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#### Abstract

Simultaneous core partitions have attracted much attention since Anderson's work on the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions. In this paper we focus on simultaneous core partitions with distinct parts. The generating function of $t$-core partitions with distinct parts is obtained. We also prove results on the number, the largest size and the average size of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts. This gives a complete answer to a conjecture of Amdeberhan, which is partly and independently proved by Straub, Nath and Sellers, and Zaleski recently.
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## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to study simultaneous core partitions with distinct parts. Let us recall some basic definitions first. We refer the reader to $[9,16]$ for the basic knowledge on partitions. A partition is a finite nonincreasing sequence $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ of positive integers. Here $\lambda_{i}(1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell)$ are called the parts of $\lambda$ and $|\lambda|=\sum_{1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell} \lambda_{i}$ is the size of $\lambda$. A partition $\lambda$ is usually identified with its Young diagram, which is a collection of left-justified rows with $\lambda_{i}$ boxes in the $i$-th row. The hook length of the box $\square=(i, j)$ in the $i$-th row and $j$-th column of the Young diagram, denoted by $h(i, j)$, is the number of boxes exactly to the right, or exactly below, or the box itself. For example, Figure 1 shows the Young diagram and hook lengths of the partition ( $5,3,3,2,1$ ).

For positive integers $t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}$, a partition is called a $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partition if none of its hook lengths belongs to $\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right\}$. In particular, a partition is called a
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Figure 1: The Young diagram of the partition (5, 3, 3, 2, 1) and the hook lengths.
$t$-core partition if none of its hook lengths equals $t$ (see [7, 18]). For example, we can see from Figure 1 that $\lambda=(5,3,3,2,1)$ is a $(8,10)$-core partition.

Many results have been obtained in the study of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{m}\right)$-core partitions. For $m=2$, Anderson [3] showed that the number of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions is the rational Catalan number $\frac{1}{t_{1}+t_{2}}\binom{t_{1}+t_{2}}{t_{1}}$ when $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are coprime to each other. Olsson and Stanton [14] found the largest size of such partitions, which is $\frac{\left(t_{1}^{2}-1\right)\left(t_{2}^{2}-1\right)}{24}$. Various results on the enumeration of $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$-core partitions are achieved in $[4,6,8,12,17,20]$. A specific type of simultaneous core partitions, $(t, t+1, \ldots, t+p)$-core partitions, had been well studied. Results on the number, the largest size and the average size of such partitions can be found in $[2,10,21,24]$.

Much attention has been attracted to simultaneous core partitions with distinct parts since Amdeberhan's conjectures [1] on this subject in 2015. The results on the enumeration of $(t, t+1),(t, t+2)$ and $(t, n t \pm 1)$-core partitions with distinct parts can be found in several papers $[10,19,22,23,25,26]$ published since 2016. In this paper ${ }^{1}$, we obtain the generating function of $t$-core partitions with distinct parts in Theorem 1.1. We also prove the results on the number, the largest size and the average size of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts in Theorem 1.2, which verify Amdeberhan's conjecture on such partitions. Notice that part of Theorem 1.2 was generalized independently by [11, 19, 22, 26]. In fact, Straub [19] and Nath-Sellers [11] found the number of $(t, n t-1)$ and $(t, n t+1)$ core partitions with distinct parts respectively. The largest sizes of the above two kinds of partitions were given by the author [22]. Zaleski [26] obtained the explicit expressions for the moments of the sizes of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts, which gave a generalization of Theorem 1.2(4). Furthermore, Zaleski and Zeilberger [25] obtained the moments of the sizes of $(2 t+1,2 t+3)$-core partitions with distinct parts, whose number, largest size and average size were given by Yan, Qin, Jin and Zhou [23]. Our main results are stated next.

Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $t \geqslant 2$. Let $c d_{t}(n)$ be the number of $t$-core partitions of size $n$ with distinct parts. Then the generating function for such partition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{t}(n) q^{n}=\sum_{\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{t-1}\right) \in \mathcal{C}_{t}} q^{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(i n_{i}+t\binom{n_{i}}{2}\right)-\left(\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_{i}\right.}\right), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]where $\mathcal{C}_{t}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{t-1}: x_{i} x_{i+1}=0\right.$ for $\left.1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-2\right\}$. In particular, when $t=2,3,4$, we have
\[

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{2}(n) q^{n}=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} q^{\binom{n+1}{2}}, \\
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{3}(n) q^{n}=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} q^{n^{2}}+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} q^{n(n+1)},
\end{gathered}
$$
\]

and

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{4}(n) q^{n}=\sum_{n \geqslant 1} q^{\frac{n(3 n+1)}{2}}+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \sum_{m \geqslant 0} q^{\frac{n(3 n-1)+3 m(m+1)-2 m n}{2}} .
$$

Theorem 1.2 (Cf. Conjecture 11.9 of [1]). Let $t \geqslant 2$ be a positive integer and $\left(F_{i}\right)_{i \geqslant 0}=$ $(0,1,1,2,3,5,8,13, \ldots)$ be the Fibonacci numbers. For $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts, we have the following results.
(1) The number of such partitions is $F_{t+1}$.
(2) The largest size of such partitions is $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3}\binom{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor$, where $\lfloor x\rfloor$ is the largest integer not greater than $x$.
(3) The number of such partitions with the largest size is 2 if $t \equiv 1(\bmod 3)$ and 1 otherwise.
(4) The total sum of the sizes of these partitions and the average size are, respectively, given by

$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\ i, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j} F_{k} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\ i, j, k \geqslant 1}} \frac{F_{i} F_{j} F_{k}}{F_{t+1}} \text {. }
$$

## 2 The $\boldsymbol{\beta}$-sets of core partitions

In this section, we study the properties of $\beta$-sets of $t$-core partitions and obtain the generating function for $t$-core partitions of size $n$ with distinct parts.

Suppose that $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ is a partition whose corresponding Young diagram has $\ell$ rows. The $\beta$-set $\beta(\lambda)$ of $\lambda$ is defined to be the set of first-column hook lengths in the Young diagram of $\lambda$ (for example, see [14, 21]), i.e.,

$$
\beta(\lambda)=\{h(i, 1): 1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell\} .
$$

The following results are well-known and easy to prove.
Lemma 2.1 ( $[3,5,14,21])$. (1) Suppose $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$ is a partition. Then $\lambda_{i}=$ $h(i, 1)-\ell+i$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant \ell$. Thus the size of $\lambda$ is $|\lambda|=\sum_{x \in \beta(\lambda)} x-\binom{|\beta(\lambda)|}{2}$.
(2) (Abacus condition for t-core partitions.) A partition $\lambda$ is a $t$-core partition if and only if for any $x \in \beta(\lambda)$ with $x \geqslant t$, we always have $x-t \in \beta(\lambda)$.
Remark 2.2. An element $x \in \beta(\lambda)$ is called $t$-maximal if $x+t \notin \beta(\lambda)$. Lemma 2.1(2) implies that the $\beta$-set $\beta(\lambda)$ of at-core partition $\lambda$ is determined by all $t$-maximal elements in $\beta(\lambda)$. Thus there is a bijection $\eta$ which sends each $t$-core partition $\lambda$ to

$$
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{t-1}\right):=\left(n_{1}(\lambda), n_{2}(\lambda), \cdots, n_{t-1}(\lambda)\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{t-1}
$$

such that $t\left(n_{i}-1\right)+i$ is $t$-maximal in $\beta(\lambda)$ if $n_{i} \geqslant 1$; and $i \notin \beta(\lambda)$ if $n_{i}=0$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-1$. In this case, $\beta(\lambda)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\{j t+i\}$ and therefore $|\beta(\lambda)|=\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_{i}$.

Example 2.3. Let $\lambda=(5,3,3,2,1)$ be a 8-core partition. Then $\beta(\lambda)=\{9,6,5,3,1\}$ and $\eta(\lambda)=(2,0,1,0,1,1,0)$.

By Lemma 2.1(1), we have the following result.
Lemma 2.4. The partition $\lambda$ is a partition with distinct parts if and only if there does not exist $x, y \in \beta(\lambda)$ with $x-y=1$.

Proof. Suppose that $\lambda=\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{\ell}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.1(1), we have $\lambda_{i}=\lambda_{i+1}$ if and only if $h(i, 1)-\ell+i=h(i+1,1)-\ell+i+1$, which is equivalent to $h(i, 1)-h(i+1,1)=1$. This implies the claim.

Let $[t]=\{x \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leqslant x \leqslant t\}$ for every $t \geqslant 1$. We say that a subset $B$ of $[t]$ is nice if $x-y \neq 1$ for any $x, y \in B$. Let $\mathcal{B}_{t}$ be the set of nice subsets of $[t-1]$ and $a_{t}=\left|\mathcal{B}_{t}\right|$ be the number of nice subsets of $[t-1]$. Recall that $\mathcal{C}_{t}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{t-1}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{t-1}: x_{i} x_{i+1}=\right.$ 0 for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-2\}$ for every $t \geqslant 2$.

Example 2.5. Let $t=5$. The set of all nice subsets of [4] are

$$
\mathcal{B}_{5}=\{\{1\},\{2\},\{3\},\{4\},\{1,3\},\{1,4\},\{2,4\}\} .
$$

Notice that $\mathcal{C}_{5}$ is determined by $\mathcal{B}_{5}$ in the following manner: $\mathcal{C}_{5}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0,0,0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{\left(0, x_{2}, 0,0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(0,0, x_{3}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(0,0,0, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0, x_{3}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup$ $\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0,0, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\} \cup\left\{\left(0, x_{2}, 0, x_{4}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{4}\right\}$.

Let $C D_{t}$ be the set of $t$-core partitions with distinct parts and $c d_{t}(n)$ be the number of partitions in $C D_{t}$ with size $n$. Recall that the bijection $\eta$ is defined in Remark 2.2.

Theorem 2.6. The mapping $\eta$ gives a bijection between the sets $C D_{t}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{t}$. If $\eta(\lambda)=$ $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{t-1}\right)$ for some $t$-core partition $\lambda$ with distinct parts, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{t-1}\left(i n_{i}+t\binom{n_{i}}{2}\right)-\binom{\sum_{i=1}^{t-1} n_{i}}{2} . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.4 we know if $\lambda$ is a $t$-core partition with distinct parts, then $\{i, i+1\} \nsubseteq$ $\beta(\lambda)$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t-2$. Also we know $i \in \beta(\lambda)$ iff $n_{i}(\lambda) \geqslant 1$. Then the bijection between $C D_{t}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{t}$ is described in Remark 2.2. By the definition of $\eta$, we know $\eta(\lambda)=$ $\left(n_{1}, n_{2}, \cdots, n_{t-1}\right)$ means

$$
\beta(\lambda)=\bigcup_{i=1}^{t-1} \bigcup_{j=0}^{n_{i}-1}\{j t+i\} .
$$

Therefore by Lemma 2.1(1) we derive (2.1).
Now we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The formula (1.1) is a direct corollary of Theorem 2.6.
In particular, when $t=2$, we have $\mathcal{C}_{2}=\mathbb{N}$. Then by (1.1) we obtain

$$
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{2}(n) q^{n}=\sum_{n_{1} \geqslant 0} q^{n_{1}+2\binom{n_{1}}{2}-\binom{n_{1}}{2}}=\sum_{n \geqslant 0} q^{\binom{n+1}{2}} .
$$

When $t=3$, We have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{3}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}: x_{1} \geqslant 1\right\} \cup\left\{\left(0, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}: x_{2} \geqslant 0\right\} .
$$

By (1.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{3}(n) q^{n} & =\sum_{n_{1} \geqslant 1} q^{n_{1}+3\binom{n_{1}}{2}-\binom{n_{1}}{2}}+\sum_{n_{2} \geqslant 0} q^{2 n_{2}+3\binom{n_{2}}{2}-\binom{n_{2}}{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n \geqslant 1} q^{n^{2}}+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} q^{n(n+1)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

When $t=4$, We have

$$
\mathcal{C}_{4}=\left\{\left(0, x_{2}, 0\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}: x_{2} \geqslant 1\right\} \cup\left\{\left(x_{1}, 0, x_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{2}: x_{1} \geqslant 0, x_{3} \geqslant 0\right\}
$$

By (1.1) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n \geqslant 0} c d_{4}(n) q^{n} & =\sum_{n_{2} \geqslant 1} q^{2 n_{2}+4\binom{n_{2}}{2}-\binom{n_{2}}{2}}+\sum_{n_{1} \geqslant 0} \sum_{n_{3} \geqslant 0} q^{n_{1}+3 n_{3}+4\binom{n_{1}}{2}+4\binom{n_{3}}{2}-\binom{n_{1}+n_{3}}{2}} \\
& =\sum_{n \geqslant 1} q^{\frac{n(3 n+1)}{2}}+\sum_{n \geqslant 0} \sum_{m \geqslant 0} q^{\frac{n(3 n-1)+3 m(m+1)-2 m n}{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## $3(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts

In this section we focus on $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts. We have the following characterization for $\beta$-sets of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions.

Lemma 3.1. Let $t \geqslant 2$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1)$-core partition.
Then we have

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1}\{x \in \mathbb{N}:(k-1)(t+1)+1 \leqslant x \leqslant k t-1\} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(2) we have at $+b(t+1) \notin \beta(\lambda)$ for every nonnegative integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, which means that

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq \mathbb{N} \backslash\{a t+b(t+1): a, b \in \mathbb{N}\}
$$

The above set is related to the Frobenius problem (see [15]). Notice that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\{a t+b(t+1): a, b \in \mathbb{N}, a+b=k\}=\{x \in \mathbb{N}: k t \leqslant x \leqslant k(t+1)\}
$$

Therefore

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq \bigcup_{1 \leqslant k \leqslant t-1}\{x \in \mathbb{N}:(k-1)(t+1)+1 \leqslant x \leqslant k t-1\} .
$$

Lemma 3.2. Let $t \geqslant 2$ be a positive integer. Suppose that $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1)$-core partition with distinct parts. Then

$$
\beta(\lambda) \subseteq[t-1]=\{x \in \mathbb{N}: 1 \leqslant x \leqslant t-1\} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.1(2) we have $t, t+1 \notin \beta(\lambda)$ since $0 \notin \beta(\lambda)$. For $x \geqslant t+2$, if $x \in \beta(\lambda)$, by Lemma 2.1(2) we know $x-t, x-(t+1) \in \beta(\lambda)$. But by Lemma 2.4 this is impossible since $\lambda$ is a partition with distinct parts. Then $x \notin \beta(\lambda)$ and thus $\beta(\lambda)$ is a subset of $[t-1]$.

Now we are ready to prove our main result Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. (1) By Lemmas 2.1(2), 2.4 and 3.2, a partition $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1)$ core partition with distinct parts if and only if $\beta(\lambda)$ is a nice subset of $[t-1]$. Thus the number of $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts equals the number $a_{t}$ of nice subsets of $[t-1]$, which is equal to $F_{t+1}$ since it is well known that the Fibonacci number $F_{n+2}$ counts subsets of $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$ not containing two consecutive elements (see [13]).
(2) Suppose that $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1)$-core partition with distinct parts such that $\beta(\lambda)=$ $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{k}\right\}$. By (1) we already know $\beta(\lambda)$ is a nice subset of $[t-1]$. Thus

$$
|\lambda|=\sum_{i=1}^{k} x_{i}-\binom{k}{2} \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k}(t+1-2 i)-\binom{k}{2}=-\frac{3}{2}\left(k-\frac{2 t+1}{6}\right)^{2}+\frac{(2 t+1)^{2}}{24} .
$$

When $t=3 n$ for some integer $n$, we obtain

$$
|\lambda| \leqslant-\frac{3}{2}\left(k-\frac{6 n+1}{6}\right)^{2}+\frac{(6 n+1)^{2}}{24} \leqslant \frac{3 n^{2}}{2}+\frac{n}{2} .
$$

When $t=3 n+1$ for some integer $n$, we obtain

$$
|\lambda| \leqslant-\frac{3}{2}\left(k-\frac{6 n+3}{6}\right)^{2}+\frac{(6 n+3)^{2}}{24} \leqslant \frac{3 n^{2}}{2}+\frac{3 n}{2} .
$$

When $t=3 n+2$ for some integer $n$, we obtain

$$
|\lambda| \leqslant-\frac{3}{2}\left(k-\frac{6 n+5}{6}\right)^{2}+\frac{(6 n+5)^{2}}{24} \leqslant \frac{3 n^{2}}{2}+\frac{5 n}{2}+1 .
$$

Finally, in each case we always obtain

$$
\lambda \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3}\binom{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor .
$$

(3) By (2) we know, if $\lambda$ is a $(t, t+1)$-core partition with distinct parts which has the largest size, then its $\beta$-set must be $\beta(\lambda)=\{t-1, t-3, \ldots, t-(2 k-1)\}$ for some integer $k$. When $t=3 n$ for some integer $n, \lambda$ has the largest size $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3}\binom{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ if and only if $k=n$; when $t=3 n+1$ for some integer $n$, $\lambda$ has the largest size $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3}\binom{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ if and only if $k=n$ or $n+1$; when $t=3 n+2$ for some integer $n$, $\lambda$ has the largest size $\left\lfloor\frac{1}{3}\binom{t+1}{2}\right\rfloor$ if and only if $k=n+1$. Therefore we prove the claim.
(4) First we introduce some sequences. For every $t \geqslant 2$, let

$$
\begin{gathered}
b_{t}=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}}|B|, \quad c_{t}=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}}|B|^{2}, \\
d_{t}=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}} \sum_{x \in B} x, \quad e_{t}=d_{t}-\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}}\binom{|B|}{2},
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\phi_{t}=\sum_{\substack{i+j=t \\ i, j \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j}, \quad \psi_{t}=\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t \\ i, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j} F_{k} .
$$

Lemmas 2.1, 2.4 and 3.2 imply that $e_{t}$ equals the total sum of the sizes of all $(t, t+1)$ core partitions with distinct parts. Thus we just need to show that $e_{t}=\psi_{t+1}$ for $t \geqslant 2$.

When $t \geqslant 4$, suppose that $B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}$. If $t-1 \in B$, then $t-2 \notin B$. Therefore

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{t} & =\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \\
t-1 \notin B}}|B|+\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \\
t-1 \in B}}|B|=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-1}}|B|+\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-2}}(|B|+1) \\
& =b_{t-1}+b_{t-2}+a_{t-2}=b_{t-1}+b_{t-2}+F_{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{t} & =\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \\
t-1 \notin B}}|B|^{2}+\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \\
t-1 \in B}}|B|^{2}=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-1}}|B|^{2}+\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-2}}(|B|+1)^{2} \\
& =c_{t-1}+c_{t-2}+2 b_{t-2}+F_{t-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
d_{t} & =\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t} \\
t-1 \notin B}} \sum_{x \in B} x+\sum_{\substack{B \in \mathcal{B}_{\mathcal{B}} \\
t-1 \in B}} \sum_{x \in B} x=\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-1}} \sum_{x \in B} x+\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t-2}}\left(t-1+\sum_{x \in B} x\right) \\
& =d_{t-1}+d_{t-2}+(t-1) F_{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Notice that

$$
e_{t}=d_{t}-\sum_{B \in \mathcal{B}_{t}}\binom{|B|}{2}=d_{t}-\frac{1}{2}\left(c_{t}-b_{t}\right),
$$

which means that

$$
e_{t}-e_{t-1}-e_{t-2}=(t-1) F_{t-1}-b_{t-2}
$$

Since $e_{2}=\psi_{3}=1, e_{3}=\psi_{4}=3$, to show that $e_{t}=\psi_{t+1}$ for $t \geqslant 2$, we just need to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{t+1}-\psi_{t}-\psi_{t-1}=(t-1) F_{t-1}-b_{t-2} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $t \geqslant 4$.
Notice that $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\psi_{t+1} & =\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\
i, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j} F_{k}=\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\
j, k>1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i} F_{j} F_{k}+\sum_{\substack{j+k=t \\
j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{j} F_{k} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\
j, k \geqslant 1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i-1} F_{j} F_{k}+\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\
j, k \geqslant 1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i-2} F_{j} F_{k}+\phi_{t} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime}+j+k=t \\
i^{\prime}, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i^{\prime}} F_{j} F_{k}+\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime}+j+k=t-1 \\
i^{\prime}, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i^{\prime}} F_{j}+\phi_{t} \\
& =\psi_{t}+\psi_{t-1}+\phi_{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (3.1) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
(t-1) F_{t-1}-b_{t-2}=\phi_{t} . \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Notice that (3.2) is true for $t=4,5$. Also we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (t-1) F_{t-1}-b_{t-2}-\left((t-2) F_{t-2}-b_{t-3}\right)-\left((t-3) F_{t-3}-b_{t-4}\right) \\
= & (t-1) F_{t-1}-(t-2) F_{t-2}-(t-3) F_{t-3}-F_{t-3} \\
= & (t-1) F_{t-1}-(t-2) F_{t-1}=F_{t-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi_{t} & =\sum_{\substack{i+j=t \\
i, j \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j}=\sum_{\substack{i+j=t \\
j \geqslant 1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i} F_{j}+F_{t-1}=\sum_{\substack{i+j=t \\
j \geqslant 1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i-1} F_{j}+\sum_{\substack{i+j=t \\
j \geqslant 1 \\
i \geqslant 2}} F_{i-2} F_{j}+F_{t-1} \\
& =\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime}+j=t-1 \\
i^{\prime}, j \geqslant 1}} F_{i^{\prime}} F_{j}+\sum_{\substack{i^{\prime}+j=t-2 \\
i^{\prime}, j \geqslant 1}} F_{i^{\prime}} F_{j}+F_{t-1}=\phi_{t-1}+\phi_{t-2}+F_{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now we obtain (3.2) is true for $t \geqslant 4$. This implies that $e_{t}=\psi_{t+1}$ for $t \geqslant 2$. Therefore the total sum of the sizes of all $(t, t+1)$-core partitions with distinct parts is

$$
e_{t}=\psi_{t+1}=\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\ i, j, k \geqslant 1}} F_{i} F_{j} F_{k} .
$$

Then by (1) the average size of these partitions is

$$
\sum_{\substack{i+j+k=t+1 \\ i, j, k \geqslant 1}} \frac{F_{i} F_{j} F_{k}}{F_{t+1}}
$$
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