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#### Abstract

A word is called $\beta$-free if it has no factors of exponent greater than or equal to $\beta$. The repetition threshold $\mathrm{RT}(k)$ is the infimum of the set of all $\beta$ such that there are arbitrarily long $k$-ary $\beta$-free words (or equivalently, there are $k$-ary $\beta$-free words of every sufficiently large length, or even every length). These three equivalent definitions of the repetition threshold give rise to three natural definitions of a repetition threshold for circular words. The infimum of the set of all $\beta$ such that


(a) there are arbitrarily long $k$-ary $\beta$-free circular words is called the weak circular repetition threshold, denoted $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k)$;
(b) there are $k$-ary $\beta$-free circular words of every sufficiently large length is called the intermediate circular repetition threshold, denoted $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k)$;
(c) there are $k$-ary $\beta$-free circular words of every length is called the strong circular repetition threshold, denoted $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k)$.
We prove that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(5)=\frac{4}{3}$, confirming a conjecture of Gorbunova and providing the last unknown values of the strong circular repetition threshold. We also prove that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(3)=\mathrm{RT}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$.
Mathematics Subject Classifications: 68R15

[^0]
## 1 Introduction

A (linear) word is simply a sequence of letters over some finite alphabet. A word $w=$ $w_{1} \ldots w_{n}$, where the $w_{i}$ are letters, is periodic if for some positive integer $p, w_{i+p}=w_{i}$ for all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-p$. In this case, $p$ is called a period of $w$. Note that every word of length $n$ trivially has period $n$. The exponent of a word $w$, denoted $\exp (w)$, is the ratio between its length and its minimal period. If $r=\exp (w)$, then $w$ is called an $r$-power. For example, the English word alfalfa has minimal period 3 and exponent $\frac{7}{3}$, so it is a $\frac{7}{3}$-power.

A word is called $\beta$-free if it has no factors of exponent greater than or equal to $\beta$, i.e. if it has no $r$-powers for $r \geqslant \beta$. It is called $\beta^{+}$-free if it has no factors of exponent strictly greater than $\beta$. The repetition threshold function is given by

$$
\mathrm{RT}(k)=\inf \{\beta \text { : there are arbitrarily long } \beta \text {-free words on } k \text { letters }\} .
$$

Equivalently, $\operatorname{RT}(k)$ is the smallest $\beta$ such that there is an infinite $\beta^{+}$-free word on $k$ letters. It is well-known that the Thue-Morse sequence avoids overlaps [3], from which it follows that $\mathrm{RT}(2)=2$. The repetition threshold function was introduced by Dejean [10], who conjectured that

$$
\operatorname{RT}(k)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
7 / 4 \text { if } k=3 ; \\
7 / 5 \text { if } k=4 ; \text { and } \\
k /(k-1) \text { if } k \geqslant 5
\end{array}\right.
$$

Certain cases of the conjecture were proven by various authors [ $5,7,8,10,13,14,16$ ], and the last remaining cases were finally proven independently by Currie and Rampersad [9] and Rao [17].

Interest in Dejean's conjecture has given rise to a number of similar threshold problems in combinatorics on words. These include the generalized repetition threshold introduced by Ilie, Ochem, and Shallit [12], and the Abelian repetition threshold introduced by Samsonov and Shur [18]. Further, the notion of repetition threshold has been extended to coloured graphs [15], where the "factors" of a coloured graph are the sequences of colours that arise from walking paths in the graph. In this article, we are concerned with repetition thresholds for circular words. From a graph theoretical perspective, circular words can be thought of as coloured cycles, so our work fits into the notion of repetition threshold for coloured graphs. However, we view the problem purely from a combinatorics on words perspective.

Two words $x$ and $y$ are said to be conjugates if there are words $u$ and $v$ such that $x=u v$ and $y=v u$. The conjugates of a word $w$ can be obtained by rotating the letters of $w$ cyclically. For a word $w$, the circular word $(w)$ is the set of all conjugates of $w$. Intuitively, one can think of a circular word as being obtained from a linear word by linking the ends, giving a cyclic sequence of letters.

A word is a factor of a circular word $(w)$ if it is a factor of some conjugate of $w$. As for linear words, a circular word is $\beta$-free if it has no factors of exponent greater than or equal to $\beta$, and $\beta^{+}$-free if it has no factors of exponent strictly greater than $\beta$.

Note that if the linear word $w$ is $\beta$-free, then so are all of its factors. This means that there are three equivalent definitions of the repetition threshold $\mathrm{RT}(k)$. It is the infimum of the set of all $\beta$ such that
(a) there are arbitrarily long $k$-ary $\beta$-free words;
(b) there are $k$-ary $\beta$-free words of every sufficiently large length; or
(c) there are $k$-ary $\beta$-free words of every length.

On the other hand, if $x$ is a factor of some $\beta$-free circular word $(w)$, it is not necessarily true that the circular word $(x)$ is $\beta$-free (even though the linear word $x$ must be $\beta$-free). Thus, there are three natural definitions of a repetition threshold for circular words:
(a) the weak circular repetition threshold,

$$
\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k)=\inf \{\beta \text { : there are arbitrarily long } k \text {-ary } \beta \text {-free circular words }\} ;
$$

(b) the intermediate circular repetition threshold,

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k)=\inf \{\beta: \text { there are } k \text {-ary } \beta \text {-free circular words } \\
\text { of every sufficiently large length }\} ;
\end{array}
$$

(c) and the strong circular repetition threshold,

$$
\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k)=\inf \{\beta: \text { there are } k \text {-ary } \beta \text {-free circular words of every length }\} .
$$

Clearly we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{RT}(k) \leqslant \mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k) \leqslant \mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k) \leqslant \mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $k \geqslant 2$.
Almost all values of the strong circular repetition threshold are known. Aberkane and Currie [1] demonstrated that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(2)=5 / 2$, while the fact that $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(3)=2$ follows from the work of Currie [6] along with a finite search, or alternatively from the work of Shur [20]. Gorbunova [11] demonstrated that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k)=\frac{\lceil k / 2\rceil+1}{\lceil k / 2\rceil}$ for all $k \geqslant 6$, and conjectured that this formula holds for $k=4$ and $k=5$ as well. In this article, we demonstrate that $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(5)=\frac{4}{3}$, confirming Gorbunova's conjecture. Since $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4) \geqslant \frac{3}{2}$ and $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(5) \geqslant \frac{4}{3}$ are already known [11], we only need to show that there are $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular 4 -ary words of every length, and $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular 5 -ary words of every length.

Relatively less is known about the intermediate and weak circular repetition thresholds, even though the fact that $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(2)=2$ was noted in the work of Thue (see [3]). Aberkane and Currie [2] demonstrated that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(2)=7 / 3$. It follows from [15, Theorem 3.1] that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(3)=\operatorname{RT}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$ and $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(4) \leqslant \frac{3}{2}$. In [20, Section 4], it is stated
that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$, and that the result is obtained by adapting the technique of [19], though the proof is omitted due to space constraints. We give an alternate proof that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(3)=\mathrm{RT}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$ by adapting the method we use to prove $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$.

All that is known about $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k)$ and $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k)$ for $k \geqslant 5$ are the bounds given by (1); note that $\operatorname{RT}(k)=\frac{k}{k-1}$ for all $k \geqslant 5$ and $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k)=\frac{\lceil k / 2\rceil+1}{\lceil k / 2\rceil}$ for all $k \geqslant 6$, so

$$
\frac{k}{k-1} \leqslant \operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k) \leqslant \mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k) \leqslant \frac{\lceil k / 2\rceil+1}{\lceil k / 2\rceil} \text { for all } k \geqslant 6 .
$$

With the knowledge that $\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(3)=\mathrm{RT}(3)$, it seems reasonable to conjecture that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k)=\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k)=\mathrm{RT}(k)$ for all $k \geqslant 4$. We note that this strengthens statement (2) of Conjecture 1 in [20]. However, it is likely that different techniques than those used here will be needed to prove this conjecture.

## $2 \quad \operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$

We first give a short description of the technique used to achieve the main result of this section. We use a strong inductive argument to demonstrate that there are circular $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$free 4 -ary words of every length. The inductive step involves constructing longer circular $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free words from shorter ones. We use uniform morphisms to do so. However, we need two uniform morphisms of distinct (and relatively prime) sizes in order to show that there is a circular $\frac{3^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free 4 -ary word of every length. First, we find a 9 -uniform morphism $f_{9}$ and an 11 -uniform morphism $f_{11}$ that preserve $\frac{3^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-freeness. To construct a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free word of length $n$, we write $n=9 k+11 \ell$ for integers $k$ and $\ell$. This is possible by the following well-known lemma; we use the version stated in [21].

Lemma 1. If $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(a, b)=1$, then for each $n \geqslant(a-1)(b-1)$, there is exactly one pair of nonnegative integers $r$ and $s$ such that $s<a$ and $n=r a+b$ s.
Finally, we take a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular word $(w)$ of length $k+\ell$, write $w=u v$ where $|u|=k$ and $|v|=\ell$, and show that $\left(f_{9}(u) f_{11}(v)\right)$ is also $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free. We introduce some terminology for dealing with these "mixed" images of $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$.

Let $A$ and $B$ be alphabets, and let $h: A^{*} \rightarrow B^{*}$ be a morphism. Using the standard notation for images of sets, we have $h(A)=\{h(a): a \in A\}$, which we refer to as the set of building blocks of $h$. If $H$ is a set of morphisms from $A^{*}$ to $B^{*}$, then we let $H(A)$ denote the set of all images of letters of $A$ under all morphisms in $H$; that is,

$$
H(A)=\bigcup_{h \in H} h(A)=\{h(a): h \in H, a \in A\} .
$$

We call the words in $H(A)$ the building blocks of $H$.
Definition 2. Let $A$ and $B$ be alphabets and let $H$ be a set of nonerasing morphisms from $A^{*}$ to $B^{*}$. An $H$-image of a word $w=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ with $a_{i} \in A$ is a word of the form

$$
h_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{n}\left(a_{n}\right),
$$

where $h_{i} \in H$. (Note that the $h_{i}$ are not necessarily distinct.) Let $u$ be a nonempty factor of some word $v \in H(A)^{+}$. We say that $w=a_{1} \ldots a_{n}$ is an $H$-preimage of $u$ if there is an $H$-image $h_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)$ of $w$ that has $u$ as a factor, and $w$ is minimal in the sense that $h_{1}\left(a_{1}\right) \ldots h_{n-1}\left(a_{n-1}\right)$ and $h_{2}\left(a_{2}\right) \ldots h_{n}\left(a_{n}\right)$ do not have $u$ as a factor. The $H$-preimage of the empty word $\epsilon$ is simply $\epsilon$.

A set of words $C \subseteq A^{*}$ is called a prefix code (respectively, suffix code) if no element of $C$ is the prefix (respectively, suffix) of another element of $C$. We call $C$ a bifix code if it is both a prefix code and a suffix code.

We are now ready to define $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$ and start working towards the proof that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$. Let $\Sigma_{4}=\{0,1,2,3\}$. We define the morphism $f_{9}: \Sigma_{4}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{4}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \mapsto 012132310 \\
& 1 \mapsto 123203021 \\
& 2 \mapsto 230310132 \\
& 3 \mapsto 301021203
\end{aligned}
$$

and the morphism $f_{11}: \Sigma_{4}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{4}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \mapsto 01213231210 \\
& 1 \mapsto 12320302321 \\
& 2 \mapsto 23031013032 \\
& 3 \mapsto 30102120103
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout this section, we let $F=\left\{f_{9}, f_{11}\right\}$. We make the following observations:

- The morphisms $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$ both have cyclic structure: for $a \in\{1,2,3\}, f_{9}(a)$ is obtained from $f_{9}(0)$ by adding $a$ to each letter of $f_{9}(0)$ modulo 4 , and likewise for $f_{11}$.
- The word $f_{11}(0)$ is obtained from $f_{9}(0)$ by inserting the factor 12 after the length 7 prefix (indicated above with spacing).
- For all $a \in \Sigma_{4}, f_{9}(a)$ and $f_{11}(a)$ have the same prefix of length 8 , and the same suffix of length 2 .
- $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)$ is a prefix code; the length 9 prefixes of all building blocks of $F$ are distinct.
- $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)$ is a suffix code; the length 3 suffixes of all building blocks of $F$ are distinct.

If $P$ is a prefix code and $w$ is a nonempty factor of some element of $P^{+}$, a cut of $w$ is a pair $(x, y)$ such that (i) $w=x y$; and (ii) for any words $p, s$ with $p w s \in P^{+}, p x \in P^{*}$. We use vertical bars to denote cuts. For example, over the code $\{01,10\}$, the word 11 has cut $1 \mid 1$.

We first prove that any sufficiently long factor of a word in $\left(F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)\right)^{+}$has a cut, and that any factor of a word in $\left(F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)\right)^{+}$with a cut has unique $F$-preimage. These results are used frequently in the material that follows, sometimes without reference.

Lemma 3. Let $u$ be a factor of some F-image. If $|u| \geqslant 10$, then $u$ has a cut.
Proof. Consider the set $P=\{0121,1232,2303,3010\}$ of all length 4 prefixes of the building blocks of $F$. Observe that there is a cut to the left of every appearance of a member of $P$ in $u$, since each member of $P$ appears as a factor in an $F$-image only as the prefix of a building block. (It suffices to check all $F$-images of words of length 2.) On the other hand, consider the set

$$
S=\{2310,3021,0132,1203,1210,2321,3032,0103\}
$$

of all length 4 suffixes of the building blocks of $F$. There is a cut to the right of every appearance of a member of $S$ in $u$, since each member of $S$ appears as a factor in an $F$-image only as the suffix of a building block. (Again, we need only check all $F$-images of words of length 2.)

Let $|u| \geqslant 10$. Since the building blocks of $F$ have length at most $11, u$ must contain either the prefix of length 4 of some building block of $F$ (in which case there is a cut to the left of this factor), or the suffix of length 4 of some building block of $F$ (in which case there is a cut to the right of this factor).

Lemma 4. Let $u$ be a factor of some $F$-image. If $u$ has a cut, then $u$ has unique $F$ preimage.

Proof. Suppose that $u$ has a cut. Since $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)$ is a bifix code, we can write $u=s|v| p$, where $s$ is a proper suffix of some word in $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right), v \in F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)^{*}$, and $p$ is a proper prefix of some word in $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)$. Since $v \in F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)^{*}$ and $F\left(\Sigma_{4}\right)$ is a code, $v$ has unique $F$-preimage. Note that for any letter $a \in \Sigma_{4}$, both $f_{9}(a)$ and $f_{11}(a)$ begin and end in $a$. Thus if $s$ is nonempty, then the $F$-preimage of $s$ is completely determined by the last letter of $s$, while if $p$ is nonempty, then the $F$-preimage of $p$ is completely determined by the first letter of $p$.

Next, we show that the individual morphisms $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$ are $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free. That is, they preserve $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-freeness for linear words.

Lemma 5. Let $w \in \Sigma_{4}^{+}$. If $w$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free, then $f_{9}(w)$ and $f_{11}(w)$ are $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free.
Proof. Let $w$ be $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free, and let $h \in\left\{f_{9}, f_{11}\right\}$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $h(w)$ has a factor of exponent greater than $\frac{3}{2}$. Then in particular, $h(w)$ has a factor of the form $x y x$ for words $x, y \in \Sigma_{4}^{*}$ with $|x y x|>\frac{3}{2}|x y|$, or equivalently, $|x|>|y|$.

First suppose $|x| \leqslant 9$. Then $|y| \leqslant 8$ and $|x y x| \leqslant 26$, so $x y x$ appears in some word $h(u)$ with $u \in \Sigma_{4}^{+}$a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free word of length 4. Eliminating this possibility by an exhaustive search, we may assume that $|x| \geqslant 10$. Then by Lemma $3, x$ has a cut. So $x$ has the form $s_{x}\left|m_{x}\right| p_{x}$, where $s_{x}$ is a proper suffix of some building block of $h$ and $p_{x}$ is a proper prefix of some building block of $h$. This means that $y=s_{y}\left|m_{y}\right| p_{y}$, where $p_{x} s_{y}$ and $p_{y} s_{x}$ are either empty, or building blocks of $h$. Let $m_{x}$ and $m_{y}$ have $h$-preimages $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$, respectively,
and let $p_{x} s_{y}$ and $p_{y} s_{x}$ have $h$-preimages $a$ and $b$, respectively. Note that $a, b \in \Sigma_{4} \cup\{\epsilon\}$. Then $x y x$ has $h$-preimage

$$
b x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime} a
$$

Since $h$ is uniform and $|x|>|y|$, it follows that $\left|b x^{\prime} a\right|>\left|y^{\prime}\right|$, and this contradicts the assumption that $w$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free.

Now that we know that $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$ preserve $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-freeness for linear words, we are ready to show that we can construct longer $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular words from shorter ones using $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$. For a word $w$ of length $n$ and an integer $m \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, we let $p_{m}(w)$ denote the prefix of $w$ of length $m$, and we let $s_{m}(w)$ denote the suffix of $w$ of length $m$.

Theorem 6. Let $k \geqslant 8$ and $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 10$. Let $u, v \in \Sigma_{4}^{+}$be words of length $k$ and $\ell$, respectively. If the circular word $(u v)$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}-$free, then so is the circular word $\left(f_{9}(u) f_{11}(v)\right)$.

Proof. Let $u=u_{1} u_{2} \ldots u_{k}$ and $v=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{\ell}$ and suppose that $(u v)$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free. For ease of notation, let $U=f_{9}(u)$ and $V=f_{11}(v)$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $(U V)$ has a factor of exponent greater than $\frac{3}{2}$. Then some conjugate of $U V$ has a factor $x y x$ with $|x|>|y|$. Suppose first that $|x| \leqslant 10$. Then $|y| \leqslant 9$ and thus $|x y x| \leqslant 29$. It follows that $x y x$ is a factor of some $F$-image $f_{9}\left(w_{1}\right) f_{11}\left(w_{2}\right) f_{9}\left(w_{3}\right)$, where $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in \Sigma_{4}^{*}$ satisfy $\left|w_{1}\right|+\left|w_{2}\right|+\left|w_{3}\right|=5$ and $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$ is $\frac{3^{+}}{2}-$ free. By exhaustive search, we eliminate this possibility and we may now assume that $|x| \geqslant 11$.

We first claim that $x$ cannot contain the factor $s_{3}(U) p_{9}(V)$. By inspection, $s_{3}(U) p_{9}(V)$ has the cut $s_{3}(U) \mid p_{9}(V)$. No matter the exact identity of $u_{k}$, the suffix $s_{3}(U)$ is not a suffix of any building block of $f_{11}$. Similarly, the prefix $p_{9}(V)$ is not a prefix of any building block of $f_{9}$, no matter the identity of $v_{1}$. So the only place that the factor $s_{3}(U) p_{9}(V)$ appears as a factor of the circular word $(U V)$ is at the boundary between $U$ and $V$. Since $x$ appears at least twice in some conjugate of $U V$ (as $x y x$ is a factor of some conjugate of $U V)$, we conclude that $s_{3}(U) p_{9}(V)$ is not a factor of $x$. By a similar argument, $x$ cannot contain the factor $s_{3}(V) p_{9}(U)$.

| $U$ |  |  | V |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $f_{9}\left(u_{1}\right)$ | $f_{9}\left(u_{2}\right)$ | $f_{9}\left(u_{k}\right)$ | $f_{11}\left(v_{1}\right)$ | $f_{11}\left(v_{2}\right)$ | $f_{11}\left(v_{\ell}\right)$ |
| $p_{9}(U)$ |  | $s_{3}(U)$ | $p_{9}(V)$ |  | $s_{3}(V)$ |

Since $|x| \geqslant 11, x$ must contain either the prefix of length 9 of some building block of $F$, or the suffix of length 3 of some building block of $F$. If $x$ contains the length 9 prefix or the length 3 suffix of some building block of $f_{9}$, then $x$ appears only inside $s_{2}(V) U p_{8}(V)$ by the argument of the previous paragraph. On the other hand, if $x$ contains the length 9 prefix or the length 3 suffix of some building block of $f_{11}$, then $x$ appears only inside $s_{2}(U) V p_{8}(U)$. This analysis leads to four separate cases, depending on the positioning of the entire factor $x y x$.
Case 1: $x y x$ is a factor of $s_{2}(V) U p_{8}(V)$.

| $s_{2}(V)$ | $U$ |  |  | $p_{8}(V)$ |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x$ | $y$ | $x$ |  |  |

Observe that $s_{2}(V)$ is the suffix of length 2 of $f_{11}\left(v_{\ell}\right)$, and that this is the same as the length 2 suffix of $f_{9}\left(v_{\ell}\right)$. Similarly, $p_{8}(V)$ is the prefix of length 8 of both $f_{11}\left(v_{1}\right)$ and $f_{9}\left(v_{1}\right)$. Thus $x y x$ is a factor of $f_{9}\left(v_{\ell}\right) U f_{9}\left(v_{1}\right)=f_{9}\left(v_{\ell}\right) f_{9}(u) f_{9}\left(v_{1}\right)=f_{9}\left(v_{\ell} u v_{1}\right)$. By Lemma 5 , $v_{\ell} u v_{1}$ must have a factor with exponent greater than $\frac{3}{2}$. But since $\ell \geqslant 2, v_{\ell} u v_{1}$ is a factor of $(u v)$, and this contradicts the assumption that $(u v)$ is $\frac{3}{2}{ }^{+}$-free.
Case 2: $x$ is a factor of $s_{2}(V) U p_{8}(V)$ and $x y x$ has $V$ as a factor.


Let $x=s_{x}\left|m_{x}\right| p_{x}$, and $y=s_{y}\left|m_{y}\right| p_{y}$, where $s_{x}$ and $s_{y}$ are proper suffixes of building blocks of $F$ and $p_{x}$ and $p_{y}$ are proper prefixes of building blocks of $F$. Then

$$
x y x=s_{x}\left|m_{x}\right| p_{x} s_{y}\left|m_{y}\right| p_{y} s_{x}\left|m_{x}\right| p_{x}
$$

Let $m_{x}$ have $F$-preimage $x^{\prime}$ and $m_{y}$ have $F$-preimage $y^{\prime}$. Then $\left|m_{x}\right|=9\left|x^{\prime}\right|$ and $\left|m_{y}\right| \geqslant$ $9\left|y^{\prime}\right|$. Now $p_{x} s_{y}$ and $p_{y} s_{x}$ are each either a single building block or the empty word. Let $p_{x} s_{y}$ have $F$-preimage $a \in \Sigma_{4} \cup\{\epsilon\}$ and $p_{y} s_{x}$ have $F$-preimage $b \in \Sigma_{4} \cup\{\epsilon\}$. Then the $F$-preimage of $x y x$ is

$$
b x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime} a .
$$

Note that this preimage may not be a factor of the circular word (uv) if $x y x$ is so long that the factor $p_{x}$ at the end of $x y x$ and the factor $s_{x}$ at the beginning of $x y x$ are actually part of the same building block $f_{9}\left(u_{i}\right)$. We break into two subcases:
Subcase 2a: $b x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime} a$ is a factor of $(u v)$.
We argue that $\left|b x^{\prime} a\right|>\left|y^{\prime}\right|$, which contradicts the assumption that $(u v)$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free. We have

$$
9\left|b x^{\prime} a\right| \geqslant\left|s_{x}\right|+\left|m_{x}\right|+\left|p_{x}\right|=|x|>|y| \geqslant\left|m_{y}\right| \geqslant 9\left|y^{\prime}\right|,
$$

from which the desired inequality follows.
Subcase 2b: $b x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime} a$ is not a factor of (uv).
Then neither $a$ nor $b$ is equal to $\epsilon$, since the ends of $x y x$ are in the same building block $f_{9}\left(u_{i}\right)$. Note that both $x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime} a$ and $b x^{\prime} a y^{\prime} b x^{\prime}$ appear in (uv). We claim that $\left|x^{\prime} a\right|>\left|y^{\prime} b\right|$, which contradicts the assumption that (uv) is $\frac{3^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free. Since $|x|>|y|$, we have $\left|s_{x} m_{x} p_{x}\right|>$ $\left|s_{y} m_{y} p_{y}\right|$. Thus, $\left|m_{x}\right|>\left|m_{y}\right|+\left|s_{y}\right|+\left|p_{y}\right|-\left|s_{x}\right|-\left|p_{x}\right|$. Further, since $p_{x}$ and $s_{x}$ appear in the same building block $f_{9}\left(u_{i}\right)$ and must not overlap, we have $\left|p_{x}\right|+\left|s_{x}\right| \leqslant 9$. Since $\left|p_{x} s_{y}\right| \geqslant 9$ and $\left|p_{y} s_{x}\right| \geqslant 9$ we have $\left|s_{y}\right| \geqslant 9-\left|p_{x}\right|$ and $\left|p_{y}\right| \geqslant 9-\left|s_{x}\right|$, so

$$
\left|m_{x}\right|>\left|m_{y}\right|+\left|s_{y}\right|+\left|p_{y}\right|-\left|s_{x}\right|-\left|p_{x}\right| \geqslant\left|m_{y}\right|+18-2\left(\left|p_{x}\right|+\left|s_{x}\right|\right) \geqslant\left|m_{y}\right| .
$$

Now

$$
9\left|x^{\prime}\right|=\left|m_{x}\right|>\left|m_{y}\right| \geqslant 9\left|y^{\prime}\right|,
$$

and $\left|x^{\prime} a\right|=\left|x^{\prime}\right|+1>\left|y^{\prime}\right|+1=\left|y^{\prime} b\right|$ follows.
Case 3: $x y x$ is a factor of $s_{2}(U) V p_{8}(U)$.

| $s_{2}(U)$ | $V$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $x$ | $y$ | $x$ |  |

Observe that $s_{2}(U)$ is the suffix of length 2 of $f_{9}\left(u_{k}\right)$, and that this is the same as the length 2 suffix of $f_{11}\left(u_{k}\right)$. Similarly, $p_{8}(U)$ is the prefix of length 8 of both $f_{9}\left(u_{1}\right)$ and $f_{11}\left(u_{1}\right)$. Thus, $x y x$ is a factor of $f_{11}\left(u_{k}\right) V f_{11}\left(u_{1}\right)=f_{11}\left(u_{k}\right) f_{11}(v) f_{11}\left(u_{1}\right)=f_{11}\left(u_{k} v u_{1}\right)$. By Lemma $5, u_{k} v u_{1}$ must have a factor with exponent greater than $\frac{3}{2}$. But since $k \geqslant 8$, $u_{k} v u_{1}$ is a factor of $(u v)$, and this contradicts the assumption that $(u v)$ is $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free.
Case 4: $x$ is a factor of $s_{2}(U) V p_{8}(U)$ and $x y x$ has $U$ as a factor.


Since $y$ contains $U^{\prime}$ as a factor,

$$
|y| \geqslant\left|U^{\prime}\right|=|U|-10=\left|f_{9}(u)\right|-10=9|u|-10=9 k-10 \geqslant 62,
$$

from the assumption that $|u|=k \geqslant 8$. On the other hand, since $x$ appears twice (without overlapping itself) in $s_{2}(U) V p_{8}(U)$, we conclude that

$$
|x| \leqslant \frac{|V|+10}{2}=\frac{\left|f_{11}(v)\right|+10}{2}=\frac{11|v|+10}{2}=\frac{11 \ell+10}{2} \leqslant 60
$$

from the assumption that $|v|=\ell \leqslant 10$. But this contradicts the assumption that $|x|>$ $|y|$.

We are now ready to prove the main result of this section.
Theorem 7. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular 4 -ary word of length $n$.
Proof. The proof is by strong induction on $n$. For $n \leqslant 173$ we found a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular 4 -ary word of length $n$ by computer search.

Assume that for some $n \geqslant 174$, there is a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular 4 -ary word of every length $m<n$. Then $n-9(8)-11(2) \geqslant 174-72-22=80$, so by Lemma 1 , we can write $n-9(8)-11(2)=9 r+11 s$, or equivalently $n=9(r+8)+11(s+2)$, for integers $r \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 8$. Let $k=r+8$ and $\ell=s+2$, and note that $k \geqslant 8$ and $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 10$. Clearly, $k+\ell<n$, so by the inductive hypothesis, there is a $\frac{3}{2}^{+}$-free circular 4 -ary word $(w)$ of length $k+\ell$. Let $w=u v$, with $|u|=k$ and $|v|=\ell$. By Theorem 6 , the circular 4-ary word $\left(f_{9}(u) f_{11}(v)\right)$ is also $\frac{3^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free, and has length $9 k+11 \ell=n$.

## $3 \quad \operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$

It follows immediately from the fact that $\mathrm{RT}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$ that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3) \geqslant \frac{7}{4}$. Here, we demonstrate that there are $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free ternary words of every length $n \geqslant 23$, from which we conclude that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$. The only lengths which do not admit a $\frac{7^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free circular ternary word are $5,7,9,10,14,16,17$, and 22 .

We use a construction very similar to the one used in the previous section. Let $\Sigma_{3}=\{0,1,2\}$. Define the morphism $d_{19}: \Sigma_{3}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{3}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \mapsto 0120212012102120210 \\
& 1 \mapsto 1201020120210201021 \\
& 2 \mapsto 2012101201021012102
\end{aligned}
$$

and the morphism $d_{23}: \Sigma_{3}^{*} \rightarrow \Sigma_{3}^{*}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \mapsto 01202120102012102120210 \\
& 1 \mapsto 12010201210120210201021 \\
& 2 \mapsto 20121012021201021012102
\end{aligned}
$$

Throughout this section, let $D=\left\{d_{19}, d_{23}\right\}$. Note that $d_{19}$ is the morphism used by Dejean [10] to prove that RT(3) $=\frac{7}{4}$. In particular, Dejean proved that $d_{19}$ is $\frac{7}{4}^{+}$-free. The image $d_{23}(0)$ is obtained by inserting the factor 0201 into the middle of $d_{19}(0)$ (indicated above by spacing), and for $a \in\{1,2\}, d_{23}(a)$ is obtained from $d_{23}(0)$ by adding $a$ to each letter of $d_{23}(0)$ modulo 3 . Note that $d_{19}$ and $d_{23}$ have similar properties to $f_{9}$ and $f_{11}$ used in Section 2:

- Both $d_{19}$ and $d_{23}$ have the cyclic structure described above for $d_{23}$.
- For all $a \in \Sigma_{3}, d_{19}(a)$ and $d_{23}(a)$ have the same prefix of length 9 , and the same suffix of length 13.
- $D\left(\Sigma_{3}\right)$ is a prefix code; the length 10 prefixes of all building blocks of $D$ are distinct.
- $D\left(\Sigma_{3}\right)$ is a suffix code; the length 14 suffixes of all building blocks of $D$ are distinct.

Lemma 8. Let u be a factor of some D-image.
(a) If $|u| \geqslant 22$, then $u$ has a cut.
(b) If $u$ has a cut, then $u$ has unique D-preimage.

Proof. The proof of (a) is similar to that of Lemma 3. If $|u| \geqslant 22$ then $u$ contains the length 9 prefix of some building block (and there is a cut to the left of this prefix) or the length 9 suffix of some building block (and there is a cut to the right of this prefix). The proof of $(b)$ is similar to that of Lemma 4.

Theorem 9. Let $k \geqslant 6$ and $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 20$. Let $u, v \in \Sigma_{3}^{+}$be words of length $k$ and $\ell$, respectively. If the circular word $(u v)$ is $\frac{7}{4}^{+}$-free, then so is the circular word $\left(d_{19}(u) d_{23}(v)\right)$.
Proof. Let $u=u_{1} \ldots u_{k}$ and $v=v_{1} \ldots v_{\ell}$ and suppose that (uv) is $\frac{7}{4}^{+}$-free. Let $U=d_{19}(u)$ and $V=d_{23}(v)$. Suppose towards a contradiction that $(U V)$ has a factor of exponent greater than $\frac{7}{4}$. Then some conjugate of $U V$ has a factor $x y x$ with $|x|>3|y|$. Suppose first that $|x| \leqslant 22$. Then $|y| \leqslant 7$ and $|x y x| \leqslant 51$. It follows that $x y x$ is a factor of some $D$-image of the form $d_{19}\left(w_{1}\right) d_{23}\left(w_{2}\right) d_{19}\left(w_{3}\right)$, where $w_{1}, w_{2}, w_{3} \in \Sigma_{3}^{*}$ satisfy $\left|w_{1}\right|+\left|w_{2}\right|+\left|w_{3}\right|=4$ and $w_{1} w_{2} w_{3}$ is $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free. We eliminate this possibility by exhaustive search and may now assume that $|x| \geqslant 23$.

Notice that $x$ contains neither $s_{14}(U) p_{10}(V)$ nor $s_{14}(V) p_{10}(U)$ as a factor, since each of these factors appears at most once in any conjugate of $U V$. It follows that $x$ appears only inside $s_{13}(V) U p_{9}(V)$, or only inside $s_{13}(U) V p_{9}(U)$. We have four cases, as in Theorem 6.
Case 1: $x y x$ is a factor of $s_{13}(V) U p_{9}(V)$.
Then $x y x$ is a factor of $d_{19}\left(v_{\ell} u v_{1}\right)$, and since $d_{19}$ is $\frac{7^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free [10], we reach a contradiction as in Case 1 in the proof of Theorem 6.

Case 2: $x$ is a factor of $s_{13}(V) U p_{9}(V)$ and $x y x$ has $V$ as a factor.
The argument is analogous to that of Case 2 in the proof of Theorem 6 .
Case 3: $x y x$ is a factor of $s_{13}(U) V p_{9}(U)$.
Since $|v|=\ell \leqslant 20$, it suffices to check that $d_{23}(w)$ is $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free for all $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free words $w \in \Sigma_{3}^{*}$ with $|w| \leqslant 22$.
Case 4: $x$ is a factor of $s_{13}(U) V p_{9}(U)$ and $x y x$ has $U$ as a factor.
Write $U=p_{9}(U) U^{\prime} s_{13}(U)$. Then $y$ contains $U^{\prime}$ as a factor, so

$$
|y| \geqslant\left|U^{\prime}\right|=|U|-22=19 k-22 \geqslant 92
$$

from the assumption that $k \geqslant 6$. On the other hand, since $x$ appears twice (without overlapping itself) in $s_{13}(U) V p_{9}(U)$, we conclude that

$$
|x| \leqslant \frac{|V|+22}{2}=\frac{23 \ell+22}{2} \leqslant 241
$$

from the assumption that $\ell \leqslant 20$. But then $3|y|>|x|$, a contradiction.
Theorem 10. For every $n \geqslant 23$, there is a $\frac{7}{4}^{+}$-free circular ternary word of length $n$.
Proof. The proof is by strong induction on $n$. For $23 \leqslant n<555$ we found a $\frac{7}{4}^{+}$-free circular ternary word of length $n$ by computer search.

Assume that for some $n \geqslant 555$, there is a $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free circular ternary word of every length $m$ such that $23 \leqslant m<n$. Then $n-19(6)-23(2) \geqslant 555-114-46=395$, so by Lemma 1 , we can write $n-19(6)-23(2)=19 r+23 s$, or equivalently $n=19(r+6)+23(s+2)$, for integers $r \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant s \leqslant 18$. Let $k=r+6$ and $\ell=s+2$, and note that $k \geqslant 6$ and $2 \leqslant \ell \leqslant 20$. Now since $555>23^{2}$, we have $23 \leqslant k+\ell<n$, so by the inductive hypothesis, there is a $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free circular ternary word $(w)$ of length $k+\ell$. Let $w=u v$, with $|u|=k$ and $|v|=\ell$. By Theorem 9, the circular ternary word $\left(d_{19}(u) d_{23}(v)\right)$ is also $\frac{7^{+}}{4}$-free, and has length $19 k+23 \ell=n$.

## $4 \quad \operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(5)=\frac{4}{3}$

In this section, we prove that the strong circular repetition threshold for 5 letters is $\frac{4}{3}$. The construction is more complicated than the one used in Sections 2 and 3, so we first explain why a different type of construction is needed. In order to apply the technique of Sections 2 and 3 here, we would need an $r$-uniform $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free morphism from $\Sigma_{5}^{*}$ to $\Sigma_{5}^{*}$ for some $r \geqslant 2$. However, no such morphism exists. Brandenburg [4] demonstrated that for every $k \geqslant 4$, there is no growing $\operatorname{RT}(k)^{+}$-free morphism from $\Sigma_{k}^{*}$ to $\Sigma_{k}^{*}$ (a morphism $h: \Sigma \rightarrow \Delta$ is growing if $h(a)>1$ for all $a \in \Sigma$ ). By a minor modification of his proof, one can show that there is no growing $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free morphism from $\Sigma_{5}^{*}$ to $\Sigma_{5}^{*}$.

So in order to prove this last case of Gorbunova's conjecture, we adapt the technique used by Gorbunova [11] for larger alphabets. Throughout this section, for a (finite or infinite) word $u=u_{1} u_{2} u_{3} \ldots$, where the $u_{k}$ are letters, we define $u[i]=u_{i}$ and $u[i: j]=$ $u_{i} \ldots u_{j}$ for all positive integers $i$ and $j$ with $i \leqslant j$. For a finite word $v=v_{1} v_{2} \ldots v_{n}$, we define $v[-j]=v[n-j+1]=v_{n-j-1}$. In other words, $v[-j]$ is the $j$ th letter of $w$ counting from the right (and starting at 1). For positive integers $i$ and $j$ with $i \geqslant j$, we define $v[-i:-j]=v[n-i+1: n-j+1]=v_{n-i+1} \ldots v_{n-j+1}$. Finally, for positive integers $i$ and $j$ with $i+j \leqslant n+1$, we define $v[i:-j]=v_{i} \ldots v_{n-j+1}$. Throughout this section, words are always assumed to start at index 1 .

Define $\varphi:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow\{0,1\}^{*}$ by $\varphi(0)=101101$ and $\varphi(1)=10$. Define

$$
p=\varphi^{\infty}(1)=101011011010110110101011011010101101 \ldots
$$

Throughout this section, let $A=\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$ and let $s=\mathrm{abc}$. For a (finite or infinite) binary word $w$, define

$$
M(w)[i]=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
s[i] \text { if } i \leqslant 3 ; \\
M(w)[i-3] \text { if } i>3 \text { and } w[i]=0 \\
\text { the unique element of } \\
A \backslash\{M(w)[i-1], M(w)[i-2], M(w)[i-3]\} \text { otherwise. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

This definition originates with Pansiot [16], though we use different terminology. The word $w$ is called the encoding of $M(w)$. Note that the encoding $w$ can be recovered from $M(w)$, i.e. $M$ is invertible. Throughout this section, let $\mathbf{P}=M(\mathbf{p})$. The following results are due to Pansiot [16].

Theorem 11. (a) The word 00 is not a factor of $\mathbf{p}$.
(b) The word $\mathbf{P}$ is $\frac{7}{5}^{+}$-free.
(c) The only factors of $\mathbf{P}$ with exponent greater than $\frac{4}{3}$ are encoded by

$$
t_{1}=10110101101 .
$$

This factor of $\mathbf{p}$ gives rise to $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in $\mathbf{P}$ equal to

$$
T_{1}=M\left(t_{1}\right)=\mathrm{abcd} \mathrm{bacbdc} \mathrm{abcd}
$$

up to permutation of $A$.
(d) If a finite word $u$ appears as a factor of $\mathbf{P}$, then so does $\sigma(u)$, where $\sigma$ is any permutation of $A$.

We also require one further result which can be derived from Pansiot's work fairly easily. To state the result, we require some additional terminology related to the generalized repetition threshold [12]. For a rational number $\beta$ such that $1<\beta \leqslant 2$, a $\beta$-power $u$ has period $p$ if we can write $u=x x^{\prime}$, where $x^{\prime}$ is a prefix of $x,|x|=p$, and $|u|=\beta|x|$; in this case, we call $u$ a $(\beta, p)$-power, and we call $x^{\prime}$ the excess of the $\beta$-power. A word is called $\left(\beta^{+}, p\right)$-free if it contains no factor that is a $\left(\beta^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right)$-power for $\beta^{\prime}>\beta$ and $p^{\prime} \geqslant p$.

Lemma 12. The word $\mathbf{P}$ is $\left(\frac{14}{11}^{+}, 11\right)$-free.
Proof. First of all, if $\mathbf{P}$ has a $(\beta, p)$-power with excess of length at most 2 , and if $p \geqslant 11$, then $\beta \leqslant \frac{13}{11}$. So we need only consider powers with excess at least 3 . The proof is analogous to that of [16, Propriété 4.14], so we omit some details. Define $\mu:\{0,1\}^{*} \rightarrow$ $\{0,1\}^{*}$ by $\mu(w)=\varphi(w) 101$, as in [16]. By [16, Propriété 4.9, 4.10, and 4.12], it suffices to check that $\mu(u v u)$ and $\mu^{2}(u v u)$ have exponent less than $\frac{14}{11}$ for $u=\varepsilon$ and $v=101101101$, and $u=1$ and $v=011010110$. This allows us to conclude that the only inextensible repetitions of $\mathbf{P}$ (see [16] for the precise definition) with exponent greater than $\frac{14}{11}$ and excess at least 3 are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M(101101101)=\mathrm{abc} \text { dbacbd abc, and } \\
& M(10110101101)=\mathrm{abcd} \text { bacbdc abcd, }
\end{aligned}
$$

up to permutation of $A$. These are a $\frac{4}{3}$-power of period 9 and a $\frac{7}{5}$-power of period 10 , respectively. It follows that any factor with exponent greater than $\frac{14}{11}$ has period less than 11.

We note that the factor

$$
t_{2}=1 \overbrace{0110101 \underbrace{t_{1}}_{t_{1}}}^{t_{1}}
$$

also appears in $\mathbf{p}$, which encodes the factor

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{2}=M\left(t_{2}\right)=\overline{\mathrm{abcd}} \mathrm{bacbd} c \overline{\mathrm{ab}} c \mathrm{~d} a c \mathrm{badcab} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

up to permutation of the letters. The overlining and underlining is to emphasize the two $\frac{7}{5}$-powers. However, by exhaustive search, this is the only way that two appearances of $t_{1}$ in $\mathbf{p}$ can overlap, and no appearance of $t_{2}$ overlaps with a third appearance of $t_{1}$. Further, if $t_{1} v t_{1}$ is a factor of $\mathbf{p}$, then $|v| \geqslant 17$. It follows that any two nonoverlapping $\frac{7}{5}$-powers of $\mathbf{P}$ have a factor of length at least 14 between them.

```
input: w, a finite factor of P
output: }\eta(w), a \mp@subsup{4}{3}{+}+\mathrm{ -free word of length n on }A\cup{\textrm{e}
for i from 1 to n-13:
    if w[i:i+3]=w[i+10:i+13], then
        if }i\leqslantn-21 and w[i+8:i+11]=w[i+18:i+21], the
            if w[i]=d or w[i+1]=d, then
                change the appearance of d in w[i+10:i+11] to e
            else
                change the appearance of d in w[i:i+3] to e, and
                change the appearance of d in w[i+18:i+21] to e
        else
            if i<19, then
                change the appearance of d in w[i+10:i+13] to e
            if i\geqslant19, then
                change the appearance of d in w[i:i+3] to e
```

return w

Figure 1: The defining algorithm for $\eta(w)$.

We first describe a systematic way of eliminating the $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in a finite factor of $\mathbf{P}$ by introducing a fifth letter e. The key is to note that the excess of every $\frac{7}{5}$-power in $\mathbf{P}$ contains every letter from $A$ exactly once. So we can eliminate the $\frac{7}{5}$-powers, and leave repetitions of exponent at most $\frac{4}{3}$, by changing the d in either the length 4 prefix or the length 4 suffix (but not both) of every $\frac{7}{5}$-power to an e. The algorithm given in Figure 1 accomplishes this, and the output word also satisfies an additional structural property.

Essentially, we search through the factors of $w$ of length 14 for the $\frac{7}{5}$-powers. When we find a $\frac{7}{5}$-power $u$, we first check whether it overlaps with another $\frac{7}{5}$-power $v$, in which case the factor of $w$ at hand is equal to $T_{2}$ (see (2)) up to permutation of $A$. If d is the first or second letter of $u$, then we change the appearance of d that lies in the length 4 suffix of $u$ (and also the length 4 prefix of $v$ ) to an e. This one change eliminates both of the overlapping $\frac{7}{5}$-powers. Otherwise, if d is the third or fourth letter of $u$, we change the appearance of d in the length 4 prefix of $u$ to an e and the appearance of d in the length 4 suffix of $v$ to an e. On the other hand, if $u$ does not overlap with another $\frac{7}{5}$-power, we consider where $u$ occurs in $w$. If the $\frac{7}{5}$-power at hand starts in the first eighteen letters of $w$, then we replace the appearance of $d$ in the length 4 suffix with an e. Otherwise, we replace the appearance of $d$ in the length 4 prefix with an $e$.

For a given factor $w$ of $\mathbf{P}$, the word $\eta(w)$ is not only $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free, but any two appearances of e in $\eta(w)$ are relatively far apart. The algorithm is also structured so that the letter e does not appear too close to the beginning nor the end of $\eta(w)$ very often; this simply reduces the number of exceptional cases that we need to deal with later.

Lemma 13. Let $w$ be a factor of $\mathbf{P}$. Then the following hold:
(a) $\eta(w)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}-$free.
(b) Every factor of $\eta(w)$ of length 15 contains at least two d's and at most one e.

Proof. First we prove (a). We can recover $w$ from $\eta(w)$ by changing all e's to d's, so every $\beta$-power in $\eta(w)$ gives rise to a $\beta$-power in $w$. Thus, by Result $11(\mathrm{~b}), \eta(w)$ is $\frac{7^{+}}{5}$-free. Further, Result 11(c) describes the factors with exponent greater than $\frac{4}{3}$ in $w$. We change either the prefix of length 4 or the suffix of length 4 (but not both) of every $\frac{7}{5}$-power in $w$ when we construct $\eta(w)$, so we conclude that $\eta(w)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free.

For (b), by exhaustive check, every factor of length 15 in $\mathbf{P}$ contains at least three d's. It suffices to show that there is a factor of length at least 14 between any two appearances of e in $\eta(w)$. When constructing $\eta(w)$ from $w$, we only change an appearance of d to e if it lies inside of a $\frac{7}{5}$-power in $w$. Moreover, we only change a single d to an e in each $\frac{7}{5}$-power. Take any two distinct appearances of e in $\eta(w)$. If they arise due to overlapping appearances of $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in $w$, then the factor of $w$ we are dealing with is equal to $\sigma\left(T_{2}\right)$ for some permutation $\sigma$ of $A$. Since we are concerned with two distinct appearances of e, we must have changed some letter in the length 4 prefix of $\sigma\left(T_{2}\right)$ and the length 4 suffix of $\sigma\left(T_{2}\right)$. This leaves a factor of length at least 14 between the two e's. Otherwise, the two appearances of e arise due to nonoverlapping appearances of $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in $w$. But by exhaustive check, there is a factor of length at least 14 between any pair of nonoverlapping appearances of $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in $w$.

Our constructions of $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular words on five letters will require factors of $\mathbf{P}$ that begin and end in the same letter. The next lemma concerns the existence of such factors.

Lemma 14. For every $n \geqslant 3, \mathbf{P}$ either has a factor of length $n$ that begins and ends in d , or a factor of length $n+1$ that begins and ends in d .

Proof. Let $n \geqslant 3$. First we demonstrate that $\mathbf{p}$ has a factor of length $n$ which begins and ends in 1. Recall that $\mathbf{p}=10101101 \ldots$, so in particular $\mathbf{p}[5]=\mathbf{p}[6]=1$. By Result 11(a), either $\mathbf{p}[n+4]=1$ or $\mathbf{p}[n+5]=1$, and hence at least one of the length $n$ factors $\mathbf{p}[5: n+4]$ or $\mathbf{p}[6: n+5]$ begins and ends in 1 .

By Result 11(d), it suffices to show that $\mathbf{P}$ either has a factor of length $n$ that begins and ends in the same letter, or a factor of length $n+1$ that begins and ends in the same letter. Let $\mathbf{q}$ be a suffix of $\mathbf{p}$ that satisfies $\mathbf{q}[1]=\mathbf{q}[n]=1$, and consider the word $\mathbf{Q}=M(\mathbf{q})$. (Note that $\mathbf{Q}$ is a suffix of $\mathbf{P}$ under a permutation of $A$, but by Result 11(d), every finite factor of $\mathbf{Q}$ is a factor of $\mathbf{P}$.) Since $\mathbf{q}[1]=\mathbf{q}[n]=1$, we see that $\mathbf{Q}[1: 4]=\operatorname{abcd}$, and $\mathbf{Q}[n: n+3]$ contains all 4 letters of $A$. If $\mathbf{Q}[n]=\mathrm{a}$, then $\mathbf{Q}[1: n]$ begins and ends with a, and we are done. So we may assume that $\mathbf{Q}[n] \neq \mathrm{a}$. If $\mathbf{Q}[n+1]=\mathrm{a}$, then $\mathbf{Q}[1: n+1]$ begins and ends in $\mathbf{a}$, and if $\mathbf{Q}[n+1]=\mathbf{b}$, then $\mathbf{Q}[2: n+1]$ begins and ends in b. So we may assume that $\mathbf{Q}[n+1] \in\{\mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d}\}$. By similar arguments, we may assume that $\mathbf{Q}[n+2] \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}\}$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+3] \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$. By inspection, there are only three possibilities for $\mathbf{Q}[n: n+3]$ :
bcda, cdab, and dcab.

|  | $\mathbf{Q}[k-3]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k-2]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k-1]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ |  |  |
| $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-5]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-4]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-3]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-2]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-1]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k]$ |
| $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ |  |  |  |

Table 1: Visual aid for Case I of the proof of Lemma 14. Columns show first and last letter of factors of length $n$ in $\mathbf{Q}$.

| $\mathbf{Q}[k-3]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k-2]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k-1]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k+1]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[k+2]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ |  |  |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-2]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-1]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k]$ | $\mathbf{Q}[n+k+1]$ |
|  |  | $\alpha$ | $\beta$ | $\gamma$ |  |

Table 2: Visual aid for Case II of the proof of Lemma 14. Columns show first and last letter of factors of length $n$ in $\mathbf{Q}$.

Case I: $\mathbf{Q}[n: n+3]=\mathrm{bcda}($ see Table 1)
Note that $\mathbf{Q}[n: n+2]=\mathbf{b c d}=\mathbf{Q}[2: 4]$. However, $\mathbf{Q}[2: n-1]$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n: 2 n-3]$ must differ at some point, as otherwise $\mathbf{Q}[2: 2 n-3]$ is a square, contradicting Result 11(b). Let $k$ be the smallest number greater than 2 such that $\mathbf{Q}[k] \neq \mathbf{Q}[n+k-2]$. Let $\mathbf{Q}[k-3$ : $k-1]=\mathbf{Q}[n+k-5: n+k-3]=\alpha \beta \gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and $\delta$ are the images of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}$, and d , respectively, under some permutation of $A$. If $\mathbf{Q}[k]=\delta$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-2]=\alpha$, then it follows that $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-1]=\delta$. But then $\mathbf{Q}[k: n+k-1]$ has length $n$ and begins and ends with $\delta$. On the other hand, if $\mathbf{Q}[k]=\alpha$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-2]=\delta$, then either $\mathbf{Q}[n+k-1]=\alpha$, or $\mathbf{Q}[n+k]=\alpha$. But then $\mathbf{Q}[k: n+k-1]$, or $\mathbf{Q}[k: n+k]$, respectively, begins and ends with $\alpha$.

Case II: $\mathbf{Q}[n: n+3] \in\{\mathrm{cdab}, \mathrm{dcab}\}$ (see Table 2)
If $\mathbf{Q}[n+4]=\mathrm{d}$, then $\mathbf{Q}[4: n+4]$ begins and ends in d , and we are done, so we may assume that $\mathbf{Q}[n+4]=\mathbf{c}$. Then $\mathbf{Q}[1: 3]=\mathrm{abc}=\mathbf{Q}[n+2: n+4]$. However, $\mathbf{Q}[1: n+1]$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+2: 2 n+2]$ must differ at some point, as otherwise $\mathbf{Q}[1: 2 n+2]$ is a square, contradicting Result 11 (b). Let $k$ be the smallest number such that $\mathbf{Q}[k] \neq \mathbf{Q}[n+k+1]$. Let $\mathbf{Q}[k-3: k-1]=\mathbf{Q}[n+k-2: n+k]=\alpha \beta \gamma$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$, and $\delta$ are the images of a, $\mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}$, and d , respectively, under some permutation of $A$. If $\mathbf{Q}[k]=\alpha$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+k+1]=\delta$, then it follows that $\mathbf{Q}[k+1]=\delta$, and $\mathbf{Q}[k+1: n+k+1]$ begins and ends with $\delta$. Otherwise, $\mathbf{Q}[k]=\delta$ and $\mathbf{Q}[n+k+1]=\alpha$. Then either $\mathbf{Q}[k+1]=\alpha$, or $\mathbf{Q}[k+2]=\alpha$. But then either $\mathbf{Q}[k+1: n+k+1]$, or $\mathbf{Q}[k+2: n+k+1]$, respectively, begins and ends in $\alpha$.

We believe that a stronger version of Lemma 14 holds. We conjecture that for every $n \geqslant 4$, there is a factor of length $n$ in $\mathbf{P}$ that begins and ends in the same letter. We have
verified this statement for $4 \leqslant n \leqslant 2000$. A proof of this fact would reduce the amount of case work required in the proof of the main result of this section, which we are now ready to prove.

We first show that there is a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular word on 5 letters of every even length. We then construct words of every odd length from the words of even length by inserting a single letter e in a carefully chosen location.
Theorem 15. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, there is a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular word on 5 letters of length $2 n$.
Proof. For every $n<73$, we find such a word by computer search, so we may assume that $n \geqslant 73$. By Lemma 14, $\mathbf{P}$ either has a factor of length $n-4$ that begins and ends in d , or a factor of length $n-5$ that begins and ends in d. This gives us two cases:

Case I: $\mathbf{P}$ has a factor $w^{\prime}$ of length $n-4$ that begins and ends in d
Let $w=\alpha w^{\prime} \beta$ be a factor of $\mathbf{P}$ containing $w^{\prime}$, where $\alpha, \beta \in A$. By Result 11(d), we may assume that $\alpha=\mathrm{a}$, and $\beta \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$. Let $\sigma$ be the permutation of $A \cup\{\mathrm{e}\}$ defined by $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e})$ in cycle notation. Consider the word

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta(w) \text { ed }[\sigma(\eta(w))]^{R} .
$$

We claim that the circular word $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free, except in a small number of exceptional cases which are handled later by making minor adjustments to $\eta(w)$.

Suppose towards a contradiction that some conjugate of $W_{2 n}$ has a factor $x y x$ with $2|x|>|y|$. First of all, note that $x$ does not contain the factor de or the factor ed, since each of these factors appears at most once in any conjugate of $W_{2 n}$. So we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either e $\eta(w)$ e or $\mathrm{d}[\sigma(\eta(w))]^{R} \mathrm{~d}$. Further, note that the length 3 prefix and the length 3 suffix of e $\eta(w) \mathrm{e}$, namely ead and $\mathrm{d} \beta \mathrm{e}$, respectively, and the length 3 prefix and the length 3 suffix of $\mathrm{d}[\sigma(\eta(w))]^{R}$ d, namely $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\beta) \mathrm{e}$ and $\mathrm{e} \sigma(\mathrm{a}) \mathrm{d}$, respectively, appear at most once in any conjugate of $W_{2 n}$. This is independent of the identity of $\beta$, and simply relies on the fact that $\sigma(\mathrm{a}) \neq \mathrm{a}$ and $\sigma(\mathrm{b}) \neq \mathrm{b}$. Therefore, $x$ does not contain any of these factors. The possibility that $x$ appears as a prefix or suffix of e $\eta(w) \mathrm{e}$ or $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\eta(w))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$ with $|x|=2$ is eliminated by a later exhaustive search, so we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either $\eta(w)$ or $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$.

Suppose first that the factor $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ so that both appearances of $x$ lie in $\eta(w)$. By Lemma 13(a), xyx is not a factor of $\eta(w)$. On the other hand, if $y$ contains all of ed $[\sigma(\eta(w))]^{R} \mathrm{de}$, then $|y| \geqslant n+2$, which is over half the length of $W_{2 n}$, and contradicts the assumption that $2|x|>|y|$. So the factor $x y x$ does not appear in such a way that both appearances of $x$ lie in $\eta(w)$. By a similar argument, the factor $x y x$ does not appear in such a way that both appearances of $x$ lie in $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$. (Note that $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$ is also $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free, because this property is preserved under permutation of the letters and reversal.)

So we may assume that $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ in such a way that one appearance of $x$ is in $\eta(w)$ and the other is in $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$. By Lemma 13(b), every factor of length 15 in $\eta(w)$ contains at least two d's and at most one e. Since $\sigma$ swaps d and e, every factor of length 15 of $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$ contains at least two e's and at most one d. Since $x$ must appear in both $\eta(w)$ and $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$, we must have $|x| \leqslant 14$.


Figure 2: Possible appearances of $x y x$ in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$.

The remainder of the proof is completed by a finite search. Since $|x| \leqslant 14$ and $|y|<2|x|$, we must have $|x y x|<56$. Further, since $x y x$ must appear as in Figure 2, we only need to search a short factor of $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R} \operatorname{de} \eta(w)$ (and $\eta(w) \operatorname{ed} \sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$ ) around de (ed, respectively). In fact, since the two appearances of $x$ must lie on opposite sides of de (ed, respectively), $x y x$ can extend at most 39 letters away from de (ed, respectively).

So it suffices to check that $\sigma\left(p_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep} p_{39}(\eta(w))$ and $s_{39}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \sigma\left(s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R}$ are $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free. We know that $\eta(w)$ begins in ad and ends in either da or db, but we can't assume anything else about the prefix or the suffix of $\eta(w)$; we just know that $w$ is a factor of $\mathbf{P}$. The length 39 prefix of $\eta(w)$ is completely determined by the length 60 prefix of $w$. The length 60 prefix of $w$ is required because factors of length 14 (nonoverlapping $\frac{7}{5}$-powers) and 22 (overlapping $\frac{7}{5}$-powers) determine whether or not we change a given d in $w$ to an e in $\eta(w)$. Similarly, the length 39 suffix of $\eta(w)$ is completely determined by the length 60 suffix of $w$. This is where the assumption that $n \geqslant 73$ is used, so that we may assume that all nonoverlapping $\frac{7}{5}$-powers in $s_{52}(w)$ (i.e. all those that could impact $\left.s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)$ are eliminated in $\eta(w)$ by changing a d to an e in the prefix (and not the suffix) of the $\frac{7}{5}$-power.

We run through all possible prefixes $p_{39}(\eta(w))$, and find that

$$
\sigma\left(p_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep}_{39}(\eta(w))
$$

is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free in all but a small number of cases. In each of these exceptional cases, we make a minor adjustment in a short prefix of $\eta(w)$ to fix the issue; see Appendix A. 1 for details. Similarly, we run through all possible suffixes $s_{39}(\eta(w))$, and find that

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \sigma\left(s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R}
$$

is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free in all but a small number of cases. In each of these exceptional cases, we make a minor adjustment in a short suffix of $\eta(w)$ to fix the issue; see Appendix A. 1 for details.

Case II: $\mathbf{P}$ has a factor $w^{\prime}$ of length $n-5$ that begins and ends in d
Let $w=\alpha \beta w^{\prime} \gamma$ be a factor of $\mathbf{P}$ containing $w^{\prime}$, where $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in A$. By Result 11(d), we may assume that $\alpha=\mathrm{a}, \beta=\mathrm{b}$, and $\gamma \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$. We have two subcases:

Case II(a): $\gamma \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c}\}$.

Let $\tau$ be the permutation of $A \cup\{\mathrm{e}\}$ defined by $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{d}, \mathrm{e})$ in cycle notation. (Note that $b$ is fixed by $\tau$ ). Consider the word

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta(w) \text { ed }[\tau(\eta(w))]^{R} .
$$

We claim that the circular word $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free, except in a small number of exceptional cases which are handled later by making minor adjustments to $\eta(w)$.

Suppose towards a contradiction that some conjugate of $W$ has a factor xyx with $2|x|>|y|$. As in Case I, $x$ does not contain the factor de or the factor ed. So we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either e $\eta(w) \mathrm{e}$ or $\mathrm{d}[\tau(\eta(w))]^{R} \mathrm{~d}$. Further, note that the length 3 suffix of e $\eta(w) \mathrm{e}$, namely $\mathrm{d} \gamma \mathrm{e}$, and the length 3 prefix of $\mathrm{d}[\tau(\eta(w))]^{R} \mathrm{~d}$, namely $\mathrm{d} \tau(\gamma) \mathrm{e}$ appear only once in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$. Therefore, $x$ does not contain either of these factors, and we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either e $\eta(w)$ or $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$ d. Note that the length 4 prefix of e $\eta(w)$, namely eabd, and the length 4 suffix of $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$ d, namely ebcd, may both appear elsewhere in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$, so we cannot immediately eliminate the possibility that $x$ contains one of these factors (as we did in Case I).

Suppose first that the factor $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ so that both appearances of $x$ lie in e $\eta(w)$. By Lemma 13(a), xyx is not a factor of $\eta(w)$. Further, it cannot be the case that $y$ contains all of $\operatorname{ed}[\tau(\eta(w))]^{R} \mathrm{~d}$, because then $|y| \geqslant n+1$, which is over half the length of $W_{2 n}$. The only possibility that remains is that $x y x$ is a prefix of e $\eta(w)$. (Note that $\mathrm{d} w$ is not necessarily a factor of $\mathbf{P}$ so we cannot apply Lemma 13(a) directly here.) By exhaustive search of the possible prefixes of $\eta(w)$ of length 19 , we may assume that $|x| \geqslant 6$ and $|x y| \geqslant 11$. Write $x=\mathrm{e} x^{\prime}$, so that $x y x=\mathrm{e} x^{\prime} y \mathrm{e} x^{\prime}$. We see that $x^{\prime} y \mathrm{e} x^{\prime}$ is a repetition of exponent $\frac{|x y x|-1}{|x y|}$. Since $|x y| \geqslant 11$, by Lemma 12, we must have

$$
\frac{|x y x|-1}{|x y|} \leqslant \frac{14}{11} \quad \Rightarrow \quad 8|x|-11 \leqslant 3|y| .
$$

Since $|x| \geqslant 6$, we have $8|x|-11 \geqslant 6|x|+12-11>6|x|$, and thus $2|x|<|y|$, a contradiction. By a similar argument, the factor $x y x$ cannot appear in such a way that both appearances of $x$ lie in $\tau(\eta(w))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$.

So we may assume that $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ in such a way that one appearance of $x$ is in e $\eta(w)$ and the other is in $\tau(\eta(w))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$. By Lemma $13(\mathrm{~b})$, every factor of $\eta(w)$ of length 15 contains at least two d's and at most one e. Since $\tau$ swaps d and e, every factor of length 15 of $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$ contains at least two e's and at most one d .

Suppose that $x y x$ appears so that one appearance of $x$ is a prefix of e $\eta(w)$ and $|x| \geqslant 17$. Then $x[2: 16]$ is a factor of $\eta(w)$ of length 15 , and hence is not a factor of $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$. But then $x$ is not a factor of $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$ d. If $x$ is a prefix of e $\eta(w)$ and $|x| \leqslant 16$, then certainly $x y x$ appears in $\tau(\eta(w))^{R} \operatorname{de} \eta(w)$ and not e $\eta(w) \operatorname{ed} \tau(\eta(w))^{R}$ d, since $n \geqslant 73$. Since $|x| \leqslant 16$, $x y x$ must in fact appear in $\tau(\eta(w)[1: 46])^{R} \operatorname{de} \eta(w)[1: 15]$. We eliminate this possibility by exhaustively checking the possible prefixes of $\eta(w)$. By a similar argument, we may assume that $x$ is not a suffix of $\tau(\eta(w))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$.

But then $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ in such a way that one appearance of $x$ is in $\eta(w)$ and the other is in $\tau(\eta(w))^{R}$. We then have $|x| \leqslant 14$, and the proof is completed by an
exhaustive search as in Case I. Here we check that

$$
\tau\left(p_{46}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{de} p_{46}(\eta(w))
$$

is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free (We check a longer prefix than in Case I as this is required in the previous paragraph.), and that

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \tau\left(s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R}
$$

is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free. Once again, there are several exceptional cases in which we need to make a minor adjustment in a short prefix and/or suffix of $\eta(w)$; see Appendix A. 2 for details.

Case II(b): $\gamma=\mathrm{b}$.
Here, we have $w=\mathrm{ab} w^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$, and recall that $|w|=n-2$. While we would have liked to find a way to handle this case as we did for Case I and Case II(a), we must do something slightly different here to avoid repetitions of short length close to the 'buffers' de and ed. Let $\delta \in A$ be a letter such that $w \delta$ is a factor of $\mathbf{P}$. Since $w$ ends in db, we must have $\delta \in\{a, c\}$, meaning two cases, though the second reduces to an already completed case.

Case II(b1): $\delta=\mathbf{a}$
Let $\dot{w}=\mathrm{b} w^{\prime} \mathrm{b}$ and $\ddot{w}=\mathrm{ab} w^{\prime} \mathrm{ba}$. Note that $|\dot{w}|=n-3$ and $|\ddot{w}|=n-1$. Let $\pi$ be the permutation of $A$ defined by (b, d, c) and let $\rho$ be the permutation of $A \cup\{\mathrm{e}\}$ defined by (d,e) (i.e. $\rho$ swaps d and e). Define

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta(\dot{w}) \text { ed } \rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R} .
$$

We claim that the circular word $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ has no $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-powers, except in a small number of cases which are handled later by making small adjustments to $\eta(\dot{w})$ and/or $\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}$. Most of the proof is similar to that of Case I, so we omit some details.

Suppose towards a contradiction that some conjugate of $W_{2 n}$ has a factor $x y x$ with $2|x|>|y|$. First of all, note that $x$ does not contain the factor de or the factor ed, so we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either e $\eta(\dot{w})$ e or $\mathrm{d} \rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$. Further, note that the length 3 prefix and the length 3 suffix of e $\eta(\dot{w}) \mathrm{e}$, namely ebd and dbe, respectively, and the length 3 prefix and the length 3 suffix of $\mathrm{d} \rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R} \mathrm{~d}$, namely dae and ead, respectively, appear at most once in any conjugate of $W_{2 n}$. Therefore, $x$ does not contain any of these factors, and we may assume that $x$ is a factor of either $\eta(\dot{w})$ or $\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}$.

By an argument similar to the one used in Case I, the factor $x y x$ cannot appear in such a way that both appearances of $x$ lie in $\eta(\dot{w})$ (or $\left.\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}\right)$. So we may assume that $x y x$ appears in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ in such a way that one appearance of $x$ is in $\eta(\dot{w})$ and the other is in $\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}$. By Lemma 13(b), every factor of length 15 in $\eta(\dot{w})$ or $\eta(\pi(\ddot{w}))$ contains at least two d's and at most one e. Since $\rho$ swaps d and e, every factor of length 15 of $\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}$ contains at least two e's and at most one d. Since $x$ must appear in both $\eta(\dot{w})$ and $\rho(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))^{R}$, we must have $|x| \leqslant 14$.

The remainder of the proof is completed by a finite search, as in Case I. It suffices to check that

$$
\rho\left(p_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep}_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))
$$

| encoding | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}[k] \\ \mathbf{a} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}[k+1] \\ \mathbf{b} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}[k+2] \\ \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+3]$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+4]$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+5]$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+6]$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+7]$ | $\mathbf{P}[k+8]$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| encoding | $\mathbf{P}[n+k-5]$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{P}[n+k-4] \\ \mathrm{d} \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{P}\left[\begin{array}{c} n+k-3] \\ \mathrm{b} \end{array}\right.$ | $\mathbf{P}\left[\begin{array}{l} n+k-2] \\ c \end{array}\right.$ | $\overline{\mathbf{P}[n+k-1]}$ | $\mathbf{P}[n+k]$ | $\mathbf{P}[n+k+1]$ | $\mathbf{P}[n+k+2]$ | $\mathbf{P}[n+k+3]$ | $\mathbf{P}[n+k+4]$ |

Table 3: Visual aid for Case $\operatorname{II}(\mathrm{b} 2)$ of the proof of Theorem 15. Columns contain the first and last letter of factors of length $n-4$ in $\mathbf{P}$. The reader can complete the table as they read the proof.
and

$$
s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w})) \operatorname{ed} \rho\left(s_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))\right)^{R}
$$

are $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free. As in Case I and Case II(a), there are several exceptional cases in which we need to make a minor adjustment in a short prefix and/or suffix of $\eta(\dot{w})$ or $\eta(\pi(\ddot{w}))$; see Appendix A. 3 for details.

Case II(b2): $\delta=\mathrm{c}$
We show that $\mathbf{P}$ either has a factor of length $n-4$ that begins and ends in d (and we are back in Case I), or has a factor $z$ of length $n-5$ that begins and ends in d and appears internally as abzba, i.e. a factor of length $n-1$ that begins in abd and ends in dba (and we are back in Case II(b1)).

Suppose otherwise that $\mathbf{P}$ has no factors of either of these forms. By Lemma 11(d), $\mathbf{P}$ also does not contain a factor of either of these forms under any permutation of $A$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ satisfy $\mathbf{P}[k: n+k-2]=\mathrm{ab} w^{\prime} \mathrm{bc}$. For ease of reading, we provide Table 3, which can be filled in as follows. First of all, note that $\mathbf{P}[n+k-5]=\mathbf{c}$, since otherwise $\mathbf{P}[k: n+k-5]$ is a factor of length $n-4$ that begins and ends in a. Hence, $\mathbf{P}[n+k-2]$ is encoded by 0 , and by Lemma $11(\mathrm{a}), \mathbf{P}[n+k-1]$ must be encoded by 1. Hence, $\mathbf{P}[n+k-1]=\mathrm{a}$. Now we see that $\mathbf{P}[k+3]=\mathrm{a}$, since otherwise $\mathbf{P}[k+3: n+k-2]$ begins and ends in $\mathbf{c}$, and the encoding for $\mathbf{P}[k+3]$ is 0 . Hence, the encoding for $\mathbf{P}[k+4]$ is 1 , and $\mathbf{P}[k+4]=\mathbf{c}$.

Now if $\mathbf{P}[n+k]=\mathrm{d}$, then the factor $\mathbf{P}[k+2: n+k]$ under the permutation $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{d})$ puts us back in Case II(b1), so we may assume that $\mathbf{P}[n+k]=\mathrm{b}$, and since this is encoded by 0 , the next letter in the encoding is 1 , and hence $\mathbf{P}[n+k+1]=\mathrm{d}$. Now $\mathbf{P}[k+5]$ cannot be $\mathbf{b}$, so it must be d. Hence, $\mathbf{P}[k+5]$ is encoded by 0 and it follows that $\mathbf{P}[k+6]$ is encoded by 1 , so that $\mathbf{P}[k+6]=\mathrm{b}$.

Now if $\mathbf{P}[n+k+2]=\mathbf{c}$, then the factor $\mathbf{P}[k+4: n+k+2]$ under the permutation $(\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{c})(\mathrm{b}, \mathrm{d})$ puts us back in Case II(b1), so we may assume that $\mathbf{P}[n+k+2]=\mathrm{a}$. Then $\mathbf{P}[n+k+2]$ is encoded by 0 , and $\mathbf{P}[n+k+3]$ is encoded by 1 , so $\mathbf{P}[n+k+3]=\mathrm{c}$. Now $\mathbf{P}[k+7]$ cannot be a, so it must be $\mathbf{c}$. Hence $\mathbf{P}[k+7]$ is encoded by 0 and $\mathbf{P}[k+8]=\mathrm{a}$ is encoded by 1. Finally, if $\mathbf{P}[n+k+4]=\mathbf{b}$, then the factor $\mathbf{P}[k+6: n+k+4]$ under the permutation ( $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{c}, \mathrm{b}$ ) puts us back in Case II(b1), so we may assume that $\mathbf{P}[n+k+4]=\mathrm{d}$, and hence is encoded by 0 . However, this is impossible, because $\mathbf{p}$ does not have 0101010 as a factor.

Theorem 16. For every $n \geqslant 0$, there is $a \frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular word on 5 letters of length $2 n+1$.

Proof. We first verify the statement directly for $n \leqslant 644$ by computer. Now suppose $n \geqslant 645$. Take the $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free circular word $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ on $A \cup\{e\}$ of length $2 n$ constructed in Theorem 15. Note that $W_{2 n}$ may have been constructed with one of the adjustments outlined in Appendix A; our arguments still apply in each of these exceptional cases. In particular, $W_{2 n}$ contains the factor $\eta(w)[23:-23]$ (Case I or Case II(a)) or $\eta(\dot{w})[23:-23]$ (Case II(b1)), where $w$ is a factor of length $n-2 \geqslant 643$ of $\mathbf{P}$ and $\dot{w}$ is a factor of length $n-3 \geqslant 642$ of $\mathbf{P}$.

By exhaustive search, if $u$ is any factor of $\mathbf{P}$ of length 642 , then $\eta(u)[23:-23]$ contains the factor

$$
z=\gamma \beta \alpha \gamma \mathrm{d} \alpha \beta \mathrm{~d} \gamma \alpha \mathrm{~d} \beta \gamma \mathrm{~d} \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ are the images of $\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}$, and c under some permutation of $\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}, \mathrm{c}\}$. Note that we ignore the prefix of length 22 and the suffix of length 22 of $\eta(u)$ to ensure that this property extends to all factors of $\mathbf{P}$ of length greater than 642 . Note that $z$ contains the factors $\mathrm{d} \alpha \beta \mathrm{d}, \mathrm{d} \gamma \alpha \mathrm{d}$, and $\mathrm{d} \beta \gamma \mathrm{d}$.

Now for concreteness, assume that $W_{2 n}$ was created using the construction of Case I of Theorem 15. The other cases are handled by similar arguments. Recall that

$$
W_{2 n}=\operatorname{de} \eta(w) \operatorname{ed} \sigma(\eta(w))^{R}
$$

and that $\eta(w)$ begins in ad and ends in $\mathrm{d} \lambda$ for some letter $\lambda \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$. Hence, $\sigma(\eta(w))^{R}$ begins in $\sigma(\lambda \mathrm{d})=\sigma(\lambda) \mathrm{e}$ and ends in $\sigma(\mathrm{da})=\mathrm{eb}$. So the 'buffers' de and ed appear internally as

$$
\text { ebdead and } \mathrm{d} \lambda e \mathrm{~d} \sigma(\lambda) \mathrm{e},
$$

respectively. By construction, these factors contain the only appearances of factors of the form $\mathrm{d} \kappa \mathrm{e}$ or e $\kappa \mathrm{d}$ in $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$, where $\kappa$ is a single letter. Exactly one letter from $\{\alpha, \beta, \gamma\}$ is equal to $\lambda$, and likewise for a, so at least one of the following must be true:
(a) $\alpha \neq \lambda$ and $\beta \neq \mathrm{a}$;
(b) $\gamma \neq \lambda$ and $\alpha \neq \mathrm{a}$; or
(c) $\beta \neq \lambda$ and $\gamma \neq \mathrm{a}$.

We obtain a word $W_{2 n+1}$ of length $2 n+1$ by inserting a single e into $W_{2 n}$, and claim that $\left(W_{2 n+1}\right)$ is $\frac{4^{+}}{}{ }^{+}$-free.

If (a) is true, insert an e into the first appearance of $z$ in $\eta(w)[23:-23]$ as follows:

$$
\gamma \beta \alpha \gamma \mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{~d} \gamma \alpha \mathrm{~d} \beta \gamma \mathrm{~d} \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha .
$$

Suppose towards a contradiction that $\left(W_{2 n+1}\right)$ contains a factor $x y x$ with $2|x|>|y|$. Since $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free and we inserted a single letter e into $W_{2 n}$ to create $W_{2 n+1}$, it must be
the case that the new letter e appears inside one of the instances of $x$. We first claim that $x$ cannot contain either of the factors $\mathrm{d} \alpha$ e or $\mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{d}$. Suppose first that $x$ contains $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e}$ as a factor. Then certainly d $\alpha e$ must appear twice in some conjugate of $W_{2 n+1}$. Since $\alpha \neq \lambda$, we must have $\alpha=\sigma(\lambda)$. However, the factor $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e}=\mathrm{d} \sigma(\lambda)$ e appears only inside the factors $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{d}$ and $\mathrm{d} \lambda \mathrm{ed} \sigma(\lambda) \mathrm{e}$, which each appear only once. To the left of $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e}$ in $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{d}$ is the letter $\gamma$, which is different from $d$, the letter to the left of $d \sigma(\lambda)$ e in $d \lambda e d \sigma(\lambda) e$. On the other hand, the length 2 factor to the right of $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e}$ in $\mathrm{d} \alpha \mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{d}$ is $\beta \mathrm{d}$, while the length 2 factor to the right of $\mathrm{d} \sigma(\lambda)$ e in $\mathrm{d} \lambda \operatorname{ed} \sigma(\lambda)$ e does not end in d . We conclude that $|x| \leqslant 4$. However, since we inserted the new letter e somewhere in $\eta(w)[23:-23]$, this certainly forces $|y| \geqslant 2|x|$, a contradiction. The proof that $x$ cannot contain $\mathrm{e} \beta \mathrm{d}$ is similar.

The only remaining possibilities are $x=\mathrm{e}, x=\alpha \mathrm{e}, x=\mathrm{e} \beta$, and $x=\alpha \mathrm{e} \beta$, and these are all eliminated by inspection.

Otherwise, if (b) is true, insert an e into the first appearance of $z$ in $W_{2 n}$ as follows:

$$
\gamma \beta \alpha \gamma \mathrm{d} \alpha \beta \mathrm{~d} \gamma \mathrm{e} \alpha \mathrm{~d} \beta \gamma \mathrm{~d} \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha .
$$

Otherwise, if (c) is true, insert an e into the first appearance of $z$ in $W_{2 n}$ as follows:

$$
\gamma \beta \alpha \gamma \mathrm{d} \alpha \beta \mathrm{~d} \gamma \alpha \mathrm{~d} \beta \text { e } \gamma \mathrm{d} \alpha \gamma \beta \alpha
$$

The proofs for (b) and (c) are similar to the proof for (a).

## 5 Conclusion

In this article, we proved that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$, and $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(5)=\frac{4}{3}$, providing the last unknown values of the strong circular repetition threshold:

$$
\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(k)= \begin{cases}\frac{5}{2} & \text { if } k=2 \\ 3 & \text { if } k=3 ; \\ \frac{\lceil k / 2\rceil+1}{\lceil k / 2\rceil} & \text { if } k \geqslant 4\end{cases}
$$

We also gave a proof that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(3)=\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(3)=\frac{7}{4}$ by adapting the method used to prove $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{S}}(4)=\frac{3}{2}$. While we conjecture that $\operatorname{CRT}_{\mathrm{I}}(k)=\mathrm{CRT}_{\mathrm{W}}(k)=\mathrm{RT}(k)$ for all $k \geqslant 4$, techniques different from those presented here will likely be needed.
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## Appendix A. Exceptional Cases

## A. 1 Exceptions for Case I

There are 12 possible prefixes of length 39 of $\eta(w)$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-power in

$$
\sigma\left(p_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep}_{39}(\eta(w))
$$

and 16 possible suffixes of length 39 of $\eta(w)$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-power in

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \sigma\left(s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} .
$$

We circumvent this problem by defining $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$, which are both obtained from $\eta(w)$ by making a minor adjustment to a short prefix and/or suffix of $\eta(w)$. Begin by setting $\eta^{\prime}(w)=\eta(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)=\eta(w)$, and then make the changes described below. Redefine

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta^{\prime}(w) \text { ed } \sigma\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)^{R}
$$

and we claim that $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free.
(a) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbacdabcadcbacebcabdacbadcabcebacbdabc }, \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbacdabcadcbacebcabdacbadcabcdbacbdabc }, \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcabdacbadbcabecbacdabcadbacbecabcdacb, or } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcabdacbadbcabecbacdabcadbacbdcabcdacb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then swap $\eta^{\prime}(w)[6]=\mathrm{d}$ and $\eta^{\prime}(w)[16]=\mathrm{e}$.
(b) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcbacdbcabecbadbcdacbdcabcdbaecbdacdbc, } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcbacdbcabecbadbcdacbdcabcdbadcbdacdbc, or } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcbacdbcabecbadbcdabdcadbacbdabcdbadca, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[6]$ from c to e .
(c) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbcabdcbacebcadcbdabcdbacbdcaebcdabdcb, } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbcabdcbacebcadcbdabcdbacbdcadbcdabdcb, or } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbcabbdcbacebcadcbdacdbadcabcdacbdcadba, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[6]$ from b to e .
(d) If

$$
p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adbaceabcadcbacdbcabecbadbcdacbdcabcdba, }
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[5: 6]$ from ce to ed.
(e) If

$$
p_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcabeacbadbcabdcbacebcadcbdabcdbacbdca, }
$$ then change $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[5: 6]$ from be to ed.

(f) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cbdcadbcdabdcbacdbcadcbdabcebacbdcabcda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { acdabdcbadbcabdacdbadcbdabcebacbdcabcda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { acdabdcadbcdacbadcabdacdbcaecbacdabcadb, or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { badbcdacbdcabcdbadcbdacdbcaecbacdabbcadb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6]$ to e .
(g) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcdbadcbdacdbcabdcbadbcdacbecabcdbacbda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { abdacdbcadcbacdabdcadbcdacbecabcd́ㅡacbda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cadcbdacdbadcabcdacbdcadbaceabcad } \underline{c b a c d b}, \text { or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcdbadcabdacbadbcdabdcadbaceabcad́bacdb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[-6]$ to e.
(h) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcadcbacdbcabdacbadcabcebacbdabcadbacda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cbadbcabdcbacdabcadbacbecabcdacbad } \underline{\text { cabda }}, \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { acbdcabcdacbadbcabdcbaceabcadbacbdabcdb, or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cabdacbadcabcdbacbdabcaéecbacdbcabd_cbadb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then swap $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6]=\mathrm{d}$ and $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-16]=\mathrm{e}$.
(i) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { acdbcabdcbadbcdacbecabcdbacbdabcaebacda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { abdcbacdbcadcbdabcebacbdcabcdacbaecabda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcdacbadcabdacdbcaecbacdabcadbacbeabcdb, or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { badcabcdacbdcadbaceabcadcbacdbcabecbadb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change both $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6:-5]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[-6:-5]$ to de.

We briefly explain why the proof of Theorem 15 Case I (with some slight modifications) still applies to the newly defined $W_{2 n}$. The changes fall into two main types:
(A) We swap a letter d and a letter e in $\eta^{\prime}(w)$, effectively eliminating the $\frac{7}{5}$-power that resulted in the given appearance of e in $\eta(w)$ by changing the appearance of d in the length 4 prefix to an e instead of the appearance of $d$ in the length 4 suffix (or vice versa). This is what we do in cases (a) and (h).
(B) We change a letter beside a d to an e. This is done in such a way that we get an appearance of ed in $\sigma\left(p_{7}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{de} p_{7}(\eta(w))$, or an appearance of de in $s_{7}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \sigma\left(s_{7}(\eta(w))\right)^{R}$, respectively. This is what we do in cases (b), (c), (f), and (g). In cases (d), and (e), we need to change an e to a d first in order to make this idea work, which is why we change two consecutive letters in $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ or $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$. Finally, in case (i), we need to make this change in both $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$.
Here we need to modify the argument in the proof of Theorem 15 Case I that $x$ cannot contain either of the factors ed or de. We consider only ed; the argument for de is analogous. Suppose that $x y x$ is a factor of $W_{2 n}$ with $2|x|>|y|$, and that $x$ contains the factor ed. Observe that the original appearance of ed appears internally as $\mathrm{d} \beta$ ed $\sigma(\beta) \mathrm{e}$, where $\beta \in\{\mathrm{a}, \mathrm{b}\}$. On the other hand, the factors of length 2 to the left and right of the new appearance of ed contain neither d nor e. Therefore, $x$ must be contained in $\beta$ ed $\sigma(\beta)$. However, then we certainly have $|y| \geqslant 8$, contradicting the fact that $2|x|>|y|$.
In case (i), we introduce two new appearances of the factor de, but we rule out the possibility that both appearances of $x$ in $x y x$ contain one of the new appearances of de by a finite search. Then the analogous argument to the one given above for ed applies once again.

We check that Lemma $13\left(\right.$ a) still applies with $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$ in place of $\eta(w)$. While Lemma 13(b) does not necessarily hold for $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$, the desired consequence that no factor of $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ of length 15 is a factor of $\sigma\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)^{R}$ still holds. (We only need to check the factors that contain a letter that has been changed here.) This is all that matters in the remainder of the proof of Theorem 15 Case I. So it suffices to check that

$$
\sigma\left(p_{39}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep} p_{39}\left(\eta^{\prime}(w)\right) \quad(\text { in cases }(\mathrm{a})-(\mathrm{e}))
$$

and

$$
s_{39}\left(\eta^{\prime}(w)\right) \operatorname{ed} \sigma\left(s_{39}\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)\right)^{R} \quad(\text { in cases }(\mathrm{f})-(\mathrm{i}))
$$

are $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free for every exceptional prefix and suffix.

## A. 2 Exceptions for Case II(a)

There are 3 possible prefixes of length 46 of $\eta(w)$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-power in

$$
\tau\left(p_{46}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep}_{46}(\eta(w))
$$

and 60 possible suffixes of length 39 of $\eta(w)$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-power in

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w)) \operatorname{ed} \tau\left(s_{39}(\eta(w))\right)^{R} .
$$

Of these 60 possibilities, there are 30 that end in a, and swapping a and c gives the other 30. We consider only the suffixes ending in a, as those ending in $c$ are handled analogously.

Again, we define $\eta^{\prime}(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)$, which are both obtained from $\eta(w)$ by making a minor adjustment to a short prefix and/or suffix of $\eta(w)$. Begin by setting $\eta^{\prime}(w)=\eta(w)$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)=\eta(w)$, and then make the changes described below. Redefine

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta^{\prime}(w) \text { ed } \tau\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)\right)^{R},
$$

and we claim that $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free. The proof is similar to that of Case I and is omitted.
(a) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{46}(\eta(w))=\text { abdcbaebcabdacbadcabceacbdcadbacdabcadcbdacdba, or } \\
& p_{46}(\eta(w))=\text { abdcbaebcabdacbadcabceacbdcadbacdabcadcbeacdba, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change both $\eta^{\prime}(w)[6: 7]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[6: 7]$ from ae to ed.
(b) If

$$
p_{46}(\eta(w))=\text { abecbadbcdabdcadbaceabcadcbdacdbadcabceacbdcad, }
$$

then change both $\eta^{\prime}(w)[3]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[3]$ from e to d , and both $\eta^{\prime}(w)[12]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[12]$ from b to e .
(c) There are 21 possibilities for $s_{39}(\eta(w))$ ending in one of the factors dbacbda or dbcabda. In each of these cases, change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6]$ from b to e .
(d) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cdbadcabdacbadbcdabdcadbacbeabcdbadcbda, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cdbadcabeacbadbcdabdcadbacbeabcdbadcbda, or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcdacbadbcabdcbacdabcadbacbeabcdbadcbda, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-12:-11]$ from ea to de.
(e) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { dcabdacdbcaecbacdabcadbacbeabcdbadcabda, }
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-13:-12]$ from ea to de.
(f) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { acbadcabdacdbcaecbacdabcadbacbeabcdbadc, }
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-9:-8]$ from ea to de.
(g) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { bcadcbacdbcabdacbadcabcebbacbdabcadbacda, }
$$

then swap $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6]$ and $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-16]$, and swap $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[-6]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[-16]$.
(h) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { dabcadbacbdcabcdacbaebcabdcbacdbcadcbda, }
$$

then swap $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-9]$ and $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-19]$.
(i) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { cbadbcabdcbacdabcadbacbecabcdacbad_cabda, }
$$

then swap $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-6]$ and $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-16]$.
(j) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(w))=\text { adcbdacdbadcabceacbdcadbcdabdcbaebebabda, }
$$

then change both $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-7:-6]$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(w)[-7:-6]$ from eb to de.

## A. 3 Exceptions for Case II(b1)

There are 5 possible prefixes of length 39 of $\eta(\dot{w})$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-power in

$$
\rho\left(p_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))\right)^{R} \operatorname{dep} p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w})),
$$

and 4 possible suffixes of length 39 of $\eta(\dot{w})$ for which we encounter a $\frac{4}{3}{ }^{+}$-power in

$$
s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w})) \operatorname{ed} \rho\left(s_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))\right)^{R} .
$$

We define $\eta^{\prime}(\dot{w})$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(\pi(\ddot{w}))$, which are obtained from $\eta(\dot{w})$ and $\eta(\pi(\ddot{w}))$, respectively, by making a minor adjustment to a short prefix and/or suffix of $\eta(\dot{w})$ or $\eta(\pi(\ddot{w}))$, respectively. Begin by setting $\eta^{\prime}(\dot{w})=\eta(\dot{w})$ and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(\pi(\ddot{w}))=\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})$ ), and then make the changes described below. Redefine

$$
W_{2 n}=\text { de } \eta^{\prime}(\dot{w}) \text { ed } \rho\left(\eta^{\prime \prime}(\pi(\ddot{w}))\right)^{R}
$$

and we claim that $\left(W_{2 n}\right)$ is $\frac{4}{3}^{+}$-free. The proof is similar to that of Case I and is omitted.
(a) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdcadbcdacbecabcdbacbdabcadcbacdbcabdac }, \\
& p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdcadbcdacbecabcdbadcbdacdbcabecbadbcda, } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdcadbcdacbecabcdbacbdabcadcbacdbcabeac, or } \\
& p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdcadbcdacbecabcdbadcbdacdbcabecbadbcda, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(\dot{w})[11: 12]$ from be to ed.
(b) If

$$
p_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdcbacebcadcbdacdbadcabeacbadbcdabdcadb, }
$$

then

$$
p_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))=\text { adcbdabcebacbdcabcdacbaecabdacdbcadcbac },
$$

and we change $\eta^{\prime}(\dot{w})[6: 7]$ from ce to ed and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(\pi(\ddot{w}))[8: 9]$ from ce to ed.
(c) If

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { cadbacbdcabcdacbadbcabdcbacebcadcbdacdb, } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { adcbdabcdbacbdcadbcdabdcbacebcadcbdacdb, or } \\
& s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { adcbdabcebacbdcadbcdabdcbacebcadcbdacdb, }
\end{aligned}
$$

then change $\eta^{\prime}(w)[-12:-11]$ from eb to de.
(d) If

$$
s_{39}(\eta(\dot{w}))=\text { bdacdbadcbdabcaebacdabdcadbcdacbecabcdb, }
$$

then

$$
s_{39}(\eta(\pi(\ddot{w})))=\text { cabcdacbdcadbaceabcadcbacdbcabecbadbcda, }
$$

and we change $\eta^{\prime}(\dot{w})[-7:-6]$ from ec to de and $\eta^{\prime \prime}(\pi(\ddot{w}))[-9:-8]$ from ec to de.
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