Bounds for Matchings in Nonabelian Groups

Will Sawin*

Department of Mathematics Columbia University New York, U.S.A.

sawin@math.columbia.edu

Submitted: Dec 10, 2017; Accepted: Oct 13, 2018; Published: Nov 2, 2018 © Will Sawin. Released under the CC BY license (International 4.0).

Abstract

We give upper bounds for triples of subsets of a finite group such that the triples of elements that multiply to 1 form a perfect matching. Our bounds are the first to give exponential savings in powers of an arbitrary finite group. Previously, Blasiak, Church, Cohn, Grochow, Naslund, Sawin, and Umans (2017) gave similar bounds in abelian groups of bounded exponent, and Petrov (2016) gave exponential bounds in certain p-groups.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 11B30, 05B10, 05D05, 20D60

1 Introduction

The key concept of this paper is as follows:

Definition 1. A multiplicative matching in a group G is a triple of subsets S, T, U in G such that the subset $M \subseteq S \times T \times U$ consisting of triples (s, t, u) satisfying stu = 1 is a perfect matching of $S \times T \times U$ - i.e. each element of S is in exactly one triple in M, and the same for T and U. The cardinality of a multiplicative matching is |M| = |S| = |T| = |U|.

(The name was inspired by the use of *additive matching* as an alternate name for the *tri-colored sum-free sets* of [BCCGNSU] in [Aaronson] and replaces the term *tri-colored product-free sets* of [Petrov].)

This paper is concerned with the problem of finding strong upper bounds on multiplicative matchings in finite non-abelian groups G. As in [BCCGNSU], a proof of sufficiently

^{*}The author was supported by Dr. Max Rössler, the Walter Haefner Foundation and the ETH Zurich Foundation. The author was also in residence at the Mathematical Sciences Research Institute in Berkeley, California during the Spring 2017 semester and supported by the National Science Foundation under Grant No. DMS-1440140.

strong bounds along these lines for a given family of groups would rule out the possibility of proving the matrix multiplication constant is 2 by finding subsets of those groups satisfying the simultaneous triple product property.

The methods of this paper are based on the formalism of slice rank, and hence they build on the breakthrough work of Croot, Lev, and Pach [CLP], its generalization due to Ellenberg and Gijswijt [EG], and its interpretation in terms of slice rank, due to Tao [Tao]. The idea of applying the method to group algebras in particular is due to Petrov [Petrov].

For most interesting families of group, the bounds in this paper are not strong enough to rule out proving the matrix multiplication constant is 2 using subsets of those groups with the simultaneous triple product property. However, the methods used could allow one to give better bounds, possibly including bounds strong enough to have applications to matrix multiplication, with a better understanding of the modular group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[G]$.

The organization of this paper is as follows:

In Section 1, we present first a quick argument that uses as a black box certain results from [BCCGNSU] to give the following bound:

Theorem 2 (9). Let G be a nontrivial finite group. There exists a constant $\delta < 1$ such that the any multiplicative matching in G^n has size at most $\delta^n |G|^n$.

We do not state a precise value of δ , because, though it could be made explicit, the bound we obtain by this method would be far from optimal. In Section 2, we present a more in-depth argument that gives the stronger bound:

Theorem 3 (21). Let H be a nonabelian finite simple group. Let G be a group containing H^n as a normal subgroup. Then the cardinality of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

 $\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\frac{3}{2^{2/3}}}{|H|}\right)\right)^n|G|.$

This essentially generalizes the previous bound because, for any finite group G, there must be a normal subgroup isomorphic to H^k for some finite simple group H, and then G^n contains H^{nk} as a normal subgroup, so as long as H is nonabelian we may take $\delta = \left(1 - \left(\frac{2 - \frac{3}{2^2/3}}{|H|}\right)\right)^k$. The case when H is abelian was previously handled by Chris Umans (in personal communication) with an argument that gives a similar bound.

The method of this section is adapted in part from [Petrov], and the strategy used to bound multiplicative matchings in a group using only properties of a normal subgroup is based on that of Umans.

In this section we also state a bound (Theorem 19) in terms of certain filtrations of the modular group algebra $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$. In the special case of a filtration of $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ by powers of a two-sided ideal I of $\mathbb{F}_p[H]$ satisfying $I^k = 0$, the bound of Theorem 19 is an explicit function of the dimensions of I, I^2, \ldots , and I^{k-1} that grows stronger as these dimensions grow larger but grows weaker as k grows larger.

Hence a study of the group algebras of finite simple groups in various characteristics leading to the construction of filtrations by large ideals whose small powers vanish could give a significant improvement of the bound in Theorem 21.

Section 3 begins the program of finding improved filtrations by studying the finite simple group $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. We obtain the following result:

Theorem 4 (31). Let p > 3 be a prime and let G be a group containing $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ as a normal subgroup. The size of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

$$\delta_p^n |G|$$

where

$$\delta_p = \inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^6}{3\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda^2 - 1 - \lambda^6}{(p+1)\lambda^2} + \frac{2 - 2\lambda}{p^2 - 1} \right)$$

satisfies $\lim_{p\to\infty} \delta_p \approx .919$.

This bound for the density is stronger than the bound of Theorem 21 in this special case, because $\lim_{p\to\infty} \delta_p < 1$, while the constant in Theorem 21 converges to 1 as |H| goes to ∞ .

We hope this type of bound can be generalized to larger classes of finite simple groups. The classification of finite simple groups suggests a potential path to proving bounds for all finite simple groups by successively handling larger and larger families, but even without relying on the classification, bounds for a restricted class of groups might have applications. One natural next step to take would be to prove similar, or stonger, bounds in $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_{p^n})$.

2 Soft Argument

For a finite-dimensional algebra R over a field k, the multiplication tensor of R is a tensor in $R^{\vee} \otimes R^{\vee} \otimes R$. If we fix a basis e_1, \ldots, e_n of R, we can write the tensor as a function of 3 variables whose values are the structure constants expressing a product of two basis vectors as a linear combination of basis vectors. Following [BCCGNSU], we will always view tensors as functions in this way.

Lemma 5. Let R be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k.

- 1. If R is not semisimple, then the multiplication tensor is unstable (in the sense of [BCCGNSU, Definition 4.2]).
- 2. Let I be an ideal of R such that $I^2 = 0$. Then the instability of the multiplication tensor (in the sense of [BCCGNSU, Definition 4.4]) is at least $\frac{\dim_k I}{3\dim_k R}$

Proof. First note that (2) implies (1). Indeed, if R is not semisimple, then the Jacobson radical of R is a nontrivial nilpotent ideal. Let I be the largest power of the Jacobson radical that is nontrivial. Then certainly $I^2 = 0$. Therefore by part (2), the instability of the multiplication tensor is positive, so the multiplication tensor is unstable.

Now let us prove (2). Let $n = \dim_k R$ and $m = \dim_k I$. Take a basis e_1, \ldots, e_n for R such that e_1, \ldots, e_m all lie in I. Define weights $u_i = v_i = 1$ if $i \leq m$ and 0 if i > m. Define the weight w_k to be 0 if $i \leq m$ and 1 if i > m.

Let r_{abc} be the structure constants of the multiplication tensor, i.e. $e_a e_b = \sum_{k=1}^n r_{abc} e_c$. Observe that if $u_a + v_b + w_c > 1$, then $r_{abc} = 0$. Indeed, suppose that more than one of the events $a \leq m$, $b \leq m$, c > m occur; we will show that $r_{abc} = 0$. If $a \leq m$ and $b \leq m$ then $e_a \in I$ and $e_b \in I$, so $e_a e_b = 0$ because $I^2 = 0$, thus $r_{abc} = 0$. Otherwise, we must have c > m and either $a \leq m$ or $b \leq m$. In this case, we have either $e_a \in I$ or $e_b \in I$, so either way $e_a e_b \in I$, thus $r_{abc} = 0$ because c > m.

Therefore if $r_{abc} \neq 0$, then $u_a + v_b + w_c \leq 1$. Hence we may write the multiplication tensor in terms of the basis e_1, \ldots, e_n and the dual basis e'_1, \ldots, e'_n as

$$\sum_{a,b,c|u_a+v_b+w_c\leqslant 1} r_{abc}e'_ae'_be_c.$$

So we may take R=1 in [BCCGNSU, Definition 4.4]. Then because

$$u_{\text{avg}} + v_{\text{avg}} + w_{\text{avg}} = \frac{m}{n} + \frac{m}{n} + \frac{n-m}{n} = 1 + \frac{m}{n} = R + \frac{m}{n}$$

and

$$u_{\max} - u_{\inf} + v_{\max} - v_{\inf} + w_{\max} - w_{\inf} = 1 - 0 + 1 - 0 + 1 - 0 + 1 - 0 = 3,$$

we may take

$$\epsilon = \frac{m}{3n}$$

as desired. \Box

Lemma 6. Let R be a finite-dimensional algebra over a field k. If R is not semisimple, then there exists a constant $\delta < 1$ such that the slice rank of the multiplication tensor of $R^{\otimes n}$ is at most $3\delta^n(\dim_k R)^n$.

Proof. Let F be the multiplication tensor of R. By [BCCGNSU, Theorem 4.10], the slice rank of the tensor power $F^{\otimes n}$ is at most $3(\dim_k R)^n e^{-2n\operatorname{instability}(F)^2}$. Letting $\delta = e^{-2\operatorname{instability}(F)^2} < 1$ (as instability(F) > 0 by Lemma 5), and observing that $F^{\otimes n}$ is the multiplication tensor of $R^{\otimes n}$, we get the stated result.

Define the multiplication tensor of a group to be the multiplication tensor of its group algebra - the function F(s,t,u) defined for $s,t,u \in G$ that is 1 if st=u and 0 otherwise.

Lemma 7. Let G be a finite group, and let p be a prime dividing |G|. There exists a constant $\delta < 1$ such that the slice rank of the multiplication tensor of G^n over \mathbb{F}_p is at most $3\delta^n |G|^n$.

Proof. Set $R = \mathbb{F}_p[G]$. By the converse to Maschke's theorem, R is not semisimple. For instance, the vector space generated by the element $\sum_{g \in G} g$ is a nontrivial two-sided ideal that squares to 0. Note that

$$\mathbb{F}_p[G^n] = \mathbb{F}_p[G]^{\otimes n} = R^{\otimes n}$$

and then apply Lemma 6.

Lemma 8. Let H be a finite group. For any field k, the cardinality of a multiplicative matching in H is at most the slice rank of the multiplication tensor of H over k.

Proof. Consider the function F on $H \times H \times H$ where F(s,t,u) is 1 if stu=1 and 0 otherwise. This is the same as the multiplication tensor but with the last variable inverted, so it has the same slice rank. Let $S \times T \times U$ be a multiplicative matching in H. The slice rank of F is at least the slice rank of the restriction of F to $S \times T \times U$, which is the diagonal tensor, whose slice rank is |S| by [BCCGNSU, Lemma 4.7]. So the slice rank of the multiplication tensor is at least the cardinality of the multiplicative matching. \square

Theorem 9. Let G be a nontrivial finite group. There exists a constant $\delta < 1$ such that any multiplicative matching in G^n has size at most $\delta^n G^n$.

Proof. Since G is nontrivial, there exists a prime p dividing |G|. By Lemma 7, the slice rank of the multiplication tensor of G^n is at most $3\delta^n|G|^n$. By Lemma 8, the size of any multiplicative matching in G^n is at most $3\delta^n|G|^n$. We can remove the factor of 3 by a standard amplification argument - a multiplicative matching in G^n of size |S| gives a multiplicative matching in $|G|^{nk}$ of size $|S|^k$, hence $|S| \leq 3^{1/k}\delta^n|G|^n$, and taking k to ∞ , $3^{1/k}$ goes to 0.

3 Main Results

We will now give a second bound for multiplicative matchings using a more detailed argument. This will apply to a power of a finite group H^n or more generally to any group containing H^n as a normal subgroup. The final bound will depend on the existence of certain filtrations of the group algebra k[H] over a field k. First we have two lemmas that provide given the existence of single ideal in $k[H^n]$ with certain properties. We will then construct the ideal using a filtration of k[H].

The following lemma is a variant of [Petrov, Theorem 2]:

Lemma 10. Let G be a finite group and k a field. Let K be a k-subspace of the group algebra k[G] such that $K^3 = 0$. Then the slice rank of the function $1_{xyz=1} : G \times G \times G \to k$ is at most $3(|G| - \dim K)$.

Proof. Let n = |G| and $k = \dim K$. Let e_1, \ldots, e_k be a basis of K, e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_n an extension to a basis of k[G]. Let e'_1, \ldots, e'_n be the dual basis of e_1, \ldots, e_n . Then we may write the function $1_{xyz=1}$ as $\sum_{1 \leq a,b,c \leq n} r_{a,b,c} e'_a(x) e'_b(y) e'_c(z)$ where, by duality, $r_{a,b,c}$ must be $\sum_{x,y,z \in G} 1_{xyz=1} e_a(x) e_b(y) e_c(z)$. This is the same as the coefficient of 1 in the element

 $(\sum_{x\in G} e_a(x)x)(\sum_{y\in G} e_b(y)y)(\sum_{z\in G} e_c(z)z)$ of the group algebra k[G]. If $a\leqslant k$, $b\leqslant k$, $c\leqslant k$, then that product is 0, so that coefficient vanishes. Thus if $r_{a,b,c}\neq 0$, then either a>k, b>k, or c>k.

Using this, we may group the terms $r_{a,b,c}e'_a(x)e'_b(y)e'_c(z)$ with $r_{a,b,c} \neq 0$ into batches, where each batch is $e'_a(x)$ times a function of only y and z, $e'_b(y)$ times a function of only x and z, or $e'_c(z)$ times a function of only x and y for a, b, or c greater than k. Each batch is a slice, so the slice rank is at most the number of batches, which is 3(n-k).

The following is a generalization of an argument of Chris Umans (in personal communication) from the special case $H = \mathbb{F}_p^n$:

Lemma 11. Let G be a finite group, H a normal subgroup, and k a field. Let J be a subspace of the group algebra k[H] such that $J^3 = 0$ and that is invariant under the natural action of G by conjugation on H. Then there exists a two-sided ideal K of k[G], of dimension at least $\frac{|G|}{|H|} \dim J$, satisfying $K^3 = 0$.

Proof. Let K be the two-sided ideal of k[G] generated by J. Because k[G] is generated as a vector space by elements $g \in G$, K is generated as a vector space by the subspaces of the form g_1Jg_2 for $g_1, g_2 \in G$. To check that $K^3 = 0$, it is sufficient to check that for all $g_1, g_2, g_3, g_4 \in G$, $g_1Jg_2Jg_3Jg_4 = 0$. Because J is invariant under conjugation by the g_i , it commutes with the g_i , so this is the same as $g_1g_2J^3g_3g_4$, and J^3 vanishes by assumption.

Because k[G] is a free k[H]-module of rank |G|/|H|, Jk[G] contains a copy of $J^{|G|/|H|}$ generated by a k[H]-basis of k[G]. Because K contains Jk[G], which contains $J^{|G|/|H|}$, which has dimension $\frac{|G|}{|H|}\dim J$, the dimension of K is at least $\frac{|G|}{|H|}\dim J$.

Remark 12. In the case H = G, the argument of Lemma 11 shows that if there is a subspace J of k[G] with $J^3 = 0$ that is conjugacy-invariant, there is a two-sided ideal, at least as large, that also cubes to zero and is conjugacy-invariant. So we may as well restrict our attention to the construction of two-sided ideals satisfying the conditions of Lemma 11.

Let H be a finite group and k a field. Suppose we have a filtration of k[H] indexed by the interval [0,1], i.e. for each $\alpha \in [0,1]$ we have a two-sided ideal I_{α} such that if $\alpha \leq \beta$ then $I_{\beta} \subseteq I_{\alpha}$. Assume also that $I_0 = k[H]$ and, for all α , $\bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta} = I_{\alpha}$.

Suppose that, for all triples of $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$ with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ we have $I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}I_{\gamma} = 0$. We will use this filtration to bound the size of multiplicative matchings in H^n and, under additional assumptions, any group containing H^n as a normal subgroup.

Definition 13. Let J be the k-vector subspace of $k[H^n] = k[H]^{\otimes n}$ generated by $I_{\alpha_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n}$ for all tuples $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n) \in [0, 1]^n$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i > n/3$.

Because I_{α} is a two-sided ideal for each α , $I_{\alpha_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n}$ is a two-sided ideal for each tuple $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, and thus J is a two-sided ideal.

Lemma 14. We have

$$J^3 = 0.$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove that any triple of n-tuples

$$(\alpha_1,\ldots,\alpha_n),(\beta_1,\ldots,\beta_n),(\gamma_1,\ldots,\gamma_n),$$

satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i > n/3$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_i > n/3$, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \gamma_i > n/3$, we have

$$(I_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n})(I_{\beta_1} \otimes \ldots I_{\beta_n})(I_{\gamma_1} \otimes \ldots I_{\gamma_n}) = 0.$$

Observe that

$$(I_{\alpha_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n})(I_{\beta_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\beta_n})(I_{\gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\gamma_n}) = I_{\alpha_1}I_{\beta_1}I_{\gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n}I_{\beta_n}I_{\gamma_n}.$$

Under our assumptions, $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i) > n$, so for some i we have $\alpha_i + \beta_i + \gamma_i > 1$, hence $I_{\alpha_i}I_{\beta_i}I_{\gamma_i} = 0$ and this tensor product vanishes as desired.

Lemma 15. Suppose that for each α , I_{α} is invariant under the action of Aut(H) on k[H].

Then J is invariant under the natural action of the wreath product $Aut(H) \wr S_n$ on H^n .

Proof. It is clear that J is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ on each factor because each I_{α} is invariant under the action of $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$. An element σ of S_n sends $I_{\alpha_1} \otimes I_{\alpha_2} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_n}$ to $I_{\alpha_{\sigma(1)}} \otimes I_{\alpha_{\sigma_2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\alpha_{\sigma(n)}}$ and so preserves J as well.

Hence J is invariant under the wreath product, which is generated by these two subgroups.

Lemma 16. We have

$$|H|^n - \dim J \leqslant \left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n$$

Proof. It suffices to prove for each fixed λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$ that

$$|H|^n - \dim J \leqslant \left(\frac{|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n.$$

Let m = |H|.

We can choose a basis e_1, \ldots, e_m of k[H] such that each I_{α} is generated by the first dim I_{α} basis vectors. (Because $I_{\beta} \subseteq I_{\alpha}$ when $\beta > \alpha$ there can be only finitely distinct I_{α} , all totally ordered by inclusion. Choose a basis of the smallest, then extend it to a basis of the next smallest, and so on.)

For j from 1 to m, let α_j be the largest α such that $e_j \in I_{\alpha_j}$. There exists such an α by the assumption $I_0 = k[H]$, and the supremum is obtained by the assumption that $I_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta}$.

Any product of basis vectors $e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{j_m} > n/3$ is in J.

So the set of all products of basis vectors $e_{j_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{j_n}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{j_m} \leqslant n/3$ generates $k[H^n]/J$. Therefore $|H|^n - \dim J$ is at most the number of such products of basis vectors.

The number of such products is

$$\sum_{j_1, \dots, j_n \in \{1, \dots m\} \mid \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_{j_i} \le n/3} 1.$$

We have

$$\sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n\in\{1,\dots m\}|\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{j_i}\leqslant n/3} 1\leqslant \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n\in\{1,\dots m\}|\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{j_i}\leqslant n/3} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{j_i}-n/3}$$

$$\leqslant \sum_{j_1,\dots,j_n\in\{1,\dots m\}} \lambda^{\sum_{i=1}^n\alpha_{j_i}-n/3} \leqslant \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \lambda^{\alpha_j-1/3}\right)^n.$$

Finally

$$\sum_{j=1}^{m} \lambda^{\alpha_j} = \sum_{j=1}^{m} \left(1 + \int_0^{\alpha_j} \lambda^{\alpha} \log \lambda d\alpha \right) = m + \int_0^1 |\{j | \alpha_j \geqslant \alpha_m\}| \, \lambda^{\alpha} \log \lambda d\alpha$$
$$= m + \int_0^1 \dim(I_{\alpha}) \lambda^{\alpha} \log \lambda d\alpha$$

and we obtain the stated formula.

In practice, it will be convenient to give a slightly different formula for

$$|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha,$$

which requires additional notation:

Lemma 17. Let J_1, \ldots, J_k be two-sided ideals and let $\alpha_0, \ldots, \alpha_k$ be real numbers such that if j > i then $J_j \subseteq J_i$ and $\alpha_j > \alpha_i$. Also suppose that $\alpha_0 = 0$ and $\alpha_k = 1$. Let $I_0 = k[G]$ and $I_{\alpha} = J_{\min\{i \mid \alpha_i \geqslant \alpha\}}$ for $\alpha \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha = \dim(k[H]/J_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) \lambda^{\alpha_i} + \dim(J_k) \lambda$$

Remark 18. This formula is closely related to the rate function of [EG, Equation (2)] and to the entropy minimization of [ST, Proposition 6].

Proof.

$$H = \dim(k[H]/J_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) + \dim(J_k)$$

and

$$\dim I_{\alpha} = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} 1_{\alpha_i \geqslant \alpha} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) + \dim(J_k)$$

$$|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha$$

$$= \dim(k[H]/J_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) \left(1 + \int_0^{\alpha_i} \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha \right) + \dim(J_k) \left(1 + \int_0^1 \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha \right)$$

$$= \dim(k[H]/J_1) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) \left(1 + \int_0^{\alpha_i} \frac{d\lambda^\alpha}{d\alpha} d\alpha \right) + \dim(J_k) \left(1 + \int_0^1 \frac{d\lambda^\alpha}{d\alpha} d\alpha \right)$$

$$= \dim(k[H]/J_1) + \sum_{k=1}^{a-1} \dim(J_i/J_{i+1}) \lambda^{\alpha_i} + \dim(J_k) \lambda.$$

Theorem 19. Let H be a finite group. Let k be a field and I_{α} a filtration of k[H] into two-sided ideals, indexed by [0,1], such that $I_0 = k[H]$, $I_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta}$, and $I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}I_{\gamma} = 0$ whenever $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$.

1. The cardinality of a multiplicative matching in H^n is at most

$$\left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n$$

2. If we suppose furthermore that H is an indecomposable group with trivial center and, for each α , I_{α} is invariant under automorphisms of H, then for G a finite group such that H^n is a normal subgroup of G, the cardinality of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

$$|G| \left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{1 + \int_0^1 \frac{\dim(I_\alpha)}{|H|} \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}} \right)^n$$

Proof. First we prove part (1). Take a multiplicative matching $S \times T \times U$ in H^n . Restricted to $S \times T \times U$, the function $1_{xyz=1}$ becomes the characteristic function of the perfect matching M, whose slice rank is the cardinality |S|. So the cardinality of the multiplicative matching is at most the slice rank of the function $1_{xyz=1}$ on $(H^n)^3$ over the field k.

Let J be the two-sided ideal in $k[H^n]$ defined in Definition 13. By Lemma 14, $J^3 = 0$. Hence by Lemma 10 and Lemma 16, the slice rank of the function $1_{xyz=1}$ on $(H^n)^3$ over the field k is at most

$$3(|H|^n - \dim J) \leqslant 3 \left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{|H| - \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}} \right)^n.$$

We can remove the factor of 3 by a standard amplification argument - a multiplicative matching in $|H|^n$ of cardinality |S| gives a multiplicative matching in $|H|^{kn}$ of cardinality $|S|^k$, letting us improve the 3 to $3^{1/k}$, which tends to 1 in the limit as k goes to ∞ .

Next we prove part (2). Assume that H is an indecomposable group with trivial center, I_{α} is $\operatorname{Aut}(H)$ -invariant, and H^n is a normal subgroup of G. Take a multiplicative matching $S \times T \times U$ in G. By the same argument, the cardinality of the multiplicative matching is at most the slice rank of the function $1_{xyz=1}$ on G^3 over k.

Let J be the same two-sided ideal as before. By Lemma 15, J is invariant under $\operatorname{Aut}(H) \wr S_n$. Because H is indecomposable with trivial center, $\operatorname{Aut}(H^n) = \operatorname{Aut}(H) \wr S_n$ [Bidwell, Theorem 3.1]. So J is invariant under the conjugation action of G.

Hence by Lemma 11 there is a two-sided ideal K of k[G] with dim $K = \frac{|G|}{|H|^n} \dim J$. Hence by Lemma 10 and Lemma 16 the slice rank of $1_{xyz=1}$ is at most

$$3\left(|G| - \frac{|G|}{|H|^n}\dim J\right) = 3\frac{|G|}{|H|^n}\left(|H|^n - \dim J\right)$$

$$\leqslant 3\frac{|G|}{|H|^n}\left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha)\lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n$$

$$= 3|G|\left(\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \frac{1 - \int_0^1 \frac{\dim(I_\alpha)}{|H|} \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n.$$

So the cardinality of a multiplicative matching is at most

$$3|G|\left(\inf_{\lambda\in(0,1)}\frac{1+\int_0^1\frac{\dim(I_\alpha)}{|H|}\lambda^\alpha\log\lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}}\right)^n.$$

We remove the factor of 3 with the same amplification argument as in part (1) except that we pass to G^k , which admits H^{nk} as a normal subgroup, to improve the bound. \square

Let us consider a special case:

Let I be a two-sided ideal of k[H] that satisfies $I^2 = 0$. Let I^{\perp} be the ideal of elements $x \in k[H]$ such that xI = 0 and Ix = 0.

Lemma 20. dim $I^{\perp} = |H| - \dim I$.

Proof. Consider the bilinear form on k[H] that sends two elements to the coefficient of 1 in their product. From the definition of multiplication in the group algebra, this is a perfect pairing: g pairs nontrivially with g^{-1} and no other element. So the perpendicular subspace of I under this pairing has dimension $|H| - \dim I$. It is sufficient to show that this is I^{\perp} .

Given an element $x \in k[G]$ whose pairing with I vanishes, and $y \in I$, the coefficient of 1 in xy vanishes. For any $y \in I$, the coefficient of g in xy is the coefficient of 1 in xyg^{-1} and vanishes because $yg^{-1} \in I$. So xy = 0 for $y \in I$.

Similarly, with the same x and y, the coefficient of g in yx is the coefficient of 1 in $g^{-1}yx$. By examining the definition of multiplication in the group algebra we can see that the pairing is symmetric, so because $g^{-1}y \in I$, this coefficient vanishes.

Theorem 21. Let H be an indecomposable group with trivial center. Let G be a group containing H^n as a normal subgroup. Then the cardinality of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

$$|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\frac{3}{2^{2/3}}}{|H|}\right)\right)^n.$$

Furthermore, if over some field k there exists an ideal I in the group algebra k[H] satisfying $I^2 = 0$ and which is invariant under the group of automorphisms of H, then the cardinality of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

$$|G|\left(1-\left(\frac{2-\frac{3}{2^{2/3}}}{|H|}\right)\dim(I)\right)^n.$$

Proof. The second statement implies the first, because for each prime p dividing the cardinality of H, we may take I to be the ideal generated by the element $\sum_{h\in H}h\in \mathbb{F}_p[H]$, which is a one-dimensional ideal that squares to 0. So it is sufficient to prove only the second statement.

To do this, consider the filtration I_{α} on k[H] given by $I_{\alpha} = k[H]$ if $\alpha = 0$, $I_{\alpha} = I^{\perp}$ if $0 < \alpha \le 1/3$, and $I_{\alpha} = I$ if $1/3 < \alpha \le 1$. This is indeed a filtration because $I^2 = 0$ so $I \subseteq I^{\perp}$. We apply Theorem 19(2) to this filtration.

First we check the conditions. By definition, $I_0 = 0$ and $I_{\alpha} = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I_{\beta}$. Furthermore, if $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ then one of the α, β, γ is greater than 1/3 and another is greater than 0 so $I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}I_{\gamma} \subseteq II^{\perp} = 0$. Because I is invariant under automorphisms of H, I^{\perp} is as well, so every ideal in the filtration is automorphism-invariant. We have already assumed that H is a nonabelian finite simple group and that H^n is a normal subgroup of G.

It remains to calculate

$$\inf_{\lambda,0<\lambda<1} \left(|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha \right).$$

Lemma 17, together with Lemma 20, imply that

$$|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha = \dim(I) + (|H| - 2\dim(I)) \lambda^{1/3} + \lambda \dim(I)$$
$$= \lambda^{1/3} H + (1 - 2\lambda^{1/3} + \lambda^{2/3}) \dim(I)$$

and

$$\inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{|H| + \int_0^1 \dim(I_\alpha) \lambda^\alpha \log \lambda d\alpha}{\lambda^{1/3}} \right) = |H| + \inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} (\lambda^{-1/3} - 2 + \lambda^{2/3}) \dim(I)$$

(taking $\lambda = 1/2$)

$$\leq |H| + (2^{1/3} - 2 + 2^{-2/3}) \dim(I) = |H| + \left(\frac{3}{2^{2/3}} - 2\right) \dim(I).$$

A similar theorem (with a stronger bound) was previously proven by Chris Umans for abelian finite simple groups \mathbb{F}_p . For this reason, and because the bound of this theorem gets stronger the smaller the group is, it is primarily of interest for nonabelian finite simple groups.

4 Matrix Groups

There is no reason to believe that the bounds of Theorem 21 are sharp, or close to it. This suggests the problem of improving them by applying Theorem 19 to a different filtration. In this section we do precisely this in the case $H = PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Let p > 2 be a prime. We will define a filtration on the group algebra $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ by first defining a filtration on the group algebra $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$. We will establish properties of this filtration using the classifications of the irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in characteristic 0, the irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ in characteristic p, and the reductions modulo p of the characteristic 0 representations. See [Prasad] for an overview of these topics.

Recall that all irreducible mod p representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ have the form $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$, for j from 0 to p-1 and i from 0 to p-1, where V is the standard representation of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ [Prasad, Lemma 1.3]. The dual of $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$ is $(\det)^{p-1-j} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$ so the set of irreducible representations involving a given symmetric power i is closed under duality.

Definition 22. Let I_1 be the ideal of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ consisting of all elements that act trivially on all irreducible mod p representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ except $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$.

Let I_2 be the ideal consisting of elements that act trivially on all irreducible mod p representations.

Let I_3 be the ideal generated by all elements $\sum_g f(g)g$ where f is a matrix coefficient of an irreducible mod p representation other than $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$.

Lemma 23. We have the inclusions

$$I_3 \subset I_2 \subset I_1$$
.

Proof. The inequality $I_2 \subseteq I_1$ follows from the definition. To check $I_3 \subseteq I_2$, it suffices to check that for f(g) a matrix coefficient of an irreducible representation other than $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$, and f'(g) a matrix coefficient of an arbitrary irreducible representation W, we have $\sum_g f(g)f'(g) = 0$, since knowing this for every coefficient of W implies that $\sum_g f(g)$ acts trivially on W.

Note that f(g) is a power of det times a polynomial of degree < p-1 in the coefficients of the matrix, while f'(g) is a power of det times a polynomial of degree $\le p-1$ in the coefficients of the matrix, so their product is a power of det times a polynomial of degree < 2(p-1) in the coefficients of the matrix.

We can replace the power of det by a power between 1 and p-1 that is congruent to it modulo p-1 without affecting the sum. Because a positive power of det vanishes

on matrices of determinant 0, we may as well view the sum as a sum over all matrices instead of just invertible ones.

The power of det can be represented by a polynomial of degree $\leq 2(p-1)$ in the coefficients of the matrix. The final sum is the sum over \mathbb{F}_p^4 of a polynomial of degree < 4(p-1) and thus vanishes, as any monomial whose sum over \mathbb{F}_p^4 is nonvanishing must have degree at least p-1 in each variable and hence total degree at least 4(p-1) (as in the proof of Chevalley-Warning).

Lemma 24. We have the identity

$$I_1I_3 = 0.$$

Proof. This follows from the definition. If $\sum_g f(g)g$ is in I_1 , and $\langle v_1, g'v_2 \rangle$ is a matrix coefficient of an irreducible representation W other than $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}$ and so $\sum_{g'} \langle v_1, g'v_2 \rangle g'$ in I_3 , then

$$\left(\sum_{h} f(h)h\right) \left(\sum_{g'} \langle v_1, g'v_2 \rangle g'\right) = \sum_{g} \left(\sum_{h} f(h) \langle v_1, h^{-1}gv_2 \rangle\right) g$$

(where g = hg') but $\sum_h f(h) \langle v_1, h^{-1}gv_2 \rangle = \sum_h f(h) \langle (h^{-1})^T v_1, gv_2 \rangle$ is a matrix coefficient of the action of $\sum_h f(h) (h^{-1})^T$ on W, hence a matrix coefficient of the action of $\sum_h f(h)h$ on W^{\vee} , which vanishes by the assumption that $\sum_h f(h)h = \sum_g f(g)g$ is in I_1 .

Lemma 25. Let M_1, M_2, M_3 be finite-dimensional bimodules for a finite-dimensional algebra R over an algebraically closed field. Let $f: M_1 \otimes_R M_2 \to M_3$ be a homomorphism of R-bimodules.

If f is nontrivial, then there must be irreducible left R-modules V_1, V_2, V_3 such that $V_1 \otimes V_2^{\vee}$ is a Jordan-Hölder factor of M_1 , $V_2 \otimes V_3^{\vee}$ is a Jordan-Hölder factor of M_2 , and $V_1 \otimes V_3^{\vee}$ is a Jordan-Hölder factor of M_3 .

Here we interpret $V_1 \otimes V_2^{\vee}$ as a bimodule where the left R acts on V_1 and the right R acts on V_2^{\vee} , and similarly for the other tensor products.

Proof. If M_1, M_2 , and M_3 are all irreducible, then we must have $M_1 = W_1 \otimes W_2^{\vee}$, $M_2 = W_3 \otimes W_4^{\vee}$, $M_3 = W_5 \otimes W_6^{\vee}$ for $W_1, W_2, W_3, W_4, W_5, W_6$ irreducible left R-modules. But $(W_1 \otimes W_2^{\vee}) \otimes_R (W_3 \otimes W_4^{\vee}) = 0$ unless $W_3 = W_2$, in which case it is $W_1 \otimes W_4^{\vee}$. Hence for there to be a nontrivial map from $(W_1 \times W_2^{\vee}) \otimes_R (W_3 \otimes W_4^{\vee})$ to $W_5 \otimes W_6^{\vee}$, we must have $W_1 = W_5, W_4 = W_6, W_3 = W_2$. So we may take $V_1 = W_1, V_2 = W_2, V_3 = W_6$.

Now we show that if M_1, M_2, M_3 are not all irreducible and admit a nontrivial map $f: M_1 \otimes_R M_2 \to M_3$, then there are subquotients M'_1, M'_2, M'_3 of M_1, M_2, M_3 and a nontrivial map $f': M'_1 \otimes_R M'_2 \to M'_3$. This implies the desired result by induction on the length of M_1 plus the length of M_2 plus the length of M_3 .

If M_1 is not irreducible, let N_1 be any submodule of M_1 . If f remains nonzero when composed with the map $N_1 \otimes_R M_2 \to M_1 \otimes_R M_2$, we take $M'_1 = N_1$, $M'_2 = M_2$, $M'_3 = M_3$,

and f' this composition. Otherwise, f descends to the quotient $(M_1/N_1) \otimes_R M_2 \to M_3$, so we take $M'_1 = M_1/N_1$, $M'_2 = M_2$, $M'_3 = M_3$, and f' this descent.

If M_2 is not irreducible, we perform the same argument but with M_1 and M_2 switched. If M_3 is not irreducible, and f is not surjective, we let M'_3 be the image of f. Otherwise, we let M'_3 be a quotient of M_3 by any nontrivial proper submodule and f' the composition of f with the projection map. Because f' is surjective, and M'_3 is nontrivial, f' is nontrivial.

Lemma 26. The Jordan-Hölder factors of R/I_1 are

$$\left((\det)^{j} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i}(V)\right) \otimes \left((\det)^{j} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i}(V)\right)^{\vee}$$

for i and j from 0 to p-2.

The Jordan-Hölder factors of I_1/I_2 are

$$((\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)) \otimes ((\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V))^\vee$$

for j from 0 to p-2.

The Jordan-Hölder factors of I_2/I_3 are

- $(\det^{i_1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i_2-i_1}(V)) \otimes (\det^{i_2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-i_2-i_1})^{\vee}$ for $0 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant p-2$.
- $\left(\det^{i_2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-i_2-i_1}\right) \otimes \left(\det^{i_1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i_2-i_1}(V)\right)^{\vee}$ for $0 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant p-2$.
- $\left(\det^{b+1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b-2}(V)\right) \otimes \left(\det^a \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)\right)^{\vee} \text{ for } 0 \leqslant b < a \leqslant p-1$
- $\left(\det^a \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)\right) \otimes \left(\det^{b+1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b-2}(V)\right)^{\vee} \text{ for } 0 \leqslant b < a \leqslant p-1$

The Jordan-Hölder factors of I_3 are $((\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)) \otimes ((\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V))^{\vee}$ for i and j from 0 to p-2, each with multiplicity 1.

(These are descriptions as multisets, so the multiplicity of each factor is the number of times it appears on the list - usually one.)

Proof. The first two statements of this lemma follow from the fact that R/I_2 is the product of the matrix algebras of all irreducible representations of $GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ and R/I_1 is the product of the matrix algebras of all irreducible representations of $GL_2(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p)$ other than $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}$. Hence in particular I_2/I_3 is the product of all the matrix algebras of irreducible representations that are of the form $\det^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}$. The matrix algebra of an irreducible representation W, as a bimodule, is precisely $W \otimes W^{\vee}$.

Similarly, I_3 is the sum inside R of, for each representation $W = ((\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V))$ with i and j from 0 to p-2, the space of matrix coefficients of W. The space of matrix coefficients is isomorphic to $W \otimes W^{\vee}$ as a bimodule. Because these spaces for different W are non-isomorphic irreducible bimodules, I_3 is also the sum of these spaces as an abstract bimodule. Hence its Jordan-Hölder factors are exactly $W \otimes W^{\vee}$ for all these representations. This implies the last statement of this lemma.

We will establish the third statement of this lemma, on the Jordan-Hölder factors of I_2/I_3 , by calculating the multiset of Jordan-Hölder factors of R and subtracting off the already calculated Jordan-Hölder factors of R/I_1 , I_1/I_2 and I_3 .

Observe that the multiset of Jordan-Hölder factors of R is the union with multiplicity over each irreducible characteristic 0 representation V of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ of the multiset of Jordan-Hölder factors of $V \otimes V^{\vee}$. This is because the Jordan-Hölder factors of a characteristic 0 representation, mod p, depend only on the isomorphism class of the characteristic 0 representation (see [Serre, Theorem 32]), and in characteristic 0, the group algebra of any group is the sum of the matrix algebras of its irreducible representations.

The Steinberg representations in characteristic 0 are parameterized by characters of the determinant. They reduce $\mod p$ to the representations $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$ for j from 0 to p-2 [Prasad, pp. 6]. Hence their contribution to the Jordan-Hölder decomposition of R is exactly the same as that of I_2/I_3 .

The p-1 representations that factor through the determinant reduce mod p to $(\det)^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^0(V)$ [Prasad, pp. 6].

The principal series representations are parameterized by unordered pairs of distinct characters, which mod p can be represented by unordered pairs of distinct integers from 0 to p-2, or equivalently by pairs of integers with $i_1 < i_2$. The Jordan-Hölder factors of the reduction mod p are $\det^{i_1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i_2-i_1}(V)$ and $\det^{i_2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-i_2-i_1}$ [Prasad, Lemma 4.1].

The representation $\det^i \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^j$ appears in exactly 1 principal series if j lies between 1 and p-2 and 0 otherwise - indeed if it appears we must have $i_1=i$ and $i_2=i+j$ or $i_1=p-1-i-j,\ i_2=i$, and exactly one of those has $0 \leqslant i_1 < i_2 \leqslant p-2$ in that range.

The discrete series representations are parametrized by characters of \mathbb{F}_{p^2} up to conjugation, which mod p can be represented as $x \mapsto x^a \overline{x}^b$ where a, b are distinct integers from 0 to p-1. Conjugation corresponds to switching a and b, so again we may assume a > b. In this case, the Jordan-Hölder factors are $\det^{b+1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b-2}(V)$ and $\det^a \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)$ [Prasad, Lemma 4.2].

The representation $\det^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$ appears in exactly 1 discrete series if i lies between 0 and p-2 and 0 otherwise. Indeed, if j>0 and i+j< p-1, which implies in particular that $i\leqslant p-2$, then we may take a=i+j+1, b=j-1. We have $p-1\geqslant a>b\geqslant 0$ and $\det^{b+1}\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^{a-b-2}(V)=\det^j\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$. If $i+j\geqslant p-1$ and $i\leqslant p-2$, which implies in particular that j=0, then we may take $a=j,\ b=i+j+1-p$, and we have $p-1\geqslant a>b\geqslant 0$ and $\det^a\operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)=\det^j\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$. Finally if j=0 and $i\leqslant p-2$ then we may take a=p-1, b=j and we have $p-1\geqslant a>b\geqslant 0$ and $\det^a\operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)=\det^j\otimes\operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$. It is easy to see that these formulas give the only possible solutions.

So combining all non-Steinberg representations, each irreducible modular representation W of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, other than the $\det^i \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}$, appears with multiplicity exactly 2. This means that $W \otimes W^{\vee}$ appears with multiplicity exactly two in $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$, which exactly cancels R/I_1 and I_3 . So the non-diagonal Jordan-Hölder factors of $V \otimes V^{\vee}$ for Van irreducible characteristic zero representation other than $W \otimes W^{\vee}$ for W an irreducible characteristic zero representation are exactly those that appear in I_2/I_3 . The previously discussed formulas of [Prasad, Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2] demonstrate that these are exactly the factors described in the statement of this lemma, with the first two coming from principal series and the last two coming from discrete series.

Lemma 27.

$$I_1I_2 \subset I_3$$

Proof. Let $R = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$. We know that the multiplication map $R \otimes_R R \to R$ restricts to a map $I_1 \otimes_R I_2 \to I_2$. We need to show the quotient map $I_1 \otimes_R I_2 \to I_2/I_3$ vanishes. It suffices to show that the map it factors through, $I_1/I_3 \otimes_R I_2/I_3 \to I_2/I_3$ vanishes. We apply Lemma 25 to $M_1 = I_1/I_3$, $M_2 = I_2/I_3$, $M_3 = I_2/I_3$

Note first that the Jordan-Hölder factors of I_1/I_2 only involve representations with j=p-1, while the Jordan-Hölder factors of I_2/I_3 never involve those representations. Because j is preserved by duality, this means that any triples as in Lemma 25 between the Jordan-Hölder factors of I_1/I_3 , I_2/I_3 , and I_2/I_3 must in fact only involve Jordan-Hölder factors of I_2/I_3 .

So it is sufficient to check there is no V_1, V_2, V_3 with $V_1 \otimes V_2^{\vee}, V_2 \otimes V_3^{\vee}$, and $V_1 \otimes V_3^{\vee}$ all Jordan-Hölder factors of I_2/I_3 . Using Lemma 26, we can express this statement graph-theoretically:

Consider a graph with vertices the irreducible mod p representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and with:

- 1. One edge connecting $\det^{i_1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{i_2-i_1}(V)$ to $\det^{i_2} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-i_2-i_1}$ for each pair of integers i_1, i_2 with $0 \leq i_1 < i_2 \leq p-2$.
- 2. One edge connecting $\det^{b+1} \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{a-b-2}(V)$ to $\det^a \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1-(a-b)}(V)$ for each pair of integers a, b with $0 \leq b < a \leq p-1$.

By construction and Lemma 26, $V_1 \otimes V_2^{\vee}$ is a Jordan-Hölder factor of I_2/I_3 if and only if there is an edge connecting V_1 to V_2 in this gaph. By Lemma 25, it is sufficient to show that this graph has no 3-cycles.

If we color the first type of edges red and the second type blue, then each vertex is on at most one red edge and at most one blue edge. In any 3-cycle, two adjacent edges are necessarily the same color. Because they are the same color and touch the same vertex, they must be the same edge. Hence the third edge of the three-cycle must be an edge from a vertex to itself, i.e. a loop. Therefore it is sufficient to show that this graph has no loops.

This is trivial to check from the construction of the edges: If the two vertices were equal for an edge of the first type, we would have $i_1 = i_2$, which is impossible. If the two vertices were equal for an edge of the second type, we would have b+1=a, which implies a-b=1, and p-1-(a-b)=(a-b-2), which implies a-b=(p+1)/2, and these contradict each other as $(p+1)/2 \neq 1$.

We can embed $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ into $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ by sending each element to the sum of its inverse images, divided by 2 (using p > 2). We can then embed $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ into $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ directly.

Let J_1, J_2, J_3 be the inverse images of I_1, I_2, I_3 under this map. Then

Lemma 28. We have the inclusions and identities

1.
$$J_3 \subseteq J_2 \subseteq J_1$$
 2.
$$J_1J_3 = 0$$
 3.
$$J_1J_2 \subset J_3$$

Proof. These follow immediately by restriction from Lemmas 23, 24, and 27 respectively.

Lemma 29. We have the identities

$$\dim(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_1) = \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6}$$

$$\dim J_1/J_2 = p^2$$

$$\dim J_2/J_3 = \frac{p(p^2-7)}{6}$$

$$\dim J_3 = \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6}$$

Proof.

$$\dim(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_2)$$

is the dimension of the image of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ inside $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/I_1$, which is the product of the matrix algebras of all irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$. These representations have the form $\det^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i V$ for some i and j. For i odd, the element $-1 \in GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ acts by -1 on this representation. Since all elements in the image of $\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ are equal to their own composition with -1, this implies the image of $\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ vanishes on these representations.

Furthermore, the homomorphism from

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$$

to the matrix algebra of $\det^j \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$ is independent of j. So we reduce to a homomorphism from $\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ to the product of the matrix algebras M_{i+1} over all even numbers i from 0 to p-1. Since the representations $\operatorname{Sym}^i(V)$ for i even remain irreducible and pairwise nonisomorphic as representations of $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, this homomorphism is surjective, and the dimension of the image is $\sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (2k+1)^2$, so $\dim(\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_2) = \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (2k+1)^2$.

For $\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_1$, everything is the same except that we do not count irreducible representations with i = p - 1, so instead the largest possible value of i is p - 3, and thus we have

$$\dim(\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_1) = \sum_{k=0}^{(p-3)/2} (2k+1)^2 = \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6}.$$

Furthermore

$$\dim J_1/J_2 = \dim(\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_2) - \dim(\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_1)$$
$$= \sum_{k=0}^{(p-1)/2} (2k+1)^2 - \sum_{k=0}^{(p-3)/2} (2k+1)^2 = p^2.$$

All elements of I_3 are linear combinations of matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ (other then $\det^i \otimes \operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$). Elements of J_3 are those matrix coefficients that are supported on $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ and are invariant under the action of multiplication by -1 on $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Certainly all elements of J_3 are linear combinations of matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ other than $\operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$. Because all elements of J_3 are invariant under that involution, the contributions to the linear combination from any representation that does not descend to $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ is equal to its own negation and so vanishes, so all elements of J_3 are matrix coefficients of irreducible representations of $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ other than $\operatorname{Sym}^{p-1}(V)$. These irreducible representations are precisely $\operatorname{Sym}^{2k}(V)$ for k from 0 to (p-3)/2.

Let us show that all matrix coefficients of these representations are actually elements of J_3 . Let ρ be the action of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ on $\operatorname{Sym}^{2k}(V)$, let v_2 be a vector in $\operatorname{Sym}^{2k}(V)$, and let v_1 be a vector in $\operatorname{Sym}^{2k}(V)^{\vee}$. Then for $g \in SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have

$$\langle v_1, \rho(g)v_2 \rangle = \frac{1}{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \langle v_1, \det(g)^j \rho(g) \rangle$$

and for all $g \notin SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, we have

$$\frac{1}{p-1}\sum_{j=0}^{p-2} \langle v_1, \det(g)^j \rho(g) \rangle = 0$$

So this sum, being a linear combination of matrix coefficient of irreducible representations of $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, is clearly an element of I_3 , and also lies in the image of $\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$. So J_3 indeed consists of the matrix coefficients of $\operatorname{Sym}^{2k}(V)$ for k from 0 to (p-3) and hence has dimension the sum of the squares of the dimensions of these representations, as desired.

Finally as

$$\dim \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)] = |PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)| = \frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{2}$$

and

$$\dim \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)] = \dim(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]/J_1) + \dim J_1/J_2 + \dim J_2/J_3 + \dim J_3$$

$$= \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} + p^2 + \dim J_2/J_3 + \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6}$$

we have

$$\dim J_2/J_3 = \frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{2} - 2\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} - p^2 = \frac{p(p^2-7)}{6} \qquad \Box$$

Remark 30. The last step of the proof of Lemma 27, that the graph has no loops, is false in general for the analogous graph in $\mathbb{F}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$, which is why we did not work directly in that group algebra.

Theorem 31. Let G be a group containing $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)^n$ as a normal subgroup. The size of a multiplicative matching in G is at most

$$|G|\inf_{\lambda\in(0,1)}\left(\frac{1+\lambda^3+\lambda^6}{3\lambda^2}+\frac{2\lambda^2-1-\lambda^6}{(p+1)\lambda^2}+\frac{2-2\lambda}{p^2-1}\right)^n.$$

Proof. Set $I_0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$, $I_\alpha = J_1$ for $0 < \alpha \le 1/3$, $I_\alpha = J_2$ for $1/3 < \alpha \le 1/2$, and J_3 for $\alpha > 1/2$. This is actually a filtration by Lemma 28(1), and certainly we have $I_0 = \overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)]$ and $I_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} I_\beta$.

Moreover, if $\alpha + \beta + \gamma > 1$ for $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in [0, 1]$, then either α, β, γ are all positive and one of α, β, γ is greater than 1/3, so $I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}I_{\gamma} \subseteq J_1J_1J_2 \subseteq J_1J_3 = 0$ by Lemma 28(3) and Lemma 28(2), or one of α, β or γ is zero, one is positive, and one is greater than 1/2, so $I_{\alpha}I_{\beta}I_{\gamma} \subseteq J_1J_3 = 0$ by Lemma 28(2),.

These ideals are automorphism-invariant because they are pullbacks of ideals of

$$\overline{\mathbb{F}}_p[GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)],$$

invariant under the conjugation action of $PGL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$, which is also the automorphism group of $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

Applying Theorem 19 and Lemma 17 we immediately get an upper bound of

$$|G| \left(\inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} + p^2 \lambda^{1/3} + \frac{p(p^2 - 7)}{6} \lambda^{1/2} + \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} \lambda}{\frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{2} \lambda^{1/3}} \right)^n$$

for the size of a multiplicative matching in G. Substituting λ^6 for λ , we may write the upper bound as

$$|G| \left(\inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{\frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} + p^2 \lambda^2 + \frac{p(p^2 - 7)}{6} \lambda^3 + \frac{p(p-1)(p-2)}{6} \lambda^6}{\frac{p(p+1)(p-1)}{2} \lambda^2} \right)^n.$$

Simplifying, we obtain

$$|G| \left(\inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \frac{(p-1)(p-2) + 6p\lambda^2 + (p^2 - 7)\lambda^3 + (p-1)(p-2)\lambda^6}{3(p+1)(p-1)\lambda^2} \right)^n$$

$$= |G| \inf_{0 < \lambda < 1} \left(\frac{(p-2) + 6\lambda^2 + (p+1)\lambda^3 + (p-2)\lambda^6}{3(p+1)\lambda^2} + \frac{2-2\lambda}{p^2 - 1} \right)^n$$

$$= |G| \inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{1 + \lambda^3 + \lambda^6}{3\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda^2 - 1 - \lambda^6}{(p+1)\lambda^2} + \frac{2-2\lambda}{p^2 - 1} \right)^n.$$

Remark 32.

$$\lim_{p\to\infty} \left(\inf_{\lambda\in(0,1)} \left(\frac{1+\lambda^3+\lambda^6}{3\lambda^2} + \frac{2\lambda^2-1-\lambda^6}{(p+1)\lambda^2} + \frac{2-2\lambda}{p^2-1}\right)\right) = \inf_{\lambda\in(0,1)} \left(\frac{1+\lambda^3+\lambda^6}{3\lambda^2}\right) \approx .919$$

This constant appears also in the Ellenberg-Gijswijt bound for sets in \mathbb{F}_3^n free of 3-term progressions, which is $\left(3e^{-I(2/3)}\right)^n$ [EG, Corollary 5]. By performing the change of variables $\lambda = e^{3\theta}$ on [EG, Equation (2)], one sees that $e^{-I(2/3)} = \inf_{\lambda \in (0,1)} \left(\frac{1+\lambda^3+\lambda^6}{3\lambda^2}\right)$.

We do not know if this coincidence has any further significance.

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank Eric Naslund, David Speyer, and Chris Umans for helpful conversations.

References

- [Aaronson] James Aaronson. A connection between matchings and removal in abelian groups. arXiv:1612.04172, 2016.
- [BCCGNSU] Jonah Blasiak, Thomas Church, Henry Cohn, Joshua A. Grochow, Eric Naslund, William F. Sawin, and Chris Umans. On cap sets and the group-theoretic approach to matrix multiplication. *Discrete Analysis*, 2017. arXiv:1605.06702.
- [Bidwell] J. N. S. Bidwell. Automorphisms of direct products of finite groups ii. Archiv der Mathematik, 91(2):111–121, 2008.
- [CLP] Ernie Croot, Vsevolod F. Lev, and Péter Pál Pach. Progression-free sets in \mathbb{Z}_4^n are exponentially small. *Annals of Mathematics*, 185(1):331–337, 2017.
- [EG] Jordan S. Ellenberg and Dion Gijswijt. On large subsets of \mathbb{F}_q^n with no three-term arithmetic progression. *Annals of Mathematics*, 185(1):339–343, 2017.
- [Petrov] Fedor Petrov. Many zero divisors in a group ring imply bounds on progression—free subsets. arXiv:1606.03256, 2016.

- [Prasad] Dipendra Prasad. Notes on modular representations of p-adic groups, and the Langlands correspondence. http://www.math.tifr.res.in/~dprasad/dp-mod-p-2010.pdf, 2010.
- [ST] Will Sawin and Terence Tao. Notes on the "slice rank" of tensors. https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/08/24/notes-on-the-slice-rank-of-tensors/, 2016.
- [Serre] Jean-Pierre Serre. Linear Representations of Finite Groups, volume 42 of Graduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1977.
- [Tao] Terence Tao. A symmetric formulation of the Croot-Lev-Pach-Ellenberg-Gijswijt capset bound. https://terrytao.wordpress.com/2016/05/18/a-symmetric-formulation-of-the-croot-lev-pach-ellenberg-gijswijt-capset-bound/, 2016.