On well-connected sets of strings

Peter Frankl* János Pach†

Rényi Institute
POB 127 Budapest, 1364 Hungary

peter.frankl@gmail.com pach@cims.nyu.edu

Submitted: Mar 8, 2021; Accepted: Feb 7, 2022; Published: Mar 25, 2022

Abstract

Given n sets $X_1, \dots, X_n$, we call the elements of $S = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ *strings*. A nonempty set of strings $W \subseteq S$ is said to be *well-connected* if for every $v \in W$ and for every $i\,(1 \leqslant i \leqslant n)$, there is another element $v' \in W$ which differs from v only in its ith coordinate. We prove a conjecture of Yaokun Wu and Yanzhen Xiong by showing that every set of more than $\prod_{i=1}^{n} |X_i| - \prod_{i=1}^{n}(|X_i| - 1)$ strings has a well-connected subset. This bound is tight.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C88, 05C89

1 Introduction

Let $X_1, \dots, X_n$ be pairwise disjoint sets with $|X_i| = d_i > 1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$. Let

$$S = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n) : x_i \in X_i \text{ for every } i \in [n]\}$$

be the set of *strings* $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$, where x_i is called the ith coordinate of x and $[n] = \{1, \dots, n\}$.

A subset $W \subseteq S$ is called *well-connected* if for every $x \in W$ and for every $i \in [n]$, there is another element $x' \in W$ which differs from x only in its ith coordinate. That is, $x'_j \neq x_j$ if and only if $j = i$.

The following statement was conjectured by Yaokun Wu and Yanzhen Xiong [4].

*Partially supported by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in the framework of MegaGrant 075-15-2019-1926.

†Partially supported by ERC Advanced Grant GeoScape, NKFIH (Hungarian National Research, Development and Innovation Office) grant K-131529, and by the Ministry of Education and Science of the Russian Federation in the framework of MegaGrant 075-15-2019-1926.

Theorem 1. *Let T be a subset of $S = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ with $|X_i| = d_i > 1$ for every $i \in [n]$. If*

$$|T| > \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - 1),$$

then T has a nonempty well-connected subset. This bound cannot be improved.

To see the tightness of the theorem, fix an element y_i in each X_i and let $X'_i = X_i \setminus \{y_i\}$. We claim that the set of strings

$$T_0 = (X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n) \setminus (X'_1 \times \cdots \times X'_n) \tag{1}$$

does not have any nonempty well-connected subset. Suppose for contradiction that there is such a subset $W \subseteq T_0$, and let $x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)$ be an element of W with the minimum number of coordinates i for which $x_i = y_i$ holds. Obviously, this minimum is positive, otherwise $x \notin T_0$. Pick an integer k with $x_k = y_k$. Using the assumption that W is well-connected, we obtain that there exists $x' \in W$ that differs from x only in its kth coordinate. However, then x' would have one fewer coordinates with $x_i = y_i$ than x does, contradicting the minimality of x.

In the next section, we establish a result somewhat stronger than Theorem 1: we prove that under the conditions of Theorem 1, T also has a subset W such that for every $x \in W$ and $i \in [n]$, the number of elements $x' \in W$ which differ from x only in its ith coordinate is *odd* (see Theorem 6). In Section 3, we present a self-contained argument which proves this stronger statement.

Shortly after learning about our proof of the conjecture of Wu and Xiong, another proof was found by Chengyang Qian.

2 Exact sequence of maps

In this section, we introduce the necessary definitions and terminology, and we apply a basic topological property of simplicial complexes to establish Theorem 1. We will assume throughout, without loss of generality, that the sets X_i are pairwise disjoint.

For every k $(0 \leqslant k \leqslant n)$, let

$$S_k = \{A \subseteq X_1 \cup \ldots \cup X_n : |A| = k \text{ and } |A \cap X_i| \leqslant 1 \text{ for every } i\}.$$

Clearly, we have $|S_n| = |S| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} |X_i|$. With a slight abuse of notation, we identify S_n with S. The set system $\cup_{k=0}^{n} S_k$ is an *abstract simplicial complex*, that is, for each of its elements A, every subset of A also belongs to $\cup_{k=0}^{n} S_k$. This simplicial complex has a geometric realization in $\mathbb{R}^{2n-1}$, where every element A is represented by an $(|A| - 1)$-dimensional simplex. (See [1], part II, Section 9 or [3], Section 1.5. Note that not all textbooks consider the empty set a -1-dimensional simplex, but we do.)

Assign to each $A \in S_k$ a different symbol v_A, and define V_k as the family of all formal sums of these symbols with coefficients 0 or 1. Then

$$V_k = \{\sum_{A \in S_k} \lambda_A v_A : \lambda_A = 0 \text{ or } 1\}$$

can be regarded as a vector space over GF(2) whose dimension satisfies

$$\dim V_k = |S_k| = \sum_{1 \leqslant j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_k \leqslant n} d_{j_1} d_{j_2} \cdot \ldots \cdot d_{j_k}. \tag{2}$$

We use the standard definition of the *boundary operations* ∂_k. (See [2], Section 2.1.) Informally, the boundary of each $(k-1)$-dimensional simplex that corresponds to a member $A \in S_k$ consists of all $(k-2)$-dimensional simplices corresponding to $(k-1)$-element subsets $B \subset A$. This definition naturally extends to any collection ("chain") of $(k-1)$-dimensional simplices that correspond to members of S_k, with multiplicities taken modulo 2.

Definition 2. Let $\partial_0 : V_0 \to 0$. For every $k \in [n]$ and every $A \in S_k$, let

$$\partial_k(v_A) = \sum_{\substack{B \subset A \\ |B| = k-1}} v_B.$$

Extend this map to a homomorphism $\partial_k : V_k \to V_{k-1}$ by setting

$$\partial_k\Big(\sum_{A \in S_k} \lambda_A v_A\Big) = \sum_{A \in S_k} \lambda_A \partial_k(v_A),$$

where the sum is taken over GF(2).

Let $\ker(\partial_k) \subseteq V_k$ and $\mathrm{im}(\partial_k) \subseteq V_{k-1}$ denote the *kernel* and the *image* of ∂_k, respectively. Our proof is based on the following lemma.

Lemma 3. *The sequence of homomorphisms* $V_n \xrightarrow{\partial_n} V_{n-1} \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \ldots \xrightarrow{\partial_1} V_0 \xrightarrow{\partial_0} 0$ *is an* exact sequence*, i.e.,* $\mathrm{im}(\partial_k) = \ker(\partial_{k-1})$ *holds for every* $k \in [n]$.

Proof. Before proving the statement, we show that $\mathrm{im}(\partial_k) \subseteq \ker(\partial_{k-1})$ for every $k \in [n]$. The statement is obviously true for $k = 1$. If $k \geqslant 2$, then for every $A \in S_k$, we have

$$\partial_{k-1}\partial_k v_A = \sum_{\substack{B \subset A \\ |B| = k-1}} \sum_{\substack{C \subset B \\ |C| = k-2}} v_C = \sum_{\substack{C \subset A \\ |C| = k-2}} 2v_C = 0.$$

Thus, $\partial_{k-1}\partial_k(v) = 0$ for every $v \in V_k$, as claimed. In fact, the containment $\mathrm{im}(\partial_k) \subseteq \ker(\partial_{k-1})$ holds for *every* simplicial complex.

We prove that in our case, all the above containments hold with equality. For every $i \in [n]$, let K_i denote the 0-dimensional abstract simplicial complex consisting of the 1-element subsets of X_i and the empty set. Consider now their *join* $K = K_1 * \ldots * K_n$; see [2], Chapter 0. By definition, K is the same as the simplicial complex $\cup_{i=0}^n S_i$.

Let $j \geqslant -1$ be an integer. We need three well-known properties of the notion of *j-connectedness* of complexes; see Proposition 4.4.3 in [3].

(i) A complex is -1-connected if and only if it contains a nonempty simplex.

(ii) If K_1 is a-connected and K_2 is b-connected, then their join $K_1 * K_2$ is $(a+b-2)$-connected.

(iii) If a complex is j-connected, then $\text{im}(\partial_k) = \ker(\partial_{k-1})$ holds for every $k,\ 1 \leqslant k \leqslant j+2$.

In our case, each X_i is nonempty, hence, by property (i), each K_i is -1-connected. By repeated application of (ii), we obtain that $K = K_1 * \ldots * K_n$ is $(n-2)$-connected. In view of (iii), this implies that $\text{im}(\partial_k) = \ker(\partial_{k-1})$ for every $k \in [n]$, as required. □

Corollary 4. *For every k $(0 \leqslant k \leqslant n)$, we have* $\dim \ker(\partial_k) = \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\dim V_i$.

Proof. By induction on k. According to the Rank Nullity Theorem, we have

$$\dim V_i = \dim \ker(\partial_i) + \dim \text{im}(\partial_i), \tag{3}$$

for every $i \leqslant n$. Since $\dim V_0 = 1$ and $\dim \text{im}(\partial_0) = \dim 0 = 0$, the corollary is true for $k = 0$.

Assume we have already verified it for some $k < n$. To show that it is also true for $k+1$, we use that $\dim \text{im}(\partial_{k+1}) = \dim \ker(\partial_k)$, by Lemma 3. Plugging this into (3) with $i = k+1$, we obtain

$$\dim V_{k+1} = \dim \ker(\partial_{k+1}) + \dim \ker(\partial_k).$$

Hence, using the induction hypothesis, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\dim \ker(\partial_{k+1}) &= \dim V_{k+1} - \dim \ker(\partial_k)\\ &= \dim V_{k+1} - \sum_{i=0}^{k}(-1)^{k-i}\dim V_i = \sum_{i=0}^{k+1}(-1)^{k+1-i}\dim V_i,\end{aligned}$$

as required. □

By (2), we know the value of $\dim V_i$ for every i. Therefore, Corollary 4 enables us to compute $\dim \ker(\partial_n)$ and, hence, $\dim V_n - \dim \ker(\partial_n)$.

Corollary 5. *We have*

$$\dim V_n - \dim \ker(\partial_n) = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n}(d_i - 1).$$

Proof. From Corollary 4, we get

$$\dim V_n - \dim \ker(\partial_n) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1-i}\dim V_i.$$

Using (2) and the fact that $\dim V_0 = 1$, this is further equal to

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{n-1-i} \sum_{1\leqslant j_1<j_2<\cdots<j_i\leqslant n} d_{j_1}d_{j_2}\cdots d_{j_i} + (-1)^{n-1} = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n}(d_i - 1).$$ □

Now we are in a position to establish the following statement, which is somewhat stronger than Theorem 1.

Theorem 6. *Let T be a subset of $S = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ with $|X_i| = d_i > 1$ for every $i \in [n]$. If*

$$|T| > \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - 1),$$

then there is a nonempty subset $W \subseteq T$ with the property that for every $x \in W$ and $i \in [n]$, the number of elements $x' \in W$ which differ from x only in their ith coordinate is odd. *This bound cannot be improved.*

Proof. The tightness of the bound follows from the tightness of Theorem 1 shown at the end of the Introduction.

Let T be a system of strings of length n satisfying the conditions of the theorem. Using the notation introduced at the beginning of this section, let

$$V(T) = \left\{ \sum_{A \in T} \lambda_A v_A : \lambda_A = 0 \text{ or } 1 \right\}.$$

Then $V(T)$ can be regarded as a linear subspace of V_n with $\dim V(T) = |T|$. Comparing the size of T with the value of $\dim V_n - \dim \ker(\partial_n)$ given by Corollary 5, we obtain that there is a nonzero vector $v = \sum_{A \in T} \lambda_A v_A$ that belongs to $V(T) \cap \ker(\partial_n)$. Let $W = \{A \in T : \lambda_A = 1\}$. Then we have

$$0 = \partial_n(v) = \sum_{A \in W} \partial_n(v_A) = \sum_{A \in W} \sum_{\substack{B \subset A \\ |B| = n-1}} v_B = \sum_{\substack{B \subset [n] \\ |B| = n-1}} |\{A \in W : A \supseteq B\}| v_B.$$

Thus, for each B, the coefficient of v_B is *even*. This means that the set of strings $W \subset T$ meets the requirements of the theorem. □

3 Direct proof of Theorem 6

In this section, we prove Corollary 5 and, hence, Theorem 6 directly, without using Lemma 3.

As in the Introduction, fix an element $y_i \in X_i$ and let $X_i' = X_i \setminus \{y_i\}$, for every $i \in [n]$. Defining T_0 as in (1), we have that $|T_0| = \prod_{i=1}^{n} d_i - \prod_{i=1}^{n} (d_i - 1)$.

Suppose that $|T| > |T_0|$. To prove Corollary 5, it is sufficient to show that there exists a nonzero vector $v = \sum_{A \in T} \lambda_A v_A$ with suitable coefficients $\lambda_A \in \{0, 1\}$ such that $v \in \ker(\partial_n)$, *i.e.*, we have $\partial_n v = \sum_{A \in T} \lambda_A (\partial_n v_A) = 0$. Thus, it is enough to establish the following statement.

Lemma 7. *Let T be a subset of $S = X_1 \times \cdots \times X_n$ with $|X_i| > 1$ for every $i \in [n]$. If $|T| > |T_0|$, then the set of vectors $\{\partial_n v_A : A \in T\}$ is linearly dependent over* GF(2).

Proof. First, we show that the set of vectors $\{\partial_n v_A : A \in T_0\}$ is linearly independent. Suppose, for a contradiction, that there is a nonempty subset $W \subset T_0$ such that $\sum_{A\in W} \partial_n v_A = 0$. Pick an element $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ of W for which the number of coordinates i with $x_i = y_i$ is as small as possible. By the definition of T_0, there is at least one such coordinate $x_k = y_k$. In view of Definition 2, one of the terms of the formal sum $\partial_n v_A$ is v_B with $B = A \setminus \{y_k\}$, and this term cannot be canceled out by a term of $\partial_n v_{A'}$ for any other $A' \in W$, because in this case A' would have fewer coordinates that are equal to some y_i than A does. Hence, $\sum_{A\in W} \partial_n v_A \neq 0$, contradicting our assumption.

It remains to prove that $\{\partial_n v_A : A \in T_0\}$ is a *base* of $\mathrm{im}(\partial_n)$, that is, there exists no set of strings $T \supset T_0$ with $|T| > |T_0|$ such that the set of vectors $\{\partial_n v_A : A \in T\}$ is linearly independent over GF(2).

To see this, consider any string $C = \{z_1, \dots, z_n\} \in S \setminus T_0$. Since $C \notin T_0$, we have $z_i \neq y_i$ for every i. Define $T(C)$ as the set of all strings $A = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\} \in S$ whose every coordinate x_i is either y_i or z_i. Then we have $\sum_{A\in T(C)} \partial_n v_A = 0$. As we have $T(C) \subseteq T_0 \cup \{C\}$, this means that the set of vectors $\{\partial_n v_A : A \in T_0 \cup \{C\}\}$ is linearly dependent over GF(2). This completes the proof of the lemma and, hence, of Theorem 6. □

Acknowledgements

We thank Gábor Tardos and an anonymous referee for several helpful suggestions.

References

[1] A. Björner. Topological Methods. *Handbook of Combinatorics,* R. Graham, M. Grötschel, L. Lovász (eds.), Vol. 2, 1995, 1819–1872.

[2] A. Hatcher. Algebraic Topology. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.

[3] J. Matoušek. Using the Borsuk-Ulam Theorem. Springer, Berlin, 2003.

[4] Y. Wu and Y. Xiong. Sparse $(0, 1)$ arrays and tree-like partition systems. Manuscript, 2020.