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#### Abstract

The existence of a rainbow matching given a minimum color degree, proper coloring, or triangle-free host graph has been studied extensively. This paper generalizes these problems to edge colored graphs with given total color degree. In particular, we find that if a graph $G$ has total color degree $2 m n$ and satisfies some other properties, then $G$ contains a matching of size $m$. These other properties include $G$ being triangle-free, $C_{4}$-free, properly colored, or large enough.


Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C15, 05C70

## 1 Introduction

Given a graph $G$, let $V(G)$ denote the vertex set of $G$ and $E(G)$ denote the edge set of $G$. If $S \subseteq V$, then $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph induced by the vertices in $S$. A graph $G$ is an $m$-matching if $G$ contains exactly $m$ edges, $2 m$ vertices, and $e \cap e^{\prime}=\{ \}$ for all edges $e \neq e^{\prime}$ in $E(G)$. An edge coloring $c: E(G) \rightarrow[r]=\{1, \ldots, r\}$ is an assignment of colors to edges. A proper edge coloring of a graph is an edge coloring such that $c(e) \neq c\left(e^{\prime}\right)$ whenever $e \cap e^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$ and $e \neq e^{\prime}$. The colors used on a graph will be denoted $c(G)$, and $R$ will denote a generic color class. If $X, Y \subseteq V(G)$, then $c(X, Y)$ will denote the set of colors used on edges of the form $x y$, where $x \in X, y \in Y$. A graph $G$ is rainbow under $c$ if $c$ is injective on $E(G)$. In particular, a rainbow matching is a matching where each edge receives a unique color within the matching. The color degree of a vertex $v$ is denoted $\hat{d}_{G}(v)$, which is the number of colors $c$ assigns to edges incident upon $v$ in $G$; when it is clear from the context what $G$ is, we will drop the subscript. Let $\hat{d}^{R}(v)$ denote the

[^0]number of $R$ colored edges incident upon $v$. The total color degree of $G$ with respect to $c$ is the sum of all the color degrees in the graph and denoted
$$
\hat{d}(G)=\sum_{v \in V(G)} \hat{d}(v) .
$$

The average color degree of a graph $G$ is obtained by dividing the total color degree by $|V(G)|$, and is an equivalent notion. The minimum color degree of $G$ is denoted $\hat{\delta}(G)$. Finally, let $G-v$ denote the graph $G$ with the vertex $v$ deleted, and $G-R$ denote the graph $G$ with the edges in color class $R$ deleted. When convenient, we will let $c(e)$ denote a color class so that $G-c(e)$ denotes the graph $G$ without the edges in color class containing the edge $e$.

Rainbow matchings in graphs were originally studied in connection to transversals of Latin squares [9, 10]. However, the existence of rainbow matchings has also been studied in its own right. In [6], Li and Wang conjectured that any graph with $\hat{\delta}(G) \geqslant m \geqslant 4$ contains a rainbow matching of size $\left\lceil\frac{m}{2}\right\rceil$. This conjecture was partially confirmed in [5], and fully confirmed in [4].

Wang asked for a function $f$ such that any properly edge colored graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geqslant f(\hat{\delta}(G))$ contains a rainbow matching of size $\hat{\delta}(G)$ [11]. Diemunsch et al. determined that $|V(G)| \geqslant \frac{98}{23} \hat{\delta}(G)$ is sufficient [1]. This problem was generalized to find a function $f$ such that any edge colored graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geqslant f(\hat{\delta}(G))$ contains a rainbow matching of size $\hat{\delta}(G)$. The authors of [3] found that $|V(G)| \geqslant \frac{17}{4} \hat{\delta}(G)^{2}$ sufficed. This was improved to $4 \hat{\delta}(G)-4$ for $\hat{\delta}(G) \geqslant 4$ in [2] and [8] independently.

Local Anti-Ramsey theory asks Anti-Ramsey type questions with assumptions about the local structure of the host graph. In particular, Local Anti-Ramsey theory is about the minimum $k$ such that any coloring of $K_{n}$ with $\hat{\delta}(G) \geqslant k$ contains a rainbow copy of $H$. In this vein, Wang's question can be posed as follows: given $k$, what is the smallest $N$ such that any properly edge colored graph $G$ with $|V(G)| \geqslant N$ and $\hat{\delta}(G) \geqslant k$ contains a rainbow matching of size $k$ ? Furthermore, proper edge-coloring and triangle-free properties play similar roles in restricting the structure of a host graph.

The local assumptions in Anti-Ramsey theory are interesting in so far as they highlight the relationship between a local parameter and the target graph. In much of the rainbow matching literature, there are confounding local assumptions. For example, [1], [7], and [11] all consider host graphs that have a prescribed minimum color degree and are properly edge colored. In this case, an intuitive interpretation is that the minimum color degree and proper edge-coloring properties spread the colors apart in the host graph. As one would expect, this makes it easier to find a large rainbow matching. However, it is unclear whether both the minimum color degree and proper edge coloring property are necessary to find a large matching.

The goal of this paper is to shed light on the relationship between local assumptions and rainbow matchings. Rather than considering host graphs with a prescribed minimum color degree, we will consider host graphs with a prescribed average color degree. This is motivated in part by a question posed during the Rocky Mountain and Great Plains Graduate Research Workshop in Combinatorics in 2017.

Question 1. If $G$ is an edge colored graph on $n$ vertices with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$, does $G$ contain a rainbow matching of size $m$ ?

Section 2 considers this question for triangle-free and $C_{4}$-free host graphs. In the case of triangle-free graphs, we will prove the slightly stronger statement that if $G$ is a graph with $\hat{d}(G)>2 m n$, then there exists a rainbow matching of size $m+1$. Section 3 pertains to properly edge colored host graphs. Finally, Section 4 considers edge colored graphs with total color degree $2 m n$, but with no further assumptions.

## 2 Triangle-free and $C_{4}$-free Graphs

In this section, we consider triangle-free and $C_{4}$-free graphs.
Theorem 2. Let $G$ be a triangle-free graph on $n$ vertices. Let $c$ be an edge coloring of $G$ with $\hat{d}(G)>2 m n$. Then $c$ admits a rainbow matching of size $m+1$.

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, let $M$ be a maximum rainbow matching of size $k \leqslant m$ with edges $u_{i} v_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, such that the number of colors appearing on $G[V(G) \backslash V(M)]=H$ is maximized. Without loss of generality, suppose that $c\left(u_{i} v_{i}\right)=i$. Since $G$ is triangle-free, $\hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right) \leqslant n$ for all $u_{i} v_{i} \in E(M)$. If $H$ has an edge $e$, then $c(e) \in[k]$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $c(H)=[j]$ for some $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k$. Then for all $v \in V(H)$, we have $d(v) \leqslant k+j$. Notice that if there exists an edge $e \in H$ with $c(e)=i$, then we can swap $e$ and $u_{i} v_{i}$ to conclude that $\hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right) \leqslant 2(j+k)$.

Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 m n & <\sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{v \in H} \hat{d}_{G}(v) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{j} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+d\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{v \in H}\left(\hat{d}_{H}(v)+k\right) \\
& \leqslant 2 j(k+j)+(k-j) n+(n-2 k)(j+k) \\
& =2 j k+2 j^{2}+2 n k-2 j k-2 k^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2 j^{2}-2 k^{2}+2 n k \\
& \leqslant 2 n m .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction; therefore, $k \geqslant m+1$.
A key element to the proof of Theorem 2 is the bound $\hat{d}(v)+\hat{d}(u) \leqslant n$ where $u v$ is an edge in a maximal matching. We can obtain a similar bound in $C_{4}$-free graphs in order to prove the next theorem.

Theorem 3. Let $G$ be a $C_{4}$-free graph on $n$ vertices. Let $c$ be an edge coloring of $G$ with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$. Then $c$ admits a rainbow matching of size $m$.

Proof. For the sake of contradiction, let $M$ be a maximum rainbow matching of size $k<m$ with edges $u_{i} v_{i}$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, such that the number of colors appearing on $G[V(G) \backslash V(M)]=H$ is maximized. Without loss of generality, suppose that $c\left(u_{i} v_{i}\right)=i$. Since $G$ is $C_{4}$-free, $\hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right) \leqslant n+1$ for all $u_{i} v_{i} \in E(M)$. If $H$ has an edge $e$, then $c(e) \in[k]$. Without loss of generality, suppose that $c(H)=[j]$ for $0 \leqslant j \leqslant k$.
Claim 4. If $x y \in E(H)$ with $c(x y)=i \leqslant j$, then $\hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 j+2 k$.
Notice that $x, y$ each see at most $j$ colors in $H$. Since $x y$ can share at most two edges with any edge in $M$ without creating a $C_{4}$ subgraph, we have $\left|c\left(\left\{u_{i}, v_{i}\right\}, x y\right)\right| \leqslant 2$ for every $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$. Thus, $\hat{d}(x)+\hat{d}(y) \leqslant 2 j+2 k$. By swapping $u_{i} v_{i}$ and $x y$, we obtain the desired bound on $\hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right)$.

Furthermore, $\sum_{v \in H} \hat{d}_{G}(v) \leqslant(n-2 k)(j+k)+k$. The $(n-2 k) j$ term comes from the fact that $H$ has $n-2 k$ vertices, each of which can see every color in $[j]$. We will show that there are at most $(n-2 k) k+k$ color degrees in $H$ that do not come from a color in $[j]$ by contradiction. Suppose that there are $(n-2 k) k+k+1$ edges from $H$ to $M$. By the pigeon hole principle, there exists an edge $u_{i} v_{i} \in M$ that receives at least $n-2 k+2$ edges from $H$. Notice that each vertex in $H$ can send at most two edges to $u_{i} v_{i}$. Therefore, there must exist two vertices in $H$ that each send two edges to $u_{i} v_{i}$, witnessing a $C_{4}$ subgraph; this is a contradiction.

Now consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 m n & \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{k} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{v \in H} \hat{d}_{G}(v) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{i=1}^{j} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+d\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=j+1}^{k} \hat{d}\left(u_{i}\right)+\hat{d}\left(v_{i}\right)+\sum_{v \in H}\left(\hat{d}_{H}(v)+k\right) \\
& \leqslant j(2 k+2 j)+(k-j)(n+1)+(n-2 k)(j+k)+k \\
& =2 k j+2 j^{2}+n k+k-n j-j+n j+n k-2 k j-2 k^{2}+k \\
& \leqslant 2 j^{2}+2 n k-j+2 k-2 k^{2} \\
& \leqslant 2 j^{2}-2 k^{2}+2 k-j-2 n+2 m n \\
& <2 m n .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is a contradiction; therefore, $k \geqslant m$.

## 3 Properly Edge Colored Graphs

In this section, we consider properly edge colored graphs. The idea to analyze a greedy algorithm that constructs a matching appears in [1] and [3]. The algorithm employed in this section is similar, with some adjustments to take into account the weaker degree assumption.

Theorem 5. Let c be a proper edge coloring of $G$ with $n \geqslant 8 m$ and $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$. Then c admits a rainbow matching of size $m$.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is an edge minimal counter example to Theorem 5. Consider the following algorithm:

1. set $G_{0}:=G$
2. if there exists $v \in V\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ with $\hat{d}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v$ and return to 2
3. else, if there exists color class $R$ with $|R| \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$ in $G_{i-1}$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-R$ and return to 2
4. else, if there exists $u v \in E\left(G_{i-1}\right)$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-u-v-c(u v)$ and return to 2
5. return $i-1$

Claim 6. Suppose the algorithm returns $k \leqslant m$. Then $G_{i}$ contains a matching of size $k-i$ for $0 \leqslant i \leqslant k$

We will prove the claim by reverse induction on $i$. If $i=k$, then $G_{i}$ is empty, and the claim is true. Assume that the claim is true for $i$. We will prove the claim for $i-1$. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a matching $M \subseteq G_{i}$ of size $k-i$. There are three cases:

Case 1: Assume $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v$ where $\hat{d}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$. By construction, $v \notin V(M)$. Since $\hat{d}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$, there exists $u \in N(v)$, such that $u \notin V(M)$ and $c(u v) \notin c(M)$. Then $M^{\prime}=M \cup\{u v\}$ is a rainbow matching of size $k-i+1$.

Case 2: Assume $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-R$ for some color $R$ with $|R| \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$. This implies that $c(e) \neq R$ for all $e \in E(M)$. Since $c$ is a proper coloring and $|R| \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$, there exist $e \in G_{i-1}$ such that $c(e)=R$ and $M^{\prime}=M \cup\{e\}$ is a rainbow matching.

Case 3: Assume that $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-u-c(u v)$ for some $u v \in E\left(G_{i-1}\right)$. By construction $N[u] \cup N[v]$ is disjoint from $V(M)$ and $c(e) \neq c(u v)$ for all $e \in M$. Therefore, $M^{\prime}=M \cup\{u v\}$ is a rainbow matching.

This concludes the proof of the claim. Since $G$ is an edge minimal counter example, the algorithm applied to $G$ will return $k<m$. We will now derive a contradiction.

Let $W\left(G_{i}\right)$ denote the difference of total color degree between $G_{i}$ and $G_{i-1}$ under $c$.
Claim 7. For all $1 \leqslant i \leqslant k$, we have $W\left(G_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 n$.
Case 1: Assume $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v$ where $\hat{d}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$. Notice that $v$ is incident to at most $n-1$ edges. Therefore, deleting $v$ will remove at most $2(n-1)$ color degrees.

Case 2: Assume $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-R$ for some color $R$ with $|R| \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$. Because $c$ is proper, $|R| \leqslant\lfloor n / 2\rfloor$. Deleting all edges of color $R$ reduces the total color degree by at most $n$.

Case 3: Assume that $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-u-c(u v)$ for some $u v \in E\left(G_{i-1}\right)$. Since $G_{i}$ is not constructed by step 2 , we know that $\hat{d}(u), \hat{d}(v) \leqslant 3(m-i)$. Furthermore, since $G_{i}$ is
not constructed by step 3 , we know that $|c(u v)| \leqslant 2(m-i)$. This implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
W\left(G_{i}\right) & =2(\hat{d}(v)+\hat{d}(u))+2|c(u v)| \\
& \leqslant 16(m-i) \\
& \leqslant 2 n .
\end{aligned}
$$

This concludes the proof of the claim. Now we have

$$
2 n m \leqslant \hat{d}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} W\left(G_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 n k
$$

which is a contradiction since $k<m$. Therefore, the theorem is proven.

## 4 General Edge-Colored Graphs

Theorem 8 provides contrast for Theorems 2 , 3 , and 5 . The proof of Theorem 8 is similar to the proof of Theorem 5. However, the greedy algorithm has been modified to accommodate graphs that are not properly colored.

Theorem 8. Let c be an edge coloring of $G$ be a graph with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$ and $n \geqslant$ $12 m^{2}+4 m$. Then $c$ admits a rainbow matching of size $m$.

Proof. Assume that $G$ is an edge minimal counter example to Theorem 8. Since $G$ is edge minimal, no color class can induce a $P_{4}$ (path on 4 vertices) or a triangle. This follows from the fact that if a color class $R$ induces a $P_{4}$ or triangle, then an edge can be deleted without reducing the total color degree of the graph. Therefore, each color class in $G$ induces a forest of stars. Let $s(R)$ denote the number of components induced by the color class $R$. Consider the following algorithm:

1. set $G_{0}:=G$
2. if there exists $v \in V\left(G_{i-1}\right)$ with $\hat{d}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v$ and return to 2
3. else, if there exists color $R$ with $s(R) \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$ in $G_{i-1}$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-R$ and return to 2
4. else, if there exists a vertex $v$ and a color $R$ such that $\hat{d}^{R}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$ in $G_{i-1}$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-R$ and return to 2
5. else, if there exists $u v \in E\left(G_{i-1}\right)$, then $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-u-v-c(u v)$ and return to 2
6. return $i-1$

Since this algorithm is so similar to the algorithm featured in the proof of Theorem 5, the only things that remain to be checked are that step 4 lets us extend a matching, and that the bounds on steps 4 and 5 are still good.

Assume that $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-R$ where $\hat{d}^{R}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$. Let $M$ be a rainbow matching of size $k-i$ contained in $G_{i}$. Since $v \notin V\left(G_{i}\right), v \notin V(M)$. Furthermore, $M$ does not contain an edge with color $R$. Since $\hat{d}^{R}(v) \geqslant 2(m-i)+1$, there exists an edge $u v$ with $c(u v)=R$ and $u \notin M$. Then $M \cup\{u v\}$ is a rainbow matching of size $k-i+1$ contained in $G_{i-1}$.

If $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-R$ where $\hat{d}^{R}(v) \geqslant 3(m-i)+1$, then 2 and 3 must have been rejected. The color $R$ contributes at most $n-3(m-i)$ color using edges that are not incident upon $v$. Since $\hat{d}(v) \leqslant 3(m-i)$ and $d(v) \leqslant n$, it follows that $W\left(G_{i}\right) \leqslant n-3(m-i)+\hat{d}(v)+d(v) \leqslant$ $n-3(m-i)+3(m-i)+n=2 n$.

Suppose $G_{i}=G_{i-1}-v-u-c(u v)$. Then steps 2, 3, and 4 must have been rejected. This implies that $\hat{d}(v), \hat{d}(u) \leqslant 3(m-i)$. Furthermore, each color at $v, u$ can be represented at most $3(m-i)$ times. Finally, the edges of color $c(u v)$ can induce at most $2(m-i)$ stars with $3(m-i)$ edges each. Therefore, deleting all $c(u v)$ colored edges reduces the color degree by at most $6 m^{2}+2 m$. Thus, $W\left(G_{i}\right) \leqslant 24 m^{2}+8 m \leqslant 2 n$.

Suppose that the algorithm terminates in $k<m$ steps. Now we have

$$
2 n m \leqslant \hat{d}(G)=\sum_{i=1}^{k} W\left(G_{i}\right) \leqslant 2 n k,
$$

which is a contradiction since $k<m$. Therefore, the theorem is proven.

## 5 Future Work

Though we were not able to resolve Question 1 for all graphs, we believe the answer is affirmative:

Conjecture 9. All edge colored graphs $G$ with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$ contain a rainbow matching of size $m$.

It would also be interesting to know under which conditions there exists a matching of size $m+1$. It seems that a small improvement in the estimates in the proofs of Theorems 2 and 5 could yield this result for edge colored graphs $G$ with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$. In fact, it may be that the proper question to ask is whether any graph $G$ with $\hat{d}(G) \geqslant 2 m n$ contains a rainbow matching of size $m+1$.
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