# The 1/k-Eulerian polynomials of type B

Shi-Mei Ma<sup>\*</sup>

School of Mathematics and Statistics Northeastern University at Qinhuangdao Hebei, P.R. China

shimeimapapers@163.com

Jean Yeh<sup>‡</sup>

Department of Mathematics National Kaohsiung Normal University Kaohsiung 82444, Taiwan

chunchenyeh@nknu.edu.tw

Jun Ma<sup>†</sup>

School of Mathematical Sciences Shanghai Jiao Tong University Shanghai, P.R. China

majun904@sjtu.edu.cn

Yeong-Nan Yeh<sup>§</sup>

Institute of Mathematics Academia Sinica Taipei, Taiwan

mayeh@math.sinica.edu.tw

Submitted: Jan 22, 2020; Accepted: Jul 27, 2020; Published: Aug 7, 2020 © The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

#### Abstract

In this paper, we define the 1/k-Eulerian polynomials of type B. Properties of these polynomials, including combinatorial interpretations, recurrence relations and  $\gamma$ -positivity are studied. In particular, we show that the 1/k-Eulerian polynomials of type B are  $\gamma$ -positive when k > 0. Moreover, we define the 1/k-derangement polynomials of type B, denoted  $d_n^B(x;k)$ . We show that the polynomials  $d_n^B(x;k)$  are bi- $\gamma$ -positive when  $k \ge 1/2$ . In particular, we get a symmetric decomposition of the polynomials  $d_n^B(x;1/2)$  in terms of the classical derangement polynomials.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A15

## 1 Introduction

Throughout this paper, we always let k be a fixed positive number. Following Savage and Viswanathan [23], the 1/k-Eulerian polynomials  $A_n^{(k)}(x)$  are defined by

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{1-x}{e^{kz(x-1)}-x}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$
(1)

\*Corresponding author and supported by NSFC 11401083

<sup>‡</sup>Corresponding author and supported by NSC 108-2115-M-017-005-MY2

The electronic journal of combinatorics 27(3) (2020), #P3.27

https://doi.org/10.37236/9313

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Supported by NSFC 11571235

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>§</sup>Supported by NSC 107-2115-M-001-009-MY3

When k = 1, the polynomial  $A_n^{(k)}(x)$  reduces to the classical Eulerian polynomial  $A_n(x)$ . Savage and Viswanathan [23] showed that

$$A_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{\mathbf{e} \in I_{n,k}} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\mathbf{e})},$$

where  $I_{n,k} = \{ \mathbf{e} \mid 0 \leq e_i \leq (i-1)k \}$  is the set of *n*-dimensional *k*-inversion sequences with  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n) \in \mathbb{Z}^n$  and

asc (e) = # 
$$\left\{ i : 1 \leq i \leq n-1 \mid \frac{e_i}{(i-1)k+1} < \frac{e_{i+1}}{ik+1} \right\}$$
.

In the following, we first recall the other combinatorial interpretations of  $A_n^{(k)}(x)$ , and then we define the 1/k-Eulerian polynomials of type B as well as the 1/k-derangement polynomials of type B.

Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  denote the symmetric group of all permutations of  $[n] = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  and let  $\pi = \pi(1)\pi(2)\cdots\pi(n) \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ . A descent (resp. ascent, excedance) of  $\pi$  is an index  $i \in [n-1]$  such that  $\pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$  (resp.  $\pi(i) < \pi(i+1), \pi(i) > i$ ). Let des  $(\pi)$ (resp. asc  $(\pi)$ , exc  $(\pi)$ ) denote the number of descents (resp. ascents, excedances) of  $\pi$ . It is well known that the statistics des  $(\pi)$ , asc  $(\pi)$  and exc  $(\pi)$  are equidistributed over  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and their common enumerative polynomial is the Eulerian polynomial  $A_n(x)$ , i.e.,

$$A_n(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{des}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)}.$$

In [13], Foata and Schützenberger introduced a q-analog of  $A_n(x)$  defined by

$$A_n(x,q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)} q^{\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)},$$

where  $\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)$  is the number of cycles of  $\pi$ . Brenti [6] showed that some crucial properties of Eulerian polynomials have nice q-analogues for the polynomials  $A_n(x,q)$ . According to [6, Proposition 7.3], we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n(x,q) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{1-x}{e^{z(x-1)}-x}\right)^q.$$

By comparing this with (1), one can immediately get that

$$A_n^{(k)}(x) = k^n A_n(x, 1/k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(\pi)}.$$

A left-to-right minimum in  $\pi$  is an index *i* such that  $\pi(i) < \pi(j)$  for any j < i or i = 1. Let  $\operatorname{lrmin}(\pi)$  denote the number of left-to-right minima of  $\pi$ . By using the fundamental

transformation of Foata and Schützenberger [13], the pairs of statistics (exc, cyc) and (asc, lrmin) are equidistributed over  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ . Thus

$$\sum_{\mathbf{e}\in I_{n,k}} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\mathbf{e})} = \sum_{\pi\in\mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\pi)} k^{n-\operatorname{lrmin}(\pi)}.$$
(2)

A bijective proof of (2) was recently given in [7]. According to [18, Theorem 2], the 1/k-Eulerian polynomial  $A_n^{(k)}(x)$  is also the longest ascent plateau polynomial of k-Stirling permutations of order n.

Let  $f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} f_i x^i$  be a symmetric polynomial, i.e.,  $f_i = f_{n-i}$  for any  $0 \leq i \leq n$ . Then f(x) can be expanded uniquely as  $f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor} \gamma_k x^k (1+x)^{n-2k}$ , and it is said to be  $\gamma$ -positive if  $\gamma_k \geq 0$  for  $0 \leq k \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor$  (see [15, 16]). The  $\gamma$ -positivity of f(x) implies unimodality of f(x). We refer the reader to Athanasiadis's survey article [1] for details. The  $\gamma$ -positivity of Eulerian polynomials was first obtained by Foata and Schützenberger [13]. Subsequently, Foata and Strehl [14] proved the  $\gamma$ -positivity of Eulerian polynomials by using a group action. Using the theory of enriched *P*-partitions, Stembridge [28, Remark 4.8] showed that

$$A_n(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} 4^i P(n,i) x^i (1+x)^{n-1-2i}$$

where P(n, i) is the number of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with *i* interior peaks, i.e., the indices  $i \in \{2, \ldots, n-1\}$  such that  $\pi(i-1) < \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ . It should be noted that if  $k \neq 1$ , then the polynomial  $A_n^{(k)}(x)$  is not symmetric, and so it is not  $\gamma$ -positive.

A permutation  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is a *derangement* if it has no fixed points, i.e.,  $\pi(i) \neq i$  for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}_n$  be the set of derangements in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$ , and let  $d_n(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)}$  be the derangement polynomials. It is well known that the generating function of  $d_n(x)$  is given as follows (see [4, Proposition 6]):

$$d(x,z) = \sum_{n \ge 0} d_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{1-x}{e^{xz} - xe^z}.$$
(3)

Using continued fractions, Shin and Zeng [24, Theorem 11] obtained the following result.

**Theorem 1.** For  $n \ge 2$ , we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)} q^{\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)} = \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} c_{n,k}(q) x^k (1+x)^{n-2k},$$

where  $c_{n,k}(q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n(k)} q^{\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)}$  and  $\mathcal{D}_n(k)$  is the subset of derangements in  $\mathcal{D}_n$  with exactly k cyclic valleys and without cyclic double descents.

Let  $\pm [n] = [n] \cup \{-1, \ldots, -n\}$ . Let  $B_n$  be the hyperoctahedral group of rank n and let  $w = w(1)w(2)\cdots w(n) \in B_n$ . Elements of  $B_n$  are permutations of  $\pm [n]$  with the property that w(-i) = -w(i) for all  $i \in [n]$ . Let

$$\operatorname{des}_{B}(w) = \#\{i \in \{0, 1, \dots, n-1\} \mid w(i) > w(i+1)\},\$$

where w(0) = 0. As usual, we denote by i the negative element -i. We say that  $i \in [n]$  is a weak excedance of w if w(i) = i or w(|w(i)|) > w(i) (see [5, p. 431]). An excedance of w is an index  $i \in [n]$  such that w(|w(i)|) > w(i). A fixed point (resp. singleton) of w is an index  $i \in [n]$  such that w(i) = i (resp.  $w(i) = \overline{i}$ ). Let wexc (w) (resp. exc (w), fix (w), single ( $\pi$ )) denote the number of weak excedances (resp. excedances, fixed points, singletons) of w. By definition, we have wexc (w) = exc (w) + fix (w). According to [5, Theorem 3.15], the statistics des  $_B(w)$  and wexc (w) have the same distribution over  $B_n$ , and their common enumerative polynomial is the Eulerian polynomial of type B:

$$B_n(x) = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{des}_B(w)} = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)}.$$

A left peak of  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is an index  $i \in [n-1]$  such that  $\pi(i-1) < \pi(i) > \pi(i+1)$ , where we take  $\pi(0) = 0$ . Let lpk ( $\pi$ ) be the number of left peaks in  $\pi$ . Let Q(n, i) be the number of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with *i* left peaks. By using the theory of enriched *P*-partitions, Petersen [21, Proposition 4.15] obtained the following result.

**Theorem 2.** We have  $B_n(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} 4^i Q(n,i) x^i (1+x)^{n-2i}$ .

In recent years, various refinements of Theorem 2 have been studied by several authors, see [16, 25, 29] and references therein.

For  $w \in B_n$ , we say that w is a type B derangement if fix (w) = 0. Let  $\mathcal{D}_n^B$  be the set of all type B derangements in  $B_n$ . Clearly, wexc  $(w) = \exp(w)$  for  $w \in \mathcal{D}_n^B$ . The type Bderangement polynomials  $d_n^B(x)$  are defined by

$$d_n^B(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n^B} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)},$$

which have been studied by Chen et al. [9] in a slightly different form. According to [11, Theorem 3.2], the generating function of  $d_n^B(x)$  is given as follows:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n^B(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \frac{(1-x)e^z}{e^{2xz} - xe^{2z}}.$$
(4)

Combining (3) and (4), we obtain  $d_n^B(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n {n \choose i} 2^i d_i(x)$ .

The type B 1/k-Eulerian polynomials  $B_n^{(k)}(x)$  and the type B 1/k-derangement polynomials  $d_n^B(x;k)$  are defined by using the following generating functions:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n^{(k)}(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{(1-x)e^{kz(1-x)}}{1-xe^{2kz(1-x)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}},\tag{5}$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} d_n^B(x;k) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{(1-x)e^{kz}}{e^{2kxz} - xe^{2kz}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$
(6)

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 27(3) (2020), #P3.27

4

In particular,  $B_n^{(1)}(x) = B_n(x)$  and  $d_n^B(x; 1) = d_n^B(x)$ . Comparing (5) with (6), we have

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} d_i^B(x;k) x^{n-i}.$$

This paper is organized as follows. In the next section, we present the main results. In particular, we show that the type  $B \ 1/k$ -Eulerian polynomials  $B_n^{(k)}(x)$  are  $\gamma$ -positive when k is positive and the type  $B \ 1/k$ -derangement polynomials  $d_n^B(x; k)$  are bi- $\gamma$ -positive when  $k \ge 1/2$ . In Sections 3 and 4, we respectively prove Theorem 4 and Theorem 9.

### 2 Main results

#### 2.1 The 1/k-Eulerian polynomials of type B

An element is a *left-to-right maximum* of  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  if it is larger than or equal to all the elements to its left. We always assume that  $\pi(1)$  is a left-to-right maximum. Let  $\operatorname{lrmax}(\pi)$  be the number of left-to-right maxima of  $\pi$ . We can write  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  in standard cycle decomposition, where each cycle is written with its largest entry first and the cycles are written in increasing order of their largest entry. A *cycle peak* of  $\pi$  is an index *i* such that  $\pi^{-1}(i) < i > \pi(i)$ . Let  $\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)$  be the number of cycle peaks of  $\pi$ . By using the *fundamental transformation* of Foata and Schützenberger [13], it is easy to verify that

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} y^{\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)} = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{lpk}(\pi)} y^{\operatorname{lrmax}(\pi)}.$$

In the following discussion, we always write  $w \in B_n$  by using its standard cycle decomposition, in which each cycle is written with its largest entry last and the cycles are written in ascending order of their last entry. It should be noted that the *n* letters appearing in the cycle notation of  $w \in B_n$  are the letters  $w(1), w(2), \ldots, w(n)$ . Let cyc (w)be the number of cycles of w.

**Example 3.** The signed permutation  $w = \overline{3}51\overline{7}2468\overline{9}$  can be written as

$$(\overline{9})(\overline{3},1)(2,5)(4,\overline{7},6)(8).$$

Moreover, w has only one singleton 9, one fixed point 8,  $\operatorname{cyc}(w) = 5$  and  $\operatorname{exc}(w) = 3$ .

We can now present the first main result of this paper.

**Theorem 4.** (i) For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)},$$

and the polynomials  $B_n^{(k)}(x)$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$B_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) = (1+x+2knx)B_n^{(k)}(x) + 2kx(1-x)\frac{d}{dx}B_n^{(k)}(x),$$
(7)

with the initial conditions  $B_0^{(k)}(x) = 1$  and  $B_1^{(k)}(x) = 1 + x$ ;

(ii) When k > 0, the polynomial  $B_n^{(k)}(x)$  is  $\gamma$ -positive. More precisely, we have

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \left( \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} b_{n,i,j} k^j 4^i \right) x^i (1+x)^{n-2i}, \tag{8}$$

where the numbers  $b_{n,i,j}$  satisfy the recurrence relation

$$b_{n+1,i,j} = b_{n,i,j} + 2ib_{n,i,j-1} + (n-2i+2)b_{n,i-1,j-1},$$
(9)

with  $b_{1,0,0} = 1$  and  $b_{1,i,j} = 0$  for  $(i, j) \neq (0, 0)$ ;

(iii) For  $n \ge 1$ , we define

$$b_n(x,q) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} b_{n,i,j} x^i q^j.$$

Set  $b_0(x,q) = 1$ . Then the generating function of  $b_n(x,q)$  is given as follows:

$$b(x,q,z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n(x,q) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x}}{\sqrt{1-x}\cosh(qz\sqrt{1-x}) - \sinh(qz\sqrt{1-x})}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}};$$

(iv) For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$b_n(x,q) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} q^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(\pi)};$$
(10)

(v) For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$B_{n+1}^{(k)}(x) = (1+x)B_n^{(k)}(x) + x\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} 2^{n+1-i}k^{n-i}B_i^{(k)}(x)A_{n-i}(x).$$
(11)

When q = 1, the generating function b(x, q, z) reduces to the the generating function of the polynomials  $\sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} Q(n, i)x^i$ , which is due to Gessel [26, A008971]. Thus the polynomial  $b_n(x, q)$  can be called the 1/q-left peak polynomial. From the explicit formula of b(x, q, z), it is routine to verify the following result.

**Corollary 5.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} (-1)^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(\pi)} = (1 - x)^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor}.$$

Let

$$T(n,i) = \frac{n!}{i!(n-2i)!2^i}$$

be the Bessel number, which is the number of involutions of [n] with *i* pairs. In other words, the number T(n, i) counts involutions of [n] with n - i cycles. Note that

$$b_{n,i,i} = \#\{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n : \operatorname{cpk}(\pi) = i, \operatorname{cyc}(\pi) = n - i\}.$$

So the following corollary is immediate.

**Corollary 6.** For  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ , we have  $b_{n,i,i} = T(n,i)$ .

It follows from (9) that

$$b_{n+1,i,n} = 2ib_{n,i,n-1} + (n-2i+2)b_{n,i-1,n-1}.$$
(12)

Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  with  $\operatorname{cpk}(\pi) = i + 1$  and  $\operatorname{cyc}(\pi) = 1$ . We write  $\pi$  in standard cycle decomposition. If  $\pi'$  is obtained from  $\pi$  by deleting its parentheses and the element n+1, then  $\pi'$  is a permutation in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with *i* interior peaks. So we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 7.** For  $0 \leq i \leq \lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor$ , the number  $b_{n+1,i+1,n}$  equals the number of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with *i* interior peaks.

#### 2.2 The 1/k-derangement polynomials of type B

Let  $p(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{d} p_i x^i$ . There is a unique decomposition: p(x) = a(x) + xb(x), where

$$a(x) = \frac{p(x) - x^{d+1}p(1/x)}{1 - x}, \ b(x) = \frac{x^d p(1/x) - p(x)}{1 - x}.$$
(13)

It is clear that a(x) and b(x) are symmetric polynomials satisfying  $a(x) = x^d a(\frac{1}{x})$  and  $b(x) = x^{d-1}b(\frac{1}{x})$ . We call the ordered pair of polynomials (a(x), b(x)) the symmetric decomposition of p(x) (see [2]).

**Definition 8.** Let (a(x), b(x)) be the symmetric decomposition of p(x). If a(x) and b(x) are both  $\gamma$ -positive, then we say that p(x) is *bi-\gamma-positive*.

We say that p(x) is alternatingly increasing if

$$p_0 \leqslant p_d \leqslant p_1 \leqslant p_{d-1} \leqslant \cdots \leqslant p_{|\frac{d+1}{2}|}.$$

As pointed out by Brändén and Solus [3], the polynomial p(x) is alternatingly increasing if and only if the pair of polynomials in its symmetric decomposition are both unimodal and have nonnegative coefficients. Thus the bi- $\gamma$ -positivity of p(x) implies that p(x) is alternatingly increasing.

We now present a counterpart of Theorem 1.

**Theorem 9.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$d_n^B(x;k) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathcal{D}_n^B} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(\pi)}.$$
(14)

When  $k \ge 1/2$ , the polynomials  $d_n^B(x;k)$  are bi- $\gamma$ -positive. More precisely, we have

$$d_n^B(x;k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} p(n,j;k) x^j (1+x)^{n-1-2j} + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q(n,j;k) x^j (1+x)^{n-2j},$$
(15)

where the numbers p(n, j; k) and q(n, j; k) satisfy the following recurrence system:

$$\begin{split} p(n+1,j;k) &= (1+2kj)p(n,j;k) + 4k(n-2j+1)p(n,j-1;k) + \\ & 2knp(n-1,j-1;k) + q(n,j;k), \\ q(n+1,j;k) &= 2kjq(n,j;k) + 4k(n-2j+2)q(n,j-1;k) + 2knq(n-1,j-1;k) + \\ & (2k-1)p(n,j-1;k), \end{split}$$

with the initial conditions q(0,0;k) = 1, q(0,j;k) = 0 for  $j \neq 0$ , p(0,j;k) = 0 for any j.

For  $n \ge 1$ , we define

$$P_n(x;k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} p(n,j;k) x^j (1+x)^{n-1-2j},$$
$$Q_n(x;k) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q(n,j;k) x^j (1+x)^{n-2j}.$$

The first few  $P_n(x;k)$  and  $Q_n(x;k)$  are given as follows:

$$P_1(x;k) = 1, P_2(x;k) = 1+x, P_3(x;k) = 1+(1+12k)x+x^2,$$
  
 $Q_1(x;k) = 0, Q_2(x;k) = (4k-1)x, Q_3(x;k) = (8k^2-1)x(1+x).$ 

**Corollary 10.** The polynomials  $P_n(x;k)$  and  $Q_n(x;k)$  satisfy the recurrence system

$$P_{n+1}(x;k) = (1 + (2kn - 2k + 1)x)P_n(x;k) + 2kx(1 - x)P'_n(x;k) + 2knxP_{n-1}(x;k) + Q_n(x;k),$$

$$Q_{n+1}(x;k) = 2knxQ_n(x;k) + 2kx(1 - x)Q'_n(x;k) + 2knxQ_{n-1}(x;k) + (2k - 1)xP_n(x;k),$$

with the initial conditions  $P_0(x;k) = 0$ ,  $P_1(x;k) = 1$ ,  $Q_0(x;k) = 1$  and  $Q_1(x;k) = 0$ . *Proof.* For  $n \ge 1$ , we define

$$p_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor (n-1)/2 \rfloor} p(n,j;k) x^j, \ q_n(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} q(n,j;k) x^j.$$

Multiplying both sides of the recurrence system of the numbers p(n, j; k) and q(n, j; k)by  $x^j$  and summing over all j, we get the following recurrence system:

$$p_{n+1}(x) = (1 + 4k(n-1)x)p_n(x) + 2kx(1-4x)p'_n(x) + 2knxp_{n-1}(x) + q_n(x),$$
  

$$q_{n+1}(x) = 4knxq_n(x) + 2kx(1-4x)q'_n(x) + 2knxq_{n-1}(x) + (2k-1)xp_n(x),$$

with the initial conditions  $p_0(x) = 0$ ,  $p_1(x) = 1$ ,  $p_2(x) = 1$ ,  $q_0(x) = 1$ ,  $q_1(x) = 0$  and  $q_2(x) = (4k - 1)x$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$P_n(x;k) = (1+x)^{n-1} p_n\left(\frac{x}{(1+x)^2}\right),$$
$$Q_n(x;k) = (1+x)^n q_n\left(\frac{x}{(1+x)^2}\right).$$

Substituting  $x \to x/(1+x)^2$  into the recurrence system of the polynomials  $p_n(x)$  and  $q_n(x)$  and simplifying some terms leads to the desired result.

A succession of  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$  is an index *i* such that  $\pi(i+1) = \pi(i) + 1$ , where  $i \in [n-1]$ . Let  $\mathfrak{S}_n^s$  denote the set of permutations in  $\mathfrak{S}_n$  with no successions. We can now give the following result.

**Theorem 11.** For  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$d_n^B(x; 1/2) = \frac{1}{x}d_{n+1}(x) + d_n(x),$$

where  $d_n(x)$  is the derangement polynomial. Moreover, we have

$$d_n^B(x; 1/2) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^s} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\pi)}.$$
 (16)

*Proof.* Let  $P_n(x) = P_n(x; 1/2)$  and  $Q_n(x) = Q_n(x; 1/2)$ . It follows from Theorem 9 that  $d_n^B(x; 1/2) = P_n(x) + Q_n(x)$ . By using Corollary 10, we see that the polynomials  $P_n(x)$  and  $Q_n(x)$  satisfy the following recurrence system:

$$P_{n+1}(x) = (1+nx)P_n(x) + x(1-x)P'_n(x) + nxP_{n-1}(x) + Q_n(x),$$
  

$$Q_{n+1}(x) = nxQ_n(x) + x(1-x)Q'_n(x) + nxQ_{n-1}(x),$$

with the initial conditions  $P_0(x) = 0$ ,  $P_1(x) = 1$ ,  $Q_0(x) = 1$  and  $Q_1(x) = 0$ . According to [17, Eq. (3.2)], the polynomials  $Q_n(x)$  satisfy the same recurrence relation and initial conditions as  $d_n(x)$ , so they agree. We now prove that

$$P_n(x) = \frac{1}{x}d_{n+1}(x).$$

Clearly, it holds for n = 0, 1, 2. Assume it holds for n. Then we get

$$P_{n+1}(x) = \frac{1+nx}{x} d_{n+1}(x) + \frac{x(1-x)}{x^2} (xd'_{n+1}(x) - d_{n+1}(x)) + \frac{nx}{x} d_n(x) + d_n(x)$$
  
=  $(n+1)d_{n+1}(x) + (1-x)d'_{n+1}(x) + (n+1)d_n(x)$   
=  $\frac{1}{x}d_{n+2}(x),$ 

as desired. The combinatorial interpretation (16) follows immediately from [22, Eq. (3.8)]. This completes the proof.  $\hfill \Box$ 

Let

$$S_n(x) = \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+1}^s} x^{\operatorname{asc}(\pi)}.$$

Combining (6) and (16), we obtain

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_n(x) \frac{z^n}{n!} = e^z \left( \frac{1-x}{e^{xz} - xe^z} \right)^2.$$
(17)

Combining (1), (3), (4) and (17), we get the following result. **Theorem 12.** For  $n \ge 0$ , we have

$$S_{n}(x) = \frac{1}{2^{n}} \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} d_{i}^{B}(x) d_{n-i}^{B}(x),$$
$$S_{n}(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \binom{n}{i} A_{i}(x) d_{n-i}(x).$$

## 3 Proof of Theorem 4

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 4 by using the theory of context-free grammars. For an alphabet V, let  $\mathbb{Q}[[V]]$  be the rational commutative ring of formal power series in monomials formed from letters in V. A context-free grammar over V is a function  $G: V \to \mathbb{Q}[[V]]$  that replaces a letter in V by an element of  $\mathbb{Q}[[V]]$  (see [8, 12]). The formal derivative  $D_G$  is a linear operator defined with respect to a grammar G. In other words,  $D_G$  is the unique derivation satisfying  $D_G(x) = G(x)$  for  $x \in V$ , and for any two formal functions u and v, we have

$$D_G(u+v) = D_G(u) + D_G(v), \ D_G(uv) = D_G(u)v + uD_G(v).$$

For a constant c, we have  $D_G(c) = 0$ . It follows from Leibniz's rule that

$$D_{G}^{n}(uv) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \binom{n}{k} D_{G}^{k}(u) D_{G}^{n-k}(v).$$
(18)

For example, if  $G = \{x \to xy, y \to y\}$ , then

$$D_G(x) = xy, \ D_G(y) = y, \ D_G^2(x) = D_G(xy) = xy^2 + xy.$$

A grammatical labeling is an assignment of the underlying elements of a combinatorial structure with variables, which is consistent with the substitution rules of a grammar (see [10]). Following [20, Definition 1], a *change of grammars* is a substitution method in which the original grammars are replaced with functions of other grammars.

In the following discussion, we always write  $w \in B_n$  by its standard cycle decomposition. For  $w \in B_n$ , we say that  $i \in [n]$  is an *anti-excedance* of w if  $w(i) = \overline{i}$  or w(i) > w(|w(i)|). Let aexc(w) be the number of anti-excedances of w. It is clear that wexc(w) + aexc(w) = n for  $w \in B_n$ . The following lemma is fundamental. **Lemma 13.** Let  $G = \{I \rightarrow I(x+y), x \rightarrow 2kxy, y \rightarrow 2kxy\}$ . We have

$$D_G^n(I) = I \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}.$$
(19)

*Proof.* We first introduce a grammatical labeling of  $w \in B_n$  as follows:

- $(L_1)$  If w(i) = i, then put a superscript label x right after i, i.e.,  $(i^x)$ ;
- (L<sub>2</sub>) If  $w(i) = \overline{i}$ , then put a superscript label y right after  $\overline{i}$ , i.e.,  $(\overline{i}^y)$ ;
- (L<sub>3</sub>) If w(i) < w(|w(i)|), then put a superscript label x right after w(i);
- (L<sub>4</sub>) If w(i) > w(|w(i)|), then put a superscript label y right after w(i);
- $(L_5)$  Put a subscript label k just before every element of w except the first element in each cycle;
- $(L_6)$  Put a subscript label I right after w.

The weight of w is the product of its labels. Note that the weight of w is given by

$$Ix^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)}u^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)}k^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(w)}$$

Every permutation in  $B_n$  can be obtained from a permutation in  $B_{n-1}$  by inserting n or  $\overline{n}$ . For n = 1, we have  $B_1 = \{(1^x)_I, (\overline{1}^y)_I\}$ . Note that D(I) = I(x+y). Then the sum of weights of the elements in  $B_1$  is given by D(I). Hence the result holds for n = 1. We proceed by induction on n. Suppose that we get all labeled permutations in  $w \in B_{n-1}$ , where  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\widetilde{w}$  be obtained from  $w \in B_{n-1}$  by inserting n or  $\overline{n}$ . When the inserted n or  $\overline{n}$  forms a new cycle, the insertion corresponds to the substitution rule  $I \to I(x+y)$ . Recall that each cycle of a signed permutation is written with its largest entry last and the cycles are written in ascending order of their last entry. Now we insert n or  $\overline{n}$  right after w(i). If i is a weak excedance of w, then the changes of labeling are illustrated as follows:

$$\cdots (i^{x}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (i^{x}_{k}n^{y}); \quad \cdots (i^{x}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{n}^{x}_{k}i^{y}) \cdots;$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (w(|w(i)|)_{k} \cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}n^{y});$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\cdots w(i)^{y}_{k}\overline{n}^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots;$$

If i is an anti-excedance of w, then the changes of labeling are illustrated as follows:

$$\cdots (\overline{i}^{y}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{i}^{x}_{k} n^{y}); \quad \cdots (\overline{i}^{y}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{n}^{x}_{k} \overline{i}^{y}) \cdots;$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{y}_{k} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (w(|w(i)|)_{k} \cdots w(i)^{x}_{k} n^{y});$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{y}_{k} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\cdots w(i)^{y}_{k} \overline{n}^{x}_{k} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots$$

In each case, the insertion of n or  $\overline{n}$  corresponds to one substitution rule in G. By induction, it is routine to check that the action of  $D_G$  on elements of  $B_{n-1}$  generates all elements of  $B_n$ . This completes the proof.

Let

$$F_n(x,y;k) = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}.$$

Lemma 14. We have

$$F(x, y, z; k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n(x, y; k) \frac{z^n}{n!} = \left(\frac{(y-x)e^{kz(y-x)}}{y - xe^{2kz(y-x)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$

*Proof.* From Lemma 13, we obtain  $D_G^n(I) = IF_n(x, y; k)$ . By using

$$D_G^{n+1}(I) = D_G(IF_n(x, y; k)),$$

we get that the polynomials  $F_n(x, y; k)$  satisfy the following recurrence relation

$$F_{n+1}(x,y;k) = (x+y)F_n(x,y;k) + 2kxy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)F_n(x,y;k),$$
(20)

with the initial conditions  $F_0(x, y; k) = 1$  and  $F_1(x, y; k) = x + y$ . By rewriting (20) in terms of the generating function F := F(x, y, z; k), we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}F = (x+y)F + 2kxy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)F.$$
(21)

It is routine to check that the generating function

$$\widetilde{F} := \widetilde{F}(x, y, z; k) = \left(\frac{(y - x)e^{kz(y - x)}}{y - xe^{2kz(y - x)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

satisfies (21). Also, this generating function gives  $\widetilde{F}(x, y, 0; k) = 1$ . Hence  $F = \widetilde{F}$ .  $\Box$ 

Proof of Theorem 4. We divide our proof into five parts.

(i) Comparing (5) with Lemma 14, we obtain

$$F_n(x,y;k) = y^n B_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right).$$
(22)

Therefore,

$$B_n^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}$$

Combining (20) and (22), it is routine to verify (7).

(*ii*) We now consider a change of the grammar G given in Lemma 13. Setting u = x + y and v = xy, we get  $D_G(I) = Iu$ ,  $D_G(u) = 4kv$  and  $D_G(v) = 2kuv$ . We define

$$G_1 = \{ I \to Iu, \ u \to 4kv, \ v \to 2kuv \}.$$

$$(23)$$

Note that  $D_{G_1}(I) = Iu$ ,  $D_{G_1}^2(I) = I(u^2 + 4kv)$ ,  $D_{G_1}^3(I) = I(u^3 + (12k + 8k^2)uv)$  and  $D_{G_1}^4(I) = I(u^4 + (24k + 32k^2 + 16k^3)u^2v + (48k^2 + 32k^3)v^2)$ . By induction, it is routine to verify that there exist nonnegative integers  $b_{n,i,j}$  such that

$$D_{G_1}^n(I) = I \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} b_{n,i,j} k^j 4^i v^i u^{n-2i}.$$
 (24)

It should be noted that  $b_{n,i,j} = 0$  if *i* and *j* are outside the bounds given in (24). Note that

$$D_{G_1}^{n+1}(I) = D_{G_1} \left( I \sum_{i=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \sum_{j=i}^{n-1} b_{n,i,j} k^j 4^i v^i u^{n-2i} \right)$$
$$= \sum_{i,j} b_{n,i,j} k^j 4^i v^i \left( u^{n-2i+1} + 2ki u^{n-2i+1} + 4k(n-2i)v u^{n-2i-1} \right).$$

Equating the coefficients of  $k^j 4^i v^i u^{n+1-2i}$  in both sides of the above expression, we get the recurrence relation (9). Since  $D_{G_1}(I) = Iu$ , we see that  $b_{1,0,0} = 1$  and  $b_{1,i,j} = 0$  if  $(i,j) \neq (0,0)$ . Taking u = x + y, v = xy in (24) and then setting y = 1, we get (8).

(*iii*) Multiplying both sides of (9) by  $x^i q^j$  and summing over all *i* and *j*, we obtain

$$b_{n+1}(x,q) = (1 + nqx)b_n(x,q) + 2qx(1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial x}b_n(x,q).$$
 (25)

In particular,  $b_1(x,q) = 1$  and  $b_2(x,q) = 1 + qx$ . Set b = b(x,q,z). By rewriting (25) in terms of b, we have

$$(1 - qxz)\frac{\partial b}{\partial z} = b + 2qx(1 - x)\frac{\partial b}{\partial x}.$$
(26)

It is routine to verify that

$$\widetilde{b}(x,q,z) = \left(\frac{\sqrt{1-x}}{\sqrt{1-x}\cosh(qz\sqrt{1-x}) - \sinh(qz\sqrt{1-x})}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

satisfies (26). Also, this generating function gives  $\tilde{b}(x,q,0) = 1$  and  $\tilde{b}(0,q,z) = e^z$ . Hence  $\tilde{b}(x,q,z) = b(x,q,z)$ .

(*iv*) Assume that (10) holds for n. Let  $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ , and let  $\pi_i$  be an element of  $\mathfrak{S}_{n+1}$  obtained from  $\pi$  by inserting the entry n + 1 right after i if  $i \in [n]$  or as a new cycle (n+1) if i = n+1. It is clear that

$$\operatorname{cyc}(\pi_i) = \begin{cases} \operatorname{cyc}(\pi), & \text{if } i \in [n];\\ \operatorname{cyc}(\pi) + 1, & \text{if } i = n + 1. \end{cases}$$

Therefore, we have

$$b_{n+1}(x,q) = \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi_i)} q^{n+1-\operatorname{cyc}(\pi_i)}$$
  
=  $\sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} q^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)} + \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi_i)} q^{n+1-\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)}$   
=  $b_n(x,q) + \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \left( 2\operatorname{cpk}(\pi) x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} + (n-2\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)) x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)+1} \right) q^{n+1-\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)}$   
=  $b_n(x,q) + nqx b_n(x,q) + 2q(1-x) \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} \operatorname{cpk}(\pi) x^{\operatorname{cpk}(\pi)} q^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(\pi)},$ 

and (25) follows. Thus (10) holds for n + 1.

(v) Let G be the grammar given in Lemma 13. It follows from (19) that

$$D_G^n(I) = I \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{wexc}(w)} y^{n - \operatorname{wexc}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}.$$

Setting y = 1, we get  $D_G^n(I)|_{y=1} = IB_n^{(k)}(x)$ . Dumont [12] discovered that if

$$G_2 = \{x \to xy, y \to xy\},\$$

then we have

$$D_{G_2}^n(x) = x \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(\pi)} y^{n - \operatorname{exc}(\pi)} = x y^n A_n\left(\frac{x}{y}\right).$$
(27)

By using (27), it is easy to verify that for  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$D_{G}^{n}(x+y) = 2^{n+1}k^{n}x \sum_{\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_{n}} x^{\exp(\pi)}y^{n-\exp(\pi)} = 2^{n+1}k^{n}xy^{n}A_{n}\left(\frac{x}{y}\right).$$

It follows from Leibniz's rule (18) that for  $n \ge 1$ , we have

$$D_G^{n+1}(I) = \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{i} D_G^i(I) D_G^{n-i}(x+y)$$
  
=  $(x+y) D_G^n(I) + \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \binom{n}{i} D_G^i(I) D_G^{n-i}(x+y).$ 

Setting y = 1 in both sides of the above expression, we immediately get (11).

The electronic journal of combinatorics  $\mathbf{27(3)}$  (2020), #P3.27

### 4 Proof of Theorem 9

In this section we complete the proof of Theorem 9. A grammatical interpretation of the polynomial  $d_n^B(x)$  was given by [19, Theorem 11]. We now give a refinement of Lemma 13.

Lemma 15. If  $G_4 = \{I \rightarrow I(y+u), x \rightarrow 2kxy, y \rightarrow 2kxy, u \rightarrow 2kxy\}$ , then

$$D_{G_4}^n(I) = I \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\exp(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} u^{\operatorname{fix}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}.$$
 (28)

*Proof.* We now introduce a grammatical labeling of  $w \in B_n$  as follows:

- (L<sub>1</sub>) If w(i) < w(|w(i)|), then put a superscript label x right after w(i);
- (L<sub>2</sub>) If w(i) > w(|w(i)|) or  $w(i) = \overline{i}$ , then put a superscript label y right after w(i);
- $(L_3)$  If w(i) = i, then put a superscript label u right after w(i), i.e.,  $(i^u)$ ;
- $(L_4)$  Put a subscript label I right after w;
- $(L_5)$  Put a subscript label k just before every element of w except the first element in each cycle.

Then the weight of w is given by

$$Ix^{\operatorname{exc}(w)}y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)}u^{\operatorname{fix}(w)}k^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(w)}.$$

For n = 1, we have  $B_1 = \{(1^u)_I, (\overline{1}^y)_I\}$ . Note that  $D_{G_4}(I) = I(y+u)$ . Thus the sum of weights of the elements in  $B_1$  is given by  $D_{G_4}(I)$ . Hence the result holds for n = 1. We proceed by induction on n. Suppose that we get all labeled permutations in  $B_{n-1}$ , where  $n \ge 2$ . Let  $\widetilde{w}$  be obtained from  $w \in B_{n-1}$  by inserting n or  $\overline{n}$ . When the inserted n or  $\overline{n}$  forms a new cycle, the insertion corresponds to the substitution rule  $I \to I(y+u)$ . If i is a weak excedance of w, then the changes of labeling are illustrated as follows:

$$\cdots (i^{u}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (i^{x}_{k}n^{y}); \quad \cdots (i^{u}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{n}^{x}_{k}i^{y}) \cdots;$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (w(|w(i)|)_{k} \cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}n^{y});$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\cdots w(i)^{y}_{k}\overline{n}^{x}_{k}w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots$$

If i is an anti-excedance of w, then the changes of labeling are illustrated as follows:

$$\cdots (\overline{i}^{y}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{i}_{k}^{x} n^{y}); \quad \cdots (\overline{i}^{y}) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\overline{n}_{k}^{x} \overline{i}^{y}) \cdots;$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)_{k}^{y} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (w(|w(i)|)_{k} \cdots w(i)_{k}^{x} n^{y});$$
$$\cdots (\cdots w(i)_{k}^{y} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots \mapsto \cdots (\cdots w(i)_{k}^{y} \overline{n}_{k}^{x} w(|w(i)|) \cdots) \cdots$$

In each case, the insertion of n or  $\overline{n}$  corresponds to one substitution rule in  $G_4$ . By induction, it is routine to check that the action of  $D_{G_4}$  on elements of  $B_{n-1}$  generates all elements of  $B_n$ . This completes the proof.

15

We define

$$H_n(x, y, u; k) = \sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} u^{\operatorname{fix}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)},$$
$$H := H(x, y, u, z; k) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_n(x, y, u; k) \frac{z^n}{n!}.$$

Lemma 16. We have

$$H(x, y, u, z; k) = \left(\frac{(y-x)e^{kz(y+u-2x)}}{y-xe^{2kz(y-x)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}.$$
(29)

*Proof.* Since  $D_{G_4}^{n+1}(I) = D_{G_4}(IH_n(x, y, u; k))$ , it follows that

$$D_{G_4}^{n+1}(I) = I(y+u)H_n(x,y,u;k) + 2kxyI\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)H_n(x,y,u;k).$$

Thus  $H_{n+1}(x, y, u; k) = (y+u)H_n(x, y, u; k) + 2kxy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)H_n(x, y, u; k)$ . By rewriting this recurrence relation in terms of the generating function H, we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial z}H = (y+u)H + 2kxy\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}\right)H.$$
(30)

It is routine to check that the generating function

$$\widetilde{H}(x,y,u,z;k) = \left(\frac{(y-x)e^{kz(y+u-2x)}}{y-xe^{2kz(y-x)}}\right)^{\frac{1}{k}}$$

satisfies (30). Note that  $\widetilde{H}(x, y, u, 0; k) = 1$ ,  $\widetilde{H}(0, y, u, z; k) = e^{u+y}$  and  $\widetilde{H}(x, 0, u, z; k) = e^{uz}$ . Hence  $\widetilde{H}(x, y, u, z; k) = H(x, y, u, z; k)$ .

Let  $w \in B_n$  with exactly one fixed point. Suppose that  $\operatorname{cyc}(w) = k$  and  $w(\ell) = \ell$ , i.e.,  $\ell$  is the fixed point of w. Then the standard form of w can be written as  $w = C_1 C_2 \cdots C_k$ , where  $C_i = (c_{i1}, \cdots, c_{ij}), \ 1 \leq i \leq k$  and  $1 \leq j \leq n$ . The *reduction* of w is defined by

 $\operatorname{red}(w) = \operatorname{red}(C_1)\operatorname{red}(C_2)\cdots\operatorname{red}(C_k).$ 

If  $C_i = (\ell)$ , then red  $(C_i) = \emptyset$ , i.e., we delete the fixed point of w. If  $\#C_i \ge 2$ , then let red  $(C_i) = (\tilde{c}_{i1}, \cdots, \tilde{c}_{ij})$ . For  $1 \le s \le j$ , the elements  $\tilde{c}_{is}$  are defined as follows:

- If  $|c_{is}| < \ell$ , then  $\widetilde{c}_{is} = c_{is}$ ;
- If  $c_{is} > \ell$ , then  $\widetilde{c}_{is} = c_{is} 1$ ;
- If  $c_{is} < 0$  and  $|c_{is}| > \ell$ , then  $\widetilde{c}_{is} = c_{is} + 1$ .

It should be noted that  $red(w) \in B_{n-1}$  with no fixed points and the reduction map of w does not change the numbers of excedances and anti-excedances of w.

*Proof of Theorem 9.* Comparing (6) with (29), we immediately get (14). In the following, we shall prove (15). We first consider a change of the grammar given in Lemma 15. Note that  $D_{G_4}(I) = Iy + Iu$  and

$$D_{G_4}(Iy) = I(y^2 + yu + 2kxy) = Iy(x+y) + Iyu + (2k-1)Ixy.$$

Setting a = xy, b = x + y and c = Iy, we get  $D_{G_4}(a) = 2kab$ ,  $D_{G_4}(b) = 4ka$ ,

$$D_{G_4}(I) = c + uI, D_{G_4}(c) = (b + u)c + (2k - 1)aI, D_{G_4}(u) = 2ka.$$

Consider the grammar

$$G_5 = \{I \rightarrow c + uI, c \rightarrow (b+u)c + (2k-1)aI, u \rightarrow 2ka, a \rightarrow 2kab, b \rightarrow 4ka\}$$

Note that  $D_{G_5}(I) = c + Iu$  and  $D^2_{G_5}(I) = (b + 2u)c + (u^2 + (4k - 1)a)I$ . By induction, it is routine to verify that there exist nonnegative integers p(n, i, j; k) and q(n, i, j; k) such that

$$D_{G_5}^n(I) = \sum_{i=0}^n u^i \left( \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1-i}{2} \rfloor} p(n,i,j;k) a^j b^{n-1-i-2j} c + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-i}{2} \rfloor} q(n,i,j;k) a^j b^{n-i-2j} I \right).$$
(31)

Combining (31) and Lemma 15, we immediately obtain

$$\sum_{w \in B_n} x^{\operatorname{exc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} u^{\operatorname{fix}(w)} k^{n - \operatorname{cyc}(w)}$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=0}^n u^i \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1-i}{2} \rfloor} p(n,i,j;k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-1-i-2j} y +$   
 $\sum_{i=0}^n u^i \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-i}{2} \rfloor} q(n,i,j;k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-i-2j}.$ 

Since  $D_{G_5}(I) = c + Iu$ , we have p(1, 0, 0; k) = q(1, 1, 0; k) = 1, p(1, i, j; k) = 0 if  $(i, j) \neq 0$ (0,0) and q(1,i,j;k) = 0 if  $(i,j) \neq (1,0)$ . By induction, it is routine to verify that p(n, i, j; k) = q(n, i, j; k) = 0 if *i* and *j* are outside the bounds given in (31). Extracting the coefficients of  $a^{j}b^{n-2j}c$  and  $a^{j}b^{n+1-2j}I$  on both sides of the expression

$$D_{G_5}^{n+1}(I) = D_{G_5}\left(\sum_{i,j} p(n,i,j;k)u^i a^j b^{n-1-i-2j}c + \sum_{i,j} q(n,i,j;k)u^i a^j b^{n-i-2j}I\right),$$

we obtain the following recurrence system:

$$\begin{split} p(n+1,0,j;k) &= (1+2kj)p(n,0,j;k) + 4k(n-2j+1)p(n,0,j-1;k) + \\ & 2kp(n,1,j-1;k) + q(n,0,j;k), \\ q(n+1,0,j;k) &= 2kjq(n,0,j;k) + 4k(n-2j+2)q(n,0,j-1;k) + 2kq(n,1,j-1;k) + \\ & (2k-1)p(n,0,j-1;k). \end{split}$$

Let  $w \in B_n$  and fix (w) = 1. Then exc (w) + aexc (w) = n - fix (w) = n - 1. Recall that we always write w in standard cycle decomposition. Since w has only one fixed point, there are n choices for the fixed point of w. For the numbers p(n, i, j; k) and q(n, i, j; k), by comparing (28) with (31), we see that the index i only marks the number of fixed points, and the index j only depends on the numbers of excedances and anti-excedances. Let w' be the reduction of w. Then  $w' \in B_{n-1}$ , cyc (w') = cyc (w) - 1 and fix (w') = 0. Moreover, we have exc (w) = exc (w') and aexc (w) = aexc (w'). By using the properties of the reduction map, we get

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in B_n \\ \mathbf{x}(w)=1}} x^{\operatorname{exc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} k^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(w)} = n \sum_{\substack{w' \in B_{n-1} \\ \operatorname{fix}(w')=0}} x^{\operatorname{exc}(w')} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w')} k^{n-1-\operatorname{cyc}(w')}.$$
(32)

By using (31), we see that

fi

$$\sum_{\substack{w \in B_n \\ \text{fix}(w)=1}} x^{\operatorname{exc}(w)} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w)} k^{n-\operatorname{cyc}(w)}$$
  
=  $\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} p(n, 1, j; k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-2-2j} y + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q(n, 1, j; k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-1-2j},$ 

$$\sum_{\substack{w' \in B_{n-1} \\ \text{fix}(w') = 0}} x^{\exp(w')} y^{\operatorname{aexc}(w')} k^{n-1-\operatorname{cyc}(w')}$$
  
= 
$$\sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor - 1} p(n-1,0,j;k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-2-2j} y + \sum_{j=0}^{\lfloor \frac{n-1}{2} \rfloor} q(n-1,0,j;k) (xy)^j (x+y)^{n-1-2j}.$$

Replacing j with j - 1 while adjusting the bounds of summation, and then equating appropriate coefficients yields the following relations:

$$p(n, 1, j - 1; k) = np(n - 1, 0, j - 1; k), \ q(n, 1, j - 1; k) = nq(n - 1, 0, j - 1; k).$$

Therefore, by setting p(n, 0, j; k) = p(n, j; k) and q(n, 0, j; k) = q(n, j; k), we obtain the recurrence system of the numbers p(n, j; k) and q(n, j; k).

Setting u = 0 in (31) and then taking a = x, b = 1+x and c = I, we get the symmetric decomposition of the polynomials  $d_n^B(x;k)$ . Clearly, when  $k \ge 1/2$ , the numbers p(n, j; k) and q(n, j; k) are nonnegative, and so the polynomials  $d_n^B(x; k)$  are bi- $\gamma$ -positive. This completes the proof.

#### Acknowledgements

The authors appreciate the careful review, corrections and helpful suggestions to this paper made by the referee.

THE ELECTRONIC JOURNAL OF COMBINATORICS 27(3) (2020), #P3.27

### References

- C.A. Athanasiadis. Gamma-positivity in combinatorics and geometry. Sém. Lothar. Combin., 77:Article B77i, 2018.
- [2] M. Beck, A. Stapledon. On the log-concavity of Hilbert series of Veronese subrings and Ehrhart series. *Math. Z.*, 264:195–207, 2010.
- [3] P. Brändén, L. Solus. Symmetric decompositions and real-rootedness. Int Math. Res Notices, rnz059, 2019.
- [4] F. Brenti. Unimodal polynomials arising from symmetric functions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 108:1133–1141, 1990.
- [5] F. Brenti. q-Eulerian polynomials arising from Coxeter groups. European J. Combin., 15:417–441, 1994.
- [6] F. Brenti. A class of q-symmetric functions arising from plethysm. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 91:137–170, 2000.
- [7] T.-W. Chao, J. Ma, S.-M. Ma, Y.-N. Yeh. 1/k-Eulerian polynomials and k-inversion sequences. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 26(3):#P3.35, 2019.
- [8] W.Y.C. Chen. Context-free grammars, differential operators and formal power series. *Theoret. Comput. Sci.*, 117:113–129, 1993.
- [9] W.Y.C. Chen, R.L. Tang, A.F.Y. Zhao. Derangement polynomials and excedances of type B. Electron. J. Combin., 16(2): #R15, 2009.
- [10] W.Y.C. Chen, A.M. Fu. Context-free grammars for permutations and increasing trees. Adv. in Appl. Math., 82:58–82, 2017.
- [11] C.-O. Chow. On derangement polynomials of type B, II. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 116:816–830, 2009.
- [12] D. Dumont. Grammaires de William Chen et dérivations dans les arbres et arborescences. Sém. Lothar. Combin., 37: Art. B37a 1–21, 1996.
- [13] D. Foata, M. P. Schützenberger. Théorie géometrique des polynômes eulériens. Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 138, Springer, Berlin, 1970.
- [14] D. Foata, V. Strehl. Euler numbers and variations of permutations in *Colloquio Internazionale sulle Teorie Combinatorie* (Roma, 1973), Tomo I, Atti dei Convegni Lincei, No. 17, Accad. Naz. Lincei, Rome, pp. 119–131, 1976.
- [15] S.R. Gal. Real root conjecture fails for five and higher-dimensional spheres. Discrete Comput. Geom., 34:269–284, 2005.
- [16] Z. Lin, J. Zeng. The γ-positivity of basic Eulerian polynomials via group actions. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 135:112–129, 2015.
- [17] Lily L. Liu, Yi Wang. A unified approach to polynomial sequences with only real zeros. Adv. in Appl. Math., 38:542–560, 2007.
- [18] S.-M. Ma, T. Mansour. The 1/k-Eulerian polynomials and k-Stirling permutations. Discrete Math., 338:1468–1472, 2015.

- [19] S.-M. Ma, J. Ma, Y.-N. Yeh, B.-X. Zhu. Context-free grammars for several polynomials associated with Eulerian polynomials. *Electron. J. Combin.*, 25(1):#P1.31, 2018.
- [20] S.-M. Ma, J. Ma, Y.-N. Yeh. γ-positivity and partial γ-positivity of descent-type polynomials. J. Combin. Theory Ser. A, 167:257–293, 2019.
- [21] T.K. Petersen. Enriched P-partitions and peak algebras. Adv. Math., 209(2):561–610, 2007.
- [22] D.P. Roselle. Permutations by number of rises and successions. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 19:8–16, 1968.
- [23] C.D. Savage, G. Viswanathan. The 1/k-Eulerian polynomials. Electron J. Combin., 19(1):#P9, 2012.
- [24] H. Shin, J. Zeng. The symmetric and unimodal expansion of Eulerian polynomials via continued fractions. *European J. Combin.*, 33:111–127, 2012.
- [25] H. Shin, J. Zeng. Symmetric unimodal expansions of excedances in colored permutations. *European J. Combin.*, 52: 174–196, 2016.
- [26] N.J.A. Sloane. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences, published electronically at http://oeis.org, 2010.
- [27] R.P. Stanley. A survey of alternating permutations. Contemp. Math., 531 (2010), 165–196.
- [28] J. Stembridge. Enriched P-partitions. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 349(2):763-788, 1997.
- [29] Y. Zhuang. Eulerian polynomials and descent statistics. Adv. in Appl. Math., 90:86– 144, 2017.