

Improved bounds for the extremal number of subdivisions

Oliver Janzer

Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics
University of Cambridge
United Kingdom
oj224@cam.ac.uk

Submitted: Oct 24, 2018; Accepted: June 10, 2019; Published: Jul 5, 2019

© The author. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

Let H_t be the subdivision of K_t . Very recently, Conlon and Lee have proved that for any integer $t \geq 3$, there exists a constant C such that $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \leq Cn^{3/2-1/6^t}$. In this paper, we prove that there exists a constant C' such that $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \leq C'n^{3/2-\frac{1}{4t-6}}$.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C35

1 Introduction

For a graph H , the extremal function $\text{ex}(n, H)$ is defined to be the maximal number of edges in an H -free graph on n vertices. This function is well understood for graphs H with chromatic number at least three by the Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem [5, 3]. However, for bipartite graphs H , much less is known. For a survey on the subject, see [7]. One of the few general results, proved by Füredi [6], and reproved by Alon, Krivelevich and Sudakov [1] is the following.

Theorem 1 (Füredi, Alon–Krivelevich–Sudakov). *Let H be a bipartite graph such that in one of the parts all the degrees are at most r . Then there exists a constant C such that $\text{ex}(n, H) \leq Cn^{2-1/r}$.*

Conlon and Lee [2] have conjectured that the only case when this is tight up to the implied constant is when H contains a $K_{r,r}$ (it is conjectured [8] that $\text{ex}(n, K_{r,r}) = \Omega(n^{2-1/r})$), and that for other graphs H there exists some $\delta > 0$ such that $\text{ex}(n, H) = O(n^{2-1/r-\delta})$.

The subdivision of a graph L is the bipartite graph with parts $V(L)$ and $E(L)$ (the vertex set and the edge set of the graph L , respectively) where $v \in V(L)$ is joined to

$e \in E(L)$ if v is an endpoint of e . In other words, it is the graph obtained by replacing every edge of L by a path of length 2.

It is easy to see that any C_4 -free bipartite graph in which every vertex in one part has degree at most two is a subgraph of H_t for some positive integer t , where H_t is the subdivision of K_t . Conlon and Lee have verified their conjecture in the $r = 2$ case by proving the following result.

Theorem 2 (Conlon and Lee [2, Theorem 5.1]). *For any integer $t \geq 3$, there exists a constant C_t such that $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \leq C_t n^{3/2-1/6^t}$.*

They have observed the lower bound $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \geq c_t n^{3/2-\frac{t-3/2}{t^2-t-1}}$ coming from the probabilistic deletion method, and have asked for an upper bound of the form $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \leq C_t n^{3/2-\delta_t}$, where $1/\delta_t$ is bounded by a polynomial in t . We can prove such a bound even for a linear δ_t .

Theorem 3. *For any integer $t \geq 3$, there exists a constant C_t such that $\text{ex}(n, H_t) \leq C_t n^{1+\frac{t-2}{2t-3}} = C_t n^{3/2-\frac{1}{4t-6}}$.*

It would be very interesting to know whether or not this bound is tight up to the implied constant. It certainly is tight for $t = 3$ as $\text{ex}(n, C_6) = \Theta(n^{4/3})$.

We can in fact prove a slightly stronger result. For integers $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 3$, let $L_{s,t}$ be the graph which is a K_{s+t-1} with the edges of a K_s removed. That is, the vertex set of $L_{s,t}$ is $S \cup T$ where $S \cap T = \emptyset$, $|S| = s$ and $|T| = t - 1$, and xy is an edge if and only if $x \in T$ or $y \in T$. Let $L'_{s,t}$ be the subdivision of $L_{s,t}$.

Theorem 4. *For any two integers $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 3$, there exists a constant $C_{s,t}$ such that $\text{ex}(n, L'_{s,t}) \leq C_{s,t} n^{3/2-\frac{1}{4t-6}}$.*

This result certainly implies Theorem 3 as $L_{1,t} = K_t$. Moreover, we can apply Theorem 4 to obtain good bounds on the extremal number of the subdivision of the complete bipartite graph $K_{a,b}$ as well. Let us write $H_{a,b}$ for the subdivision of $K_{a,b}$. Conlon and Lee [2, Theorem 4.2] have proved that for any $2 \leq a \leq b$ there exists a constant C such that $\text{ex}(n, H_{a,b}) \leq C n^{3/2-\frac{1}{12b}}$. They have also observed the lower bound $\text{ex}(n, H_{a,b}) = \Omega_{a,b}(n^{3/2-\frac{a+b-3/2}{2ab-1}})$ (which follows from the probabilistic deletion method). Hence their upper bound is reasonably close to best possible when $a = b$, but is weak when b is much larger than a .

Since $K_{a,b}$ is a subgraph of $L_{b,a+1}$, Theorem 4 implies the following result, by taking $s = b$ and $t = a + 1$.

Corollary 5. *For any two integers $2 \leq a \leq b$, there exists a constant $C_{a,b}$ such that $\text{ex}(n, H_{a,b}) \leq C_{a,b} n^{3/2-\frac{1}{4a-2}}$.*

2 Proof of Theorem 4

We shall use the following lemma of Conlon and Lee [2, Lemma 2.3], which is a slight modification of a result of Erdős and Simonovits [4]. Let us say that a graph G is K -almost-regular if $\max_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v) \leq K \min_{v \in V(G)} \deg(v)$. Moreover, following Conlon and Lee, we say that a bipartite graph G with a bipartition $A \cup B$ is *balanced* if $\frac{1}{2}|B| \leq |A| \leq 2|B|$.

Lemma 6. *For any positive constant $\alpha < 1$, there exists n_0 such that if $n \geq n_0$, $C \geq 1$ and G is an n -vertex graph with at least $Cn^{1+\alpha}$ edges, then G has a K -almost-regular balanced bipartite subgraph G' with m vertices such that $m \geq n^{\frac{\alpha(1-\alpha)}{2(1+\alpha)}}$, $|E(G')| \geq \frac{C}{10}m^{1+\alpha}$ and $K = 60 \cdot 2^{1+1/\alpha^2}$.*

This reduces Theorem 4 to the following.

Theorem 7. *For every $K \geq 1$, and positive integers $s \geq 1, t \geq 3$, there exists a constant $c = c(s, t, K)$ with the following property. Let n be sufficiently large and let G be a balanced bipartite graph with bipartition $A \cup B$, $|B| = n$ such that the degree of every vertex of G is between δ and $K\delta$, for some $\delta \geq cn^{\frac{t-2}{2t-3}}$. Then G contains a copy of $L'_{s,t}$.*

Given a bipartite graph G with bipartition $A \cup B$, the *neighbourhood graph* is the weighted graph W_G on vertex set A where the weight of the pair uv is $d_G(u, v) = |N_G(u) \cap N_G(v)|$. Here and below $N_G(v)$ denotes the neighbourhood of the vertex v in the graph G . For a subset $U \subset A$, we write $W(U)$ for the total weight in U , ie. $W(U) = \sum_{uv \in \binom{U}{2}} d_G(u, v)$.

We shall use the following simple lemma of Conlon and Lee [2, Lemma 2.4].

Lemma 8. *Let G be a bipartite graph with bipartition $A \cup B$, $|B| = n$, and minimum degree at least δ on the vertices in A . Then for any subset $U \subset A$ with $\delta|U| \geq 2n$,*

$$\sum_{uv \in \binom{U}{2}} d_G(u, v) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{2n} \binom{|U|}{2}$$

In other words, the conclusion of Lemma 8 is that $W(U) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{2n} \binom{|U|}{2}$.

In the next definition, and in the rest of this paper, for a weighted graph W on vertex set A , if $u, v \in A$, then $W(u, v)$ stands for the weight of uv . Moreover, we shall tacitly assume throughout the paper that $s \geq 1$ and $t \geq 3$ are fixed integers.

Definition 9. Let W be a weighted graph on vertex set A and let $u, v \in A$ be distinct. We say that uv is a *light edge* if $1 \leq W(u, v) < \binom{s+t-1}{2}$ and that it is a *heavy edge* if $W(u, v) \geq \binom{s+t-1}{2}$.

Note that if there is a K_{s+t-1} in W_G formed by heavy edges, then clearly there is an $L_{s,t}$ in W_G formed by heavy edges, therefore there is an $L'_{s,t}$ in G .

The next lemma is one of our key observations.

Lemma 10. *Let G be an $L'_{s,t}$ -free bipartite graph with bipartition $A \cup B$, $|B| = n$ and suppose that $W(A) \geq 8(s+t)^2n$. Then the number of light edges in W_G is at least $\frac{W(A)}{4(s+t)^3}$.*

Proof. Let $B = \{b_1, \dots, b_n\}$. Let $k_i = |N_G(b_i)|$ and suppose that $k_i \geq 2(s+t-2)$ for some i . As G is $L'_{s,t}$ -free, there is no K_{s+t-1} in $W[N_G(b_i)]$ formed by heavy edges. Thus, by Turán's theorem, the number of light edges in $N_G(b_i)$ is at least

$$(s+t-2) \binom{\frac{k_i}{s+t-2}}{2} = \frac{1}{2}k_i \left(\frac{k_i}{s+t-2} - 1 \right) \geq \frac{k_i^2}{4(s+t-2)}.$$

But

$$\sum_{i:k_i < 2(s+t-2)} \binom{k_i}{2} < 4(s+t)^2n \leq \frac{W(A)}{2},$$

so

$$\sum_{i:k_i \geq 2(s+t-2)} \binom{k_i}{2} \geq \frac{W(A)}{2}.$$

Since every light edge is present in at most $\binom{s+t-1}{2}$ of the sets $N_G(b_i)$, it follows that the total number of light edges is at least

$$\frac{1}{\binom{s+t-1}{2}} \sum_{i:k_i \geq 2(s+t-2)} \frac{k_i^2}{4(s+t-2)} \geq \frac{W(A)}{4(s+t)^3}. \quad \square$$

Corollary 11. *Let G be an $L'_{s,t}$ -free bipartite graph with bipartition $A \cup B$, $|B| = n$, and minimum degree at least δ on the vertices in A . Then for any subset $U \subset A$ with $|U| \geq \frac{8(s+t)n}{\delta}$ and $|U| \geq 2$, the number of light edges in $W_G[U]$ is at least $\frac{\delta^2}{8(s+t)^3n} \binom{|U|}{2}$.*

Proof. By Lemma 8, we have $W(U) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{2n} \binom{|U|}{2} \geq \frac{\delta^2}{8n} |U|^2 \geq 8(s+t)^2n$. Now the result follows by applying Lemma 10 to the graph $G[U \cup B]$. \square

We are now in a position to complete the proof of Theorem 7.

Proof of Theorem 7. Let c be specified later and suppose that n is sufficiently large. Assume, for contradiction, that G is $L'_{s,t}$ -free. We shall find distinct $u_1, \dots, u_{t-1} \in A$ with the following properties.

- (i) Each $u_i u_j$ is a light edge in W_G .
- (ii) If i, j, k are distinct, then $N_G(u_i) \cap N_G(u_j) \cap N_G(u_k) = \emptyset$.
- (iii) For each $1 \leq i \leq t-1$, the number of $v \in A$ with the property that for every $j \leq i$, $u_j v$ is a light edge is at least $\left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n} \right)^i \cdot |A|$.

As n is sufficiently large, we have $|A| \geq n/2 \geq \frac{8(s+t)n}{\delta}$, therefore by Corollary 11 there are at least $\frac{\delta^2}{8(s+t)^3n} \binom{|A|}{2}$ light edges in A , so we may choose $u_1 \in A$ such that the number of light edges u_1v is at least $\frac{\delta^2}{8(s+t)^3n} (|A| - 1) \geq \frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n} |A|$.

Now suppose that $2 \leq i \leq t-1$, and that u_1, \dots, u_{i-1} have been constructed satisfying (i),(ii) and (iii). Let U_0 be the set of vertices $v \in A$ with the property that u_jv is a light edge for every $j \leq i-1$. By (iii), we have $|U_0| \geq \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{i-1} |A|$. Now let U consist of those $v \in U_0$ for which $N_G(u_j) \cap N_G(u_k) \cap N_G(v) = \emptyset$ holds for all $1 \leq j < k \leq i-1$. Since u_ju_k is a light edge for any $1 \leq j < k \leq i-1$, we have that $d_G(u_j, u_k) < \binom{s+t-1}{2}$. But the degree of every $b \in B$ is at most $K\delta$, therefore the number of $v \in A$ for which $N_G(u_j) \cap N_G(u_k) \cap N_G(v) \neq \emptyset$ is at most $\binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$, so $|U_0 \setminus U| \leq \binom{i-1}{2} \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$. But note that for sufficiently large n , we have $\left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{i-1} |A| \geq 2 \binom{i-1}{2} \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$ because $\delta = o((\delta^2/n)^{t-2}n)$ and $\delta = o((\delta^2/n)n)$. Thus,

$$|U| \geq \frac{1}{2}|U_0| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{i-1} |A|.$$

But for sufficiently large $c = c(s, t, K)$, we have $\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{i-1} |A| \geq \frac{8(s+t)n}{\delta}$. Indeed, this is obvious when $\delta^2 \geq 32(s+t)^3n$, and otherwise, using $\delta \geq cn^{\frac{t-2}{2t-3}}$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{i-1} |A| \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{t-2} |A| \geq \frac{1}{4(32(s+t)^3)^{t-2}} \cdot \frac{\delta^{2t-4}}{n^{t-3}} \geq \frac{8(s+t)n}{\delta}$$

Thus, by Corollary 11, there exists some $u_i \in U$ with at least $\frac{\delta^2}{8(s+t)^3n} (|U| - 1) \geq \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^i |A|$ light edges adjacent to it in U . This completes the recursive construction of the vertices $\{u_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq t-1}$.

By (iii) for $i = t-1$, there is a set $V \subset A$ consisting of at least $\left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{t-1} |A|$ vertices v such that for every $j \leq t-1$, u_jv is a light edge. We shall now prove that there exist distinct $v_1, \dots, v_s \in V$ such that $N_G(u_i) \cap N_G(u_j) \cap N_G(v_k) = \emptyset$ for all $i \neq j$, and $N_G(u_i) \cap N_G(v_j) \cap N_G(v_k) = \emptyset$ for all $j \neq k$. It is easy to see that this suffices since then there is a copy of $L'_{s,t}$ in G , which is a subdivision of the copy of $L_{s,t}$ in W_G whose vertices are $v_1, \dots, v_s, u_1, \dots, u_{t-1}$.

We shall now choose v_1, \dots, v_s one by one. Since every u_iu_j is a light edge, the number of those $v \in A$ with $N_G(u_i) \cap N_G(u_j) \cap N_G(v) \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \neq j$ is at most $\binom{t-1}{2} \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$. Moreover, given any choices for $v_1, \dots, v_{k-1} \in V$, as each u_iv_j is a light edge, the number of those $v \in A$ with $N_G(u_i) \cap N_G(v_j) \cap N_G(v) \neq \emptyset$ for some i, j is at most $(t-1)(k-1) \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$. Therefore as long as $|V| > \binom{t-1}{2} \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta + (t-1)(s-1) \binom{s+t-1}{2} K\delta$, suitable choices for v_1, \dots, v_s can be made. Since $|V| \geq \left(\frac{\delta^2}{32(s+t)^3n}\right)^{t-1} |A|$, this last inequality holds for large enough $c = c(s, t, K)$. \square

References

- [1] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich and B. Sudakov. Turán numbers of bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type questions. *Combinatorics, Probability and Computing*, 12(5–6):477–494, 2003.
- [2] D. Conlon and J. Lee. On the extremal number of subdivisions. *Int. Math. Res. Not.*, to appear.
- [3] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. In *Studia Sci. Math. Hung.*, 1965.
- [4] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. Some extremal problems in graph theory. In *Combinatorial theory and its applications*, 1969.
- [5] P. Erdős and A.H. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 52:1087–1091, 1946.
- [6] Z. Füredi. On a Turán type problem of Erdős. *Combinatorica*, 11(1):75–79, 1991.
- [7] Z. Füredi and M. Simonovits. The history of degenerate (bipartite) extremal graph problems. In *Erdős Centennial*, 2013.
- [8] T. Kővári, V. Sós and P. Turán. On a problem of K. Zarankiewicz. In *Colloquium Mathematicum*, 1:50–57, 1954.