Two results on Ramsey-Turán numbers

Meng Liu*

School of Mathematical Sciences Anhui University Hefei, China

liumeng@ahu.edu.cn

Yusheng Li[†]

School of Mathematical Sciences Tongji University Shanghai, China

li_yusheng@tongji.edu.cn

Submitted: Nov 13, 2019; Accepted: Jul 20, 2021; Published: Oct 8, 2021 © The authors. Released under the CC BY-ND license (International 4.0).

Abstract

Let f(n) be a positive function and H a graph. Denote by $\mathbf{RT}(n,H,f(n))$ the maximum number of edges of an H-free graph on n vertices with independence number less than f(n). It is shown that $\mathbf{RT}(n,K_4+mK_1,o(\sqrt{n\log n}))=o(n^2)$ for any fixed integer $m\geqslant 1$ and $\mathbf{RT}(n,C_{2m+1},f(n))=O(f^2(n))$ for any fixed integer $m\geqslant 2$ as $n\to\infty$.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C55, 05D10

1 Introduction

For a graph G, let v(G) and e(G) be the number of vertices and edges of G, respectively, and let $\alpha(G)$ be the independence number of G. For graphs F, G and H, call G to be H-free if G does not contain H as a subgraph. Define R(F, H) the minimum integer N such that any red/blue edge-coloring of K_N contains a red F or a blue H. The Turán number ex(n; H) is defined as the maximum e(G) of an H-free graph G with v(G) = n. The celebrated Erdős-Stone-Simonovits theorem shows that the asymptotic behavior of ex(n; H) is determined by the chromatic number $\chi(H)$.

Theorem 1 ([9, 10]). Let H be a graph with $\chi(H) = k \geqslant 2$. Then

$$ex(n;H) = \left(\frac{k-2}{k-1} + o(1)\right) \binom{n}{2} \tag{1}$$

as $n \to \infty$.

^{*}Supported by NSFC (11901001)

[†]Supported by NSFC (11871377,11931002)

For a graph H and positive integers n and m, the Ramsey-Turán number $\mathbf{RT}(n,H,m)$ is defined as

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, H, m) = \max\{e(G) : G \text{ is } H\text{-free with } v(G) = n \text{ and } \alpha(G) < m\}. \tag{2}$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, m)$ is non-decreasing on m. The study of Ramsey-Turán numbers was introduced by Sós [21]. It was motivated by the classical theorems of Ramsey and Turán and their connections to geometry, analysis, and number theory. Ramsey-Turán theory has attracted a great deal of attention over the last 40 years, see, e.g, [3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 11, 12, 15, 18, 22, 23], and a survey by Simonovits and Sós [20].

Sometimes we want to consider the case when the bound f(n) on $\alpha(G_n)$ is in form of o(g(n)). Namely, we shall consider $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n)))$ or $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, g(n)/w_n)$, where the function $w_n \to \infty$ slowly and arbitrarily.

A further notation is as follows. If $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, f(n)) \leq cn^2 + o(n^2)$ for every f(n) = o(g(n)), then we write $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n))) \leq cn^2 + o(n^2)$. If $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, f(n)) \geq cn^2 + o(n^2)$ for some f(n) = o(g(n)), then we write $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n))) \geq cn^2 + o(n^2)$. When both inequalities become equalities, we write $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n))) = cn^2 + o(n^2)$. Note that $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n))) \leq o(n^2)$ is equivalent to $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, o(g(n))) = o(n^2)$.

Definition 2. Let H be a graph and f a positive function. Define

$$\overline{\rho \tau}(H, f) = \overline{\lim_{n \to \infty}} \frac{\mathbf{RT}(n, H, f(n))}{n^2}$$

and

$$\underline{\rho\tau}(H,f) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\mathbf{RT}(n,H,f(n))}{n^2}.$$

If $\overline{\rho\tau}(H,f) = \underline{\rho\tau}(H,f)$, then we write the common value as $\rho\tau(H,f)$ and call it the Ramsey-Turán density of H with respect to f.

We try to understand that for a given graph H and large n, when we can observe crucial drops in the value of $\mathbf{RT}(n,H,m)$ while m is changing continuously from n to 2? In other words, we try to understand the asymptotic behavior of $\mathbf{RT}(n,H,f(n))$ when we replace f(n) by a smaller g(n).

Definition 3. Given a graph H and two functions f and g with f(n) > g(n), we shall say that H has a phase transition from f to g if $\rho \tau(H, f) > \overline{\rho \tau}(H, g)$.

Trivially, $\mathbf{RT}(n, K_3, o(n)) = o(n^2)$ since a K_3 -free graph G with v(G) = n has maximum degree $\Delta(G) \leq \alpha(G)$. A celebrated result of Szemerédi [23], Bollobás and Erdős [4] is

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, K_4, o(n)) = \left(\frac{1}{8} + o(1)\right) n^2.$$

To clarify, the above result says that every K_4 -free graph G with v(G) = n and $\alpha(G) = o(n)$ has $e(G) \leq (1/8 + o(1))n^2$, and the bound is sharp. It is natural to ask whether or not $\mathbf{RT}(n, K_4, n^{1-\epsilon})$ is $\Theta(n^2)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$? A negative answer to this question was given by Sudakov [22]. Note that for any $\epsilon > 0$, the function $f(n) = e^{-\omega\sqrt{\log n}}n > n^{1-\epsilon}$ if $\omega = \omega_n < \epsilon\sqrt{\log n}$.

Theorem 4 ([22]). Let $f(n) = e^{-\omega\sqrt{\log n}}n$, where $\sqrt{\log n} \geqslant \omega \to \infty$. Then

$$RT(n, K_4, f(n)) < e^{-\omega^2/2}n^2$$

for large n.

Let us define q(3, n) as

$$q(3,n) = \min\{\alpha(G) : G \text{ is } K_3\text{-free and } v(G) = n\}.$$
(3)

Then $q(3,n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$ from [1, 14, 19]. The function $\sqrt{n \log n}$ plays an important role in Ramsey-Turán theory. The orders of magnitude of ex(n; H) with $\chi(H) \ge 3$ are all n^2 , among which K_3 has the minimum v(H). From definition of $\mathbf{RT}(n, H, m)$ in (2) and that of q(3,n) in (3), and the fact $q(3,n) = \Theta(\sqrt{n \log n})$, we may say that an important phase transition of H with $\chi(H) \ge 3$ is that from $\sqrt{n \log n}$ to $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

It is known that [20]

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, K_5, \sqrt{n \log n}) = \mathbf{RT}(n, K_6, \sqrt{n \log n}) = \left(\frac{1}{4} + o(1)\right) n^2. \tag{4}$$

By answering a question of Erdős and Sós in [11], Balogh, Hu and Simonovits [3] proved the following result.

Theorem 5 ([3]). As $n \to \infty$, it holds

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, K_5, o(\sqrt{n\log n})) = o(n^2). \tag{5}$$

So by (4) and (5) we know that K_5 has a phase transition from $\sqrt{n \log n}$ to $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$. But the problem for K_6 on the same phase transition is still open.

Problem 6. Whether or not

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, K_6, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = o(n^2)?$$

For vertex disjoint graphs G and H, let G + H be the joint of G and H obtained from G and H by adding new edges connecting G and H completely. In this note, we shall make a small step to solve Problem 6. Our main result is as follows, in which $K_4 + K_1$ for m = 1 is K_5 in (5).

Theorem 7. Let $m \ge 1$ be an integer. Then

$$\mathbf{RT}(n, K_4 + mK_1, o(\sqrt{n\log n})) = o(n^2)$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Denote by $K_6^- = K_4 + 2K_1$, which is the graph obtained also from K_6 by dropping an edge. We shall list the results in [20] on Ramsey-Turán density of K_5 , K_6^- , K_6 in the following table.

function\ graph	K_5	K_6^-	K_6
n	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{3}{8}$	$\frac{2}{5}$
o(n)	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{2}{7}$
$\sqrt{n \log n}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$	$\frac{1}{4}$
$o(\sqrt{n\log n})$	0	0	$\leq \frac{1}{6}$

Table 1: Ramsey-Turán density of K_5, K_6^-, K_6

Theorem 7 shows that $\mathbf{RT}(n, K_6^-, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = o(n^2)$, which and a result in Table 1 tells us that K_6^- has a phase transition from $\sqrt{n \log n}$ to $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

Corollary 8. The graph K_6^- has a phase transition from $\sqrt{n \log n}$ to $o(\sqrt{n \log n})$.

We also have a result on odd cycle C_{2m+1} of length 2m+1.

Theorem 9. Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer. If $n \to \infty$, then

$$RT(n, C_{2m+1}, f(n)) = O(f^{2}(n)).$$

Remark 1 It is easy to see that $\mathbf{RT}(n, C_{2m+1}, n) = ex(n; C_{2m+1}) = (\frac{1}{4} + o(1))n^2$ by Theorem 1. Thus Theorem 9 shows that C_{2m+1} has a phase transition from n to o(n). Let us point out that $\mathbf{RT}(n, \{C_3, C_5, C_7\}, s) \leq s^2$ appeared in [18] (Lemma 7.1).

Remark 2 It should be remarked that m in Theorem 7 can be replaced by some ω_n by careful analysis.

2 Proofs of Main results

The Dependent Random Choice is a method developed by Füredi, Gowers, Kostochka, Rödl, Sudakov, and possibly others. The method is powerful for many problems, which is a "random double counting" in some sense. The next lemma is taken from Alon, Krivelevich and Sudakov [2]. Interested readers may check the survey on this method by Fox and Sudakov [13].

Lemma 10. Let ℓ, r be positive integers. Let G = (V, E) be a graph with n vertices and average degree d = 2e(G)/n. Then for any positive integer t, there exists a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ with

$$|U| \geqslant \frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^t,$$

such that every r vertices in U have at least ℓ common neighbours.

Note that Lemma 10 makes sense only if

$$|U| \geqslant r$$
, and $\frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^t > 0$.

We need another lemma [16] for the proof of Theorem 7.

Lemma 11. Let $B_m = K_2 + mK_1$. If $m \ge 1$ and $n \ge 3$, then

$$R(B_m, K_n) \leqslant \frac{mn^2}{\log(n/e)}.$$

Proof of Theorem 7. Let $\omega_n \to \infty$ slowly and arbitrarily be a function and $\epsilon_n = \frac{\log \omega_n}{\omega_n}$. To show $\mathbf{RT}(n, K_4 + mK_1, \sqrt{n \log n}/\omega_n) \leqslant \epsilon_n n^2$, we shall show that if G is a $(K_4 + mK_1)$ -free graph on n vertices with $\alpha(G) < \sqrt{n \log n}/\omega_n$, then $e(G) \leqslant \epsilon_n n^2$.

Suppose to the contrary, there is a $(K_4 + mK_1)$ -free graph G = (V, E) on n vertices with

$$e(G) \geqslant \epsilon_n n^2$$
 and $\alpha(G) < \sqrt{n \log n} / \omega_n$,

and we shall find a contradiction.

Applying Lemma 10 to G with $d=2\epsilon_n n,\ r=2,\ t=\frac{\log n}{\omega_n},\ \ell=\frac{4mn}{\omega_n^2}$ and noting that

$$\log\left((2\epsilon_n)^t\cdot n\right) \sim \log n,$$

and

$$\log\left(\frac{n^2}{2}\cdot\left(\frac{4m}{\omega_n^2}\right)^t\right) = o(\log n),$$

and

$$\log\left(\sqrt{n\log n}/\omega_n\right) \sim \frac{1}{2}\log n,$$

we know that there exists a subset $U \subseteq V(G)$ with

$$|U| \geqslant \frac{d^t}{n^{t-1}} - \binom{n}{r} \left(\frac{\ell}{n}\right)^t$$
$$\geqslant (2\epsilon_n)^t \cdot n - \frac{n^2}{2} \cdot \left(\frac{4m}{\omega_n^2}\right)^t$$
$$> \sqrt{n \log n}/\omega_n$$

for all large n, such that all subsets of U of size 2 have at least $\frac{4mn}{\omega_n^2}$ common neighbours. Noting that $|U| > \sqrt{n \log n}/\omega_n$ and $\alpha(G) < \sqrt{n \log n}/\omega_n$, we know that G[U] must have an edge. Assume $u_0v_0 \in G[U]$. Now we shall construct a $K_4 + mK_1$ as follows. As we know $|N(u_0) \cap N(v_0)| \geqslant \frac{4mn}{\omega_n^2}$, by Lemma 11, we know either $G[N(u_0) \cap N(v_0)]$ contains an independent set of size at least

$$(1 - o(1))\sqrt{\frac{1}{2m} \cdot \frac{4mn}{\omega_n^2} \log\left(\frac{4mn}{\omega_n^2}\right)} > \frac{\sqrt{n\log n}}{\omega_n} > \alpha(G),$$

or $B_m \subset G[N(u_0, v_0)]$, which yields $K_4 + mK_1$ in G, a contradiction.

Proof of Theorem 9 In order to prove Theorem 9, we need the following well-known result, which was proved by Chvatál [5].

Theorem 12 ([5]). Let T_m be a tree of order m. Then the Ramsey number

$$R(T_m, K_n) = (m-1)(n-1) + 1.$$

We also need the following lemma, which plays the key role in the proof.

Lemma 13 ([17]). Let $m \ge 2$ be an integer and let a graph G = (V, E) be C_{2m+1} -free. Then

$$\alpha(G) \geqslant \frac{1}{(2m-1)2^{(m-1)/m}} \left(\sum_{v \in V} d(v)^{1/(m-1)} \right)^{(m-1)/m},$$

where d(v) is the degree of v in G.

Proof To avoid the triviality we may assume that $f(n) \leq n$. To show Theorem 9, we shall show that any graph G on n vertices which is C_{2m+1} -free and $\alpha(G) < f(n)$ has at most $O(f^2(n))$ edges.

For m=2, the assertion is clear since

$$f(n) > \alpha(G) \geqslant \frac{1}{3\sqrt{2}} \left(\sum_{v \in V} d(v) \right)^{1/2} = \left(\frac{nd}{18} \right)^{1/2},$$

where d is the average degree of G. It follows that $e(G) = \frac{nd}{2} \leq 9f^2(n)$.

In the following, we shall suppose $m \ge 3$ and separate the proof into two cases.

Case 1. The maximum degree $\Delta(G)$ of the graph G satisfies $\Delta(G) > \sqrt{nd}$, i.e., there is some vertex v such that $d(v) > \sqrt{nd}$. As the neighborhood N(v) of v contains no path P_{2m} of order 2m, it follows from Theorem 12 that

$$f(n) > \alpha(G) \geqslant \frac{d(v)}{2m} > \frac{\sqrt{nd}}{2m},$$

and thus $e(G) = \frac{nd}{2} \leqslant 2m^2 f^2(n)$.

Case 2. $\Delta(G) \leq \sqrt{nd}$. For every vertex v we have

$$d(v)^{1/(m-1)} \geqslant \frac{d(v)}{\Delta(G)^{(m-2)/(m-1)}}.$$

Together with Lemma 13 and $\Delta(G) \leqslant \sqrt{nd} = \sqrt{2e(G)}$, this yields

$$\begin{split} \alpha(G) & \geqslant & \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V} \frac{d(v)}{\Delta(G)^{(m-2)/(m-1)}} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \\ & \geqslant & \frac{1}{2m-1} \left(\frac{e(G)}{(2e(G))^{(m-2)/(2m-2)}} \right)^{(m-1)/m} \geqslant \frac{\sqrt{e(G)}}{\sqrt{8} \cdot m}, \end{split}$$

whence $e(G) \leq 8m^2\alpha(G)^2$. Hence $e(G) = O(f^2(n))$ that completes the proof.

3 Conclusions

It was shown [16] that $R(K_1 + T_m, K_n) \leq \frac{(2m-3)n^2}{\log(n/e)}$ for all $m \geq 2$ and $n \geq 3$, thus Theorem 7 can be generalized to $\mathbf{RT}(n, K_3 + T_m, o(\sqrt{n \log n})) = o(n^2)$.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the editor Professor Böettcher and reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions which improve the presentations of the results greatly. In particular, one of the reviewers gave the proof in case 2 of the proof of Theorem 9, which simplifies the original proof in the manuscript.

References

- [1] M. Ajtai, J. Komlós, and E. Szemerédi. A note on Ramsey numbers. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A*, 29:354–360, 1980.
- [2] N. Alon, M. Krivelevich, and B. Sudakov. Turán numbers of bipartite graphs and related Ramsey-type questions. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 12:477–494, 2003.
- [3] J. Balogh, P. Hu, and M. Simonovits. Phase transitions in Ramsey-Turán theory. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 114:148–169, 2015.
- [4] B. Bollobás and P. Erdős. On a Ramsey-Turán type problem. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 21:166–168, 1976.
- [5] V. Chvatál. Tree-complete graph Ramsey numbers. J. Graph Theory, 1:93, 1977.
- [6] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, M. Simonovits, V. Sós, and E. Szemerédi. Turán-Ramsey theorems and simple asymptotically extremal structures. *Combinatorica*, 13:31–56, 1993.
- [7] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, M. Simonovits, V. Sós, and E. Szemerédi. Turán-Ramsey theorems and K_p -independence numbers. *Combin. Probab. Comput.*, 3:297–325, 1994.
- [8] P. Erdős, A. Hajnal, V. Sós, and E. Szemerédi. More results on Ramsey-Turán type problems. *Combinatorica*, 3:69–81, 1983.
- [9] P. Erdős and M. Simonovits. A limit theorem in graph theory. Studia Sci. Math. Hung., 1:51–57, 1966.
- [10] P. Erdős and A. Stone. On the structure of linear graphs. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 5:1087–1091, 1946.
- [11] P. Erdős and V. Sós. Some remarks on Ramsey's and Turán's theorem, in: Combinatorial Theory and Its Applications, II. Proc. Collog., Balatonfred, 1969, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 395–404, 1970.
- [12] J. Fox, P. Loh, and Y. Zhao. The critical window for the classical Ramsey-Turán problem. *Combinatorica*, 35:435–476, 2015.
- [13] J. Fox and B. Sudakov. Dependent random choice. Random Struc. Algo., 38:68–99, 2011.

- [14] J. Kim. The Ramsey number R(3,t) has order of magnitude $t^2/\log t$. Random Struc. Algo., 7:173–207, 1995.
- [15] J. Kim, Y. Kim, and H. Liu. Two conjectures in Ramsey-Turán theory. SIAM J. Discrete Math., 33:564-586, 2019.
- [16] Y. Li and C. Rousseau. On book-complete graph Ramsey numbers. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 68:36–44, 1996.
- [17] Y. Li and W. Zang. The independence number of graphs with a forbidden cycle and Ramsey numbers. J. Comb. Optim., 7:353–359, 2003.
- [18] C. Lüders and C. Reiher. The Ramsey-Turán problem for cliques. *Israel J. Math.*, 230:613–652, 2019.
- [19] J. Shearer. A note on the independence number of triangle-free graphs. *Discrete Math.*, 46:83–87, 1983.
- [20] M. Simonovits and V. Sós. Ramsey-Turán theory, in: Combinatorics, Graph Theory, Algorithms and Applications. *Discrete Math.*, 229:293–340, 2001.
- [21] V. Sós. On extremal problems in graph theory, in: Combinatorial Structures and Their Applications. *Proc. Calgary Internat. Conf.*, Calgary, Alta., 1969, Gordon and Breach, New York, 407–410, 1970.
- [22] B. Sudakov. A few remarks on Ramsey-Turán-type problems. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B*, 88:99–106, 2003.
- [23] E. Szemerédi. On graphs containing no complete subgraph with 4 vertices. *Mat. Lapok*, 23:113–116, 1973.