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#### Abstract

Turán-type problem is one of central problems in extremal graph theory. Erdős et al. [J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 64 (1995), 89-100] obtained the exact Turán number of the friendship graph $F_{k}$ for $n \geqslant 50 k^{2}$, and characterized all its extremal graphs. Cioabă et al. [Electron. J. Combin. 27(4) (2020), \#P4.22] initially introduced Triangle Removal Lemma into a spectral Turán-type problem, then showed that $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right) \subseteq E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ for $n$ large enough, where $E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ and $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ are the families of $n$-vertex $F_{k}$-free graphs with maximum size and maximum spectral radius, respectively. In this paper, the family $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ is uniquely determined for sufficiently large $n$. Our key approach is to find various alternating cycles or closed trails in nearly regular graphs. Some typical spectral techniques are also used. This presents a probable way to characterize the uniqueness of extremal graphs for some of other spectral extremal problems. In the end, we mention several related conjectures.


Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05C50, 05C35
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## 1 Introduction

A graph is said to be $H$-free, if it does not contain $H$ as a subgraph. A classic problem in extremal graph theory asks what is the maximum number of edges in an $H$-free graph of order $n$, where the maximum number of edges is called the Turán number of $H$ and denoted by $e x(n, H)$. The set of extremal $H$-free graphs with $e x(n, H)$ edges is denoted by $E X(n, H)$. An important motivation of investigating Turán numbers is that they are very useful for Ramsey theory. The original statements can be found in [9]. Turán-type problem can be at least traced back to Mantel's theorem in 1907, which says that ex $\left(n, C_{3}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor$. In 1941, Turán [27] showed that $e x\left(n, K_{k+1}\right)=\left|E\left(T_{n, k}\right)\right|$ for $n \geqslant k+1 \geqslant 3$, and the $k$ partite Turán graph $T_{n, k}$ is the unique extremal graph.

Let $A(G)$ be the adjacency matrix of a graph $G$ and $\rho(G)$ be its spectral radius. By Perron-Frobenius theorem, every connected graph $G$ exists a positive unit eigenvector corresponding to $\rho(G)$, which is called the Perron vector of $G$. In 1986, Wilf [29] showed that $\rho(G) \leqslant n\left(1-\frac{1}{k}\right)$ for every $n$-vertex $K_{k+1}$-free graph $G$. Wilf's result was sharpened by Nikiforov [18], who proved that $\rho(G) \leqslant \rho\left(T_{n, k}\right)$ for every $n$-vertex $K_{k+1}$-free graph $G$, with equality if and only if $G \cong T_{n, k}$. Babai and Guiduli [2] established asymptotically a Kővári-Sós-Turán bound $\rho(G) \leqslant\left((s-1)^{\frac{1}{t}}+o(1)\right) n^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$ for every $n$-vertex $K_{s, t}$-free graph $G$ (where $s \geqslant t \geqslant 2$ ), then Nikiforov [22] obtained a new upper bound with main term $(s-t+1)^{\frac{1}{t}} n^{1-\frac{1}{t}}$. Nikiforov [21] formally posed a spectral version of Turán-type problem, and established some interesting results and conjectures (see for example, [1, 19, 20, 21]). Moreover, Nikiforov also develops some methods for spectral Turán-type problems such as deleting vertices, removing edges and counting the number of walks (see [23]).

Problem 1 ([21]). What is the maximum spectral radius of an $H$-free graph of order $n$ ?
In the past decades, much attention has been paid to Problem 1 and its variations (see surveys $[3,23,13]$ and some recent results $[4,5,6,7,12,15,25,26,30])$. For convenience, we denote by $\operatorname{SPEX}(n, H)$ the family of extremal $H$-free graphs with maximum spectral radius in Problem 1.

A graph is called a friendship graph, and denoted by $F_{k}$, if it consists of $k$ triangles which intersect in exactly one common vertex. In 1995, Erdős, Füredi, Gould and Gunderson proved the following classic result in extremal graph theory.

Theorem 2 ([10]). For every $k \geqslant 1$, and for every $n \geqslant 50 k^{2}$, ex $\left(n, F_{k}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+k^{2}-k$ if $k$ is odd; and ex $\left(n, F_{k}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{n^{2}}{4}\right\rfloor+k^{2}-\frac{3}{2} k$ if $k$ is even.

Moreover, the extremal graphs were completely determined in [10]. A nearly $(k-1)$ regular graph is a graph with one vertex of degree $k-2$ and all other vertices of degrees $k-1$. It was showed in [10] that $E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ consists of all graphs obtained by taking $T_{n, 2}$ and embedding a subgraph $H$ in one part, where $H \cong K_{k} \cup K_{k}$ for odd $k$ and $H$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$ for even $k$. A nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$ does exist for every even $k \geqslant 2$, and there are many if $k$ is large.

We can first construct a graph with vertex set $\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B$ such that $N\left(w_{0}\right)=A$ and $|B|=|A|+2=k$. Then, we partition $A$ into $A_{1} \cup A_{2}$ and $B$ into $\left\{u_{0}\right\} \cup B^{\star} \cup B^{\star \star}$


Figure 1: Graph $H^{\star}$ with $B=\left\{u_{0}\right\} \cup B^{\star} \cup B^{\star \star}$.
such that $\left|A_{1}\right|=\left|A_{2}\right|=\left|B^{\star \star}\right|=\frac{k-2}{2}$. Finally, we join $k-1$ edges from $u_{0}$ to $A_{1} \cup B^{\star}$, $\frac{k-2}{2}$ independent edges from $B^{\star \star}$ to $A_{2}$, and some additional edges such that both $A$ and $B \backslash\left\{u_{0}\right\}$ are cliques. The resulting graph $H^{\star}$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$ (see Fig. 1).

In [6], Cioabă, Feng, Tait and Zhang studied the spectral counterpart of Theorem 2. If $k=1$, then Theorem 2 is just the well-known Mantel's theorem, and the spectral version is known from Nikiforov's spectral Turán theorem (see [18]). Both extremal graphs are the only graph $T_{n, 2}$. For $k \geqslant 2$, the authors [6] obtained the following theorem by combining Triangle Removal Lemma (see [10, 11, 24]) and spectral techniques. This develops a new tool for Problem 1. Subsequently, Triangle Removal Lemma was also used efficiently to other spectral Turán-type problems (see [7, 8, 14]).

Theorem 3 ([6]). For every fixed $k \geqslant 2$ and $n$ large enough, $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right) \subseteq E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$.
In this paper, our goal is a further characterization of $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$. We obtain the following result, which gives the uniqueness of the graphs in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$.

Theorem 4. For every fixed $k \geqslant 2$ and $n$ large enough, the only graph in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ is obtained from $T_{n, 2}$ by embedding a graph $H$ in a part of size $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$, where $H \cong K_{k} \cup K_{k}$ for odd $k$ and $H \cong H^{\star}$ for even $k$ (see Fig. 1).

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, some structural and spectral propositions are obtained for graphs in $E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$. In Section 3, the nearly ( $k-1$ )-regular graph $H$ is characterized for graphs in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$. In Section 4, it is showed that $H$ must be embedded in a part of size $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor$. In Section 5, some related conjectures are mentioned for further research.

## 2 Propositions for graphs in $E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$

By the result due to Erdős et al. [10], we know that each graph in $E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ is obtained from $T_{n, 2}$ with two parts (say $S, T$ ) by embedding a subgraph $H$ in one part, where $H \cong K_{k} \cup K_{k}$ for odd $k$ and $H$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$ for even $k$. Without loss of generality we may assume that $H$ is embedded in $S$.

For odd $k, H$ has been uniquely determined up to isomorphism. In this section, we focus on the case that $k$ is even and try to obtain some useful propositions for a graph $G \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ and its subgraph $H$. For even $k$, since $H$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$, there exists a partition $V(H)=\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B$ such that $N_{H}\left(w_{0}\right)=A$, $|B|=|A|+2=k$, and $d_{H}(v)=k-1$ for every vertex $v \in A \cup B$.

A trail is a walk whose edges are distinct. In particular, a trail is closed if its original and terminal vertices are the same. Now, by coloring all the edges of $H[A \cup B]$ red and all its non-edges blue, we obtain a copy of $K_{|A \cup B|}$ with red and blue colours. If there exists a closed trail $C$ in $K_{|A \cup B|}$ such that any two consecutive edges of $C$ have distinct colours, then we call $C$ an alternating closed trail of $H[A \cup B]$. In the following, we present a structural proposition of the nearly regular graph $H$.

Proposition 5. If $A$ is neither an empty set nor a clique, then there exists an alternating closed trail $C$ such that $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{0}$ or $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{2} u_{3} v_{0}$, where each $v_{i} \in A$, each $u_{i} \in B$ and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge (non-edge).

Proof. Let $v_{0} \in A$ with $d_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)=\max _{v \in A} d_{B}(v)$, and let $\bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)=A \backslash\left(N_{A}\left(v_{0}\right) \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right)$. Note that $d_{A \cup B}(v)=k-2$ for each $v \in A$. Then $d_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)=\min _{v \in A} d_{A}(v)$. Moreover, since $A$ is not a clique, we have $d_{A}\left(v_{0}\right) \leqslant|A|-2=k-4$, which implies that $\bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$ and $N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right) \neq \varnothing$. Now define $B^{\prime}=\left\{u \in B: \exists v \in \bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)\right.$ with $\left.v \sim u\right\}$, where $v \sim u$ denotes vertices $v$ and $u$ are adjacent. Since $d_{B}(v)=d_{A \cup B}(v)-d_{A}(v) \geqslant(k-2)-\left|A \backslash\left\{v, v_{0}\right\}\right|=2$ for each $v \in \bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$, we also have $B^{\prime} \neq \varnothing$. Now we give the following claim.
Claim 6. If there exists a blue edge with one endpoint in $B^{\prime}$ and the other in $N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$, then we have an alternating 4-cycle.

Proof. Let $u_{1} u_{2}$ be a blue edge, where $u_{1} \in B^{\prime}$ and $u_{2} \in N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. By the definition of $B^{\prime}$, we can find a vertex $v_{1} \in \bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $v_{1} \sim u_{1}$. Now it is easy to see that $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{0}$ is an alternating 4 -cycle and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge.

Next, we may assume that each edge $u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}$ is red for any two distinct vertices $u^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime} \in N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$. Then we have the following claim.
Claim 7. If there exists a blue edge within $B^{\prime}$, then we have an alternating closed trail of length six.

Proof. Let $u_{1} u_{2}$ be a blue edge within $B^{\prime}$, that is, $u_{1} \nsim u_{2}$ in $H$. By the above assumption, both $u_{1}$ and $u_{2}$ are not in $N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$. By the definition of $B^{\prime}$, we can find a vertex $v_{i} \in \bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $v_{i} \sim u_{i}$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$, where possibly $v_{1}=v_{2}$. Note that $d_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)=\max _{v \in A} d_{B}(v) \geqslant$ $d_{B}\left(v_{2}\right)$ and $u_{2} \in N_{B}\left(v_{2}\right) \backslash N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$, then $N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right) \nsubseteq N_{B}\left(v_{2}\right)$. Thus, we can find a vertex $u_{3} \in N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $u_{3} \nsim v_{2}$. Now $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{2} u_{3} v_{0}$ is an alternating closed trail of length six and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge.

Now by Claims 6 and 7 , we may assume that each edge $u^{\prime} u^{\prime \prime}$ is red for any two distinct vertices $u^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ and $u^{\prime \prime} \in B^{\prime} \cup N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$.

Let $v_{1} u_{1}$ be a red edge, where $v_{1} \in \bar{N}_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$ and $u_{1} \in B^{\prime}$. Then $d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{H}\left(u_{1}\right)-$ $d_{A}\left(u_{1}\right)=(k-1)-d_{A}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant k-2=|B|-2$, and so we can find a vertex $u_{2} \in B \backslash\left\{u_{1}\right\}$
such that $u_{1} u_{2}$ is blue. Furthermore, it follows from the above assumption that $u_{2} \in$ $B \backslash\left(B^{\prime} \cup N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)\right)$. This implies that $N_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \subseteq N_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$. Since $d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)=d_{H}\left(u_{2}\right)-d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right) \geqslant$ $(k-1)-\left|B \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right|=1$, then we can find a vertex $v_{2} \in N_{A}\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $v_{2} \sim u_{2}$. Now by the definition of $v_{0}$, we also have $N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right) \nsubseteq N_{B}\left(v_{2}\right)$. Subsequently, we can find a vertex $u_{3} \in N_{B}\left(v_{0}\right)$ with $u_{3} \nsim v_{2}$ (possibly $u_{3}=u_{1}$ ). Therefore, $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{2} u_{3} v_{0}$ is an alternating closed trail of length six and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge. This completes the proof.

Recall that $G$ contains a spanning subgraph $K_{|S|,|T|}$ with $H$ being embedded in $S$, where $|S|+|T|=n$ and $||S|-|T|| \leqslant 1$. In the following, let $\rho:=\rho(G)$ and $X=$ $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{T}$ be the Perron vector of $G$. For convenience, we write $x_{\max V(H)}=\max _{v \in V(H)} x_{v}$ and $x_{\min V(H)}=\min _{v \in V(H)} x_{v}$. Then we can obtain some propositions for sufficiently large $n$.

Proposition 8. Let $X_{T}=\sum_{v \in T} x_{v}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\max V(H)} \leqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k+1}, \quad x_{\min V(H)} \geqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k+2} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Note that $V(H) \subseteq S$. Then $T \subseteq N_{G}(v)$ for each $v \in V(H)$. Moreover, we know that $\rho x_{v}=\sum_{u \in N_{G}(v)} x_{u}$ and $k-2 \leqslant d_{H}(v) \leqslant k-1$ for each $v \in V(H)$. Thus,

$$
\rho x_{\max V(H)} \leqslant X_{T}+(k-1) x_{\max V(H)}, \quad \rho x_{\min V(H)} \geqslant X_{T}+(k-2) x_{\min V(H)} .
$$

Consequently, $x_{\max V(H)} \leqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k+1}$ and $x_{\min V(H)} \geqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k+2}$.
We now evaluate Perron components for vertices in $A$ and $B$. This will be frequently used in the subsequent sections.

Proposition 9. For each vertex $v \in A$, we have

$$
\left(\rho+k-1+\frac{k(k-2)}{\rho-k+2}\right) X_{T} \leqslant \rho^{2} x_{v} \leqslant\left(\rho+k-1+\frac{k(k-2)}{\rho-k+1}\right) X_{T} .
$$

Proof. For each $v \in A$, we have $\rho x_{v}=X_{T}+\sum_{u \in N_{H}(v)} x_{u}$ and $\rho^{2} x_{v}=\rho X_{T}+\sum_{u \in N_{H}(v)} \rho x_{u}$, where

$$
\rho x_{u} \leqslant \begin{cases}X_{T}+(k-2) x_{\max V(H)}, & u=w_{0} \\ X_{T}+(k-1) x_{\max V(H)}, & u \in N_{H}(v) \backslash\left\{w_{0}\right\} .\end{cases}
$$

Since $d_{H}(v)=k-1$, we have $\sum_{u \in N_{H}(v)} \rho x_{u} \leqslant(k-1) X_{T}+k(k-2) x_{\max V(H)}$, and hence

$$
\rho^{2} x_{v} \leqslant(\rho+k-1) X_{T}+k(k-2) x_{\max V(H)} .
$$

Similarly, we can obtain

$$
\rho^{2} x_{v} \geqslant(\rho+k-1) X_{T}+k(k-2) x_{\min V(H)} .
$$

By Proposition 8, the result follows.

Proposition 10. For each vertex $v \in B$, we have

$$
\left(\rho+k-1+\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{\rho-k+2}\right) X_{T} \leqslant \rho^{2} x_{v} \leqslant\left(\rho+k-1+\frac{(k-1)^{2}}{\rho-k+1}\right) X_{T}
$$

Proof. For each $v \in B$, we know that $N_{H}(v) \subseteq A \cup B$. Hence, $d_{H}(u)=k-1$ for each vertex $u \in N_{H}(v)$. Moreover, $\rho x_{v}=X_{T}+\sum_{u \in N_{H}(v)} x_{u}$ and $\rho^{2} x_{v}=\rho X_{T}+\sum_{u \in N_{H}(v)} \rho x_{u}$, where

$$
X_{T}+(k-1) x_{\min V(H)} \leqslant \rho x_{u} \leqslant X_{T}+(k-1) x_{\max V(H)} .
$$

Since $\left|N_{H}(v)\right|=k-1$, we have

$$
(\rho+k-1) X_{T}+(k-1)^{2} x_{\min V(H)} \leqslant \rho^{2} x_{v} \leqslant(\rho+k-1) X_{T}+(k-1)^{2} x_{\max V(H)} .
$$

Therefore, the result holds from (1).
Proposition 11. For any two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in B$, if $d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right)>d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)$, then $x_{u_{1}}>x_{u_{2}}$.
Proof. Since $u_{1}, u_{2} \in B$, we have $d_{A \cup B}\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{A \cup B}\left(u_{2}\right)=k-1$. Now assume that $d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right)=$ $d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)+a$, where $a \geqslant 1$. Then $d_{A}\left(u_{1}\right)=d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)-a$. Write $b=(\rho-k+1)(\rho-k+2)$. By Propositions 9-10, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}\left(x_{\max A}-x_{\min A}\right) \leqslant \frac{k(k-2)}{b} X_{T}, \quad \rho^{2}\left(x_{\max B}-x_{\min B}\right) \leqslant \frac{(k-1)^{2}}{b} X_{T} ; \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2}\left(x_{\min B}-x_{\min A}\right) \geqslant \rho^{2}\left(x_{\min B}-x_{\max A}\right) \geqslant \frac{\rho-k^{2}+k+1}{b} X_{T} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $T \subseteq N_{G}\left(u_{1}\right) \cap N_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)$, we can see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho x_{u_{1}}-\rho x_{u_{2}} & =\sum_{v \in N_{H}\left(u_{1}\right)} x_{v}-\sum_{v \in N_{H}\left(u_{2}\right)} x_{v} \\
& \geqslant d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right) x_{\min B}+d_{A}\left(u_{1}\right) x_{\min A}-d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right) x_{\max B}-d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) x_{\max A} \\
& =a\left(x_{\min B}-x_{\min A}\right)-d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)\left(x_{\max A}-x_{\min A}\right)-d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)\left(x_{\max B}-x_{\min B}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)+d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)=k-1$ and $\rho \geqslant \sqrt{|S||T|}=\sqrt{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil} \gg k^{3}$. Combining (2) and (3), we have $\rho x_{u_{1}}-\rho x_{u_{2}}>0$. Therefore, $x_{u_{1}}>x_{u_{2}}$.

Since $\rho \geqslant \sqrt{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil} \gg k^{3}$, by (3) we can also conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\min B}>x_{\max A} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 3 Characterization of $\boldsymbol{H}$ for graphs in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(\boldsymbol{n}, \boldsymbol{F}_{\boldsymbol{k}}\right)$

Let $G \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, where $k \geqslant 2$ and $n$ is large enough. By Theorem 3 we know that $G \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, that is, $G$ is obtained from $K_{|S|,|T|}$ with $|S|+|T|=n$ and $||S|-|T|| \leqslant$ 1 by embedding a subgraph $H$ in one part (say $S$ ). In this section, we focus on the characterization of $H$. For odd $k, H$ has already been uniquely determined.

Theorem 12 ([6]). If $k$ is odd and $n$ is sufficiently large, then $H \cong K_{k} \cup K_{k}$.
In the following, we assume that $k$ is even. Recall that $H$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$ with $V(H)=\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B$, where $N_{H}\left(w_{0}\right)=A,|B|=|A|+2=k$, and $d_{H}(v)=k-1$ for each $v \in A \cup B$. To characterize $H$ for even $k$, it suffices to determine the structure of $H[A \cup B]$.

Lemma 13. If $k \geqslant 4$ and $n$ is sufficiently large, then $A$ must be a clique.
Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that $A$ is not a clique. By Proposition 5, $H[A \cup B]$ contains an alternating closed trail $C$. Next we consider the following two cases.
Case 1. $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{0}$, where $v_{0}, v_{1} \in A ; u_{1}, u_{2} \in B$ and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge.
Now let $G^{\prime}$ be the graph obtained from $G$ by exchanging the color of each edge in $C$, that is, let $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{2} v_{0}\right\}+\left\{v_{0} v_{1}, u_{1} u_{2}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime}\left[\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B\right]$ and $G\left[\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B\right]$ have the same degree sequence, and thus $G^{\prime}\left[\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B\right]$ is also a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph. This implies that $G^{\prime} \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ too.

On the other hand, write $\rho=\rho(G)$ and $\rho^{\prime}=\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{\prime}-\rho \geqslant X^{T}\left(A\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A(G)\right) X & =2\left(x_{v_{0}} x_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{1}} x_{u_{2}}\right)-2\left(x_{u_{1}} x_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{2}} x_{v_{0}}\right) \\
& =2\left(x_{u_{1}}-x_{v_{0}}\right)\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (4) that $x_{u}>x_{v}$ for any $v \in A$ and $u \in B$. Therefore $\rho^{\prime}>\rho$ by (5), which contradicts the fact that $G \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$.
Case 2. $C=v_{0} v_{1} u_{1} u_{2} v_{2} u_{3} v_{0}$, where $v_{0}, v_{1}, v_{2} \in A ; u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3} \in B$ and $v_{0} v_{1}$ is a blue edge.

We also define $G^{\prime}$ to be the graph obtained from $G$ by exchanging the color of each edge in $C$. Similarly, $G^{\prime}$ is also $F_{k}$-free. Moreover,

$$
\begin{align*}
\rho^{\prime}-\rho & \geqslant 2\left(x_{v_{0}} x_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{1}} x_{u_{2}}+x_{v_{2}} x_{u_{3}}\right)-2\left(x_{u_{1}} x_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{2}} x_{v_{2}}+x_{u_{3}} x_{v_{0}}\right) \\
& =2\left(x_{u_{1}}-x_{v_{0}}\right)\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)-2\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{u_{3}}\right)\left(x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{0}}\right) . \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (2), (3) and (6), we obtain

$$
\rho^{\prime}-\rho \geqslant\left(\frac{\left(\rho-k^{2}+k+1\right)^{2}}{b^{2}}-\frac{k(k-2)(k-1)^{2}}{b^{2}}\right) \frac{2 X_{T}^{2}}{\rho^{4}}>0
$$

for sufficiently large $n$, a contradiction.
By Cases 1 and 2, we can conclude that $A$ is a clique.

By Lemma 13, $A \cup\left\{w_{0}\right\}$ is a clique. For each vertex $v \in A$, since $d_{H}(v)=k-1$, we have $d_{B}(v)=(k-1)-|A|=1$. Let $e(A, B)$ be the number of edges with one endpoint in $A$ and the other in $B$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(A, B)=|A|=k-2 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now assume that $u_{0} \in B$ with $x_{u_{0}}=\min _{u \in B} x_{u}$. Moreover, let $B^{*}=\left\{u \in B: d_{B}(u)=\right.$ $k-1\}$ and $B^{* *}=B \backslash\left(B^{*} \cup\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right)$. Then $e\left(B^{*}, A\right)=0$, as $d_{H}(u)=k-1$ for each $u \in B$. Now we are ready to give a complete characterization of $H$ for even $k$.
Theorem 14. If $k$ is even and $n$ is sufficiently large, then $H \cong H^{\star}$ (see Fig. 1).
Proof. If $k=2$, then $|A|=k-2=0$ and $|B|=k=2$. Since $H$ is a nearly $(k-1)$-regular graph of order $2 k-1$, it is easy to see that $H \cong K_{1} \cup K_{2}$, and hence $H \cong H^{\star}$. In the following, we assume that $k \geqslant 4$. We first give five claims.
Claim 15. $B^{*}$ is a clique and $x_{u^{*}}=\max _{v \in B} x_{v}>x_{u}$ for each $u^{*} \in B^{*}$ and each $u \in B \backslash B^{*}$.
Proof. We first show that $B^{*} \neq \varnothing$. If not, then $B^{*}=\varnothing$, that is, for each $u \in B$, $d_{B}(u) \leqslant k-2$, and hence $d_{A}(u) \geqslant 1$. It follows that $e(B, A) \geqslant|B|=k$, which contradicts (7). Therefore, $B^{*} \neq \varnothing$.

Note that $|B|=k$ and $d_{B}\left(u^{*}\right)=k-1$ for each $u^{*} \in B^{*}$. Then every vertex of $B^{*}$ is a dominating vertex of $B$, and so $B^{*}$ is a clique. Since $e\left(B^{*}, A\right)=0$, by symmetry we have $x_{u_{1}^{*}}=x_{u_{2}^{*}}$ for any two vertices $u_{1}^{*}, u_{2}^{*} \in B^{*}$. Moreover, since $d_{B}\left(u^{*}\right)>d_{B}(u)$ for each $u^{*} \in B^{*}$ and each $u \in B \backslash B^{*}$, by Proposition 11, we have $x_{u^{*}}=\max _{v \in B} x_{v}>x_{u}$.
Claim 16. $u_{0} \notin B^{*}$ and $B^{* *} \neq \varnothing$.
Proof. Note that $x_{u_{0}}=\min _{u \in B} x_{u}$. If $u_{0} \in B^{*}$, then by the definition of $u_{0}$ and Claim 15, we know that $x_{u}=x_{v}$ for all distinct vertices $u, v \in B$. That is to say, $x_{u}=\max _{v \in B} x_{v}$ for each $u \in B$. It follows that $B=B^{*}$ and $e(B, A)=e\left(B^{*}, A\right)=0$, which contradicts (7). Therefore, $u_{0} \notin B^{*}$.

Since every vertex of $B^{*}$ is a dominating vertex of $B$, we have $d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right) \geqslant\left|B^{*}\right|$. On the other hand, $u_{0} \notin B^{*}$, then $d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right) \leqslant k-2$, and hence $\left|B^{*}\right| \leqslant k-2$. Therefore, $\left|B^{* *}\right|=\left|B \backslash\left(B^{*} \cup\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right)\right| \geqslant 1$.

Claim 17. $e\left(\left\{u_{0}\right\}, B^{* *}\right)=0$.
Proof. Suppose, to the contrary, that there exists a vertex $u_{1} \in B^{* *}$ with $u_{0} \sim u_{1}$. By the definition of $B^{* *}$, we have $d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant k-2$, and hence there exists a vertex $u_{2} \in B$ with $u_{2} \nsim u_{1}$. Now since $d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right) \leqslant\left|B \backslash\left\{u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right|=k-2$, we have $d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \geqslant 1$. Thus we can find a vertex $v_{1} \in A$ such that $v_{1} \sim u_{2}$. Recall that $d_{B}(v)=1$ for each $v \in A$. Then $v_{1} \nsim u_{0}$. Therefore, we can obtain an alternating 4 -cycle $u_{0} u_{1} u_{2} v_{1} u_{0}$.

Let $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{u_{0} u_{1}, u_{2} v_{1}\right\}+\left\{u_{1} u_{2}, u_{0} v_{1}\right\}$. Similar to the analysis of Lemma 13, we have that $G^{\prime}$ is $F_{k}$-free. Moreover,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)-\rho(G) \geqslant X^{T}\left(A\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A(G)\right) X & =2\left(x_{u_{1}} x_{u_{2}}+x_{u_{0}} x_{v_{1}}\right)-2\left(x_{u_{0}} x_{u_{1}}+x_{u_{2}} x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
& =2\left(x_{u_{1}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{u_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By (4) we know that $x_{u_{1}}>x_{v_{1}}$, and by the choice of $u_{0}$ we have $x_{u_{2}} \geqslant x_{u_{0}}$. Thus $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right) \geqslant \rho(G)$. On the other hand, since $G \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, we have $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)=\rho(G)$, and hence $X$ is also the Perron vector of $G^{\prime}$. Observe that $N_{G}\left(u_{2}\right) \backslash N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{1}\right\}$ and $N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u_{2}\right) \backslash N_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=\left\{u_{1}\right\}$. Hence,

$$
0=\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right) x_{u_{2}}-\rho(G) x_{u_{2}}=x_{u_{1}}-x_{v_{1}}>0
$$

a contradiction. Therefore, $e\left(\left\{u_{0}\right\}, B^{* *}\right)=0$.
Claim 18. $\left|B^{*}\right|=\bar{e}\left(B^{* *}\right)+\frac{k}{2}$, where $\bar{e}\left(B^{* *}\right)$ denotes the number of non-edges in $G\left[B^{* *}\right]$.
Proof. Note that $e\left(\left\{u_{0}\right\}, B^{* *}\right)=0$. Then $\bar{e}(B)=\bar{e}\left(B^{* *}\right)+\left|B^{* *}\right|$. Recall that $d_{H}(u)=k-1$ for each $u \in B$ and $|B|=k$. Then we have $k(k-1)=\sum_{u \in B} d_{H}(u)=e(B, A)+2 e(B)=$ $e(B, A)+k(k-1)-2 \bar{e}(B)$, and hence $e(B, A)=2 \bar{e}(B)$. Note that $\left|B^{* *}\right|=\left|B \backslash\left(B^{*} \cup\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right)\right|=$ $k-1-\left|B^{*}\right|$. Then $e(B, A)=2 \bar{e}(B)=2 \bar{e}\left(B^{* *}\right)+2 k-2-2\left|B^{*}\right|$. Combining (7), we have $\left|B^{*}\right|=\bar{e}\left(B^{* *}\right)+\frac{k}{2}$.

Claim 19. If $B^{* *}$ is a clique, then $H \cong H^{\star}$.
Proof. By Claim 17, $d_{B^{* *}}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$, and so $d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left|B^{*}\right|$. Note that $d_{H}\left(u_{0}\right)=k-1=$ $\left|B^{*}\right|+\left|B^{* *}\right|$. Thus $d_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)=d_{H}\left(u_{0}\right)-d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left|B^{* *}\right|$. Now if $B^{* *}$ is a clique, then $d_{B}(u)=\left|B \backslash\left\{u, u_{0}\right\}\right|=k-2$ and $d_{A}(u)=1$ for each $u \in B^{* *}$. Recall that $e\left(B^{*}, A\right)=0$. Therefore,

$$
e(B, A)=\sum_{u \in B^{* *}} d_{A}(u)+d_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)=2\left|B^{* *}\right| .
$$

Combining (7), we have $\left|B^{* *}\right|=\frac{e(B, A)}{2}=\frac{k-2}{2}$, and so $\left|B^{*}\right|=(k-1)-\left|B^{* *}\right|=\frac{k}{2}$. Now $d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left|B^{*}\right|=\frac{k}{2}$, hence $d_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)=\frac{k-2}{2}$. Combining Lemma 13 and $d_{B}(v)=1$ for each $v \in A$, we have each vertex of $A \backslash N_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)$ has exactly one neighbor in $B^{* *}$ and vice versa. Note that $\left|B^{* *}\right|=\frac{k-2}{2}$. It follows that there are $\frac{k-2}{2}$ independent edges between $B^{* *}$ and $A \backslash N_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)$, and hence $H \cong H^{\star}$ (see Fig. 1).

By Claim 19, it suffices to show that $B^{* *}$ is a clique in the following. The case $\left|B^{* *}\right|=1$ is trivial. We may assume that $\left|B^{* *}\right| \geqslant 2$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $B^{* *}$ is not a clique. Then we can find two vertices $u_{1}, u_{2} \in B^{* *}$ with $u_{1} \nsim u_{2}$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $x_{u_{2}} \geqslant x_{u_{1}}$. For any vertex $u^{*}$ of $B^{*}$, by Claim 15 and the choice of $u_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{u^{*}}>x_{u_{2}} \geqslant x_{u_{1}} \geqslant x_{u_{0}} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, $d_{B}\left(u_{i}\right) \leqslant k-2$ implies that $d_{A}\left(u_{i}\right) \geqslant 1$ for $i \in\{1,2\}$. Thus we can find $v_{1}, v_{2} \in A$ such that $v_{1} \sim u_{1}$ and $v_{2} \sim u_{2}$. Recall that $A$ is a clique and $d_{B}(v)=1$ for each $v \in A$. Then $v_{1} \neq v_{2}$, and by symmetry, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{v \in N_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)} x_{v}=d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) x_{v_{2}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 2: An alternating 6-cycle.
By (8) and Proposition 11, $d_{B}\left(u_{2}\right) \geqslant d_{B}\left(u_{1}\right) \geqslant d_{B}\left(u_{0}\right)$, and so $d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \leqslant d_{A}\left(u_{1}\right) \leqslant d_{A}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Combining (7), we can see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \leqslant\left\lfloor\frac{e(A, B)}{3}\right\rfloor=\left\lfloor\frac{k-2}{3}\right\rfloor \leqslant \frac{k-4}{2}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $k \geqslant 4$.
Since $A$ is a clique, we have $N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right) \backslash N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right)=\left\{v_{2}, u_{1}\right\}$ and $N_{G}\left(v_{2}\right) \backslash N_{G}\left(v_{1}\right)=\left\{v_{1}, u_{2}\right\}$. Hence $\rho\left(x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)=\left(x_{v_{1}}-x_{v_{2}}\right)+\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{u_{1}}\right)$, which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{v_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}=\frac{x_{u_{2}}-x_{u_{1}}}{\rho+1} \geqslant 0 . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{G}\left(u_{2}\right) \backslash N_{G}\left(u^{*}\right)=N_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \cup\left\{u^{*}\right\}, \quad N_{G}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{G}\left(u_{2}\right)=N_{B}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{B}\left(u_{2}\right), \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left|N_{B}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)\right|=\left|N_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) \cup\left\{u^{*}\right\}\right|=d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)+1$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in N_{B}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)} x_{u} \leqslant d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right) x_{u^{*}}+x_{u_{2}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

as $u_{2} \in N_{B}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{B}\left(u_{2}\right)$ and $x_{u^{*}}=\max _{u \in B} x_{u}$. Combining (12), (13) and (9), we obtain

$$
\rho\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{u_{2}}\right) \leqslant\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{u^{*}}\right)+d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{2}}\right)<d_{A}\left(u_{2}\right)\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{2}}\right),
$$

as $x_{u^{*}}>x_{u_{2}}$ by (8). Furthermore, by (10) and (11), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{u_{2}}\right)<\frac{k-4}{2}\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{2}}\right) \leqslant \frac{k-4}{2}\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now note that $u_{1} \nsim u_{2}$ and $v_{1}, v_{2} \notin N_{G}\left(u_{0}\right) \cup N_{G}\left(u^{*}\right)$. Thus we can find an alternating 6 -cycle $C_{1}=u_{1} v_{1} u_{0} u^{*} v_{2} u_{2} u_{1}$ (see Fig. 2).

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1}=G-\left\{u_{1} v_{1}, u_{0} u^{*}, v_{2} u_{2}\right\}+\left\{v_{1} u_{0}, u^{*} v_{2}, u_{2} u_{1}\right\} . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G_{1}$ is $F_{k}$-free by previous analysis. If there still exist $u_{1}^{\prime}, u_{2}^{\prime} \in B^{* *}$ with $u_{1}^{\prime} \nsim u_{2}^{\prime}$, by Claim 4, we can also find a vertex $u^{* \prime} \in B^{*} \backslash\left\{u^{*}\right\}$ and an alternating 6 -cycle $C_{2}$ with $u^{* \prime} \in V\left(C_{2}\right)$ and $E\left(C_{1}\right) \cap E\left(C_{2}\right)=\varnothing$, then obtain an $F_{k}$-free graph $G_{2}$ by a similar operation. Continue this operation until $B^{* *}$ is a clique, and denote by $G^{\prime}$ the resulting graph. Then $G^{\prime} \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, as $G \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$. Let $H^{\prime}=G^{\prime}\left[\left\{w_{0}\right\} \cup A \cup B\right], \rho^{\prime}=\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$ be the Perron vector of $G^{\prime}$. In order to differentiate with the above $B^{*}$ and $B^{* *}$, we write $B^{\star}=\left\{u \in B: d_{H^{\prime}[B]}(u)=k-1\right\}$ and $B^{\star \star}=B \backslash\left(B^{\star} \cup\left\{u_{0}\right\}\right)$ in $H^{\prime}$. Note that $d_{H^{\prime}\left[B^{\star \star}\right]}\left(u_{0}\right)=0$ and $B^{\star \star}$ becomes a clique with $B^{* *} \cup\left\{u^{*}\right\} \subseteq B^{\star \star}$. By Claim $19, H^{\prime} \cong H^{\star}$, which implies that $\left|B^{\star \star}\right|=d_{H^{\prime}[A]}\left(u_{0}\right)=\frac{k-2}{2}$ and $N_{H^{\prime}[B]}\left(u_{0}\right)=B^{\star}$ (see Fig. 1).

Since $N_{H^{\prime}[B]}\left(u_{0}\right)=B^{\star}$, we have $\left\{u^{*}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\} \subseteq B^{\star \star}$ in $H^{\prime}$. By (15), $v_{1} \sim u_{0}$ and $v_{2} \sim u^{*}$ in $H^{\prime}$. Thus we can observe from Fig. 1 that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u^{*}\right) \backslash N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u_{0}\right)=\left(B^{\star \star} \backslash\left\{u^{*}\right\}\right) \cup\left\{v_{2}\right\}, \quad N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u_{0}\right) \backslash N_{G^{\prime}}\left(u^{*}\right)=N_{H^{\prime}[A]}\left(u_{0}\right) . \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By symmetry,

$$
\sum_{u \in B^{\star \star} \backslash\left\{u^{*}\right\}} y_{u}=\left(\left|B^{\star \star}\right|-1\right) y_{u^{*}}=\frac{k-4}{2} y_{u^{*}}
$$

and

$$
\sum_{v \in N_{H^{\prime}[A]}\left(u_{0}\right)} y_{v}=d_{H^{\prime}[A]}\left(u_{0}\right) y_{v_{1}}=\frac{k-2}{2} y_{v_{1}} .
$$

Combining (16), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{u_{0}}\right)=\left(y_{v_{2}}-y_{v_{1}}\right)+\frac{k-4}{2}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{v_{1}}\right) . \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|B^{\star \star}\right| \geqslant\left|\left\{u^{*}, u_{1}, u_{2}\right\}\right| \geqslant 3$, we have $d_{H^{\prime}[B]}\left(u^{*}\right)>d_{H^{\prime}[B]}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Since $G^{\prime} \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, by Proposition 11, we obtain $y_{u^{*}}>y_{u_{0}}$. Recall that $v_{1} \sim u_{0}$ and $v_{2} \sim u^{*}$ in $H^{\prime}$. Similar to (11), we can obtain

$$
y_{v_{2}}-y_{v_{1}}=\frac{y_{u^{*}}-y_{u_{0}}}{\rho^{\prime}+1}>0
$$

Combining (17), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{u_{0}}\right)>\frac{k-4}{2}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{v_{1}}\right) \geqslant \frac{k-4}{2}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{v_{2}}\right) . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now let $E=E(G), E^{\prime}=E\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $\bar{E}$ be the set of non-edges in $G\left[B^{* *}\right]$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{T}\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) X & =Y^{T}\left(A\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A(G)\right) X \\
& =\sum_{u v \in E^{\prime}}\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)-\sum_{u v \in E}\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right) \\
& =\sum_{u_{1} u_{2} \in \bar{E}} \gamma
\end{aligned}
$$

where by (15)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma= & \left(x_{u_{0}} y_{v_{1}}+x_{v_{1}} y_{u_{0}}+x_{u^{*}} y_{v_{2}}+x_{v_{2}} y_{u^{*}}+x_{u_{1}} y_{u_{2}}+x_{u_{2}} y_{u_{1}}\right) \\
& -\left(x_{u_{1}} y_{v_{1}}+x_{v_{1}} y_{u_{1}}+x_{u^{*}} y_{u_{0}}+x_{u_{0}} y_{u^{*}}+x_{u_{2}} y_{v_{2}}+x_{v_{2}} y_{u_{2}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $u^{*}, u_{1}, u_{2} \in B^{\star \star}$ in $H^{\prime}$, we have $y_{u^{*}}=y_{u_{1}}=y_{u_{2}}$ by symmetry, and thus $x_{v_{2}} y_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{2}} y_{u_{2}}=0$. Moreover, $x_{u_{1}} \geqslant x_{u_{0}}$ by (8) and $y_{u^{*}}>y_{v_{1}}$ by (4). Then

$$
\left(x_{u_{0}} y_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{1}} y_{u_{2}}\right)-\left(x_{u_{1}} y_{v_{1}}+x_{u_{0}} y_{u^{*}}\right)=\left(x_{u_{0}}-x_{u_{1}}\right)\left(y_{v_{1}}-y_{u^{*}}\right) \geqslant 0 .
$$

Hence we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma & \geqslant y_{u_{0}}\left(x_{v_{1}}-x_{u^{*}}\right)+y_{v_{2}}\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{u_{2}}\right)+y_{u_{1}}\left(x_{u_{2}}-x_{v_{1}}\right) \\
& =\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{u_{0}}\right)\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)-\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{v_{2}}\right)\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{u_{2}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

as $y_{u_{1}}=y_{u^{*}}$. By (4), $y_{u^{*}}>y_{v_{2}}$ and $x_{u^{*}}>x_{v_{1}}$. Combining (14) and (18), we obtain

$$
\gamma>\frac{k-4}{2}\left(y_{u^{*}}-y_{v_{2}}\right)\left(x_{u^{*}}-x_{v_{1}}\right)\left(\frac{1}{\rho^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{\rho}\right) .
$$

Note that $G \in \operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ and $G^{\prime} \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$. Then $\rho^{\prime} \leqslant \rho$, and so $\gamma>0$. It follows that $Y^{T}\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) X=\sum_{u_{1} u_{2} \in \bar{E}} \gamma>0$, and thus $\rho^{\prime}>\rho$, a contradiction. Therefore, $B^{* *}$ is a clique of $H$. This completes the proof.

## 4 The only graph in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$

By Theorems 12 and 14, $H$ is uniquely determined up to isomorphism. Let $e(H)$ be the number of edges of the subgraph $H$. Recall the known fact that $||S|-|T|| \leqslant 1$ and the assumption that $H$ is embedded in $S$. To obtain the uniqueness of the graphs in $S P E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, we only need to prove $|S| \leqslant|T|$.

Lemma 20. Regardless of parity of $k$, we have

$$
\rho^{2}<|S||T|+2 e(H)\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+1\right) .
$$

Proof. For each $v \in T$, we have $\rho x_{v}=X_{S}$ and $\rho^{2} x_{v}=\rho X_{S}=\sum_{u \in S} \rho x_{u}$. Note that

$$
\rho x_{u}= \begin{cases}X_{T}, & u \in S \backslash V(H),  \tag{19}\\ X_{T}+\sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} x_{w}, & u \in V(H) .\end{cases}
$$

It follows that

$$
\rho^{2} x_{v}=\sum_{u \in S} X_{T}+\sum_{u \in V(H)} \sum_{w \in V_{H}(u)} x_{w} \leqslant|S| X_{T}+2 e(H) x_{\max V(H)}
$$

for each $v \in T$. By (1), we know that $x_{\max V(H)} \leqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k+1}<\frac{X_{T}}{\rho-k}$, and hence $\rho^{2} x_{v} \leqslant$ $\left(|S|+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho-k}\right) X_{T}$. Summing this inequality for all $v \in T$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{2} X_{T} \leqslant\left(|S||T|+2 e(H) \frac{|T|}{\rho-k}\right) X_{T} . \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Recall that $\rho^{2} \geqslant|S||T|=\left\lfloor\frac{n}{2}\right\rfloor\left\lceil\frac{n}{2}\right\rceil$. Hence for sufficiently large $n$, we have

$$
\rho^{2}-k \rho-k|T|=\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{2}-k \rho\right)+\left(\frac{\rho^{2}}{2}-k|T|\right) \geqslant \rho\left(\frac{\rho}{2}-k\right)+|T|\left(\frac{|S|}{2}-k\right)>0 .
$$

Solving $\rho^{2}-k \rho-k|T|>0$, we obtain $\frac{|T|}{\rho-k}<\frac{|T|}{\rho}+1$. Combining (20), we have $\rho^{2}<|S||T|+2 e(H)\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+1\right)$, as desired.
Theorem 21. Regardless of parity of $k$, we have $|S| \leqslant|T|$.
Proof. Recall that $|S|+|T|=n$ and $||S|-|T|| \leqslant 1$. Suppose, to the contrary, that $|S| \geqslant|T|+1$. Then $|S|=|T|+1=\frac{n+1}{2}$. Select a vertex $v_{0} \in S \backslash V(H)$, and define $G^{\prime}=G-\left\{v_{0} v: v \in T\right\}+\left\{v_{0} u: u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right\}$. Then $G^{\prime} \in E X\left(n, F_{k}\right)$, and so $\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right) \leqslant \rho(G)$. Let $\rho^{\prime}=\rho\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right)^{T}$ be the Perron vector of $G^{\prime}$. Then we have $\rho x_{v_{0}}=X_{T}$ and $y_{v}=y_{v_{0}}$ for each $v \in T$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{v_{0}}=\frac{X_{T}}{\rho}, \quad y_{v_{0}}=\frac{Y_{T}}{|T|} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}=\frac{|T|+1}{|T|} Y_{T}=\frac{|S|}{|T|} Y_{T}$. Note that

$$
\rho^{\prime} y_{u}= \begin{cases}Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}, & u \in S \backslash\left(V(H) \cup\left\{v_{0}\right\}\right),  \tag{22}\\ Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}+\sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} y_{w}, & u \in V(H) .\end{cases}
$$

Moveover, for each $u \in V(H)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho \sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} x_{w}=\sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} \rho x_{w} \geqslant d_{H}(u) X_{T}, \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho^{\prime} \sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} y_{w}=\sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} \rho^{\prime} y_{w} \geqslant \sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)}\left(Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}\right)=d_{H}(u) \frac{|S|}{|T|} Y_{T} . \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (19) and (23), we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}} \rho x_{u}=(|S|-1) X_{T}+\sum_{u \in V(H)} \sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} x_{w} \geqslant|T| X_{T}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho} X_{T} . \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $(|S|-1)\left(Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}\right)=|T| \frac{|S|}{|T|} Y_{T}=|S| Y_{T}$. By (22) and (24), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}} \rho^{\prime} y_{u}=(|S|-1)\left(Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}\right)+\sum_{u \in V(H)} \sum_{w \in N_{H}(u)} y_{w} \geqslant|S| Y_{T}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{\prime}} \frac{|S|}{|T|} Y_{T} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it follows from (25) and (26) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}} x_{u} \geqslant\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}\right) X_{T} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}} y_{u} \geqslant\left(\frac{|S|}{\rho^{\prime}}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{\prime 2}}\right) Y_{T} \geqslant\left(\frac{|S|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}\right) Y_{T} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{T}\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) X & =Y^{T}\left(A\left(G^{\prime}\right)-A(G)\right) X \\
& =\sum_{u v \in E\left(G^{\prime}\right)}\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right)-\sum_{u v \in E(G)}\left(x_{u} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{u}\right) . \\
& =\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}}\left(x_{v_{0}} y_{u}+x_{u} y_{v_{0}}\right)-\sum_{v \in T}\left(x_{v_{0}} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{v_{0}}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly, $\sum_{v \in T}\left(x_{v_{0}} y_{v}+x_{v} y_{v_{0}}\right)=x_{v_{0}} Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}} X_{T}$; moreover, by (27) and (28), we have

$$
\sum_{u \in S \backslash\left\{v_{0}\right\}}\left(x_{v_{0}} y_{u}+x_{u} y_{v_{0}}\right) \geqslant x_{v_{0}}\left(\frac{|S|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}\right) Y_{T}+y_{v_{0}}\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}\right) X_{T} .
$$

Note that $x_{v_{0}}=\frac{X_{T}}{\rho}$ and $y_{v_{0}}=\frac{Y_{T}}{|T|}$ by (21). Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
Y^{T}\left(\rho^{\prime}-\rho\right) X & \geqslant \frac{X_{T}}{\rho}\left(\frac{|S|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}-1\right) Y_{T}+\frac{Y_{T}}{|T|}\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+\frac{2 e(H)}{\rho^{2}}-1\right) X_{T} . \\
& =\frac{X_{T} Y_{T}}{\rho^{2}|T|}\left(|S||T|+2 e(H)\left(\frac{|T|}{\rho}+1\right)-\rho^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma $20, \rho^{\prime}>\rho$, a contradiction. The proof is completed.
Combining Theorem 21, Theorems 12 and 14, we complete the proof of Theorem 4. The only graph in $\operatorname{SPEX}\left(n, F_{k}\right)$ is determined for every fixed positive integer $k \geqslant 2$ and sufficiently large $n$.

## 5 Concluding remarks

Let $\mathcal{G}_{n, k}$ be the family of connected irregular graphs on $n$ vertices with given maximum degree $k$. Define $\lambda=\max \left\{\rho(G): G \in \mathcal{G}_{n, k}\right\}$. Until now, the value of $\lambda$ is still unknown. In [16], Liu and Li proposed the following conjecture.

Conjecture 22 ([16]). Let $3 \leqslant k \leqslant n-2$ and $G$ be a graph attaining the maximum spectral radius among all connected non-regular graphs of order $n$ with fixed maximum degree $k$. Then $G$ is a nearly $k$-regular graph for odd $n k$, and a graph with degree sequence $(k, \ldots, k, k-2)$ for even $n k$.

Liu [17] has just confirmed Conjecture 22 for $k \in\{3,4\}$ by determining the unique extremal graph respectively. For general $k$, the conjecture is still open. We hope that our method to characterize a nearly $\frac{n-1}{2}$-regular graph will be helpful for studying the above conjecture. We also expect a characterization of extremal nearly $k$-regular graphs on $n$ vertices with maximum spectral radius.

To end this paper, we would like to introduce a recent conjecture due to Cioabă, Desai and Tait [7]. This extends a spectral color critical edge theorem of Nikiforov ([20]).

Conjecture 23 ([7]). Let $F$ be any graph such that the graphs in $E X(n, F)$ are Turán graphs plus $O(1)$ edges. Then $\operatorname{SPEX}(n, F) \subseteq E X(n, F)$ for sufficiently large $n$.

We are happy to see that Conjecture 23 has just been solved by Wang, Kang and Xue (see [28]). We further wonder whether there exists only one graph in $\operatorname{SPEX}(n, F)$ for every $F$ satisfying the condition. Nikiforov's result (see Theorem 2 in [20]; see also a direct version in [31]) implies that the extremal graph is unique for every graph $F$ with a color critical edge, when the $O(1)$ is replaced by 0 . Theorems 3 and 4 give a new support.
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