A Cyclic Analogue of Stanley's Shuffling Theorem

Kathy Q. Ji
Center for Applied Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072, P.R. China
kathyji@tju.edu.cn

Dax T.X. Zhang
Center for Applied Mathematics
Tianjin University
Tianjin 300072, P.R. China
zhangtianxing6@tju.edu.cn

Submitted: May 9, 2022; Accepted: Sep 20, 2022; Published: Oct 21, 2022

Abstract

We introduce the cyclic major index of a cyclic permutation and give a bivariate analogue of the enumerative formula for the cyclic shuffles with a given cyclic descent number due to Adin, Gessel, Reiner and Roichman, which can be viewed as a cyclic analogue of Stanley's shuffling theorem. This gives an answer to a question of Adin, Gessel, Reiner and Roichman, which has been posed by Domagalski, Liang, Minnich, Sagan, Schmidt and Sietsema again.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 05A05, 05A19, 11P81

1 Introduction

The main theme of this note is to establish a cyclic analogue of Stanley's shuffling theorem. Recall that Stanley's shuffling theorem establishes an explicit expression for the generating function of the number of shuffles of two disjoint permutations σ and π with a given cyclic descent number and a given major index. Here we adopt some common notation and terminology on permutations as used in [13, Chapter 1]. We say that $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$ is a permutation of length n if it is a sequence of n distinct numbers (not necessarily from 1 to n). For example, $\pi = 9\,2\,8\,10\,12\,3\,7$ is a permutation of length 7. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ denote the set of all permutations of length n.

Let $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$. We say that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1$ is a descent of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$. The set of descents of π is called the descent set of π, denoted $\mathrm{Des}(\pi)$, viz.,

$$\mathrm{Des}(\pi) := \{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n-1 : \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\}.$$

The number of its descents is called the descent number, denoted $\mathrm{des}(\pi)$, namely,

$$\mathrm{des}(\pi) := \#\mathrm{Des}(\pi),$$

where the hash symbol $\#\mathcal{T}$ stands for the cardinality of a set $\mathcal{T}$. The major index of π, denoted $\mathrm{maj}(\pi)$, is defined to be the sum of its descents. To wit,

$$\mathrm{maj}(\pi) := \sum_{k\in \mathrm{Des}(\pi)} k.$$

Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ be disjoint permutations, that is, permutations with no numbers in common. We say that $\alpha \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}$ is a shuffle of σ and π if both σ and π are subsequences of α. The set of shuffles of σ and π is denoted $\mathcal{S}(\sigma, \pi)$. For example,

$$\mathcal{S}(6\,3, 1\,4) = \{6\,3\,1\,4, 6\,1\,3\,4, 6\,1\,4\,3, 1\,4\,6\,3, 1\,6\,3\,4, 1\,6\,4\,3\}.$$

Clearly, the number of permutations in $\mathcal{S}(\sigma, \pi)$ is $\binom{m+n}{n}$ for two disjoint permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ and $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_m$.

Stanley's shuffling theorem states that

Theorem 1. *Let $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_m$ and $\pi \in \mathfrak{S}_n$ be disjoint permutations, where $\mathrm{des}(\sigma) = r$ and $\mathrm{des}(\pi) = s$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{\alpha\in\mathcal{S}(\sigma,\pi)\\ \mathrm{des}(\alpha)=k}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\alpha)} = \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s \\ k-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s+r \\ k-s \end{bmatrix} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\sigma)+\mathrm{maj}(\pi)+(k-s)(k-r)}. \tag{1}$$

Here

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ m \end{bmatrix} = \frac{(1-q^n)(1-q^{n-1})\cdots(1-q^{n-m+1})}{(1-q^m)(1-q^{m-1})\cdots(1-q)}$$

is the Gaussian polynomial (also called the q-binomial coefficient), see Andrews [2, Chapter 1].

Stanley [12] obtained the above expression in light of the q-Pfaff-Saalschütz identity in his setting of P-partitions. Bijective proofs of Stanley's shuffling theorem have been given by Goulden [6], Stadler [11], Ji and Zhang [10].

Recently, Adin, Gessel, Reiner and Roichman [1] introduced a cyclic version of quasisymmetric functions with a corresponding cyclic shuffle operation. A cyclic permutation $[\pi]$ of length n is the set of all rotations of a permutation $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n$, i.e,

$$[\pi] = \{\pi_1\pi_2\cdots\pi_n, \pi_2\pi_3\cdots\pi_n\pi_1, \ldots, \pi_n\pi_1\cdots\pi_{n-1}\}.$$

For example,

$$[4\,2\,3\,1] = \{4\,2\,3\,1, 2\,3\,1\,4, 3\,1\,4\,2, 1\,4\,2\,3\} \tag{2}$$

is a cyclic permutation of length 4, where

$$[4\,2\,3\,1] = [2\,3\,1\,4] = [3\,1\,4\,2] = [1\,4\,2\,3].$$

Let π_l be the largest element in $[\pi]$. The linear permutation $\hat{\pi} = \pi_l \pi_{l+1} \cdots \pi_n \pi_1 \cdots \pi_{l-1}$ corresponding to the cyclic permutation $[\pi]$ is called the representative of the cyclic permutation $[\pi]$. For the example above, $4\,2\,3\,1$ is the representative of the cyclic permutation $[4\,2\,3\,1]$. Here and in the sequel, we use the representative to represent each cyclic permutation $[\pi]$. For example, we use $[4\,2\,3\,1]$ to represent the cyclic permutation given in (2). In this way, all cyclic permutations of $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$ are listed as follows:

$$[4\,1\,2\,3], [4\,3\,1\,2], [4\,1\,3\,2], [4\,2\,1\,3], [4\,2\,3\,1], [4\,3\,2\,1].$$

Let $\mathfrak{S}_n^c$ denote the set of all cyclic permutations of length n. Suppose that $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$ and $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_m^c$ are disjoint cyclic permutations, that is, cyclic permutations with no numbers in common. We say that $[\alpha] \in \mathfrak{S}_{n+m}^c$ is a cyclic shuffle of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ if both $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ are circular subsequences of $[\alpha]$. Recall that a cyclic permutation $[\pi]$ is called a circular subsequence of $[\alpha]$ if there exists a rotation of $[\alpha]$, which contains π linearly. The set of cyclic shuffles of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ is denoted $\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma], [\pi])$. For example,

$$\mathcal{S}^c([6\,3], [4\,1]) = \{[6\,3\,\mathbf{1}\,\mathbf{4}], [6\,3\,\mathbf{4}\,\mathbf{1}], [6\,\mathbf{1}\,\mathbf{4}\,3], [6\,\mathbf{4}\,\mathbf{1}\,3], [6\,\mathbf{1}\,3\,\mathbf{4}], [6\,\mathbf{4}\,3\,\mathbf{1}]\}. \tag{3}$$

The elements of $[\pi]$ in $[\alpha]$ are in boldface to distinguish them from the elements of $[\sigma]$. Figure 1 lays out the circular representations of cyclic shuffles of $[6\,3]$ and $[4\,1]$.



Figure 1: The circular representations of cyclic shuffles of $[6\,3]$ and $[4\,1]$.

It's not hard to show that

$$\#\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi]) = (m+n-1)\binom{m+n-2}{m-1} \tag{4}$$

for two disjoint cyclic permutations $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$ and $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_m^c$, see [5, Eq. (7)].

In order to study Solomon's descent algebra, Cellini [3, 4] introduced the cyclic descent set. Let $\pi = \pi_1\pi_2\ldots\pi_n$ be a linear permutation. We say that $1 \leqslant i \leqslant n$ is a cyclic descent of π if $\pi_i > \pi_{i+1}$ with the convention $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$. The set of cyclic descents of π is called the cyclic descent set of π, denoted $\mathrm{cDes}(\pi)$. To wit,

$$\mathrm{cDes}(\pi) = \{1 \leqslant i \leqslant n \colon \pi_i > \pi_{i+1}\}$$

with the convention $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$. The number of its cyclic descents is called the cyclic descent number, denoted $\mathrm{cdes}(\pi)$, viz.,

$$\mathrm{cdes}(\pi) := \#\mathrm{cDes}(\pi).$$

Note that all linear permutations corresponding to a cyclic permutation $[\pi]$ have the same number of cyclic descents. In this sense, the cyclic descent number of $[\pi]$, denoted $\mathrm{cdes}\left([\pi]\right)$, can be define to be the cyclic descent number of any one linear permutation corresponding to $[\pi]$. To wit,

$$\mathrm{cdes}\left([\pi]\right) = \mathrm{cdes}\left(\pi\right), \tag{5}$$

where π is any one linear permutation corresponding to $[\pi]$.

Based on their setting of cyclic quasi-symmetric functions, Adin, Gessel, Reiner and Roichman [1] established the following enumerative formula for the cyclic shuffles with a given cyclic descent number.

Theorem 2 (Adin-Gessel-Reiner-Roichman)**.** *Let $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{S}_m^c$ and $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$ be disjoint cyclic permutations, where $\mathrm{cdes}([\sigma]) = r$ and $\mathrm{cdes}([\pi]) = s$. Let $\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi],k)$ denote the set of cyclic shuffles of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ with cyclic descent number k. Then*

$$\#\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi],k) = \frac{k(m-r)(n-s)+(m+n-k)rs}{(m-r+s)(n-s+r)}\binom{m-r+s}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r}{k-s}. \tag{6}$$

Summing (6) over all k gives (4) upon using the Chu-Vandermonde identity [13, p. 135, Ex. 100]. At the end of their paper, Adin, Gessel, Reiner and Roichman [1] asked a question about looking for a notion of cyclic major index, which provides a bivariate analogue of Theorem 2. This question has been posed by Domagalski, Liang, Minnich, Sagan, Schmidt and Sietsema in [5, Question 4.1] again.

In this paper, we introduce the cyclic major index of a cyclic permutation $[\pi]$. Let $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$. Suppose that the representative of $[\pi]$ is $\hat{\pi} = \hat{\pi}_1\hat{\pi}_2\cdots\hat{\pi}_n$, where $\hat{\pi}_1$ is the largest element in $[\pi]$. The cyclic major index of $[\pi]$, denoted $\text{maj}([\pi])$, is defined to be the major index of $\hat{\pi}$. Namely,

$$\text{maj}([\pi]) = \text{maj}(\hat{\pi}). \tag{7}$$

For example, the representative of $[4\,1\,3\,2]$ is $\hat{\pi} = 4\,1\,3\,2$, so $\text{maj}([4\,1\,3\,2]) = \text{maj}(4\,1\,3\,2) = 1 + 3 = 4$.

In order to state the cyclic analogue of Stanley's shuffling theorem, we will need to introduce the cyclic descent-bottom set of a cyclic permutation and recall the splitting map S_i defined by Domagalski, Liang, Minnich, Sagan, Schmidt and Sietsema in [5], which maps a cyclic permutation to a linear permutation. Let $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$. The cyclic descent-bottom set $\text{cB}_\text{d}([\pi])$ of $[\pi]$ is defined to be $\{\pi_{i+1} \colon \pi_i > \pi_{i+1},\ \text{for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant n\}$ with the convention $\pi_{n+1} = \pi_1$. It should be mentioned that the descent-bottom set of a linear permutation has been studied by Haglund and Visontai [7] and Hall and Remmel [8, 9]. For example,

$$\text{cB}_\text{d}([6\,4\,1\,3]) = \{1, 4\}.$$

It is easy to see that

$$\#\text{cB}_\text{d}([\pi]) = \text{cdes}([\pi]).$$

Let $[\pi]$ be a cyclic permutation of length n. For $i \in [\pi]$, Domagalski, Liang, Minnich, Sagan, Schmidt and Sietsema [5] defined the map $S_i([\pi])$ to be the unique permutation corresponding to $[\pi]$ which starts with i. For example,

$$S_5([5\,1\,3\,4]) = 5\,1\,3\,4,\ S_1([5\,1\,3\,4]) = 1\,3\,4\,5,\ S_3([5\,1\,3\,4]) = 3\,4\,5\,1,$$

and

$$S_4([5\,1\,3\,4]) = 4\,5\,1\,3.$$

We obtain the following generating function of the number of cyclic shuffles of two disjoint cyclic permutations with a given cyclic descent number and a given cyclic major index.

Theorem 3 (Cyclic Stanley's shuffling theorem). *Let $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{S}_m^c$ and $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$ be disjoint cyclic permutations, where $\text{cdes}([\sigma]) = r$ and $\text{cdes}([\pi]) = s$. Suppose that the largest element of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ is in $[\sigma]$. Then*

$$\sum_{\substack{[\alpha] \in \mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi]) \\ \text{cdes}([\alpha]) = k}} q^{\text{maj}([\alpha])}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s \\ k-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s+r-1 \\ k-s-1 \end{bmatrix} q^{\text{maj}([\sigma])+(k-s)(k-r)} \sum_{i \notin \text{cB}_\text{d}([\pi])} q^{\text{maj}(S_i([\pi]))}$$
$$+ \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s-1 \\ k-r \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} n-s+r \\ k-s \end{bmatrix} q^{\text{maj}([\sigma])+(k-s+1)(k-r)} \sum_{i \in \text{cB}_\text{d}([\pi])} q^{\text{maj}(S_i([\pi]))}. \tag{8}$$

Setting $q \to 1$ in Theorem 3, we obtain (6), that is,

$$\begin{aligned}
&\#\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi],k)\\
&= \sum_{i \notin \mathrm{cB_d}[\pi]} \binom{m-r+s}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r-1}{k-s-1} + \sum_{i \in \mathrm{cB_d}[\pi]} \binom{m-r+s-1}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r}{k-s}\\
&= (n-s)\binom{m-r+s}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r-1}{k-s-1} + s\binom{m-r+s-1}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r}{k-s}\\
&= \frac{k(m-r)(n-s)+(m+n-k)rs}{(m-r+s)(n-s+r)}\binom{m-r+s}{k-r}\binom{n-s+r}{k-s}.
\end{aligned}$$

2 Proof of Theorem 3

This section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 3 with the aid of Stanley's shuffling theorem.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let $[\sigma] \in \mathfrak{S}_m^c$ and $[\pi] \in \mathfrak{S}_n^c$ be two disjoint cyclic permutations, where $\mathrm{cdes}([\sigma]) = r$ and $\mathrm{cdes}([\pi]) = s$. Suppose that the largest element of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$ is in $[\sigma]$. Let $\hat{\sigma} = \hat{\sigma}_1\hat{\sigma}_2\cdots\hat{\sigma}_m$ be the representative of $[\sigma]$, that is, $\hat{\sigma}_1$ is the largest element of $[\sigma]$. Under the hypothesis of this theorem, we see that $\hat{\sigma}_1$ is greater than all elements in $[\pi]$. Define

$$\hat{\sigma}' = \hat{\sigma}_2\cdots\hat{\sigma}_m. \tag{9}$$

By definition, we see that

$$\mathrm{cdes}([\sigma]) = \mathrm{des}(\hat{\sigma}') + 1 \tag{10}$$

and

$$\mathrm{maj}([\sigma]) = \mathrm{maj}(\hat{\sigma}') + \mathrm{des}(\hat{\sigma}') + 1. \tag{11}$$

Recall that $\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi])$ denotes the set of cyclic shuffles of $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$. Let $\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}', S_i([\pi]))$ denote the set of linear shuffles of $\hat{\sigma}'$ and $S_i([\pi])$, where $\hat{\sigma}'$ is defined in (9) and $S_i([\pi])$ is the permutation corresponding to $[\pi]$ which starts with $i \in [\pi]$. We claim that there is a bijection ψ between the set $\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi])$ and the set $\bigcup_{i\in[\pi]} \mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}', S_i([\pi]))$. Moreover, for $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi])$, we have $\psi(\alpha) = \hat{\alpha}'$ such that

$$\mathrm{cdes}([\alpha]) = \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}') + 1 \tag{12}$$

and

$$\mathrm{maj}([\alpha]) = \mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}') + \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}') + 1. \tag{13}$$

Let $[\alpha] \in \mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi])$ and let $\hat{\alpha} = \hat{\alpha}_1\hat{\alpha}_2\cdots\hat{\alpha}_{n+m}$ be the representative of $[\alpha]$, where $\hat{\alpha}_1$ is the largest element in $[\alpha]$. Since $\hat{\sigma}_1$ is the largest element in $[\sigma]$ and $[\pi]$, we deduce that $\hat{\alpha}_1 = \hat{\sigma}_1$ and $\mathrm{cdes}([\alpha]) = \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha})$. Define

$$\hat{\alpha}' = \hat{\alpha}_2\hat{\alpha}_3\cdots\hat{\alpha}_{n+m}.$$

From the construction of $\hat{\alpha}'$, it is evident that $\hat{\alpha}' \in \bigcup_{i\in[\pi]} \mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}', S_i([\pi]))$ and $[\alpha]$ and $\hat{\alpha}'$ satisfy (12) and (13). Moreover, this process is clearly reversible. This proved the claim. We therefore obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{\substack{[\alpha]\in\mathcal{S}^c([\sigma],[\pi])\\ \mathrm{cdes}([\alpha])=k}} q^{\mathrm{maj}([\alpha])}\\
&= \sum_{i\in[\pi]} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}'\in\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}',S_i([\pi]))\\ \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}')=k-1}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}')+k}\\
&= \sum_{i\notin \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}'\in\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}',S_i([\pi]))\\ \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}')=k-1}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}')+k} + \sum_{i\in \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}'\in\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}',S_i([\pi]))\\ \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}')=k-1}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}')+k}. \qquad (14)
\end{aligned}$$

By (10) and (11), we see that

$$\mathrm{des}(\hat{\sigma}') = \mathrm{cdes}([\sigma]) - 1 = r - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{maj}(\hat{\sigma}') = \mathrm{maj}([\sigma]) - r. \qquad (15)$$

Observe that $\mathrm{des}(S_i([\pi])) = \mathrm{cdes}([\pi]) = s$ if $i \notin \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])$. Hence, by Theorem 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\notin \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}'\in\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}',S_i([\pi]))\\ \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}')=k-1}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}')+k}\\
&= \sum_{i\notin \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s\\ k-r\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} n-s+r-1\\ k-s-1\end{bmatrix} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\sigma}')+\mathrm{maj}(S_i([\pi]))+(k-s-1)(k-r)+k}\\
&\overset{(15)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s\\ k-r\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} n-s+r-1\\ k-s-1\end{bmatrix} q^{(k-s)(k-r)+\mathrm{maj}([\sigma])} \sum_{i\notin \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} q^{\mathrm{maj}(S_i([\pi]))}. \qquad (16)
\end{aligned}$$

Since $\mathrm{des}(S_i([\pi])) = \mathrm{cdes}([\pi]) - 1 = s - 1$ for $i \in \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])$, it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{i\in \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \sum_{\substack{\hat{\alpha}'\in\mathcal{S}(\hat{\sigma}',S_i([\pi]))\\ \mathrm{des}(\hat{\alpha}')=k-1}} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\alpha}')+k}\\
&= \sum_{i\in \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s-1\\ k-r\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} n-s+r\\ k-s\end{bmatrix} q^{\mathrm{maj}(\hat{\sigma}')+\mathrm{maj}(S_i([\pi]))+(k-s)(k-r)+k}\\
&\overset{(15)}{=} \begin{bmatrix} m-r+s-1\\ k-r\end{bmatrix}\begin{bmatrix} n-s+r\\ k-s\end{bmatrix} q^{(k-s+1)(k-r)+\mathrm{maj}([\sigma])} \sum_{i\in \mathrm{cB_d}([\pi])} q^{\mathrm{maj}(S_i([\pi]))}. \qquad (17)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting (16) and (17) into (14), we obtain (8). This completes the proof. □

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to Bruce Sagan for bringing this question to our attention and for providing invaluable comments and suggestions. We also thank the referee for useful suggestions. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation of China.

References

[1] R.M. Adin, I.M. Gessel, V. Reiner, and Y. Roichman, Cyclic quasi-symmetric functions, Israel J. Math. 243 (2021) 437–500.

[2] G.E. Andrews, The Theory of Partitions, Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1976.

[3] P. Cellini, A general commutative descent algebra, J. Algebra 175 (1995) 990–1014.

[4] P. Cellini, Cyclic Eulerian elements, European J. Combin. 19 (1998) 545–552.

[5] R. Domagalski, J. Liang, Q. Minnich, B.E. Sagan, J. Schmidt and A. Sietsema, Cyclic shuffle compatibility, Sém. Lothar. Combin. 85 ([2020–2021]), Art. B85d, 11 pp.

[6] I.P. Goulden, A bijective proof of Stanley's shuffling theorem, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 288 (1985) 147–160.

[7] J. Haglund and M. Visontai, Stable multivariate Eulerian polynomials and generalized Stirling permutations, European J. Combin. 33 (2012) 477–487.

[8] J.T. Hall and J.B. Remmel, Counting descent pairs with prescribed tops and bottoms, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 115 (2008) 693–725.

[9] J.T. Hall and J.B. Remmel, q-counting descent pairs with prescribed tops and bottoms, Electron. J. Combin. 16:#R111 (2009), 25 pp.

[10] K.Q. Ji and D.T.X. Zhang, Stanley's shuffle theorem and insertion lemma, arXiv:2203.13543v1.

[11] J.D. Stadler, Stanley's shuffling theorem revisited, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 88 (1999) 176–187.

[12] R.P. Stanley, Ordered structures and partitions, Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society, No. 119. American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1972. iii+104 pp.

[13] R.P. Stanley, Eumerative Combinatorics, Vol. I, 2nd ed., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2012.