n = (4i + 1)4^{j+1} - 1$ or $n = (4i + 3)4^{j+1} - 2$ for some nonnegative integers i and j . That is, Conjecture 1 holds true.

Proof. We first show that the second part follows from the first part. Clearly, $M(n) \equiv_4 0$ if and only if either i) $M(n) = M(4r + 2) \equiv_4 2\alpha + 2 \equiv_4 0$ for $r = (2\alpha + 1)4^a - 1$. Hence, $\alpha = 2i + 1$ for some i and $n = (4i + 3)4^{a+1} - 2$; Or ii) $M(n) = M(4r + 3) \equiv_4 -M(4r + 2) + 2 \equiv_4 2\alpha \equiv_4 0$ for $r = (2\alpha + 1)4^a - 1$. Hence, $\alpha = 2i$ for some i and $n = (4i + 1)4^{a+1} - 1$.

Now we prove the first part by Theorem 5 and Lemma 6. First, we have

$$f(k) \equiv_4 0 \quad \text{and} \quad g(k) \equiv_4 -2k(2k + 1)M(2k - 1) \equiv_4 2k\omega_2(2k) \equiv_4 2k,$$

where we have used Proposition 8. Thus, the recurrence reduces to

$$M(2k + 2) \equiv_4 M(2k) + (-1)^k M(k), \tag{10}$$

$$M(2k + 1) \equiv_4 (2k + 1)M(2k) + 2k. \tag{11}$$

Clearly, the odd case reduces to the even case by (11).

For $M(4s)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+4) - M(4s) &\equiv_4 -M(2s+1) + M(2s) \equiv_4 -2sM(2s) - 2s \\ &\equiv_4 2\chi(s=2\alpha+1)(1+\omega_2(\alpha+1)+1) \equiv_4 2\omega_2(s+1), \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to $M(4s) \equiv_4 1 + 2\omega_2(s!) \equiv_4 1 + 2L(s) + 2s$.

For $M(4s+2)$, we write $s = \beta 2^a - 1$ for a unique odd number β . We have

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+2) &\equiv_4 M(2\beta-2) + \sum_{i=0}^a M(\beta 2^{i+2} - 4) \\ &\equiv_4 M(2\beta-2) + \sum_{i=0}^a (1 + 2L(\beta 2^i - 1) + 2(\beta 2^i - 1)) \\ &\equiv_4 \chi(\beta=2\alpha+1)1 + 2L(\alpha) + 2\alpha - (a+1) + \sum_{i=0}^a (2i + 2L(\alpha) + 2^{i+1}) \\ &\equiv_4 2(a+2)L(\alpha) + 2\alpha - a + a(a+1) + 2 \\ &\equiv_4 2(a+2)L(\alpha) + 2\alpha + a^2 - 2 \\ &\equiv_4 \begin{cases} 2\alpha + 2, & a \text{ is even,} \\ 2\alpha + 2L(\alpha) + 3, & a \text{ is odd.} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Indeed since $L(s)$ appears in computations modulo 8, we summarize its properties as follows.

Lemma 10. *Let $L(s) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} M(2i)$, with $L(0) = 0$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} L(2s) &\equiv_2 L(s), & L(2s+1) &\equiv_2 1 + L(s), & L(s) &= h_2(s!) + s, \\ L(2s) &\equiv_4 1 - (-1)^s + L(s), & L(2s+1) &\equiv_4 1 - L(s). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. The modulo 2 result is obvious since $L(s) \equiv_2 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} \omega_2(2i+2) = \omega_2(s!) + s$.

For the modulo 4 result, we have, by definition,

$$\begin{aligned} L(2s) &\equiv_4 \sum_{i=0}^{2s-1} M(2i) = \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (M(4i+2) + M(4i)) \\ (\text{by (10)}) &\equiv_4 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (2M(4i) + M(2i)) \\ &\equiv_4 \sum_{i=0}^{s-1} (2 + M(2i)) \\ &\equiv_4 2s + L(s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv_4 1 - (-1)^s + L(s).$$

By the above formula and Lemma 9, we have

$$L(2s + 1) = L(2s) + M(4s) = 2s + L(s) + 1 + 2s + 2L(s) = 1 - L(s).$$

This completes the proof. \square

Let $[n]_2 = n_k n_{k-1} \cdots n_1 n_0$ be the binary expansion of $n \geq 1$. Then $n = n_k 2^k + \cdots + n_1 \cdot 2 + n_0$. Denote by $\|n\| = n_k + \cdots + n_1 + n_0$, the sum of the binary digits of n . A 0-run of $[n]_2$ is a maximal 0-subword $n_i n_{i+1} \cdots n_j$ for some $0 \leq i < j \leq k$, such that $n_{j+1} = 1$ and $n_{i-1} \neq 0$ (including the case $i = 0$). Denote by $\mathcal{Z}(n)$ the number of 0-runs of $[n]_2$. We have the following explicit result.

Proposition 11. *We have*

$$L(n) \equiv_2 \|n\|, \quad \text{and } L(n) \equiv_4 2\mathcal{Z}(n) + \chi(\|n\| \equiv_2 1).$$

Proof. The modulo 2 case is straightforward by Lemma 10.

For the modulo 4 case, we proceed by induction on n . The proposition clearly holds for the base case $n = 1$. Assume it holds for all numbers smaller than n . We show that it holds for n by considering the following two cases.

Case 1: If $n = 2s + 1$, then $[n]_2$ is obtained from $[s]_2$ by adding a 1 at the end. By Lemma 10 and the induction hypothesis for s , we have

$$L(2s + 1) \equiv_4 1 - L(s) \equiv_4 1 - 2\mathcal{Z}(s) - \chi(\|s\| \equiv_2 1) \equiv_4 2\mathcal{Z}(s) + 1 - \chi(\|s\| \equiv_2 1),$$

which clearly equals to $2\mathcal{Z}(n) + \chi(\|n\| \equiv_2 1)$.

Case 2: If $n = 2s$, then $[n]_2$ is obtained from $[s]_2$ by adding a 0 at the end. i) If s is odd, then by Lemma 10 and the induction hypothesis for s , we have

$$L(2s) \equiv_4 1 - (-1)^s + L(s) = 2 + L(s) = 2(\mathcal{Z}(s) + 1) + \chi(\|s\| \equiv_2 1),$$

which clearly equals to $2\mathcal{Z}(n) + \chi(\|n\| \equiv_2 1)$. ii) Similarly, if s is even, then

$$L(2s) \equiv_4 1 - (-1)^s + L(s) = L(s) = 2\mathcal{Z}(s) + \chi(\|s\| \equiv_2 1).$$

This also equals to $2\mathcal{Z}(n) + \chi(\|n\| \equiv_2 1)$. \square

We remark that the sequence $L(n) \pmod 2$ turns out to be the Thue-Morse sequence. See [1] for a survey on the Thue-Morse sequence.

4 Motzkin numbers modulo 8

Lemma 12. *The recursion from Theorem 5 reduces modulo 8 to*

$$\begin{aligned} M(2k+2) - M(2k) &\equiv_8 (-1)^k M(k) + f(k), \text{ where } f(k) = 4\chi(k \equiv_4 3)\omega_2((k+1)/2), \\ M(2k+1) &\equiv_8 (2k+1)M(2k) + g(k), \\ &\text{where } g(k) = \chi(k = 2\alpha + 1)(4\alpha - 2M(4\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 5, we have

$$f(k) \equiv_8 4 \left(\binom{k+1}{2} - (-1)^k k \right) M(k-1) - 4 \binom{k}{2} M(k-2).$$

i) When $k = 2\alpha$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(2\alpha) &\equiv_8 4(\alpha - 2\alpha)M(2\alpha - 1) - 4\alpha M(2\alpha - 2) \\ &\equiv_8 4\alpha \omega_2(2\alpha) - 4\alpha \omega_2(2\alpha) \equiv_8 0. \end{aligned}$$

ii) When $k = 2\alpha + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f(2\alpha + 1) &\equiv_8 4(\alpha + 1 + 2\alpha + 1)M(2\alpha) - 4\alpha M(2\alpha - 1) \\ &\equiv_8 4\alpha \omega_2(2\alpha + 2) - 4\alpha \omega_2(2\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 4\chi(\alpha \equiv_2 1)\omega_2(\alpha + 1) \equiv_8 4\chi(k \equiv_4 3)\omega_2((k+1)/2). \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$g(k) \equiv_8 -2 \binom{2k+1}{2} M(2k-1) + 4 \binom{2k+1}{3} M(2k-2).$$

i) When $k = 2\alpha$, we have

$$g(2\alpha) \equiv_8 -4\alpha M(4\alpha - 1) \equiv_8 4\alpha \omega_2(4\alpha) \equiv_8 0.$$

ii) When $k = 2\alpha + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} g(2\alpha + 1) &\equiv_8 -2(2\alpha + 3)M(4\alpha + 1) + 4M(4\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 4\alpha M(4\alpha) - 2M(4\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 4\alpha - 2M(4\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem 3, which, by Proposition 11, can be restated as Propositions 13 and 14 below.

Proposition 13. *We have*

$$M(4s) \equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha, & s = 2\alpha, \\ 1 - 2L(\alpha), & s = 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

Proof. We apply Lemmas 6 and 12 to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+4) - M(4s) &\equiv_8 f(2s) + f(2s+1) - 2sM(2s) - g(s) \\ &\equiv_8 -2sM(2s) + \chi(s=2\alpha+1)(4\omega_2(2\alpha+2) - 4\alpha + 2M(4\alpha)). \end{aligned}$$

i) When $s = 2\alpha$, we have

$$M(4s+4) - M(4s) \equiv_8 -4\alpha M(4\alpha) \equiv_8 4\alpha \omega_2(4\alpha+2) \equiv_8 4\alpha.$$

ii) When $s = 2\alpha + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+4) - M(4s) &\equiv_8 -2(2\alpha+1)M(4\alpha+2) + (4\omega_2(2\alpha+2) - 4\alpha + 2M(4\alpha)) \\ &\equiv_8 -2(M(4\alpha+2) - M(4\alpha)) - 4\alpha \omega_2(4\alpha+4) + 4\omega_2(2\alpha+2) + 4\alpha \\ \text{(by (10))} &\equiv_8 -2(M(2\alpha)) + 4(\alpha+1)\omega_2((\alpha+1)) + 4(\alpha+1) \\ &\equiv_8 -2M(2\alpha) + 4(\alpha+1), \end{aligned}$$

where the last step is easily checked by considering the parity of α .

Finally, let $M'(4s)$ be defined by the right hand side of (12). Then $M'(0) = 1$ and

$$\begin{aligned} M'(8\alpha+4) - M'(8\alpha) &\equiv_8 4\alpha, \\ M'(8\alpha+8) - M'(8\alpha+4) &\equiv_8 1 - 2L(\alpha+1) + 4(\alpha+1) - 1 + 2L(\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 4(\alpha+1) - 2M(2\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $M(4s) = M'(4s)$ and the proposition follows. □

The next results relies on Proposition 13.

Proposition 14. *We have*

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+1) &\equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha, & s = 2\alpha, \\ 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4, & s = 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases} \\ M(4s+2) &\equiv_8 \begin{cases} 4, & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j} - 1, \\ 2 - 4L(\alpha), & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j} - 1, \\ -1 + 2L(\alpha), & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j+1} - 1, \\ 3 + 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j+1} - 1. \end{cases} \\ M(4s+3) &\equiv_8 \begin{cases} -2 + 4L(\alpha), & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j} - 1, \\ 4, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j} - 1, \\ -1 + 2L(\alpha), & s = (4\alpha+3)2^{2j+1} - 1, \\ -1 + 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha, & s = (4\alpha+1)2^{2j+1} - 1. \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Lemma 12, the odd case is reduced to the even case.

For $M(4s+1)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s+1) &\equiv_8 (4s+1)M(4s) \\ &\equiv_8 4s + M(4s) \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha, & s = 2\alpha, \\ 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4, & s = 2\alpha + 1. \end{cases}$$

For $M(4s + 2)$, let β be odd. We simplify (9') using Lemma 12 and (12).

$$\begin{aligned} M(\beta 2^{a+2} - 2) - M(\beta 2^{a+1} - 2) &\equiv_8 M((2\alpha + 1)2^{a+2} - 4) + f((2\alpha + 1)2^{a+1} - 2) \\ &\equiv_8 \begin{cases} 1 - 2L((\beta - 1)/2) + 2(\beta - 1) & a = 0, \\ 1 - 2L(\beta 2^{a-1} - 1) & a > 0. \end{cases} \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Lemma 10 gives $L(2s + 1) + L(s) \equiv_4 1$. Thus we have

$$M(\beta 2^{a+3} - 2) - M(\beta 2^{a+1} - 2) \equiv_8 2 - 2(L(\beta 2^{a-1} - 1) + L(\beta 2^a - 1)) \equiv_8 0, \quad a > 0.$$

This reduces $M(\beta 2^{a+1} - 2)$ to the $a = 0$ and $a = 1$ case.

Moreover, setting $a = 1$ in (13) gives

$$M(8\beta - 2) \equiv_8 M(4\beta - 2) + 1 - 2L(\beta - 1);$$

Setting $a = 0$ in (13) gives

$$M(4\beta - 2) \equiv_8 M(2\beta - 2) + 1 - 2L((\beta - 1)/2) + 2(\beta - 1).$$

i) When $\beta = 4\alpha + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+2} - 2) &\equiv_8 M(4(4\alpha + 1) - 2) \equiv_8 M(8\alpha) + 1 - 2L(2\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha + 1 - 2(2\alpha + L(\alpha)) \\ &\equiv_8 2 - 4L(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+3} - 2) &\equiv_8 M(8(4\alpha + 1) - 2) \equiv_8 2 - 4L(\alpha) + 1 - 2L(4\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 3 - 4L(\alpha) - 2(4\alpha + 2\alpha + L(\alpha)) \\ &\equiv_8 3 + 2L(\alpha) + 4\alpha. \end{aligned}$$

ii) When $\beta = 4\alpha + 3$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+2} - 2) &\equiv_8 M(4(4\alpha + 3) - 2) \equiv_8 M(8\alpha + 4) + 1 - 2L(2\alpha + 1) + 4(2\alpha + 1) \\ &= 1 - 2L(\alpha) + 1 - 2(1 - L(\alpha)) + 4 \\ &= 4. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently,

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+3} - 2) &\equiv_8 M(8(4\alpha + 3) - 2) \equiv_8 4 + 1 - 2L(4\alpha + 2) \\ &\equiv_8 5 - 2(4\alpha + 2) + L(2\alpha + 1) \\ &\equiv_8 1 - 2(1 - L(\alpha)) \end{aligned}$$

$$\equiv_8 -1 + 2L(\alpha).$$

Finally, we compute $M(4s + 3)$. By Lemma 12, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M(4s + 3) &\equiv_8 (4s + 3)M(4s + 2) + g(2s + 1) \\ &\equiv_8 -M(4s + 2) + 4s - 2M(4s) \\ &\equiv_8 -M(4s + 2) + 4s - 2(1 + 2s + 2L(s)) \\ &\equiv_8 -M(4s + 2) - 2 - 4L(s). \end{aligned}$$

i) When $\beta = 4\alpha + 1$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+2} - 1) &\equiv_8 -M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+2} - 2) - 2 - 4L((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a} - 1) \\ &\equiv_8 -2 + 4L(\alpha) - 2 - 4L(\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 4. \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+3} - 1) &\equiv_8 -M((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+3} - 2) - 2 - 4L((4\alpha + 1)2^{2a+1} - 1) \\ &\equiv_8 -3 - 2L(\alpha) - 4\alpha - 2 - 4L(\alpha) + 4 \\ &\equiv_8 -1 + 4\alpha + 2L(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

ii) When $\beta = 4\alpha + 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+2} - 1) &\equiv_8 -M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+2} - 2) - 2 - 4L((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a} - 1) \\ &\equiv_8 -4 - 2 - 4L(\alpha) + 4 \\ &\equiv_8 -2 + 4L(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

In the same way,

$$\begin{aligned} M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+3} - 1) &\equiv_8 -M((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+3} - 2) - 2 - 4L((4\alpha + 3)2^{2a+1} - 1) \\ &\equiv_8 1 - 2L(\alpha) - 2 - 4L(\alpha) \\ &\equiv_8 -1 + 2L(\alpha). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, J. Shallit, The ubiquitous Prouhet-Thue-Morse sequence, in: C. Ding, T. Helleseeth, H. Niederreiter (Eds.), *Sequences and Their Applications*, Proceedings of SETA'98, Springer, New York, 1999, pp. 1–16.
- [2] K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb, Lucas' theorem for prime powers, *European J. Combin.* 11 (1990), 229–233.
- [3] K. S. Davis and W. A. Webb, Pascal's triangle modulo 4, *Fibonacci Quart.* 29 (1991), 79–83.

- [4] E. Deutsch and B. Sagan, Congruences for Catalan and Motzkin numbers and related sequences, *J. Number Theory* 117 (2006), 191–215.
- [5] L. E. Dickson, *History of the Theory of Numbers*, Vol. I, Chelsea, 1919 (Chapter XI).
- [6] S.-P. Eu, S.-C. Liu, and Y.-N. Yeh, Catalan and Motzkin numbers modulo 4 and 8, *European J. Combin.* 29 (2008), 1449–1466.
- [7] S.-P. Eu, S.-C. Liu, and Y.-N. Yeh, On the congruences of some combinatorial numbers, *Stud. Appl. Math.* 116 (2006), 135–144.
- [8] I. M. Gessel, Some congruences for Apéry numbers, *J. Number Theory* 14 (1982), 362–368.
- [9] A. Granville, Zaphod Beeblebrox’s brain and the fifty-ninth row of Pascal’s Triangle, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 99 (1992), 318–381.
- [10] J. G. Huard, B. K. Spearman, and K. S. Williams, Pascal’s triangle (mod 8), *European J. Combin.* 19 (1998), 45–62.
- [11] M. Kauers, C. Krattenthaler, and T.W. Müller, A method for determining the mod- 2^k behaviour of recursive sequences, with applications to subgroup counting, *Electron. J. Combin.* 18(2) (2011), #P37.
- [12] M. Klazar and F. Luca, On integrality and periodicity of the Motzkin numbers, *Aequationes Math.* 69 (2005), 68–75.
- [13] E. E. Kummer, Über die Ergänzungssätze zu den allgemeinen Reciprocitätsgesetzen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* 44 (1852), 93–146.
- [14] S.-C. Liu and J. C.-C. Yeh, Catalan numbers modulo 2^k , *J. Integer Sequences* 13 (2010), Art. 10.5.4, 26 pp.
- [15] E. Lucas, Sur les congruences des nombres eulériens et des coefficients différentiels des fonctions trigonométriques suivant un module premier, *Bull. Soc. Math. France*, 6 (1878), 49–54.
- [16] Y. Mimura, Congruence properties of Apéry numbers, *J. Number Theory* 16 (1983), 138–146.
- [17] A. Postnikov and B. Sagan, Note: What power of two divides a weighted Catalan number, *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* 14 (2007), 970–977.
- [18] R. P. Stanley, Catalan addendum. New problems for Enumerative Combinatorics. Vol. 2, available at <http://math.mit.edu/~rstan/ec/catadd.pdf>.
- [19] R. Sulanke and G. Xin, Hankel determinants for some common lattice paths, *Adv. in Appl. Math.*, 40 (2008), 149–167.
- [20] S. Wolfram, *A New Kind of Science*, Wolfram Media, 2002.
- [21] Guoce Xin and Jing-Feng Xu, A short approach to Catalan numbers modulo 2^r , *Electron. J. Combin.* 18(1) (2011), #P177.
- [22] D. Zeilberger, The method of creative telescoping, *J. Symbolic Comput.* 11 (1991), 195–204.

Addendum added April 3, 2018.

The main result of this paper has been also obtained, in a slightly different formulation, by Christian Krattenthaler and Thomas Müller in Theorem 11 of “Motzkin numbers and related sequences modulo powers of 2” ([arXiv:1608.05657](https://arxiv.org/abs/1608.05657)) using completely different methods.